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## Preface to "Differential/Difference Equations: Mathematical Modeling, Oscillation and Applications"

The study of oscillatory phenomena is an important part of the theory of differential equations. Oscillations naturally occur in virtually every area of applied science including, e.g., mechanics, electrical, radio engineering, and vibrotechnics.

This Special Issue includes 19 high-quality papers with original research results in theoretical research, and recent progress in the study of applied problems in science and technology.

This Special Issue brought together mathematicians with physicists, engineers, as well as other scientists. Topics covered in this issue:

Oscillation theory;
Differential/difference equations;
Partial differential equations;
Dynamical systems;
Fractional calculus;
Delays;
Mathematical modeling and oscillations.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we apply the pseudospectral method based on the Chebyshev cardinal function to solve the parabolic partial integro-differential equations (PIDEs). Since these equations play a key role in mathematics, physics, and engineering, finding an appropriate solution is important. We use an efficient method to solve PIDEs, especially for the integral part. Unlike when using Chebyshev functions, when using Chebyshev cardinal functions it is no longer necessary to integrate to find expansion coefficients of a given function. This reduces the computation. The convergence analysis is investigated and some numerical examples guarantee our theoretical results. We compare the presented method with others. The results confirm the efficiency and accuracy of the method.


Keywords: interpolating scaling functions; hyperbolic equation; Galerkin method

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we apply the pseudospectral method based on Chebyshev cardinal functions to solve one-dimensional partial integro-differential equations (PIDEs)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{t}(x, t)+\alpha w_{x x}(x, t)=\beta \int_{0}^{t} k(x, t, s, w(x, s)) d s+f(x, t), \quad x \in[a, b], \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
w(x, 0) & =g(x), \quad x \in[a, b]  \tag{2}\\
w(0, t) & =h_{0}(t), \quad w(1, t)=h_{1}(t), \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants and the functions $f(x, t)$ and $k(x, t, s, w)$ are assumed to be sufficiently smooth on $\mathcal{D}:=[0,1] \times[0, T]$ and $\mathcal{S}$ with $\mathcal{S}:=\{(x, t, s): x \in[0,1], s, t \in[0, T]\}$, respectively, as prescribed before and such that (1) has a unique solution $w(x, t) \in C(D)$. In addition, we assume that the kernel function is of diffusion type which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x, t, s, w(x, s)):=k_{1}(x, t-s) w(x, s) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies the Lipschitz condition as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
|k(x, t, s, w(x, s))-k(x, t, s, v(x, s)) \leq \mathcal{A}| w(x, s)-v(x, s) \mid \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \geq 0$ is referred to as a Lipschitz constant.

In various fields of physics and engineering, systems are often functions of space and time and are described by partial differential equations. But in some cases, such a formulation can not accurately model this system. Because we can not take into account the effect of a past time when the system is a function of a given time. Such systems appear in heat transfer, thermoelasticity and nuclear reactor dynamics. This phenomenon has resulted in the inclusion of an integral term in the basic partial differential equation that leads to a PIDEs [1]. The existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic behavior of the solution of this equation are discussed in [2]. In this paper, we can find the physical situation that leads to Equation (1). A Simple example that refers to a PIDEs is considered by Habetler and Schiffman [3] where the compression of viscoelastic media is studied. For more applications, we refer readers to [4-7].

Spectral methods are schemes to discretize the PDEs. To this end, they utilize the polynomials to approximate the exact solution. Since any analytic function can be exponentially approximated by polynomials. In contrast to other methods such as finite elements and finite differences, these methods can achieve an infinite degree of accuracy. That's mean the order of the convergence of the approximate solution is limited only by the regularity of the exact solution. In other words, for numerical simulations, fewer degrees of freedom are necessary to obtain a given accuracy. The Galerkin method is a class of spectral techniques that convert a continuous operator problem to a discrete problem. In other words, this scheme applies the method of variation of parameters to function space by transforming the equation to a weak formulation. To implement this method, we can not compute the integrals analytically. That's why we can't use this method in most cases [8,9]. Another method that is closely related to spectral methods is the pseudospectral method. The pseudospectral methods are a special type of numerical method that used scientific computing and applied mathematics to solve partial differential equations. These methods allow the representation of functions on a quadrature grid and cause simplification of the calculations [10,11].

Several techniques have been used to solve one-dimensional partial differential equations, such as the finite difference method, finite element method, and spectral method. In [12], the Legendre-collocation method is used to solve the parabolic Volterra integrodifferential equation. For an infinite domain, Dehghan et al. [12] used the algebraic mapping to obtain a finite domain and then they utilized their proposed method. The Legendre multiwavelets collocation method is used to find the numerical solution of PIDEs [13]. To find the approximate solution of PIDEs, Avazzadeh et al. [14] applied the radial basis functions (RBFs) and finite difference method (FDM). To solve nonlinear parabolic PIDEs in one space variable, Douglas and Jones [15] proposed backward difference and Crank-Nicolson type methods. Han et al. [16] approximated the solution of (1) with kernel function of diffusion type and on unbounded spatial domains using artificial boundary method. In [17], a finite difference scheme is considered to solve PIDEs with a weakly singular kernel.

According to the above, considerable attention has been devoted to solving PIDEs numerically. In this paper, we introduce a simple numerical method with high accuracy. To this end, while introducing the Chebyshev cardinal functions, the pseudospectral method applies to obtain the approximate solution of PIDEs (1). Generally, cardinal functions $\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ are polynomials of a given degree that $C_{i}$ vanishes at all interpolation grids except $x_{i}$. These bases are also called the shape functions, Lagrange basis, and so on. One of the advantages of using such bases is the reduction of calculations to find the expansion coefficients of a given function. In other words, to find the expansion coefficients based on these bases, there is no need to integrate, and this is due to the cardinality, which makes these bases superior to other functions. Laksetani and Dehghan [18] is used Chebyshev cardinal functions to solve a PDE with an unknown time-dependent coefficient. In [19], these functions are used to solve the fractional differential equation. Heydari [20] described a new direct scheme for solving variable-order fractional optimal control problem via

Chebyshev cardinal functions. For more details about the Chebyshev cardinal functions and their applications, we refer the reader to $[21,22]$.

This paper is organized as follows, Section 2 is devoted to a brief introduction to Chebyshev cardinal functions. In Section 3, we presented an efficient and applicable method based on Chebyshev cardinal functions to solve PIDEs (1). In Section 4, the convergence analysis is investigated and we proved that the proposed method is convergence. Section 5 is devoted to some numerical tests to show the ability ad accuracy of the method. Finally, Section 6 contains a few concluding remarks.

## 2. Chebyshev Cardinal Functions

Given $M \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that $\mathcal{M}:=\{1,2, \ldots, M+1\}$ and $\mathcal{X}:=\left\{x_{i}: T_{M+1}\left(x_{i}\right)=0\right.$, $i \in \mathcal{M}\}$ where $T_{M+1}$ is the first kind Chebyshev function of order $M+1$ on $[-1,1]$. Recall that the Chebyshev grid is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}:=\cos \left(\frac{(2 i-1) \pi}{2 M+2}\right), \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{M} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To utilize the Chebyshev functions of any arbitrary interval [ $a, b$ ], one can apply the change the variable $x=\left(\frac{2(t-a)}{b-a}-1\right)$ to obtain the shifted Chebyshev functions, viz

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{M+1}^{*}(t):=T_{M+1}\left(\frac{2(t-a)}{b-a}-1\right), \quad t \in[a, b] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that it is easy to show that the grids of shifted Chebyshev function $T_{M+1}^{*}$ is equal to $t_{i}=\frac{(x+1)(b-a)}{2}+a$.

A significant example of the cardinal functions for orthogonal polynomials is the Chebyshev cardinal functions. The cardinal Chebyshev functions of order $M+1$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}(x)=\frac{T_{M+1}(x)}{T_{M+1, x}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)}, \quad i \in \mathcal{M} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $x$ denotes $x$-differentiation. It is obvious that the functions $C_{i}(x)$ are polynomials of degree $M$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}\left(x_{l}\right)=\delta_{i l} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i l}$ is the Kronecker $\delta$-function.
In view of (9), the cardinal functions are nonzero at one and only one of the points $x_{i} \in \mathcal{X}$ implies that for arbitrary function $p(t)$, the function can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} p\left(t_{i}\right) C_{i}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $H^{n}([a, b]), n \in \mathbb{N}$ (Sobolev spaces) denotes the space of all functions $p \in C^{n}([a, b])$ such that $D^{\alpha} p \in L^{2}([a, b])$ for all $\alpha \leq n$, where $\alpha$ is a nonnegative integer and $D$ is the derivative operator. Sobolov space $H^{n}([a, b])$ is equipped with a norm defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p\|_{H^{n}([a, b])}^{2}=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left\|p^{(l)}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}([a, b])}^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exista a semi-norm that is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p|_{H^{n, M}([a, b])}^{2}=\sum_{l=\min n, M}^{M}\left\|p^{(l)}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}([a, b])}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from [23] that the error of expansion (10) can be bounded by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ denotes shifted Gauss-Chebyshev points where $\mathcal{X}^{*}:=\left\{t_{i}:\right.$ $\left.T_{M+1}^{*}\left(t_{i}\right)=0, i \in \mathcal{M}\right\}$ and that $p(t) \in \mathcal{H}^{n}([a, b])$ can be approximated by $p_{M}$ via

$$
p_{M}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} p\left(t_{i}\right) C_{i}(t)
$$

Then one can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p-p_{M}\right\|_{L^{2}([a, b])} \leq C M^{-n}|p|_{H^{n, M}([a, b])} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant and independent of $M$.

## 3. Pseudospectral Method

In this section, we apply the pseudospectral method to solve PIDEs (1) based on Chebyshev cardinal functions. Let us consider the partial integro-differential Equation (1) on the region $\Omega \times \mathrm{T}$. We introduce differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}:=\beta \int_{0}^{t} k(x, t, s, .) d s \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying these operators, PIDEs (1) can be rewritten in the operator form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{I})(w)=f \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the solution of (1) is approximated by the polynomial $\tilde{w}(x, t)$, via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} w^{n}\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right) C_{i}(x) C_{j}(t) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define a matrix $W$ of dimension $(M+1) \times(M+1)$ whose $(i, j)$-th element is $w\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right)$, then Equation (17) becomes the matrix problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}(x, t)=\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) W \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector elements of $\mathcal{C}(x)$ are the Chebyshev cardinal functions $\left\{C_{i}(x)\right\}$.
Inasmuch as the Chebyshev cardinal functions are polynomial, it is easy to evaluate their derivatives. In view of (17), one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{x}(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} w\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right) C_{i, x}(x) C_{j}(t)=\mathcal{C}_{x}^{T}(x) W \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{x}(x)$ is a vector of dimension $(M+1)$ whose $i$-th element is $C_{i, x}(x)$. Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{t}(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^{M+1} w\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right) C_{i, x}(x) C_{j}(t)=\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) W \mathcal{C}_{t}(t) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{t}(t)$ is a vector of dimension $(M+1)$ whose $i$-th element is $C_{i, t}(t)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{M+1, M+1}$ is the operational matrix of derivative whose $(i, j)$-th element is $\mathcal{D}_{i, j}=C_{i, t}\left(t_{j}\right)$. Thus, it follows from $\mathcal{C}_{x}(x)=\mathcal{D C}(x)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{x}(x, t)=\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) \mathcal{D}^{T} W \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{t}(x, t)=\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) W \mathcal{D C}(t) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can easily be shown that $\tilde{w}_{x x}(x, t)$ is approximated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{x x}(x, t)=\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) \mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} W \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by substituting (22) and (23) into the differential part of desired Equation (16), we can approximate the differential operator $\mathcal{L}(14)$, via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(w)(x, t) \approx \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) W \mathcal{D C}(t)+\alpha \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) \mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} W \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

To approximate the integral part, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{C}(x) d x=I \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I \in \mathbb{R}^{M+1, M+1}$ is the operational matrix of integral. It follows from (15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(w)(x, t)=\beta \int_{0}^{t} k(x, t, s, w(x, s)) d s \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we replace $w$ with $\tilde{w}$, then one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(w)(x, t) \approx \beta \int_{0}^{t} k(x, t, s, \tilde{w}(x, s)) d s \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $k(x, t, s, \tilde{w}(x, s))$ can be approximated by $\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) K \mathcal{C}(t)$ where $K$ is a matrix whose elements depend on $t$ and unknown coefficients $W$. Replacing $\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) K \mathcal{C}(t)$ into (27), and using the operational matrix of integration $I$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}(w)(x, t) & \approx \beta \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) K \mathcal{C}(s) d s \\
& =\beta \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) K \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{C}(s) d s \\
& =\beta \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) K I \mathcal{C}(t) \\
& =q(x, t)=\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) Q \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(i, j)$-th element of matrix $Q$ is $q\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right)$. Substituting (25) and (28) into (16), one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}^{T}(x)\left(W \mathcal{D}+\alpha \mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} W+Q\right) \mathcal{C}(t)=\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) F \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Chebyshev cardinal functions $\left\{C_{i}(x)\right\}$ are orthogonal with respect to weighted inner product on $[-1,1]$

$$
\left\langle C_{i}(x), C_{j}(x)\right\rangle_{\omega(x)}= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi}{M+1}, & i=j \\ 0, & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

where $\omega(x)=1 / \sqrt{1-x^{2}}$. This gives rise to equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \mathcal{D}+\alpha \mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} W+Q=F \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us rewrite this system as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(W):=W \mathcal{D}+\alpha \mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} W+Q-F=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We Replace the first column of (31) with the initial condition (2) and the first and last rows of (31) with the boundary conditions (3), i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathcal{F}(W)]_{i, 1} }=[W \mathcal{C}(0)]_{i}-g\left(t_{i}\right), \\
& {[\mathcal{F}(W)]_{1, i} }=\left[\mathcal{C}^{T}(0) W\right]_{i}-h_{0}\left(t_{i}\right), \\
& {[\mathcal{F}(W)]_{M+1, i} }=\left[\mathcal{C}^{T}(1) W\right]_{i}-h_{1}\left(t_{i}\right), \\
& i=1, \ldots, M+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the matrix to vector conversion, this system is changed to a new system by $(M+1)^{2}$ equations with $(M+1)^{2}$ unknowns

$$
\begin{cases}\bar{W} \Gamma=\mathfrak{F}, & \text { if } \mathrm{k} \text { is a nonlinear function of } \mathrm{w},  \tag{32}\\ \overline{\mathcal{F}}=\mathfrak{F}, & \text { if } \mathrm{k} \text { is a linear function of } \mathrm{w},\end{cases}
$$

where $\bar{W}, \mathfrak{F}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ are obtained using the matrix to vector conversion of $W, F$, and $\mathcal{F}$ respectively.

After solving the linear or nonlinear system (32) using the generalized minimal residual method (GMRES) [24] and Newton-Raphson method, respectively, the unknowns $W$ are found, and then the approximate solution can be obtained using (18).

## 4. Convergence Analysis

Because the function $f(x, t)$ is a continuous function on $D$, the approximate error by comparing the function $f$ with $\tilde{f}$ may be bounded, established by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a sufficiently smooth function. Thus Chebyshev cardinal approximation to function $f$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-\tilde{f}\| \approx O\left(2^{-2 M}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $P_{M+1}(x)$ denote that polynomial of degree $M+1$ which interpolates to the function $f$ at the $M+1$ zeros of the first kind Chebyshev polynomials. It follows from [25] that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x, t)-P_{M+1}(x, t)\right| & =\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial x^{M+1}} f(\xi, t) \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{M+1}\left(x-t_{i}\right)}{(M+1)!}+\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial t^{M+1}} f(x, \eta) \frac{\Pi_{j=1}^{M+1}\left(t-t_{j}\right)}{(M+1)!} \\
& -\frac{\partial^{2 M+2}}{\partial x^{M+1} t^{M+1}} f\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{M+1}\left(x-t_{i}\right) \Pi_{j=1}^{M+1}\left(t-t_{j}\right)}{(M+1)!(M+1)!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the leading coefficient of the first kind Chebyshev functions is $2^{M}$, and $\left|T_{i}(x)\right| \leq 1$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{M}$. It is possible to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x, t)-P_{M+1}(x, t)\right| & \leq\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{M+1} \frac{1}{2^{M}(M+1)!}\left(\sup _{\xi \in[a, b]}\left|\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial x^{M+1}} f(\xi, t)\right|+\sup _{\eta \in[0, T]}\left|\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial t^{r}} f(x, \eta)\right|\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{2 M+2} \frac{1}{4^{M}((M+1)!)^{2}} \sup _{\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in D}\left|\frac{\partial^{2 M+2}}{\partial x^{r} \partial t^{M+1}} f\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tilde{f}$ is approximated by Chebyshev cardinal functions and these bases are polynomials, thus one can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f-\tilde{f}\|^{2} & =\iint_{D}|f(x, t)-\tilde{f}(x, t)|^{2} d t d x \\
& \leq \iint_{D}\left|f(x, t)-P_{M+1}(x, t)\right|^{2} d t d x \\
& \leq \iint_{D}\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{M+1} \frac{1}{2^{M}(M+1)!}\left(\sup _{\xi \in[a, b]}\left|\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial x^{M+1}} f(\xi, t)\right|+\sup _{\eta \in[0, T]}\left|\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial t^{r}} f(x, \eta)\right|\right) d t d x \\
& +\iint_{D}\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{2 M+2} \frac{1}{4^{M}((M+1)!)^{2}} \sup _{\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in D}\left|\frac{\partial^{2 M+2}}{\partial x^{r} \partial t^{M+1}} f\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right| d t d x \\
\leq & 2^{-2 M} \frac{(b-a)^{2 M}}{(M+1)!} \mathcal{C}_{m a x}\left(1 / 2+2^{-2 M-2} /(M+1)!\right) \iint_{D} d t d x \\
\leq & \mathcal{C}_{1} 2^{-2 M}, \\
& \text { where } \mathcal{C}_{1}:=\frac{(b-a)^{2 M}}{(M+1)!} \mathcal{C}_{\max }\left(1 / 2+2^{-2 M-2} /(M+1)!\right)|D| \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{C}_{\max }:=\max \left\{\sup _{\xi \in[a, b]}\left|\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial x^{M+1}} f(\xi, t)\right|, \sup _{\eta \in[0, T]}\left|\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial t^{r}}\right|, \sup _{\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in D}\left|\frac{\partial^{2 M+2}}{\partial x^{r} \partial t^{M+1}}\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. The pseudospectral method for solving PIDEs (1) is convergence.
Proof. Let $\tilde{w}$ denotes the approximate solution of (1) for which $e=w-\tilde{w}$. We subtract Equation (1) from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{t}(x, t)+\alpha \tilde{w}_{x x}(x, t)=\beta \int_{0}^{t} k(x, t, s, \tilde{w}(x, s)) d s+\tilde{f}(x, t) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{t}(x, t)+\alpha e_{x x}(x, t)=\beta \int_{0}^{t} k(x, t, s, e(x, s)) d s+f(x, t)-\tilde{f}(x, t) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, Assume that we can approximate the error function $e(x, t)$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x, t) \approx \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) E \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is a matrix whose $(i, j)$-th element is $e\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right)$. Using this approximation and Lipschitz condition (5), Equation (35) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) E \mathcal{D C}(t)+\alpha \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) \mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} E \mathcal{C}(t) \leq \beta \mathcal{A C}^{T}(x) E I \mathcal{C}(t)+\mathcal{C}^{T}(x) \eta \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|f-\tilde{f}| \approx \mathcal{C}^{T}(x) \eta \mathcal{C}(t)$. By dropping the second term in the left to the other side of the inequality and taking norm from both sides, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E \mathcal{D}\| \leq \mathcal{A}|\beta|\|E I\|+|\alpha|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} E\right\|+\|\eta\| . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ are orthogonal functions, we removed $\|\mathcal{C}\|$ from both sides. Multiplying the right side of (38) by $\|\mathcal{D}\|$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|E \mathcal{D}\| & \leq \mathcal{A}|\beta|\|E I\|\|\mathcal{D}\|+|\alpha|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} E\right\|\|\mathcal{D}\|+\|\eta\|\|\mathcal{D}\| \\
& \leq \mathcal{A}|\beta|\|E\|\|I\|\|\mathcal{D}\|+|\alpha|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{T^{2}}\right\|\|E\|\|\mathcal{D}\|+\|\eta\|\|\mathcal{D}\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|E\|\|\mathcal{D}\| & \leq \mathcal{A}|\beta|\|E I\|\|\mathcal{D}\|+|\alpha|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{T^{2}} E\right\|\|\mathcal{D}\|+\|\eta\|\|\mathcal{D}\| \\
\Rightarrow\|E\| & \leq \mathcal{A}|\beta|\|E\|\|I\|+|\alpha|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{T^{2}}\right\|\|E\|+\|\eta\|
\end{aligned}
$$

So, it is obvious that we shall have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E\||1-\mathcal{A}| \beta\left|\|I\|-|\alpha|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{2}\right\|\right| \leq\|\eta\| . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E\| \leq|1-\mathcal{A}| \beta\left|\|I\|-|\alpha|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{2}\right\|\right|^{-1}\|\eta\| \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ be a sufficiently smooth function, then $\|\eta\| \rightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have

$$
\|e\| \rightarrow 0, \text { as } \quad M \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore, the proposed method is convergent.

## 5. Test Problems

Example 1. Let us dedicate the first example to the case that the desired Equation (1) is of form

$$
w_{t}(x, t)-w_{x x}(x, t)=f(x, t)-\int_{0}^{t} e^{x(t-s)} w(x, s) d s
$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(x, 0)=0, \quad x \in[0,1] \\
& w(0, t)=\sin (t), \quad w(1, t)=0, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

and also $f(x, t):=\frac{\left(-x^{2}+1\right) \mathrm{e}^{x t}+\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}-x+2\right) \sin (t)+\left(-x^{4}+x^{2}\right) \cos (t)}{x^{2}+1}$. The exact solution for this example is given by [13]

$$
w(x, t)=\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sin (t)
$$

Table 1 shows a comparison between the proposed method and Legendre multiwavelets collocation method [13]. As you can see, our proposed method gives better results than [13]. According to Table 1, we can see that with fewer bases, we have achieved much better accuracy than the method in [13]. For different values of $M$, the errors in Table 2 are given with $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ norms applying pseudospectral method based on Chebyshev cardinal functions. In Figure 1, the approximate solution, and absolute value of error are depicted.

Table 1. Comparison of the maximum absolute errors at different times for Example 1.

|  | Legendre Multiwavelets Collocation Method [13] |  | Proposed Method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{8}$ |
| 0.0625 | $7.4383 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.6240 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.2106 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.2070 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.1875 | $7.5155 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.2275 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.4685 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.1514 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.3125 | $1.4643 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.5696 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.5745 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.8570 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.4375 | $7.5929 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.2169 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.5563 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.4616 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.5625 | $1.2180 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.0743 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.3926 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.7855 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.6875 | $1.0567 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.1933 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.0499 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.0870 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.8125 | $4.7215 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.0738 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.4915 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.3619 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.9375 | $2.1869 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.3833 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.6396 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.8717 \times 10^{-7}$ |

Table 2. The $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ errors and CPU time for Example 1.

| $\mathbf{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{M = 5}$ | $\boldsymbol{M = \mathbf { 6 }}$ | $\boldsymbol{M = \mathbf { 7 }}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{9}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\\|E\\|_{2}$ | $5.8921 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.0990 \times$ | $5.7105 \times$ | $3.2074 \times$ | $6.3119 \times$ | $4.6636 \times$ | $7.3474 \times$ |
|  |  | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-9}$ | $10^{-11}$ |
| $\\|E\\|_{\infty}$ | $5.4300 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.9000 \times$ | $1.1000 \times$ | $1.3510 \times$ | $3.8717 \times$ | $2.3385 \times$ | $3.8785 \times$ |
| CPU time | 1.141 | $10^{-3}$ | 1.985 | $30^{-3}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-8}$ |
| Order of convergence | - | - | 1.00679 | 1.172 | 15.890 | 23.515 | $40^{-10}$ |




Figure 1. Plot of the approximate solution and absolute value of the error for Example 1.
Example 2. Consider the following PIDEs [14]
$w_{t}(x, t)+w_{x x}(x, t)=\frac{\left(-x^{3}+\left(t^{2}+1\right) x^{2}-(t+1)^{2} x+2 t\right) \mathrm{e}^{-x t}+\mathrm{e}^{-t} x}{x-1}-\int_{0}^{t} e^{s-t} w(x, s) d s$,
with initial and boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(x, 0)=x, \quad x \in[0,1] \\
& w(0, t)=0, \quad w(1, t)=e^{-t}, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact solution for this example is $w(x, t)=x e^{-x t}$.
In Table 3, we report the $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ errors and CPU time for different values of $M$. These results guarantee our convergence investigation in Section 4. When $M$ increases, the error decreases, and approaches zero. The $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ errors obtained by presented method are compared with Hermite-Taylor matrix method [26] and radial basis functions [14] in Table 4. According to Table 4, we can see that our presented method is better than

Hermite-Taylor matrix method [26] and radial basis functions [14]. Finally, we illustrate the approximate solution and absolute error in Figure 2.

Table 3. The $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ errors and CPU time for Example 2.

| $\mathbf{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{5}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{6}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{9}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\\|E\\|_{2}$ | $7.4563 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.7516 \times$ | $3.0177 \times$ | $2.3288 \times$ | $3.4667 \times$ | $2.7823 \times$ | $2.4512 \times$ |
| $\\|E\\|_{\infty}$ |  | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-9}$ | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-12}$ |
| CPU time | $5.8000 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.1697 \times$ | $2.6094 \times$ | $6.7272 \times$ | $5.0805 \times$ | $1.74111 \times$ | $5.4471 \times$ |
| Order of convergence | 0.922 | $10^{-4}$ | 1.89 | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-9}$ |

Table 4. Comparison of the $L^{\infty}$ and $Ł^{2}$ errors at different times for Example 2.

|  | Reference [14] (M = 12) |  | Reference [26] $\mathbf{( M = 4 0 )}$ |  | Proposed Method (M = 10) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | $\boldsymbol{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$-Error | $\boldsymbol{L}^{\boldsymbol{\infty}}$-Error | $\boldsymbol{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$-Error | $\boldsymbol{L}^{\infty}$-Error | $\boldsymbol{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$-Error | $\boldsymbol{L}^{\infty}$-Error |
| 0.1 | $7.9401 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.9522 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.8818 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.1285 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.6171 \times 10^{-15}$ | $6.0890 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| 0.2 | $6.7287 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.2388 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.6480 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.6630 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.9171 \times 10^{-14}$ | $8.9706 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 0.3 | $5.8151 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.6768 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.0188 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.9483 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.4101 \times 10^{-14}$ | $4.2781 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 0.4 | $5.1314 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.3917 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.1915 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.0935 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.7705 \times 10^{-14}$ | $6.2679 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 0.5 | $4.6268 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.3437 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.2470 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.1539 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.4383 \times 10^{-13}$ | $3.5485 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.6 | $4.2620 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.3220 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.2421 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.1615 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.9489 \times 10^{-13}$ | $4.3306 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.7 | $4.0062 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.3226 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.2001 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.1366 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.3306 \times 10^{-13}$ | $7.6451 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.8 | $3.8392 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.3424 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.1393 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.0923 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.3758 \times 10^{-13}$ | $1.3921 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.9 | $3.7575 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.3788 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.0699 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.0376 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.3326 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.3917 \times 10^{-12}$ |



Figure 2. Plot of the approximate solution and absolute value of the error for Example 2.
Example 3. To show the ability of the proposed method for solving nonlinear PIDEs (1), we consider the following equation.

$$
w_{t}(x, t)+w_{x x}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{x+t+s} w^{2}(x, s)+f(x, t)
$$

where

$$
f(x, t)=\frac{\left(x\left((\cos (t))^{2}+2 \cos (t) \sin (t)+2\right) \mathrm{e}^{x+2 t}-3 \mathrm{e}^{x+t} x-5 \sin (t)\right) x}{5}
$$

with the boundary and initial conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x, 0)=x, \quad x \in[0,1] \\
w(0, t)=0, \quad w(1, t)=\cos (t), \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact solution for this Example is given by $w(x, t):=x \cos (t)$. Thus, we can easily judge the accuracy and convergency of the method.

Figure 3 illustrates the $\log$ ( $L^{2}$ errors $)$, taking different values for $M$. To show the order of convergence, we also plotted the linear regression. The slope of this line is equal to the order of convergence ( 1.03248915355714 ). The numerical values with associated $L^{2}$ error and $L^{\infty}$ error are tabulated in Table 5. Finally, we illustrate the approximate solution and absolute error, taking $M=8$ in Figure 4 .

Table 5. The $L^{\infty}$ and $L^{2}$ errors for Example 3.

| $\mathbf{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{5}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{6}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\\|E\\|_{2}$ | $9.8128 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.2408 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.3112 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.7116 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.8815 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.8421 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.0015 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\\|E\\|_{\infty}$ | $3.8674 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.9204 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.7564 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.6865 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.9205 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.2192 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.8173 \times 10^{-7}$ |



Figure 3. Plot of the $\log \left(L^{2}\right.$ errors $)$ and the linear regression for Example 3.


Figure 4. Plot of the approximate solution and absolute value of the error for Example 3.

Example 4. The last example is dedicated to equation

$$
w_{t}(x, t)-w_{x x}(x, t)=f(z, t)+\int_{0}^{t} 3 x s t e^{w(x, s)} d s
$$

where

$$
f(x, t):=\frac{-3 t^{2} x \cos (\sin (x) t) \sin (x)+3 t x \sin (\sin (x) t)-\sin (x)(\cos (x)-1)(\cos (x)+1)(t+1)}{(\sin (x))^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x, 0)=0, & x \in[0,1] \\
w(0, t)=0, & w(1, t)=\sin (1) t, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the closed form of the exact solution to the problem is unavailable, we compute a reference solution by picking a large $M=12$. The $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ errors, CPU time and order of convergence are tabulated in Table 6 for different values of $M$. Figure 5 illustrates the approximate solution and absolute error, taking $M=9$. Table 7 shows the $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ errors at the different times, taking different $M$.

Table 6. The $L^{\infty}, L^{2}$ errors, CPU time and order of convergence for Example 4.

| $\mathbf{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{5}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{6}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\\|E\\|_{2}$ | $3.9186 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.3828 \times$ | $9.8169 \times$ | $3.2073 \times$ | $1.5216 \times$ | $3.7417 \times$ | $1.3539 \times$ |
|  |  | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-11}$ |
| $\\|E\\|_{\infty}$ | $6.3472 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.3752 \times$ | $2.8966 \times$ | $7.4561 \times$ | $3.2107 \times$ | $1.5876 \times$ | $2.3226 \times$ |
| CPU time | 0.750 | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-9}$ | $10^{-11}$ | $10^{-12}$ |
| Order of convergence | - | - | 1.547 | 4.640 | 8.656 | 27.703 | 34.516 |

Table 7. Comparison of the $L^{\infty}$ and $L^{2}$ errors at different times for Example 4.

|  | $\mathrm{M}=6$ |  | $\mathrm{M}=8$ |  | $\mathrm{M}=10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | $L^{2}$-Error | $L^{\infty}$-Error | $L^{2}$-Error | $L^{\infty}$-Error | $L^{2}$-Error | $L^{\infty}$-Error |
| 0.1 | $3.6577 \times 10^{-8}$ | $7.4561 \times 10^{-8}$ | $4.3201 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.8656 \times 10^{-11}$ | $3.0868 \times 10^{-14}$ | $4.9832 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 0.2 | $8.9209 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.7000 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.0306 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.4755 \times 10^{-10}$ | $7.3013 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.1669 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.3 | $1.4797 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.6555 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.7008 \times 10^{-10}$ | $2.4742 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.2171 \times 10^{-13}$ | $1.9019 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.4 | $2.0766 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.5705 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.4193 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.5170 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.7217 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.6485 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.5 | $2.6816 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.4936 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.1506 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.5674 \times 10^{-10}$ | $2.2295 \times 10^{-13}$ | $3.3922 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.6 | $3.3127 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.4884 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.8600 \times 10^{-10}$ | $5.6010 \times 10^{-10}$ | $2.7508 \times 10^{-13}$ | $4.1582 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.7 | $3.9738 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.5574 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.5574 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.6222 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.2645 \times 10^{-13}$ | $4.9100 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.8 | $4.6191 \times 10^{-7}$ | $7.5670 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.2929 \times 10^{-10}$ | $7.6617 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.7527 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.6141 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.9 | $5.1196 \times 10^{-7}$ | $8.1715 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.0246 \times 10^{-10}$ | $8.7071 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.2776 \times 10^{-13}$ | $6.3991 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 1.0 | $5.2605 \times 10^{-7}$ | $8.0354 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.3088 \times 10^{-10}$ | $9.5150 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.5370 \times 10^{-13}$ | $6.7249 \times 10^{-13}$ |




Figure 5. Plot of the approximate solution and absolute value of the error for Example 4.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, an efficient and novel numerical method is applied to solve partial integro-differential equations using the pseudospectral method based on Chebyshev cardinal functions. Due to the simplicity of using cardinal functions, the presented method is good for solving PIDEs. The convergence analysis is investigated and we can show when the number of bases increases, the accuracy is also increased. The presented method was applied to solve some numerical tests and the results guarantee our convergence investigation and application of the proposed method to this problem shows that it performs extremely well in terms of accuracy.
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## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

| PIDEs | Partial integro-differential Equations |
| :--- | :--- |
| FDM | Finite difference method |
| RBFs | Radial basis functions |
| PDE | Partial differential equation |
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#### Abstract

We study the oscillatory behavior of a class of fourth-order differential equations and establish sufficient conditions for oscillation of a fourth-order differential equation with middle term. Our theorems extend and complement a number of related results reported in the literature. One example is provided to illustrate the main results.


Keywords: deviating argument; fourth order; differential equation; oscillation

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the following two fourth-order differential equations. The nonlinear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the differential equation with the middle term of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+p(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}+q(t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are quotient of odd positive integers, $r, q \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right), r(t)>0, q(t)>0, \sigma(t) \in$ $C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \sigma(t) \leq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty$. Moreover, we study Equation (1) under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Equation (2) under the conditions $p \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right), r^{\prime}(t)+p(t) \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{r(s)} \exp \left(-\int_{t_{0}}^{s} \frac{p(u)}{r(u)} d u\right)\right]^{1 / \alpha} d s=\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We aim for a solution of Equation (1) or Equation (2) as a function $x(t):\left[t_{x}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, t_{x} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)$ and $r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}$ are continuously differentiable for all $t \in\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$ and $\sup \{|x(t)|: t \geq T\}>$ 0 for any $T \geq t_{x}$. We assume that Equation (1) or Equation (2) possesses such a solution. A solution of

Equation (1) or Equation (2) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$. Otherwise, it is called non-oscillatory. Equation (1) or Equation (2) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. The equation itself is called oscillatory if all of its solutions are oscillatory.

In mechanical and engineering problems, questions related to the existence of oscillatory and non-oscillatory solutions play an important role. As a result, there has been much activity concerning oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of various classes of differential and difference equations (see, e.g., [1-34], and the references cited therein).

Zhang et al. [30] considered Equation (1) where $\alpha=\beta$ and obtained some oscillation criteria. Baculikova et al. [5] proved that the equation

$$
\left[r(t)\left(x^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\prime}+q(t) f(x(\tau(t)))=0
$$

is oscillatory if the delay differential equations

$$
y^{\prime}(t)+q(t) f\left(\frac{\delta \tau^{n-1}(t)}{(n-1)!r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(t))}\right) f\left(y^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tau(t))\right)=0
$$

is oscillatory and under the assumption that Equation (3) holds, and obtained some comparison theorems.
In [15], El-Nabulsi et al. studied the asymptotic properties of the solutions of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is ratios of odd positive integers and under the condition (3).
Elabbasy et al. [14] proved that Equation (2) where $\alpha=\beta=1$ is oscillatory if

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\rho(s) q(s) \frac{\mu}{2} \tau^{2}(s)-\frac{1}{4 \rho(s) r(s)}\left[\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{r(s)}\right]^{2}\right) d s=\infty
$$

for some $\mu \in(0,1)$, and

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[\vartheta(s) \int_{s}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{r(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} q(v)\left(\frac{\tau^{2}(v)}{v^{2}}\right) d v\right] d v-\frac{\left(\vartheta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{4 \vartheta(s)}\right] d s=\infty
$$

where positive functions $\rho, \vartheta \in C^{1}\left(\left[\nu_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and under the condition in Equation (4).
The motivation in studying this paper improves results in [15]. An example is presented in the last section to illustrate our main results.

We firstly provide the following lemma, which is used as a tool in the proofs our theorems.
Lemma 1 ([10]). Let $h \in C^{n}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$. Suppose that $h^{(n)}(t)$ is of a fixed sign, on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), h^{(n)}(t)$ not identically zero and that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that, for all $t \geq t_{1}$,

$$
h^{(n-1)}(t) h^{(n)}(t) \leq 0
$$

If we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \neq 0$, then there exists $t_{\lambda} \geq t_{0}$ such that

$$
h(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1}\left|h^{(n-1)}(t)\right|
$$

for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $t \geq t_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 2 ([26]). If the function $x$ satisfies $x^{(i)}(t)>0, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, and $x^{(n+1)}(t)<0$, then

$$
\frac{x(t)}{t^{n} / n!} \geq \frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{t^{n-1} /(n-1)!}
$$

Lemma 3 ([27] Lemma 1.2). Assume that $\alpha$ is a quotient of odd positive integers, $V>0$ and $U$ are constants. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U y-V y^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \leq \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} U^{\alpha+1} V^{-\alpha} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Oscillation Results

Firstly we establish oscillation results for Equation (1). For convenience, we denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
G(t):=\frac{\lambda^{\beta}}{6^{\beta}} \frac{q(t) \sigma^{3 \beta}(t)}{r^{\beta / \alpha}(\sigma(t))^{\prime}} \\
R(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} q(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{R}(t):=\mu^{\beta / \alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u
$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in(0,1)$.
Lemma 4. Assume that Equation (3) holds. If $x$ is an eventually positive solution of Equation (1); then, $x^{\prime}>0$ and $x^{\prime \prime \prime}>0$.

Proof. Assume that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of Equation (1); then, $x(t)>0$ and $x(\sigma(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. From Equation (1), we get

$$
\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=-q(t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))<0
$$

Hence, $r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}$ is decreasing of one sign. Thus, we see that

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0 .
$$

From Equation (1), we obtain

$$
\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=r^{\prime}(t)+\alpha r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} x^{(4)}(t) \leq 0
$$

from which it follows that $x^{(4)}(t) \leq 0$, hence $x^{\prime}(t)>0, t \geq t_{1}$. The proof is complete.
Theorem 1. Assume that Equation (3) holds. If the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+G(t) u^{\beta / \alpha}(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that Equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution in $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we only need to be concerned with positive solutions of Equation (1). Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ and $x(\sigma(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Let

$$
u(t):=r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}>0[\text { from Lemma 4] }
$$

which with Equation (1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+q(t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x$ is positive and increasing, we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \neq 0$. Thus, from Lemma 1 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \geq \frac{\lambda^{\beta}}{6^{\beta}} \sigma^{3 \beta}(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{\beta} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$. By Equations (8) and (9), we see that

$$
u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\lambda^{\beta}}{6^{\beta}} q(t) \sigma^{3 \beta}(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{\beta} \leq 0
$$

Thus, we note that $u$ is positive solution of the differential inequality

$$
u^{\prime}(t)+G(t) u^{\beta / \alpha}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0
$$

In view of [25] (Theorem 1), the associated Equation (7) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. Assume that $\alpha=\beta$ and Equation (3) holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} G(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. It is well-known (see [28] (Theorem 2.1.1)) that Equation (10) implies the oscillation of Equation (11).

Lemma 5. Assume that Equation (3) holds and $x$ is an eventually positive solution of Equation (1). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(M^{\beta-\alpha} \rho(t) q(t) \frac{\sigma^{3 \alpha}(t)}{t^{3 \alpha}}-\frac{2^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(t)\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\mu^{\alpha} t^{2 \alpha} \rho^{\alpha}(t)}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu \in(0,1)$, then $x^{\prime \prime}<0$.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that $x^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$. Using Lemmas 2 and 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x(\sigma(t))}{x(t)} \geq \frac{\sigma^{3}(t)}{t^{3}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{2} t^{2} x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mu \in(0,1)$ and every sufficiently large $t$. Now, we define a function $\psi$ by

$$
\psi(t):=\rho(t) \frac{r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{x^{\alpha}(t)}>0
$$

By differentiating and using Equations (12) and (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} \omega(t)-\rho(t) q(t) \frac{\sigma^{3 \alpha}(t)}{t^{3 \alpha}} x^{\beta-\alpha}(\sigma(t))-\frac{\alpha \mu}{2} \frac{t^{2}}{\rho^{1 / \alpha}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t)} \psi^{1+1 / \alpha}(t) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{\prime}(t)>0$, there exist a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ and a constant $M>0$ such that $x(t)>M$, for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Using the inequality in Equation (6) with $U=\rho^{\prime} / \rho, V=\alpha \mu t^{2} /\left(2 r^{1 / \alpha}(t) \rho^{1 / \alpha}(t)\right)$ and $y=\psi$, we get

$$
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq-M^{\beta-\alpha} \rho(t) q(t) \frac{\sigma^{3 \alpha}(t)}{t^{3 \alpha}}+\frac{2^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(t)\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\mu^{\alpha} t^{2 \alpha} \rho^{\alpha}(t)}
$$

This implies that

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(M^{\beta-\alpha} \rho(t) q(t) \frac{\sigma^{3 \alpha}(t)}{t^{3 \alpha}}-\frac{2^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(t)\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\mu^{\alpha} t^{2 \alpha} \rho^{\alpha}(t)}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq \psi\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

which contradicts Equation (11). The proof is complete.
Theorem 2. Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$ and Equations (3) and (11) hold, for some $\mu \in(0,1)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+M^{\beta-\alpha} \widetilde{R}(t) y(t)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that Equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution in $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we only need to be concerned with positive solutions of Equation (1). Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ and $x(\sigma(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. From Lemmas 4 and 1 , we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)>0, x^{\prime \prime}(t)<0 \text { and } x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$, where $t_{2}$ is sufficiently large. Now, integrating Equation (1) from $t$ to $l$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(l)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(l)\right)^{\alpha}=r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}-\int_{t}^{l} q(s) x^{\beta}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 3 from [29] with Equation (16), we get

$$
\frac{x(\sigma(t))}{x(t)} \geq \lambda \frac{\sigma(t)}{t}
$$

for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$, which with Equation (17) gives

$$
r(l)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(l)\right)^{\alpha}-r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}+\lambda^{\beta} \int_{t}^{l} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\beta} x^{\beta}(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq 0
$$

It follows by $x^{\prime}>0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(l)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(l)\right)^{\alpha}-r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}+\lambda^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t) \int_{t}^{l} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s \leq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $l \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
-r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}+\lambda^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s \leq 0
$$

that is

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{\lambda^{\beta / \alpha}}{r^{1 / \alpha}(t)} x^{\beta / \alpha}(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} .
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t$ to $\infty$, we obtain

$$
-x^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \lambda^{\beta / \alpha} x^{\beta / \alpha}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq-\widetilde{R}(t) x^{\beta / \alpha}(t) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we define $\omega$ by

$$
\omega(t)=\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x(t)}
$$

then $\omega(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, and

$$
\omega^{\prime}(t)=\frac{x^{\prime \prime}(t)}{x(t)}-\left(\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x(t)}\right)^{2}
$$

By using Equation (19) and definition of $\omega(t)$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(t) \leq-\widetilde{R}(t) \frac{x^{\beta / \alpha}(t)}{x(t)}-\omega^{2}(t) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{\prime}(t)>0$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $x(t) \geq M$, for all $t \geq t_{2}$, where $t_{2}$ is sufficiently large. Then, Equation (20) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(t)+\omega^{2}(t)+M^{\beta-\alpha} \widetilde{R}(t) \leq 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known (see [3]) that the differential equation in Equation (15) is nonoscillatory if and only if there exists $t_{3}>\max \left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ such that Equation (21) holds, which is a contradiction. Theorem is proved.

Theorem 3. Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(t)>1$ and Equations (3) and (11) hold, for some $\mu \in(0,1)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\prime}(t)} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+M^{\beta / \alpha-1} R(t) y(t)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain Equation (17). Thus, it follows from $\sigma^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and $x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(l)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(l)\right)^{\alpha}-r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}+x^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \int_{t}^{l} q(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Equation (16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq-R(t) x^{\beta / \alpha}(\sigma(t)) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we define $w$ by

$$
w(t)=\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x(\sigma(t))}
$$

then $w(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{x^{\prime \prime}(t)}{x(\sigma(t))}-\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x^{2}(\sigma(t))} x^{\prime}(\sigma(t)) \sigma^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq \frac{x^{\prime \prime}(t)}{x(\sigma(t))}-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\left(\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x(\sigma(t))}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Equation (24) and definition of $w(t)$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)+M^{\beta / \alpha-1} R(t)+\sigma^{\prime}(t) w^{2}(t) \leq 0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known (see [3]) that the differential equation in Equation (22) is nonoscillatory if and only if there exists $t_{3}>\max \left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ such that Equation (25) holds, which is a contradiction. Theorem is proved.

There are many results concerning the oscillation of Equations (15) and (22), which include Hille-Nehari types, Philos type, etc. On the basis of [33,34], we have the following corollary, respectively.

Corollary 2. Assume that $\beta=\alpha$ and Equations (3) and (11) hold, for some $\mu \in(0,1)$. If

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H\left(t, t_{0}\right)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(H(t, s) \widetilde{R}(s)-\frac{1}{4} h^{2}(t, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} \widetilde{R}(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{4}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Corollary 3. Assume that $\beta=\alpha$ and Equations (3) and (11) hold, for some $\mu \in(0,1)$. If there exists a constant $\kappa \in(0,1 / 4]$ such that

$$
t^{2} \widetilde{R}(s) \geq \kappa
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t^{\kappa-1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} s^{2-\kappa} \widetilde{R}(s) \mathrm{d} s+t^{1-\widetilde{\kappa}} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{\widetilde{\kappa}} \widetilde{R}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)>1,
$$

where $\widetilde{\kappa}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 \kappa})$, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.
We will now define the following notation:

$$
\eta_{t_{0}}(t):=\exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{p(u)}{r(u)} \mathrm{d} u\right)
$$

and

$$
\widehat{R}(t):=\mu_{1}^{\beta / \alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(u) \eta_{t_{0}}(t)} \int_{u}^{\infty} \eta_{t_{0}}(t) q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u
$$

where $\mu_{1} \in(0,1)$. We establish oscillation results for Equation (2) by converting into the form of Equation (1). It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\eta_{t_{0}}(t)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\mu(t) r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right) & =\frac{1}{\eta_{t_{0}}(t)}\left[\eta_{t_{0}}(t)\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\eta_{t_{0}}^{\prime}(t) r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right] \\
& =\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\eta_{t_{0}}^{\prime}(t)}{\eta_{t_{0}}(t)} r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \\
& =\left(r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+p(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

which with Equation (2) gives

$$
\left(\eta_{t_{0}}(t) r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\eta_{t_{0}}(t) q(t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0
$$

Corollary 4. Assume that $\alpha=\beta$ and Equation (4) holds. If

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \widehat{G}(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}
$$

for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$, where

$$
\widehat{G}(t):=\frac{\lambda^{\beta}}{6^{\beta}} \frac{\eta_{t_{0}}(t) q(t) \sigma^{3 \beta}(t)}{\eta_{t_{0}}^{\beta / \alpha}(\sigma(t)) r^{\beta / \alpha}(\sigma(t))}
$$

then Equation (2) is oscillatory.
Corollary 5. Assume that $\beta=\alpha$, Equation (4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(M^{\beta-\alpha} \rho(t) \eta_{t_{0}}(t) q(t) \frac{\sigma^{3 \alpha}(t)}{t^{3 \alpha}}-\frac{2^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(t) \eta_{t_{0}}(t)\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\mu^{\alpha} t^{2 \alpha} \rho^{\alpha}(t)}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, for some $\mu \in(0,1)$. If

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H\left(t, t_{0}\right)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(H(t, s) \widehat{R}(s)-\frac{1}{4} h^{2}(t, s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

or

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} \widehat{R}(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{4},
$$

then Equation (2) is oscillatory.
Corollary 6. Assume that $\beta=\alpha$ and Equations (4) and (27) hold, for some $\mu \in(0,1)$. If there exists a constant $\kappa \in(0,1 / 4]$ such that

$$
t^{2} \widehat{R}(s) \geq \kappa
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t^{\kappa-1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} s^{2-\kappa} \widehat{R}(s) \mathrm{d} s+t^{1-\widetilde{\kappa}} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{\widetilde{\kappa}} \widehat{R}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)>1
$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is defined as Corollary 3, then Equation (2) is oscillatory.

## 3. Example

In this section, we give the following example to illustrate our main results.
Example 1. For $t \geq 1$, consider a differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{3}\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{3}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{t^{7}} x^{3}(\gamma t)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma \in(0,1]$ and $q_{0}>0$. We note that $\alpha=\beta=3, r(t)=t^{3}, \sigma(t)=\gamma$ t and $q(t)=q_{0} / t^{7}$. Thus, it is easy to verify that

$$
G(t)=\frac{\lambda^{3} \gamma^{6}}{6^{3}} \frac{q_{0}}{t} \text { and } \widetilde{R}(t)=\lambda\left(\frac{q_{0}}{6}\right)^{1 / 3} \gamma \frac{1}{2 t^{2}}
$$

By using Corollary 1, we see that Equation (28) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}>\frac{6^{3}}{e\left(\ln \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \gamma^{6}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result can be obtained from [5].
For using Corollary 2, we see that the conditions in Equations (11) and (26) become

$$
q_{0}>\left(\frac{3^{4}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma^{9}}
$$

and

$$
q_{0}>6\left(\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\right)^{3}
$$

respectively. Thus, Equation (28) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}>\max \left\{\left(\frac{3^{4}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma^{9}}, 6\left(\frac{1}{4 \gamma}\right)^{3}\right\}=\left(\frac{3^{4}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma^{9}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. By applying equation Equation (30) on the work in [15] where $\gamma=1 / 2$, we find

$$
q_{0}>20736
$$

Therefore, our result improves results [15].

## 4. Conclusions

In this article, we study the oscillatory behavior of a class of non-linear fourth-order differential equations and establish sufficient conditions for oscillation of a fourth-order differential equation with middle term. The outcome of this article extends a number of related results reported in the literature.
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#### Abstract

It is easy to notice the great recent development in the oscillation theory of neutral differential equations. The primary aim of this work is to extend this development to neutral differential equations of mixed type (including both delay and advanced terms). In this work, we consider the second-order non-canonical neutral differential equations of mixed type and establish a new single-condition criterion for the oscillation of all solutions. By using a different approach and many techniques, we obtain improved oscillation criteria that are easy to apply on different models of equations.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper discusses the oscillatory behavior of solutions of second-order neutral differential equations of mixed type:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r(s)\left(\left(x(s)+p_{1}(s) x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)+p_{2}(s) x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+q_{1}(s) x^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)+q_{2}(s) x^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)=0, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s \geq s_{0}$. Throughout this paper, we assume the following:
(C1) $\alpha \in Q_{o d d}^{+}:=\left\{a / b: a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right.$are odd $\}$;
(C2) $r \in C\left(\left[s_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right) r^{\prime}(s)>0$, and $\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi<\infty$, where $C(I, J)$ is the set of all continuous real-valued functions $F: I \rightarrow J$;
(C3) $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in C\left(\left[s_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \varrho_{1}(s) \leq s, \varrho_{2}(s) \geq s, \theta_{1}(s) \leq s, \theta_{2}(s) \geq s$, and $\varrho_{1}(s), \varrho_{2}(s), \theta_{1}(s), \theta_{2}(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$;
(C4) $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2} \in C\left(\left[s_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right)$ and $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are not identically zero for large $s$.
Let $x$ be a real-valued function defined for all $s$ in a real interval $\left[s_{x}, \infty\right), s_{x} \geq s_{0}$, which has the properties

$$
x+p_{1} \cdot x \circ \varrho_{1}+p_{2} \cdot x \circ \varrho_{2} \in C^{1}\left(\left[s_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

and

$$
r \cdot\left(x+p_{1} \cdot x \circ \varrho_{1}+p_{2} \cdot x \circ \varrho_{2}\right)^{\prime} \in C^{1}\left(\left[s_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

Then, $x$ is called a solution of (1) on $\left[s_{x}, \infty\right)$ if $x$ satisfies (1) for all $s \geq s_{x}$. We will consider only the solutions of (1) that exist on some half-line $\left[s_{x}, \infty\right)$ for $s_{x} \geq s_{0}$ and satisfy the condition

$$
\sup \left\{|x(s)|: s_{c} \leq s<\infty\right\}>0 \text { for any } s_{c} \geq s_{x}
$$

A nontrivial solution $x$ of any differential equation is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrary large zeros; otherwise, it is said to be non-oscillatory.

The oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions to various classes of delay and advanced differential equations have been widely discussed in the literature. For second-order delay equations, the studies found in [1-5] were concerned with studying the oscillatory behavior of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(s)\left(\left(x(s)+p_{1}(s) x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}(s) x^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the canonical operator $\pi\left(s_{0}\right)=\infty$, where

$$
\pi(s):=\int_{s_{0}}^{s} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

One can find developments and comparisons of the oscillation criteria of (2) in the recently published paper by Moaaz et al. [4] for a non-canonical case, that is,

$$
\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi<\infty
$$

Bohner et al. [6] simplified and improved the previous results found by Agarwal et al. [7] and Han et al. [8]. For more general equations and more accurate results, see [9,10].

For second-order advanced equations, Chatzarakis et al. [11,12] studied the asymptotic behavior of the equation:

$$
\left(r(s)\left(x(s)^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q_{2}(s) x^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)=0
$$

in the non-canonical case, and improved a number of pre-existing results.
Although there are many results of studies of the oscillation of solutions of delay differential equations, the results that concern the study of mixed equations are few-see, for example [13-24]. By using the Riccati transformation technique, Arul and Shobha [13] obtained some sufficient conditions for oscillation of the equation:

$$
\left(r(s)(x(s)+a(s) x(s-\varrho)+b(s) x(s+\delta))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(s) f(x(\theta(s)))=0
$$

where $0 \leq a(s) \leq a<\infty, 0 \leq b(s) \leq b<\infty$, and $f(u) / u \geq k>0$. Dzurina et al. [22] established some criteria for the oscillation of the equation

$$
\left(x(s)+p_{1} x\left(s-\varrho_{1}\right)+p_{2} x\left(s+\varrho_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}=q_{1}(s) x\left(s-\theta_{1}\right)+q_{2}(s) x\left(s+\theta_{2}\right)
$$

where $\varrho_{i}, \theta_{i} \geq 0$ are constants, $q_{i}$ is nonnegative, and $i=1,2$. Tunc et al. [24] studied the oscillatory behavior of solutions of the equation:

$$
\left(r(s)\left(\left(x(s)+p_{1}(s) x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)+p_{2}(s) x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(s) x^{\alpha}(\theta(s))=0
$$

in the canonical case $\pi\left(s_{0}\right)=\infty$, and considered the cases:
(i) $p_{1}(s) \geq 0, p_{2}(s) \geq 1$ and $p_{2}(s) \neq 1$ eventually
and
(ii) $p_{2}(s) \geq 0, p_{1}(s) \geq 1$ and $p_{2}(s) \neq 1$ eventually.

Thandapani et al. [23] considered the equation

$$
\left(\left(x(s)+p_{1} x\left(s-\varrho_{1}\right)+p_{2} x\left(s+\varrho_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime \prime}+q_{1}(s) x^{\beta}\left(s-\theta_{1}\right)+q_{2}(s) x^{\gamma}\left(s+\theta_{2}\right)=0
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ are the ratios of odd positive integers, and established some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all of the solutions. For more results, techniques, and
approaches that deal with the oscillation of delay differential equations of higher orders, see [25-33].

The objective of this paper is to study the oscillatory and asymptotic properties of a class of delay differential equations of mixed neutral type with the non-canonical operator. The oscillation criteria are obtained via only one condition, and hence, they are easy to apply. Moreover, by using generalized Riccati substitution, we get new criteria that improve some of the results reported in the literature. An example is provided to illustrate the significance of the main results.

## 2. Preliminary Results

In the following, we present the notations used in this study:

- For the continuous function $r$, we define the integral operator $\kappa(u, v)$ for $u<v$ as

$$
\kappa(u, v):=\int_{u}^{v} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta
$$

- $\quad$ For any solution $x$ of (1), we define the corresponding function $v$ as

$$
v(s):=x(s)+p_{1}(s) x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)+p_{2}(s) x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right), \text { for } s \geq s_{0} .
$$

- Briefly, we use the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}(s) & :=1-p_{1}(s) \frac{\kappa\left(\varrho_{1}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa(s, \infty)}-p_{2}(s) \\
H(s) & :=q_{1}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)+q_{2}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \\
G(s) & :=q_{1}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)+q_{2}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
B_{2}(s):=1-p_{1}(s)-p_{2}(s) \frac{\kappa\left(s_{1}, \varrho_{2}(s)\right)}{\kappa\left(s_{1}, s\right)}, \text { for } s \geq s_{1} \geq s_{0}
$$

Lemma 1 ([6], Lemma 2.6). Assume that $\Theta(v):=A v-B(v-C)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}$, where $A, B$, and $C$ are real constants, $B>0$, and $\alpha \in Q_{\text {odd }}^{+}$. Then, the maximum value of $\Theta$ on $\mathbb{R}$ at $v^{*}=$ $C+(\alpha A /((\alpha+1) B))^{\alpha}$ is

$$
\Theta\left(v^{*}\right) \leq \max _{v \in \mathbb{R}} \Theta(v)=A C+\frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} A^{\alpha+1} B^{-\alpha}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $x$ be a positive solution of (1). If $v$ is decreasing, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{v(s)}{\kappa(s, \infty)}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually. Further, if $v$ is increasing, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{v(s)}{\kappa\left(s_{1}, s\right)}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geq s_{1} \geq s_{0}$.
Proof. Suppose that (1) has a positive solution $x$ on $\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$. Obviously, $v(s) \geq x(s)>0$ for all $s \geq s_{1} \geq s_{0}$. Thus, from (1), we get

$$
\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=-q_{1}(s) x^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)-q_{2}(s) x^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \leq 0
$$

Hence, $r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}$ is non-increasing, and so $v^{\prime}(s)$ has a constant sign for $s \geq s_{1}$. Assume that $v^{\prime}(s)<0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(s) \geq-\int_{s}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\xi) r^{1 / \alpha}(\xi) v^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \geq-\kappa(s, \infty) r^{1 / \alpha}(s) v^{\prime}(s) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so,

$$
\left(\frac{v(s)}{\kappa(s, \infty)}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\kappa(s, \infty) v^{\prime}(s)+r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) v(s)}{(\kappa(s, \infty))^{2}} \geq 0
$$

Next, assume that $v^{\prime}(s)>0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Hence, we obtain

$$
v(s) \geq \int_{s_{1}}^{s} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\xi) r^{1 / \alpha}(\xi) v^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \geq \kappa\left(s_{1}, s\right) r^{1 / \alpha}(s) v^{\prime}(s)
$$

and it follows that

$$
\left(\frac{v(s)}{\kappa\left(s_{1}, s\right)}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\kappa\left(s_{1}, s\right) v^{\prime}(s)-r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) v(s)}{\kappa^{2}\left(s_{1}, s\right)} \leq 0
$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 1. Assume that $H(s) \geq G(s)>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(u)}\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{u} G(\xi) \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u=\infty, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s_{1} \geq s_{0}$, then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Assume the contrary: that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality (since the substitution $y=-x$ transforms (1) into an equation of the same form), we suppose that $x$ is an eventually positive solution. Then, there exists $s_{1} \geq s_{0}$ such that $x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right), x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right), x\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)$, and $x\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)$ are positive for all $s \geq s_{1}$. Thus, from (1) and the definition of $v$, we note that $v(s) \geq x(s)>0$ and $r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}$ is non-increasing. Hence, $v^{\prime}>0$ or $v^{\prime}<0$ eventually.

Assume that $v^{\prime}(s)<0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. By using Lemma 2 , we have

$$
v\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right) \leq \frac{\kappa\left(\varrho_{1}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa(s, \infty)} v(s)
$$

based on the fact that $\varrho_{1}(s) \leq s$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(s) & =v(s)-p_{1}(s) x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)-p_{2}(s) x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right) \\
& \geq v(s)-p_{1}(s) v\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)-p_{2}(s) v\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-p_{1}(s) \frac{\kappa\left(\varrho_{1}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa(s, \infty)}-p_{2}(s)\right) v(s) \\
& =B_{1}(s) v(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (1) becomes

$$
\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq-q_{1}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)-q_{2}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)
$$

and since $\theta_{1}(s) \leq \theta_{2}(s)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} & \leq-q_{1}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)-q_{2}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \\
& \leq-\left(q_{1}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)+q_{2}(s) B_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \\
& =-G(s) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} \leq r\left(s_{1}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha}:=-L<0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geq s_{1}$, and from (5) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\alpha}(s) \geq L \kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty) \text { for all } s \geq s_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (7) with (9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq-G(s) L \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geq s_{1}$. Integrating (10) from $s_{1}$ to $s$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} & \leq r\left(s_{1}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-L \int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& \leq-L \int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right) \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $s_{1}$ to $s$, we get

$$
v(s) \leq v\left(s_{1}\right)-L^{1 / \alpha} \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(u)}\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{u} G(\xi) \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u .
$$

Passing to the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at a contradiction with (6).
Now, assume that $v^{\prime}(s)>0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. From Lemma 2, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right) \leq \frac{\kappa\left(s_{1}, \varrho_{2}(s)\right)}{\kappa\left(s_{1}, s\right)} v(s) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $v$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x(s) & =v(s)-p_{1}(s) x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)-p_{2}(s) x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right) \\
& \geq v(s)-p_{1}(s) v\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)-p_{2}(s) v\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Using that (11) and $v\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right) \leq v(s)$, where $\varrho_{1}(s)<s$ in (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x(s) & \geq v(s)\left(1-p_{1}(s)-p_{2}(s) \frac{\kappa\left(s_{1}, \varrho_{2}(s)\right)}{\kappa\left(s_{1}, s\right)}\right) \\
& \geq B_{2}(s) v(s) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, (1) becomes

$$
\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq-q_{1}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)-q_{2}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)
$$

and since $\theta_{1}(s) \leq \theta_{2}(s)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} & \leq-q_{1}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)-q_{2}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \\
& \leq-\left(q_{1}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)+q_{2}(s) B_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)\right) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \\
& =-H(s) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (6) and (C2) that $\int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right) \mathrm{d} \xi$ must be unbounded. Further, since $\kappa^{\prime}(s, \infty)<0$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \rightarrow \infty \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (14) from $s_{2}$ to $s$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} & \leq r\left(s_{2}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\int_{s_{2}}^{s} H(\xi) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(\xi)\right) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& \leq r\left(s_{2}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}\left(s_{2}\right)\right) \int_{s_{2}}^{s} H(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H(s)>G(s)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} \leq r\left(s_{2}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}\left(s_{2}\right)\right) \int_{s_{2}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, with (15), contradicts the fact that $v^{\prime}(s)>0$. The proof is complete.
Theorem 2. Assume that $H(s) \geq G(s)>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi>1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Assume the contrary: that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality (since the substitution $y=-x$ transforms (1) into an equation of the same form), we suppose that $x$ is an eventually positive solution. Then, there exists $s_{1} \geq s_{0}$ such that $x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)>0$, and $x\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)>0$ for all $s \geq s_{1}$. As in the proof of Theorem $1, v^{\prime}>0$ or $v^{\prime}<0$ eventually.

Assume that $v^{\prime}<0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Integrating (7) from $s_{1}$ to $s$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} & \leq r\left(s_{1}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi)\right) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& \leq-v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\theta_{2}(s) \geq s$, then from (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \geq \frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa(s, \infty)} v(s) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (19) and (5) in (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} \leq r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing both sides of inequality (20) by $r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}$ and taking the limsup, we get

$$
\underset{s \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \leq 1
$$

we obtain a contradiction with the condition (17).
Now, assume that $v^{\prime}>0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. From (17) and the fact that $\kappa\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)<\infty$, we have that (15) holds. Then, this part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. Therefore, the proof is complete.

Theorem 3. Assume that $H(s) \geq G(s)>0$ and (15) hold. Further, if the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(s)+\frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa\left(\theta_{1}(s), \infty\right)}\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)^{1 / \alpha} v\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Assume the contrary: that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality (since the substitution $y=-x$ transforms (1) into an equation of the same form), we suppose that $x$ is an eventually positive solution. Then, there exists $s_{1} \geq s_{0}$ such that $x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)>0$, and $x\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)>0$ for all $s \geq s_{1}$. As in the proof of Theorem $1, v^{\prime}>0$ or $v^{\prime}<0$ eventually.

Assume that $v^{\prime}<0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Since $\theta_{2}(s) \geq \theta_{1}(s)$, we get, from (3), that

$$
v\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \geq \frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa\left(\theta_{1}(s), \infty\right)} v\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)
$$

which, with (18), gives

$$
r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} \leq \frac{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s), \infty\right)} v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi .
$$

Now, we see that $v>0$ is a solution of the inequality

$$
v^{\prime}(s)+\frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa\left(\theta_{1}(s), \infty\right)}\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{s} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)^{1 / \alpha} v\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \leq 0
$$

Using [34], we find that (21) also has a positive solution-a contradiction. By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, the proof of this theory is completed.

Corollary 1. Assume that $H(s) \geq G(s)>0$ and (15) hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\theta_{1}(s)}^{s} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(u)} \frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{2}(u), \infty\right)}{\kappa\left(\theta_{1}(u), \infty\right)}\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{u} G(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Using ([35], Theorem 2), we have that (22) implies the oscillation of (21). From Theorem 3, we have that (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 4. Assume that $H(s)>0, G(s)>0$. If there exist functions $\psi, \delta \in C^{1}\left(\left[s_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, and $s_{1} \in\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}{\delta(s)} \int_{s_{1}}^{s}\left(\delta(\xi) G(\xi) \frac{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(\xi, \infty)}-\frac{r(\xi)\left(\delta^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}(\delta(\xi))^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right\}>1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s_{1}}^{s}\left(\psi(\xi) H(\xi)-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(\xi)\left(\psi^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\psi^{\alpha}(\xi)\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi=\infty, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Assume the contrary: that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality (since the substitution $y=-x$ transforms (1) into an equation of the same form), we suppose that $x$ is an eventually positive solution. Then, there exists $s_{1} \geq s_{0}$ such that $x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)>0$, and $x\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)>0$ for all $s \geq s_{1}$. From Theorem 1, $v^{\prime}>0$ or $v^{\prime}<0$ eventually.

Assume that $v^{\prime}<0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we arrive at (7). Now, we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(s)=\delta(s)\left(\frac{r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}{v^{\alpha}(s)}+\frac{1}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}\right) \text { on }\left[s_{1}, \infty\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5), we get that $\omega \geq 0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Differentiating (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(s)= & \frac{\delta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s)} \omega(s)+\delta(s) \frac{\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{v^{\alpha}(s)}-\alpha \delta(s) r(s)\left(\frac{v^{\prime}(s)}{v(s)}\right)^{\alpha+1} \\
& +\frac{\alpha \delta(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s) \kappa^{\alpha+1}(s, \infty)} \\
\leq & \frac{\delta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s)} \omega(s)+\delta(s) \frac{\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{v^{\alpha}(s)}-\frac{\alpha}{(\delta(s) r(s))^{1 / \alpha}}\left(\omega(s)-\frac{\delta(s)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}\right)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \\
& +\frac{\alpha \delta(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s) \kappa^{\alpha+1}(s, \infty)} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (7) and (26), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(s) \leq & -\frac{\alpha}{(\delta(s) r(s))^{1 / \alpha}}\left(\omega(s)-\frac{\delta(s)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}\right)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}-\delta(s) G(s) \frac{v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)}{v^{\alpha}(s)} \\
& +\frac{\alpha \delta(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s) \kappa^{\alpha+1}(s, \infty)}+\frac{\delta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s)} \omega(s) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 1 with $A:=\delta^{\prime}(s) / \delta(s), B:=\alpha(\delta(s) r(s))^{-1 / \alpha}, C:=\delta(s) / \kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)$ and $\xi:=\omega$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s)} \omega(s)-\frac{\alpha}{(\delta(s) r(s))^{1 / \alpha}}\left(\omega(s)-\frac{\delta(s)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}\right)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \leq & \frac{r(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\left(\delta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(s))^{\alpha}} \\
& +\frac{\delta^{\prime}(s)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

and since $s \leq \theta_{2}(s)$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right) \geq \frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa(s, \infty)} v(s) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, in view of (27), (28), and (29), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(s) \leq & \frac{\delta^{\prime}(s)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}+\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} r(s) \frac{\left(\delta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(s))^{\alpha}}-\delta(s) G(s) \frac{v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)}{v^{\alpha}(s)} \\
& +\frac{\alpha \delta(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s) \kappa^{\alpha+1}(s, \infty)} \\
\leq & -\delta(s) G(s) \frac{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(s), \infty\right)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}+\left(\frac{\delta(s)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{r(s)\left(\delta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}(\delta(s))^{\alpha}} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (30) from $s_{2}$ to $s$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{s_{2}}^{s}\left(\delta(\xi) G(\xi) \frac{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(\xi, \infty)}-\frac{r(\xi)\left(\delta^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}(\delta(\xi))^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi & \leq\left.\left(\frac{\delta(s)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}-\omega(s)\right)\right|_{s_{2}} ^{s} \\
& \leq-\left.\left(\delta(s) \frac{r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}{v^{\alpha}(s)}\right)\right|_{s_{2}} ^{s} 3 \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5), we have

$$
-\frac{r^{1 / \alpha}(s) v^{\prime}(s)}{v(s)} \leq \frac{1}{\kappa(s, \infty)}
$$

which, in view of (31), implies

$$
\frac{\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty)}{\delta(s)} \int_{s_{2}}^{s}\left(\delta(\xi) G(\xi) \frac{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(\xi, \infty)}-\frac{r(\xi)\left(\delta^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}(\delta(\xi))^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi \leq 1
$$

Thus, we get a contradiction with (23).
Now, assume that $v^{\prime}(s)>0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Let us define the Riccati function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s)=\psi(s) \frac{r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}{v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)}, \text { on }\left[s_{1}, \infty\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that $\varphi \geq 0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Differentiating (32), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(s)=\frac{\psi^{\prime}(s)}{\psi(s)} \varphi(s)+\psi(s) \frac{\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)}-\alpha \psi(s) r(s) \frac{\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} v^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s)}{v^{\alpha+1}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (14) and (33), we have

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leq \frac{\psi^{\prime}(s)}{\psi(s)} \varphi(s)-\psi(s) H(s)-\alpha \psi(s) r(s) \frac{\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} v^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s)}{v^{\alpha+1}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)}
$$

Since $\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}<0$ and $\theta_{1}(s) \leq s$, we arrive at

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leq \frac{\psi^{\prime}(s)}{\psi(s)} \varphi(s)-\psi(s) H(s)-\alpha \psi(s) r(s) \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s) \frac{\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{v^{\alpha+1}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)^{\prime}}
$$

and from (32), we have

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leq \frac{\psi^{\prime}(s)}{\psi(s)} \varphi(s)-\psi(s) H(s)-\frac{\alpha \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s)}{\psi^{1 / \alpha}(s) r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \phi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s)
$$

Using the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
K v-s v^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \leq \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{K^{\alpha+1}}{s^{\alpha}}, s>0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $K=\psi^{\prime}(s) / \psi(s), s=\alpha \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s) / \psi^{1 / \alpha}(s) r^{1 / \alpha}(s)$, and $v=\varphi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leq-\psi(s) H(s)+\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(s)\left(\psi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\psi^{\alpha}(s)\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (35) from $s_{2}$ to $s$, we arrive at

$$
\int_{s_{2}}^{s}\left(\psi(\xi) H(\xi)-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(\xi)\left(\psi^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\psi^{\alpha}(\xi)\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi \leq \varphi\left(s_{2}\right)
$$

Taking the limsup on both sides of this inequality, we have a contradiction with (24). The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 5. Assume that $H(s)>0$ and $G(s)>0$. If there exist the functions $\delta \in C^{1}\left(\left[s_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and $s_{1} \in\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that (23) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha}{\Psi(s)} \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_{1}^{\prime}(\xi)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(\xi)} \Psi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi>\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, where

$$
\Psi(s)=\int_{s}^{\infty} H(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Assume the contrary: that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality (since the substitution $y=-x$ transforms (1) into an equation of the same form), we suppose that $x$ is an eventually positive solution. Then, there exists $s_{1} \geq s_{0}$ such that $x\left(\varrho_{1}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\varrho_{2}(s)\right)>0, x\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)>0$, and $x\left(\theta_{2}(s)\right)>0$ for all $s \geq s_{1}$. Theorem 1 yields that $v^{\prime}$ eventually has one sign.

Assume that $v^{\prime}(s)<0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4. Now, assume that $v^{\prime}(s)>0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Let us define the Riccati function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s)=\frac{r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}{v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $\varphi \geq 0$ on $\left[s_{1}, \infty\right)$. Differentiating (37), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(s)=\frac{\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{v^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)}-\alpha r(s) \frac{\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} v^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s)}{v^{\alpha+1}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (14) and (38), we have

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leq-H(s)-\alpha r(s) \frac{\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} v^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right) \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s)}{v^{\alpha+1}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)}
$$

Since $\left(r(s)\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}<0$ and $\theta_{1}(s) \leq s$, we arrive at

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leq-H(s)-\alpha r(s) \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s) \frac{\left(v^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{v^{\alpha+1}\left(\theta_{1}(s)\right)^{\prime}}
$$

which, with (37), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(s) \leq-H(s)-\frac{\alpha \theta_{1}^{\prime}(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \varphi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(s) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (39) from $s$ to $\infty$, and using the fact that $\varphi(s)>0$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(s)<0$, we get

$$
-\varphi(s) \leq-\int_{s}^{\infty} H(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi-\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \theta_{1}^{\prime}(\xi)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(\xi)} \varphi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(s)}{\Psi(s)} \geq 1+\frac{1}{\Psi(s)} \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \theta_{1}^{\prime}(\xi)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(\xi)} \Psi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(\xi)\left(\frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\Psi(\xi)}\right)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \mathrm{d} \xi \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\vartheta=\inf _{s \geq s} \varphi(s) / \Psi(s) ;$ then, obviously, $\vartheta \geq 1$. Hence, it follows from (40) and (36) that

$$
\vartheta \geq 1+\alpha\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\alpha+1}\right)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\vartheta}{\alpha+1} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha+1}+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\alpha+1}\right)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}
$$

which contradicts the admissible value of $\vartheta$ and $\alpha$. Therefore, the proof is complete.
Corollary 2. Assume that $H(s)>0$ and $G(s)>0$. If (36) and either

$$
\begin{gather*}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s}^{s}\left(G(\xi) \kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right)-\frac{\alpha^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} r^{1 / \alpha}(\xi) \kappa(\xi, \infty)}\right) d \xi>1  \tag{41}\\
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \kappa^{\alpha-1}(s, \infty) \int_{s}^{s}\left(G(\xi) \frac{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right)}{\kappa^{\alpha-1}(\xi, \infty)}-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} r^{1 / \alpha}(\xi) \kappa^{\alpha}(\xi, \infty)}\right) d \xi>1, \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \infty}^{\alpha}(s, \infty) \int_{s}^{s} G(\xi) \frac{\kappa^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{2}(\xi), \infty\right)}{\kappa^{\alpha}(\xi, \infty)} \mathrm{d} \xi>1 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. By choosing $\delta(s)=\kappa^{\alpha}(s, \infty), \delta(s)=\kappa(s, \infty)$, or $\delta(s)=1$, the condition (23) reduces to one of the conditions (41)-(43), respectively.

Example 1. Consider the second-order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s^{2}\left(x(s)+p_{1}^{*} x\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)+p_{2}^{*} x(\lambda s)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}^{*} x\left(\frac{s}{\mu}\right)+q_{2}^{*} x(\mu s)=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \geq 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 1, p_{1}^{*}>p_{2}^{*}$, and $\lambda\left(p_{1}^{*}+p_{2}^{*}\right) \in(0,1)$. Now, we note that $r(s)=s^{2}$, $p_{1}(s)=p_{1}^{*}, p_{2}(s)=p_{2}^{*}, \varrho_{1}(s)=s / \lambda, \varrho_{2}(s)=\lambda s, q_{1}(s)=q_{1}^{*}, q_{2}(s)=q_{2}^{*}, \theta_{1}(s)=s / \mu$, and $\theta_{2}(s)=\mu s$. Thus, we have that

$$
B_{1}(s)=1-\lambda p_{1}^{*}-p_{2}^{*}, \quad B_{2}(s)=1-p_{1}^{*}-p_{2}^{*}\left(\frac{s-\frac{1}{\lambda}}{s-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
G(s)=\left(q_{1}^{*}+q_{2}^{*}\right)\left(1-\lambda p_{1}^{*}-p_{2}^{*}\right) .
$$

Set $W(s)=\left(s-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) /(s-1)$. Since $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} W(s)=1$, there exists $s_{\epsilon}>s_{0}$ such that $W(s)<1+\epsilon$ for all $\epsilon>0$ and every $s \geq s_{\epsilon}$. By choosing $\epsilon=\lambda-1$, we obtain $W(s)<\lambda$ for all
$s \geq s_{*}$. Thus, and taking into account the fact that $p_{1}^{*}>p_{2}^{*}$ and $\lambda p_{1}^{*}+p_{2}^{*} \in(0,1)$, we get that $B_{2} \geq B_{1}>0$. Now, from Theorem 2, we have that equation (44) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{*}+q_{2}^{*}>\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda p_{1}^{*}-p_{2}^{*}} . \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using Corollary 1, we see that (44) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{*}+q_{2}^{*}>\frac{\mu}{\left(1-\lambda p_{1}^{*}-p_{2}^{*}\right)} \frac{\mu}{\mathrm{e} \ln \mu} . \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $W(s)<\lambda$ for all $s \geq s_{*}$, we find that $B_{2}(s)>1-\left(p_{1}^{*}+\lambda p_{2}^{*}\right)$, and so, $H(s)>\left(q_{1}^{*}+q_{2}^{*}\right)\left(1-\left(p_{1}^{*}+\lambda p_{2}^{*}\right)\right)$. Hence, by choosing $\psi(s)=1$, condition (24) holds, directly. Using Theorem 4, we see that (44) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{*}+q_{2}^{*}>\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mu}{\left(1-\lambda p_{1}^{*}-p_{2}^{*}\right)} \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Taking the fact that $\mu>e \ln \mu$ into account, it is easy to notice that condition (C3) supports the most efficient condition for oscillation of (44). Figures 1 and 2 display a comparison of the criteria (C1)-(C3).


Figure 1. Comparison of the criteria (C1)-(C3) when $\lambda=2, p_{1}^{*}=0.25$, and $p_{2}^{*}=0$


Figure 2. Comparison of the criteria (C1)-(C3) when $\mu=2, p_{1}^{*}=0.5$, and $p_{2}^{*}=0$

Remark 2. In the special case of (44), $p_{2}^{*}=q_{2}^{*}=0$, that is,

$$
\left(s^{2}\left(x(s)+p_{1}^{*} x\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}^{*} x\left(\frac{s}{\mu}\right)=0
$$

The oscillation criterion (C3) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{*}>\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mu}{\left(1-\lambda p_{1}^{*}\right)} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the exact criterion that was obtained in Example 3.1 in [7]. Moreover, if $p_{1}^{*}=0$ and $\mu=1$, then condition (45) reduces so that $q_{1}^{*}>1 / 4$, which is a sharp condition for oscillation of the second-order Euler equation.

## 4. Conclusions

Most works that studied the oscillatory behavior of mixed equations regarded the canonical case $\pi\left(l_{0}\right)=\infty$. Likewise, works that were concerned with the non-canonical case of neutral equations obtained two conditions for testing the oscillation. In this paper, we focused on studying the non-canonical case, and we created criteria with only one condition that is easy to verify. Therefore, our results are an extension, complement, and improvement to previous results in the literature. It is interesting to extend the results of this paper to higher-order equations.
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#### Abstract

In this article, a simple expression for the center of mass of a system of material points in a two-dimensional surface of Gaussian constant negative curvature is given. By using the basic techniques of geometry, we obtained an expression in intrinsic coordinates, and we showed how this extends the definition for the Euclidean case. The argument is constructive and serves to define the center of mass of a system of particles on the one-dimensional hyperbolic sphere $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{1}$.


Keywords: center of mass; conformal metric; geodesic; hyperbolic lever law

## 1. Introduction

The center of mass (center of gravity or centroid) is a fundamental concept, and its geometrical and mechanical properties are for understanding many physical phenomena. Its definition for Euclidean spaces is elemental; nevertheless, in spaces with the non-zero curvature, it is rare. In [1], the author gives an extensive explanation showing that the possibility of the concept can be correctly defined in more general spaces, and he signalizes the difficulties in defining spaces of non-zero curvature concerning the lack of the linear structure of ones. While it is true that the author synthesizes the basic properties of the center of mass, in his approach there are some entities without physical meaning, such as the non-conservation of the total mass of the system or the presence of unbounded speeds under normal conditions. In [2], there is a definition of center of mass for two particles in hyperbolic space, in the same direction to the one presented here, but the authors do not give an expression for calculating it. In [3], the author mentions the difficulty of defining the center of mass in curved spaces. He provides a class of orbits in the curved $n$-body problem for which "no point that could play the role of the center of mass is fixed or moves uniformly along a geodesic". This proves that the equations of motion lack center-of-mass and linear momentum integrals. Nevertheless, he does not provide a way to calculate or determine this element. In [4], the center of the mass problem on two-point homogeneous spaces and the connection of existing mass center concepts with the two-body Hamiltonian functions are considered. We discussed different possibilities for defining a center of the mass in spaces of constant and non-zero curvature, and it was established that a natural way of defining a concept of center of mass for two particles on a Riemannian space as the point on the shortest geodesic interval joining these particles that divides the interval in the ratio of the masses of particles and this is denoted by $R_{1}$.

This last approach is followed in the present work.
In this article, the problem of finding a mathematical expression for computing the center of mass of a system of $n$ particles sited on the two-dimensional hyperbolic sphere $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}=\left\{(x, y, z): x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}=-R^{2}\right\}$ is considered. The stereographic projection of the
upper sheet of $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$ on the Poincaré disk $\mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}=\left\{(x, y): x^{2}+y^{2}<R^{2}\right\}=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|<R\}$, endowed with the conformal metric (see [5]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\frac{4 R^{4} d w d \bar{w}}{\left(R^{2}-|w|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both $\mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}$ with the metric (1) and $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$ with the Euclidean metric have the same Gaussian curvature $k=-1 / R^{2}$, and for the Minding's Theorem they belong to the isometric differentiable class (see [6,7], chapter 2). In [8], we use the lever law, an explicit formula that allows us to calculate the center of mass of a system of $n$ particles with masses $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}>0$, located on the superior half plane of Lobachevsky $H^{2}$, endowed with a conformal metric which induces a constant and negative Gaussian curvature.

Following the basic geometry methods, we obtain the expression for the center of mass for a system of $n$ particles sited in the hyperbolic sphere $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$ with arbitrary $R$.

We organized this article as follows: In Section 1 we introduced some concepts relative to the center of mass in the Euclidean spaces. In Section 2, some properties of stereographical projection are remembered, and we proceeded to deduce the expression for the center of mass, for two particles on the upper branch of hyperbola, from the "hyperbolic rule of the lever" (see $[1,4]$ ) extended to the surface of $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$. After obtaining the expression for the center of mass for two particles in $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{1}$, we naturally extended to a system of $n$ particles in $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{1}$, and in the same way, to a system of $n$ particles in $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$.

## 2. One-Dimensional Euclidean Case

Let us consider two particles with positive masses sited in the real line at points $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. The point defines the center of mass of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{c}=\frac{m_{1} x_{1}+m_{2} x_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct calculation shows that $m_{1}\left|x_{c}-x_{1}\right|=m_{2}\left|x_{c}-x_{2}\right|$ (Euclidean rule of the lever). It is easy to prove that $x_{c}$ is the unique point in a segment (geodesic) joining $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ with this property. We extended this definition to more dimensions in Euclidean spaces. Nevertheless, this definition cannot be extended to spaces in general because it is possible in such cases that it is not defined as a linear structure. However, with the "rule of the lever" in mind is possible to have this definition to Riemannian surfaces, as we shall see later.

## 3. Center of Masses in a Two-Dimensional Hyperbolic Space

### 3.1. Some Observations about the Stereographic Projection of Hyperbolic Sphere on the Poincaré Disk

Let $\mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|<R\}$ and $P: \mathbb{L}_{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}$ be the stereographic projection; then, for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$, we have $P(x, y, z)=w=u+i v$, where $u=\frac{R x}{R+z}, v=\frac{R y}{R+z}$ and the inverse projection is $P^{-1}: \mathbb{D}_{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$, where (see [5]),

$$
P^{-1}(u+i v)=\left(\frac{2 R^{2} u}{R^{2}-u^{2}-v^{2}}, \frac{2 R^{2} v}{R^{2}-u^{2}-v^{2}}, \frac{R\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+R^{2}\right)}{R^{2}-u^{2}-v^{2}}\right)
$$

$P^{-1}$ transforms lines through the origin in meridians (hyperbolas through the point $(0,0, R)$, with the axis being the $z$-axis) and circles with center at the origin, $\left\{w \in \mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}:|w|=\right.$ const. $<R\}$ in parallels (horizontal concentric circles on the upper sheet of hyperboloid).

If we consider the stereographic projection of the one-dimensional hyperbolic sphere $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{1}$ on the real line, we reduce the above equation to
$P(x, y)=u$ where $u=\frac{R x}{R+y}, u \in(-R, R)$, and the inverse projection is

$$
P^{-1}(u)=\left(\frac{2 R^{2} u}{R^{2}-u^{2}}, \frac{R\left(R^{2}+u^{2}\right)}{R^{2}-u^{2}}\right)
$$

Theorem 1. Let us consider two masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$ sited at the points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, respectively, and let $Q_{c}\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$ be the coordinates of the hyperbolic center of mass, and $s_{1}$ the length of the arc from $Q_{1}$ to $Q_{c}$ and $s_{2}$ the length of the arc from $Q_{c}$ to $Q_{2}$; then, from the relation (hyperbolic rule of the lever) $m_{1} r_{1}=m_{2} r_{2}$ it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{R+u_{c}}{R-u_{c}}\right)^{m}=\left(\frac{R+u_{1}}{R-u_{1}}\right)^{m_{1}}\left(\frac{R+u_{2}}{R-u_{2}}\right)^{m_{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$ is the total mass of the system.
Proof. In this case, the length of the arc from the South Pole $P_{s}$ to the arbitrary point $(x, y)$ is

$$
r=\int_{0}^{u} \frac{2 R^{2} d t}{R^{2}-t^{2}}=R \ln \left(\frac{R+u}{R-u}\right)
$$

More generally, the length of the arc $s$ from point $Q_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ to $Q_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ in the same parallel, if their stereographical projections are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, is

$$
r=R\left(\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{2}}{R-u_{2}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{1}}{R-u_{1}}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=R\left(\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{1}}{R-u_{1}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{c}}{R-u_{c}}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{2}=R\left(\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{c}}{R-u_{c}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{2}}{R-u_{2}}\right)\right),  \tag{5}\\
R m_{1}\left(\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{c}}{R-u_{c}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{1}}{R-u_{1}}\right)\right)=R m_{2}\left(\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{2}}{R-u_{2}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{c}}{R-u_{c}}\right)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{R+u_{c}}{R-u_{c}}\right)=\frac{1}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\left(m_{1} \ln \left(\frac{R+u_{1}}{R-u_{1}}\right)+m_{2} \ln \left(\frac{R+u_{2}}{R-u_{2}}\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from there the result follows.
Inductively, we can extend the last argument to $n$ particles with masses $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ sited on $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{1}$ as expressed by the following.

Corollary 1. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ be positive masses sited on the points $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{1}$ with stereographical projections $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$, respectively. Then there is a unique point $u_{c} \in(-R, R)$ such that such that the following expression is fulfilled:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{R+u_{c}}{R-u_{c}}\right)^{m}=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{R+u_{k}}{R-u_{k}}\right)^{m_{k}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}$ is the total mass of the system.
3.2. Center of Mass for a System of Two Particles in $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$

Now, we extend the "rule of the lever" to a more general context:

Consider a Riemannian surface $T$ and two particles with masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$ sited in the points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in T$, respectively. Then we define the $T$-center of mass as the point $x_{c}$ in the geodesic joining $x_{1}$ to $x_{2}$ such that the following relation is verified:

$$
m_{1} d\left(x_{1}, x_{c}\right)=m_{2} d\left(x_{2}, x_{c}\right)
$$

where $d$ is the metric in $T$, and $d\left(x_{1}, x_{c}\right)+d\left(x_{c}, x_{2}\right)=d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. For the case of $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$, geodesics are hyperbolas determined for the intersection of the upper sheet of the hyperboloid with the plane drawn for the pair of points and the origin $(0,0,0)$.

Now we can calculate the hyperbolic center of mass for a system with a finite number of particles on $\mathbb{L}_{R]}^{2}$. Following exactly the same reasoning as in the previous section, we obtain the following.

Corollary 2. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ be $n$ masses of particles sited, respectively, in the points $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$, $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ on the same geodesic of $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$ with stereographical projections $w_{1}, w_{2}$, $\ldots, w_{n}$, in the Poincaré disk. And let $w_{c}$ be their hyperbolic center of mass; then, the next relation is fulfilled:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{R+w_{c}}{R-w_{c}}\right)^{m}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}\left(\frac{R+w_{k}}{R-w_{k}}\right)^{m_{k}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}$.
Remark 1. If each fraction is divided, their numerator and denominator for $R$ and both sides rise to the power $R$, when $R \rightarrow \infty$, is obtained,

$$
\exp \left(2 m w_{c}\right)=\exp \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k} w_{k}\right)
$$

Or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{c}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k} w_{k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to the equation for the center of mass in the Euclidean complex plane, that is, the complex plane (or $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ), with Euclidean metric and zero curvature.

## 4. An Application to the Curved 2-Body Problem

In [5], the curved $n$-body problem in a two-dimensional space with constant negative curvature is studied, and the model $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$ is considered, in which there are systems. Let $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in\left(D_{R}^{2}\right)^{n}$ be the configuration of $n$ point particles with masses $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}>0 \in \mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k} \ddot{z}_{k}=-\frac{2 m_{k} \bar{z}_{k} \dot{z}_{k}^{2}}{R^{2}-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}}+\frac{2}{\lambda\left(z_{k}, \bar{z}_{k}\right)} \frac{\partial U_{R}}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda\left(z_{k}, \bar{z}_{k}\right)=\frac{4 R^{4}}{\left(R^{2}-\left|z_{k}^{2}\right|\right)^{2}}
$$

is the conformal function of the Riemannian metric,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial U_{R}}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}=\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n} \frac{2 m_{k} m_{j} R P_{2,(k, j)}\left(z, \bar{z}_{k}\right)}{\left(\Theta_{2,(k, j)}\left(z, \bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}}, \\
P_{2,(k, j)}\left(z, \bar{z}_{k}\right)=\left(R^{2}-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\left(R^{2}-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(z_{j}-z_{k}\right)\left(R^{2}-z_{k} \bar{z}_{j}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Theta_{2,(k, j)}\left(z, \bar{z}_{k}\right)=\left[2\left(z_{k} \overline{z_{j}}+z_{j} \overline{z_{k}}\right) R^{2}-\left(\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}+R^{2}\right)\left(\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}+R^{2}\right)\right]^{2}-\left(R^{2}-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(R^{2}-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
k, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, k \neq j
\end{gathered}
$$

We consider functions of the form

$$
w_{k}(t)=e^{i t} z_{k}(t)
$$

where $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ is a solution of Equation (10). Straightforward computations show that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{w}_{k}=\left(i z_{k}+\dot{z}_{k}\right) e^{i t} \\
\ddot{w}_{k}=\left(\ddot{z}_{k}+2 i \dot{z}_{k}-z_{k}\right) e^{i t} \\
\frac{d \bar{z}_{k}}{d \bar{w}_{k}}=e^{i t}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

For the configurations called relative equilibrium, concerning the 2-body problem, the next result is established.

Theorem 2. Consider two point particles of masses $m_{1}, m_{2}>0$ moving on the Poincaré disk $\mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}}^{2}$ whose center is the origin, 0 , of the coordinate system. Then $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is an elliptic relative equilibrium of system (10) with $n=2$ if and only if, for every circle centered at 0 of radius $\alpha$, with $0<\alpha<R$, along which $m_{1}$ moves, there is a unique circle centered at 0 of radius $r$, which satisfies $0<r<R$, along which $m_{2}$ moves, such that, at every time instant, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are on some diameter of $\mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}$, with 0 between them. Moreover,

1. if $m_{2}>m_{1}>0$ and $\alpha$ are given, then $r<\alpha$;
2. if $m_{1}=m_{2}>0$ and $\alpha$ are given, then $r=\alpha$;
3. if $m_{1}>m_{2}>0$ and $\alpha$ are given, then $r>\alpha$.

This result was reformulated in a more precise form, using the expression for the hyperbolic center of mass taking into account that in a configuration corresponding to a relative equilibrium is invariant with the time, because the distance and angles between particles do not change. This is sufficient, considering the initial configuration on the $x$-axis, and $\alpha$ corresponds to the length measure over the Poincare disk of the projection of arc $r_{1}$ over the hyperbolic sphere $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{2}$, and $r$ is the projection length in disk one of the arc $r_{2}$ over the hyperbolic sphere. Then we have the next relations:

$$
r_{1}=\ln \left(\frac{R+\alpha}{R-\alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
r_{2}=-\ln \left(\frac{R+r}{R-r}\right)
$$

Substituting in the hyperbolic rule $m_{1} r_{1}=m_{2} r_{2}$ and the expression for the center of mass, we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{R+\alpha}{R-\alpha}\right)^{m_{1}}=\left(\frac{R+r}{R-r}\right)^{-m_{2}}
$$

Thus it follows from Equation (6) that

$$
\frac{R-w_{c}}{R+w_{c}}=1
$$

It follows that $w_{c}=0$ and so the center of mass is fixed for every time in the South Pole of the hyperbolic sphere $(0,0, R)$, and Theorem 2 can be expressed in the following form.

Theorem 3. For every configuration of elliptic relative equilibrium for the 2 -body problem with masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$ sited in the points $P_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ and $P_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ on the hyperbolic sphere of radius R. If $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the lengths of arcs measured from the South Pole $(0,0, R)$ to the points $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively, then it satisfies the relation $m_{1} r_{1}=m_{2} r_{2}$, and the center of mass of the system is fixed in $(0,0, R)$ for every time.

The hyperbolic spaces are very special in relativity. A hyperbolic (i.e., Lobachevskian) space can be represented upon one sheet of a two-sheeted cylindrical hyperboloid in Minkowski space-time. According to works that recently appeared in literature (see [9]), in hyperbolic spaces, the expression for the center of mass obtained by adopting the relativistic rule of lever reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} \sinh \sqrt{-k} r_{1}=m_{2} \sinh \sqrt{-k} r_{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r_{i}, i=1,2$, denoting the Riemannian distance of $m_{i}$ to the center of mass and $k$ the (negative) Gaussian curvature, respectively. Using the stereographic projection of a hyperbolic sphere on the Poincaré disk.

For both the Euclidean and the hyperbolic spaces, the center of mass for the system particles plays a central role in the conserved momentum principle. Adoption of the conserved momentum principle for 2-body is expressed in spaces with negative Gaussian curvature is along the following lines.

Theorem 4. Consider two masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$ sited in the points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, respectively, and $r_{1}, r_{2}$ the length or arc from $Q_{c}$ to $Q_{2}$; then, from the relation (hyperbolic rule of the lever) $m_{1} \sinh \sqrt{-k} r_{1}=$ $m_{2} \sinh \sqrt{-k} r_{2}$ and using the stereographic projection of a hyperbolic sphere on the Poincaré disk the conserved momentum principle for 2-body expressed in spaces with negative Gaussian curvature is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} \sinh \left(R \ln \left(\frac{R+w_{c}}{R-w_{c}} \frac{R-w_{1}}{R+w_{1}}\right)\right)=m_{2} \sinh \left(R \ln \left(\frac{R-w_{c}}{R+w_{c}} \frac{R+w_{2}}{R-w_{2}}\right)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Following the ideas from [1] on relativistic momentum, we have

$$
p=m \frac{v}{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}}
$$

where $p$ is the momentum, $v$ is the velocity of the particle with respect to a frame of reference and the velocity of the light is $c=1$. We take

$$
r=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right)
$$

the distance of the particle with respect to the center of the reference frame. This solution with respect to $v$ yields

$$
v=\tanh r
$$

Evaluating this in the momentum gives $p=m \sinh r$. From the energy of the particle $E=m \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}}$ and replacing the velocity of the particle $v=\tanh r$ gets $E=m \cosh r$. From the hyperbolic identity $\cosh x^{2}-\sinh x^{2}=1$, the constant $E^{2}-p^{2}=m^{2}$ can be obtained. Let $r_{1}$ be the distance between the particle with mass $m_{1}$ and the mass center, and $r_{2}$ the distance between the particle with mass $m_{2}$ and the mass center; then, $v_{1}=\tanh r_{1}$, and $v_{1}=\tanh r_{1}$ are the velocities of particles with mass $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, respectively. In consequence, the relativistic momentum is constant,

$$
m_{1} \sinh r_{1}=m_{2} \sinh r_{2}
$$

The expression of the center of mass for a system of two particles in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ is presented in the following result.

Theorem 5. Consider two masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$ sited in the points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, respectively, and $r_{1}, r_{2}$ the length or arc from $Q_{c}$ to $Q_{2}$; then, from the relation (hyperbolyc rule of the lever) $m_{1} \sinh \sqrt{-k} r_{1}=$ $m_{2} \sinh \sqrt{-k} r_{2}$ and using the stereographic projection of a hyperbolic sphere on the Poincaré disk, the center of mass for a system of two particles in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=m_{1} \cosh \left(\sqrt{-k} \ln \left(\frac{\left(R+w_{c}\right)\left(R-w_{1}\right)}{\left(R-w_{c}\right)\left(R+w_{1}\right)}\right)\right)+m_{2} \cosh \left(\sqrt{-k} \ln \left(\frac{\left(R-w_{c}\right)}{\left(R+w_{c}\right)} \frac{\left(R+w_{2}\right)}{\left(R-w_{2}\right)}\right)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the (negative) Gaussian curvature
Proof. From the principle of conservation of relativistic momentum and total energy we obtain the desired result. Following the ideas from [1],

$$
E=m=m_{1} \cosh r_{1}+m_{2} \cosh r_{2}
$$

with $E=m \cosh 0=m$.
One of the most interesting aspects concerning the determination of the center of mass of a particle system lies in its physical applications. As known, for Euclidean space, Equations (2) may be derived from the Lever rule. If we suppose that the particles are under the influence of an attractive potential force, depending only on their mutual distance, this equation may be derived from the other two different characteristics of the center of mass: (a) Collision point and (b) center of steady rotation.

In situation (a), for a collisional point, if the particles are initially at rest they will collide at the center of mass; the expression of the centre of mass is along the following lines.

Theorem 6. Consider two masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$ sited in the points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, respectively, and $r_{1}, r_{2}$ the length or arc from $Q_{c}$ to $Q_{2}$; then, from the relation (hyperbolyc rule of the lever) $m_{1} r_{1}=m_{2} r_{2}$ and using the stereographic projection of a hyperbolic sphere on the Poincaré disk the center of mass for a system of two particles in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-k} w_{c}}{1-\sqrt{-k} w_{c}}\right)^{m}=\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-k} w_{1}}{1-\sqrt{-k} w_{1}}\right)^{m_{1}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-k} w_{2}}{1-\sqrt{-k} w_{2}}\right)^{m_{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Following the ideas from [9] we obtain our result.
In situation (b), for the center of steady rotation, if the particles rotate uniformly along with concentric circles, maintaining a constant distance over time, then the center of mass coincides with the circle's center, the expression of the center of mass is given by the following.

Theorem 7. Consider two masses $m_{1}, m_{2}$ sited in the points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, respectively, and $r_{1}, r_{2}$ the length or arc from $Q_{c}$ to $Q_{2}$; then, from the relation (hyperbolyc rule of the lever) $m_{1} \sinh 2 \sqrt{-k} r_{1}=$ $m_{2} \sinh 2 \sqrt{-k} r_{2}$ and using the stereographic projection of a hyperbolic sphere on the Poincaré disk, the center of mass for a system of two particles in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=m_{1} \cosh \left(2 \sqrt{-k} \ln \left(\frac{\left(R+w_{c}\right)\left(R-w_{1}\right)}{\left(R-w_{c}\right)\left(R+w_{1}\right)}\right)\right)+m_{2} \cosh \left(2 \sqrt{-k} \ln \left(\frac{\left(R-w_{c}\right)}{\left(R+w_{c}\right)} \frac{\left(R+w_{2}\right)}{\left(R-w_{2}\right)}\right)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Following the ideas of [2,9], we can generalize, using the stereographic projection of a hyperbolic sphere on the Poincaré disk, the center of mass for a system of two particles in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ our idea and so obtain the result.

Remark 2. It has been established that if the particles have distinct masses, then the above definitions of the center of mass are not equivalent for hyperbolic spaces. Similarly, using the stereographic projection of a hyperbolic sphere on the Poincare disk, the three meanings for the center of mass (lever rule, collision point and center of steady rotation) are not equivalent. We consider that, from the physical point of view, the most appropriate definition is the definition present here, because it inherits two properties of the Euclidean center of mass (lever rule and collision point), while the relativistic definition only preserves one (conservation of angular momentum).

## 5. Conclusions

In the present work, an analytical formula is obtained that allows the exact calculation of the coordinates of the center of mass for a system of particles with positive masses located on a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold with constant and negative Gaussian curvature. The model of such a variety is taken as a model, Poincaré's disk $D^{2} R$, with the conformal metric resulting from the stereographic projection of the hyperbolic sphere $L^{2} R$. The formula obtained is derived using the hyperbolic lever law in this context, as one of the possibilities that is referenced in [4], and with it a previously obtained result is established more precisely that allows characterizing the relative equilibria for a 2-body problem on Poincaré's disk.
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#### Abstract

In this work, we present new oscillation conditions for the oscillation of the higher-order differential equations with the middle term. We obtain some oscillation criteria by a comparison method with first-order equations. The obtained results extend and simplify known conditions in the literature. Furthermore, examining the validity of the proposed criteria is demonstrated via particular examples.
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## 1. Introduction

Neutral equations contribute to many applications in physics, engineering, biology, non-Newtonian fluid theory, and the turbulent flow of a polytrophic gas in a porous medium. Also, oscillation of neutral equations contribute to many applications of problems dealing with vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar, see [1].

In this paper, we investigate the oscillatory properties of solutions of the higher-order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha_{2}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}+\zeta(x) \delta^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)=0, x \geq x_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(x):=\delta(x)+c(x) \delta\left(\beta_{1}(x)\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main results are obtained under the following conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \in C^{1}\left(\left[x_{0}, \infty\right)\right), \alpha_{1}(x)>0, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}(x) \geq 0,1<p<\infty, \\
c, \alpha_{2}, \zeta \in C\left(\left[x_{0}, \infty\right)\right), \alpha_{2}(x)>0, \zeta(x)>0,0 \leq c(x)<c_{0}<1, \\
\beta_{1} \in C^{1}\left(\left[x_{0}, \infty\right)\right), \beta_{2} \in C\left(\left[x_{0}, \infty\right)\right), \beta_{1}^{\prime}(x)>0, \beta_{1}(x) \leq x, \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{1}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{2}(x)=\infty, \\
\ell \geq 4 \text { is an even natural number, } \zeta \text { is not identically zero for large } x .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, we establish the oscillatory behavior of (1) under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}(x)<\beta_{1}(x), \beta_{2}^{\prime}(x) \geq 0 \text { and }\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)^{\prime}>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}(s)} \exp \left(-\int_{x_{0}}^{s} \frac{\alpha_{2}(\omega)}{\alpha_{1}(\omega)} d \omega\right)\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s=\infty . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Over the past few years, there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of various classes of differential equations; see [2-11]. In particular, the study of the oscillation of neutral delay differential equations is of great interest in the last three decades; see [12-23].

Bazighifan et al. [2] examined the oscillation of higher-order delay differential equations with damping of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\alpha_{1}(x) \Phi_{p}\left[\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right]\right)^{\prime}+\alpha_{2}(x) \Phi_{p}\left[f\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{j} \zeta_{i}(x) \Phi_{p}\left[g\left(\omega\left(\beta_{i}(x)\right)\right)\right]=0 \\
\Phi_{p}[s]=|s|^{p-2} s, j \geq 1, x \geq x_{0}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This time, the authors used the Riccati technique.
Zhang et al. in [3] considered a higher-order differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L_{\omega}^{\prime}+\alpha_{2}(x)\left|\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right|^{p-2} \omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)+\zeta(x)\left|\delta\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right|^{p-2} \delta\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)=0 \\
1<p<\infty, x \geq x_{0}>0, \omega(x)=\delta(x)+c(x) \delta\left(\beta_{1}(x)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
L_{\mathscr{C}}=\left|\mathscr{\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right|^{p-2} \mathscr{\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(x)
$$

Bazighifan and Ramos [4] considered the oscillation of the even-order nonlinear differential equation with middle term of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha_{2}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{p-1}+\zeta(x) \omega(\beta(x))=0 \\
x \geq x_{0}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<p<\infty$.
Liu et al. [5] investigated the higher-order differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\alpha_{1}(x) \Phi\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\alpha_{2}(x) \Phi\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)+\zeta(x) \Phi(\omega(\beta(x)))=0 \\
\Phi=|s|^{p-2} s, x \geq x_{0}>0, \ell \text { is even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $n$ is even and used integral averaging technique.
The authors in $[6,7]$ discussed oscillation criteria for the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\left|\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right|^{p-2} \omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} \zeta_{i}(x) g\left(\omega^{( } \beta_{i}(x)\right)\right)=0 \\
j \geq 1, x \geq x_{0}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\ell$ is even and $p>1$ is a real number, the authors used comparison method with first and second-order equations.

Li et al. [8] studied the oscillation of fourth-order neutral differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\left|\omega^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p-2} \omega^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+\zeta(x)\left|\delta\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right|^{p-2} \delta\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)=0 \\
1<p<\infty, x \geq x_{0}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\omega(x)=\delta(x)+c(x) \delta\left(\beta_{1}(x)\right)$.
In $[9,10$ ], the authors considered the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{(\ell)}(x)+\zeta(x) \delta\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the Riccati method, they proved that this equation is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta_{2}(x)}^{x} z(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{(\ell-1) 2^{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta_{2}(x)}^{x} z(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{(\ell-1)!}{\mathrm{e}}, \text { respectively, } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(x):=\beta_{2}^{\ell-1}(x)\left(1-\alpha_{2}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right) \zeta(x)$.
We can easily apply conditions (6) and (7) to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta(x)+\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)\right)^{(4)}+\frac{\zeta_{0}}{x^{4}} \delta\left(\frac{9}{10} x\right)=0, x \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we get that (8) is oscillatory if

| The condition | (6) | (7) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| The criterion | $\zeta_{0}>1839.2$ | $\zeta_{0}>59.5$ |

Hence, [10] improved the results in [9].
Thus, the main purpose of this article is to extend the results in [9,10,23]. An example is considered to illustrate the main results.

We mention some important lemmas:
Lemma 1 ([11]). Let $\delta \in C^{\ell}\left(\left[x_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), \delta^{(\ell-1)}(x) \delta^{(\ell)}(x) \leq 0$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x) \neq 0$, then

$$
\delta(x) \geq \frac{\mu}{(\ell-1)!} x^{\ell-1}\left|\delta^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right| \text { for } x \geq x_{\mu}, \mu \in(0,1)
$$

Lemma 2 ([16]). If $\delta^{(i)}(x)>0, i=0,1, \ldots, \ell$, and $\delta^{(\ell+1)}(x)<0$, then

$$
\frac{\delta(x)}{x^{\ell} / \ell!} \geq \frac{\delta^{\prime}(x)}{x^{\ell-1} /(\ell-1)!}
$$

Lemma 3 ([13]). Let (30) hold and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \text { be an eventually positive solution of (1). } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have these cases:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathbf{I}_{1}\right): & \omega(x)>0, \omega^{\prime}(x)>0, \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)>0, \omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)>0 \text { and } \omega^{(\ell)}(x)<0, \\
\left(\mathbf{I}_{2}\right): & \omega(x)>0, \omega^{(j)}(x)>0, \omega^{(j+1)}(x)<0 \text { for all odd integer } \\
& j \in\{1,2, \ldots, \ell-3\}, \omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)>0 \text { and } \omega^{(\ell)}(x)<0,
\end{array}
$$

for $x \geq x_{1}$, where $x_{1} \geq x_{0}$ is sufficiently large.

## 2. Oscillation Criteria

Theorem 1. If the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(x)+\left(1-c\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{(p-1)} \zeta(x) \frac{y_{x_{0}}(x)}{y_{x_{0}}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}\left(\frac{\mu \beta_{2}^{\ell-1}(x)}{(\ell-1)!\alpha_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} \phi\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory for some constant $\mu \in(0,1)$, where

$$
y_{x_{0}}(x):=\exp \left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\alpha_{2}(t)}{t_{1}(t)} \mathrm{d} t\right),
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let (9) hold. Then, we see that $\delta(x), \delta\left(\beta_{1}(x)\right)$ and $\delta\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)$ are positive for all $x \geq x_{1}$ sufficiently large. It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{y_{x_{0}}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\left(y_{x_{0}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\mathscr{W}^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{y_{x_{0}(x)}}\left(y_{x_{0}}(x)\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+y_{x_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{y_{y_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(x)}{y_{x_{0}}(x)} \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\left(\mathscr{\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+\alpha_{2}(x)\left(\mathscr{\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account (2) and $\omega^{\prime}(x)>0$, we get that $\delta(x) \geq(1-c(x)) \omega(x)$.
Thus, from (1) and (11), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{x_{0}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+y_{x_{0}}(x) \zeta(x)\left(1-c\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{(p-1)} \omega^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right) \leq 0, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c_{0}<1$.
Using Lemma 1, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(x) \geq \frac{\mu}{(\ell-1)!} x^{\ell-1} \omega^{(\ell-1)}(x), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu \in(0,1)$. From (1), (12) and (13), we see that

$$
\left(y_{x_{0}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+y_{x_{0}}(x) \zeta(x)\left(1-c\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{(p-1)}\left(\frac{\mu \beta^{\ell-1}(x)}{(\ell-1)!}\right)^{(p-1)}\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{(p-1)} \leq 0 .
$$

Then, if we set $\phi(x)=y_{x_{0}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\mathscr{\infty}^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}$, then we have that $\phi>0$ is a solution of the delay inequality

$$
\phi^{\prime}(x)+\left(1-c\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{(p-1)} \zeta(x) \frac{y_{x_{0}}(x)}{y_{x_{0}}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}\left(\frac{\mu \beta_{2}^{\ell-1}(x)}{(\ell-1)!a_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} \phi\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right) \leq 0 .
$$

It is clear that the equation (10) has a positive solution (see [17], Theorem 1), this is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. Assume that (3) and (30) hold. If the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(x)+\zeta(x) \frac{y_{x_{0}}(x)}{y_{x_{0}}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)}\left(\frac{\mu\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{\ell-1} c_{\ell}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}{(\ell-1)!\alpha_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} z\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(x)+\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right) \widetilde{y}_{\ell-3}(x) \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

are oscillatory, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{y}_{0}(x):=\left(\frac{1}{y_{x_{1}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)} \int_{x}^{\infty} \zeta(s) y_{x_{1}}(s) c_{2}^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)}, \\
& \widetilde{y}_{k}(x):=\int_{x}^{\infty} \widetilde{y}_{k-1}(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \ell-2
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
c_{m}(x):=\frac{1}{c\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)}\left(1-\frac{\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)^{m-1}}{\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)^{m-1} c\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)}\right), m=2, \ell,
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let (9) hold. Then, we see that $\delta(x), \delta\left(\beta_{1}(x)\right)$ and $\delta\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)$ are positive.
Let $\left(\mathbf{I}_{1}\right)$ hold, from Lemma 2, we find $\omega(x) \geq \frac{1}{(\ell-1)} x \omega^{\prime}(x)$ and then $\left(x^{1-\ell} \omega(x)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$. Hence, since $\beta_{1}^{-1}(x) \leq \beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right) \leq \frac{\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)^{\ell-1}}{\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)^{\ell-1}} \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
c\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right) \delta(x) & =\omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)-\delta\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right) \\
& =\omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)-\left(\frac{\omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)}{c\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)}-\frac{\delta\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)}{c\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \geq \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)-\frac{1}{c\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)} \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

which with (1), (11) and (17) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(y_{x_{0}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{y_{x_{0}} \zeta(x)}{c^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)}\left(\omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)-\frac{\omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)\right)}{c\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} \leq 0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

We have that (18), which (16) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{x_{1}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+y_{x_{1}}(x) \zeta(x) c_{\ell}^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right) \omega^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right) \leq 0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 1, we get (13). Therefore, from (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y_{x_{1}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime} \\
\leq & -y_{x_{1}}(x) \zeta(x)\left(\frac{\mu c_{\ell}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}{(\ell-1)!}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{\ell-1}\right)^{(p-1)}\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)\right)^{(p-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, if we set $z(x)=y_{x_{0}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}$, then we have that $z>0$ is a solution of the delay inequality
$z^{\prime}(x)+\zeta(x) \frac{y_{x_{1}}(x)}{y_{x_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)}\left(\frac{\mu\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)^{\ell-1} c_{\ell}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}{(\ell-1)!\alpha_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} z\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right) \leq 0$.
It is clear (see [17] Theorem 1) that the Equation (14) also has a positive solution, this is a contradiction.

Let $\left(\mathbf{I}_{2}\right)$ hold, from Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(x) \geq x \omega^{\prime}(x) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $\left(x^{-1} \mathfrak{\omega}(x)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$. Hence, since $\beta_{1}^{-1}(x) \leq \beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right) \leq \frac{\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)}{\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)} \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}(x)\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which with (18) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{x_{1}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)\left(\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)\right)^{(p-1)}\right)^{\prime}+\zeta(x) y_{x_{1}}(x) c_{2}^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right) \omega^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (22) from $x$ to $\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\omega^{(\ell-1)}(x) & \leq-\left(\frac{1}{y_{x_{1}}(x) \alpha_{1}(x)} \int_{x}^{\infty} \zeta(s) y_{x_{1}}(s) c_{2}^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right) \omega^{(p-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \leq-\widetilde{y}_{0}(x) \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating this inequality $\ell-3$ times from $x$ to $\infty$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\widetilde{y}_{\ell-3}(x) \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right) \leq 0, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which with (20) gives

$$
\omega^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right) \widetilde{y}_{\ell-3}(x) \omega^{\prime}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right) \leq 0
$$

Thus, if we put $\omega(x):=\omega^{\prime}(x)$, then we conclude that $\omega>0$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(x)+\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right) \widetilde{y}_{\ell-3}(x) \omega\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear (see [17] Theorem 1) that the equation (15) also has a positive solution, this is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Next, we establish new oscillation conditions for Equation (1) according to the results obtained some related contributions to the subject.

Corollary 1. Assume that $c_{0}<1$ and (30) hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta_{2}(x)}^{x}\left(1-c\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)\right)^{(p-1)} \zeta(s) \frac{y_{x_{0}}(s)}{y_{x_{0}}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)}\left(\frac{\mu \beta_{2}^{\ell-1}(s)}{\alpha_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} \mathrm{d} s>\frac{((\ell-1)!)^{(p-1)}}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then (1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 2. Let (3) and (30) hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}^{x} \zeta(s) \frac{y_{x_{0}}(s)}{y_{x_{0}}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)\right)}\left(\frac{\mu\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)\right)^{\ell-1} c_{\ell}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)}{\alpha_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} \mathrm{d} s>\frac{((\ell-1)!)^{(p-1)}}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)}^{x} \beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right) \widetilde{y}_{\ell-3}(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

are oscillatory, then (1) is oscillatory.

## 3. Applications

This section presents some interesting application which are addressed based on above hypothesis to show some interesting results in this paper.

Example 1. Let the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta(x)+\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)\right)^{(4)}(x)+\frac{1}{x} \omega^{(3)}(x)+\frac{\zeta_{0}}{x^{4}} \delta\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{0}>0$ is a constant. Let $p=2, \ell=4, \alpha_{1}(x)=1, \alpha_{2}(x)=1 / x, \zeta(x)=\zeta_{0} / x^{4}, \beta_{2}(x)=$ $x / 2$ and $\beta_{1}(x)=x / 3$. So, we get

$$
y_{x_{0}}(x)=x, y_{x_{0}}\left(\beta_{2}(x)\right)=x / 2
$$

Thus, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta_{2}(x)}^{x}\left(1-c\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)\right)^{(p-1)} \zeta(s) \frac{y_{x_{0}}(s)}{y_{x_{0}}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)}\left(\frac{\mu \beta_{2}^{\ell-1}(s)}{\alpha_{1}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right)}\right)^{(p-1)} \mathrm{d} s \\
= & \liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x / 2}^{x} \frac{\zeta_{0}}{x^{4}}\left(\frac{x^{3}}{8}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\frac{\zeta_{0}}{8} \ln 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the condition becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{0}>\frac{48}{\mathrm{e} \ln 2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Corollary 1, every solution of (28) is oscillatory if $\zeta_{0}>25.5$.
Remark 1. Consider the equation (8), by Corollary 1, all solution of (8) is oscillatory if $\zeta_{0}>57.5$. Whereas, the criterion obtained from the results of [9,10] are $\zeta_{0}>1839.2$ and $\zeta_{0}>59.5$. So, our results extend the results in [9].

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we obtain sufficient criteria for oscillation of solutions of higher-order differential equation with middle term. We discussed the oscillation behavior of solutions for Equation (1). We obtain some oscillation criteria by comparison method with first order equations. Our results unify and improve some known results for differential equations with middle term. In future work, we will discuss the oscillatory behavior of these equations using integral averaging method and under condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}(s)} \exp \left(-\int_{x_{0}}^{s} \frac{\alpha_{2}(\omega)}{\alpha_{1}(\omega)} d \omega\right)\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s<\infty \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For researchers interested in this field, and as part of our future research, there is a nice open problem which is finding new results in the following cases:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathbf{F}_{1}\right) & \omega(x)>0, \omega^{\prime}(x)>0, \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)>0, \omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)>0, \omega^{(\ell)}(x)<0, \\
\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right) & \omega(x)>0, \omega^{(j)}(x)>0, \omega^{(j+1)}(x)<0 \text { for all odd integers } \\
& j \in\{1,3, \ldots, \ell-3\}, \omega^{(\ell-1)}(x)>0, \omega^{(\ell)}(x)<0 .
\end{array}
$$
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we rediscover in detail a series of unknown attempts that some Spanish mathematicians carried out in the 1930s to address a challenge posed by Mr. la Cierva in 1934, which consisted of mathematically justifying the stability of la Cierva's autogiro, the first practical use of the direct-lift rotary wing and one of the first helicopter type aircraft.
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## 1. Introduction

The autogiro was the first practical use of the direct-lift rotary wing, where a windmilling rotor replaces the wing of the airplane, and the propulsive force is generated by a propeller. Interestingly, the autogiro allows a very slow flight and also behaves like an airplane in cruise. This kind of aircraft was developed by the Spanish aeronautical engineer Mr. Juan de la Cierva y Codorníu (Murcia (Spain), 1895-Croydon (UK), 1936), who also coined the term "autogiro". The origins of the autogiro come back to 1919, when an airplane that had been designed by Mr. la Cierva crashed due to stall near the ground. This fact encouraged him to design an aircraft with both a low landing speed and take-off.

Mr. la Cierva evolved the autogiro over the years. Firstly, the C-3 autogiro, which included a five-bladed rigid rotor, was built in 1922. The use of articulated rotor blades on the autogiro was suggested later, and Mr. la Cierva was the first to successfully apply a flap hinge in a rotary-wing aircraft. The C-4 autogiro (1923), which equipped a four-bladed rotor with flap hinges on the blades, was proved to fly with success. Thereafter, in 1924, it was built the C-6 autogiro with a rotor consisting of four flapping blades. This type, which is considered to be the first successful model of la Cierva's autogiro, took part in a demonstration at the Royal Aircraft Establishment the next year (c.f. [1]).

The Cierva Autogiro Company was founded in 1925 in UK by Mr. la Cierva, and about 500 autogiros were built in the next decade, many of them under license of the Cierva Company. In this regard, and for illustration purposes, Figure 1 depicts an autogiro constructed under license by Pitcairn in the United States (c.f. [2]). In those times, the autogiro was described as an easy to handle and fast aircraft, ahead of its time, which could land almost without rolling and take off in less than 30 m , and being able to stop off in the air, just to name some of its features. Certainly, the autogiro developments had an effect on the subsequent helicopter developments. Presently, however, the aircraft design seems to have evolved differently from the times of la Cierva's autogiro. In fact, novel settings consisting of combinations of four or more electric motors driving blades of carbon fiber will allow for less pollution and noise, and also lead to higher efficient aircraft. From a
mathematical viewpoint, several problems related to modern aviation have been addressed by means of Fractional Calculus (c.f. [3]), path planning algorithms (c.f. [4]), or non-linear hyperbolic partial differential equations (c.f. [5]), to name some groundbreaking techniques.


Figure 1. The picture above (public domain) shows a PCA-2 autogiro built in the United States by Pitcairn under license of the Cierva Company. This unit was used by the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (NACA) for research purposes on rotor systems (c.f. [2]).

One of the first versions of la Cierva's aircraft, the C-3 autogiro, exhibited a certain tendency to fall over side-ways [1]. This issue made him to pay special attention to several aspects related to the stability of the autogiro. In this regard, in 1934, he attended a lecture at the Escuela Superior Aerotécnica (Madrid - Spain), and posed the following linear differential equation with periodic coefficients [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \Theta}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}}+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \Theta}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}+\left(m+\lambda \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) \Theta=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the azimuthal angle of the autogiro's blade, $\Theta$ is a function of $\varphi$ that measures the angle of deviation of the blade with respect to its position of dynamic equilibrium when rotating, $\lambda$ is a parameter that provides a relationship between the forward speed of the aircraft and the peripheral speed, and $m$ is the ratio of the mass of the air volume (assumed to be contained in a rectangular parallelepiped with sides equal to the radius of the rotor and the width of the blade, twice) to the mass of the blade. The periodic nature of the coefficients of that equation is clear due to the autogiro's blade movement.

Following [7], we shall refer to Equation (1) as la Cierva's equation hereafter. It is worth mentioning that Mr. la Cierva appeared interested in mathematically determine whether the expression that bears his name admits convergent solutions since it could imply positive consequences concerning the stability of the autogiro. However, that expression resisted the attempts by Spanish and British mathematicians to that date, and in fact, some articles requiring the attention of mathematicians to address that equation can be found in the press of the time (c.f., e.g., [6]).

Next, let us provide some further comments regarding the parameters $\lambda$ and $m$ that are involved in Equation (1). Firstly, notice that $\lambda$ increases as the speed does. In this way, Mr. la Cierva posed $\lambda=1$ as an appropriate limit value, thus taking into account future evolutions of the autogiro, the so-called ultrarrapid autogiro. On the other hand, Mr. la Cierva suggested the parameter $m$ to vary in the
range $[0.15,1]$, depending on the aircraft. However, for a given autogiro, that parameter remains constant except in the case of large variations concerning the air density. As such, $m=0.5$ was then considered to be an acceptable average value.

As stated in [7], Mr. la Cierva was especially interested in mathematically justifying the stability of the movement of the blades of the autogiro rather than quantitatively integrating Equation (1) for certain initial conditions. It is worth mentioning that such a stability had been fully verified in all the autogiros that had been assembled until then, and was also expected for higher speeds of values of the parameter $\lambda$. As such, the problem regarding the stability of la Cierva's autogiro could be mathematically stated in the following terms: does $\Theta$ go to zero as $\varphi$ is increased regardless of the initial conditions? Regarding the latter, the reader may think of possible gusts of wind that could affect the movement of the blasts of the aircraft.

The main goal of this paper is to unveil the unknown attempts that some Spanish mathematicians carried out in the 1930s to solve the problem of the stability of la Cierva's autogiro. As such, the structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries regarding differential equations with periodic coefficients. In this way, the concepts of characteristic exponent, characteristic number, and characteristic equation will be introduced. Section 3 describes in detail the first attempt of Prof. Orts y Aracil to analytically integrate Equation (1). Section 4 develops the calculations made by Prof. Orts y Aracil leading to sufficient conditions to guarantee that Equation (1) possesses convergent solutions. Shortly thereafter, the renowned Spanish engineer and mathematician Pedro Puig Adam (Barcelona (Spain), 1900-Madrid (Spain), 1960), Ph.D. in mathematics in 1921, published a qualitative approach regarding the stability of la Cierva's autogiro. Their calculations, which we have described in detail, have been included in Section 5 together with numerical calculations we have carried out in Mathematica. On the other hand, Section 6 contains some results that Puig-Adam obtained in regard to the reduced la Cierva's equation. Finally, Section 7 presents some additional remarks to complete the present study.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the basics on differential equations with periodic coefficients, thus paying special attention to the key concepts of characteristic exponent, characteristic number, and characteristic equation associated with a differential equation with periodic coefficients.

Firstly, it is clear that the so-called la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (1)) stands as a particular case of the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} y(x)}{\mathrm{d} x^{2}}+p_{1}(x) \frac{\mathrm{d} y(x)}{\mathrm{d} x}+p_{2}(x) y(x)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}(x)$ and $p_{2}(x)$ are continuous and $\omega$-periodic functions (with $\omega=2 \pi$ in the case of la Cierva's equation). Furthermore, if $y(x)$ is a solution of Equation (2), then $y(x+\omega)$ also is.

Let $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}(x)$ be two linearly independent solutions of Equation (2). Hence, $y_{1}(x+\omega)$ and $y_{2}(x+\omega)$ also are. Thus, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}(x+\omega)=a_{11} y_{1}(x)+a_{12} y_{2}(x) \\
& y_{2}(x+\omega)=a_{21} y_{1}(x)+a_{22} y_{2}(x) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the coefficients $a_{i j}: i, j=1,2$ in Equation (3) could be calculated just by assigning particular values to the independent variable $x$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi(x)$ be a $\omega$-periodic function. Then the logarithmic derivative of the function $\eta(x):=e^{a x} \varphi(x)$ (i.e., $\frac{\eta^{\prime}(x)}{\eta(x)}$ ) is also $\omega$-periodic, though $\eta(x)$ is not. In fact, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(x+\omega)=e^{a(x+\omega)} \varphi(x+\omega)=e^{a \omega} e^{a x} \varphi(x)=e^{a \omega} \eta(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \operatorname{dom}(\eta)$. Thus, if the variable $x$ is increased in $\omega$ units, then the image of $x+\omega$ by $\eta$ coincides with $\eta(x)$ multiplied by a factor equal to $s:=e^{a \omega}$. In this context, $a$ is named the characteristic exponent, whereas the factor $s$ is known as the characteristic number. Notice that either the characteristic number or the characteristic exponent provides information about whether $\eta(x)$ goes to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, if $|s|<1$, then $\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, which means that the oscillations of the movement of the autogiro blade would get dampened. In fact, the amplitude of the oscillations of that blade would be multiplied by a factor less than the unit each new rotation. As such, we are interested in the calculation of those characteristic numbers, $s$.

Let $\varphi(x)$ be a $\omega$-periodic solution of Equation (2). Then we can write $\eta(x)$ as a linear combination of both $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}(x)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(x)=C_{1} y_{1}(x)+C_{2} y_{2}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta(x+\omega) & =C_{1} y_{1}(x+\omega)+C_{2} y_{2}(x+\omega) \\
& =C_{1}\left(a_{11} y_{1}(x)+a_{12} y_{2}(x)\right)+C_{2}\left(a_{21} y_{1}(x)+a_{22} y_{2}(x)\right) \\
& =\left(C_{1} a_{11}+C_{2} a_{21}\right) y_{1}(x)+\left(C_{1} a_{12}+C_{2} a_{22}\right) y_{2}(x)  \tag{6}\\
& =s \eta(x)=s C_{1} y_{1}(x)+s C_{2} y_{2}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where the identity at Equation (5) has been used in the first equality, Equation (3) has been applied in the second identity, the fourth one is a consequence of $\eta(x)$ assumed to be $\omega$-periodic and Equation (4), and the last identity is due to $\eta(x)$ being a particular solution of Equation (2) (c.f. Equation (5)). By identifying coefficients between the expressions at both the third and fifth equalities of Equation (6), it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}\left(a_{11}-s\right)+C_{2} a_{21}=0  \tag{7}\\
& C_{1} a_{12}+C_{2}\left(a_{22}-s\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the so-called characteristic equation stands from the following expression:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{11}-s & a_{21}  \tag{8}\\
a_{12} & a_{22}-s
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}-\left(a_{11}+22\right) s+\left[a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21}\right]=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the polynomial in Equation (9) possesses two distinct roots, $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$. If both of them are introduced in Equation (7), then a pair of specific values for each constant $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ will be obtained, thus leading to a pair of functions, $\eta_{1}(x)$ and $\eta_{2}(x)$ (c.f. Equation (5)) satisfying the condition at Equation (4). Accordingly, each solution of Equation (2) could be written as a linear combination of the functions $\eta_{i}(x): i=1,2$. Following the above, the next result holds.

Theorem 1. If the polynomial in Equation (8) has two distinct roots being less than the unit in absolute value, then $\eta_{i}(x): i=1,2$ go to zero as $x$ goes to infinity. More generally, any solution of Equation (2) would go to zero as $x$ goes to infinity.

A consequence of Theorem 1 is that the movement of the blade of the autogiro will be in equilibrium regardless the initial conditions.

We conclude this section by providing the statement of a known result concerning harmonic combinations of periodic functions. In fact,

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. Then

$$
\alpha \sin x+\beta \cos x=A \sin (x+\gamma)
$$

where $\gamma=\arctan \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$ and $A=\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}}$.
The proof of that result becomes straightforward by using that $\sin (x+\gamma)=\sin x \cos \gamma+$ $\cos x \sin \gamma$, and identiying coefficients with those from $\alpha \sin x+\beta \cos x$. This result will be applied in forthcoming Section 6.

## 3. Towards a Particular Solution of la Cierva's Equation

In this section, we revisit in detail a first approach that Prof. José $\mathrm{M}^{a}$ Orts y Aracil (Paterna, Valencia (Spain), 1891-Barcelona (Spain), 1968) contributed in [8] to mathematically determine a particular solution to Equation (1). First, it is clear that la Cierva's equation can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \Theta}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \Theta}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}+\frac{1}{m}\left(m+\lambda \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) \Theta=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Theta=u e^{v}$, where both $u$ and $v$ are functions of $\varphi$. Then it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{\prime}=e^{v}\left(u^{\prime}+v^{\prime} u\right) \quad \Theta^{\prime \prime}=e^{v}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+2 v^{\prime} u^{\prime}+\left(v^{\prime 2}+v^{\prime \prime}\right) u\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we replace the expressions at Equation (11) in Equation (10), then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{v}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+2 v^{\prime} u^{\prime}+\left(v^{\prime 2}+v^{\prime \prime}\right) u\right) & +\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right)\left(u^{\prime}+v^{\prime} u\right) e^{v} \\
& +\frac{1}{m}\left(m+\lambda \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) u e^{v}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime} & +\left(2 v^{\prime}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right)\right) u^{\prime} \\
& +\left(v^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) v^{\prime}+1+\frac{\lambda}{m} \cos \varphi+\frac{3 \lambda^{2}}{4 m} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) u=0 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we cancel the coefficient of $u^{\prime}$ in Equation (12). In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 v^{\prime}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow v^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\lambda \cos \varphi-\frac{3}{4} \varphi\right) \text { and } v^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As such, Equation (12) can be reduced as follows:

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+p(\varphi) u=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\varphi)=v^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) v^{\prime}+1+\frac{\lambda}{m} \cos \varphi+\frac{3 \lambda^{2}}{4 m} \sin (2 \varphi) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we replace the expressions in both Equations (13) and (14) into Equation (15), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\varphi)=-\frac{1}{4 m^{2}}\left(\frac{9}{16}+\lambda^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi+\frac{3 \lambda}{2} \sin \varphi\right)+\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi+1+\frac{3 \lambda^{2}}{4 m} \sin (2 \varphi) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we replace $\sin ^{2} \varphi$ by $\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos (2 \varphi))$ in Equation (16), then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\varphi) & =1-\frac{9}{64 m^{2}}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 m^{2}}+\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 m^{2}} \cos (2 \varphi) \\
& -\frac{3 \lambda}{8 m^{2}} \sin \varphi+\frac{3 \lambda^{2}}{4 m} \sin (2 \varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

As such, Equation (12) can be expressed in the following terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}}=\left(a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \varphi+a_{2} \cos (2 \varphi)+b_{1} \sin \varphi+b_{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) u \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{0}=\frac{9+8 \lambda^{2}}{64 m^{2}}-1, \quad a_{1}=-\frac{\lambda}{2 m}, \quad a_{2}=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 m^{2}} \\
b_{1}=\frac{3}{8} \frac{\lambda}{m^{2}}, \quad b_{2}=-\frac{3}{4} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{m} . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Additionally, by writing $u=e^{\int z \mathrm{~d} \varphi}$, the expression in Equation (17) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} z}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}+z^{2}=a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \varphi+a_{2} \cos (2 \varphi)+b_{1} \sin \varphi+b_{2} \sin (2 \varphi) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to a Ricatti type equation. The next expression was suggested by Prof. Orts y Aracil as a potential solution of Equation (19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=\alpha+\beta \sin \varphi+\gamma \cos \varphi \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ are three constants that can be determined by introducing Equation (20) in the former Equation (19) and identifying coefficients in both sides of that expression. As such, we obtain that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0}=\alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right), & a_{1}=\beta+2 \alpha \gamma, \quad a_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)  \tag{21}\\
b_{1}=2 \alpha \beta-\gamma, & b_{2}=\beta \gamma
\end{array}
$$

Next, we observe that

$$
a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

so $\alpha^{2}+\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}=\alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)=a_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
a_{0} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)=\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}
$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$
\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}-a_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)=\beta^{2} \geq 0
$$

Furthermore, it holds that $a_{2}+\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)=\gamma^{2} \geq 0$. All the calculations above lead to the following values of the parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ of the particular solution at Equation (19):

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{a_{0}-\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}}, \quad \beta=\sqrt{\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}-a_{2}}, \quad \gamma=\sqrt{a_{2}+\sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}}} .
$$

Going beyond, it is possible to reduce the parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ in Equation (21), thus leading to a pair of relationships among the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ for $i=0,1,2$ and $j=1,2$. Recall that $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ can be expressed, in turn, in terms of $\lambda$ and $m$ (c.f. Equation (18)). In fact, the following expressions hold.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1327104 m^{8}+359424 m^{6}+35712 m^{4}-324 m^{2}=0 \\
\lambda^{2}=\frac{9+16 m^{2}-\left(9-48 m^{2}\right) \sqrt{1+36 m^{2}}}{8 \sqrt{1+36 m^{2}}\left(1-\sqrt{1+36 m^{2}}\right)} . \tag{22}
\end{array}
$$

If the eight order polynomial in $m$ at Equation (22) is divided by $12 m^{2}$ (under the assumption that $m \neq 0$ ), and the change of variable $t=48 m^{2}$ is considered, then the following third order polynomial stands:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{3}+13 t^{2}+62 t-27=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Bolzano's Theorem, it is clear that the polynomial in Equation (23) possesses a root, say $t_{1}$, in the subinterval [0.4007, 0.4008$]$. That root could be approximated by some numerical method, though in [8], $t_{1}$ was considered merely as the middle point of that subinterval, i.e., $t_{1}=0.40075$. Since $t_{1}=48 m_{1}^{2}$, then we have $m_{1} \simeq 0.0914$. Hence, the second expression in Equation (22) leads to $\lambda_{1} \simeq 0.7249$. With the values of both parameters $m$ and $\lambda$ estimated, the coefficients $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ of the particular solution of Equation (19) given by Equation (20) can be calculated by Equation (18). In fact, that particular solution remains as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=3.8391+4.1036 \sin \varphi+1.0511 \cos \varphi \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have $u_{1}=\exp (3.8391 \varphi+1.0511 \sin \varphi-4.1036 \cos \varphi)$, and hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{1} & =\exp (3.8391 \varphi+1.0511 \sin \varphi \\
& \left.+0.6898\left(0.0914 \cos \varphi-\frac{3}{4} \varphi\right)-4.1036 \cos \varphi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

stands as a particular solution of Equation (10), the differential equation which models the equilibrium of the blade of la Cierva's autogiro.

As Prof. Orts y Aracil commented, the approach contributed in this section threw a value of $\lambda_{1}=0.7249$ lying within the range suggested by Mr. Herrera in [6], i.e., the subinterval [ 0,1 ], though the value of $m_{1}=0.091$ appears out of its corresponding range, the subinterval $[0.15,1]$. In this regard, it was argued that the problem of the equilibrium of la Cierva's autogiro had been addressed from a mathematical (and not an Saee) viewpoint.

## 4. Sufficient Conditions on the Existence of Convergent Solutions

In this section, sufficient conditions are provided to guarantee the existence of convergent solutions for la Cierva's equation, an issue that was further addressed by Prof. Orts y Aracil in [9]. With this aim, we start by sketching an alternative approach to that one described in Section 3 with the aim to integrate the expression at Equation (10).

First of all, let us denote by $p(\varphi)$ the continuous and periodic function that appears at the right term of Equation (19), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\varphi)=a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \varphi+a_{2} \cos (2 \varphi)+b_{1} \sin \varphi+b_{2} \sin (2 \varphi) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows rewriting Equation (17) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{2}}=p(\varphi) u \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a kind of differential equations can be integrated by means of a characteristic equation of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
s^{2}-A s+1=0, \text { where }  \tag{27}\\
A=2+\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left[F_{n}(2 \pi)+f_{n}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right], \quad F_{n}(\varphi)=\int_{0}^{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \varphi \int_{0}^{\varphi} p(\varphi) F_{n-1}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \varphi,  \tag{28}\\
f_{n}(\varphi)=\int_{0}^{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \varphi \int_{0}^{\varphi} p(\varphi) f_{n-1}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \varphi, \quad F_{0}(\varphi)=1, \text { and } f_{0}(\varphi)=\varphi
\end{gather*}
$$

(c.f. ([10] [item 49, p. 402]) and ([11] [Chapter 3, Section 55])). Moreover, if $p(\varphi) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi>0$, then it holds that $F_{n}(\varphi), f_{n}(\varphi), f_{n}^{\prime}(\varphi)>0$ for all $\varphi>0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $A>2$ and the expression at Equation (27) possesses two positive roots, say $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, with one of them being greater (resp., smaller) than the unit and the other being smaller (resp., greater) than the unit.

A fundamental system of solutions for Equation (26) is provided by the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=e^{\frac{\varphi}{2 \pi} l s_{1}} \cdot \alpha(\varphi), \quad u_{2}=e^{\frac{\varphi}{2 \pi} l s_{2}} \cdot \beta(\varphi) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(\varphi)$ and $\beta(\varphi)$ are $2 \pi$-periodic continuous functions.
Hence, one of the integrals at Equation (29), say $u_{1}$, goes to zero as $\varphi \rightarrow \infty$, and so does $\Theta$. In this way, the so-called Liapounov's condition can be stated as follows (c.f. [10]).

Theorem 3 (Liapounov's condition). The second order differential equation in Equation (26) admits a convergent integral as $\varphi \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if, $p(\varphi) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi>0$.

Following the above, our next goal is to verify that sufficient condition. To deal with, let us apply the change of variable $x=\tan \left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)$ to the periodic function at Equation (25). As such, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p(\varphi) & =a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \varphi+a_{2} \frac{1-\tan ^{2} \varphi}{1+\tan ^{2} \varphi}+b_{1} \sin \varphi+b_{2} \frac{2 \tan \varphi}{1+\tan ^{2} \varphi}  \tag{30}\\
& =a_{0}+a_{1} \frac{1-x^{2}}{1+x^{2}}+a_{2} \frac{1-6 x^{2}+x^{4}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}}+b_{1} \frac{2 x}{1+x^{2}}+b_{2} \frac{4 x\left(1-x^{2}\right)}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence, we can write $p(\varphi)=\frac{1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}} q(x)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x) & =a_{0}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}+a_{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1+x^{2}\right)+a_{2}\left(1-6 x^{2}+x^{4}\right) \\
& +2 b_{1} x\left(1+x^{2}\right)+4 b_{2} x\left(1-x^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+2\left(b_{1}+2 b_{2}\right) x+2\left(a_{0}-3 a_{2}\right) x^{2}  \tag{31}\\
& +2\left(b_{1}-2 b_{2}\right) x^{3}+\left(a_{0}-a_{1}+a_{2}\right) x^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the first equality at Equation (30) has been applied that

$$
\sin (2 \varphi)=\frac{2 \tan \varphi}{1+\tan ^{2} \varphi}, \quad \cos (2 \varphi)=\frac{1-\tan ^{2} \varphi}{1+\tan ^{2} \varphi},
$$

whereas the second identity at that expression holds since that change of variable implies that

$$
\cos \varphi=\frac{1-x^{2}}{1+x^{2}}, \quad \sin \varphi=\frac{2 x}{1+x^{2}}, \quad \tan \varphi=\frac{2 \tan \left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)}{1-\tan ^{2}\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)}=\frac{2 x}{1-x^{2}}
$$

Moreover, by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=c_{4}+c_{3} x+c_{2} x^{2}+c_{1} x^{3}+c_{0} x^{4} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can identify coefficients with those ones at the right side of Equation (31). In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}=a_{0}-a_{1}+a_{2}=\frac{9}{64 m^{2}}-1+\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \\
& c_{1}=2\left(b_{1}-2 b_{2}\right)=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\lambda}{m^{2}}+3 \frac{\lambda^{2}}{m} \\
& c_{2}=2\left(a_{0}-3 a_{2}\right)=\frac{9}{32 m^{2}}-2+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{m^{2}}  \tag{33}\\
& c_{3}=2\left(b_{1}+2 b_{2}\right)=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\lambda}{m^{2}}-3 \frac{\lambda^{2}}{m} \\
& c_{4}=a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}=\frac{9}{64 m^{2}}-\frac{\lambda}{2 m}-1,
\end{align*}
$$

where Equation (18) allows writing the $c_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$ in terms of the parameters $\lambda$ and $m$.
On the other hand, a necessary condition to get $p(\varphi) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi>0$ consists of both coefficients $c_{0}$ and $c_{4}$ of the polynomial at Equation (32) being positive. In this way, Equation (33) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{4}>0 \Leftrightarrow 32 m(\lambda+2 m)<9 \Leftrightarrow X^{2}-Y^{2}<9 . \\
& c_{0}>0 \Leftrightarrow 32 m(2 m-\lambda)<9 \Leftrightarrow m<\frac{3}{8} \Leftrightarrow X-Y<3, \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X:=8 m+2 \lambda$ and $Y:=2 \lambda$. Observe that $X, Y>0$ since both parameters $m$ and $\lambda$ are positive. In fact, regarding the second equivalence at the first line of Equation (34), just observe that we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
9 & >32 m(\lambda+2 m)=64 m^{2}+32 m \lambda \\
& =8^{2} m^{2}+2 \times 16 m \lambda+(2 \lambda)^{2}-(2 \lambda)^{2} \\
& =(8 m+2 \lambda)^{2}-(2 \lambda)^{2}=X^{2}-Y^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the condition $c_{4}>0$ is equivalent to a point at the first quadrant, $(X, Y)$, located above the hyperbola $X^{2}-Y^{2}=9$.

## 5. Puig-Adam's Qualitative Approach

In this section, we revisit in detail the approach contributed by Puig-Adam in [7] to approach the solutions of la Cierva's equation from a qualitative viewpoint.

According to the contents of Section 2, we are interested in obtaining two particular solutions of la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (10)), say $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}(x)$. Let them be given by the following initial conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1}(0)=1, & y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0  \tag{35}\\
y_{2}(0)=0, & y_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1
\end{array}
$$

It is clear that $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}(x)$ would be independent since their Wronskian at 0 is distinct from zero, $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(0)=1$. Moreover, from Equation (3), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}^{\prime}(x+\omega)=a_{11} y_{1}^{\prime}(x)+a_{12} y_{2}^{\prime}(x) \\
& y_{2}^{\prime}(x+\omega)=a_{21} y_{1}^{\prime}(x)+a_{22} y_{2}^{\prime}(x) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x$. If we particularize both Equations (3) and (36) in $x=0$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1}(\omega)=a_{11}, & y_{1}^{\prime}(\omega)=a_{12} \\
y_{2}(\omega)=a_{21}, & y_{2}^{\prime}(\omega)=a_{22}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, from Equation (9), the characteristic equation holds from the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}-\left(y_{1}(\omega)+y_{2}^{\prime}(\omega)\right) s+\left[y_{1}(\omega) y_{2}^{\prime}(\omega)-y_{1}^{\prime}(\omega) y_{2}(\omega)\right]=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

As such, Equation (37) would be fully determined once $y_{i}(\omega)$ and their derivatives, $y_{i}^{\prime}(\omega)$ for $i=1,2$, have been calculated. It is also worth mentioning that the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are independent from the initial conditions that were selected, i.e., such coefficients only depend on the coefficients of the given differential equation. In particular, notice that the independent term of Equation (37), which coincides with $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(\omega)$, can be calculated in terms of $p_{1}(x)$ (recall Equation (2)), by means of the following expression (c.f., e.g., [11]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(x)=W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} p_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right] . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, observe that the former expression can be justified just by identifying the differential equation in Equation (2) with the next one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(y, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(x)=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, Equation (39) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y_{1}(x) y_{2}^{\prime}(x)-y_{1}^{\prime}(x) y_{2}(x)\right) y^{\prime \prime}(x) & +\left(y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) y_{2}(x)-y_{1}(x) y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right) y^{\prime}(x) \\
& +\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(x) y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)-y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) y_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right) y(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) y_{2}(x)-y_{1}(x) y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y_{1}(x) y_{2}^{\prime}(x)-y_{1}^{\prime}(x) y_{2}(x)} y^{\prime}(x)+\frac{y_{1}^{\prime}(x) y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)-y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) y_{2}^{\prime}(x)}{y_{1}(x) y_{2}^{\prime}(x)-y_{1}^{\prime}(x) y_{2}(x)} y(x)=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}(x)$ have been assumed to be independent solutions (and hence, $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ ). Thus, if the expressions in both Equations (2) and (40) coincide term by term, then it holds that

$$
p_{1}(x)=\frac{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) y_{2}(x)-y_{1}(x) y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y_{1}(x) y_{2}^{\prime}(x)-y_{1}^{\prime}(x) y_{2}(x)}=-\frac{W^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(x)}{W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(x)}
$$

Following the above, it holds that the independent term of Equation (37) can be obtained just by applying Equation (38) in the open interval $\left(x_{0}, x\right)=(0, \omega)$. Since $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(0)=1$, then we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(2 \pi) & =\exp \left[-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} p_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]  \tag{41}\\
& =\exp \left[-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin x\right) \mathrm{d} x\right]=e^{-\frac{3}{2 m} \pi}
\end{align*}
$$

where it has been used that $p_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin x\right)$ and $\omega=2 \pi$ in the case of la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (10)). Hence, the characteristic polynomial associated to la Cierva's equation remains as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}-\left(y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) s+e^{-\frac{3}{2 m} \pi}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly, it holds that the independent term, $e^{-\frac{3}{2 m} \pi}$, does not depend on the forward speed.
However, to fully determine the characteristic polynomial at Equation (42), it becomes necessary to know the values of both functions $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ at $x=2 \pi$. With this aim, Puig-Adam, instead of carrying out a power series expansion in regard to the periodic coefficients of the starting equation, for instance, preferred to apply a (second order) Runge-Kutta numerical approach to each particular solution, $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}(x)$, of la Cierva's equation in the closed bounded interval $[0,2 \pi]$ with parameters $m=0.5$ and $\lambda=1$, that according to Puig-Adam, had been suggested by Mr. la Cierva. In [7], it was stated that the trapezoidal method had been applied. In this paper, though, we shall apply a explicit midpoint method (also known as modified Euler method), which appears implemented in Mathematica. In any case, both of them are second-order approaches.

In this way, and similarly to [7], Figures 1 and 2 depicts our approximations to each particular solution of Mr. la Cierva's equation, $y_{1}(x)$ with initial conditions $y_{1}(0)=1, y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0$, and $y_{2}(x)$ with initial conditions $y_{2}(0)=0, y_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1$ (c.f. Equation (35)), as provided by the second-order (Runge-Kutta explicit) midpoint approach on the interval $[0,2 \pi]$, which corresponds to a turn of the blade of the autogiro.


Figure 2. Second order Runge-Kutta approximations (obtained by the explicit midpoint method) to each particular solution of la Cierva's equation according to the procedure described in Section 5, i.e., $y_{1}(\varphi)$ (blue line) and $y_{2}(\varphi)$, where $\varphi$ varies in the range $[0,2 \pi]$, which means a turn of the blade of the autogiro, and the choice of parameters was as suggested by Mr. la Cierva, i.e., $m=0.5$ and $\lambda=1$.

According to our numerical calculations, it holds that

$$
y_{1}(2 \pi)=-0.0222528, \quad y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)=0.0230689
$$

and hence, the characteristic polynomial at Equation (42) remains as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}-0.000816093 s+e^{-\frac{3}{2 m} \pi}=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

As such, it holds that the polynomial at Equation (43) possesses two complex (conjugated) roots, namely $s_{1}=0.000408046-0.00897402 i$ and $s_{2}=0.000408046+0.00897402 i$. Since $\left|s_{i}\right|=0.00898329 \ll 1$ for
$i=1,2$, it can be guaranteed that the blade movement of la Cierva's autogiro behaves quite stably for that choice of parameters.

Remark 1. It is worth mentioning that, in the original study carried out by Puig-Adam, the following values were obtained by the numerical approach carried out therein: $y_{1}(2 \pi)=-0.013$ and $y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)=0.04197$, which led to the next characteristic equation:

$$
s^{2}-0.02897 s+e^{-\frac{3}{2 m} \pi}=0
$$

whose real roots are $t_{1}=0.00312209$ and $t_{2}=0.0258479$. Such results mainly differ from ours in the nature of the roots of the characteristic polynomial. That issue was mainly caused by the approximation errors made due to the limitations of the calculation systems available in the 1930s. It is also true that we have approximated the coefficients $y_{1}(2 \pi)$ and $y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)$ by the midpoint method instead of the trapezoidal approach used by Puig-Adam. However, both are second-order approaches, so they should lead to close results.

Recall also that $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(2 \pi)=e^{-3 \pi} \simeq 8.0699518 \times 10^{-5}$ (c.f. Equation (41)). Alternatively, if we calculate an approximation to that Wronskian by means of the expression appeared at Equation (37) and the values of the coefficients provided by the numerical approach used by Puig-Adam, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(2 \pi) & =y_{1}(2 \pi) y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)-y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(2 \pi) \\
& \simeq-0.013 \times 0.04197+0.00398 \times 0.18509  \tag{44}\\
& \simeq 1.910482 \times 10^{-4}=W_{\mathrm{PA}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(2 \pi)
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{\mathrm{PA}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(2 \pi)$ denotes the Puig-Adam's numerical approximation to that quantity. As such, the absolute error obtained when comparing the theoretical value of that Wronskian with respect to $W_{\mathrm{PA}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(2 \pi)$, (c.f. Equation (44)) was found to be approximately equal to $1.10349 \times 10^{-4}$, quite close to zero. Going beyond, our midpoint-based approach, which approximated $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(2 \pi)$ by the quantity $8.0699523 \times 10^{-5}$, threw an absolute error approximately equal to $5.33809 \times 10^{-12}$.

Furthermore, it is possible to provide a qualitative viewpoint in regard to the stability of the oscillations of the blade of the autogiro in its upcoming turns. In fact, let $\omega=2 \pi$ and consider Equation (35). Applying such initial conditions to both Equations (3) and (36), it holds that the former turns into the following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}(x+2 \pi)=y_{1}(2 \pi) y_{1}(x)+y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(x)  \tag{45}\\
& y_{2}(x+2 \pi)=y_{2}(2 \pi) y_{1}(x)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

By recursively applying Equation (45), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}(x+4 \pi) & =y_{1}(2 \pi) y_{1}(x+2 \pi)+y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(x+2 \pi) \\
& =\left(y_{1}^{2}(2 \pi)+y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(2 \pi)\right) y_{1}(x) \\
& +y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\left(y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{2}(x)  \tag{46}\\
y_{2}(x+4 \pi) & =y_{2}(2 \pi) y_{1}(x+2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(x+2 \pi) \\
& =y_{2}(2 \pi)\left(y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{1}(x) \\
& +\left(y_{2}^{\prime 2}(2 \pi)+y_{2}(2 \pi) y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{2}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

which corresponds to the second turn of the blade. Figure 3 depicts (numerical approximations of) both solutions after two turns of the autogiro's blade. Also, regarding the third turn of the blade, the following expression holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}(x+6 \pi)=\alpha_{1} y_{1}(x)+\alpha_{2} y_{2}(x) \\
& y_{2}(x+6 \pi)=\beta_{1} y_{1}(x)+\beta_{2} y_{2}(x) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=\left(y_{1}^{2}(2 \pi)+y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(2 \pi)\right) y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\left(y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{2}(2 \pi) \\
& \alpha_{2}=\left(y_{1}^{2}(2 \pi)+y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi) y_{2}(2 \pi)\right) y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)+y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\left(y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi) \\
& \beta_{1}=y_{2}(2 \pi)\left(y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{1}(2 \pi)+\left(y_{2}^{\prime 2}(2 \pi)+y_{2}(2 \pi) y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{2}(2 \pi)  \tag{48}\\
& \beta_{2}=y_{2}(2 \pi)\left(y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)+\left(y_{2}^{\prime 2}(2 \pi)+y_{2}(2 \pi) y_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right) y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)
\end{align*}
$$

As with Figure 3, (numerical approximations) of the particular solutions of la Cierva's equation (for parameters $m=0.5$ and $\lambda=1$ ) after three turns of the autogiro's blade are illustrated at Figure 4 . It can be seen that for angles beyond $\frac{5 \pi}{2}$, the graph of the first particular solution of la Cierva's equation at the second turn of the blade becomes indistinguishable from the $x$-axis, as it is the case of the plot of $y_{2}(x)$ as of the third turn of the blade.

Notice that, as Puig-Adam pointed out, the initial conditions $y_{1}(0)=1, y_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1$ (c.f. Equation (35)) are quite extreme. Nevertheless, for $k$ small enough, particular solutions of the form $k y_{1}$ and $k y_{2}$, which exhibit smaller oscillations than those from $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$, and whose graphs can be depicted by a $y$-axis rescaling of those appeared in Figure 2, are possible.


Figure 3. (Numerical approximations to the) particular solutions of la Cierva's equation after two turns of the autogiro's blade (c.f. Equation (46)). In this occassion, the blue lines have been used to distinguish the curves of both particular solutions in regard to the first turn to their prolongations to the second turn of the blade. In addition, notice that the dotted line corresponds to $y_{2}(\varphi)$.


Figure 4. (Numerical approximations to the) particular solutions of la Cierva's equation after the first three turns of the autogiro's blade (c.f. Equations (47) and (48)). The blue lines represent the curves of both particular solutions at the first turn, the orange lines correspond to their prolongations to the second turn of the blade, and the green lines depict the extensions of such solutions to the third turn. As with Figure 3, the dotted line corresponds to $y_{2}(\varphi)$.

## 6. La Cierva's Reduced Equation

The aim of this section is to calculate a pair of particular solutions to la Cierva's equation by means of the so-called reduced la Cierva's equation. Furthermore, a comparison of such solutions with those solutions obtained in Section 5 is carried out.

First, recall that in Section 5, it was provided a numerical criterion to determine whether the solutions of la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (1)) behave stably for a choice of parameters $(\lambda, m)$. Specifically, let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be the particular solutions of that equation (as provided by a Runge-Kutta method, in this case) in the interval $[0,2 \pi]$, and calculate $y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)$. If that quantity stands $<1$ in absolute value, then the behavior of the oscillations of the autogiro's blade is stable for such parameters.

Firstly, we recall the original expression of la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (1)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \Theta^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) \Theta^{\prime}+\left(m+\lambda \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) \Theta=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the azimuthal angle of the autogiro's blade and $\Theta$ is a function of $\varphi$ that measures the angle of deviation of the blade with respect to its position of dynamic equilibrium when rotating.

Let $\Theta=u v$. Then it is clear that $\Theta^{\prime}=u^{\prime} v+u v^{\prime}$ and $\Theta^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime} v+2 u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+u v^{\prime \prime}$. If we apply that change of variable to Equation (49), then that expression turns into the next one:

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(u^{\prime \prime} v+2 u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+u v^{\prime \prime}\right) & +\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right)\left(u^{\prime} v+u v^{\prime}\right)  \tag{50}\\
& +\left(m+\lambda \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) u v=0
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
m v u^{\prime \prime} & +\left(2 m v^{\prime}+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) v\right) u^{\prime} \\
& +\left(m v^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) v^{\prime}+\left(m+\lambda \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) v\right) u  \tag{51}\\
& =0
\end{align*}
$$

The next goal is to cancel the coefficient of $u^{\prime}$ in Equation (51). In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m v^{\prime}+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) v=0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{v^{\prime}}{v}=-\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration of the expression in Equation (52) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\exp \left[\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi-\frac{3}{8 m} \varphi\right] . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

As such, Equation (50) has been reduced to the next one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m u^{\prime \prime}+\left(m \frac{v^{\prime \prime}}{v}+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right) \frac{v^{\prime}}{v}+m+\lambda \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)\right) u=0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{v}\right)=\frac{v^{\prime \prime}}{v}-\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{v}\right)^{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{v^{\prime \prime}}{v} & =\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{v}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{v}\right) \\
& =\left[-\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right)\right]^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Equation (54) can be rewritten as $u^{\prime \prime}=-q(\varphi) u$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(\varphi) & =1+\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4 m} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)-\frac{1}{4 m^{2}}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\lambda \sin \varphi\right)^{2} \\
& =1+\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi+\frac{3}{4 m} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)-\frac{9}{64} m^{2}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 m^{2}} \sin ^{2} \varphi-\frac{3 \lambda}{8 m^{2}} \sin \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Firstly, notice that we can write

$$
\frac{3 \lambda}{8 m^{2}} \sin \varphi-\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi=A \sin \left(\varphi+\varphi_{1}\right)
$$

where $A=\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{3}{4 m}\right)^{2}}$ and $\varphi_{1}=\arctan \left(-\frac{4}{3} m\right)$. In fact, just apply Theorem 2 for $\alpha=\frac{3 \lambda}{8 m^{2}}>0$ and $\beta=-\frac{\lambda}{2 m}$.

On the other hand, we also affirm that

$$
\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 m^{2}} \sin ^{2} \varphi-\frac{3}{4 m} \lambda^{2} \sin (2 \varphi)=B \sin \left(2 \varphi+\varphi_{2}\right)
$$

where $B=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 m} \sqrt{9+\frac{1}{4 m^{2}}}$ and $\varphi_{2}=\arctan \left(\frac{1}{6 m}\right)$. In this case, it has been used that $\sin ^{2} \varphi=$ $\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos (2 \varphi))$, and applied Theorem 2 again for $\alpha=-\frac{3}{4 m} \lambda^{2}$ and $\beta=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 m^{2}}$. Following the above,
it holds that Equation (54) is equivalent to the next one, that we shall name as la Cierva's reduced equation, hereafter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=\left(a+b \sin \left(\varphi+\varphi_{1}\right)+c \sin \left(2 \varphi+\varphi_{2}\right)\right) u \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a & =\frac{9}{64 m^{2}}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 m^{2}}-1, \quad b \tag{57}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{3}{4 m}\right)^{2}}, \quad c=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 m} \sqrt{9+\frac{1}{4 m^{2}}}
$$

Going beyond, it is possible to turn la Cierva's reduced equation into a Riccati type one. In fact, similarly to Equation (55), we have that

$$
\frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{u}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}\right)+\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}\right)^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}+\eta^{2}
$$

where the second equality has been denoted $\eta:=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$. Hence, Equation (56) can be even rewritten in terms of a first order Ricatti type equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime}=a+b \sin \left(\varphi+\varphi_{1}\right)+c \sin \left(2 \varphi+\varphi_{2}\right)-\eta^{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a, b, c$ appear in Equation (57). In this regard, in [7], Puig-Adam realized that a particular solution to la Cierva's equation had been obtained previously by Prof. Aracil in [8]. Despite the form of that particular solution was similar to the one provided in Equation (58) (c.f. Equation (24)), it is worth pointing out that it was obtained for the choice of parameters $\lambda=0.7249$ and $m=0.0914 \notin[0.15,1]$, the range proposed by Mr. la Cierva.

As with the numerical analysis carried out in Section 5 regarding la Cierva's equation, next we shall apply the midpoint method approach to a pair of (independent) particular solutions of the reduced la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (56)), namely $u_{1}(\varphi)$ and $u_{2}(\varphi)$, with initial conditions $u_{1}(0)=1, u_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0$, and $u_{2}(0)=0, u_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1$. Also, the same parameters as in Section 5 will be used, i.e., $\lambda=1$ and $m=0.5$, and both solutions will be numerically approximated in the subinterval $[0,2 \pi]$ (a turn of the autogiro's blade). In this case, the values of the coefficients and angles in Equation (57) are as follows: $a \simeq 0.0625, b \simeq 80278, c \simeq-1.58114, \varphi_{1} \simeq-0.588003$, and $\varphi_{2} \simeq 0.321751$. Figure 5 depicts both particular solutions.

Our next goal is to compare the particular solutions (obtained by the midpoint method) of la Cierva's equation (c.f. Figure 2) to the ones of the reduced la Cierva's one. Since $\left\{u_{1}(\varphi), u_{2}(\varphi)\right\}$ is a fundamental system of solutions of the reduced la Cierva's equation, then $\left\{u_{1}(\varphi) v(\varphi), u_{2}(\varphi) v(\varphi)\right\}$ is a fundamental system of solutions of la Cierva's equation, where $v(\varphi)=\exp \left(\frac{\lambda}{2 m} \cos \varphi-\frac{3}{8 m} \varphi\right)$ (c.f. Equation (53)). Hence, each solution of la Cierva's equation, $y(\varphi)$, can be expressed in the following terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
y(\varphi) & =v(\varphi)\left(C u_{1}(\varphi)+D u_{2}(\varphi)\right) \\
& =e^{\cos \varphi-\frac{3}{4} \varphi}\left(C u_{1}(\varphi)+D u_{2}(\varphi)\right): C, D \in \mathbb{R} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last identity has been used that $\lambda=1$ and $m=0.5$. Also, it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(\varphi)=e^{\cos \varphi-\frac{3}{4} \varphi}\left[C u_{1}^{\prime}+D u_{2}^{\prime}-\left(\frac{3}{4}+\sin \varphi\right)\left(C u_{1}+D u_{2}\right)\right] \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y_{1}(0)=1$, then Equation (59) leads to $C=\frac{1}{e}$. Moreover, the condition $y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0$ applied to Equation (60) implies that $D=\frac{3}{4 e}$. As such, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(\varphi)=e^{\cos \varphi-\frac{3}{4} \varphi-1}\left(u_{1}(\varphi)+\frac{3}{4} u_{2}(\varphi)\right) . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the initial condition $y_{2}(0)=0$ applied to Equation (59) gives $C=0$. Furthermore, $y_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1$ implies that $D=\frac{1}{e}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(\varphi)=e^{\cos \varphi-\frac{3}{4} \varphi-1} u_{2}(\varphi) . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upcoming Figure 6 displays a graphical comparison involving the particular solutions of la Cierva's equation from both Sections 5 and 6. Specifically, the first particular solutions of that equation are depicted in blue (the dotted line corresponds to the expression in Equation (61)), and the second particular solutions appear in orange (the dashed line corresponds to the expression in Equation (62)). Observe that all the curves behave similarly, especially for angles $\varphi \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$.


Figure 5. Second order Runge-Kutta approximations (obtained by the explicit midpoint method) to each particular solution from the reduced la Cierva's equation, where the first particular solution, $y_{1}(\varphi)$ (c.f. Equation (61)), is depicted by a blue line, $\varphi$ varies in the range $[0,2 \pi]$, and the choice of parameters was as suggested by Mr. la Cierva, i.e., $m=0.5$ and $\lambda=1$.


Figure 6. Second order Runge-Kutta approximations (obtained by the explicit midpoint method) to those pairs of particular solutions of la Cierva's equation that were obtained in Sections 5 and 6, respectively. The blue dotted line depicts the first particular solution of that equation as it appears in Equation (61), whereas the orange dashed line illustrates the second particular solution of la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (62)). On the other hand, the continuous curves correspond to the particular solutions of la Cierva's equation as they were obtained in Section 5. As with Figure 5, $\varphi$ varies in the range $[0,2 \pi]$, which means a turn of the blade of the autogiro, and the choice of parameters has been as suggested by Mr. la Cierva, i.e., $m=0.5$ and $\lambda=1$. Notice that the $y$-axis has been labeled as $\Theta(\varphi)$ to denote an approximation to each particular solution of la Cierva's equation for $\varphi \in[0,2 \pi]$.

## 7. Final Remarks

Next, we provide some additional remarks allowing us to complete our study on the stability of la Cierva's autogiro.

1. We recall that the conditions provided in Section 4 to guarantee the existence of convergent solutions for la Cierva's equation are sufficient but not necessary. In fact, let us consider the reduced la Cierva's equation (c.f. Equation (56)), and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\varphi)=a+b \sin \left(\varphi+\varphi_{1}\right)+c \sin \left(2 \varphi+\varphi_{2}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a, b$, and $c$ are given as in Equation (57). Then for $\lambda=1$ and $m=0.5$, i.e., the choice of parameters used in both Sections 5 and 6, it holds that the function $q(\varphi)$ is not positive in the whole interval $[0,2 \pi]$ (c.f., e.g., Figure 7). As such, the Liapounov's condition (c.f. Theorem 3) cannot guarantee the existence of convergent solutions in regard to the reduced la Cierva's equation for that choice of parameters. However, as proved in Section 5, la Cierva's equation behaves stably for such parameters.


Figure 7. Graph of the function $q(\varphi)$ (as defined in Equation (63)) in the interval $[0,2 \pi]$.
2. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+p_{2}(x) y(x)=0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a second order differential equation with $p_{1}(x)=0$, as it is the case of the la Cierva's reduced equation (c.f. Equation (56)). Then its associated characteristic equation can be expressed in the following terms (c.f. Equation (27)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}-A s+1=0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the roots of Equation (65) are of the form

$$
s_{1}=e^{2 \pi \alpha} \quad s_{2}=e^{-2 \pi \alpha}
$$

Hence, it is clear that $A=s_{1}+s_{2}=2 \cosh (2 \pi \alpha)$, which leads to

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{arcosh}\left(\frac{A}{2}\right) .
$$

Let $\Theta=u v$, where $u=e^{ \pm \alpha x}$ and $v$ being as in Equation (53). Then the aperiodic part of $\Theta$ is given by the next expression:

$$
\exp \left[\left(-\frac{3}{8 m} \pm \alpha\right) x\right]
$$

Since $\alpha>0$, then it is clear that

$$
-\frac{3}{8 m}-\alpha<\alpha-\frac{3}{8 m}
$$

As such, $\alpha-\frac{3}{8 m}<0$ implies $-\frac{3}{8 m}-\alpha<0$. Observe that the stability condition consists of $\alpha-\frac{3}{8 m}<0$, which is satisfied whether $A<2 \cosh \left(\frac{3 \pi}{4 m}\right)$. On the other hand, the condition $A<2$ is fulfilled provided that the characteristic exponent $\alpha \in i \mathbb{R}$. In that case, the aperiodic part of $\Theta$ is of the form $\exp \left(-\frac{3 x}{8 m}\right)$, which goes to 0 as $x \rightarrow+\infty$.
Notice that $A$ could be approximated by the quantity $u_{1}(2 \pi)+u_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)$ through the midpoint approach, for instance, as carried out in both Sections 5 and 6.
3. In Section 4, it was provided a method, first proposed by Liapounov in [10], which allows calculating the coefficient $A$ that appears in characteristic equations of the form Equation (65) that are associated to the next kind of differential equations (c.f. Equation (64)):

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} y(x)}{\mathrm{d} x^{2}}=\varepsilon p(x) y(x)
$$

In fact, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A=1+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left[F_{n}(\omega)+f_{n}^{\prime} \omega\right)\right] \varepsilon^{n} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, and $F_{n}(\omega)$ and $f_{n}(\omega)$ being as in Equation (28). On the other hand, in [11], Goursat applied that method for $\varepsilon=1$, thus leading to the expressions contained in Equation (28). However, even under the assumption that the series in Equation (66) is convergent, it holds that such a convergence would be quite slow, especially as the period $\omega$ increases. As a consequence, that particular expression becomes quite limited to deal with practical applications regarding the calculation of the coefficient $A$.
4. The reader may think, at least at a first glance, that the form of the reduced la Cierva's equation is similar to the one of the generalized Hill's type equation, whose origins go back to the study of the movement of the Moon under the influence of the gravitational field of the system Earth-Sun. That equation admits the following expression:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} y(x)}{\mathrm{d} x^{2}}+[\lambda+\gamma \Phi(x)] y(x)=0: \lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}
$$

However, notice that the parameters at the reduced la Cierva's equation, $\lambda$ and $m$, do not appear linearly in Equation (56) (c.f. Equation (57)). As such, the reduced la Cierva's equation cannot be understood as a particular case of the generalized Hill's equation.
5. The stability of la Cierva's autogiro has been proved for the choice of parameters $\lambda=1$ and $m=0.5$ (c.f. Sections 5 and 6). Going beyond, observe that the roots (i.e., the characteristic numbers) of the characteristic equation (c.f. Equation (42)) are continuous functions of their coefficients, which, in turn, are continuous functions of both parameters, $\lambda$ and $m$. Hence, the stability of la Cierva's equation will be preserved in a neighborhood of such parameters due to ([10] (Theorem, pp. 400)). Moreover, that neighborhood is expected to be wide enough since it is evident that la Cierva's equation behaves stably for those parameters. It is also worth pointing out that if the movement of la Cierva's autogiro is stable for a given speed, then it will be also stable for lower speeds. In other words, the stability will be preserved by decreasing the value of $\lambda$. This is a reason for which $\lambda=1$ was selected to explore the stability of la Cierva's equation in the previous sections. In fact, observe that for $\lambda=0$, the oscillations are dampened quickly.
6. In [7], Puig-Adam posed to analyze the area of the plane $\lambda-m$ where la Cierva's equation becomes stable. To deal with, we considered the rectangle of the Euclidean plane, $R=[0,1] \times[0.15,1]$, by taking into account the intervals proposed by Mr. la Cierva for each parameter. A partition consisting of 50 points was considered for each subinterval, thus leading to a 2500 - point mesh contained in $R$. As such, for each $(\lambda, m) \in R$, a la Cierva's type equation (c.f. Equation (49)) holds, which was numerically solved as in Section 5 by means of the midpoint approach. Next step was to apply the Puig-Adam criterion to determine whether that equation is stable. Recall that such a condition consists of calculating $\left|y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right|$, where $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ denote the particular solutions of the corresponding la Cierva's equation for a choice of parameters. If $k_{\lambda, m}:=\left|y_{1}(2 \pi)+y_{2}^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right|<1$, then the la Cierva's equation is stable for those parameters. All the above allowed us to construct a 3D-surface, $S=\left\{\left(\lambda, m, k_{\lambda, m}\right):(\lambda, m) \in R\right\}$, we shall refer to as la Cierva's surface. Figure 8 depicts la Cierva's surface, whereas Figure 9 displays the contours of la Cierva's surface. Such figures reveal an overall stable behavior of
almost all la Cierva's surface. On the other hand, Figures 10 and 11 depict a neighborhood of Puig-Adam's choice of parameters where la Cierva's surface behaves stably, as stated in remark (5).


Figure 8. La Cierva's surface, $S=\left\{\left(\lambda, m, k_{\lambda, m}\right):(\lambda, m) \in R\right\}$, where $R=[0,1] \times[0.15,1]$ (above). The plane $\{(\lambda, m, 1):(\lambda, m) \in R\}$ has been graphically displayed as a benchmark regarding the limit of the stability zone for la Cierva's surface (below).


Figure 9. Contours of la Cierva's surface. Observe that the Puig-Adam's choice of parameters, $\lambda=1, m=0.5$ is indeed surrounded by a region of points with low $k_{\lambda, m}$ numbers. Notice that almost all the whole surface behaves stably.


Figure 10. A neighborhood of the Puig-Adam's choice of parameters where la Cierva's surface is stable.


Figure 11. Contours of a neighborhood of the Puig-Adam's choice of parameters where la Cierva's surface behaves stably.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider fast and high-order algorithms for calculation of highly oscillatory and nearly singular integrals. Based on operators with regard to Chebyshev polynomials, we propose a class of spectral efficient Levin quadrature for oscillatory integrals over rectangle domains, and give detailed convergence analysis. Furthermore, with the help of adaptive mesh refinement, we are able to develop an efficient algorithm to compute highly oscillatory and nearly singular integrals. In contrast to existing methods, approximations derived from the new approach do not suffer from high oscillatory and singularity. Finally, several numerical experiments are included to illustrate the performance of given quadrature rules.
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## 1. Introduction

Highly oscillatory integrals frequently arise in acoustic scattering [1], computational physical optics [2], computational electromagnetics [3], and related fields. Generally, dramatically changing integrands make classical approximations perform poor. Therefore, studies on numerical calculation of highly oscillatory integrals have attracted much attention during the past few decades, and a variety of contributions has been made, for example, Filon-type quadrature [4,5], numerical steepest descent method [6], Levin method [7], and so on.

When the phase is nonlinear, researchers usually resort to Levin-type quadrature, which originates from David Levin's pioneering work in [7]. By transforming the oscillatory integration problem into a special ordinary differential equation, one could get an efficient approximation to the generalized Fourier transform with the help of collocation methods. Afterwards, Levin analyzed the convergence rate of the innovative approach in [8]. Analogous to Filon-type quadrature, the Levin-type method based on Hermite interpolation was developed by Olver in [9]. Application of Hermite interpolation definitely increased the convergence rate of the numerical method with respect to the frequency. In [10], Li et al. proposed a stable and high-order Levin quadrature rule by employing the spectral Chebyshev collocation method and truncated singular value decomposition technique. Multiquadric radial basis functions were applied to Levin's equation and an innovative composite Levin method was presented in [11]. Numerical tests manifested that such kind of algorithms was able to deal with stationary problems. Sparse solvers for Levin's equation in one-dimension were constructed by employing recurrence of Chebyshev polynomials in [12,13]. Meanwhile, a class of preconditioners was proposed by the second author to deal with the ill-conditioned linear system in [13]. Molabahrami studied the Galerkin method for Levin's equation and developed the Galerkin-Levin method for oscillatory integrals in [14].

Levin-type quadrature rules were extended to solving singular problems in the past several years. In [15], Wang and Xiang employed the technique of singularity separation and transformed Levin's equation into coupled non-singular ordinary differential equations. By solving the transformed equations numerically, they obtained an efficient Levin quadrature rule for weakly singular integrals with highly oscillatory Fourier kernels. Recently, the second author proposed the fractional Jacobi-Galerkin-Levin quadrature by investigating fractional Jacobi approximations in [16]. Through properly choosing weighted Jacobi polynomials, the discretized Levin's equation was turned into a sparse linear system. It had been verified that the convergence rate of this kind of Levin quadrature rules could be analyzed by studying coefficients of the fractional Jacobi expansion of the error function. In [17], a multi-resolution quadrature rule was applied to deal with the singularity, and the modified Levin quadrature rule coupled with the multi-quadric radial basis function was developed to calculate oscillatory integrals with Bessel and Airy kernels.

Levin's quadrature rule also plays an important role in solving multi-dimensional problems. By introducing a multivariate ordinary differential equation, Levin found a non-oscillatory approximation to the integrand in [7], which led to an efficient algorithm for computing oscillatory integrals over rectangular regions. In [18], Li et al. devised a class of spectral Levin methods for multi-dimensional integrals by utilizing the Chebyshev differential matrix and delaminating quadrature rule. An innovative procedure for multivariate highly oscillatory integrals was devised by employing multi-resolution analysis in [19]. Meanwhile, the meshless approximation was obtained by truncated singular value decomposition. In [20], the second author studied a fast algorithm for Hermite differential matrix by the barycentric formula. With the help of delaminating quadrature, the spectral Levin-type method for calculation of highly oscillatory integrals over rectangular regions was constructed.

Although researchers have made much contribution to numerical calculation of highly oscillatory integrals, little attention has been paid to the computation of nearly singular and highly oscillatory integrals, for example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{F(x, y)}{(x-a)^{2}+(x-b)^{2}+\epsilon^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega\left(G_{1}(x)+G_{2}(y)\right)} d x d y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we are concerned with efficient computation of Integral (1), and partly fill in the gap in this field. Moreover, we suppose that $F(x, y)$ is analytic with respect to both variables, $G_{1}(x)$ and $G_{2}(y)$ are sufficiently smooth functions without stationary points, and the frequency parameter $\omega \gg 1$, $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and $|\epsilon| \ll 1$.

A large frequency parameter $\omega$ implies that integrands of Integral (1) are highly oscillatory, and classical quadrature rules suffer from the computational cost. In Table 1, we list numerical results computed by the classical delaminating quadrature rule coupled with Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature (CCQ), where the quadrature nodes are fixed 16. Referenced values are computed by CHEBFUN toolbox (see [21]). CHEBFUN, which approximate functions by Chebyshev interplant, was firstly developed in 2004. Due to the fast and high-order approximation to the integrand, numerical integration methods in CHEBFUN usually provide efficient numerical approaches for univariate and multivariate integrals. Hence, the 2D quadrature method in CHEBFUN is chosen as a benchmark. It can be seen from Table 1 that, as $\omega$ goes to infinity, CCQ diverges from the referenced values when we do not add quadrature nodes.

Table 1. Numerical results of classical delaminating quadrature rules for highly oscillatory multivariate integrals $\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \cos (x+y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega(x+y)} d x d y$.

|  | CCQ | Referenced Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\omega=10$ | 0.020725079138303 | 0.020722222756906 |
| $\omega=40$ | 0.325821665438573 | 0.001251371233532 |
| $\omega=160$ | 0.084546798935177 | 0.000107898607873 |
| $\omega=640$ | 0.098831710673239 | 0.000004494735846 |
| $\omega=2560$ | 0.213379710792315 | 0.000000211475406 |

In contrast to oscillatory integrals arising in existing studies, when the point $(a, b)$ in Integral (1) is close to or falls in the integration domain and $\epsilon$ is particularly small, the integrand attains its peak value around $(a, b)$ and decays dramatically away from such a critical point. In general, the point $(a, b)$ is called the nearly singular point. Plenty of additional quadrature nodes have to be used if we want to make the numerical formula retain a tolerance error.

There also exist several contributions to tackle the nearly singular problem. The sinh transformation is deemed one of the most important tools. For nearly singular moments arising in Laplace's equation, Johnston et al. proposed the sinh transformation in [22]. Occorsio and Serafini considered two kinds of cubature rules for nearly singular and highly oscillatory integrals in [23]. With the help of 2D-dilation technique, Occorsio and Serafini were able to relax the fast changing integrand and applied Gauss-Jacobi quadrature to the transformed integral. Numerical experiments verified that such an approximation procedure greatly increased the numerical performance of Gauss quadrature.

The remaining parts are organized as follows. In the second section, we review some results with regard to the calculation of Chebyshev series and present the convergence property of Chebyshev interplant and series. In Section 3, we first extend the idea in [13] to two-dimensional oscillatory integrals. Compared with existing Levin quadrature, the new approach has an advantage in computational time. Then, noting that there is little convergence analysis of 2D Levin quadrature rules, we try to fill the gap through examining the modified Levin equation. Finally, we present an innovative composite Levin quadrature rule for solving nearly singular and highly oscillatory problems. In contrast to existing numerical integration methods, the proposed composite method does not suffer from high oscillation and nearly singular amplitudes. Numerical tests included in Section 4 are conducted to verify the efficiency of the proposed approach, and some remarks are concluded in Section 5.

## 2. Auxillary Tools

In this section, we first revisit auxillary operators with regard to Chebyshev series, which help develop numerical algorithms for computation of two-dimensional oscillatory integrals. Then, error bounds for coefficients of Chebyshev series and Clenshaw-Curtis interplant are introduced.

When the given function $f(x)$ is analytic in a sufficiently large domain containing $[-1,1]$, one can compute its Chebyshev series by (see [24])

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} T_{n}(x)
$$

with

$$
f_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(s)}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}} d s, f_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(s) T_{n}(s)}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}} d s, n=1,2, \cdots
$$

Here, $T_{n}(x)$ denotes the first-kind Chebyshev polynomial of order $n$. Noting the relation between the first- and second-kind Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x), U_{n}(x)$ (see [24])

$$
\frac{d}{d x} T_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}n U_{n-1}(x), & n \geq 1  \tag{2}\\ 0, & n=0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
T_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}U_{0}(x), & n=0  \tag{3}\\ \frac{1}{2} U_{1}(x), & n=1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(U_{n}(x)-U_{n-2}(x)\right), & n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

we are able to compute

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}^{\prime} T_{n}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty},\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2 n+4 k+2) f_{n+2 k+1}\right) T_{n}(x),
$$

which implies Chebyshev coefficients of the derivative can be represented by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}^{\prime}  \tag{4}\\
f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2}^{\prime} \\
f_{3}^{\prime} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 5 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 8 & 0 & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 10 & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{D}\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Secondly, suppose that there exists a sufficiently smooth function

$$
a(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{n}(x)
$$

Noting the identity (see [24])

$$
T_{m}(x) T_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{m+n}(x)+T_{|m-n|}(x)\right),
$$

we can compute the product $a(x) f(x)$ by

$$
a(x) f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} T_{n}(x)
$$

where $\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots\right]^{T}$, and coefficients $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{0} \\
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & \cdots \\
a_{1} & 2 a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \ddots \\
a_{2} & a_{1} & 2 a_{0} & a_{1} & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & \ddots \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{M}[\mathbf{a}]\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Operators $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}[\mathbf{a}]$ have been verified to be efficient tools for discretizing Levin's equation. For more details, one can refer to [13].

On the other hand, when $f(x)$ is analytic with $|f(x)| \leq M$ in the region bounded by the Bernstein ellipse with the radius $\rho>1$, we have for every $n \neq 0$ (see [25])

$$
\left|f_{n}\right| \leq 2 M \rho^{-n}
$$

Noting that

$$
f_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2 n+4 k+2) f_{n+2 k+1}
$$

we can compute

$$
\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2 n+4 k+2)\left|f_{n+2 k+1}\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2 n+4 k+2) 2 M \rho^{-n-2 k-1} \leq 4 M \rho^{-n-1}\left((n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^{-2 k}+2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \rho^{-2 k}\right) .
$$

Employing

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^{-2 k}=\frac{\rho^{2}}{\rho^{2}-1}, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \rho^{-2 k}=\frac{\rho^{2}}{\left(\rho^{2}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

leads to

$$
\left|f_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq 4 M \rho^{-n-1}\left((n+1) \frac{\rho^{2}}{\rho^{2}-1}+2 \frac{\rho^{2}}{\left(\rho^{2}-1\right)^{2}}\right) \leq 4 M \rho^{-n-1} \frac{\rho(\rho-1)(n+1)+2}{(\rho-1)^{2}} \leq 4 M(n+1) \frac{\rho^{-n+1}}{(\rho-1)^{2}} .
$$

Furthermore, according to [25, Theorems 2.1, 2.4], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-p_{N}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 4 M \frac{\rho^{-N}}{\rho-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}-p_{N}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 4 M(N+1)^{2} \frac{\rho^{-N+2}}{(\rho-1)^{3}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $p_{N}(x)$ denotes the interplant of $f(x)$ at Clenshaw-Curtis nodes or the truncated Chebyshev series of $f(x)$.

## 3. Main Results

This section is devoted to investigating fast algorithms for calculation of Integral (1). To begin with, let us consider the computation of oscillatory integral without nearly singular integrands, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} F(x, y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega\left(G_{1}(x)+G_{2}(y)\right)} d x d y . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $F(x, y), G_{1}(x), G_{2}(y)$ are smooth functions with sufficiently large analytic regions, and $G_{1}(x), G_{2}(y)$ do not have stationary points in the complex plane.

Consider the inner integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(y)=\int_{-1}^{1} F(x, y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega \mathrm{G}_{1}(x)} d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed $y_{j}=\cos \frac{j}{N} \pi, j=0,1, \cdots, N$, we are restricted to finding a function $P_{j}(x)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}^{\prime}(x)+\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}^{\prime}(x) P_{j}(x)=F\left(x, y_{j}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $G_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ never vanishes over the interval $[-1,1]$, we can get the modified Levin equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{j}^{\prime}(x)}{G_{1}^{\prime}(x)}+\mathrm{i} \omega P_{j}(x)=\frac{F\left(x, y_{j}\right)}{G_{1}^{\prime}(x)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
P_{j}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}^{j} T_{n}(x), 1 / G_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n}^{1} T_{n}(x), F\left(x, y_{j}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}^{j} T_{n}(x)
$$

With the help of operators $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}[\mathbf{a}]$, we rewrite modified Levin's Equation (11) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathcal{D} \mathbf{P}_{j}+\mathrm{i} \omega \mathbf{P}_{j}=\mathcal{M}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathbf{F}_{j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
x_{j}=\cos \frac{j}{N} \pi, \mathbf{P}_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{0}^{j} \\
p_{1}^{j} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{G}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{0}^{1} \\
g_{1}^{1} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{F}_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}^{j} \\
f_{1}^{j} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solving Equation (12) by the truncation method [26] gives the unknown coefficients $p_{n}^{j}, n=0,1, \cdots, N$, and we can get approximations to $P_{j}( \pm 1)$ by Clenshaw algorithm,

$$
P_{j}( \pm 1) \approx \frac{b_{0}( \pm 1)-b_{2}( \pm 1)}{2}
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{N+1}( \pm 1)=0, b_{N}( \pm 1)=p_{N}^{j, N} \\
b_{k}( \pm 1)=( \pm 2) \times b_{k+1}( \pm 1)+p_{k}^{j, N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p_{k}^{j, N}$ denotes the approximation to $p_{k}^{j}$. Hence, the inner integral (9) is computed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} F\left(x, y_{j}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(x)} d x \approx \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(1)} \frac{b_{0}(1)-b_{2}(1)}{2}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(-1)} \frac{b_{0}(-1)-b_{2}(-1)}{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H_{N}\left(y_{j}\right)$, the approximation to $H(y)$ at Clenshaw-Curtis nodes, has been obtained, we are able to construct the polynomial $H_{N}(y)$ by

$$
H_{N}(y)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} H_{N}\left(y_{j}\right) L_{j}(y)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} h_{n} T_{n}(y)
$$

where $L_{j}(y)$ denotes Lagrange basis with respect to Clenshaw-Curtis nodes and $h_{n}$ can be computed by fast Fourier transform. Letting

$$
Q(y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{n} T_{n}(y)
$$

denote the function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}\left[\mathbf{G}_{2}\right] \mathcal{D} \mathbf{Q}+\mathrm{i} \omega \mathbf{Q}=\mathcal{M}\left[\mathbf{G}_{2}\right] \mathbf{H}_{N} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
1 / G_{2}^{\prime}(y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n}^{2} T_{n}(y), H_{N}(y)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} h_{n} T_{n}(y)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
q_{0} \\
q_{1} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{G}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{0}^{2} \\
g_{1}^{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{H}_{N}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
h_{0} \\
h_{1} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

we are able to approximate $q_{0}, \cdots, q_{N}$ by the truncation method again. Computing $a_{0}( \pm 1), a_{2}( \pm 1)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{N+1}( \pm 1)=0, a_{N}( \pm 1)=q_{N^{\prime}}^{N} \\
a_{k}( \pm 1)=( \pm 2) \times a_{k+1}( \pm 1)+q_{k}^{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{k}^{N}$ denotes the approximation to $q_{k}$, we arrive at 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature for Integral (8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right] \approx \hat{I}_{N}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]:=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(1)} \frac{a_{0}(1)-a_{2}(1)}{2}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(-1)} \frac{a_{0}(-1)-a_{2}(-1)}{2} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [27], Xiang established the relation between Filon and Levin quadrature rules in the case of the phase $g(x)=1$ and analyzed the convergence property of Levin quadrature. Instead of resorting to Filon quadrature, we consider the convergence rate of the above spectral coefficient Levin method with respect to quadrature nodes and frequency in the case of nonlinear oscillators through examining the decaying rate of the coefficients.

For any fixed $y \in[-1,1], F(x, y)$ turns to the univariate function with regard to $x$. Let $M_{H}, M_{F}(y), M_{G 1}, M_{G 2}$ denote the maximum of $H(y), F(x, y), 1 / G_{1}^{\prime}(x), 1 / G_{2}^{\prime}(y)$ within their corresponding Bernstein ellipse with radiuses $\rho_{H}, \rho_{F}(y), \rho_{G 1}, \rho_{G 2}$, respectively. Furthermore, denoting

$$
\rho_{F}=\inf _{y \in[-1,1]}\left\{\rho_{F}(y)\right\}, M_{F}:=\sup _{y \in[-1,1]}\left\{M_{F}(y)\right\}, M_{2}:=\sup _{y \in[-1,1]}\left\{G_{2}^{\prime \prime}(y)\right\}, m_{2}:=\inf _{y \in[-1,1]}\left\{G_{2}^{\prime}(y)\right\},
$$

we summarize the convergence analysis in the following theorem.

## Theorem 1. Suppose

- $\quad F(x, y), 1 / G_{1}^{\prime}(y)$, and $1 / G_{2}^{\prime}(y)$ are analytic within corresponding Bernstein ellipses;
- $G_{1}(x), G_{2}(y)$ are smooth and bounded over $[-1,1]$;
- The analytic radiuses $P_{F}, P_{G 1}$ satisfy $P_{F}<P_{G 1}$.

Then, for sufficiently large $\omega$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\hat{I}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]-\hat{I}_{N}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]\right| \\
\leq & C\left(\frac{(N+1)^{2}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}}+\frac{(N+2)^{3} \log (N+1)}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{F}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{6}}+\frac{(N+2)^{3}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{6}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $C$ does not depend on $\omega, N$.
Proof. Let $\hat{H}_{N}(y)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} H\left(y_{j}\right) L_{j}(y)$ denote the interplant of $H(y)$ at Clenshaw-Curtis points. A direct calculation implies the quadrature error can be decomposed into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{I}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]-\hat{I}_{N}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right] \\
= & \int_{-1}^{1} H(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y-\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(1)} \frac{a_{0}(1)-a_{2}(1)}{2}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(-1)} \frac{a_{0}(-1)-a_{2}(-1)}{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} F(x, y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega\left(G_{1}(x)+G_{2}(y)\right)} d x d y-\int_{-1}^{1} \hat{H}_{N}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y \\
& +\int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{N} H(y j) L_{j}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y-\int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(P_{j}(1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(1)}-P_{j}(-1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(-1)}\right) L_{j}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y \\
& +\int_{-1}^{1} H_{N}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y-\left(Q(1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(1)}-Q(-1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(-1)}\right) \\
= & E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}:=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} F(x, y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega\left(G_{1}(x)+G_{2}(y)\right)} d x d y-\int_{-1}^{1} \hat{H}_{N}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y \\
& E_{2}:=\int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{N} H\left(y_{j}\right) L_{j}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y-\int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(P_{j}(1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(1)}-P_{j}(-1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(-1)}\right) L_{j}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y, \\
& E_{3}:=\int_{-1}^{1} H_{N}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y-\left(Q(1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(1)}-Q(-1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(-1)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

In the remaining work, we give estimates for $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ with respect to the increasing truncation term $N$ and frequency $\omega$.

For $E_{1}$, note that $H(y)$ is bounded within its Bernstein ellipse by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(y)|=\left|\int_{-1}^{1} F(x, y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(x)} d x\right| \leq \int_{-1}^{1}|F(x, y)|\left|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(x)}\right| d x \leq 2 M_{F} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, we have according to integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E_{1}\right| & =\left|\int_{-1}^{1}\left(H(y)-\hat{H}_{N}(y)\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{H(y)-\hat{H}_{N}(y)}{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}^{\prime}(y)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)}\right|_{y=-1}^{y=1}\left|+\left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \omega} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\frac{H(y)-\hat{H}_{N}(y)}{G_{2}^{\prime}(y)}\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y\right|\right. \\
& =\left|\frac{H(y)-\hat{H}_{N}(y)}{\mathrm{i} \omega \mathrm{G}_{2}^{\prime}(y)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)}\right|_{y=-1}^{y=1}\left|+\left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \omega} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left(H^{\prime}(y)-\hat{H}_{N}^{\prime}(y)\right) G_{2}^{\prime}(y)-\left(H(y)-\hat{H}_{N}(y)\right) G_{2}^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\left(G_{2}^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y\right|\right. \\
& \leq \frac{2\left\|H(y)-\hat{H}_{N}(y)\right\|_{\infty}}{\omega m_{2}}+\frac{2\left\|H^{\prime}(y)-\hat{H}_{N}^{\prime}(y)\right\|_{\infty}}{\omega m_{2}}+\frac{2 M_{2}\left\|H(y)-\hat{H}_{N}(y)\right\|_{\infty}}{\omega m_{2}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{8 M_{F}}{m_{2} \omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N}}{\rho_{H}-1}+\frac{16 M_{F}}{m_{2} \omega} \frac{(N+1)^{2} \rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}}+\frac{8 M_{F} M_{2}}{m_{2}^{2} \omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N}}{\rho_{H}-1} \\
& \leq \frac{8 M_{F}}{m_{2} \omega}\left(1+\frac{M_{2}}{m_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\rho_{H}^{-N}}{\rho_{H}-1}+\frac{(N+1)^{2} \rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{8 M_{F}}{m_{2} \omega}\left(1+\frac{M_{2}}{m_{2}}\right) \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N}\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{2}+(N+1)^{2} \rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}} \\
& \leq \frac{8 M_{F}}{m_{2} \omega}\left(1+\frac{M_{2}}{m_{2}}\right) \frac{2(N+1)^{2} \rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}} \\
& =C_{1} \frac{(N+1)^{2}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}:=\frac{16 M_{F}}{m_{2}}\left(1+\frac{M_{2}}{m_{2}}\right)$.
For $E_{2}$, since

$$
\hat{H}_{N}(y)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} H\left(y_{j}\right) L_{j}(y), H_{N}(y)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} H_{N}\left(y_{j}\right) L_{j}(y), H_{N}\left(y_{j}\right)=P_{j}(1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(1)}-P_{j}(-1) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(-1)}
$$

letting

$$
E_{2, j}:=H\left(y_{j}\right)-H_{N}\left(y_{j}\right),
$$

we obtain

$$
E_{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} E_{2, j} \int_{-1}^{1} L_{j}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y
$$

Furthermore, letting

$$
\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x):=\frac{F\left(x, y_{j}\right)-P_{j}^{\prime}(x)-\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}^{\prime}(x) P_{j}(x)}{G_{1}^{\prime}(x)}
$$

we have

$$
E_{2, j}=\int_{-1}^{1} \operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x) G_{1}^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{1}(x)} d x
$$

A direct calculation as is done in the estimation procedure for $E_{1}$ results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2, j}\right| \leq \frac{2\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x)\right\|_{\infty}}{\omega}+\frac{2\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{\infty}}{\omega} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, let us consider the decaying rate of coefficients of Chebyshev expansions of $\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x)$, which helps to analyze $\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x)\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{\infty}$. In fact, the truncation technique implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{N}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathcal{D}_{N} \mathbf{P}_{j, N}+\mathrm{i} \omega \mathbf{P}_{j, N}=\mathcal{M}_{N}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathbf{F}_{j, N} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{P}_{j, N}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{0}^{j, N} \\
p_{1}^{j, N} \\
\vdots \\
p_{N}^{j, N}
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{F}_{j, N}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}^{j} \\
f_{1}^{j} \\
\vdots \\
f_{N}^{j}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and $p_{k}^{j, N}$ denotes the approximation to $p_{k}^{j}$ in Equation (12). For sufficiently large $\omega>N$, it follows that $\frac{1}{\omega}\left\|\mathcal{M}_{N}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathcal{D}_{N}\right\|_{\infty}<1$. By Neumann's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{j, N}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \omega}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \omega}\right)^{n} \mathcal{M}_{N}^{n}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathcal{D}_{N}^{n}\right) \mathcal{M}_{N}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathbf{F}_{j, N} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the maximum of $\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \omega}\right)^{n} \mathcal{M}_{N}^{n}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right] \mathcal{D}_{N}^{n}\right) \mathcal{M}_{N}\left[\mathbf{G}_{1}\right]$ by $S_{N}$, we notice that

$$
\left|p_{n}^{j, N}\right| \leq \frac{2 S_{N} M_{F}}{\omega} \rho_{F}^{-n},\left|d p_{n}^{j, N}\right| \leq \frac{4 S_{N} M_{F}}{\omega}(n+1) \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}} \leq 4 S_{N} M_{F} \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

The Chebyshev coefficients of $\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x)$ can be computed by

$$
c_{n}^{2, j}=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x) T_{n}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x, n=0,1, \cdots
$$

Noting the construction technique in the modified spectral Levin coefficient method, we get $c_{n}^{2, j}=0$ for $n=0,1, \cdots, N$. On the other hand, for $n \geq N+1$, it follows that

$$
\left|c_{n}^{2, j}\right| \leq\left|\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{F\left(x, y_{j}\right) T_{n}(x)}{G_{1}^{\prime}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x\right|+\left|\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{P_{j}^{\prime}(x) T_{n}(x)}{G_{1}^{\prime}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x\right|
$$

It is noted that the first term in the right-hand side of the above equation is the coefficient of $\frac{F\left(x, y_{j}\right)}{G_{1}^{\prime}(x)}$ and the second term is that of $\frac{P_{j}^{\prime}(x)}{G_{1}^{\prime}(x)}$, where we denote coefficients to be $c_{n}^{F G}, c_{n}^{P G}$, respectively. Recalling the product operator in Equation (5), we have

$$
\left|c_{n}^{F G}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(\left|g_{n}^{1}\right|\left|f_{0}^{j}\right|+\left|g_{n-1}^{1}\right|\left|f_{1}^{j}\right|+\cdots+\left|g_{0}^{1}\right|\left|f_{n}^{j}\right|\right) \leq 6 M_{G_{1}} M_{F}(n+1) \rho_{F}^{-n}
$$

and

$$
\left|c_{n}^{P G}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(\left|g_{n}^{1}\right|\left|d p_{0}^{j, N}\right|+\left|g_{n-1}^{1}\right|\left|d p_{1}^{j, N}\right|+\cdots+\left|g_{0}^{1}\right|\left|d p_{n}^{j, N}\right|\right) \leq 12 M_{G_{1}} M_{F} S_{N}(n+1) \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

Therefore, it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|c_{n}^{2, j}\right| & \leq 6 M_{G_{1}} M_{F}(n+1) \rho_{F}^{-n}+12 M_{G_{1}} M_{F} S_{N}(n+1) \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq 6 M_{G_{1}} M_{F}(n+1) \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}}+12 M_{G_{1}} M_{F} S_{N}(n+1) \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq C^{\prime}(n+1) \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $C^{\prime}:=2 \max \left\{6 M_{G_{1}} M_{F}, 12 M_{G_{1}} M_{F} S_{N}\right\}$. Hence, $\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x)\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{\infty}$ can be bounded by

$$
\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}^{2, j}\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}(n+1) \rho_{F}^{-n+1} \leq C^{\prime} \frac{(N+2) \rho_{F}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{4}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}^{2, j}\right|\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} C^{\prime}(n+1) \frac{\rho_{F}^{-n+1}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{2}} n^{2} \leq C^{\prime}(N+2)^{3} \frac{\rho_{F}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{6}}
$$

As a result, it follows that

$$
\left|E_{2, j}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\omega}\left(\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}(x)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\operatorname{Err}_{2, j}^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{\infty}\right) \leq \frac{8 C^{\prime}}{\omega}(N+2)^{3} \frac{\rho_{F}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{6}}
$$

Now, we arrive at the fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2}\right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left|E_{2, j}\right|\left|\int_{-1}^{1} L_{j}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega G_{2}(y)} d y\right| \leq C_{2} \frac{(N+2)^{3} \log (N+1)}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{F}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{6}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}:=\frac{64 C^{\prime}}{\pi}$.
The estimation procedure for $E_{3}$ is similar to that of $E_{2, j}$. We ignore details and give the conclusion directly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{3}\right| \leq C_{3} \frac{(N+2)^{3}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{6}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}$ does not depend on $N$ and $\omega$.
To sum up, we arrive at the following error bound by combining Equations (17), (22), and (23),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\hat{I}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]-\hat{I}_{N}\left[F, G_{1}, G_{2}, \omega\right]\right| \\
\leq & \left|E_{1}\right|+\left|E_{2}\right|+\left|E_{3}\right| \\
\leq & \frac{C_{1}(N+1)^{2}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}}+C_{2} \frac{(N+2)^{3} \log (N+1)}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{F}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{6}}+C_{3} \frac{(N+2)^{3}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{6}} \\
\leq & C\left(\frac{(N+1)^{2}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+2}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{3}}+\frac{(N+2)^{3} \log (N+1)}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{F}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{F}-1\right)^{6}}+\frac{(N+2)^{3}}{\omega} \frac{\rho_{H}^{-N+4}}{\left(\rho_{H}-1\right)^{6}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

with $C=\max \left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right\}$. It is easily seen that the constant $C$ does not depend on $N$ and $\omega$. This completes the proof.

Finally, let us turn to the construction of the composite quadrature rule for calculation of Integral (1). It is observed in the above theorem that, when the radiuses $\rho_{F}, \rho_{H}$ are close to 1 , the error bound would expand dramatically. Therefore, an efficient quadrature rule has to guarantee the fact that the integrand has a relatively large analytic radius over the integration domain. To make this judgment be satisfied, we choose a non-uniform grid instead of partitioning the integration region uniformly.

To begin with, the singular point $z^{*}$ is projected into the plane containing the integration region and we get the projection point $z$. In the case of the projected point $z$ falling into the integration domain (Case I), the first box is determined by the distance between $z^{*}$ and $z$. We construct a square with its center being $z$ and its side length being $2\left\|z^{*}-z\right\|$. Then, the side length of level- 2 box's with the center $z$ is set to be $2^{2}\left\|z^{*}-z\right\|$. To devise the composite quadrature rule, we first select level- 1 box as a subdomain. Noting that the remaining domain is not a rectangle, we partition it into four subdomains,
that is, Box21, Box22, Box23, and Box24 (see Figure 1). In general, the side length of level-l box's with the center $z$ is set to be $2^{l}\left\|z^{*}-z\right\|$, and the integration subdomain is constructed similarly, which finally results in a nonuniform grid (see Figure 2).

When the projected point falls out of the integration domain, for example, it is around the side (Case II) or vertex (Case III), we implement a similar partition procedure like that in Case I. The final partition grid is shown in Figures 3 and 4. Applying 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature rule in the subdomain leads to a class of composite 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature. It is noted that such kind of partition techniques guarantee the fact that the distance between the singular and the integration interval is no less than 2 when we map the integration domain into $[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$.


Figure 1. The integration subdomains for level-2 box.
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Figure 2. The partition method in Case I (left: location of the singular point $z^{*}$ and projection point $z$. right: the nonuniform grid).
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Figure 3. The partition method in Case II (left: location of the singular point $z^{*}$ and projection point $z$. right: the nonuniform grid).
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Figure 4. The partition method in Case III (left: location of the singular point $z^{*}$ and projection point $z$. right: the nonuniform grid).

## 4. Numerical Experiments

This section is devoted to illustrating the numerical performance of 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature (2DSC-Levin) and composite 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature (C2DSC-Levin) given in Section 3.

Example 1. Let us consider the computation of the oscillatory integral

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \cos (x+y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega(x+y)} d x d y
$$

The phase $x+y$ has no stationary points within the domain $[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$, and the amplitude $\cos (x+y)$ is analytic with respect to both variables. Therefore, it is expected that the new approach has an exponential convergence rate.

It is noted that approximation results derived from classical cubature usually do not make sense when $\omega \gg 1$. We first employ CCQ and give the computational results in Table 2, where both quantity of quadrature nodes $N$ and oscillation parameter $\omega$ are variables.

Table 2. Absolute errors of CCQ for Example 1.

|  | $\omega=\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\mathbf{5 0 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N=200$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $N=400$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.1 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $N=800$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-17}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-17}$ | $7.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $N=1600$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-17}$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-18}$ | $8.2 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-4}$ |

Although plenty of quadrature nodes have been used in the above example, computed results are not satisfactory especially in the case of high oscillation. Now, we list approximated results of 2DSC-Levin in Table 3, where the referenced exact value is computed by the CHEBFUN toolbox again. It can be seen from Table 3 that absolute errors do not increase as the frequency $\omega$ enlarges, which implies that the new method is robust to high oscillation. On the other hand, when we raise the truncation term of Chebyshev series, the absolute error decays fast. In Figure 5, we give tendencies of absolute errors with respect to increasing frequencies and compare the computational time of 2DSC-Levin and referenced algorithm in CHEBFUN. It can be found that the consumed time of 2DSC-Levin does not vary as the frequency $\omega$ enlarges, whereas that of CHEBFUN's 2D quadrature (2D-Cheb) increases dramatically. Since 2D-Cheb is a class of self-adaptive algorithms, it has to increase quadrature nodes when the frequency goes to infinity to retain a tolerance error, which results in
the dramatically growing curve. However, approximations derived from 2DSC-Levin do not suffer from high oscillation according to Theorem 1. Hence, there is no need to raise quadrature nodes of 2DSC-Levin in high oscillation, and we do not witness an obvious change in Figure 5.

Table 3. Absolute errors of 2DSC-Levin for Example 1.

|  | $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{5 0 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N=6$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-11}$ | $7.3 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $N=8$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-15}$ | $8.4 \times 10^{-17}$ | $8.0 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $N=10$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-15}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-16}$ | $7.6 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $N=12$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-18}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-18}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $N=16$ | $5.9 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-18}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ |



Figure 5. Comparison between 2DSC-Levin and 2D-Cheb in Example 1, $\omega$ is a variable (left: absolute errors, right: CPU time).

We also employ 2D-Cheb-Levin quadrature given in [18] to give a comparison. Computed results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Absolute errors of 2D-Cheb-Levin quadrature for Example 1.

|  | $\omega=\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{5 0 0 0}$ | $\omega=\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N=6$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ | $8.7 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-13}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-15}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $N=8$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-12}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{-14}$ | $8.4 \times 10^{-16}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $N=10$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $N=12$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-17}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-18}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $N=16$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-18}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-18}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ |

Comparison between Tables 3 and 4 illustrates that the accuracy of 2DSC-Levin and 2D-Cheb-Levin quadrature is similar. However, 2DSC-Levin does a little better than 2D-Cheb-Levin quadrature when CPU time is considered. Since both approaches consist of approximations to a series of one-dimensional integrals, we show the consuming time of both approaches for computing the final highly oscillatory integrals in Table 5, where it can be seen that 2DSC-Levin is slightly faster than 2D-Cheb-Levin quadrature, which is partly due to the sparse structure of the discretizatized modified Levin equation.

Table 5. Comparison of CPU time for 2DSC-Levin and 2D-Cheb-Levin quadrature for fixed $\omega=1000$.

|  | 2DSC-Levin | 2D-Cheb-Levin Quadrature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N=32$ | 0.000822 s | 0.001703 s |
| $N=64$ | 0.002251 s | 0.005618 s |
| $N=128$ | 0.009882 s | 0.017755 s |
| $N=256$ | 0.054631 s | 0.088747 s |

For computation of univariate oscillatory integrals, Levin quadrature does well in solving problems with complicate phases. In the following example, we consider a highly oscillatory integral with nonlinear oscillators over $[0,1] \times[0,1]$.

Example 2. Let us consider the computation of the oscillatory integral

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+15} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega\left(x^{2}+x+y^{2}+y\right)} d x d y
$$

The amplitude $\frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+15}$ is no longer an entire function, and the inverse of the phase function $x^{2}+x+y^{2}+y$ can not be calculated directly.

We show absolute errors and CPU time of 2DSC-Levin in Table 6 and Figure 6, respectively. Due to the fact that the amplitude in Example 2 has a limited analytic radius, 2DSC-Levin converges to the machine precision much more slowly than that in Example 1. However, noting the decaying curve in the left part of Figure 6 manifests that 2DSC-Levin has the property that the higher the oscillation, the better the approximation, which also coincides with the theoretical estimate in Theorem 1. Hence, 2DSC-Levin is feasible for calculation of highly oscillatory integrals over rectangle regions when the oscillator $g(x, y)$ is nonlinear. In addition, it is interesting that the curve of CPU time of 2DSC-Levin has a jump at about $\omega=5500$ in the right part of Figure 6. Such a phenomenon may originate from the fact that the Levin equation can be solved more efficiently as the frequency becomes larger. However, this is still a conjecture and we need more theoretical investigation in the future work.

Table 6. Absolute errors of 2DSC-Levin for Example 2.

|  | $\omega=\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\omega=500$ | $\omega=\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\omega=5000$ | $\omega=\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N=6$ | $7.3 \times 10^{-10}$ | $8.3 \times 10^{-11}$ | $3.8 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.7 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $N=8$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $N=10$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-12}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| $N=12$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-16}$ | $8.0 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| $N=16$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-15}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-17}$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-18}$ | $8.6 \times 10^{-19}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-19}$ |



Figure 6. Comparison between 2DSC-Levin and 2D-Cheb in Example 2, $\omega$ is a variable (left: absolute errors, right: CPU time).

To illustrate the effectiveness of the composite 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature rule (C2DSC Levin), we give a comparison among the new approach, 2D sinh transformation (JJE) in [22], and 2D dilation quadrature (2D-d) in [23].

For Integral (1), the sinh transformation is defined by

$$
x=a+\epsilon \sinh \left(\mu_{1} u-\eta_{1}\right), y=b+\epsilon \sinh \left(\mu_{2} u-\eta_{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1+a}{\epsilon}\right)+\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1-a}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1+a}{\epsilon}\right)-\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1-a}{\epsilon}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the transformed integrand is no longer nearly singular, a direct 2D Gauss cubature can be applied in practical computation.However, it should be noted that, although JJE can efficiently deal with nearly singular problems, it generally suffers from the highly oscillatory integrands.

In [23], Occorsio and Serafini proposed 2D-d for the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(F, \omega)=\int_{D} F(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d \mathbf{x} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D:=[-1,1] \times[-1,1], \mathbf{x}=(x, y)$, and

$$
F(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}+\epsilon^{2}}, \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}(x)+\mathrm{G}_{2}(y)\right)} .
$$

Letting $\omega_{1}=\sqrt{|\omega|}, x=\frac{\eta}{\omega_{1}}, y=\frac{\theta}{\omega_{1}}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{I}(F, \omega)=\omega_{1}^{2} \int_{\left[-\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}\right]^{2}} F\left(\frac{\eta}{\omega_{1}}, \frac{\theta}{\omega_{1}}\right) \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{\eta}{\omega_{1}}, \frac{\theta}{\omega_{1}}, \omega\right) d \eta d \theta
$$

Properly choosing $d \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $S=\frac{2 \omega_{1}}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$ results in

$$
\mathcal{I}(F, \omega)=\omega \sum_{i=1}^{S} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \int_{D_{i, j}} F\left(\frac{\eta}{\omega_{1}}, \frac{\theta}{\omega_{1}}\right) \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{\eta}{\omega_{1}}, \frac{\theta}{\omega_{1}}, \omega\right) d \eta d \theta
$$

Here, $D_{i, j}:=\left[-\omega_{1}+(i-1) d,-\omega_{1}+i d\right] \times\left[-\omega_{1}+(j-1) d,-\omega_{1}+j d\right]$. Employing the transformed Gauss-Jacobi quadrature to the moment integral gives 2D-dilation quadrature.

Example 3. Consider the computation of

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sin (x y)}{(x+0.5)^{2}+(y-0.5)^{2}+0.09} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega(x+y)} d x d y
$$

It is noted that the integrand will reach its peak value at $(-0.5,0.5)$, and dramatically decrease away from such a critical point.

We list computed absolute errors of JJE, 2D-d and C2DSC-Levin in Tables 7-9. As the number of quadrature nodes $N$ increases, all of algorithms converge fast to the referenced value. When the integrand does not change rapidly, JJE provides the best numerical approximation. However, as the frequency $\omega$ enlarges, JJE and 2D-d suffer from high oscillation, while C2DSC-Levin is still able to maintain a relatively high-order approximation. It should be noted that the dilation parameter $d$ in 2D-d is restricted to make the number of quadrature nodes coincide with the other two methods, and a slightly modified choice as is done in [23] may make 2D-d be able to deal with some highly oscillatory problems. The corresponding results are shown in Figure 7. Numerical results in this figure indicate that the absolute error derived from JJE increases dramatically when $\omega$ goes beyond 500, while the error of 2D-d rises slowly. It is also found that both absolute errors and computational time of the new approach do not suffer from the varying frequency $\omega$. Hence, C2DSC-Levin is the most effective tool for computing oscillatory and nearly singular integrals.

Table 7. Absolute errors of JJE for Example 3.

|  | $N=\mathbf{2 8}$ | $N=\mathbf{5 6}$ | $N=\mathbf{8 4}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 6 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega=10$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-16}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-16}$ | $5.9 \times 10^{-16}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $\omega=20$ | $4.8 \times 10^{-11}$ | $9.0 \times 10^{-16}$ | $8.9 \times 10^{-16}$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-16}$ | $8.6 \times 10^{-16}$ | $9.0 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $\omega=40$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-16}$ | $8.3 \times 10^{-17}$ | $7.8 \times 10^{-17}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $\omega=80$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $8.1 \times 10^{-17}$ | $6.1 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| $\omega=160$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.9 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-17}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-17}$ |

Table 8. Absolute errors of 2D-d for Example 3.

|  | $N=\mathbf{3 2}$ | $N=\mathbf{6 4}$ | $N=\mathbf{9 6}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 9 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega=10$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $\omega=20$ | $2.7 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.8 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-9}$ | $4.7 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| $\omega=40$ | $6.9 \times 10^{-1}$ | $7.7 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| $\omega=80$ | $7.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\omega=160$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.8 \times 10^{-2}$ |

Table 9. Absolute errors of C2DSC-Levin for Example 3.

|  | $N=\mathbf{2 8}$ | $N=\mathbf{5 6}$ | $N=\mathbf{8 4}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 6 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega=10$ | $7.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.7 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.0 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| $\omega=20$ | $7.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| $\omega=40$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.9 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\omega=80$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| $\omega=160$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-8}$ | $9.3 \times 10^{-9}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-9}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-10}$ |



Figure 7. Comparison of JJE, 2D-d and C2DSC-Levin in Example 3, $\omega$ is a variable (left: absolute errors, right: CPU time).

Although JJE is efficient for solving some nearly singular problems, it may fail when $b=0$ in the integrand.

Example 4. Let us consider the computation of the following integral

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(x+0.02)^{2}+(y+0.02)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega\left(x^{3}+3 x+y^{2}+6 y\right)} d x d y
$$

It is noted that JJE does not work in this case.
Absolute errors derived from 2D-d and C2DSC-Levin are listed in Tables 10 and 11, respectively. It can be found that 2D-d provides more accurate approximation than that of C2DSC-Levin in the relatively low frequency. Nevertheless, the absolute error of C2DSC-Levin never increases in the high frequency while its 2D-d counterpart enlarges. Furthermore, although 2D-d will provide a more accurate approximation if we employ the choice of the dilation parameter considered in [23], it still cannot beat C2DSC-Levin in the high frequency when both absolute errors and computational time are considered (see Figure 8).

Table 10. Absolute errors of 2D-d for Example 4.

|  | $N=\mathbf{6 4}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 9 2}$ | $N=\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | $N=\mathbf{3 2 0}$ | $N=\mathbf{3 8 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega=10$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-9}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $\omega=20$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-1}$ | $7.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.9 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-11}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $\omega=40$ | $9.4 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-1}$ | $9.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.2 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\omega=80$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\omega=160$ | $1.3 \times 10^{0}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-2}$ |

Table 11. Absolute errors of C2DSC-Levin for Example 4.

|  | $N=\mathbf{6 4}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 9 2}$ | $N=\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | $N=\mathbf{3 2 0}$ | $N=\mathbf{3 8 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega=10$ | $9.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-13}$ | $4.8 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $\omega=20$ | $7.2 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.1 \times 10^{-12}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-13}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $\omega=40$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-8}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-12}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-13}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $\omega=80$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-8}$ | $9.4 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-14}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-12}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $\omega=160$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-9}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-12}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-15}$ | $3.8 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-13}$ |



Figure 8. Comparison of 2D-d and C2DSC-Levin in Example 4, $\omega$ is a variable (left: absolute errors, right: CPU time).

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented the modified spectral coefficient Levin quadrature for calculation of highly oscillatory integrals over rectangle regions and established its convergence rate with respect to the truncation term and oscillation parameter. Furthermore, by considering numerical calculation of moments over a non-uniform mesh, we derive the composite Levin quadrature. Numerical experiments indicate that the non-uniform partition technique greatly reduces the nearly singular problem. Recently, sharp bounds for coefficients of multivariate Gegenbauer expansion of analytic functions have been studied in [28], which definitely opens a door for our ongoing work about convergence analysis of Levin quadrature in high dimensional hypercube.

On the other hand, studies on the asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions to highly oscillatory integral and differential equations have attracted much attention during the past decades [29-32]. It is noted that computation and numerical analysis of oscillatory integrals provide efficient tools for such kinds of studies and investigation of application of the proposed approaches to oscillatory equations is also necessary in the future work.
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## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

| CCQ | Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2DSC-Levin | 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature |
| C2DSC-Levin | Composite 2D spectral coefficient Levin quadrature |
| 2D-Cheb | CHEBFUN's 2D quadrature |
| JJE | cubature with 2D sinh transformation in [21] |
| 2D-d | 2D dilation quadrature |
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#### Abstract

In this paper, the functional dynamic equation of second order is studied on an arbitrary time scale under milder restrictions without the assumed conditions in the recent literature. The Nehari, Hille, and Ohriska type oscillation criteria of the equation are investigated. The presented results confirm that the study of the equation in this formula is superior to other previous studies. Some examples are addressed to demonstrate the finding.
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## 1. Introduction

In order to combine continuous and discrete analysis, the theory of dynamic equations on time scales was proposed by Stefan Hilger in [1]. There are different types of time scales applied in many applications (see [2]). The cases when the time scale $\mathbb{T}$ as an arbitrary closed subset is equal to the reals or to the integers represent the classical theories of differential and of difference equations. The theory of dynamic equations includes the classical theories for the differential equations and difference equations cases and other cases in between these classical cases. That is, we are eligible to consider the q-difference equations when $\mathbb{T}=q^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}:=\left\{q^{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right.$ for $\left.q>1\right\}$ which has significant applications in quantum theory (see [3]) and different types of time scales like $\mathbb{T}=h \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{T}=\mathbb{N}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{n}$ (the set of the harmonic numbers) can also be applied. For more details of time scales calculus, see $[2,4,5]$. The study of nonlinear dynamic equations is considered in this work because these equations arise in various real-world problems like the turbulent flow of a polytrophic gas in a porous medium, non-Newtonian fluid theory, and in the study of $p$-Laplace equations. Therefore, we are interested in the oscillatory behavior of the nonlinear functional dynamic equation of second order with deviating arguments

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta}+q(\zeta) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on an above-unbounded time scale $\mathbb{T}$, where $\varphi_{\alpha}(u):=|u|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} u, \alpha>0 ; a$ and $q$ are positive rd-continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} \frac{\Delta \nsim}{a^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\nsim)}=\infty ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\eta: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a rd-continuous function such that $\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \eta(\zeta)=\infty$.

By a solution of Equation (1) we mean a nontrivial real-valued function $z \in C_{r d}^{1}\left[\zeta_{z}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some $\zeta_{z} \geq \zeta_{0}$ with $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $z^{\Delta}, a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right) \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}^{1}\left[\zeta_{z}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $z(\zeta)$ satisfies Equation (1) on $\left[\zeta_{z}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, where $C_{r d}$ is the space of right-dense continuous functions. It should be mentioned that in a particular case when $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}$ then

$$
\sigma(\zeta)=\zeta, \mu(\zeta)=0, g^{\Delta}(\zeta)=g^{\prime}(\zeta), \int_{a}^{b} g(\zeta) \Delta \zeta=\int_{a}^{b} g(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

and (1) turns as the nonlinear functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\prime}+q(\zeta) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The oscillation properties of Equation (3) and special cases were investigated by Nehari [6], Fite [7], Hille [8], Wong [9], Erbe [10], and Ohriska [11] as follows: The oscillatory behavior of the linear differential equation of second order

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)+q(\zeta) z(\zeta)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is investigated in Nehari [6] and showed that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} x^{2} q(\nsim) \mathrm{d} \nsim>\frac{1}{4} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of (4) are oscillatory. Fite [7] proved that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\infty} q(\nsim) \mathrm{d} \nsim=\infty, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of Equation (4) are oscillatory. Hille [8] developed the condition (6) and illustrated that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \zeta \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} q(\nsim) \mathrm{d} \nsim>\frac{1}{4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of Equation (4) are oscillatory. For the delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)+q(\zeta) z(\eta(\zeta))=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Hille-type condition (7) is generalized by Wong [9], where $\eta(\zeta) \geq \gamma \zeta$ with $0<\gamma<1$, and showed that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \zeta \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} q(\nsim) \mathrm{d} \nsim>\frac{1}{4 \gamma} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of (8) are oscillatory. Erbe [10] enhanced the condition (9) and examined that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \zeta \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} q(\nsim) \frac{\eta(\nsim)}{\nsim} \mathrm{d} \nsim>\frac{1}{4} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of (8) are oscillatory where $\eta(\zeta) \leq \zeta$. Ohriska [11] proved that, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \zeta \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} q(\nsim) \frac{\eta(\nsim)}{\nsim} \mathrm{d} \nsim>1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all solutions of (8) are oscillatory.
When $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
\sigma(\zeta)=\zeta+1, \mu(\zeta)=1, g^{\Delta}(\zeta)=\Delta g(\zeta), \int_{a}^{b} g(\zeta) \Delta \zeta=\sum_{\zeta=a}^{b-1} g(\zeta)
$$

and (1) turns as the nonlinear functional difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}(\Delta z(\zeta))\right]+q(\zeta) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The oscillation of Equation (12) when $a(\zeta)=1, \eta(\zeta)=\zeta$, and $\gamma=\beta$ is the quotient of odd positive integers was elaborated by Thandapani et al. [12] in which $q(\zeta)$ is a positive sequence and showed that every solution of (12) is oscillatory, if

$$
\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} q(k)=\infty
$$

We will examine that our results not only unite some of the known oscillation results for differential and difference equations but they also can be applied on other cases in which the oscillatory behavior of solutions for these equations on various types of time scales was not known. Note that, if $\mathbb{T}=h \mathbb{Z}, h>0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(\zeta)=\zeta+h, \mu(\zeta)=h, z^{\Delta}(\zeta)=\Delta_{h} z(\zeta)=\frac{z(\zeta+h)-z(\zeta)}{h} \\
\int_{a}^{b} g(\zeta) \Delta \zeta=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{b-a-h}{h}} g(a+k h) h
\end{gathered}
$$

and (1) turns as the nonlinear functional difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h}\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(\Delta_{h} z(\zeta)\right)\right]+q(\zeta) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\mathbb{T}=q^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}=\left\{\zeta: \zeta=q^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, q>1\right\}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(\zeta)=q \zeta, \mu(\zeta)=(q-1) \zeta, z^{\Delta}(\zeta)=\Delta_{q} z(\zeta)=(z(q \zeta)-z(\zeta)) /(q-1) \zeta \\
\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\infty} g(\zeta) \Delta \zeta=\sum_{k=n_{0}}^{\infty} g\left(q^{k}\right) \mu\left(q^{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $t_{0}=q^{n_{0}}$, and (1) turns as the second order $q$-nonlinear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{q}\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(\Delta_{q} z(\zeta)\right)\right]+q(\zeta) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}:=\left\{n^{2}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}
$$

then

$$
\sigma(\zeta)=(\sqrt{\zeta}+1)^{2}, \mu(\zeta)=1+2 \sqrt{\zeta}, \Delta_{N} z(\zeta)=\frac{z\left((\sqrt{\zeta}+1)^{2}\right)-z(\zeta)}{1+2 \sqrt{\zeta}}
$$

and (1) turns as the second order nonlinear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{N}\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(\Delta_{N} z(\zeta)\right)\right]+q(\zeta) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathbb{T}=\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$ where $H_{n}$ is the harmonic numbers defined by

$$
H_{0}=0, H_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{\prime}}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

then

$$
\sigma\left(H_{n}\right)=H_{n+1}, \mu\left(H_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n+1}, z^{\Delta}(t)=\Delta_{H_{n}} z\left(H_{n}\right)=(n+1) \Delta z\left(H_{n}\right)
$$

and (1) turns as the second order nonlinear harmonic difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{H_{n}}\left[a\left(H_{n}\right) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(\Delta_{H_{n}} z\left(H_{n}\right)\right)\right]+q\left(H_{n}\right) \varphi_{\beta}\left(z\left(\eta\left(H_{n}\right)\right)\right)=0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For dynamic equations, Erbe et al. in $[13,14]$ expanded the Hille and Nehari oscillation criteria to the half-linear delay dynamic equation of second order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(\zeta)\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\Delta}+q(\zeta) z^{\gamma}(\eta(\zeta))=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a quotient of odd positive integers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\zeta) \leq \zeta, a^{\Delta}(\zeta) \geq 0, \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\infty} \eta^{\gamma}(\zeta) q(\zeta) \Delta \zeta=\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The authors showed that if either of the following conditions holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^{\gamma} \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} q(\nsim)\left(\frac{\eta(\nsim)}{\sigma(\nsim)}\right)^{\gamma} \Delta \nsim>\frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{l \gamma^{2}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^{\gamma} \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} q(\nsim)\left(\frac{\eta(\nsim)}{\sigma(\nsim)}\right)^{\gamma} \Delta \nsim+\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} x^{\gamma+1} q(\nsim)\left(\frac{\eta(\nsim)}{\sigma(\nsim)}\right)^{\gamma} \Delta \nsim>\frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)},
$$

where $l:=\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\zeta}{\sigma(\zeta)}$, then all solutions of (17) are oscillatory. We refer the reader to related results [15-35] and the references cited therein.

A natural question now is: Do the oscillation criteria (5), (6), (7) and (11) for the differential equations of second order by Nehari, Fite, Hille and Ohriska extend to the nonlinear dynamic equation of second order (1) without the restrictive condition (18) in both cases $\eta(\zeta) \leq \zeta$ and $\eta(\zeta) \geq \zeta$, and when $\beta \geq \gamma$ and $\beta \leq \gamma$.

The aim of this paper is to propose an obvious answer to the above question. We will establish Nehari, Hille and Ohriska type oscillation criteria for (1) without imposing the restrictive condition (18), which generalize and improve the aforementioned results in the literature.

## 2. Oscillation Criteria of (1) when $\beta \geq \gamma$

In the subsequent results, we will use the subsequent notations

$$
A(\zeta):=\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Delta \nsim}{a^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\nsim)} \quad \text { and } \quad l:=\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(\zeta)}{A(\sigma(\zeta))} \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\phi(\zeta):= \begin{cases}1, & \eta(\zeta) \geq \zeta \\ \left(\frac{A(\eta(\zeta))}{A(\zeta)}\right)^{\beta}, & \eta(\zeta) \leq \zeta\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, $l>0$ is assuming in the next results.
First, we derive Nehari type to the nonlinear dynamic equation of second order (1).
Theorem 1. Let (2) holds, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{T}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\frac{l^{\gamma}}{\gamma l^{\gamma}+1}\right), & 0<\gamma \leq 1 \\
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{T}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{\gamma}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)\left(\gamma+l^{\gamma}\right)}, & \gamma \geq 1 \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

for enough large $T \in\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then all solutions of Equation (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Assume $z(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Thus, without loss of generality, let $z(\zeta)>0$ and $z(\eta(\zeta))>0$ on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Since $q \in C_{r d}\left(\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and then

$$
\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta}<0 \quad \text { for } \zeta \geq \zeta_{0}
$$

Hence $z^{\Delta}(\zeta)>0$, otherwise, it leads to a contradiction. Define

$$
w(\zeta):=\frac{a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)}
$$

Using the product and quotient rules, we reach

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\Delta}(\zeta)= & \left(\frac{a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)}\right)^{\Delta} \\
= & \frac{1}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)}\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)}\right)^{\Delta}\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\sigma} \\
= & \frac{\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)}-\frac{\left(z^{\gamma}(\zeta)\right)^{\Delta}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta) z^{\gamma}(\sigma(\zeta))}\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\sigma} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1) and the definition of $w(\zeta)$, we have

$$
w^{\Delta}(\zeta)=-\left(\frac{z(\eta(\zeta))}{z(\zeta)}\right)^{\beta} z^{\beta-\gamma}(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\frac{\left(z^{\gamma}(\zeta)\right)^{\Delta}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)} w(\sigma(\zeta))
$$

Since $z^{\Delta}>0$, then $z(\zeta) \geq z\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ for $\zeta \geq \zeta_{0}$ and so

$$
z^{\beta-\gamma}(\zeta) \geq z^{\beta-\gamma}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=: k>0 \quad \text { for } \zeta \geq \zeta_{0}
$$

Therefore,

$$
w^{\Delta}(\zeta) \leq-k\left(\frac{z(\eta(\zeta))}{z(\zeta)}\right)^{\beta} q(\zeta)-\frac{\left(z^{\gamma}(\zeta)\right)^{\Delta}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)} w(\sigma(\zeta))
$$

Let $\zeta \in\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ be fixed. If $\eta(\zeta) \geq \zeta$, then $z(\eta(\zeta)) \geq z(\zeta)$ by the fact that $z^{\Delta}>0$. Now the case $\eta(\zeta) \leq \zeta$ is considered. Since $\left(a \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}\right)\right)^{\Delta}<0$ on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we achieve

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(\zeta) & \geq z(\zeta)-z\left(\zeta_{1}\right)=\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} z^{\Delta}(\nsim) \Delta x \\
& \geq a^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\zeta) z^{\Delta}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Delta \nsim}{a^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\nsim)} \\
& =a^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\zeta) z^{\Delta}(\zeta) A(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\frac{z(\zeta)}{A(\zeta)}\right]^{\Delta} } & =\frac{A(\zeta) z^{\Delta}(\zeta)-z(\zeta) a^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\zeta)}{A(\zeta) A^{\sigma}(\zeta)} \\
& =\frac{a^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\zeta)}{A(\zeta) A^{\sigma}(\zeta)}\left(a^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\zeta) z^{\Delta}(\zeta) A(\zeta)-z(\zeta)\right) \\
& \leq 0, \quad \zeta \in\left(\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists a $\zeta_{1} \in\left(\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $\eta(\zeta) \in\left(\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $\zeta \geq \zeta_{1}$ and so

$$
\frac{z(\eta(\zeta))}{z(\zeta)} \geq \frac{A(\eta(\zeta))}{A(\zeta)} \quad \text { for } \zeta \in[\zeta 1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}
$$

In both cases and from the definition of $\phi(\zeta)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z(\eta(\zeta))}{z(\zeta)}\right)^{\beta} \geq \phi(\zeta) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\Delta}(\zeta) \leq-k \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\frac{\left(z^{\gamma}(\zeta)\right)^{\Delta}}{z^{\gamma}(\zeta)} w(\sigma(\zeta)), \quad \zeta \in\left[\zeta_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by using the Pötzsche chain rule ([2], Theorem 1.90), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(z^{\gamma}(\zeta)\right)^{\Delta} & =\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[z(\zeta)+h \mu(\zeta) z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right]^{\gamma-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right) z^{\Delta}(\zeta) \\
& =\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{1}[(1-h) z(\zeta)+h z(\sigma(\zeta))]^{\gamma-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right) z^{\Delta}(\zeta) \\
& \geq \begin{cases}\gamma z^{\gamma-1}(\sigma(\zeta)) z^{\Delta}(\zeta), & 0<\gamma \leq 1, \\
\gamma z^{\gamma-1}(\zeta) z^{\Delta}(\zeta), & \gamma \geq 1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0<\gamma \leq 1$, then

$$
w^{\Delta}(\zeta)<-k \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\gamma \frac{z^{\Delta}(\zeta)}{z(\sigma(\zeta))}\left(\frac{z(\sigma(\zeta))}{z(\zeta)}\right)^{\gamma} w(\sigma(\zeta)) ;
$$

and if $\gamma \geq 1$, then

$$
w^{\Delta}(\zeta) \leq-k \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\gamma \frac{z^{\Delta}(\zeta)}{z(\sigma(\zeta))} \frac{z(\sigma(\zeta))}{z(\zeta)} w(\sigma(\zeta))
$$

Note that $z^{\Delta}>0$ and $\left(a \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}\right)\right)^{\Delta}<0$ on $\left[\zeta_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we see for $\gamma>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\Delta}(\zeta) & \leq-k \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\gamma \frac{z^{\Delta}(\zeta)}{z(\sigma(\zeta))} w(\sigma(\zeta)) \\
& \leq-k \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\gamma a^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\zeta) w^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\sigma(\zeta)), \quad \zeta \in[\zeta 1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (24) by $A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta)$ and integrating from $\zeta_{2}$ to $\zeta \in\left[\zeta_{2}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) w^{\Delta}(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq & -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& -\gamma \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} a^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\nsim)\left(A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim))\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \Delta \nsim .
\end{aligned}
$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w\left(\zeta_{2}\right)+\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left(A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right)^{\Delta} w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& -\gamma \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} a^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\nsim)\left(A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim))\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \Delta \nsim
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Pötzsche chain rule, we arrive

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right)^{\Delta} & =(\gamma+1) \int_{0}^{1}\left[A(\nsim)+h \mu(\nsim) A^{\Delta}(\nsim)\right]^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} h \frac{1}{a^{1 / \gamma}(\nsim)} \\
& =(\gamma+1) \int_{0}^{1}[(1-h) A(\nsim)+h A(\sigma(\nsim))]^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} h \frac{1}{a^{1 / \gamma}(\nsim)} \\
& \leq(\gamma+1) \frac{A^{\gamma}(\sigma(\nsim))}{a^{1 / \gamma(\nsim)}} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w\left(\zeta_{2}\right)-\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& +(\gamma+1) \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \gamma}(\nsim)}\left[\frac{A(\sigma(\nsim))}{A(\nsim)}\right]^{\gamma} A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& -\gamma \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \gamma}(\nsim)}\left(A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim))\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \Delta \nsim
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $w^{\Delta}(\zeta) \leq 0$ on $\left[\zeta_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$, then we choose $\zeta_{2} \in\left[\zeta_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, enough large, so for $\zeta \in\left[\zeta_{2}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) \geq a_{*}-\varepsilon \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A(\zeta)}{A(\sigma(\zeta))} \geq l-\varepsilon \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{*}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{*}:=\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) \leq 1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (27), we then get that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w\left(\zeta_{2}\right)-k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
+\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \gamma}(\nsim)}\left[\frac{\gamma+1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}} A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim))-\gamma\left(A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim))\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}\right] \Delta \nsim .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y u-X u^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1}}{X^{\gamma}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X=\gamma, Y=\frac{\gamma+1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}$ and $u=A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim))$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w\left(\zeta_{2}\right)-k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& +\frac{1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma(\gamma+1)}}\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by $A(\zeta)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & \frac{A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}-\frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& +\frac{1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma(\gamma+1)}}\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $w^{\sigma}(\zeta) \leq w(\zeta)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) \leq & \frac{A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}-\frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& +\frac{1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma(\gamma+1)}}\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the lim sup of both sides as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
A_{*} \leq-\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim+\frac{1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma(\gamma+1)}}
$$

where

$$
A_{*}:=\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta))
$$

Since $k, \varepsilon>0$ are arbitrary constants, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{*} \leq-\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim+\frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, multiplying both sides of (24) by $A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w^{\Delta}(\zeta) \leq & -k A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\gamma a^{-1 / \gamma}(\zeta) A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\sigma(\zeta)) \\
= & -A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta) \\
& -\gamma a^{-1 / \gamma}(\zeta) A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) A(\zeta) w^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\sigma(\zeta))
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (26) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w^{\Delta}(\zeta) \leq-k A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) \phi(\zeta) q(\zeta)-\vartheta a^{-1 / \gamma}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in[\zeta 2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vartheta=\gamma\left(a_{*}-\varepsilon\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}$. Integrating the inequality (31) from $\zeta_{2}$ to $\zeta \in\left[\zeta_{2}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we get

$$
\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) w^{\Delta}(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq-k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} a^{-1 / \gamma}(\nsim) \Delta \nsim .
$$

Using integrating by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)+\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left[A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta} w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the forthcoming two cases:
(I) When $0<\gamma \leq 1$. Using the product rule, we have

$$
\left[A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta}=\left[A^{\gamma}(\nsim) A(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta}=\left[A^{\gamma}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta} A(\nsim)+A^{\gamma}(\sigma(\nsim)) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) .
$$

Again use the Pötzsche chain rule, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A^{\gamma}(\nsim)\right)^{\Delta} & =\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[A(\nsim)+h \mu(\nsim) A^{\Delta}(\nsim)\right]^{\gamma-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) \\
& =\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{1}[(1-h) A(\nsim)+h A(\sigma(\nsim))]^{\gamma-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) \\
& \leq \gamma A^{\gamma-1}(\nsim) A^{\Delta}(\nsim)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left[A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta} \leq\left(\gamma A^{\gamma}(\nsim)+A^{\gamma}(\sigma(\nsim))\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left(\gamma A^{\gamma}(\nsim)+A^{\gamma}(\sigma(\nsim))\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
= & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left(\gamma+\left[\frac{A(\sigma(\nsim))}{A(\nsim)}\right]^{\gamma}\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& \left.-k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim\right)-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
\leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)+\left[\gamma+\frac{1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}\right]\left(A_{*}+\varepsilon\right)\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \left.-k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim\right)-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by $A(\zeta)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) \leq & A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq \frac{A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)} \\
& +\left[\gamma+\frac{1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}\right]\left(A_{*}+\varepsilon\right)\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right] \\
& -\frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the lim sup of both sides as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2), we get

$$
A_{*} \leq\left[\gamma+\frac{1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}\right]\left(A_{*}+\varepsilon\right)-\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta .
$$

Since $k$ and $\varepsilon>0$ are arbitrary constants, we achieve the demanded inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq A_{*}\left[\gamma-1+\frac{1}{l \gamma}\right]-\gamma a_{*}^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30) and (33), we obtain

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq \frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\frac{l^{\gamma}}{\gamma l^{\gamma}+1}\right)
$$

which contradicts the condition (20) if $0<\gamma \leq 1$.
(II) When $\gamma \geq 1$. Using the product rule, we have

$$
\left[A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta}=\left[A^{\gamma}(\nsim) A(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta}=\left[A^{\gamma}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta} A(\sigma(\nsim))+A^{\gamma}(\nsim) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) .
$$

Again by the Pötzsche chain rule we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A^{\gamma}(\nsim)\right)^{\Delta} & =\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[A(\nsim)+h \mu(\nsim) A^{\Delta}(\nsim)\right]^{\gamma-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) \\
& =\gamma\left(\int_{0}^{1}[(1-h) A(\nsim)+h A(\sigma(\nsim))]^{\gamma-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) \\
& \leq \gamma A^{\gamma-1}(\sigma(\nsim)) A^{\Delta}(\nsim)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left[A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta} \leq\left(\gamma A^{\gamma}(\sigma(\nsim))+A^{\gamma}(\nsim)\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left(\gamma A^{\gamma}(\sigma(\nsim))+A^{\gamma}(\nsim)\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
= & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left(\gamma\left[\frac{A(\sigma(\nsim))}{A(\nsim)}\right]^{\gamma}+1\right) A^{\Delta}(\nsim) A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& \left.-k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim\right)-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
\leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\gamma}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}+1\right)\left(A_{*}+\varepsilon\right)\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by $A(\zeta)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & \frac{A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}+1\right)\left(A_{*}+\varepsilon\right)\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right] \\
& -\frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the lim sup of both sides as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ and by (2), we obtain

$$
A_{*} \leq\left(\frac{\gamma}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}+1\right)\left(A_{*}+\varepsilon\right)-\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta .
$$

Since $k, \varepsilon>0$ are arbitrary constants, we reach the demanded inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq \gamma\left(\frac{A_{*}}{l \gamma}-a_{*}^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30) and (34), we get

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq \frac{\gamma}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)(\gamma+l \gamma)}
$$

which is in contrast to the condition (20) if $\gamma \geq 1$. The proof is accomplished.
Theorem 2. Let (2) holds, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{T}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\frac{l^{\gamma}}{\gamma+1}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for enough large $T \in\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then all solutions of Equation (1) are oscillatory.
Proof. Assume $z$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Thus, without loss of generality, let $z(\zeta)>0$ and $z(\eta(\zeta))>0$ on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)+\int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left[A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta} w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vartheta=\gamma\left(a_{*}-\varepsilon\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}$. In addition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)\right]^{\Delta} \leq(\gamma+1) A^{\gamma}(\sigma(\nsim)) a^{-1 / \gamma}(\nsim) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (37) into (36) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +(\gamma+1) \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta}\left[\frac{A(\sigma(\nsim))}{A(\nsim)}\right]^{\gamma} a^{-1 / \gamma}(\nsim) A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
\leq & A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)+\frac{\gamma+1}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}\left(a_{*}+\varepsilon\right)\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right] \\
& -k \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[A(\zeta)-A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by $A(\zeta)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) \leq & A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\zeta) \leq \frac{A^{\gamma+1}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) w^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)} \\
& +\frac{(\gamma+1)}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}\left(a_{*}+\varepsilon\right)\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right] \\
& -\frac{k}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta\left[1-\frac{A\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}{A(\zeta)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the lim sup of both sides as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ and by (2), we obtain

$$
a_{*} \leq \frac{(\gamma+1)}{(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}\left(a_{*}+\varepsilon\right)-\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} a^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\vartheta
$$

Since $k, \varepsilon>0$ are arbitrary, we get the required inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq a_{*}\left[\frac{\gamma+1}{l \gamma}-1\right]-\gamma a_{*}^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30) and (38), we obtain

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta_{2}}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq \frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\frac{l^{\gamma}}{\gamma+1}\right)
$$

which is in contrast to the condition (35). The proof is accomplished.
Example 1. Consider the nonlinear dynamic equation of second order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\zeta^{\gamma-1} \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta}+\frac{\delta \zeta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}}}{\phi(\zeta) A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta)} \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma, \beta$, and $\delta$ are positive constants with $\beta \geq \gamma$. Here $a(\zeta)=\zeta^{\gamma-1}$, and $q(\zeta)=\frac{\delta \zeta^{-(\gamma+1)}}{\phi(\zeta) A^{\gamma+1}(\zeta)}$, then the condition (2) holds since

$$
\int^{\infty} \frac{\Delta \not}{a^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\nsim)}=\int^{\infty} \frac{\Delta \nsim}{\varkappa^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}}=\infty
$$

by Example 5.60 in [5]. In addition, a straightforward computation yields that

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim=\delta \liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{\zeta}^{\zeta} \frac{\Delta \nsim}{\nsim \gamma+1}=\delta .
$$

By Theorem 2, every solution of (39) is oscillatory if

$$
\delta>\frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\frac{l^{\gamma}}{\gamma+1}\right)
$$

We present a Fite-Wintner type oscillation criterion for (1). The proof is similar to that in [7], and hence is omitted.

Theorem 3. Let (2) holds, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\infty} q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim=\infty . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solution of Equation (1) is oscillatory.

From Theorem 3, we assume without loss of generality that

$$
\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim<\infty .
$$

Otherwise, we have that (40) holds due to $\phi(\zeta) \leq 1$, which implies that Equation (1) is oscillatory by Theorem 3. The next theorem is generalized Hille type to the second order nonlinear dynamic Equation (1).

Theorem 4. Let (2) holds, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{l \gamma^{2}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solutions of Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume $z(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Thus, without loss of generality, let $z(\zeta)>0$ and $z(\eta(\zeta))>0$ on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. As depicted in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain (24) for $\zeta \geq \zeta_{1}$, for some $\zeta_{1} \in\left(\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $\eta(\zeta) \in\left(\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $\zeta \geq \zeta_{1}$. Also for $\varepsilon>0$, then we can pick $\zeta_{2} \in\left[\zeta_{1}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, sufficiently large, so that (26) and (27) for $\zeta \in\left[\zeta_{2}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Replacing $\zeta$ by $\nsim$ in the inequality (24) and then integrating it from $\sigma(\zeta) \geq \zeta_{2}$ to $v \in[\zeta, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and using the fact $w>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-w(\sigma(\zeta)) & \leq w(v)-w(\sigma(\zeta)) \\
& \leq-k \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{v} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\gamma \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{v} a^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\nsim) w^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $v \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w(\sigma(\zeta)) \leq-k \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\gamma \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} a^{-1 / \gamma}(\nsim) w^{1+1 / \gamma}(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (42) by $A^{\gamma}(\zeta)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) \leq & -k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& -\gamma A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} a^{-1 / \gamma}(\nsim) w^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\sigma(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
= & -k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& -\gamma A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \frac{A^{\Delta}(\nsim)}{A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)}\left[A^{\gamma}(\nsim) w(\sigma(\nsim))\right]^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta \nsim .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (26) that

$$
\begin{align*}
-A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) \leq & -k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \\
& -\left(a_{*}-\varepsilon\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \gamma \frac{A^{\Delta}(\nsim)}{A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim)} \Delta \nsim \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

By Pötzsche chain rule, we reach

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{-1}{A^{\gamma}}\right)^{\Delta}=\gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\left[A+h \mu(\nsim) A^{\Delta}\right]^{\gamma+1}} \mathrm{~d} h A^{\Delta} \leq \gamma \frac{A^{\Delta}}{A^{\gamma+1}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (43) and (44), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta)) & \leq-k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-\left(a_{*}-\varepsilon\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}\left[\frac{A(\zeta)}{A(\sigma(\zeta))}\right]^{\gamma} \\
& \leq-k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim-(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}\left(a_{*}-\varepsilon\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq A^{\gamma}(\zeta) w(\sigma(\zeta))-(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}\left(a_{*}-\varepsilon\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}
$$

By taking the lim inf of both sides as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq a_{*}-(l-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}\left(a_{*}-\varepsilon\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}
$$

Since $k$ and $\varepsilon>0$ are arbitrary, we achieve the following inequality

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq a_{*}-l^{\gamma} a_{*}^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}}
$$

Using the inequality (29) with $z=l^{\gamma}, Y=1$ and $u=a_{*}$, we get the desired inequality

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{l \gamma^{2}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}
$$

which is in contrast to the condition (41). The proof is accomplished in Theorem 4.
Example 2. Consider the nonlinear second order dynamic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta}+\frac{\kappa \gamma}{L \zeta^{\gamma+1}} \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\zeta)))=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma, \beta, \kappa$ are positive constants, and $L=\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\sigma(\zeta)}\right)^{\gamma}$ with $\beta \geq \gamma$. Here $a(\zeta)=1, \eta(\zeta) \geq \zeta$ and $q(\zeta)=\frac{\eta \gamma}{L \zeta^{\gamma+1}}$, then the condition (2) holds, $A(\zeta)=\zeta-\zeta_{0}$ and $\phi(\zeta)=1$. In addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\sim) \Delta \nsim & =\frac{\kappa}{L} \liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma \Delta \nsim}{\nsim+1} \\
& \geq \frac{\kappa}{L} \liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty}\left(\frac{-1}{\nsim \gamma}\right)^{\Delta} \Delta \varkappa \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{L} \liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\sigma(\zeta)}-\frac{\zeta_{0}}{\sigma(\zeta)}\right)^{\gamma}=\kappa
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\kappa>\frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{l \gamma^{2}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}$. Then by Theorem 4, all solutions of (45) are oscillatory if $\kappa>\frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{l \gamma^{2}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}$.
Remark 1. We could refer to the recent results due to [13,14] and others do not apply to Equations (39) and (45).
Theorem 5. Let (2) hold, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>1 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then all solutions of Equation (1) oscillate.
Proof. Assume $z(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (1) on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Thus, without loss of generality, let $z(\zeta)>0$ and $z(\eta(\zeta))>0$ on $\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Integrating both sides of the dynamic Equation (1) from $\zeta$ to $v \in\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\zeta}^{v} q(\nsim) z^{\beta}(\eta(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim=a(\zeta)\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)^{\gamma}-a(v)\left(z^{\Delta}(v)\right)^{\gamma} \leq a(\zeta)\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)^{\gamma} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists $\zeta_{1} \in\left(\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ satisfying $\eta(\zeta) \in\left(\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ for $\zeta \geq \zeta_{1}$ such that for $\zeta \geq \zeta_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\beta}(\eta(\zeta)) \geq k \phi(\zeta) z^{\gamma}(\zeta) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\gamma}(\zeta) \geq a(\zeta)\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)^{\gamma} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (47) and (48), we obtain

$$
k \int_{\zeta}^{v} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) z^{\gamma}(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq a(\zeta)\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)^{\gamma}
$$

Since $z^{\Delta}(\zeta)>0$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k z^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{v} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq a(\zeta)\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)^{\gamma} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (49) and (50), we get

$$
k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{v} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq 1
$$

Taking $v \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
k A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq 1
$$

Since $k>0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq 1
$$

which gives us the contradiction

$$
\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \leq 1
$$

The proof of Theorem 5 is accomplished.

## 3. Oscillation Criteria of (1) when $\beta \leq \gamma$

Assume that

$$
z(\zeta)>0, z(\eta(\zeta))>0, z^{\Delta}(\zeta)>0,\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta}<0
$$

eventually. Integrating Equation (1) from $\zeta$ to $v \in[\zeta, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and then using (22) and the fact that $z^{\Delta}>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-a(v) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(v)\right)+a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right) & =\int_{\zeta}^{v} q(\nsim) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\eta(\nsim))) \Delta \nsim \\
& \geq \int_{\zeta}^{v} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \varphi_{\beta}(z(\nsim)) \Delta \nsim \\
& \geq \varphi_{\beta}(z(\zeta)) \int_{\zeta}^{v} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $a(v) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(v)\right)>0$ gives

$$
a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right) \geq \varphi_{\beta}(z(\zeta)) \int_{\zeta}^{v} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim .
$$

Hence by taking limits as $v \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right) \geq \varphi_{\beta}(z(\zeta)) \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left[a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right)\right]^{\Delta}<0$ eventually, then

$$
a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right) \leq a\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right)=: b \quad \text { for } \zeta \geq \zeta_{2}
$$

and hence from (51), we have

$$
b \geq a(\zeta) \varphi_{\gamma}\left(z^{\Delta}(\zeta)\right) \geq \varphi_{\beta}(z(\zeta)) \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim,
$$

and so

$$
z^{\beta-\gamma}(\zeta)=\left[\varphi_{\beta}(z(\zeta))\right]^{\frac{\beta-\gamma}{\beta}} \geq c\left[\int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim\right]^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\beta}}
$$

where $c:=b^{\frac{\beta-\gamma}{\beta}}>0$. Combining all these we see that for every arbitrary $c>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\beta-\gamma}(\zeta) \geq c\left[\int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim\right]^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\beta}}, \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually. Let

$$
Q(\zeta):=q(\zeta)\left[\int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim\right]^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\beta}}
$$

Therefore, by (52) and the definition of $Q(\zeta)$, as direct consequence of Theorems $1,2,4$ and 5 , we get oscillation criteria for Equation (1) with $\beta \leq \gamma$.

Theorem 6. Let (2) hold, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{T}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) Q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\frac{l^{\gamma}}{\gamma l \gamma+1}\right), & 0<\gamma \leq 1, \\
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{T}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) Q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{\gamma}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)\left(\gamma+l^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}}, & \gamma \geq 1, \tag{53}
\end{array}
$$

for enough large $T \in\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then all solutions of Equation (1) oscillate.

Theorem 7. Let (2) holds, and

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(\zeta)} \int_{T}^{\zeta} A^{\gamma+1}(\nsim) \phi(\nsim) Q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{1}{l \gamma(\gamma+1)}\left(1-\frac{l^{\gamma}}{\gamma+1}\right)
$$

for enough large $T \in\left[\zeta_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then all solutions of Equation (1) oscillate.
Theorem 8. Let (2) holds, and

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\sigma(\zeta)}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) Q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>\frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{l \gamma^{2}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}
$$

Then all solutions of Equation (1) oscillate.

Theorem 9. Let (2) holds, and

$$
\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} A^{\gamma}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \phi(\nsim) Q(\nsim) \Delta \nsim>1
$$

Then all solutions of Equation (1) oscillate.

## 4. Conclusions

(1) In this paper, several Nehari, Hille and Ohriska type oscillation criterion have been given. The applicability of these criteria for (1) on an arbitrary time scale is achieved. The reported results have extended related findings to the differential and dynamics equations of second order as follows:
(i) Condition (41) reduces to (7) in the case if $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}, \gamma=\beta=1, a(\zeta)=1$, and $\eta(\zeta)=\zeta$;
(ii) Condition (41) reduces to (10) in the case when $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}, \gamma=\beta=1, a(\zeta)=1$, and $g(\zeta) \leq \zeta$;
(iii) Condition (41) reduces to (19) under the assumptions that $\gamma=\beta, a^{\Delta}(\zeta) \geq 0$, and $g(\zeta) \leq \zeta$;
(iv) Conditions (46) reduces to (11) supposing that $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}, \gamma=\beta=1, a(\zeta)=1$, and $g(\zeta) \leq \zeta$.
(2) Several oscillation criteria for (1) have been derived in the cases: $\eta(\zeta) \leq \zeta, \eta(\zeta) \geq \zeta, \beta \geq \gamma$, and $\beta \leq \gamma$. In contrast to $[13,14]$, the restrictive condition (18) is not imposed in the oscillation results of the presented case-study. This leads to a great improvement in comparison with the proceeding results.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.S.H.; Data curation, A.A.M.; Formal analysis, T.S.H. and Y.S.; Project administration, Y.S.; Writing-original draft, T.S.H.; Resources, A.A.M.; Supervision, T.S.H. and Y.S.; Investigation, A.A.M.; Validation, T.S.H., Y.S. and A.A.M.; Writing-review \& editing, T.S.H., Y.S. and A.A.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Funding: The reported study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61873110 and the Foundation of Taishan Scholar of Shandong Province under Grant ts20190938.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. There are not any non-financial competing interests (political, personal, religious, ideological, academic, intellectual, commercial, or any other) to declare in relation to this manuscript.

## References

1. Hilger, S. Analysis on measure chains - A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. Results Math. 1990, 18, 18-56. [CrossRef]
2. Bohner, M.; Peterson, A. Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications; Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, USA, 2001.
3. Kac, V.; Chueng, P. Quantum Calculus; Universitext: Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 2002.
4. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; O'Regan, D.; Peterson, A. Dynamic equations on time scales: A survey. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 2002, 141, 1-26. [CrossRef]
5. Bohner, M.; Peterson, A. Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales; Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, USA, 2003.
6. Nehari, Z. Oscillation criteria for second-order linear differential equations. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 1957, 85, 428-445. [CrossRef]
7. Fite, W.B. Concerning the zeros of the solutions of certain differential equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1918, 19, 341-352. [CrossRef]
8. Hille, E. Non-oscillation theorems. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 1948, 64, 234-252. [CrossRef]
9. Wong, J.S. Second order oscillation with retarded arguments. In Ordinary Differential Equations; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1972; pp. 581-596.
10. Erbe, L. Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear delay equations. Can. Math. Bull. 1973, 16, 49-56. [CrossRef]
11. Ohriska, J. Oscillation of second order delay and ordinary differential equations. Czech. Math. J. 1984, 34, 107-112. [CrossRef]
12. Thandapani, E.; Ravi, K.; Graef, J. Oscillation and comparison theorems for half-linear second order difference equations. Comput. Math. Appl. 2001, 42, 953-960. [CrossRef]
13. Erbe, L.; Hassan, T.S.; Peterson, A.; Saker, S.H. Oscillation criteria for half-linear delay dynamic equations on time scales. Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory 2009, 9, 51-68.
14. Erbe, L.; Hassan, T.S.; Peterson, A.; Saker, S.H. Oscillation criteria for sublinear half-linear delay dynamic equations on time scales. Int. J. Differ. Eq. 2008, 3, 227-245.
15. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Li, T.; Zhang, C. Hille and Nehari type criteria for third order delay dynamic equations. J. Differ. Eq. Appl. 2013, 19, 1563-1579. [CrossRef]
16. Baculikova, B. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear noncanonical differential equations with deviating argument. Appl. Math. Lett. 2019, 91, 68-75. [CrossRef]
17. Bazighifan, O.; El-Nabulsi, E.M. Different techniques for studying oscillatory behavior of solution of differential equations. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics Volume forthcoming, Number Forthcoming (2020). Available online: https:/ /projecteuclid.org/euclid.rmjm/1596037174 (accessed on 19 October 2020).
18. Bohner, M.; Hassan, T.S. Oscillation and boundedness of solutions to first and second order forced functional dynamic equations with mixed nonlinearities. Appl. Anal. Discret. Math. 2009, 3, 242-252. [CrossRef]
19. Bohner, M.; Hassan, T.S.; Li, T. Fite-Hille-Wintner-type oscillation criteria for second-order half-linear dynamic equations with deviating arguments. Indag. Math. 2018, 29, 548-560. [CrossRef]
20. Džurina, J.; Jadlovská, I. A sharp oscillation result for second-order half-linear noncanonical delay differential equations. Electron. J. Qual. Theory 2020, 46, 1-14.
21. Elabbasy, E.M.; El-Nabulsi, R.A.; Moaaz, O.; Bazighifan, O. Oscillatory properties of solutions of even order differential equations. Symmetry 2020, 12, 212. [CrossRef]
22. Erbe, L.; Peterson, A. Oscillation criteria for second-order matrix dynamic equations on a time scale. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 2002, 141, 169-185. [CrossRef]
23. Erbe, L.; Peterson, A. Boundedness and oscillation for nonlinear dynamic equations on a time scale. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 2003, 132, 735-744. [CrossRef]
24. Erbe, L.; Hassan, T.S. New oscillation criteria for second order sublinear dynamic equations. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 2013, 22, 49-63.
25. Erbe, L.; Peterson, A.; Saker, S.H. Hille and Nehari type criteria for third order dynamic equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2007, 329, 112-131. [CrossRef]
26. Grace, S.R.; Bohner, M.; Agarwal, R.P. On the oscillation of second-order half-linear dynamic equations. J. Differ. Eq. Appl. 2009, 15, 451-460. [CrossRef]
27. Hassan, T.S.; Agarwal, R.P.; Mohammed,W. Oscillation criteria for third-order functional half-linear dynamic equations. Adv. Differ. Eq. 2017, 2017, 111. [CrossRef]
28. Erbe, L.; Hassan, T.S.; Peterson, A. Oscillation criteria for second order sublinear dynamic equations with damping term. J. Differ. Eq. Appl. 2011, 17, 505-523.
29. Leighton, W . The detection of the oscillation of solutions of asecond order linear differential equation. Duke J. Math. 1950, 17, 57-62. [CrossRef]
30. Karpuz, B. Hille-Nehari theorems for dynamic equations with a time scale independent critical constant. Appl. Math. Comput. 2019, 346, 336-351. [CrossRef]
31. Řehak, P. New results on critical oscillation constants depending on a graininess. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 2010, 19, 271-288.
32. Sun, S.; Han, Z.; Zhao, P.; Zhang, C. Oscillation for a class of second-order Emden-Fowler delay dynamic equations on time scales. Adv. Differ. Eq. 2010, 2010, 642356. [CrossRef]
33. Wintner, A. On the nonexistence of conjugate points. Am. J. Math. 1951, 73, 368-380. [CrossRef]
34. Sun, Y.; Hassan, T.S. Oscillation criteria for functional dynamic equations with nonlinearities given by Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, 2014, 697526. [CrossRef]
35. Zhang, Q.; Gao, L.; Wang, L. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales. Comput. Math. Appl. 2011, 61, 2342-2348. [CrossRef]

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Article

# Multi-Wavelets Galerkin Method for Solving the System of Volterra Integral Equations 

Hoang Viet Long ${ }^{1,2(\mathbb{D}}$, Haifa Bin Jebreen ${ }^{3, *(\mathbb{D}}$ and Stefania Tomasiello ${ }^{4}$ (D)<br>1 Division of Computational Mathematics and Engineering, Institute for Computational Science, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City 70000, Vietnam; hoangvietlong@tdtu.edu.vn<br>2 Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City 70000, Vietnam<br>3 Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia<br>4 Institute of Computer Science, University of Tartu, 50090 Tartu, Estonia; stefania.tomasiello@ut.ee<br>* Correspondence: hjebreen@ksu.edu.sa

Received: 1 July 2020; Accepted: 12 August 2020; Published: 15 August 2020


#### Abstract

In this work, an efficient algorithm is proposed for solving the system of Volterra integral equations based on wavelet Galerkin method. This problem is reduced to a set of algebraic equations using the operational matrix of integration and wavelet transform matrix. For linear type, the computational effort decreases by thresholding. The convergence analysis of the proposed scheme has been investigated and it is shown that its convergence is of order $O\left(2^{-J r}\right)$, where $J$ is the refinement level and $r$ is the multiplicity of multi-wavelets. Several numerical tests are provided to illustrate the ability and efficiency of the method.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study and construct a novel numerical algorithm for the system of Volterra integral equations of the second kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(x)=\mathbf{f}(x)+\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{g}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t)) d t, \quad x \in \Omega:=[0,1] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{f}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a given real-valued continuous function, $\mathbf{u}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the unknown function that will be determined and the function $\mathbf{g}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $S=\{(x, t): x, t \in \Omega\}$ is a given linear or nonlinear function of $\mathbf{u}$ which satisfies the following Lipschitz condition with respect to the third variable: for all $x, t \in[0,1]$ and for all $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbf{g}\left(x, t, \mathbf{u}_{1}(t)\right)-\mathbf{g}\left(x, t, \mathbf{u}_{2}(t)\right)\right| \leq A\left|\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{2}\right| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the functions $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ are considered so that the Equation (1) has a unique solution.
Equation (1) is the general form of second-order Volterra integral equation and appears in scientific applications in chemistry, engineering, mathematics, and physics [1-4]. Numerical and analytical solutions of linear and nonlinear Volterra integral equations have been investigated in many papers. A useful method to solve such equations is the Adomian decomposition method. This method was used to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions of this type of equation [5,6]. One of the best paper which utilizes the multi-wavelets for solving integro-differential equations was presented by Saray [7]. In [7], an efficient algorithm was proposed for solving the Volterra integro-differential equation. This method outperforming former approaches. Golbabai et al. [8] developed a general
method based on radial basis function networks to solve the system of Volterra integral equations. The modified homotopy perturbation method for solving this type of equation has been proposed by Aminikhah et al. [5,9]. Kıliçman et al. [10] used Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule to solve this equation. Aguilar and Brunner used collocation techniques based on spline polynomials [11]. The umbral calculus and the Laplace transform methods were used as solution approaches as well [12].

Wavelets and specially multi-wavelets Galerkin method represent an efficient way to solve a variety of equations, including ordinary differential equations (ODEs), partial differential equations (PDEs), and integral equations [7,13,14]. Due to the discrete-time characterization of wavelet coefficient decay, the sparse form of the coefficients matrices arises. This property is very useful to reduce the computational cost. In this work, we aim to solve the system of the Volterra integral equation using Alpert's multi-wavelets by exploiting the above-mentioned property. Some results are formally proved and supported by numerical experiments.

The paper is structured as follows. A brief introduction of the Alpert's multi-wavelets is provided in Section 2. In Section 3, the wavelet Galerkin method is used to approximate the solution of the problem, and the convergence analysis is investigated. Some numerical experiments are performed to illustrate the efficiency and accuracy of the proposed method.

## 2. Alpert's Multi-Wavelets and Multiresolution Analysis

Alpert et al. [13,15] introduced a class of multi-wavelets for $L^{2}$, which are indexed by a parameter $r \geq 0$ and built via Lagrange polynomials of degree less than $r$. These multi-wavelets are piecewise polynomials that are locally supported and orthonormal. The multiresolution analysis (MRA) framework, introduced and developed by Mallat [16] and Meyer [17], is useful to construct these bases.

According to MRA, a set of primal scaling functions $\left\{\phi_{0,0}^{0}, \ldots, \phi_{0,0}^{r-1}\right\}$ is introduced for primal subspace $V_{0}^{r} \in L^{2}[0,1]$. By translation and dilation of primal scaling functions $\left\{\phi^{k}\right\}, k=0, \ldots, r-1$, we determine a space $V_{j}^{r}$,

$$
V_{j}^{r}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\phi_{j, b}^{k}:=\mathcal{D}_{2 j} \mathcal{T}_{b} \phi^{k}, b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}, k=0,1, \ldots, r-1\right\},
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{b}$ are the dilation and translation operators, respectively such that for a given function $h, \mathcal{D}_{a} h(x)=a^{\frac{1}{2}} h(a x)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{b} h(x)=h(x-b)$, also $\mathcal{B}_{j}:=\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \cup\{0\}$. Therefore, $\phi_{j, b}^{k}$ is a polynomial of degree less than $k$ which is restricted to $I_{j, b}=\left[x_{j, b}, x_{j, b+1}\right]$ where $x_{j, b}:=2^{-j} b$ and $\Omega:=[0,1]=\bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}} I_{j, b}$.

For a fixed integer $J \geq 0$, the orthogonal projection $\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r} h$ of $h \in L^{2}[0,1]$ onto $V_{J}^{r}$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \approx \mathcal{P}_{J}^{r}(h)=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{J}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left\langle h, \phi_{J, b}^{k}\right\rangle \phi_{J, b}^{k} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $h_{J, b}^{k}=\left\langle f, \phi_{J, b}^{k}\right\rangle$ are determined by $h_{J, b}^{k}=\int_{I_{J, b}} h(x) \phi_{J, b}^{k}(x) d x$ [18-20]. To avoid computing integrals, the Gauss-Legendre Quadrature are applied as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{J, b}^{k} \approx 2^{-J / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k}}{2}} h\left(2^{-J}\left(\frac{\tau_{k}+1}{2}+b\right)\right), \quad k=0, \ldots, r-1, b \in \mathcal{B}_{J} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{k}$ and $\tau_{k}$ are the Gauss-Legendre Quadrature weights and the roots of Legendre polynomial of order $r$, respectively which are introduced in [21-23]. The projection $\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r} h$ converges to $h$ if the function $h \in C^{r}(\Omega)$ ( $r$ times continuously differentiable) [15]. $\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r} h$ approximates $h$ with mean error bounded as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r}(h)-h\right\| \leq 2^{-J r} \frac{2}{4^{r} r!} \sup _{x \in \Omega}\left|h^{(r)}(x)\right| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\Phi_{J}^{r}:=\left[\Phi_{r, J, 0,}, \ldots, \Phi_{r, J, 2 J-1}\right]^{T}$, where $\Phi_{r, J, b}:=\left[\phi_{J, b^{b}}^{0}, \ldots, \phi_{J, b}^{r-1}\right] . \Phi_{J}^{r}$ refers to the function vector called a multi-scaling function. In fact, $\Phi_{J}^{r}$ is a function vector which includes the scaling function in the space $V_{J}^{r}$. By this definition, one can rewrite (3) viz, $\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r}(h)=H^{T} \Psi_{J}^{r}$ where $H$ is a vector with entries $H_{b r+k+1}:=h_{J, b}^{k}$ and has dimension $N:=r 2^{J}$.

This construction of multi-wavelets for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ can be extended to the two-dimensional space including $L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$. Let us introduce the space $V_{J}^{r, 2}:=V_{J}^{r} \times V_{J}^{r}$ which is spanned by orthonormal bases $\left\{\phi_{J, b}^{k} \phi_{J, b^{\prime}}^{\prime^{\prime}}: b, b^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{J}, \quad k, k^{\prime}=0,1, \ldots, r-1\right\}$. Therefore, any function $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}$ can be projected onto the $V_{J}^{r, 2}$ by the projection $\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r}$ via,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \approx \mathcal{P}_{J}^{r} h=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \sum_{b^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{j}} H_{r b+(k+1), r b^{\prime}+\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)} \phi_{J, b}^{k}(x) \phi_{J, b^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}(y)=\Phi_{J}^{r T}(x) H \Phi_{J}^{r}(y), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is an $(N \times N)$ matrix whose elements are obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r b+(k+1), r b^{\prime}+\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)} \approx 2^{-J} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k}}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k^{\prime}}}{2}} h\left(2^{-J}\left(\hat{\tau}_{k}+b\right), 2^{-J}\left(\hat{\tau}_{k^{\prime}}+b^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\tau}_{k}=\left(\tau_{k}+1\right) / 2$. By the following theorem, it is possible to bound the error for such projection, if the function $h$ is sufficiently smooth.

Theorem 1 ([15]). Suppose that the function $h:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ has continuous partial derivatives of order $r$ and mixed partial derivative of order $2 r$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r} h-h\right\| \leq \mathcal{M}_{\max } \frac{2^{1-r J}}{4^{r} r!}\left(2+\frac{2^{1-J r}}{4^{r} r!}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\max }=\max \left\{\sup _{\tilde{\xi} \in[0,1)}\left|\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial x^{r}} h(\xi, y)\right|, \sup _{\eta \in[0,1)}\left|\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial y^{r}} h(x, \eta)\right|, \sup _{\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \in[0,1)}\left|\frac{\partial^{2 r}}{\partial x^{r} \partial y^{r}} h\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right|\right\} .
$$

As the subspaces $V_{j}^{r}$ are nested, there exist complementary orthogonal subspaces $W_{j}^{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{j+1}^{r}=V_{j}^{r} \bigoplus W_{j}^{r}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \cup\{0\}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

here and in the following $\oplus$ denotes orthogonal sums. There is a family of other bases such that the dilations and translations of these bases span the complementary subspaces $W_{j}^{r}$, namely,

$$
W_{j}^{r}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\psi_{j, b}^{k}:=\mathcal{D}_{2 j} \mathcal{T}_{b} \psi^{k}, b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}, k=0,1, \ldots, r-1\right\} .
$$

The functions $\psi_{j, b}^{k}$ are called multi-wavelets. Due to (9), the multi-scale decomposition can be inductively found, $V_{J}^{r}=V_{0}^{r} \oplus\left(\oplus_{j=0}^{J-1} W_{j}^{r}\right)$. This decomposition gives rise to the multi-scale projection operator $\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}$ that maps $L^{2}(\Omega)$ onto $V_{J}^{r}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \approx \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(h)=\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}^{r}+\sum_{j=0}^{J-1} \mathcal{Q}_{j}^{r}\right)(h), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{j}^{r}$ is the orthonormal projection operator that maps $L^{2}(\Omega)$ onto $W_{j}^{r}$. In fact, by using multi-scale projection operator, any function $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ can be approximated by multi-wavelets of higher levels $W_{j}^{r}, j=0,1, \ldots, J-1$ and the multi-scaling functions of the coarse space $V_{0}^{r}$ viz,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \approx \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(h)=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} h_{0,0}^{k} \phi_{0,0}^{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{J-1} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \tilde{h}_{j, b}^{k} \psi_{j, b}^{k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0,0}^{k}:=\left\langle h, \phi_{0,0}^{k}\right\rangle, \quad \tilde{h}_{j, b}^{k}:=\left\langle h, \psi_{j, b}^{k}\right\rangle . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the single-scale coefficients $h_{0,0}^{k}$ can be determined by (4). However, for evaluating the multi-wavelets coefficients $\tilde{h}_{j, b}^{k}$ of higher levels, in many cases, they have to be calculated numerically. To avoid such numerical computations, the wavelet transform matrix $T_{J}$ can be applied, as introduced in [14,24]. This matrix is useful to find the multi-wavelets by using the scaling functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{J}^{r}=T_{J} \Phi_{J}^{r}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{J}^{r}:=\left[\Phi_{r, 0, b}, \Psi_{r, 0, b}, \Psi_{r, 1, b}, \ldots, \Psi_{r, J-1, b}\right]^{T}$ and $\Psi_{r, j, b}:=\left[\psi_{j, b}^{0}, \ldots, \psi_{j, b}^{r-1}\right], b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}$. Using the vector function $\Psi_{J}^{r}$ and (11), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \approx \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(h)=\tilde{H}_{J}^{T} \Psi_{J}^{r} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{H}_{J}$ is an $N$-dimensional vector with entries $h_{0,0}^{k}$ and $\tilde{h}_{j, b}^{k}$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}, j=0, \ldots, J-1$ and $k=0, \ldots, r-1$. Besides, it is obvious that $\tilde{H}_{J}=T_{J}^{-1^{T}} H_{J}$.

## Thresholding

Alpert's multi-wavelets provide vanishing moments of order $N_{\psi}^{k}=k+r-1$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, r-1$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{p}^{k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{p} \psi_{0,0}^{k}(x) d x, \quad 0 \leq p<N_{\psi}^{k}, \text { and } k=0,1, \ldots, r-1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, Alpert's multi-wavelets are uniformly bounded concerning to $L_{\infty}$ and $L_{1}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{J, b}^{k}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \lesssim 1, \quad\left\|\psi_{J, b}^{k}\right\|_{L_{1}(\Omega)} \lesssim 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vanishing moments and normalization (16) imply that the detail coefficients $\tilde{h}_{J, b}^{k}$ become small when the underlying function is locally smooth. Therefore it is possible to obtain [25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}_{J, b}^{k}=\left|\left\langle h, \psi_{J, b}^{k}\right\rangle\right| \leq \inf _{P \in \prod_{N_{\psi}^{k}}}\left|\left\langle h-P, \psi_{J, b}^{k}\right\rangle\right| \lesssim 2^{-J N_{\psi}^{k}}\|h\|_{W^{1, N_{\psi}^{k}}(\Omega)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the detail coefficients decay at the rate of $2^{-J N_{\psi}^{k}}$ and in the regions where the function is smooth, most of the detail coefficients may be discarded when the refinement level $J$ increases. This gives rise to thresholding. The thresholding operator $\mathcal{T}_{D_{\varepsilon}}$ is introduced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{D_{\varepsilon}}\left(\tilde{H}_{J}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{J} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(J, b, k):\left|\tilde{h}_{J, b}^{k}\right|>\varepsilon\right\}$ and the elements of $\mathcal{H}_{J}$ are defined by

$$
\bar{h}_{j, b}^{k}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{h}_{j, b^{\prime}}^{k} & (j, b, k) \in D_{\varepsilon},  \tag{19}\\
0, & \text { else, }
\end{array} \quad b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}, j=0, \ldots, J-1, k=0, \ldots, r-1 .\right.
$$

Note that the thresholding affects only the detail coefficients while the coarse scale coefficients remain unchanged.

The approximation error due to the thresholding can be estimated similarly to the classical wavelets. Let $\mathcal{A}_{D_{\varepsilon}}$ be the approximation operator $\mathcal{A}_{D_{\varepsilon}}:=\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r-1} \mathcal{T}_{D_{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}$. The approximation error due to the thresholding can be bounded as stated by the following proposition [25].

Proposition 1. (Approximation error). Let $\Omega$ be bounded and $\varepsilon_{j}=\bar{a}^{j-J_{\varepsilon}}$ with $\bar{a}>1$. Then the approximation error concerning to the set of significant details $D_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded concerning to $L^{q}(\Omega), q \in[1, \infty]$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r} h-\mathcal{P}_{J, D_{\varepsilon}}^{r} h\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{t h r} \varepsilon, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{t h r}>0$ independent of $J, \varepsilon$. Here $\mathcal{P}_{J}^{r} h$ and $\mathcal{P}_{J, D_{\varepsilon}}^{r}$ h are the projections according to (11) corresponding to the coefficients $\tilde{H}_{J}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{D_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{H}_{J}$.

## 3. Multi-Wavelets Galerkin Method

In this section, we use the wavelet Galerkin method to solve the system of the Volterra integral Equation (1). To this end, we will apply the interpolation property of scaling functions to reach an efficient algorithm. Assume that the solution $\mathbf{u}(x)$ of Equation (1) can be expanded using multi-scale projection operator $\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}$ based on multi-wavelets as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(x) \approx \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})(x)=\left(\mathcal{P}_{0}^{r}+\sum_{j=0}^{J-1} \mathcal{Q}_{j}^{r}\right)(\mathbf{u})(x)=\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{T} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}(x), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\otimes$ is the Kronecker product and $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}=\left(\tilde{U}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \tilde{U}_{n}^{T}\right)^{T}$ is a $\left(1 \times n r 2^{J}\right)$ vector whose elements are $n$ unknown sub-vectors $\tilde{U}_{i}$ with a dimension of $\left(r 2^{J} \times 1\right)$ such that

$$
u_{i}(x):=\tilde{U}_{i}^{T} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

One can imagine two types of equations, linear and nonlinear. For the linear type, the $i$-th component of the vector function $\mathbf{g}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t))$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{j}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t)):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i}(x, t) u_{i}(t), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it can be approximated by multi-scale operator, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j i}(x, t) u_{i}(t) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{P}_{J}^{r}\left(a_{j i} u_{i}\right)(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{J}^{r T}(x) A_{j i}^{T} \Phi_{J}^{r}(t) \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi_{J}^{r T}(x) T_{J} A_{j i}^{T} T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(t), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{j i}(j, i=1: n)$ are $\left(r 2^{J} \times r 2^{J}\right)$ matrices. Integrating from 0 to x , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{g}_{j}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t)) d t & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\Psi_{J}^{r} T}_{p_{j}(x)}(x) T_{J} A_{j i}^{T} T_{J}^{-1} I_{\psi} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x)  \tag{23}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}^{T} T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{U}_{i}^{T} \mathcal{A}_{j i} T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(t),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{j i}(j, i=1: n)$ are $\left(r 2^{J} \times r 2^{J}\right)$ matrices and the rest are $\left(r 2^{J} \times 1\right)$ vectors. But if the $j$-th component of vector function $\mathbf{g}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t))$ is nonlinear, one can consider the following expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{x} g_{j}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t)) d t \approx \mathcal{P}_{J}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{x} g_{j}\left(x, t, \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})(t)\right) d t\right)  \tag{24}\\
& G_{j}^{T} \Phi_{J}^{r}(x)=G_{j}^{T} T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{j}$ is a $\left(r 2^{J} \times 1\right)$ vector whose elements are nonlinear algebraic equations. In view of the Equations (23) and (24), and using operational matrix $I_{\psi}$ of integration for multi-wavelets introduced in $[7,14,15]$, one can write

$$
\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{g}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t)) d t \approx \begin{cases}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{T} \Gamma^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x), & \text { linear, }  \tag{25}\\ \mathbf{G}^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x), & \text { nonlinear }\end{cases}
$$

with $\Gamma:=(\mathcal{A})_{j i},(j, i=1: n)$ and $\mathbf{G}:=\left(G_{1}^{T}, G_{2}^{T}, \ldots, G_{n}^{T}\right)^{T}$.
Such an approximation can be considered for the j-th element of $f$ viz,

$$
f_{j}(x) \approx \mathcal{P}_{J}^{r}\left(f_{j}\right)(x)=F_{j}^{T} \Phi_{J}^{r}(x)=F_{j}^{T} T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x)
$$

and thus by putting $\mathbf{F}:=\left(F_{1}^{T}, F_{2}^{T}, \ldots, F_{n}^{T}\right)^{T}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f} \approx \mathbf{F}^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we introduce the residual as

$$
r_{J}^{r}(x)=\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{T} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}(x)-\mathbf{F}^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x)- \begin{cases}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{T} \Gamma^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x), & \text { linear, }  \tag{27}\\ \mathbf{G}^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1} \Psi_{J}^{r}(x), & \text { nonlinear. }\end{cases}
$$

To apply the Galerkin method, it is necessary that $\left\langle r_{J}^{r}, \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\rangle=0$. Thus we have

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{T}-\mathbf{F}^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1}- \begin{cases}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{T} \Gamma^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1}=0, & \text { linear, }  \tag{28}\\ \mathbf{G}^{T} \otimes T_{J}^{-1}= & \text { nonlinear. }\end{cases}
$$

By solving this system of linear and nonlinear equations using restarted generalized minimal residual method (GMRES) and Newton methods, respectively, we obtain the approximate solution of the Equation (1). Note that because we use the Galerkin method with orthogonal bases, such a system will have a unique solution [26].

## Convergence Analysis

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\mathbf{e}_{J}=\mathbf{u}-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})$ where $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})$ are the exact and approximate solutions of nonlinear system (1), respectively. Let $X$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}$ and let $g: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $g(x, t, \mathbf{u}(x)) \in C^{r}(\Omega)$ for any $\mathbf{u} \in X$ and the condition (2) is satisfied. Furthermore, presume that $\mathbf{f} \in C^{r}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, assume that the residual $\mathbf{e}:=\tilde{r}-\left(r_{J}^{r}\right)$ where the residual $\tilde{r}$ is specified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}(x)=u(x)-f(x)-\int_{0}^{x} g(x, t, u(t)) d t \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $r_{J}^{r}$ is introduced in (27).
If $\mathbf{u} \in C^{r}(\Omega)$, and the method used to solve system (28) is convergent then one has

$$
\|\mathbf{e}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2^{1-J r}}{4^{r} r!}\left(\left|1+\sqrt{n r 2^{J}} \kappa\right| \sup _{x \in \Omega}|\mathbf{u}(x)|+\sup _{x \in \Omega}|\mathbf{f}(x)|\right)
$$

where $\kappa$ is a positive constant. Consequently, $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow 0$ when $J \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Using (27), (29) and the hypotheses of the theorem, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}(x)=\mathbf{e}_{J}(x)-\left(\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right)-\left(\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{g}(x, t, \mathbf{u}(t)) d t-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{g}\left(x, t, \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})\right)(t) d t\right)\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{e}:=\tilde{r}-\left(r_{J}^{r}\right)$. Since the function $\mathbf{g}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition (2), Equation (30) can be reduced to

$$
\mathbf{e}(x)=\mathbf{e}_{J}(x)-\left(\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right)-A \int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{e}_{J}(t) d t
$$

Now, suppose that

$$
\mathbf{e} \approx \mathcal{E} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{J} \approx \mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{J}$ are the $\left(1 \times n r 2^{J}\right)$ vectors and thus, one can write

$$
\mathcal{E} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}=\mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}-\left(\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right)-A \mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes I_{\psi} \Psi_{J}^{r}
$$

Taking $L_{2}$-norm from both sides and using the triangle inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{E} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leq\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes I_{\psi} \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|\Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|I_{\psi} \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|\Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|\Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second row comes from theorem 8 in [27]. Since Alpert multi-wavelets are orthonormal, one can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{E}\|_{2}^{2} & \leq\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left(\left\|I_{n r 2}\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the previous section, when $\Psi_{J}^{r}$ has high vanishing moments and the function $h$ is smooth, $\left\langle h, \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\rangle$ decays fast in $J \rightarrow \infty$. By means of vanishing moments of Alpert's multi-wavelets and the matrix norms inequalities, we get

$$
\|\mathcal{E}\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left|1+{\sqrt{n r 2^{J}}}^{\kappa}\right|+\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

where $\kappa=A\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}$. Now Equation (5) leads to the desired result

$$
\|\mathcal{E}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2^{1-J r}}{4^{r} r!}\left(\left|1+\sqrt{n r 2^{j}} \mathcal{K}\right| \sup _{x \in \Omega}|\mathbf{u}(x)|+\sup _{x \in \Omega}|\mathbf{f}(x)|\right)
$$

Theorem 3. Let the condition of Theorem 2 be valid. Suppose that $\mathbf{u}_{J}$ is the approximate solution obtained from solving (28) using restarted GMRES or Newton methods. If these methods solve (28) with proper accuracy, the error can be estimated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left(1-A\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{2^{1-J r}}{4^{r} r!} \sup _{x \in \Omega}|\mathbf{f}(x)|+\eta \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is a small positive number that desire to zero.

Proof. Taking $\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})$ as the approximate solution of (1) and $\mathbf{u}_{J}$ as the approximate solution obtained from solving (28) using restarted GMRES or Newton methods, the convergence can be concluded from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\| \leq\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})\right\|+\left\|\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\| . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximate solution of (1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})(x)=\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)+\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{g}\left(x, t, \mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})(t) d t\right)\right. \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (33) from (1), and using the Lipschits condition (2), one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}_{J} \leq \mathbf{f}-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})+A \int_{0}^{x} \mathbf{e}_{J} d t \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider $\mathbf{e}_{J} \approx \mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}$ where $\mathcal{E}_{J}$ is the $\left(1 \times n r 2^{J}\right)$ vector and thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}=\left(\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right)+A \mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes I_{\psi} \Psi_{J}^{r} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $L_{2}$-norm from both sides of (35) and using Theorem 8 in [27] yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leq\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J} \otimes I_{\psi} \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|I_{\psi} \Psi_{J}^{r}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since Alpert multi-wavelets are orthonormal, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}+A\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2}\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore one can bound the error of $\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})\right\|$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{E}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left(1-A\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\|\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{f})(x)\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the theorem hypotheses, the methods used to solve the obtained system are convergent. So $\eta:=\left\|\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(\mathbf{u})-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\|$ will be very small. Inequality (31) is obtained using (5) and (32), i.e.,

$$
\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left(1-A\left\|I_{\psi}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{2^{1-J r}}{4^{r} r!} \sup _{x \in \Omega}|\mathbf{f}(x)|+\eta
$$

## 4. Numerical Examples

In this section, some numerical experiments are considered to illustrate the convergence and efficiency of the proposed method. To this end, we report the $L^{2}$ errors of the solution which is defined by

$$
\xi_{u}:=\left\|u-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(u)\right\|_{2}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u(x)-\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(u)(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $u$ and $\mathcal{M}_{J}^{r}(u)$ are the exact and approximate solution of systems (1), respectively. In order to get the sparse coefficients matrix in the linear type, all the entries of this matrix that are less than a small positive number $\varepsilon$ are set to zero. Finally, one can find the rate of sparsity $S_{\varepsilon}$ which is defined by [28]

$$
S_{\varepsilon}=\frac{N_{0}-N_{\varepsilon}}{N_{0}} \times 100 \%
$$

where $N_{0}$ is the total number of elements and $N_{\varepsilon}$ the number of elements remaining after thresholding.
Example 1. Let us run the proposed method on the following linear Volterra integral equation $[5,29]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x)=-\int_{0}^{x} e^{-(s-x)} u_{1}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{x} \cos (s-x) u_{2}(s) d s+\cosh (x)+x \sin (x) \\
& u_{2}(x)=-\int_{0}^{x} e^{s+x} u_{1}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{x} x \cos (s) u_{2}(s) d s+2 \sin (x)+x\left(\sin ^{2}(x)+e^{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact solution is $\mathbf{u}(x)=\left(e^{-x}, 2 \sin (x)\right)$. The effect of thresholding on $L_{2}$-errors and sparsity percentage is reported in Table 1 for different values of $r, J$ ad $\varepsilon$. To illustrate the effect of the refinement level $J$ and the multiplicity parameter $r$ on $L^{2}$ error, Figure 1 is plotted. Figure 2 shows sparse matrix when $r=5$, $J=3$ and $\varepsilon=10^{-4}, 10^{-2}$.

Table 1. Effects of parameters $r, J$ and $\varepsilon$ on sparsity and $L^{2}$-error for Example 1.

|  |  | Without Thresholding |  | $\varepsilon=10^{-5}$ |  | $\varepsilon=10^{-3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ | J | $S_{\varepsilon}$ | $L^{2}$-Error | $S_{\varepsilon}$ | $L^{2}$-Error | $S_{\varepsilon}$ | $L^{2}$-Error |
|  | 2 | 6.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=3.24 \times 10^{-5} \\ & \xi_{u_{2}}=8.41 \times 10^{-5} \end{aligned}$ | 24.48 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=3.30 \times 10^{-5} \\ & \xi u_{2}=8.41 \times 10^{-5} \end{aligned}$ | 49.83 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=2.42 \times 10^{-4} \\ & \xi_{u_{2}}=3.04 \times 10^{-4} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 3 | 17.19 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=4.05 \times 10^{-6} \\ & \xi_{u_{2}}=1.05 \times 10^{-5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 53.30 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=6.02 \times 10^{-6} \\ & \xi u_{2}=1.26 \times 10^{-5} \end{aligned}$ | 74.13 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=2.41 \times 10^{-4} \\ & \xi_{u_{2}}=5.15 \times 10^{-4} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | 6.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=6.38 \times 10^{-9} \\ & \xi_{u_{2}}=1.66 \times 10^{-8} \end{aligned}$ | 45.69 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=4.62 \times 10^{-6} \\ & \xi u_{2}=1.28 \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned}$ | 70.69 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=1.53 \times 10^{-4} \\ & \xi_{u_{2}}=6.51 \times 10^{-4} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 3 | 17.19 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=2.00 \times 10^{-10} \\ & \xi_{u_{2}}=5.19 \times 10^{-10} \end{aligned}$ | 71.42 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=1.24 \times 10^{-5} \\ & \xi u_{2}=8.54 \times 10^{-6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 86.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \xi_{u_{1}}=1.53 \times 10^{-4} \\ & \xi u_{2}=6.52 \times 10^{-4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |



Figure 1. Effects of the refinement level $J$ (left) and the multiplicity parameter $r$ (right) on $L^{2}$ error when $r=5$ and $J=2$ for Example 1 .


Figure 2. Plot of sparse matrix after thresholding with $\varepsilon=10^{-4}$ (left) and $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$ (right) for Example 1.

Example 2. Let us consider the following system of Volterra integral equation as a further example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x)=-\frac{x^{5}}{3}-\frac{x^{4}}{4}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}+x+\int_{0}^{x}\left(x^{2}-s\right)\left(u_{1}(s)+u_{2}(s)\right) d s \\
& u_{2}(x)=\frac{x^{3}}{2}-\frac{x^{4}}{3}+x^{2}-\int_{0}^{x} x\left(u_{1}(s)-u_{2}(s)\right) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution is reported in $[5,30]$ and is $\mathbf{u}=\left(x, x^{2}\right)$. To illustrate the effect of thresholding on the coefficients matrix obtained from proposed method, the graph in Figure 3 is provided. Figure 4 shows the effect of parameters $r$ and $J$.


Figure 3. Effects of the refinement level $J$ (left) and the multiplicity parameter $r$ (right) on $L^{2}$ error when $r=4$ and $J=3$ for Example 2.


Figure 4. Plot of sparse matrix after thresholding with $\varepsilon=10^{-5}$ (left) and $\varepsilon=10^{-3}$ (right) for Example 2.

Example 3. Let us consider the following nonlinear system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x)=\sin (x)-x+\int_{0}^{x}\left(u_{1}^{2}(s)+u_{2}^{2}(s)\right) d s \\
& u_{2}(x)=\cos (x)-\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2}(x)+\int_{0}^{x} u_{1}(s) u_{2}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact solutions of this system are $u_{1}=\sin (x)$ and $u_{2}=\cos (x)$ [8].
Table 2 is reported to show the efficiency and accuracy of the proposed method. We observe when the refinement level J and multiplicity parameter $r$ increase, the $L_{2}$-errors decrease. In Tables 3 and 4 , results are compared with other methods [10,31-33] in terms of the absolute errors. In this paper, J and $r$ are the criteria for the discretization and the degree of polynomials used as a basis, respectively. Taking $r=7$ and $J=2$, the results of Tables 3 and 4 indicate that the proposed method solves this equation better than others [10,31-33]. Furthermore, we reported the exact and numerical solution by Figure 5.

Table 2. Effect of the refinement level $J$ and multiplicity parameter $r$ on $L_{2}$-error for Example 3.

|  | $r$ | $\boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | $4.20 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.25 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.56 \times 10^{-7}$ | $8.20 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $u_{1}$ | 4 | $4.04 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.53 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.58 \times 10^{-9}$ | $9.86 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | 5 | $8.31 \times 10^{-9}$ | $2.60 \times 10^{-10}$ | $8.12 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.54 \times 10^{-13}$ |
|  | 3 | $2.50 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.21 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.01 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.02 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $u_{2}$ | 4 | $6.62 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.14 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.59 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.62 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|  | 5 | $5.06 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.58 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.94 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.54 \times 10^{-13}$ |

Table 3. The comparison between absolute errors of Example 3 for $u_{1}$.

|  | HPM <br> [31] | Method Based upon <br> Discretization [32] | Simpson's 3/8 <br> Rule [10] | Bernstein Collocation <br> Method [33] | Present Method <br> $(\boldsymbol{r}=\mathbf{7}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{2})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{5})$ | $(\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{2 0 0})$ | $(\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5})$ | $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 0})$ |  |
| 0.1 | $1.4 \times 10^{-07}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-05}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-10}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.2 | $3.5 \times 10^{-06}$ | $9.8 \times 10^{-05}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-09}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.3 | $5.5 \times 10^{-05}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-04}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-09}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.4 | $3.8 \times 10^{-04}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-04}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-09}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.5 | $1.6 \times 10^{-03}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{-04}$ | $8.7 \times 10^{-09}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.6 |  | $9.7 \times 10^{-04}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-08}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-10}$ | $8.4 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.7 |  | $1.4 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-08}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-10}$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.8 |  | $1.8 \times 10^{-03}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-08}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-08}$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.9 |  | $2.5 \times 10^{-03}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-08}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-07}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 1.0 |  | $3.2 \times 10^{-03}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-08}$ | $8.2 \times 10^{-07}$ | $6.7 \times 10^{-11}$ |

Table 4. The comparison between absolute errors of Example 3 for $u_{2}$.

|  | HPM <br> [31] | Method Based upon <br> Discretization [32] | Simpson's 3/8 <br> Rule [10] | Bernstein Collocation <br> Method [33] | Present Method <br> $(\boldsymbol{r}=\mathbf{7}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{2})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{5})$ | $(\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{2 0 0})$ | $(\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5})$ | $(\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 0})$ |  |
| 0.1 | $3.2 \times 10^{-07}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-04}$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.2 | $1.1 \times 10^{-05}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-04}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.3 | $1.2 \times 10^{-04}$ | $7.5 \times 10^{-04}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-12}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.4 | $6.3 \times 10^{-04}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-04}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-09}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-11}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.5 | $2.2 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-03}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-09}$ | $9.0 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.6 |  | $1.5 \times 10^{-03}$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-09}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-11}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.7 |  | $1.8 \times 10^{-03}$ | $9.4 \times 10^{-09}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-11}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.8 |  | $2.0 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-08}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-09}$ | $8.1 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.9 |  | $2.3 \times 10^{-03}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-08}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-08}$ | $4.8 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 1.0 |  | $2.6 \times 10^{-03}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-08}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-07}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-11}$ |



Figure 5. Plot of the exact and numerical solution taking $r=6$ and $J=2$ for Example 3.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed the multi-wavelets Galerkin method to solve the linear and nonlinear Volterra integral equation. The convergence analysis and numerical simulations indicate that the proposed method gives a satisfactory approximation to the exact solution. Thresholding can be used to increase sparsity for a lower computational cost, without affecting the error in $L^{2}$. Using the interpolation property of Alpert's multi-wavelets, the proposed method turns out to be fast and very competitive against state-of-the-art techniques. The main advantages of the proposed method are the lower computational cost and lower complexity.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the oscillatory behavior of solutions for a type of generalized proportional fractional differential equations with forcing and damping terms. Several oscillation criteria are established for the proposed equations in terms of Riemann-Liouville and Caputo settings. The results of this paper generalize some existing theorems in the literature. Indeed, it is shown that for particular choices of parameters, the obtained conditions in this paper reduce our theorems to some known results. Numerical examples are constructed to demonstrate the effectiveness of the our main theorems. Furthermore, we present and illustrate an example which does not satisfy the assumptions of our theorem and whose solution demonstrates nonoscillatory behavior.
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## 1. Introduction

Fractional calculus is a mathematical branch investigating the properties of derivatives and integrals of non-integer orders. The significance of this subject falls in the fact that the fractional derivative has the feature of nonlocal nature. This property makes these derivatives suitable to simulate more physical phenomena such as earthquake vibrations, polymers, and so forth; see, for example, References [1-10] and the references cited therein.

In recent years, there have appeared different types of fractional derivatives. However, it has been realized that most of these derivatives lose some of their basic properties that classical derivatives have such as the product rule and the chain rule. Fortunately, Khalil et al. [11] defined a new well-behaved fractional derivative, called the "conformable fractional derivative", which depends entirely on the classical limit definition of the derivative. Thereafter, researchers developed the conformable derivative and obtained different results exposing its features [12-14]. Recently, Jarad et al. [15] introduced the generalized proportional fractional (GPF) derivative of Caputo and Riemann-Liouville type involving exponential functions in their kernels. The GPF derivative not only preserves classical properties but also verifies semi group property and of nonlocal behavior. For recent results involving GPF derivative, one can refer to References [16-18].

In 2012, Grace et al. [19] initiated the study of oscillation theory for fractional differential equations. Thereafter, many researchers have investigated the oscillatory properties of fractional differential
equations; see for instance References [20-25]. In 2019, Aphithana et al. [24] studied forced oscillatory properties of solutions to the conformable initial value problem of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{a} D^{1+\alpha, \rho} x(t)+p(t)_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} x(t)+q(t) f(x(t))=g(t), \quad t>a, \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow a^{+}} a \mathcal{J}^{j-\alpha, \rho} x(t)=b_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, m),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m=\lceil\alpha\rceil, 0<\rho \leq 1, p, g \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right), q \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are continuous functions, ${ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho}$ is the left conformable derivative of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ of $x, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ in the Riemann-Liouville setting and $a \mathcal{J}^{j-\alpha, \rho}$ is the left conformable integral operator of order $j-\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$.

They also studied the forced oscillation of conformable initial value problems in the Caputo setting of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{a}^{C} D^{1+\alpha, \rho} x(t)+p(t){ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} x(t)+q(t) f(x(t))=g(t), \quad t>a, \\
{ }_{a}^{k} D^{\rho} x(a)=b_{k} \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, m-1),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m=\lceil\alpha\rceil, 0<\rho \leq 1$, and ${ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho}$ is the left conformable derivative of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ of $x, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ in the Caputo setting.

In 2020, Sudsutad et al. [26] established some oscillation criteria for the following generalized proportional fractional differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} x(t)+\xi_{1}(t, x(t))=\mu(t)+\xi_{2}(t, x(t)), \quad t>a \geq 0, \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow a^{+}} I^{j-\alpha, \rho} x(t)=b_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, n),
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $n=\lceil\alpha\rceil,{ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho}$ is the generalized proportional fractional derivative operator of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0,0<\rho \leq 1$ in the Riemann-Liouville setting and ${ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}$ is the generalized proportional fractional integral operator.

In this paper, motivated by the above papers, we establish some sufficient conditions for forced oscillation criteria of all solutions of the generalized proportional fractional (GPF) initial value problem with damping term in the Riemann-Liouville type of the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{a} D^{1+\alpha, \rho} y(l)+p(l){ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)+q(l) f(y(l))=g(l), \quad l>a \geq 0,  \tag{1}\\
\lim _{l \rightarrow a^{+}}{ }_{a} I^{j-\alpha, \rho} y(l)=b_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, m),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m=\lceil\alpha\rceil, 0<\rho \leq 1,{ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho}$ is the left GPF derivative of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ of $y, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ in the Riemann-Liouville setting and ${ }_{a} I^{j-\alpha, \rho}$ is the left GPF integral of order $j-\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(j-\alpha)>0, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$, $j=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $p, g \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right), q \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), f \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, we study the forced oscillation criteria of all solutions of the GPF initial value problem with damping term in the Caputo type of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{a}^{C} D^{1+\alpha, \rho} y(l)+p(l){ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)+q(l) f(y(l))=g(l), \quad l>a \geq 0, \\
& D^{k, \rho} y(a)=b_{k} \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, n-1), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=\lceil\alpha\rceil, 0<\rho \leq 1,{ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho}$ is the left GPF derivative of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ of $y, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ in the Caputo setting and $D^{k, \rho}=\underbrace{D^{\rho} D^{\rho} \cdots D^{\rho}}_{k \text { times }}$, and $D^{\rho}$ is the proportional derivative defined in Reference [13].

We claim that the results of this paper improve and generalize previously existing oscillation results in Reference [24].

Definition 1. The solution y of problem (1) (respectively (2)) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $(0, \infty)$; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. An equation is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some basic definitions and results which will be used throughout this paper. For the justifications and proofs, the reader can consult References [13,15].

Definition 2. [15] (Modified Conformable Derivatives).
For $\rho \in[0,1]$, let the functions $k_{0}, k_{1}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be continuous such that for all $l \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0^{+}} k_{1}(\rho, l)=1, \quad \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0^{+}} k_{0}(\rho, l)=0, \quad \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1^{-}} k_{1}(\rho, l)=0, \quad \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1^{-}} k_{0}(\rho, l)=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k_{1}(\rho, l) \neq 0, \rho \in[0,1), k_{0}(\rho, l) \neq 0, \rho \in(0,1]$.
Then, Anderson et al. [13] defined the modified conformable differential operator of order $\rho$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\rho} f(l)=k_{1}(\rho, l) f(l)+k_{0}(\rho, l) f^{\prime}(l) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the right-hand side exists at $l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f^{\prime}(l)=\frac{d}{d l} f$. The derivative given in (4) is called a proportional derivative. For more details about the control theory of the proportional derivatives and its component functions $k_{0}$ and $k_{1}$, we refer the reader to [27].

Of special interest, we shall restrict ourselves to the case when $k_{1}(\rho, l)=(1-\rho)$ and $k_{0}(\rho, l)=\rho$. Therefore, (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\rho} f(l)=(1-\rho) f(l)+\rho f^{\prime}(l) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0^{+}} D^{\rho} f(l)=f(l)$ and $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1^{-}} D^{\rho} f(l)=f^{\prime}(l)$. It is clear that the derivative (5) is somehow more general than the conformable derivative which does not tend to the original function as $\rho$ tends to 0 .

To find the associated integral to the proportional derivative in (5), we solve the following equation

$$
D^{\rho} g(l)=(1-\rho) g(l)+\rho g^{\prime}(l)=f(l), \quad l \geq a
$$

The above equation is a first order linear differential equation and its solution is given by

$$
g(l)=\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{a}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)} f(s) d s
$$

Define the proportional integral associated to $D^{\rho}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} I^{1, \rho} f(l)=\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{a}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)} f(s) d s, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we accept that ${ }_{a} I^{0, \rho} f(l)=f(l)$.
Lemma 1. [15] Let $f$ be defined on $[a, \infty)$ and differentiable on $(a, \infty)$ and $\rho \in(0,1]$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} I^{1, \rho} D^{\rho} f(l)=f(l)-e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} f(a) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3. [15] For $\rho \in(0,1]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$, we define the left GPF integral of $f$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l)=\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) d s=\rho^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} l}{ }_{a} I^{\alpha}\left(e^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho} l} f(l)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{a} I^{\alpha}$ is the left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha$.
The right GPF integral ending at $b$, however, can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{b}^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l)=\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{l}^{b} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(s-l)}(s-l)^{\alpha-1} f(s) d s=\rho^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} l} I_{b}^{\alpha}\left(e^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho} l} f(l)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{b}^{\alpha}$ is the right Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha$.
Definition 4. [15] For $\rho \in(0,1]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$, we define the left $G P F$ derivative of $f$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left({ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l) & =D^{n, \rho} I^{n-\alpha, \rho} f(l) \\
& =\frac{D_{l}^{n, \rho}}{\rho^{n-\alpha} \Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) d s . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The right GPF derivative ending at $b$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D_{b}^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l) & ={ }^{2} D^{n, \rho} I_{b}^{n-\alpha, \rho} f(l) \\
& =\frac{\ominus D_{l}^{n, \rho}}{\rho^{n-\alpha} \Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{l}^{b} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(s-l)}(s-l)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) d s \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=[\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)]+1$.
If we let $\rho=1$ in Definition 4 , then one can obtain the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives as in [6]. Moreover, it is clear that

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} D^{\alpha, \rho} f(l)=f(l) \text { and } \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D^{\alpha, \rho} f(l)=D^{\rho} f(l)
$$

Lemma 2. [15] Let $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0, n=-[-\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)], f \in L_{1}(a, b)$ and $\left({ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l) \in A C^{n}[a, b]$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}{ }_{a}^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l)=f(l)-e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left({ }_{a} I^{j-\alpha, \rho} f\right)\left(a^{+}\right) \frac{(l-a)^{\alpha-j}}{\rho^{\alpha-j} \Gamma(\alpha+1-j)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 5. [13] (Partial Conformable Derivatives). Let $\rho \in[0,1]$, and let the functions $k_{0}, k_{1}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ be continuous and satisfy (3). Given a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial l} f(l, s)$ exists for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define the partial differential operator $D_{l}^{\rho}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{l}^{\rho} f(l, s)=k_{1}(\rho, l) f(l, s)+k_{0}(\rho, l) \frac{\partial}{\partial l} f(l, s) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 6. [13] (Conformable Exponential Function). Let $\rho \in(0,1]$, the points $s, l, \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \leq l$, and let the function $p:[s, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $k_{0}, k_{1}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be continuous and satisfy ( 3 ), with $p / k_{0}$ and $k_{1} / k_{0}$ Riemann integrable on $[s, l]$. Then the exponential function with respect to $D^{\rho}$ in (4) is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{p}(l, s):=e^{\int_{s}^{l} \frac{p(\tau)-k_{1}(\rho, \tau)}{k_{0}(\rho, \tau)} d \tau}, \quad e_{0}(l, s):=e^{-\int_{s}^{l} \frac{k_{1}(\rho, \tau)}{k_{0}(\rho, \tau)} d \tau} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4) and (14), we have the following basic results.
Lemma 3. [13] (Basic Derivatives). Let the conformable differential operator $D^{\rho}$ be given as in (4), where $\rho \in[0,1]$. Let the function $p:[s, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $k_{0}, k_{1}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be continuous and satisfy (3), with $p / k_{0}$ and $k_{1} / k_{0}$ Riemann integrable on $[s, l]$. Assume the functions $f$ and $g$ are differentiable as needed. Then
(i) $D^{\rho}[a f+b g]=a D^{\rho}[f]+b D^{\rho}[g]$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) $D^{\rho} c=c k_{1}(\rho, \cdot)$ for all constants $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
(iii) $D^{\rho}[f g]=f D^{\rho}[g]+g D^{\rho}[f]-f g k_{1}(\rho, \cdot)$;
(iv) $D^{\rho}[f / g]=\frac{{ }_{g} D^{\rho}\left[f f-f D^{\rho}[g]\right.}{g^{2}}+\frac{f}{g} k_{1}(\rho, \cdot)$;
(v) for $\rho \in(0,1]$ and fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the exponential function satisfies

$$
D_{l}^{\rho}\left[e_{p}(l, s)\right]=p(l) e_{p}(l, s)
$$

for $e_{p}(l, s)$ given in (14);
(vi) for $\rho \in(0,1]$ and for the exponential function $e_{0}$ given in (14), we have

$$
D^{\rho}\left[\int_{a}^{l} \frac{f(s) e_{0}(l, s)}{k_{0}(\rho, s)} d s\right]=f(l) .
$$

Definition 7. [15] For $\rho \in(0,1]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$, we define the left GPF derivative of Caputo type starting at a by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left({ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l) & ={ }_{a} I^{n-\alpha, \rho}\left(D^{n, \rho} f\right)(l) \\
& =\frac{1}{\rho^{n-\alpha} \Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{n-\alpha-1}\left(D^{n, \rho} f\right)(s) d s . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The right GPF derivative of Caputo ending at $b$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left({ }^{C} D_{b}^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l) & =I_{b}^{n-\alpha, \rho}\left(\ominus^{n, \rho} f\right)(l) \\
& =\frac{1}{\rho^{n-\alpha} \Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{l}^{b} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(s-l)}(s-l)^{n-\alpha-1}\left({ }_{\ominus} D^{n, \rho} f\right)(s) d s, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=[\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)]+1$.
Lemma 4. [15] For $\rho \in(0,1]$ and $n=[\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)]+1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}\left({ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} f\right)(l)=f(l)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left(D^{k, \rho} f\right)(a)}{\rho^{k} k!}(l-a)^{k} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}}(l-a) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1. [15] Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta)>0$. Then, for any $0<\rho \leq 1$ and $n=[\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)]+1$, we have
(i) $\quad\left(a^{\alpha, \beta, p} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} l}(l-a)^{\beta-1}\right)(y)=\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha) \rho^{e}} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} y}(y-a)^{\beta+\alpha-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$.
(ii) $\left.\left({ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} l}(l-a)^{\beta-1}\right)(y)=\frac{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} y} y-a\right)^{\beta-\alpha-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$.
(iii) $\left({ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} l}(l-a)^{\beta-1}\right)(y)=\frac{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} y}(y-a)^{\beta-\alpha-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>n$.

## 3. Oscillation Results via Riemann-Liouville Operator

In this section, we establish the oscillation criteria for the GPF initial value problem (1). We prove our results under the following assumption:

$$
\text { (H) } p \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right), q \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), g \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right), f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \text { with } \frac{f(u)}{u}>0 \text { for all } u \neq 0 \text {. }
$$

For our convenience, we set the following notations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(l) & :=\Gamma(\alpha) e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\rho^{j} b_{j}(l-a)^{\alpha-j}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-j)},  \tag{18}\\
\Lambda(l, L) & :=\int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right) d s,  \tag{19}\\
V(l) & :=\exp \int_{l_{1}}^{l} \frac{\rho p(\tau)-(1-\rho)}{\rho} d \tau,  \tag{20}\\
M & :={ }_{1} D^{\alpha, \rho} y\left(l_{1}\right) V\left(l_{1}\right), \quad M \text { is an arbitrary constant. } \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1. Assume that $(H)$ holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-\alpha} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=-\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \sup l^{1-\alpha} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} 1^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=\infty, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every sufficiently large $L$, where $V(l)$ and $M$ are defined as in (20) and (21) respectively, then every solution of problem (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that $y(l)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of problem (1). Without loss of generality, let $L>a$ be large enough and $l_{1} \geq L$ such that $y(l)>0$ for all $l \geq l_{1}$. Using Lemma 3 (iii), Equations (5) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\rho}\left[{ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l)\right]= & { }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) D^{\rho} V(l)+V(l) D^{\rho}\left({ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right)-(1-\rho)_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l) \\
= & { }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) D^{\rho} V(l)+V(l)\left[(1-\rho)_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)+\rho \frac{d}{d l}\left({ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right)\right] \\
& -(1-\rho)_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l) \\
= & \rho\left[{ }_{a} D^{1+\alpha, \rho} y(l)+p(l)_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right] V(l) \\
= & \rho[-q(l) f(y(l))+g(l)] V(l) \\
< & \rho g(l) V(l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the proportional integral operator ${ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}$ on both sides to the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1} I^{1, \rho}\left(D^{\rho}\left[{ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l)\right]\right)<l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l)) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1 on the L.H.S of (24), we have

$$
{ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)<\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(l-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l))}{V(l)}
$$

Taking the left GPF integral operator ${ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}$ on both sides to the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}\left({ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right)<{ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(l-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} 1^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l))}{V(l)}\right] . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2 on the L.H.S of (25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(l)-e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{b_{j}(l-a)^{\alpha-j}}{\rho^{\alpha-j} \Gamma(\alpha+1-j)}<{ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(l-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} 1^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l))}{V(l)}\right] . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the left GPF integral formula on the R.H.S of (26) , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(l)< & e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{b_{j}(l-a)^{\alpha-j}}{\rho^{\alpha-j} \Gamma(\alpha+1-j)} \\
& +\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

for every sufficiently large $L$. If we multiply the above inequality by $\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) y(l)< & \Gamma(\alpha) e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\rho^{j} b_{j}(l-a)^{\alpha-j}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-j)} \\
& +\int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \\
& +\int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s  \tag{27}\\
= & \Phi(l)+\Lambda(l, L)+\int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi(l)$ and $\Lambda(l, L)$ are defined in (18) and (19), respectively.
Multiplying (27) by $l^{1-\alpha}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0< & l^{1-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) y(l) \\
< & l^{1-\alpha} \Phi(l)+l^{1-\alpha} \Lambda(l, L)  \tag{28}\\
& +l^{1-\alpha} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the following two cases for $L_{1} \geq L$.
Case(i): Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$. Then $m=1$. Since $\left|e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}\right| \leq 1$ and the function $h_{1}(l)=\left(\frac{l-a}{l}\right)^{\alpha-1}$ is decreasing for $\rho>0,0<\alpha<1$, we get for $l \geq L_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l^{1-\alpha} \Phi(l)\right|=\left|e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \rho b_{1}\left(\frac{l-a}{l}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right| \leq \rho\left|b_{1}\right|\left(\frac{L_{1}-a}{L_{1}}\right)^{\alpha-1}:=C_{1}\left(L_{1}\right), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|l^{1-\alpha} \Lambda(l, L)\right| & =\left|l^{1-\alpha} \int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+l_{1} 1^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{L}\left|e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}\right|\left(\frac{l-a}{l}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left|\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right| d s  \tag{30}\\
& \leq \int_{a}^{L}\left(\frac{L_{1}-a}{L_{1}}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left|\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right| d s \\
& :=C_{2}\left(L, L_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (28), (29) and (30), we get, for $l \geq L_{1}$,

$$
l^{1-\alpha} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l^{\prime}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \geq-\left[C_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)+C_{2}\left(L, L_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

Since the R.H.S of the above inequality is a negative constant, it follows that

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-\alpha} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{l_{1}}_{1} 1^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s>-\infty,
$$

which leads to a contradiction with (22).
Case(ii): Let $\alpha>1$. Then $m \geq 2$ and $\left(\frac{l-a}{l}\right)^{\alpha-1}<1$ for $\alpha>1$ and $\rho>0$. Since $\left|e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}\right| \leq 1$ and the function $h_{2}(l)=(l-a)^{1-j}$ is decreasing for $j>1$ and $\rho>0$, for $l \geq L_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|l^{1-\alpha} \Phi(l)\right| & =\left|l^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\rho^{j} b_{j}(l-a)^{\alpha-j}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-j)}\right| \\
& \leq \Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{l-a}{l}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\rho^{j}\left|b_{j}\right|(l-a)^{1-j}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-j)}  \tag{3}\\
& \leq \Gamma(\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\rho^{j}\left|b_{j}\right|\left(L_{1}-a\right)^{1-j}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-j)} \\
& :=C_{3}\left(L_{1}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|l^{1-\alpha} \Lambda(l, L)\right| & =\left|\int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}\left(\frac{l-s}{l}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+l_{1} 1^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{L}\left|\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right| d s  \tag{32}\\
& :=C_{4}(L) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (28), (31) and (32), we conclude that for $l \geq L_{1}$,

$$
l^{1-\alpha} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l^{\prime}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \geq-\left[C_{3}\left(L_{1}\right)+C_{4}(L)\right] .
$$

Since, the R.H.S of the above inequality is a negative constant, it follows that

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-\alpha} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s>-\infty
$$

which is a contradiction to (22).
Therefore, $y(l)$ is oscillatory. If $y(l)$ is eventually negative, by a similar argument, we get a contradiction with condition (23). Hence the theorem.

## 4. Oscillation Results via Caputo Operator

In this section, we establish the oscillation criteria for the GPF initial value problem (2) under the assumption (H):

We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(l) & :=\Gamma(\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{b_{k}}{\rho^{k-\alpha} k!}(l-a)^{k} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)},  \tag{33}\\
\Omega(l, L) & :=\int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right) d s,  \tag{34}\\
M^{*} & :={ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(a) V(a), \quad M^{*} \text { is an arbitrary constant. } \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $(H)$ holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-n} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=-\infty \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \sup l^{1-n} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=\infty \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every sufficiently large $L$, where $V(l)$ and $M^{*}$ are defined as in (20) and (35), respectively, then every solution of problem (2) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that $y(l)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of problem (2). Without loss of generality, let $L>a$ be large enough and $l_{1} \geq L$ such that $y(l)>0$ for $l \geq l_{1}$. Using Lemma 3 (iii), Equations (5) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\rho}\left[{ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l)\right]= & { }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) D^{\rho} V(l)+V(l) D^{\rho}\left({ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right)-(1-\rho){ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l) \\
= & { }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) D^{\rho} V(l)+V(l)\left[(1-\rho){ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)+\rho \frac{d}{d l}\left({ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right)\right] \\
& -(1-\rho){ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l) \\
= & \rho\left[{ }_{a}^{C} D^{1+\alpha, \rho} y(l)+p(l){ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right] V(l) \\
= & \rho[-q(l) f(y(l))+g(l)] V(l) \\
< & \rho g(l) V(l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the proportional integral operator ${ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}$ on both sides to the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}\left(D^{\rho}\left[{ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l) V(l)\right]\right)<{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l)) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma (1) on the L.H.S of (38) , we have

$$
{ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)<\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(l-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l))}{V(l)} .
$$

Applying the left GPF integral operator ${ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}$ on both sides to the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}\left({ }_{a}^{C} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)\right)<{ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(l-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l))}{V(l)}\right] . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 4 on the L.H.S of (39), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(l)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left(D^{k, \rho} y\right)(a)}{\rho^{k} k!}(l-a)^{k} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}<{ }_{a} I^{\alpha, \rho}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(l-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(l) V(l))}{V(l)}\right] . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the left GPF integral formula on the R.H.S of (40), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(l)< & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_{k}}{\rho^{k} k!}(l-a)^{k} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \\
& +\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

for every sufficiently large $L$. If we multiply the above inequality by $\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) y(l)< & \rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_{k}}{\rho^{k} k!}(l-a)^{k} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)} \\
& +\int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \\
& +\int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s  \tag{41}\\
= & \Psi(l)+\Omega(l, L) \\
& +\int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Psi(l)$ and $\Omega(l, L)$ are defined in (33) and (34), respectively.
Multiplying (41) by $l^{1-n}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0< & l^{1-n} \Gamma(\alpha) y(l) \\
< & l^{1-n} \Psi(l)+l^{1-n} \Omega(l, L)  \tag{42}\\
& +l^{1-n} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{l_{1}}_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the following two cases for $L_{1} \geq L$.
Case(i): Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$. Then $n=1$. Since $\left|e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}\right| \leq 1$ and the function $h_{3}(l)=(l-s)^{\alpha-1}$ is decreasing for $0<\alpha<1$, we get for $l \geq L_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l^{1-n} \Psi(l)\right|=\left|l^{1-n} \Gamma(\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_{k}}{\rho^{k-\alpha} k!}(l-a)^{k} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}\right| \leq \rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)\left|b_{0}\right|:=C_{5}(L) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|l^{1-n} \Omega(l, L)\right| & =\left|l^{1-n} \int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{L}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left|\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right| d s  \tag{44}\\
& \leq \int_{a}^{L}\left(L_{1}-s\right)^{\alpha-1}\left|\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right| d s \\
& :=C_{6}\left(L, L_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, from (42) and $l \geq L_{1}$, we get

$$
l^{1-n} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \geq-\left[C_{5}(L)+C_{6}\left(L, L_{1}\right)\right]
$$

Since, the R.H.S of the above inequality is a negative constant, it follows that

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-n} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s>-\infty,
$$

which leads to a contradiction with the condition (36).
Case(ii): Let $\alpha>1$. Then $n \geq 2$ and $\left(\frac{l-a}{l}\right)^{n-1}<1$ for $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha>1$. Since $\left|e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}\right| \leq 1$ and the function $h_{4}(l)=(l-a)^{k-n+1}$ is decreasing for $k>n-1$ and for $l \geq L_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|l^{1-n} \Psi(l)\right| & =\left|l^{1-n} \Gamma(\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_{k}}{\rho^{k-\alpha} k!}(l-a)^{k} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}\right| \\
& =\left|\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{l-a}{l}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_{k}}{\rho^{k} k!}(l-a)^{k-n+1} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-a)}\right| \\
& \leq \rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|b_{k}\right|}{\rho^{k} k!}(l-a)^{k-n+1}  \tag{45}\\
& \leq \rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|b_{k}\right|\left(L_{1}-a\right)^{k-n+1}}{\rho^{k} k!} \\
& :=C_{7}\left(L_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|l^{1-n} \Omega(l, L)\right| & =\left|l^{1-n} \int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right) d s\right| \\
& =\left|l^{\alpha-n} \int_{a}^{L} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}\left(\frac{l-s}{l}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{L}\left|\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right| d s  \tag{46}\\
& :=C_{8}(L) .
\end{align*}
$$

From Equations (42), (45) and (46), we conclude that for $l \geq L_{1}$,

$$
l^{1-n} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \geq-\left[C_{7}\left(L_{1}\right)+C_{8}(L)\right]
$$

Since, the R.H.S of the above inequality is a negative constant, it follows that

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-n} \int_{L}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s>-\infty,
$$

which contradicts the (36).
Therefore, $y(l)$ is oscillatory. If $y(l)$ is eventually negative, by a similar argument, we get a contradiction with condition (37). Hence the theorem.

Remark 1. If we put $\rho=1$ in Theorem (1) and Theorem (2), then they reduced to Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, respectively, of [24].

## 5. Examples

This section include some examples for the illustration of our main results.
Example 1. Consider the following GPF initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{0} D^{\frac{3}{2}, 1} y(l)-{ }_{0} D^{\frac{1}{2}, 1} y(l)+(l+7)^{2}(y+3) e^{\cos 2 y}=e^{2 l} \sin l, \quad l>0  \tag{47}\\
\lim _{l \rightarrow 0^{+}}{ }_{0} I^{\frac{1}{2}, 1} y(l)=b_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Setting $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \rho=1, a=0, p(l)=-1, q(l)=(l+7)^{2}, f(y)=(y+3) e^{\cos 2 y}, g(l)=e^{2 l} \sin l$ and $V(l)=e^{l_{1}-l}$. The assumption $(H)$ is satisfied if $y(l)>0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s)) & =\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{l_{1}}^{s} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(s-\tau)} \rho g(\tau) V(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\int_{l_{1}}^{s} e^{l_{1}+\tau} \sin \tau d \tau \\
& =\frac{e^{l_{1}+s}}{2}(\sin s-\cos s)-\frac{e^{2 l_{1}}}{2}\left(\sin l_{1}-\cos l_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{2} e^{l_{1}+s}}{2} \sin \left(s-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\frac{e^{2 l_{1}}}{2}\left(\sin l_{1}-\cos l_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set a point $l_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence, we compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \\
= & l^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{l}(l-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{s-\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\left(M-\frac{e^{\pi}}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}+s} \sin \left(s-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $l-s=\tau^{2}$, we can get the above integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{l}(l-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{s-\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\left(M-\frac{e^{\pi}}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}+s} \sin \left(s-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right] d s \\
= & l^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\sqrt{l}}^{0} \frac{1}{\tau} e^{l-\tau^{2}-\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\left(\frac{2 M-e^{\pi}}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}+l-\tau^{2}} \sin \left(l-\tau^{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right](-2 \tau) d \tau \\
= & \left(2 M-e^{\pi}\right) l^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{l-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} l^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2 l} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \left(l-\tau^{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) d \tau \\
= & \left(2 M-e^{\pi}\right) l^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{l-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} l^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2 l} \sin \left(l-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau \\
& -\sqrt{2} l^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2 l} \cos \left(l-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l \rightarrow+\infty$ as the result of $\left|e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2}\right| \leq e^{-2 \tau^{2}},\left|e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2}\right| \leq e^{-2 \tau^{2}}$ and $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} d \tau=\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{4}$. Thus, we know that $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau$ and $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau$ are convergent.

Hence, we set $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau=A$ and $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau=B$. Select the sequence $\left\{l_{k}\right\}=\left\{\frac{3 \pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{4}+2 k \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right\}, \lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} l_{k}=\infty$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{l _ { k } ^ { \frac { 1 } { 2 } } e ^ { l _ { k } } \left[\left(2 M-e^{\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} e^{l_{k}}\left(\sin \left(l_{k}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\cos \left(l_{k}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau\right)\right]\right\} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Firstly, we consider the following limit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{\sin \left(l_{k}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau-\cos \left(l_{k}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau\right\} \\
= & A \cdot \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 k \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right)-B \cdot \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 k \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right) \\
= & A \cdot \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2}-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right)-B \cdot \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2}-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right) \\
= & -\sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly, we know that $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} e^{l_{k}}=+\infty$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} 2 M e^{l_{k}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau=2 M e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}=$ $\sqrt{\pi} M e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Hence, for (48), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{l _ { k } ^ { \frac { 1 } { 2 } } e ^ { l _ { k } } \left[\left(2 M-e^{\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} e^{l_{k}}\left(\sin \left(l_{k}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\cos \left(l_{k}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & {\left[\sqrt{\pi} M e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}+(+\infty)\left(-\sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}}\right)\right] } \\
= & -\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=-\infty<0
$$

Similarly, selecting the sequence $\left\{l_{r}\right\}=\left\{\frac{3 \pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{4}+2 r \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right\}$, we can obtain

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \sup l^{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=+\infty>0
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1 all solutions of the problem (47) are oscillatory.
Example 2. Consider the following GPF Caputo initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{0}^{C} D^{\frac{3}{2}, 1} y(l)-{ }_{0}^{C} D^{\frac{1}{2}, 1} y(l)+e^{(l+1)^{2}} \ln \left(y^{2}+e\right)=e^{2 l} \cos l, \quad l>0  \tag{49}\\
y(0)=b_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Setting $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \rho=1, a=0, p(l)=-1, q(l)=e^{(l+1)^{2}}, f(y)=\ln \left(y^{2}+e\right), g(l)=e^{2 l} \cos l$ and $V(l)=e^{l_{1}-l}$. The assumption $(H)$ is satisfied if $y(l)>0$. Then, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s)) & =\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{l_{1}}^{s} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(s-\tau)} \rho g(\tau) V(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\int_{l_{1}}^{s} e^{l_{1}+\tau} \cos \tau d \tau \\
& =\frac{e^{l_{1}+s}}{2}(\sin s+\cos s)-\frac{e^{2 l_{1}}}{2}\left(\sin l_{1}+\cos l_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{2} e^{l_{1}+s}}{2} \sin \left(s+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\frac{e^{2 l_{1}}}{2}\left(\sin l_{1}+\cos l_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $l_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ with $n=1$. Hence, we can compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{1-n} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{l}(l-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{s-\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\left(M^{*}-\frac{e^{\pi}}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}+s} \sin \left(s+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $l-s=\tau^{2}$, we can get the above integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{l}(l-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{s-\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\left(M^{*}-\frac{e^{\pi}}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}+s} \sin \left(s+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right] d s \\
= & \int_{\sqrt{l}}^{0} \frac{1}{\tau} e^{l-\tau^{2}-\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\left(\frac{2 M^{*}-e^{\pi}}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}+l-\tau^{2}} \sin \left(l-\tau^{2}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right](-2 \tau) d \tau \\
= & \left(2 M^{*}-e^{\pi}\right) e^{l-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} e^{2 l} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \left(l-\tau^{2}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) d \tau \\
= & \left(2 M^{*}-e^{\pi}\right) e^{l-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} e^{2 l} \sin \left(l+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau \\
& -\sqrt{2} e^{2 l} \cos \left(l+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l \rightarrow+\infty$ as the result of $\left|e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2}\right| \leq e^{-2 \tau^{2}},\left|e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2}\right| \leq e^{-2 \tau^{2}}$ and $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} d \tau=\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{4}$. Thus, we know that $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau$ and $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau$ are convergent.

Hence, we can set $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau=A$ and $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau=B$. Select the sequence $\left\{l_{k}\right\}=\left\{\frac{7 \pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}+2 k \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right\}, \lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} l_{k}=\infty$, then we compute the following term:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{e ^ { l _ { k } } \left[\left(2 M^{*}-e^{\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} e^{l_{k}}\left(\sin \left(l_{k}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\cos \left(l_{k}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau\right)\right]\right\} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Firstly, we consider the following limit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{\sin \left(l_{k}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau-\cos \left(l_{k}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau\right\} \\
= & A \cdot \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \sin \left(\frac{7 \pi}{2}+2 k \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right)-B \cdot \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \cos \left(\frac{7 \pi}{2}+2 k \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right) \\
= & A \cdot \sin \left(\frac{7 \pi}{2}-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right)-B \cdot \cos \left(\frac{7 \pi}{2}-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right) \\
= & -\sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly, we know that $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} e^{l_{k}}=+\infty$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} 2 M^{*} e^{l_{k}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau=$ $2 M^{*} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{ } \pi}{2}=\sqrt{\pi} M^{*} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Hence, for (50), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{e ^ { l _ { k } } \left[\left(2 M^{*}-e^{\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau+\sqrt{2} e^{l_{k}}\left(\sin \left(l_{k}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \cos \tau^{2} d \tau\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\cos \left(l_{k}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l_{k}}} e^{-2 \tau^{2}} \sin \tau^{2} d \tau\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & {\left[\sqrt{\pi} M^{*} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}+(+\infty)\left(-\sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}}\right)\right] } \\
= & -\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-n} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=-\infty<0
$$

Similarly, selecting the sequence $\left\{l_{r}\right\}=\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}+2 r \pi-\arctan \left(-\frac{B}{A}\right)\right\}$, we can obtain

$$
\limsup _{l \rightarrow \infty} l^{1-n} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M^{*}+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s=+\infty>0
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2 all solutions of the problem (49) are oscillatory.
Example 3. Consider the following GPF Riemann-Liouville initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{0} D^{\frac{3}{2}}, 1 y(l)+\sqrt{l}\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}+\frac{e^{3 \sqrt{-y}}}{(-y)^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right)=e^{3 l}, \quad l>0  \tag{51}\\
\lim _{l \rightarrow 0^{+}} 0^{\frac{1}{2}, 1} y(l)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Setting $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \rho=1, a=p(l)=0, q(l)=\sqrt{l}, f(y)=\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}+\frac{e^{3 \sqrt{-y}}}{(-y)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, g(l)=e^{3 l}$ and $V(l)=1$. The assumption $(H)$ is satisfied if $y(l)>0$. Then,

$$
{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))=\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{l_{1}}^{s} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(s-\tau)} \rho g(\tau) V(\tau) d \tau=\int_{l_{1}}^{s} e^{3 \tau} d \tau=\frac{e^{3 s}}{3}-\frac{e^{3 l_{1}}}{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left(e^{3 s}-e^{3 l_{1}}\right)
$$

By setting $l_{1}=\frac{1}{3}$ and $l-s=\tau^{2}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\left(s-l_{1}\right)} M+{ }_{1} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \\
= & l^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{l}(l-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[M+\frac{1}{3}\left(e^{3 s}-e\right)\right] d s . \\
= & l^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(M-\frac{e}{3}\right) \int_{0}^{l}(l-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d s+\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{l}(l-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{3 s} d s\right] \\
= & 2 l^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(M-\frac{e}{3}\right) \sqrt{l}+\frac{1}{3} e^{3 l} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-3 \tau} d \tau\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the condition (22) does not holds since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf l^{1-\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(l-s)}(l-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(s-a)} M+{ }_{l_{1}} I^{1, \rho}(\rho g(s) V(s))}{V(s)}\right] d s \\
= & \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\{2 l^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(M-\frac{e}{3}\right) \sqrt{l}+\frac{1}{3} e^{3 l} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{l}} e^{-3 \tau} d \tau\right]\right\} \\
= & {\left[\left(M-\frac{e}{3}\right)(+\infty)+(+\infty) \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right]=\infty . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Proposition 1 (ii) with $\alpha=\frac{3}{2}, \beta=3$ and $\rho=1$, we get ${ }_{a} D^{\alpha, \rho} y(l)=-\frac{4 \sqrt{l}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, it is easy to verify that $y(l)=-l^{2}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (51). Figure 1 demonstrates the solution $y(l)=-l^{2}$.


Figure 1. The nonoscillatory behavior of the solution $y(l)=-l^{2}$.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, the oscillatory behavior of solutions of generalized proportional fractional initial value problem is studied. Forced and damped oscillation results are obtained via GPF operators in the frame of Riemann-Liouville and Caputo settings. The main theorems of this paper improve and generalize some existing oscillation theorems reported in the literature. In particular, for the choice of $\rho=1$, our contributions obtained using GPF operators cover the results discussed in Reference [24] which are obtained via conformable operators. At the end, we presented some numerical examples with particular values of parameters to illustrate the validity of the proposed results. Interestingly, we provided an example demonstrating that the failure of any condition forces the existence of a nonoscillatory solution. This justifies the advantage of our findings.

We believe that the results of this paper are of great importance for the audience of interested researchers. Several types of oscillation conditions could be generalized by considering respective equations within GPF derivatives.
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#### Abstract

This article is dealing with the analytical solution of Fornberg-Whitham equations in fractional view of Caputo operator. The effective method among the analytical techniques, natural transform decomposition method, is implemented to handle the solutions of the proposed problems. The approximate analytical solutions of nonlinear numerical problems are determined to confirm the validity of the suggested technique. The solution of the fractional-order problems are investigated for the suggested mathematical models. The solutions-graphs are then plotted to understand the effectiveness of fractional-order mathematical modeling over integer-order modeling. It is observed that the derived solutions have a closed resemblance with the actual solutions. Moreover, using fractional-order modeling various dynamics can be analyzed which can provide sophisticated information about physical phenomena. The simple and straight-forward procedure of the suggested technique is the preferable point and thus can be used to solve other nonlinear fractional problems.
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## 1. Introduction

It is well known that in many fields of physics, the studies of non-linear wave problems and their effects are of wide significance. Traveling wave solutions are a significant kind of result for the non-linear partial differential condition and numerous non-linear fractional differential equations (FDEs) have been shown to an assortment of traveling wave results. Although water wave are among the extremely important of all-natural phenomena, they have an extraordinarily rich mathematical structure. Water waves are one of the most complicated fields in wave dynamics, including the study in non-linear, electromagnetic waves in 1 space and 3-time dimensions [1-5]. For illustration, the well-known Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$
D_{t} \mu-6 \mu D_{x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu=0,
$$

has a simple solitary-wave solution [6]. Camassa-Holm equation

$$
D_{t} \mu-D_{x x t} \mu+3 \mu D_{x} \mu=2 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu,
$$

a model approximation for symmetric non-linear dispersive waves in shallow water, was suggested by Camassa and Holm [7]. Due to its useful mathematical proprieties, this scenario has attracted much attention during the past decade. It has been found that the Camassa Holm equation includes poles, composite wave, stumpons, and cuspons solutions [8]. The specific Camassa-Holm equation solutions were studied by Vakhnenko and Parkes [9]. In mathematical physics the Fornberg-Whitham (FW) model is a significant mathematical equation. The FWE [10,11] is expressed as

$$
D_{t} \mu-D_{x x t} \mu+D_{x} \mu=\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu,
$$

where $\mu(x, t)$ is the fluid velocity, $x$ is the spatial co-ordinate and $t$ is the time. In 1978 Fornberg and Whitham derived a $\mu(x, t)=C e^{\frac{x}{2}-\frac{4 t}{3}}$ peaked solution with an arbitrary constant of $C$ [12]. This algorithm was developed to analyze the breakup of dispersive nonlinear water waves. The FWE has been found to require peakon results as a simulation for limiting wave heights as well as the frequency of wave breaks. In fractional calculus (FC) has gained considerable significance and popularity, primarily because of its well-shown applications in a wide range of apparently disparate areas of engineering and science [13]. Many scholars, such as Singh et al. [14], Merdan et al. [15], Saker et al. [16], Gupta and Singh [17] etc., have therefore researched the fractional extensions of the FW model for the Caputo fractional-order derivative [18].

The existence, uniqueness and stability are the important ingredient to show for any mathematical problems in science and engineering. In this connection Li et al. have determine the existance and unique of the solutions for some nonlinear fractional differential equations [19]. Becani et al. have discussed the theory of existence and uniqueness for some singular PDEs [20]. The generalized theorem of existence and uniqueness for nth order fractional DEs was analyzed by Dannan et al. in [21]. Similarly the stability of solutions for the Fornberg-Whitham equation was investigated by Xiujuan Gao et al. in [22]. Shan et al. have discussed the optimal control of the Fornberg-Whitham equation [23].

Recently, the researchers have taken greater interest in FC, i.e., the study of integrals and derivatives of fractional-order non-integer. Major importance have been demonstrated in the analysis of the FC and its various implementations in the field engineering [24-27]. FDEs are widely utilized to model in a variety of fields of study, including an analysis of fractional random walking, kinetic control schemes theory, signal processing, electrical networks, reaction and diffusion procedure [28,29]. FD provides a splendid method for characterizing the memories and genetic properties of different procedures [30,31].

Over the last few years, FDEs have become the subject of several studies owing to their frequent use in numerous implementations in viscoelasticity, biology, fluid mechanics, physics, dynamical schemes, electrical network, physics, signal and optics process, as they can be modelled by linear and nonlinear FDEs [32-36]. FD offer an outstanding method for explaining the memories and inherited properties of specific materials and processes. Fractional-order integrals and derivatives have proven more effective in formulating such electrical and chemical problems than the standard models. Non-linear FPDEs have many applications in various areas of engineering such as heat and mass transfer, thermodynamics and micro-electro mechanics scheme [37-39].

The technique of natural decomposition (NDM) was initially developed by Rawashdeh and Maitama in 2014 [40-42], to solve ODEs and PDEs that appear in different fields of mathematics. The suggested technique is mixing of the Adomian technique (ADM) and natural transformation. The key benefit of this suggested technique is the potential to integrate two important methods of achieving fast convergent series for PDEs. Many scholars have recently solved different types of fractional-order PDEs, for example heat and wave equations [43], coupled Burger equations [44], hyperbolic telegraph equation [45], Harry Dym equation [46] and diffusion equations [47].

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. Let $g \in C_{\beta}$ and $\beta \geq-1$, then the Riemann-Liouville integral of order $\gamma, \gamma>0>$ is given by [48-50]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{t}^{\gamma} g(x, t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\theta)^{\gamma-1} g(x, \theta) d \theta, \quad t>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2. Let $g \in \mathbb{C}_{t}$ and $t \geq-1$, then Caputo definition of fractional derivative of order $\gamma$ if $m-1<$ $\gamma \leq m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is describe as [48-50]

$$
D_{t}^{\gamma} g(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d^{m} g(t)}{d t^{m}}, \quad \gamma=m \in N,  \tag{2}\\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\theta)^{m-\gamma-1} g^{(m)}(\theta) d \theta, \quad m-1<\gamma<m, \quad m \in N,
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 1. Some basic properties are below [48-50]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}^{\gamma} I_{x}^{\gamma} g(x)=g(x), \\
& I^{\gamma} x^{\lambda}=\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+\lambda+1)} x^{\gamma+\lambda}, \quad \gamma>0, \lambda>-1, \quad x>0, \\
& D_{x}^{\gamma} I_{x}^{\gamma} g(x)=g(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{m} g^{(k)}\left(0^{+}\right) \frac{x^{k}}{k!}, \quad \text { for } \quad x>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3. The natural transform of the function $g(t)$ is expressed by $N[g(t)]$ for $t \in R$ and is given by [40-42,51]

$$
N[g(t)]=\mathcal{G}(s, \omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s t} g(\omega t) d t ; \quad s, \omega \in(-\infty, \infty), a a
$$

where s and $\omega$ are the NT variables. If $g(t) H(t)$ is defined for positive real numbers, then NT can be presented as [40-42,51]

$$
\begin{equation*}
N[g(t) Q(t)]=N^{+}[g(t)]=\mathcal{G}^{+}(s, \omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s t} g(\omega t) d t ; \quad s, \omega \in(0, \infty), \quad \text { and } \quad t \in R \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(t)$ denotes the Heaviside function.
Theorem 1. The NT of the Caputo derivative of fractional order of any function $g(t)$ is defined as $[40-42,51]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{+}\left[{ }^{c} D^{\gamma} g(t)\right]=\frac{s^{\gamma}}{\omega^{\gamma}} \mathcal{G}(s, \omega)-\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{s^{\gamma-(k+1)}}{\omega^{\gamma-k}}\left[D^{k} g(t)\right]_{t=0}, \quad m-1 \leq \gamma<m \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the natural number and $\gamma$ represent the order of the derivative with fractional order.
Remark 2. Some basic NT properties are listed below [40-42,51]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N^{+}[1]=\frac{1}{s} \\
& N^{+}\left[t^{\gamma}\right]=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1) \omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma+1}}, \\
& N^{+}\left[g^{(m)}(t)\right]=\frac{s^{m}}{\omega^{m}} \mathcal{R}(s, \omega)-\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{s^{m-(k+1)}}{\omega^{m-k}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1) \omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. NDM Procedure

In this section, NDM procedure is introduced to solve general FPDEs of the form [41,42]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\gamma} \mu(x, t)+L \mu(x, t)+N \mu(x, t)=P(x, t), \quad x, t \geq 0, \quad \ell-1<\gamma<\ell, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fractional derivative in Equation (5) is represented by Caputo operator. The linear and nonlinear terms are denoted by $L$ and $N$ respectively and the source term is $P(x, t)$.

The solution at $t=0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x, 0)=h(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using NT to Equation (5), we get [41,42]

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{+}\left[D^{\gamma} \mu(x, t)\right]+N^{+}[L \mu(x, t)+N \mu(x, t)]=N^{+}[P(x, t)] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the differential property of NT $[41,42]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{s^{\gamma}}{\omega^{\gamma}} N^{+}[\mu(x, t)]-\frac{s^{\gamma-1}}{\omega^{\gamma}} \mu(x, 0)=N^{+}[P(x, t)]-N^{+}[L \mu(x, t)+N \mu(x, t)] \\
& N^{+}[\mu(x, t)]=\frac{1}{s} \mu(x, 0)+\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}[P(x, t)]-\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}[L \mu(x, t)+N \mu(x, t)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\mu(x, 0)=k(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{+}[\mu(x, t)]=\frac{h(x)}{s}+\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}[P(x, t)]-\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}[L \mu(x, t)+N \mu(x, t)] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinite series of NDM $\mu(x, t)$ is shown by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x, t)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mu_{\ell}(x, t) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adomian polynomial for nonlinear terms is

$$
\begin{gather*}
N \mu(x, t)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} A_{\ell}  \tag{10}\\
A_{\ell}=\frac{1}{\ell!}\left[\frac{d^{\ell}}{d \lambda^{\ell}}\left[N \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\lambda^{\ell} \mu_{\ell}\right)\right]\right]_{\lambda=0}, \quad \ell=0,1,2 \cdots \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

putting Equations (9) and (11) into Equation (8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N^{+}\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mu_{\ell}(x, t)\right]= & \frac{h(x)}{s}+\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}[P(x, t)]-\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[L \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mu_{\ell}(x, t)+\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} A_{\ell}\right] .  \tag{12}\\
& N^{+}\left[\mu_{0}(x, t)\right]=\frac{h(x)}{s}+\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}[P(x, t)],  \tag{13}\\
& N^{+}\left[\mu_{1}(x, t)\right]=-\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[L \mu_{0}(x, t)+A_{0}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

We will usually compose

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{+}\left[\mu_{\ell+1}(x, t)\right]=-\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[L \mu_{\ell}(x, t)+A_{\ell}\right], \quad \ell \geq 1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the inverse NT to Equations (13) and (14) [41,42].

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{0}(x, t) & =h(x)+N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}[P(x, t)]\right], \\
v_{\ell+1}(x, t) & =-N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[L \mu_{\ell}(x, t)+A_{\ell}\right]\right] . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. NDM Implementation

Example 1. The following nonlinear Fornberg-Whitham with fractional derivative is considered [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\gamma} \mu-D_{x x t} \mu+D_{x} \mu=\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu, \quad t>0, \quad 0<\gamma \leq 1, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

having initial solution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x, 0)=\exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying NT to Equation (16), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{s^{\gamma}}{\omega^{\gamma}} N^{+}[\mu(x, t)]-\frac{s^{\gamma-1}}{\omega^{\gamma}} \mu(x, 0)=N^{+}\left[D_{x x t} \mu-D_{x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right] . \\
N^{+}[\mu(x, t)]-\frac{1}{s} \mu(x, 0)=\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[D_{x x t} \mu-D_{x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using inverse natural transformation

$$
\mu(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\mu(x, 0)}{s}-\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[D_{x x t} \mu-D_{x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right]\right] .
$$

Applying the ADM process, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{0}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\mu(x, 0)}{s}\right]=N^{-}\left[\frac{\exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{s}\right], \\
\mu_{0}(x, t)=\exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right),  \tag{18}\\
\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mu_{\ell+1}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{\ell}-\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{\ell}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} A_{\ell}-\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_{\ell}+3 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} C_{\ell}\right]\right], \quad \ell=0,1,2, \cdots \\
A_{0}\left(\mu D_{x x x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x x x} \mu_{0} \\
A_{1}\left(\mu D_{x x x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x x x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{1} D_{x x x} \mu_{0} \\
A_{2}\left(\mu D_{x x x} \mu\right)=\mu_{1} D_{x x x} \mu_{2}+\mu_{1} D_{x x x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{2} D_{x x x} \mu_{0}, \\
B_{0}\left(\mu D_{x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x} \mu_{0} \\
B_{1}\left(\mu D_{x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{1} D_{x} \mu_{0} \\
B_{2}\left(\mu D_{x} \mu\right)=\mu_{1} D_{x} \mu_{2}+\mu_{1} D_{x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{2} D_{x} \mu_{0}, \\
C_{0}\left(D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right)=D_{x} \mu_{0} D_{x x} \mu_{0} \\
C_{1}\left(D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right)=D_{x} \mu_{0} D_{x x} \mu_{1}+D_{x} \mu_{1} D_{x x} \mu_{0} \\
C_{2}\left(D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right)=D_{x} \mu_{1} D_{x x} \mu_{2}+D_{x} \mu_{1} D_{x x} \mu_{1}+D_{x} \mu_{2} D_{x x} \mu_{0},
\end{gather*}
$$

for $\ell=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{1}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{0}-\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{0}+A_{0}-B_{0}+3 C_{0}\right]\right] \\
& \mu_{1}(x, t)=-\frac{1}{2} N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{s^{\gamma+1}}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\ell=2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{2}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{1}-\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{1}+A_{1}-B_{1}+3 C_{1}\right]\right] \\
& \mu_{2}(x, t)=-\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma-1}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma)}+\frac{1}{4} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma+1)^{2}}, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\ell=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{3}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{2}-\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{2}+A_{2}-B_{2}+3 C_{2}\right]\right] \\
& \mu_{3}(x, t)=-\frac{1}{32} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{3 \gamma-2}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma-1)}+\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{\gamma^{3 \gamma-1}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma)}-\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{3 \gamma}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma+1)}, \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The NDM solution for problem (16) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu(x, t)=\mu_{0}(x, t)+\mu_{1}(x, t)+\mu_{2}(x, t)+\mu_{3}(x, t)+\mu_{4}(x, t) \cdots \\
\mu(x, t)=\exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \\
-\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma-1}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma)}+\frac{1}{4} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma+1)}-\frac{1}{32} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)  \tag{22}\\
\frac{t^{3 \gamma-2}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma-1)}+\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{\gamma^{3 \gamma-1}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma)}-\frac{1}{8} \exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \frac{t^{3 \gamma}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma+1)}-\cdots .
\end{gather*}
$$

The simplification of Equation (22);

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x, t)=\exp \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\left[1-\frac{t^{\gamma}}{2 \Gamma(\gamma+1)}-\frac{1}{8} \frac{t^{2 \gamma-1}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma)}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{t^{2 \gamma}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma+1)}-\frac{1}{32} \frac{t^{3 \gamma-2}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma-1)}+\frac{1}{8} \frac{t^{3 \gamma-1}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma)}-\frac{1}{8} \frac{t^{3 \gamma}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma+1)}+\cdots\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact result of Example 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x, t)=\exp \left(\frac{x}{2}-\frac{2 t}{3}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Table 1, the NDM-solutions at different fractional-order derivatives, $\gamma=0.5,0.7$ and 1 are shown. The NDM-solutions at various time level, $t=0.2,0.4$ and $t=1$ are determined. The absolute error of the proposed method at $\gamma=1$ is also displayed. From Table 1, it is investigated that suggested method has the desire rate of convergence and considered to be the best tool for the analytical solution of FPDEs. In Table 2, the NDM and LADM solutions are compared at various fractional-order of the derivatives. It is observed that the NDM has the higher degree of accuracy as compared to LDM. The comparison has been done at $\gamma=0.5,0.7$ and 0.9 . It is also investigated that the fractional-order solutions of NDM have the higher accuracy as compared LDM.

Table 1. The NDM solutions and absolute error of Example 1 at $\gamma=0.5,0.7$ and 1.

| $t$ | $x$ | $\gamma=0.50$ | $\gamma=0.7$ | NDM ( $\gamma=1$ ) | Exact | NDM (AE) $(\gamma=1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.168497921 | 1.229840967 | 1.266952492 | 1.267018708 | $6.620 \times 10^{-5}$ |
|  | 1.0 | 1.500381030 | 1.579147061 | 1.626799201 | 1.626884224 | $8.500 \times 10^{-5}$ |
|  | 1.5 | 1.926527377 | 2.027664962 | 2.088851523 | 2.088960694 | $1.090 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 2.0 | 2.473710118 | 2.603573348 | 2.682138447 | 2.682278626 | $1.400 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.123744786 | 1.197168588 | 1.250129766 | 1.25023725 | $1.070 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 1.0 | 1.442916867 | 1.537194895 | 1.605198393 | 1.60533640 | $1.380 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 1.5 | 1.852741931 | 1.973797315 | 2.061115536 | 2.06129274 | $1.770 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 2.0 | 2.378967730 | 2.534405920 | 2.646524735 | 2.64675227 | $2.270 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 1 | 0.5 | 1.039959208 | 1.124099772 | 1.201030155 | 1.20114746 | $1.84 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 1.0 | 1.335334055 | 1.443372678 | 1.542153245 | 1.542390265 | $2.370 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 1.5 | 1.714602867 | 1.853327204 | 1.980163963 | 1.980468303 | $3.004 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  | 2.0 | 2.201593661 | 2.379719235 | 2.542580858 | 2.542971638 | $3.900 \times 10^{-4}$ |

Table 2. Two terms comparison of NDM and LDM [16] of different fractional-order at $\gamma=0.5,0.7$ and 0.9 of Example 1.

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}$ | NDM | LDM | NDM | LDM | NDM | LDM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\gamma=\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\gamma=\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\gamma=\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\gamma=\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\gamma=\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\gamma=0.9$ |
|  | 0.1 | $1.259 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.021 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.006 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.158 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.833 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.973 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.2 | $1.408 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.171 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.916 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.249 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.978 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.227 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.3 | $1.411 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.174 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.148 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.272 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.131 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.496 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.4 | $1.356 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.119 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.153 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.273 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.291 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.777 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.2 | 0.5 | $1.276 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.039 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.107 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.268 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.460 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.074 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.6 | $1.186 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.949 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.096 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.267 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.638 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.385 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.7 | $1.095 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.858 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.164 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.274 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.824 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.712 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.8 | $1.007 \times 10^{-1}$ | $3.771 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.337 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.291 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.020 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.057 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 0.9 | $9.288 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.691 \times 10^{-1}$ | $6.626 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.320 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.226 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.418 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 1.0 | $8.576 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.622 \times 10^{-1}$ | $7.038 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.361 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.443 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.799 \times 10^{-2}$ |

In Figures 1 and 2, the NDM and actual solution of Example 1 are plotted. It is observed that NDM solutions are in closed contact with the exact solutions of Example 1. In Figures 3 and 4, the solutions of Example 1 at various fractional-order of the derivatives are plotted. The graphical representation has shown the convergence phenomena of fractional-order solution towards the solution at integer order of Example 1.


Figure 1. Exact and NDM solutions at $\gamma=1$ of Example 1.


Figure 2. Exact and NDM solutions at $\gamma=1$ of Example 1.


Figure 3. The NDM solutions of different valve of $\gamma$ of Example 1.


Figure 4. Solution graph of Example 1, at various value of $\gamma$.

Example 2. Consider the following nonlinear time-fractional Fornberg-Whitham equation [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\gamma} \mu-D_{x x t} \mu+D_{x} \mu=\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu, \quad t>0, \quad 0<\gamma \leq 1, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x, 0)=\cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying natural transformation of Equation (25),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{s^{\gamma}}{\omega^{\gamma}} N^{+}[\mu(x, t)]-\frac{s^{\gamma-1}}{\omega^{\gamma}} \mu(x, 0)=N^{+}\left[D_{x x t} \mu-D_{x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right] . \\
& N^{+}[\mu(x, t)]-\frac{1}{s} \mu(x, 0)=\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[D_{x x t} \mu-D_{x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using inverse natural transformation

$$
\mu(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\mu(x, 0)}{s}-\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[D_{x x t} \mu-D_{x} \mu+\mu D_{x x x} \mu-\mu D_{x} \mu+3 D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right]\right] .
$$

Applying the ADM process, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{0}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\mu(x, 0)}{s}\right]=N^{-}\left[\frac{\cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)}{s}\right], \\
\mu_{0}(x, t)=\cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right),  \tag{27}\\
\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mu_{\ell+1}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{\ell}-\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{\ell}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} A_{\ell}-\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_{\ell}+3 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} C_{\ell}\right]\right], \quad \ell=0,1,2, \cdots \\
A_{0}\left(\mu D_{x x x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x x x} \mu_{0}, \\
A_{1}\left(\mu D_{x x x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x x x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{1} D_{x x x} \mu_{0}, \\
A_{2}\left(\mu D_{x x x} \mu\right)=\mu_{1} D_{x x x} \mu_{2}+\mu_{1} D_{x x x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{2} D_{x x x} \mu_{0} \\
B_{0}\left(\mu D_{x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x} \mu_{0} \\
B_{1}\left(\mu D_{x} \mu\right)=\mu_{0} D_{x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{1} D_{x} \mu_{0}, \\
B_{2}\left(\mu D_{x} \mu\right)=\mu_{1} D_{x} \mu_{2}+\mu_{1} D_{x} \mu_{1}+\mu_{2} D_{x} \mu_{0} . \\
C_{0}\left(D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right)=D_{x} \mu_{0} D_{x x} \mu_{0}, \\
C_{1}\left(D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right)=D_{x} \mu_{0} D_{x x} \mu_{1}+D_{x} \mu_{1} D_{x x} \mu_{0}, \\
C_{2}\left(D_{x} \mu D_{x x} \mu\right)=D_{x} \mu_{1} D_{x x} \mu_{2}+D_{x} \mu_{1} D_{x x} \mu_{1}+D_{x} \mu_{2} D_{x x} \mu_{0},
\end{gather*}
$$

for $\ell=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{1}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{0}-\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{0}+A_{0}-B_{0}+3 C_{0}\right]\right] \\
& \mu_{1}(x, t)=-\frac{11}{32} N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma} \sinh \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{s^{\gamma+1}}\right]=-0.3437 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\ell=2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{2}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{1}-\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{1}+A_{1}-B_{1}+3 C_{1}\right]\right] \\
& \mu_{2}(x, t)=-0.08593 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}+0.11816 \cosh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma+1)} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\ell=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{3}(x, t)=N^{-}\left[\frac{\omega^{\gamma}}{s^{\gamma}} N^{+}\left[\left(D_{x x t} \mu\right)_{2}-\left(D_{x} \mu\right)_{2}+A_{2}-B_{2}+3 C_{2}\right]\right] \\
& \mu_{3}(x, t)=-0.08593 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}+0.11816 \cosh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma+1)}-0.02707 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{3 \gamma}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma+1)} . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

The NDM result for problem 2 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(x, t)=\mu_{0}(x, t)+\mu_{1}(x, t)+\mu_{2}(x, t)+\mu_{3}(x, t)+\mu_{4}(x, t) \cdots \\
& \mu(x, t)= \cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)-0.3437 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}-0.08593 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \\
&+0.11816 \cosh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma+1)}-0.08593 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \\
&+0.11816 \cosh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{2 \gamma}}{\Gamma(2 \gamma+1)}-0.02707 \sinh \left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \frac{t^{3 \gamma}}{\Gamma(3 \gamma+1)}-\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact result is;

$$
\mu(x, t)=\cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{x}{4}-\frac{11 t}{24}\right)
$$

In Figures 5 and 6, the solution graph of exact and NDM of Example 2 at integer-order are plotted. The closed relation is observed between NDM and exact solution of Example 2. In Figures 7 and 8, the fractional-order solutions of Example 2 are presented. The graphical representation have confirmed the different dynamics of Example 2, which are correlated with each other.


Figure 5. The graph of exact and approximate solution of Example 2.



Figure 6. The graph of exact and approximate solution of Example 2.


Figure 7. The NDM solutions of different valve of $\gamma$ of Example 2.


Figure 8. The graph of Example 2, for different value of $\gamma$.

## 5. Conclusions

In the current work. an innovative technique is used to find the solution of fractional Fornberg-Whitham equations. The fractional-derivatives are discussed within Caputo operator. The solutions are determined for fractional-order problems and an aesthetically a strong relation is found. The fractional models have shown convergence to the ordinary model as the order of the derivative tends towards to an integer. The graphical representation has provided similar behavior of actual and derived results. It is also noted the current method needs small calculation and higher convergence to achieve the solution of the targeted problems.
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#### Abstract

By establishing new conditions for the non-existence of so-called Kneser solutions, we can generate sufficient conditions to ensure that all solutions of odd-order equations are oscillatory. Our results improve and expand the previous results in the literature.
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## 1. Introduction

In the 20th century, the extremely fast development of science led to applications in the fields of biology, population, chemistry, medicine dynamics, social sciences, genetic engineering, economics, and others. Many of these phenomena are modeled by delay differential equations. All these disciplines were promoted to a higher level and discoveries were made with the help of this kind of mathematical modeling.

The neutral differential equations are the differential equations in which the delayed argument occurs in the highest derivative of the state variable. The neutral equations appear in the modeling of the networks containing lossless transmission lines (as in high-speed computers where the lossless transmission lines are used to interconnect switching circuits); see [1].

Recently, an increasing interest in establishing conditions for the oscillatory behavior of different order of differential equations has been observed; see [2-9].

It is known that determination of the signs of the derivatives of the solution is necessary and causes a significant effect before studying the oscillation of delay differential equations. The other essential thing is to establish relationships between derivatives of different orders, which may lead to additional restrictions during the study. In odd-order differential equations, in some cases, it is difficult to find relationships between derivatives of different orders, which in turn is central to the study of oscillatory behavior. Therefore, it can very easily be observed that differential equations of odd-order received less attention than differential equations with even-order. Additionally, most studies are concerned with finding sufficient conditions that guarantee that every non-oscillating solution tends to zero; see [4,10-20].

In this paper, in Section 2, we offer some auxiliary lemmas that define the different cases of signs of derivatives and the relationships between derivatives of different orders. In Section 3, we establish a set of new criteria that ensure that there are no non-oscillating solutions in each case of derivatives separately. In Section 4, we establish new criteria for the oscillation of all solutions of the studied equation. Finally, in conclusion, we discuss the results and compare them to the related works.

In detail, we investigate the oscillatory properties of solutions to the odd-order neutral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left((x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t)))^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f(x(g(t)))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an odd natural number. Moreover, we suppose that
Hypothesis $1 \mathbf{( H 1 ) . ~} \alpha$ is the ratio of odd positive integers, $r \in C^{1}\left(I_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), p \in C\left(I_{0},\left[0, p_{0}\right]\right)$, where $p_{0}$ is a positive constant, $\tau, g \in C^{1}\left(I_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right), q \in C\left(I_{0},[0, \infty)\right), r^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, g(t) \leq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=$ $\infty, \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho=\infty, q$ is not eventually zero on any half line $I_{*}$ for $t_{*} \geq t_{0}$, and $I_{s}:=\left[t_{s}, \infty\right)$.

Hypothesis $2(\mathbf{H} 2) . f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a positive constant $k$ such that $f(x) \geq k x^{\alpha}$.
Next, we present the basic definitions.
Definition 1. The function $z(t):=x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t))$ is called the corresponding function of $x$, and

$$
\phi(s, t)=\int_{s}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho
$$

is called the canonical operator.
Definition 2. Let $x$ be a real-valued function defined for all $t$ in a real interval $I_{x}, t_{x} \geq t_{0}$, and having a $n^{\text {th }}$ derivative for all $t \in I_{x}$. The function $x$ is called a solution of the differential equation (Equation (1)) on $I$ if $x$ is continuous; $r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}$ is continuously differentiable and $x$ satisfies (1), for all $t$ in $I_{x}$.

Definition 3. A nontrivial solution $x$ of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrary large zeros; that is, there exists a sequence of zeros $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (i.e., $x\left(t_{n}\right)=0$ ) of $x$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty$. Otherwise, it is said to be non-oscillatory.

Notation 1. The set of all eventually positive solutions of (1) is denoted by $X^{+}$.
We restrict our discussion to those solutions $x$ of (1) which satisfy sup $\left\{|x(t)|: t_{1} \leq t_{0}\right\}>0$ for every $t_{1} \in I_{x}$. All functional inequalities and properties, such as increasing, decreasing, positive, and so on, are assumed to hold eventually; that is, they are satisfied for all $t$ large enough.

## 2. Preliminary Results

During this part of the paper, we provide auxiliary lemmas. These lemmas will be the cornerstone of the main results.

Notation 2. For the sake of convenience, we use the following notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta(t):=\frac{\lambda}{(n-2)!} \frac{g^{n-2}(t) g^{\prime}(t)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(t)}, \\
\Theta(t):=k q(t)(1-p(g(t)))^{\alpha}, \quad \widetilde{\Theta}(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty} \Theta(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho, \\
Q_{1}(t):=\min \{q(t), q(\tau(t))\}, \quad Q_{2}(t):=\min \left\{q\left(g^{-1}(t)\right), q\left(g^{-1}(\tau(t))\right)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\psi_{1}(s, t):=\int_{s}^{t} \phi(\varrho, t) \mathrm{d} \varrho, \quad \psi_{k+1}(s, t):=\int_{s}^{t} \psi_{k}(\varrho, t) \mathrm{d} \varrho, k=1,2, \ldots, n-2,
$$

and

$$
\mu:= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } 0<\alpha \leq 1 \\ 2^{\alpha-1} & \text { for } \alpha>1\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 1. ([21], Lemma 1, Lemma 2) Assume that $u, v \in[0, \infty)$. Then,

$$
(u+v)^{\alpha} \leq \mu\left(u^{\alpha}+v^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Lemma 2. [22] Let $F \in C^{n}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$. Assume that $F^{(n)}(t)$ is of fixed sign and not identically zero on $I_{0}$ and that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $F^{(n-1)}(t) F^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) \neq 0$; then for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$ there exists $t_{\mu} \geq t_{1}$ such that

$$
F(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1}\left|F^{(n-1)}(t)\right| \text { for } t \geq t_{\mu}
$$

The following lemma is a well-known result; see ([20], Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.5); also see ([22], Lemma 2.2.1).

Lemma 3. Suppose that $x \in X^{+}$. Then, there exists a sufficiently large $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that, for all $t \geq t_{1}$,

$$
z(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0, z^{(n-1)}(t)>0 \text { and } z^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 .
$$

Furthermore, there are only two cases:

$$
\mathbf{P}: z^{\prime}(t)>0
$$

or

$$
\mathbf{N}:(-1)^{k} z^{(k)}(t)>0, \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n-2 .
$$

Lemma 4. Suppose that $x \in X^{+}$and $z$ satisfies $\mathbf{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(\rho) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\sigma) z^{(n-1)}(\sigma) \psi_{n-2}(\rho, \sigma) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\rho \leq \sigma$.
Proof. It follows from the monotonicity of $r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)(t)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
-z^{(n-2)}(\rho) & \geq z^{(n-2)}(\sigma)-z^{(n-2)}(\rho)=\int_{\rho}^{\sigma} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} r^{1 / \alpha}(s) z^{(n-1)}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\sigma) z^{(n-1)}(\sigma) \phi(\rho, \sigma) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3) from $\rho$ to $\sigma$, we have

$$
-z^{(n-2)}(\sigma)+z^{(n-2)}(\rho) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\sigma) z^{(n-1)}(\sigma) \int_{\rho}^{\sigma} \phi(s, \sigma) \mathrm{d} s
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(n-3)}(\rho) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\sigma) z^{(n-1)}(\sigma) \psi_{1}(\rho, \sigma) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4) $n-3$ times from $\rho$ to $\sigma$, we get

$$
z(\rho) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\sigma) z^{(n-1)}(\sigma) \psi_{n-2}(\rho, \sigma)
$$

The proof is complete.
Lemma 5. Suppose that $x \in X^{+}$and $z$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}$. If $p_{0}<1, g$ is non-decreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t):=\delta(t) r(t)\left(\frac{z^{(n-1)}(t)}{z(g(t))}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\delta^{\prime}(t)}{\delta(t)} w(t)-\delta(t) \Theta(t)-\alpha \delta(t) \eta(t) w^{1+1 / \alpha}(t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \in C^{1}\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$.
Proof. Assume that $x \in X^{+}$and $z$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}$. Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \in I_{1}$. Since $z(t)>x(t)$ and $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, it follows from the Definition 1 that $x(t)>(1-p(t)) z(t)$. Thus, (1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} & =-q(t) f(x(g(t))) \leq-k q(t) x^{\alpha}(g(t)) \\
& \leq-k q(t)(1-p(g(t)))^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}(g(t)) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 2 with $F=z^{\prime}$, we obtain for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$,

$$
(n-2)!z^{\prime}(t) \geq \lambda t^{n-2} z^{(n-1)}(t)
$$

which with the fact that $z^{(n)} \leq 0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(g(t)) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-2)!} g^{n-2}(t) z^{(n-1)}(g(t)) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-2)!} g^{n-2}(t) z^{(n-1)}(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (5), (7) and (8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t) & =\frac{\delta^{\prime}(t)}{\delta(t)} w(t)+\delta(t) \frac{\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(t)}{z^{\alpha}(g(t))}-\delta(t) \frac{\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(t)}{z^{\alpha+1}(g(t))} \alpha z^{\prime}(g(t)) g^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq \frac{\delta^{\prime}(t)}{\delta(t)} w(t)-\delta(t) \Theta(t)-\frac{\alpha \lambda}{(n-2)!} \delta(t) r(t) g^{n-2}(t) g^{\prime}(t)\left(\frac{z^{(n-1)}(t)}{z(g(t))}\right)^{\alpha+1} \\
& \leq \frac{\delta^{\prime}(t)}{\delta(t)} w(t)-\delta(t) \Theta(t)-\alpha \delta(t) \eta(t) w^{1+1 / \alpha}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.
Lemma 6. Suppose that $x \in X^{+}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\prime}(t) \geq \tau_{0}>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(t)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(\tau(t))\right)^{\prime}+k Q(t) z^{\alpha}(g(t)) \leq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if (9) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq g_{0}>0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{g_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{g_{0} \tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)\left(g^{-1}(\tau(t))\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{k}{\mu} Q_{2}(t) z^{\alpha}(t) \leq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x \in X^{+}$. Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \in I_{1}$. From (1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}(t)}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(\tau(t))+k q(\tau(t)) x^{\alpha}(g(\tau(t))) \leq 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1) and (13) and taking into account that $\tau^{\prime}(t) \geq \tau_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(t)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(\tau(t))+k q(t) x^{\alpha}(g(t))+k p_{0}^{\alpha} q(\tau(t)) x^{\alpha}(g(\tau(t))) \leq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(t)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(\tau(t))\right)^{\prime}+k Q_{1}(t)\left(x^{\alpha}(g(t))+p_{0}^{\alpha} x^{\alpha}(g(\tau(t)))\right) \leq 0
$$

Using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(t)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(\tau(t))\right)^{\prime}+\frac{k}{\mu} Q_{1}(t)\left(\left(x(g(t))+p_{0} x(g(\tau(t)))\right)\right)^{\alpha} \leq 0 .
$$

From the definition of $z$, it is easy to conclude that

$$
\left(\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(t)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(\tau(t))\right)^{\prime}+\frac{k}{\mu} Q_{1}(t) z^{\alpha}(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

Next, from (1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)^{\prime}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)+k q\left(g^{-1}(t)\right) x^{\alpha}(t) \leq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(g^{-1}(\tau(t))\right)^{\prime}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(\tau(t))\right)+k q\left(g^{-1}(\tau(t))\right) x^{\alpha}(\tau(t)) \leq 0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (15) and (16) and taking into account (9) and (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{g_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{g_{0} \tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(\tau(t))\right)+k q\left(g^{-1}(t)\right) x^{\alpha}(t) \\
+k q\left(g^{-1}(\tau(t))\right) x^{\alpha}(\tau(t)) \leq 0 \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

By replacing (14) with (17), this part of proof is similar to that of the previous case and so we omit it.

## 3. Nonexistence Criteria of Non-Oscillatory Solutions

At the beginning of this section, we define the following classes:
Notation 3. The set of all positive solutions of (1) whose corresponding function z satisfies $\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{N}$ is denoted by $X_{P}^{+}$or $X_{N^{\prime}}^{+}$, respectively.

Now, we create various criteria that ensure that there are no positive solutions of (1) whose corresponding function satisfies $\mathbf{P}$.

Theorem 1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\widetilde{\Theta}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \eta(\varrho) \widetilde{\Theta}^{1+1 / \alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho>\frac{1}{(1+\alpha)^{1+1 / \alpha}}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X_{P}^{+}$is an empty class.
Proof. Assume the contrary that $x \in X_{P}^{+}$. Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \in I_{1}$. Using Lemma 5 with $\delta(t):=1$, we arrive at

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-\Theta(t)-\alpha \eta(t) w^{1+1 / \alpha}(t)<0
$$

By integrating the last inequality from $t$ to $\infty$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t) \geq \widetilde{\Theta}(t)+\alpha \int_{t}^{\infty} \eta(\varrho) w^{1+1 / \alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w(t)}{\widetilde{\Theta}(t)} \geq 1+\frac{\alpha}{\widetilde{\Theta}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \eta(\varrho) \widetilde{\Theta}^{1+1 / \alpha}(\varrho)\left(\frac{w(\varrho)}{\widetilde{\Theta}(\varrho)}\right)^{1+1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} \varrho . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19), we note that $w(t) \geq \widetilde{\Theta}(t)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta:=\inf \frac{w(t)}{\widetilde{\Theta}(t)} \geq 1 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (18) and (21), (20) becomes

$$
\beta \geq 1+\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{1+\alpha}\right)^{1+1 / \alpha}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\beta}{\alpha+1} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha+1}+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1+1 / \alpha}
$$

which contradicts the expected value of $\beta>1$ and $\alpha>0$; therefore, the proof is complete.
Now, let $\left\{S_{m}(t)\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of continuous functions defined as follows: $S_{0}(t)=\widetilde{\Theta}(t)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m+1}(t)=S_{0}(t)+\alpha \int_{t}^{\infty} \eta(\varrho) S_{m}^{1+1 / \alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho, m=0,1, \ldots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the definition of $\left\{S_{m}(t)\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$, we can infer more new criteria as follows:

Theorem 2. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \varphi(\varrho) \Theta(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho=\infty, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X_{P}^{+}$is an empty class, where

$$
\varphi(t):=\exp \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \alpha \eta(\varrho) S_{m}^{1 / \alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)
$$

Proof. Assume the contrary that $x \in X_{P}^{+}$. Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \in I_{1}$. From Theorem 1, we have that (19) holds. By induction, using (19), it is easy to see that the sequence $\left\{S_{m}(t)\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing and $w(t) \geq S_{m}(t)$. Thus the sequence $\left\{S_{m}(t)\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $S(t)$. By the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (22), we get

$$
S(t)=S_{0}(t)+\alpha \int_{t}^{\infty} \eta(\varrho) S^{1+1 / \alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho
$$

which with $S(t) \geq S_{m}(t)$, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime}(t) & =-\Theta(t)-\alpha \eta(\varrho) S^{1+1 / \alpha}(\varrho) \\
& \leq-\Theta(t)-\alpha \eta(\varrho) S(\varrho) S_{m}^{1 / \alpha}(\varrho),
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
S^{\prime}(t)+\left(\alpha \eta(\varrho) S_{m}^{1 / \alpha}(\varrho)\right) S(\varrho) \leq-\Theta(t)
$$

Thus, we get that

$$
\varphi(t) S^{\prime}(t)+\varphi(t)\left(\alpha \eta(\varrho) S_{m}^{1 / \alpha}(\varrho)\right) S(\varrho) \leq-\varphi(t) \Theta(t)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi(t) S(t))^{\prime} \leq-\varphi(t) \Theta(t) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (24) from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\varphi(t) S(t) \leq \varphi\left(t_{1}\right) S\left(t_{1}\right)-\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \varphi(\varrho) \Theta(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho .
$$

However, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using (23), the above inequality yields $\varphi(t) S(t) \rightarrow-\infty$, which contradicts the fact that $\varphi(t) S(t)$ is nonnegative. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3. If there exist some $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $S_{m}(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r(t)} g^{\alpha(n-1)}(t) S_{m}(t)>\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X_{P}^{+}$is an empty class.

Proof. Assume the contrary that $x \in X_{P}^{+}$. Using Lemma 2 and taking into account the fact that $z^{(n-1)}(t)$ is non-increasing, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(g(t)) & \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} g^{n-1}(t) z^{(n-1)}(g(t)) \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} g^{n-1}(t) z^{(n-1)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Then, from definition of $w(t)$ with $\delta(t)=1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{w(t)}=\frac{1}{r(t)}\left(\frac{z(g(t))}{z^{(n-1)}(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{r(t)}\left(\frac{\lambda g^{n-1}(t)}{(n-1)!}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

and so

$$
\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{r(t)} g^{\alpha(n-1)}(t) w(t) \geq \frac{1}{r(t)} g^{\alpha(n-1)}(t) S_{m}(t)
$$

which contradicts (25). The proof is complete.
Corollary 1. If there exist some $\lambda \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r(t)}\left(g^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty} \Theta(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho>\left(\frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X_{P}^{+}$is an empty class.
Proof. Letting $m=0$ in Theorem 3, we get (26).
Next, by using comparison principles, we will create various criteria that ensure that there are no positive solutions of (1) whose corresponding function satisfies $\mathbf{N}$.

Theorem 4. If the first-order advanced inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(t)+\frac{k \tau_{0}}{\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} Q_{1}(t) \psi_{n-2}^{\alpha}(g(t), t) G\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right) \leq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X_{N}^{+}$is an empty class.
Proof. Assume the contrary that $x \in X^{+}$and $z$ satisfy $N$. Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$, $x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \in I_{1}$. From Lemmas 4 and 6 , we arrive at (2) and (10), respectively. Now from (2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(g(t)) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{(n-1)}(t) \psi_{n-2}(g(t), t) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by virtue of (10) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq\left(\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(t)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(\tau(t))\right)^{\prime}+k Q_{1}(t) r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t) \psi_{n-2}(g(t), t)\right)^{\alpha} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t):=\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(t)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\left(r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)(\tau(t))>0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)$ is non-increasing, we obtain

$$
G(t) \leq r(\tau(t))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\tau(t))\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\tau_{0}}\right)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \geq \frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} G\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (31) in (29), we see that $G$ is a positive solution of the inequality

$$
G^{\prime}(t)+\frac{k \tau_{0}}{\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} Q_{1}(t) \psi_{n-2}^{\alpha}(g(t), t) G\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right) \leq 0
$$

This a contradiction, and thus the proof is complete.
Theorem 5. If there exists a function $\vartheta(t) \in C\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) \leq \vartheta(t), \tau^{-1}(\vartheta(t))<t \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the first-order delay equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(t)+\frac{k \tau_{0}}{\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} Q_{1}(t) \psi_{n-2}^{\alpha}(g(t), \vartheta(t)) G\left(\tau^{-1}(\vartheta(t))\right)=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then $X_{N}^{+}$is an empty class.
Proof. Assume the contrary that $x \in X^{+}$and $z$ satisfy $N$. Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$, $x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \in I_{1}$. From Lemma 4 and Lemma 6, we arrive at (2) and (10), respectively. Now from (2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(g(t)) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\vartheta(t)) z^{(n-1)}(\vartheta(t)) \psi_{n-2}(g(t), \vartheta(t)) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing (28) with (34) and proceeding as in proof of Theorem 4, we arrive at $G$ (defined as in (30)) which is a positive solution of the inequality

$$
G^{\prime}(t)+\frac{k \tau_{0}}{\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} Q_{1}(t) \psi_{n-2}^{\alpha}(g(t), \vartheta(t)) G\left(\tau^{-1}(\vartheta(t))\right) \leq 0 .
$$

In view of ([23], Theorem 1), we have that (33) also has a positive solution, a contradiction. Thus, the proof is complete.

Corollary 2. If there exists a function $\vartheta(t) \in C\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying (32) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau^{-1}(\vartheta(t))}^{t} Q_{1}(\varrho) \psi_{n-2}^{\alpha}(g(t), \vartheta(t)) \mathrm{d} \varrho>\frac{\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{e} k \tau_{0}}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X_{N}^{+}$is an empty class.
Proof. By using Theorem 2 in [15], conditions (35) imply that (33) is oscillatory.

Theorem 6. Assume that $f(x(g(t))):=x^{\alpha}(t)$ and $p(t)<\widetilde{R}(t)$. If there exists a function $\theta \in C^{1}\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad \theta(t)>t, \quad \tau\left(\theta^{n-1}(t)\right)<t \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the first-order delay equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(t)+B_{n-2}(t) \omega\left(\tau\left(\theta^{n-1}(t)\right)\right)=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then $X_{N}^{+}$is an empty class, where $\theta^{m-1}(t):=\theta\left(\theta^{m-2}(t)\right), \theta^{0}(t):=\theta(t)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{0}(t):=\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} k q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)^{1 / \alpha}, \quad R_{m}(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty} R_{m-1}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho, \\
\widetilde{R}(t):=\exp \left(-\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} R_{n-2}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right), \\
B_{0}(t):=\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\theta(t)} q(t)(\widetilde{R}(\varrho)-p(\varrho))^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)^{1 / \alpha} \text { and } B_{m}(t):=\int_{t}^{\theta(t)} B_{m-1}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho,
\end{gathered}
$$

for $m=1,2, \ldots, n-2$.
Proof. Assume the contrary that $x \in X^{+}$and $z$ satisfy $N$. Then, there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$, $x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \in I_{1}$. It is easy to notice that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(j)}=0$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, n-2$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha}=0$. Hence, by integrating (1) from $t$ to $\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha} & =\int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) x^{\alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho \leq \int_{t}^{\infty} k q(\varrho) z^{\alpha}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho \\
& \leq z^{\alpha}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} k q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
z^{(n-1)}(t) \leq z(t)\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} k q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)^{1 / \alpha}=z(t) R_{0}(t)
$$

Integrating the last inequality $n-2$ times from $t$ to $\infty$, we obtain

$$
-z^{\prime}(t) \leq z(t) R_{n-2}(t)
$$

Thus, we get

$$
z(v) \geq z(u) \exp \left(-\int_{u}^{v} R_{n-2}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)
$$

for $u \leq v$. From the definition of $z$, we have

$$
x(t) \geq z(t)-p(t) z(\tau(t)) \geq(\widetilde{R}(t)-p(t)) z(\tau(t))
$$

which with (1) yields

$$
\left(r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=-q(t) x^{\alpha}(t) \leq-q(t)(\widetilde{R}(t)-p(t))^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}(\tau(t))
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $t$ to $\theta(t)$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{(n-1)}(t) & \geq\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\theta(t)} q(t)(\widetilde{R}(\varrho)-p(\varrho))^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}(\tau(\varrho)) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq z(\tau(\theta(t))) B_{0}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the last inequality $n-2$ times from $t$ to $\theta(t)$, we get

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+z\left(\tau\left(\theta^{n-1}(t)\right)\right) B_{n-2}(t) \leq 0
$$

If we set

$$
\omega(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty} z\left(\tau\left(\theta^{n-1}(t)\right)\right) B_{n-2}(t)>0
$$

then $\omega$ is a positive solution of the inequality $\omega^{\prime}(t)+B_{n-2}(t) \omega\left(\tau\left(\theta^{n-1}(t)\right)\right) \leq 0$. In view of ([23], Theorem 1), we have that (37) also has a positive solution, a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Corollary 3. Assume that $f(x(g(t))):=x^{\alpha}(t)$ and $p(t)<\widetilde{R}(t)$. If there exists a function $\theta \in C^{1}\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying (36) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau\left(\theta^{n-1}(t)\right)}^{t} B_{n-2}(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}}, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X_{N}^{+}$is an empty class, where the functions $\widetilde{R}, \theta^{n-1}$ and $B_{n-2}$ are defined as in Theorem 6.
Proof. By using Theorem 2 in [15], condition (38) implies that (37) is oscillatory.

## 4. Asymptotic and Oscillatory Properties

Theorem 7. Each non-oscillatory solution of (1) tends to zero if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{\varrho}\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)^{1 / \alpha}=\infty \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one of the conditions (18) or (26) is fulfilled.
Proof. Let $x$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (1). Without loss of generality, we assume that $x \in X_{+}$. From Lemma 3, we have only two cases for $z$. Each of the conditions (18) or (26) contradicts that $z$ fulfills $\mathbf{P}$. Now, we suppose that $z$ satisfies $\mathbf{N}$. Since $z(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime}(t)<0$, we get that $z \rightarrow c$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $c \geq 0$. Suppose that $c>0$. Then, for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists a $T \geq t_{0}$ such that $c<z(t)<c+\epsilon$ for all $t>T$. By set $\varepsilon<(1-p)(c / p)$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =z(t)-p(t) x(\tau(t))>c-p z(\tau(t)) \\
& >M(c+\epsilon)>M z(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=(c-p(c+\epsilon)) /(c+\epsilon)>0$. Thus, integrating from $t$ to $\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(t)\left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha} & \geq k \int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) x^{\alpha}(g(\varrho)) \mathrm{d} \varrho \geq k M^{\alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) z^{\alpha}(g(\varrho)) \mathrm{d} \varrho \\
& \geq k M^{\alpha} z^{\alpha}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho>k M^{\alpha} c^{\alpha} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
z^{(n-1)}(t)>k^{1 / \alpha} M c\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

By integrating from $t$ to $\varrho$, we find

$$
z^{(n-2)}(t)<z^{(n-2)}(\varrho)-k^{1 / \alpha} M c \int_{t}^{\varrho}\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(\varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Taking the limit of both sides as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using (39), we get that $z^{(n-2)}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. But, $z^{n-2}$ is a negative increasing function, this a contradiction. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=0$, which implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$. The proof is complete.

In the following, based on the fact that there are only two cases for the corresponding function $z$, we infer new criteria for oscillation of all solutions of the Equation (1). In each of the following theorems, we refer to two conditions through which it is possible to exclude the existence of solutions in $X_{P}^{+}$or $X_{N}^{+}$. Thus, we rule out the existence of non-oscillatory solutions.

Theorem 8. Assume that (18) or (26) holds. If there exists a function $\vartheta(t) \in C\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying (32) and the first-order delay Equation (33) is oscillatory, then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 9. Assume that $f(x(g(t))):=x^{\alpha}(t), p(t)<\widetilde{R}(t)$ and (18) hold. If there exists a function $\theta \in$ $C^{1}\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying (36) and the first-order delay Equation (37) is oscillatory, then every solution of (1) is oscillatory, where the functions $\widetilde{R}, \theta^{n-1}$ and $B_{n-2}$ are defined as in Theorem 6.

Corollary 4. Assume that (18) or (26) holds. If there exists a function $\vartheta(t) \in C\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying (32) and (35), then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 5. Assume that $f(x(g(t))):=x^{\alpha}(t), p(t)<\widetilde{R}(t)$ and (18) (or (26)) hold. If there exists a function $\theta \in C^{1}\left(I_{0},(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying (36) and (38), then every solution of (1) is oscillatory, where the functions $\widetilde{R}, \theta^{n-1}$ and $B_{n-2}$ are defined as in Theorem 6.

Example 1. Consider the third-order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\left(x(t)+p_{0} x\left(\tau_{0} t\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{t^{2 \alpha+1}} x^{\alpha}\left(g_{0} t\right)=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}, \tau_{0}, g_{0} \in(0,1)$ and $q_{0}>0$. From (40), we note that $n=3, p(t):=p_{0}, \tau(t):=\tau_{0} t, q(t):=q_{0} / t^{2 \alpha+1}$, $g(t):=g_{0} t$ and $r(t)=1$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta(t)=\lambda g_{0}^{2} t, \quad \Theta(t)=q_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha+1}}, \quad Q_{1}(t):=q_{0} / t^{2 \alpha+1} \\
\phi(s, t)=(t-s), \quad \psi_{1}(s, t)=\frac{1}{2}(s-t)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\Theta}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \alpha} q_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha}} .
$$

Thus, the condition (18) becomes:

$$
q_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}>\frac{1}{g_{0}^{2 \alpha}}\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha+1}
$$

The condition (26) simplifies to

$$
q_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}>\frac{\alpha 2^{\alpha+1}}{\lambda^{\alpha} g_{0}^{2 \alpha}}
$$

By choosing $\vartheta(t):=\left(g_{0}+\tau_{0}\right)(t / 2)$, where $g_{0}<1$, the condition (35) extends to

$$
q_{0}\left(\tau_{0}-g_{0}\right)^{2 \alpha} \ln \frac{2 \tau_{0}}{g_{0}+\tau_{0}}>2^{2 \alpha+1} \frac{\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{e} \tau_{0}}
$$

Using Corollary 4, Equation (40) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{g_{0}^{2 \alpha}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha+1}, \frac{2^{2 \alpha+1}\left(\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{\mathrm{e} \tau_{0}\left(\tau_{0}-g_{0}\right)^{2 \alpha}}\left(\ln \frac{2 \tau_{0}}{g_{0}+\tau_{0}}\right)^{-1}\right\} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
q_{0}>\max \left\{\frac{\alpha 2^{\alpha+1}}{g_{0}^{2 \alpha}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}, \frac{2^{2 \alpha+1}\left(\tau_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{\mathrm{e} \tau_{0}\left(\tau_{0}-g_{0}\right)^{2 \alpha}}\left(\ln \frac{2 \tau_{0}}{g_{0}+\tau_{0}}\right)^{-1}\right\}
$$

Next, if we set $g(t):=t, \theta(t):=\gamma t, \gamma>1$ and $p_{0}<\tau_{0}^{A}$, then the condition (38) becomes

$$
q_{0}^{1 / \alpha}\left(\tau_{0}^{A}-p_{0}\right) \frac{(\gamma-1)^{-3}}{(2 \alpha)^{1 / \alpha}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2} \tau_{0}}\right)>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}
$$

where $A=\left(q_{0} / 2 \alpha\right)^{1 / \alpha}$. When $g_{0}=1$, by using Corollary 5, Equation (40) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\alpha+1}, \frac{2 \alpha(\gamma-1)^{3 \alpha}}{\mathrm{e}\left(\tau_{0}^{A}-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\ln 1 / \gamma^{2} \tau_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}\right\} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
q_{0}>\max \left\{\frac{\alpha 2^{\alpha+1}}{\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}, \frac{2 \alpha(\gamma-1)^{3 \alpha}}{\mathrm{e}\left(\tau_{0}^{A}-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\ln 1 / \gamma^{2} \tau_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}\right\} .
$$

## 5. Conclusions

When studying the oscillatory behavior of solutions of differential equations with odd-order, it is customary to find conditions that ensure solutions are either oscillatory or tend to zero. Dzurina et al. [5] and Vidhyaa et al. [24] established criteria for the oscillation of all solutions of a third-order linear and half-linear neutral differential equation, respectively. As an extension and also an improvement of these results, we obtained new oscillation criteria for the odd-order non-linear neutral Equation (1).

If we consider the third order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{10} x\left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{t^{3}} x^{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{10} t\right)=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Example 1 in [5], Equation (43) is oscillatory if $q_{0}>120$. However, by using our criterion (41), we get that (43) is oscillatory if $q_{0}>111.11$. Moreover, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{3} x\left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{t^{3}} x^{\alpha}(t)=0 . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Example 3 in [24], by choosing $\beta=4 / 3$ Equation (44) is oscillatory if $q_{0}>4$. However, if we choose $\gamma=4 / 3$, then our criterion (42) becomes $q_{0}>2$, and hence (44) is oscillatory. Thus, our results improve the results in [5,24]. In the future, we can try to study the advanced odd-order differential equations by the same approach.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, a new solution process of $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion and $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion methods has been proposed for the analytic solution of the Zhiber-Shabat (Z-S) equation. Rather than the classical ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method, a solution function in different formats has been produced with the help of the proposed process. New complex rational, hyperbolic, rational and trigonometric types solutions of the Z-S equation have been constructed. By giving arbitrary values to the constants in the obtained solutions, it can help to add physical meaning to the traveling wave solutions, whereas traveling wave has an important place in applied sciences and illuminates many physical phenomena. 3D, 2D and contour graphs are displayed to show the stationary wave or the state of the wave at any moment with the values given to these constants. Conditions that guarantee the existence of traveling wave solutions are given. Comparison of ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method and $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion method, which are important instruments in the analytical solution, has been made. In addition, the advantages and disadvantages of these two methods have been discussed. These methods are reliable and efficient methods to obtain analytic solutions of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs).


Keywords: $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion method; the Zhiber-Shabat equation; $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method; traveling wave solutions; exact solutions

## 1. Introduction

The analysis of analytic solutions of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) plays a significant role in the study of nonlinear physical phenomena. Various techniques have been tried to obtained analytic solutions, such as the sine-cosine method [1], extended sinh-Gordon equation expansion method [2,3], $\left(G^{\prime} / G\right)$-expansion method [4,5], improved Bernoulli sub-equation function method [6], variational iteration algorithm-II [7-9], sub equation method [10], collocation method [11,12], (1/G')-expansion method [13-15], first integral method [16], adomian decomposition methods [17-19], hirota bilinear method [20], modified variational iteration algorithms [21-24], homotopy perturbation method [25], residual power series method [26], ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method [27] and so on [28-38].

In this study, our main purpose is to obtain the traveling wave solutions of the evolution equations in nonlinear dynamics. As it is known, scientific studies take place gradually. The first step is to examine a physical event, the second step is to model the event, the third step is to produce the solution of the model and the fourth step is to load the produced solution into physical meaning. In this article,
to produce the solution in the third stage and to prepare for the fourth stage. As it is known, in soliton theory, it will be much more valuable if solitons gain physical meaning. For example, today, the pandemic patients that affect the world may represent a stationary wave on a graph consisting of numerical data related to parameters such as number of patients and number of tests. Employees on this subject can relate to the solutions we will offer in this study. We consider the Zhiber-Shabat (Z-S) equation [39]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x t}+p e^{u}+q e^{-u}+r e^{-2 u}=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, r, q$ are arbitrary constants. When $r=0, q \neq 0$, Equation (1) gives the well-known sinh-Gordon equation, while, $r \neq 0, q=0$, gives the Dodd-Bullough-Mikhailov (DBM) equation. However, for $p=0, q=-1, r=-1$, Equation (1) reduced to the Tzitzeica-Dodd-Bullough (TDB) equation, while for $r=q=0$, gives the Liouville equation. These equations play an effective role in various scientific applications such as fluid dynamics, solid state physics, nonlinear optics and chemical kinetics. When the analytical solution of Equation (1) is found, the solutions of the sinh-Gordon, DBM, TDB and the Liouville equations can also be obtained.

Many researchers have investigated the Z-S equations and discussed its applications in different field of science and engineering. Some of these investigations are as follows: various types of solution for the Z-S equation are obtained [40] by using qualitative theory of polynomial differential system, while qualitative behavior and exact travelling wave solutions of the Z-S equation are obtained in [41]. Analytic solutions of the Z-S equation are obtained using the $(-\phi(\xi))$-expansion method [42], exponential rational function method [43], while exact solutions of it are obtained using bifurcation theory and method of phase portraits analysis [44].

In the current work, we are interested in constructing exact solutions of the Zhiber-Shabat (Z-S) equation using $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion method and $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method. The solutions of the equation have not been studied with either method. In this study, both to provide the literature with the solution produced by these methods and to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of the methods.

## 2. $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-Expansion Method

Consider a general form of NLEEs as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \ldots\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u=u(x, t)=u(\xi), \xi=x-w t, w \neq 0$. where $w$ is a constant speed of the wave. After using transformation, it can be converted into the following nonlinear ODE for $u(\xi)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho\left(u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots\right)=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Equation (3) is assumed to have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\xi)=a_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, m$ are constants and $G=G(\xi)$ provides the following second order IODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime \prime}+\lambda G^{\prime}+\mu=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constants to be determined after,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}=\frac{\lambda}{-\mu+\lambda A(\operatorname{Cosh}(\xi \lambda)-\operatorname{Sinh}(\xi \lambda))} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is an integral constant. If the desired derivatives of the Equation (4) are calculated and substituting in the Equation (3), a polynomial with the argument $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$ is attained. An algebraic equation system is created by equalizing the coefficients of this polynomial to zero. These equations are solved with the help of the package program and put into place in the default Equation (3) solution function. Finally, the solutions of Equation (1) are obtained.

## 3. $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-Expansion Method

Consider the following general form of NLEEs

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(u, u_{t}, u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z}, u_{t t}, u_{x x}, \ldots\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u(x, t)=u(\xi), \quad \xi=x-w t$ where $w$ is a constant, when transmutation is applied to Equation (7), it becomes a NLODE and this equation may be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Complexity can be reduced by integrating Equation (8). By the nature of this method, $G(\xi)$ is a quadratic function ODE solution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+\lambda G(\xi)=\mu \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, to provide operational aesthetics as $\phi=\phi(\xi)=G^{\prime} / G$ and $\psi=\psi(\xi)=\frac{1}{G(\xi)}$. We may write derivatives of functions defined here;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}=-\phi^{2}+\mu \psi-\lambda, \psi^{\prime}=-\phi \psi . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can offer the behavior of solution function Equation (9) according to the state of $\lambda$, taking into account the equations given by the Equation (10).
i: If $\lambda<0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\xi)=c_{1} \sinh (\sqrt{-\lambda} \xi)+c_{2} \cosh (\sqrt{-\lambda} \xi)+\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are reel numbers. Considering Equation (11);

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{2}=\frac{-\lambda}{\lambda^{2} \sigma+\mu^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}-2 \mu \psi+\lambda\right), \sigma=c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (12) is written.
ii: If $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\xi)=c_{1} \sin (\sqrt{\lambda} \xi)+c_{2} \cos (\sqrt{\lambda} \xi)+\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are reel numbers. Considering Equation (13), there is following equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{2}=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{2} \sigma-\mu^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}-2 \mu \psi+\lambda\right), \sigma=c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii: If $\lambda=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\xi)=\frac{\mu}{2} \xi^{2}+c_{1} \xi+c_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are reel numbers. Considering Equation (15), there is following equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{2}=\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}-2 \mu c_{2}}\left(\phi^{2}-2 \mu \psi\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $\phi$ and $\psi$ polynomials, solution of Equation (8) is ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\xi)=a_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i} \phi^{i}+b_{i} \psi^{i}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this study, we reorganized the solution function in classical $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method as Equation (17) with the logic of solution functions of $\left(G^{\prime} / G\right)$ and $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion methods. This logic is considered together with the classical $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method and the method can be developed in future studies and different solutions can be offered.

Wherein, $a_{i}(i=0,1, \ldots, m)$ and $b_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ counts then are constants to be determined. $m$ is a positive equilibrium term that may be attained by comparing the maximum order derivative and the maximum order nonlinear term in Equation (8). If Equation (17) is written in Equation (8) with Equations (10), (12), (14) or (16), a polynomial function associated with $\phi$ and $\psi$ is written. Each term coefficient of $\phi^{i} \psi^{j} \quad(i=0,1, \ldots, m)(j=1, \ldots, m)$ of the attained polynomial functions are equated to zero and a system algebraic equations is attained for $a_{i}, b_{i}, w, \mu, c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $\lambda(i=0,1, \ldots, m)$. The required coefficients are obtained by solving the algebraic equation with the help of computer package programs. These coefficients found are written in Equation (17) and $u(\xi)$ solution function of Equation (8) is obtained and if $\xi=x-w t$ transmutation is employed in reverse order, we will attain analytic solution $u(x, t)$ of Equation (7).

## 4. Solutions of The (Z-S) Equation Using (1/G ${ }^{\prime}$ )-Expansion Method

We consider Equation (1). Using transmutation $u(x, t)=u(\xi), \xi=x-w t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w u_{\xi \xi}+p e^{u}+q e^{-u}+r e^{-2 u}=0, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the wave speed. To implement this method, we use transmutation $u=\ln v$ and $v=V(\tilde{\xi})$, Equation (18) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w\left(V V^{\prime \prime}-\left(V^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+p V^{3}+q V+r=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (19), we find balancing term $m=2$ and in Equation (4), the following situation is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=a_{0}+a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+a_{2}\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)^{2}, a_{2} \neq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}$ unknown constants to be determined later. Replacing Equation (20) into Equation (19) and the coefficients of the algebraic Equation (1) are equal to zero, we can establish the following algebraic equation systems

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}[\zeta]}\right)^{0}: r+q a_{0}+p a_{0}^{3}=0, \\
& \left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}[\xi]}\right)^{1}: q a_{1}-w \lambda^{2} a_{0} a_{1}+3 p a_{0}^{2} a_{1}=0, \\
& \left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}[\xi]}\right)^{2}:-3 w \lambda \mu a_{0} a_{1}+3 p a_{0} a_{1}^{2}+q a_{2}-4 w \lambda^{2} a_{0} a_{2}+3 p a_{0}^{2} a_{2}=0, \\
& \left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}[\xi]}\right)^{3}:-2 w \mu^{2} a_{0} a_{1}-w \lambda \mu a_{1}^{2}+p a_{1}^{3}-10 w \lambda \mu a_{0} a_{2}-w \lambda^{2} a_{1} a_{2}+6 p a_{0} a_{1} a_{2}=0,  \tag{21}\\
& \left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}[\xi \zeta]}\right)^{4}:-w \mu^{2} a_{1}^{2}-6 w \mu^{2} a_{0} a_{2}-5 w \lambda \mu a_{1} a_{2}+3 p a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+3 p a_{0} a_{2}^{2}=0, \\
& \left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}[\xi]}\right)^{5}:-4 w \mu^{2} a_{1} a_{2}-2 w \lambda \mu a_{2}^{2}+3 p a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=0, \\
& \left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}[\zeta \zeta]}\right)^{6}:-2 w \mu^{2} a_{2}^{2}+p a_{2}^{3}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Case I.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{p \lambda a_{0} a_{1}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)}, a_{2}=\frac{p a_{0} a_{1}^{2}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)}, w=\frac{q+3 p a_{0}^{2}}{\lambda^{2} a_{0}}, r=-q a_{0}-p a_{0}^{3} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

considering Equation (6), substituting Equation (22) into Equation (20), the following solution is attained

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{0}+\frac{p a_{0} a_{1}^{2}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)\left(A \cosh [\lambda(x-w t)]-A \sinh [\lambda(x-w t)]-\frac{p a_{0} a_{1}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)}\right)^{2}}  \tag{23}\\
+\frac{a_{1}}{A \cosh [\lambda(x-w t)]-A \sinh [\lambda(x-w t)]-\frac{p a_{0} a_{1}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In addition, if Equation (23) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the analytical solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
u_{1}(x, t)=\ln \left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{0}+\frac{p a_{0} a_{1}^{2}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)\left(A \cosh [\lambda(x-w t)]-A \sinh [\lambda(x-w t)]-\frac{p a_{0} a_{1}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)}\right)^{2}}  \tag{24}\\
+\frac{a_{1}}{A \cosh [\lambda(x-w t)]-A \sinh [\lambda(x-w t)]-\frac{p a_{0} a_{1}}{2\left(q+3 p a_{0}^{2}\right)}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The hyperbolic traveling wave solution of Equation (24) produced from the $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion method is as in Figure 1.
Case II.

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=\frac{p a_{1}^{2}+\sqrt{p} \sqrt{p a_{1}^{4}-48 q a_{2}^{2}}}{12 p a_{2}}, \quad w=\frac{p a_{2}}{2 \mu^{2}}, \quad \lambda=\frac{\mu a_{1}}{a_{2}}, \\
& r=\frac{-\frac{p a_{1}^{6}}{a_{2}}-\frac{\sqrt{p} a_{1}^{4} \sqrt{p a_{1}^{4}-48 q a_{2}^{2}}}{a_{2}}-\frac{24 q a_{2} \sqrt{p a_{1}^{4}-48 q a_{2}^{2}}}{\sqrt{p}}}{432 a_{2}^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

considering Equation (6), replacing Equation (25) into Equation (20), the following solution is attained

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{12}\left[\frac{\sqrt{p a_{1}^{4}-48 q a_{2}^{2}}}{\sqrt{p} a_{2}}+a_{1}^{2}\binom{\frac{12}{A e^{a_{1}\left(\frac{p t}{2 \mu}-\frac{x \mu}{a_{2}}\right)} a_{1}-a_{2}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}}{+\frac{12 a_{2}}{\left(-A e^{a_{1}\left(\frac{p t}{2 \mu}-\frac{x \mu}{a_{2}}\right)} a_{1}+a_{2}\right)^{2}}}\right] . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively for $p=-1, \lambda=-0.8, A=-3, a_{0}=-1, a_{1}=$ $-1, q=2$ values of Equation (24).

In addition, if Equation (26) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the analytical solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(x, t)=\ln \left[\frac{1}{12}\left[\frac{\sqrt{p a_{1}^{4}-48 q a_{2}^{2}}}{\sqrt{p} a_{2}}+a_{1}^{2}\binom{\frac{12}{A e^{a_{1}\left(\frac{p t}{2 \mu}-\frac{x \mu}{a_{2}}\right)} a_{1}-a_{2}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}}{+\frac{12 a_{2}}{\left(-A e^{a_{1}\left(\frac{p t}{2 \mu}-\frac{x \mu}{a_{2}}\right)} a_{1}+a_{2}\right)^{2}}}\right]\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analytic solution of Equation (27) produced from the $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion method is as in Figure 2.


Figure 2. 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively for $p=-1, \mu=-0.8, A=-3, a_{2}=-1, a_{1}=$ $-1, q=2$ values of Equation (27).

## 5. Solutions of The (Z-S) Equation Using ( $G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G$ )-Expansion Method

We consider Equation (1). Using transmutation $u(x, t)=u(\xi), \xi=x-w t, w \neq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w u_{\xi \xi}+p e^{u}+q e^{-u}+r e^{-2 u}=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply this method, we use transmutation $u=\ln v$ and $v=V(\xi)$, Equation (28) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w\left(V V^{\prime \prime}-\left(V^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+p V^{3}+q V+r=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation (29), we find balancing term $m=2$ and in Equation (10), the following situation is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\xi)=a_{0}+a_{1} \phi[\xi]+b_{1} \psi[\xi]+a_{2} \phi[\xi]^{2}+b_{2} \psi[\xi]^{2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ constants to be determined are unknown. Replacing Equation (30) into Equation (29) and the coefficients of the algebraic Equation (1) are equal to zero, we can establish the following algebraic equation systems

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Cons : } \quad r+q a_{0}+p a_{0}^{3}+w \lambda^{2} a_{1}^{2}-\frac{w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-2 w \lambda^{2} a_{0} a_{2}+\frac{2 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{0} a_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{w \lambda^{2} \mu a_{0} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& +\frac{4 w \lambda^{3} \mu^{3} a_{2} b_{1}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{4 w \lambda^{3} \mu a_{2} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{2 w \lambda^{3} \mu^{2} b_{1}^{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{w \lambda^{3} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda^{2} a_{0} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& -\frac{2 p \lambda^{3} \mu b_{1}^{3}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{q \lambda^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{4 w \lambda^{3} \mu^{2} a_{0} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{2 w \lambda^{3} a_{0} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda^{2} a_{0}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& -\frac{8 w \lambda^{4} \mu^{2} a_{2} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{2 w \lambda^{4} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{6 w \lambda^{4} \mu b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{12 p \lambda^{3} \mu a_{0} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}=0,  \tag{31}\\
& \phi[\xi]: \quad q a_{1}-2 w \lambda a_{0} a_{1}+3 p a_{0}^{2} a_{1}+2 w \lambda^{2} a_{1} a_{2}-\frac{2 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{1} a_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{2 w \lambda^{2} \mu a_{1} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& -\frac{3 p \lambda^{2} a_{1} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{2 w \lambda^{3} \mu^{2} a_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{6 p \lambda^{2} a_{0} a_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{12 p \lambda^{3} \mu a_{1} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}=0, \\
& (\phi[\xi])^{2}: \quad-\frac{w \lambda \mu^{2} a_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+3 p a_{0} a_{1}^{2}+q a_{2}-8 w \lambda a_{0} a_{2}+\frac{2 w \lambda \mu^{2} a_{0} a_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+3 p a_{0}^{2} a_{2}+2 w \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2} \\
& -\frac{2 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{2}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{w \lambda \mu a_{0} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{4 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{3} a_{2} b_{1}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{11 w \lambda^{2} \mu a_{2} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{2 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} b_{1}^{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{2 w \lambda^{2} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda a_{0} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda^{2} a_{2} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{2 p \lambda^{2} \mu b_{1}^{3}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{q \lambda b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& -\frac{4 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{0} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{8 w \lambda^{2} a_{0} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda a_{0}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda^{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}--\frac{16 w \lambda^{3} \mu^{2} a_{2} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{4 w \lambda^{3} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{6 p \lambda^{2} a_{0} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{14 w \lambda^{3} \mu b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{12 p \lambda^{2} \mu a_{0} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{12 p \lambda^{3} \mu a_{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}=0, \\
& (\phi[\zeta])^{3}:-2 w a_{0} a_{1}+p a_{1}^{3}-2 w \lambda a_{1} a_{2}-\frac{2 w \lambda \mu^{2} a_{1} a_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+6 p a_{0} a_{1} a_{2}-\frac{2 w \lambda \mu a_{1} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda a_{1} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& -\frac{2 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{4 w \lambda^{2} a_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{6 p \lambda a_{0} a_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{6 p \lambda^{2} a_{1} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{12 p \lambda^{2} \mu a_{1} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}=0, \\
& (\phi[\xi])^{4}: \quad-w a_{1}^{2}-6 w a_{0} a_{2}+3 p a_{1}^{2} a_{2}-\frac{2 w \lambda \mu^{2} a_{2}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+3 p a_{0} a_{2}^{2}-\frac{7 w \lambda \mu a_{2} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{w \lambda b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& -\frac{3 p \lambda a_{2} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{6 w \lambda a_{0} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda a_{1}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{8 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{2} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{6 w \lambda^{2} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& -\frac{6 p \lambda a_{0} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{8 w \lambda^{2} \mu b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{12 p \lambda^{2} \mu a_{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}=0, \\
& (\phi[\xi])^{5}: \quad-4 w a_{1} a_{2}+3 p a_{1} a_{2}^{2}+\frac{4 w \lambda a_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{6 p \lambda a_{1} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}=0, \\
& (\phi[\xi])^{6}:-2 w a_{2}^{2}+p a_{2}^{3}+\frac{4 w \lambda a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{3 p \lambda a_{2}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

$\psi[\xi]: \quad-2 w \lambda \mu a_{1}^{2}+\frac{2 w \lambda \mu^{3} a_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+4 w \lambda \mu a_{0} a_{2}-\frac{4 w \lambda \mu^{3} a_{0} a_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+q b_{1}-w \lambda a_{0} b_{1}+\frac{2 w \lambda \mu^{2} a_{0} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +3 p a_{0}^{2} b_{1}-2 w \lambda^{2} a_{2} b_{1}-\frac{8 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{4} a_{2} b_{1}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{10 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{2} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{4 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{3} b_{1}^{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{3 w \lambda^{2} \mu b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& +\frac{6 p \lambda \mu a_{0} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{4 p \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} b_{1}^{3}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{p \lambda^{2} b_{1}^{3}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{2 q \lambda \mu b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{8 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{3} a_{0} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{6 w \lambda^{2} \mu a_{0} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& \quad+\frac{6 p \lambda \mu a_{0}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{16 w \lambda^{3} \mu^{3} a_{2} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{8 w \lambda^{3} \mu a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{12 w \lambda^{3} \mu^{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}+\frac{3 w \lambda^{3} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& +\frac{24 p \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{0} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{6 p \lambda^{2} a_{0} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\phi[\zeta] \psi[\zeta]: \quad 3 w \mu a_{0} a_{1}-4 w \lambda \mu a_{1} a_{2}+\frac{4 w \lambda \mu^{3} a_{1} a_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-w \lambda a_{1} b_{1}+\frac{4 w \lambda \mu^{2} a_{1} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+6 p a_{0} a_{1} b_{1}$

$$
+\frac{6 p \lambda \mu a_{1} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{4 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{3} a_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{w \lambda^{2} \mu a_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{12 p \lambda \mu a_{0} a_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{24 p \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{1} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{6 p \lambda^{2} a_{1} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}=0
$$

$\phi[\zeta]^{2} \psi[\zeta] \quad: \quad w \mu a_{1}^{2}+10 w \mu a_{0} a_{2}-4 w \lambda \mu a_{2}^{2}+\frac{4 w \lambda \mu^{3} a_{2}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-2 w a_{0} b_{1}+3 p a_{1}^{2} b_{1}-5 w \lambda a_{2} b_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{16 w \lambda \mu^{2} a_{2} b_{1}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+6 p a_{0} a_{2} b_{1}-\frac{3 w \lambda \mu b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{6 p \lambda \mu a_{2} b_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{p \lambda b_{1}^{3}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{14 w \lambda \mu a_{0} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma} \\
& +\frac{6 p \lambda \mu a_{1}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{16 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{3} a_{2} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{12 w \lambda^{2} \mu a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{12 p \lambda \mu a_{0} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{16 w \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{7 w \lambda^{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{6 p \lambda a_{0} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{24 p \lambda^{2} \mu^{2} a_{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma\right)^{2}}-\frac{6 p \lambda^{2} a_{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\phi[\xi]^{3} \psi[\xi]: 5 w \mu a_{1} a_{2}-2 w a_{1} b_{1}+6 p a_{1} a_{2} b_{1}-\frac{9 w \lambda \mu a_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{12 p \lambda \mu a_{1} a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{6 p \lambda a_{1} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}=0$,

$$
\phi[\xi]^{4} \psi[\xi] \quad: 2 w \mu a_{2}^{2}-4 w a_{2} b_{1}+3 p a_{2}^{2} b_{1}-\frac{12 w \lambda \mu a_{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}
$$

$$
+\frac{6 p \lambda \mu a_{2}^{2} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}+\frac{4 w \lambda b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}-\frac{6 p \lambda a_{2} b_{1} b_{2}}{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sigma}=0
$$

$\psi[\xi]^{4}: \quad-2 w \mu^{2} a_{2} b_{2}+3 w \mu b_{1} b_{2}+3 p b_{1}^{2} b_{2}-2 w \lambda b_{2}^{2}+3 p a_{0} b_{2}^{2}=0$,
$\phi[\xi] \psi[\xi]^{4} \quad: \quad 3 p a_{1} b_{2}^{2}=0$,
$\phi[\xi]^{2} \psi[\xi]^{4}: \quad-2 w b_{2}^{2}+3 p a_{2} b_{2}^{2}=0$,
$\psi[\xi]^{5} \quad: \quad 2 w \mu b_{2}^{2}+3 p b_{1} b_{2}^{2}=0$,
$\psi[\xi]^{6} \quad: \quad p b_{2}^{3}=0$,
Our aim with the computer package program was reaching the solutions of system (31) and we attained the following situations.

If $\lambda<0$,
Case I:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=\frac{4 p w \lambda-\sqrt{-3 p^{3} q+4 p^{2} w^{2} \lambda^{2}}}{3 p^{2}}, a_{1}=0, b_{1}=0, a_{2}=\frac{2 w}{p}, b_{2}=0, \mu=0 \\
& r=\frac{1}{27 p^{2}} 2\left(16 w^{3} \lambda^{3}+3 q \sqrt{p^{2}\left(-3 p q+4 w^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}+\frac{8 w^{2} \lambda^{2} \sqrt{p^{2}\left(-3 p q+4 w^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}}{p}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

considering Equation (6), replacing Equation (32) into Equation (30), the following solution is attained

$$
\begin{align*}
& V=\frac{4 p w \lambda-\sqrt{-3 p^{3} q+4 p^{2} w^{2} \lambda^{2}}}{3 p^{2}} \\
& +\frac{2 w\left(c_{2} \sqrt{-\lambda} \cosh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]+c_{1} \sqrt{-\lambda} \sinh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]\right)^{2}}{p\left(c_{1} \cosh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]+c_{2} \sinh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]\right)^{2}} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, if Equation (33) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the hyperbolic traveling wave solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
u_{1}(x, t)=\ln \left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4 p w \lambda-\sqrt{-3 p^{3} q+4 p^{2} w^{2} \lambda^{2}}}{3 p^{2}}  \tag{34}\\
+\frac{2 w\left(c_{2} \sqrt{-\lambda} \cosh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]+c_{1} \sqrt{-\lambda} \sinh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]\right)^{2}}{p\left(c_{1} \cosh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]+c_{2} \sinh [(-t w+x) \sqrt{-\lambda}]\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The hyperbolic traveling wave solution of Equation (34) produced from the ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method is as in Figure 3.


Figure 3. 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively for $p=-0.5, \lambda=-1, c_{2}=-1, c_{1}=5, q=$ $-1, w=0.5$ values of Equation (34).

Case II:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=-\frac{3 r}{4 q}, a_{1}=0, b_{1}=0, a_{2}=\frac{9 r}{4 q \lambda}, b_{2}=0, \mu=0, w=-\frac{q^{2}}{12 r \lambda}, p=-\frac{2 q^{3}}{27 r^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

considering Equation (6), replacing Equation (35) into Equation (30), the following solution is attained

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=-\frac{3 r}{4 q}+\frac{9 r\left(c_{2} \sqrt{-\lambda} \cosh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]+c_{1} \sqrt{-\lambda} \sinh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]\right)^{2}}{4 q \lambda\left(c_{1} \cosh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]+c_{2} \sinh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]\right)^{2}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if Equation (36) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the hyperbolic traveling wave solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(x, t)=\ln \left[-\frac{3 r}{4 q}+\frac{9 r\left(c_{2} \sqrt{-\lambda} \cosh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]+c_{1} \sqrt{-\lambda} \sinh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]\right)^{2}}{4 q \lambda\left(c_{1} \cosh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]+c_{2} \sinh \left[\left(x+\frac{q^{2} t}{12 r \lambda}\right) \sqrt{-\lambda}\right]\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hyperbolic traveling wave solution of Equation (37) produced from the $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method is as in Figure 4.



Figure 4. 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively for $\lambda=-1, c_{2}=2, c_{1}=5, q=-5, r=3$ values of Equation (37).

If $\lambda>0$,
Case III:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0} & =\frac{2 p \lambda a_{2}-\sqrt{-3 p q+p^{2} \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2}}}{3 p}, a_{1}=0, b_{1}=0, b_{2}=0, \mu=0, w=\frac{p a_{2}}{2} \\
r & =\frac{2}{27}\left(2 p \lambda^{3} a_{2}^{3}+\frac{3 q \sqrt{p\left(-3 q+p \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right)}}{p}+2 \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2} \sqrt{p\left(-3 q+p \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right)}\right) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

considering Equation (6), replacing Equation (38) into Equation (30), the following solution is attained

$$
\begin{align*}
V= & \frac{\left(c_{2} \sqrt{\lambda} \cos \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]-c_{1} \sqrt{\lambda} \sin \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]\right)^{2} a_{2}}{\left(c_{1} \cos \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]\right)^{2}}  \tag{39}\\
& +\frac{2 p \lambda a_{2}-\sqrt{-3 p q+p^{2} \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2}}}{3 p}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, if Equation (39) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the trigonometric traveling wave solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
u_{3}(x, t)=\ln \left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left(c_{2} \sqrt{\lambda} \cos \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]-c_{1} \sqrt{\lambda} \sin \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]\right)^{2} a_{2}}{\left(c_{1} \cos \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]\right)^{2}}  \tag{40}\\
+\frac{2 p \lambda a_{2}-\sqrt{-3 p q+p^{2} \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2}}}{3 p}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The trigonometric traveling wave solution of Equation (40) produced from the ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method is as in Figure 5.


Figure 5. 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively for $c_{2}=-0.5, c_{1}=-1, q=1, a_{0}=0.5, p=$ $-0.2, \lambda=2, a_{2}=-2$ values of Equation (40).

## Case IV:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=0, \quad b_{1}=0, \quad b_{2}=0, \quad \mu=0, \quad w=\frac{p a_{2}}{2}, \quad r=2 p a_{0}\left(-a_{0}+\lambda a_{2}\right)^{2},  \tag{41}\\
q=-3 p a_{0}^{2}+4 p \lambda a_{0} a_{2}-p \lambda^{2} a_{2}^{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

considering Equation (6), replacing Equation (41) into Equation (30), the following solution is attained

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=a_{0}-\lambda a_{2}+\frac{\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\right) \lambda a_{2}}{\left(c_{1} \cos \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]\right)^{2}} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if Equation (42) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the analytical solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{4}(x, t)=\ln \left[a_{0}-\lambda a_{2}+\frac{\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\right) \lambda a_{2}}{\left(c_{1} \cos \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\sqrt{\lambda}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right]\right)^{2}}\right] . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trigonometric traveling wave solution of Equation (43) produced from the ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method is as in Figure 6.



Figure 6. 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively $c_{2}=-0.5, c_{1}=-1, a_{0}=0.5, \quad p=-0.2, \quad \lambda=$ 2, $a_{2}=-2$ values of Equation (43).

If $\lambda=0$,
Case V:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{i \sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{p}}, a_{1}=0, b_{1}=0, a_{2}=\frac{2 w}{p}, b_{2}=0, \mu=0, r=-\frac{2 i q^{3 / 2}}{3 \sqrt{3} \sqrt{p}}, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

considering Equation (6), replacing Equation (44) into Equation (30), the following solution is attained

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{i \sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{p}}+\frac{2 c_{2}^{2} w}{p\left(c_{1}+c_{2}(-t w+x)\right)^{2}} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if Equation (45) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the complex analytical solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{5}(x, t)=\ln \left[\frac{i \sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{p}}+\frac{2 c_{2}^{2} w}{p\left(c_{1}+c_{2}(-t w+x)\right)^{2}}\right] . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complex analytical solution of Equation (46) produced from the ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method is as in Figures 7 and 8.



Figure 7. The real part of the 3D, contour and 2D graphics respectively for $c_{2}=5, c_{1}=-1, q=$ $-1, p=-0.5, w=3$ values of Equation (46).


Figure 8. The imaginary part of 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively for $c_{2}=5, c_{1}=-1, q=$ $-1, p=-0.5, w=3$ values of Equation (46).

Case VI:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=0, b_{1}=0, b_{2}=0, \mu=0, r=2 p a_{0}^{3}, w=\frac{p a_{2}}{2}, q=-3 p a_{0}^{2} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

considering Equation (6), replacing Equation (47) into Equation (30), the following solution is attained

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=a_{0}+\frac{c_{2}^{2} a_{2}}{\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right)^{2}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if Equation (48) is written instead of $u=\ln v$ transformation, the analytical solution of Equation (1) is as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{5}(x, t)=\ln \left[a_{0}+\frac{c_{2}^{2} a_{2}}{\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} p t a_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analytical solution of Equation (49) produced from the ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method is as in Figure 9.


Figure 9. 3D, contour and 2D graphs respectively for $c_{2}=-0.1, c_{1}=2, a_{2}=2, p=-0.5, a_{0}=5$ values of Equation (49).

## 6. Results and Discussion

There are various methods for obtaining the exact solution of NLEEs. Analytic solutions of the Z-S equations were successfully constructed by using both methods. When $u=\ln v$ transformation is performed in both methods, solution functions are logarithmic. This is a result of the exponential functions in the structure of the Z-S equation. In different cases of $p, q, r$ coefficients of $Z$-S equation, this equation is recognized by a different name. The main purpose of this article is to present the solution of Z-S equation and also to solve the equations of the sinh-Gordon, (DBM), (TDB) and the Liouville equations. For example; The Z-S equation for $r=q=0$ is called the Liouville equation. In this study, if the values $r=q=0$ in Equation (24) are written, the traveling wave solution of the Liouville equation is obtained as

$$
u(x, y)=\ln \left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{0}+\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{6 a_{0}\left(A \cosh \left[\lambda\left(x-\frac{3 p t a_{0}}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right]-A \sinh \left[\lambda\left(x-\frac{3 p t a_{0}}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right]-\frac{a_{1}}{6 a_{0}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{50}\\
+\frac{a_{1}}{A \cosh \left[\lambda\left(x-\frac{3 p t a_{0}}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right]-A \sinh \left[\lambda\left(x-\frac{3 p t a_{0}}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right]-\frac{a_{1}}{6 a_{0}}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Similarly, the solutions of the sinh-Gordon, DBM, TDB and Liouville equations can be obtained by using both the methods. The $V$ solution described above is presented in hyperbolic form in $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion method, and in hyperbolic, trigonometric and rational forms in $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method. In this case, $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method is advantageous in terms of solution. However, in $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method, the process complexity is higher. This can be observed in the system Equation (31), (1/G)-expansion method is more advantageous in terms of process. 2D, 3D and contour graphics which we consider will help in traveling wave solutions which have considerable importance in applied sciences, are presented. In order to draw these graphs, real values are given to arbitrary constants in the analytical solution.

This problem contains the properties of many equations. For the different states of the coefficients, to offer the solution of the equation which includes equations with different names, also to offer the solution of the subclass equations. It is known that each equation has different meanings. For example, with the interaction of solitons produced by sinh-Gordon equation, kink and antikink solutions came to the fore. We can make the same comments for the solutions obtained in these studies for. In this case, the equation we dealt with is the umbrella task. It makes the wave solutions valuable because it will carry the properties of the equations under the umbrella. The most important factor that stands out in this study is to take a different solutions from the solution in classical ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method. This results in obtaining different types of traveling wave solutions from the classical method. It also creates a basis for a new study. This is an improved method that can produce different solutions by adding the solution we offer with the Equation (17) to the classical ( $\left.G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$ solution. Because the Equation (17) presented and the equilibrium term 2 in the equation discussed are different from the solutions offered in the classical $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion method. Different types of solutions were obtained with both methods and both methods can be used as important instruments to get traveling wave solution for many different NLEEs.

## 7. Conclusions

In this article, we have applied the $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$-expansion and $\left(G^{\prime} / G, 1 / G\right)$-expansion methods to derive analytic solutions for the Zhiber-Shabat equation. The solutions obtained are complex rational, hyperbolic, rational and trigonometric type traveling wave solutions. The 2D, 3D and contour graphics of these solutions were presented by giving value to arbitrary parameters. These graphs represent the stationary wave at any given moment. As it is very difficult to obtain the solutions of NLEEs, in this study traveling wave solutions of Zhiber-Shabat equation are presented applying two complex methods using many complex operations and transformations. These are very effective and powerful
methods for obtaining analytical solutions and can be used to obtain solutions of many mathematical models representing physical phenomena. The accuracy of the attained solutions has been assured by putting them back into the original equations with the help of the computer package program.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.Y.; data curation, A.Y. and H.D.; formal analysis, H.A.; funding acquisition, S.-W.Y.; investigation, H.D.; methodology, A.Y.; software, H.D.; supervision, A.Y.; writing-original draft, H.A.; writing-review and editing, S.-W.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Funding: This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 71601072) and Key Scientific Research Project of Higher Education Institutions in Henan Province of China (No. 20B110006).
Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Editor-in-Chief and unknown referees for the fruitful comments and significant remarks that helped them in improving the quality and readability of the paper, which led to a significant improvement of the paper.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Wazwaz, A.M. A sine-cosine method for handlingnonlinear wave equations. Math. Comput. Model. 2004, 40, 499-508.
2. Baskonus, H.M.; Sulaiman, T.A.; Bulut, H.; Aktürk, T. Investigations of dark, bright, combined dark-bright optical and other soliton solutions in the complex cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with $\delta$-potential. Superlattices Microstruct. 2018, 115, 19-29.
3. Cattani, C.; Sulaiman, T.A.; Baskonus, H.M.; Bulut, H. On the soliton solutions to the Nizhnik-Novikov-Veselov and the Drinfel'd-Sokolov systems. Opt. Quantum Electron. 2018, 50. doi:10.1007/s11082-018-1406-3.
4. Yokuş, A.; Kaya, D. Traveling wave solutions of some nonlinear partial differential equations by using extended-expansion method. İstanbul Ticaret Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi 2015, 28, 85-92.
5. Durur, H. Different types analytic solutions of the (1+1)-dimensional resonant nonlinear Schrödinger's equation using $\left(G^{\prime} / G\right)$-expansion method. Mod. Phys. Lett. B 2020, 34. doi:10.1142/S0217984920500360.
6. Bulut, H.; Yel, G.; Başkonuş, H.M. An application of improved Bernoulli sub-equation function method to the nonlinear time-fractional burgers equation. Turk. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2016, 5, 1-7.
7. Ahmad, H.; Seadawy, A.R.; Khan, T.A. Numerical solution of Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation by the modified variational Iteration algorithm-II arising in shallow water waves. Phys. Scr. 2020. doi:10.1088/1402-4896/ab6070.
8. Ahmad, H.; Seadawy, A.R.; Khan, T.A. Study on Numerical Solution of Dispersive Water Wave Phenomena by Using a Reliable Modification of Variational Iteration Algorithm. Math. Comput. Simul. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.matcom.2020.04.005.
9. Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A.; Cesarano, C. Numerical Solutions of Coupled Burgers' Equations. Axioms 2019, 8, 119.
10. Durur, H.; Taşbozan, O.; Kurt, A.; Şenol, M. New Wave Solutions of Time Fractional Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Equation Arising In the Evolution of Nonlinear Long Waves of Small Amplitude. Erzincan Univ. J. Inst. Sci. Technol. 2019, 12, 807-815.
11. Aziz, I.; Šarler, B. The numerical solution of second-order boundary-value problems by collocation method with the Haar wavelets. Math. Comput. Model. 2010, 52, 1577-1590.
12. Nawaz, M.; Ahmad, I.; Ahmad, H. A radial basis function collocation method for space-dependent inverse heat problems. J. Appl. Comput. Mech. 2020, doi:10.22055/jacm.2020.32999.2123.
13. Yokuş, A.; Durur, H. Complex hyperbolic traveling wave solutions of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation using $\left(1 / G^{\prime}\right)$ expansion method for nonlinear dynamic theory. J. BalhKesir Univ. Inst. Sci. Technol. 2010, 21, 590-599.
14. Durur, H.; Yokuş, A. Analytical solutions of Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov equation.Balkesir Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi 2020, 22, 628-636.
15. Yokuş, A.; Durur, H.; Ahmad, H. Hyperbolic type solutions for the couple Boiti-Leon-Pempinelli system. Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. 2020, 35, 523-531.
16. Darvishi, M.; Arbabi, S.; Najafi, M.; Wazwaz, A. Traveling wave solutions of a ( $2+1$ )-dimensional Zakharov-like equation by the first integral method and the tanh method. Optik 2016, 127, 6312-6321.
17. Kaya, D.; Yokus, A. A numerical comparison of partial solutions in the decomposition method for linear and nonlinear partial differential equations. Math. Comput. Simul. 2002, 60, 507-512.
18. Kaya, D.; Yokus, A. A decomposition method for finding solitary and periodic solutions for a coupled higher-dimensional Burgers equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2005, 164, 857-864.
19. Yavuz, M.; Özdemir, N. A quantitative approach to fractional option pricing problems with decomposition series. Konuralp J. Math. 2018, 6, 102-109.
20. Jin-Ming, Z.; Yao-Ming, Z. The Hirota bilinear method for the coupled Burgers equation and the high-order Boussinesq-Burgers equation. Chin. Phys. B 2011, 20. doi:10.1088/1674-1056/20/1/010205.
21. Ahmad, H. Variational iteration method with an auxiliary parameter for solving differential equations of the fifth order. Nonlinear Sci. Lett. A 2018, 9, 27-35.
22. Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A. Variational iteration algorithm-I with an auxiliary parameter for wave-like vibration equations. J. Low Freq. Noise Vib. Act. Control 2019, 38, 1113-1124.
23. Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A. Variational iteration algorithm I with an auxiliary parameter for the solution of differential equations of motion for simple and damped mass-spring systems. Noise Vib. Worldw. 2020, 51, 12-20.
24. Ahmad, H.; Seadawy, A.R.; Khan. T.A.; Thounthong, P. Analytic Approximate Solutions for Some Nonlinear Parabolic Dynamical Wave Equations. J. Taibah Univ. Sci. 2020, 14, 346-358.
25. Yang, X.J.; Srivastava, H.M.; Cattani, C. Local fractional homotopy perturbation method for solving fractal partial differential equations arising in mathematical physics. Rom. Rep. Phys. 2015, 67, 752-761.
26. Durur, H.; Şenol, M.; Kurt, A.; Taşbozan, O. Zaman-Kesirli Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Denkleminin Conformable Türev ile Yaklaşık Çözümleri. Erzincan Univ. J. Inst. Sci. Technol. 2019, 12, 796-806.
27. Yokus, A.; Kuzu, B.; Demiroğlu, U. Investigation of solitary wave solutions for the (3+1)-dimensional Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 2019, 33. doi:10.1142/S0217979219503508.
28. Ricceri, B. A Class of Equations with Three Solutions. Mathematics 2020, 8, 478.
29. Treanță, S. On the Kernel of a Polynomial of Scalar Derivations. Mathematics 2020, 8, 515.
30. Treanță, S.; Vârsan C. Weak small controls and approximations associated with controllable affine control systems. J. Differ. Equ. 2013, 255, 1867-1882.
31. Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.; Stanimirovic, P.; Ahmad, I. Modified Variational Iteration Technique for the Numerical Solution of Fifth Order KdV Type Equations. J. Appl. Comput. Mech. 2020. doi:10.22055/jacm.2020.33305.2197.
32. Doroftei, M.; Treanta, S. Higher order hyperbolic equations involving a finite set of derivations. Balk. J. Geom. Its Appl. 2012, 17, 22-33.
33. Treanţă, S. Gradient Structures Associated with a Polynomial Differential Equation. Mathematics 2020, 8, 535.
34. Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A.; Yao, S. Numerical solution of second order Painlevé differential equation. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2020, 21, 150-157.
35. Ahmad, H.; Rafiq, M.; Cesarano, C.; Durur, H. Variational Iteration Algorithm-I with an Auxiliary Parameter for Solving Boundary Value Problems. Earthline J. Math. Sci. 2020, 3, 229-247.
36. Kaya, D.; Yokuş, A.; Demiroğlu, U. Comparison of Exact and Numerical Solutions for the Sharma-Tasso-Olver Equation. In Numerical Solutions of Realistic Nonlinear Phenomena; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 53-65.
37. Kurt, A.; Tasbozan, O.; Durur, H. The Exact Solutions of Conformable Fractional Partial Differential Equations Using New Sub Equation Method. Fundam. J. Math. Appl. 2019, 2, 173-179.
38. Ali, K.K.; Yilmazer, R.; Yokus, A.; Bulut, H. Analytical solutions for the (3+1)-dimensional nonlinear extended quantum Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in plasma physics. Phys. A Stat. Mech. Its Appl. 2020, 548. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2020.124327.
39. Borhanifar, A.; Moghanlu, A.Z. Application of the $\left(G^{\prime} / G\right)$-expansion method for the Zhiber-Shabat equation and other related equations. Math. Comput. Model. 2011, 54, 2109-2116.
40. Tang, Y.; Xu, W.; Shen, J.; Gao, L. Bifurcations of traveling wave solutions for Zhiber-Shabat equation. Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. 2007, 67, 648-656.
41. Chen, A.; Huang, W.; Li, J. Qualitative behavior and exact travelling wave solutions of the Zhiber-Shabat equation. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 2009, 230, 559-569.
42. Hafez, M.G.; Kauser, M.A.; Akter, M.T. Some New Exact Traveling Wave Solutions for the Zhiber-Shabat Equation. J. Adv. Math. Comput. Sci. 2014, 2582-2593, doi:10.9734/BJMCS/2014/11563.
43. Tala-Tebue, E.; Djoufack, Z.I.; Tsobgni-Fozap, D.C.; Kenfack-Jiotsa, A.; Kapche-Tagne, F.; Kofané, T.C. Traveling wave solutions along microtubules and in the Zhiber-Shabat equation. Chin. J. Phys. 2017, 55, 939-946.
44. He, B.; Long, Y.; Rui, W. New exact bounded travelling wave solutions for the Zhiber-Shabat equation. Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. 2009, 71, 1636-1648.

## Article

# Improved Oscillation Criteria for 2nd-Order Neutral Differential Equations with Distributed Deviating Arguments 

Osama Moaaz ${ }^{1,+(\mathbb{D}}$, Rami Ahmad El-Nabulsi ${ }^{2, *, \dagger}$, Waad Muhsin ${ }^{1, \dagger}$ and Omar Bazighifan ${ }^{3,4, \dagger}$ (D)<br>1 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt; o_moaaz@mans.edu.eg (O.M.); waed.zarebah@gmail.com (W.M.)<br>2 Athens Institute for Education and Research, Mathematics and Physics Divisions, 10671 Athens, Greece<br>3 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hadhramout University, 50512 Hadhramout, Yemen; o.bazighifan@gmail.com<br>4 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of of Education, Seiyun University, 50512 Hadhramout, Yemen<br>* Correspondence: nabulsiahmadrami@yahoo.fr<br>$\dagger$ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 17 April 2020; Accepted: 20 May 2020; Published: 23 May 2020


#### Abstract

In this study, we establish new sufficient conditions for oscillation of solutions of second-order neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. By employing a refinement of the Riccati transformations and comparison principles, we obtain new oscillation criteria that complement and improve some results reported in the literature. Examples are provided to illustrate the main results.


Keywords: deviating argument; second order; neutral differential equation; oscillation

## 1. Introduction

This study is concerned with creating new oscillation criteria for the second-order non-linear neutral differential equation with distributed deviating arguments

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\int_{a}^{b} q(t, s) f(x(\sigma(t, s))) \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \geq t_{0}$ and

$$
z(t):=x(t)+\int_{c}^{d} p(t, s) x(\tau(t, s)) \mathrm{d} s .
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that:
$\left(H_{1}\right) \alpha$ is a quotient of add positive integers;
$\left(H_{2}\right) r \in C(I,(0, \infty)), p \in C(I \times[c, d],[0, \infty)), q \in C(I \times[a, b],[0, \infty)), q(t, s)$ is not zero on any half line $\left[t_{*}, \infty\right) \times[a, b], t_{*} \geq t_{0}, \int_{c}^{d} p(t, s) \mathrm{d} s<1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{3}\right) \tau, \sigma \in C(I, \mathbb{R}), \tau(t, s) \leq t, \sigma(t, s) \leq t$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t, s)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t, s)=\infty$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a constant $k>0$ such that $f(x) \geq k x^{\alpha}$ for $x \neq 0$.
By a solution of (1), we mean a function $x \in C^{1}([t, \infty), \mathbb{R}), t_{x} \geq t_{0}$, which has the property $r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and satisfies (1) on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$. We consider only those solutions $x$ of (1)
which satisfy $\sup \left\{|x(t)|: t \geq t_{x}\right\}>0$, for all $t>t_{x}$. If $x$ is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, then $x$ is called oscillatory; otherwise it is called non-oscillatory. The equation itself is called oscillatory if all its solutions oscillate.

In a differential equation with neutral delay, the highest-order derivative appears both with and without delay. In addition to the theoretical importance, the qualitative study of neutral equations has great practical importance. In fact, the neutral equations arise in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar, in problems concerning electric networks containing lossless transmission lines (as in high-speed computers), and in the solution of variational problems with time delays, see [1,2].

Over the past decades, the issue of studying the oscillation properties for delay/neutral differential equations has been a very active research area see [1-19].

For some related works, Sun et al. [13] and Dzurina et al. [5] obtained some oscillation criteria for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)|x[\sigma(t)]|^{\alpha-1} x[\sigma(t)]=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Xu et al. [15,16] and Liu et al. [8] extended the results of [5,13] to (3) with neutral term. Sahiner [12] obtained some general oscillation criteria for neutral delay equations

$$
\left(r(t)\left(x(t)+p(t) x\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f(x(\sigma(t)))=0
$$

In [14], Wang established some general oscillation criteria for equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(x(t)+p(t) x\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\int_{a}^{b} q(t, s) x(\sigma(t, s)) \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using Riccati technique and averaging functions method. Xu and Weng [17] and Zhao and Meng [19], established some oscillation criteria for (4), which complemented and extended the results in [12,14]. In 2011, Baculikova and Dzurina [3] investigated the properties of delayed equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left((x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t)))^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are provided some comparison theorems which compare the second-order (5) with the first-order differential equations.

It is known that the determination of the signs of the derivatives of the solution is necessary and significant effect before studying the oscillation of delay differential equations. The other essential thing is to establish relationships between derivatives of different orders. Depending on improving the relationship between the neutral function $z$ and its first derivative $z^{\prime}$, we create new and improved criteria for oscillation of solutions of Equation (1). During this study, we use Riccati transformations and comparison principles to obtain the different criteria for oscillation of (1). Examples are provided to illustrate the main results.

## 2. Preliminary Results

For convenience, we denote that

$$
\begin{gathered}
U(t):=\int_{a}^{b} q(t, s)\left[1-\int_{c}^{d} p(\sigma(t, s), v) \mathrm{d} v\right]^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s, \\
\eta_{t_{0}}(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(u) \mathrm{d} u, \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{0}}(t):=\eta_{t_{0}}(t)+\frac{k}{\alpha} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \eta_{t_{1}}(u) \eta_{t_{0}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a)) U(u) \mathrm{d} u, \\
\hat{\eta}(t):=\exp \left(-\alpha \int_{\sigma(t, a)}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\widetilde{\eta}_{t_{0}}(u) r^{1 / \alpha}(u)}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
R(t)=\alpha /(r(t))^{1 / \alpha}, Q(t):=k U(t) \widehat{\eta}(t) \text { and } G(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty} Q(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

The following lemmas mainly help us to prove the main results:
Lemma 1. Let $g(x)=A x-B x^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}$ where $A, B>0$ are constants. Then $g$ attains its maximum value on $\mathbb{R}$ at $x^{*}=(\alpha A /((\alpha+1) B))^{\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in r} g=g\left(x^{*}\right)=\frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{A^{\alpha+1}}{B^{\alpha}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. [3] If $x$ is a positive solution of (1) on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0,\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{1}$.
Lemma 3. Let $x$ be a positive solution of Equation (1). Then the function $z$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq-k U(t)(z(\sigma(t, a)))^{\alpha}  \tag{8}\\
z(t) \geq \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq-k U(t) \widehat{\eta}(t) z^{\alpha}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t, v))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t, s))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, v \in[c, d]$ and $s \in[a, b]$. From Lemma 2, we have (7) holds. Thus, by definition of $z(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =z(t)-\int_{c}^{d} p(t, v) x(\tau(t, v)) \mathrm{d} v \\
& \geq z(t)-\int_{c}^{d} p(t, v) z(\tau(t, v)) \mathrm{d} v \\
& \geq z(t)\left[1-\int_{c}^{d} p(t, v) \mathrm{d} v\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which, with (1), implies that

$$
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq-k \int_{a}^{b} q(t, s) z^{\alpha}(\sigma(t, s))\left[1-\int_{c}^{d} p(\sigma(t, s), v) \mathrm{d} v\right]^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s
$$

Since $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sigma(t, s)>0$, we obtain $z(\sigma(t, s))>z(\sigma(t, a))$ and so

$$
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq-k U(t) z^{\alpha}(\sigma(t, a))
$$

Applying the chain rule and simple computation, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{t_{1}}(t)\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} & =\alpha\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} \eta_{t_{1}}(t)\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =-\alpha\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(z(t)-\eta_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (8) and (11), we obtain

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(z(t)-\eta_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq \frac{k}{\alpha} \eta_{t_{1}}(t)\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} U(t) z^{\alpha}(\sigma(t, a))
$$

Integrating this inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \geq \eta_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)+\frac{k}{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \eta_{t_{1}}(u) U(u)\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(u) z^{\prime}(u)\right)^{1-\alpha} z^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a)) \mathrm{d} u \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the monotonicity of $r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)$, we have

$$
z(t)=z\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(u)}\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(u) z^{\prime}(u)\right) \mathrm{d} u \geq \eta_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

Thus, from the fact that $\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$, (12) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(t) \geq & \eta_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\frac{k}{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \eta_{t_{1}}(u) U(u)\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(u) z^{\prime}(u)\right)^{1-\alpha} \eta_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a))\left[r(\sigma(u, a))\left(z^{\prime}(\sigma(u, a))\right)^{\alpha}\right] \mathrm{d} u . \\
\geq & \eta_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)+\frac{k}{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(r^{1 / \alpha}(u) z^{\prime}(u)\right)^{1-\alpha} \eta_{t_{1}}(u) \eta_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a)) U(u)\left[r^{1 / \alpha}(u) z^{\prime}(u)\right]^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u \\
\geq & r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\left[\eta_{t_{1}}(t)+\frac{k}{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \eta_{t_{1}}(u) \eta_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a)) U(u) \mathrm{d} u\right] . \\
\geq & \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\frac{z^{\prime}(t)}{z(t)} \leq \frac{1}{\widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}(t) r^{1 / \alpha}(t)}
$$

Integrating the latter inequality from $\sigma(t, a)$ to $t$, we get

$$
\frac{z(\sigma(t, a))}{z(t)} \geq \exp \left(-\int_{\sigma(t, a)}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}(u) r^{1 / \alpha}(u)}\right) .
$$

which with (8), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}(t)} & \leq-k U(t)\left(\frac{z(\sigma(t, a))}{z(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \\
& \leq-k U(t) \hat{\eta}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.
Lemma 4. Let $x$ be a positive solution of equation (1). If we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t)=\phi(t) r(t)\left(\frac{z^{\prime}(t)}{z(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\phi_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)} \Psi(t)-k \phi(t) U(t) \widehat{\eta}(t)-\frac{\alpha}{(\phi(t) r(t))^{1 / \alpha}} \Psi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t, v))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t, s))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, v \in[c, d]$ and $s \in[a, b]$. From Lemma 3, we have (10) holds. Thus, from the definition of $\Psi(t)$, we obtain $\Psi(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Differentiating (13), we arrive at

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\phi^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)} \Psi(t)+\phi(t) \frac{\left(r(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}(t)}-\alpha \phi(t) r(t)\left(\frac{z^{\prime}(t)}{z(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1}
$$

From (10) and (13), we deduce that

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\phi_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)} \Psi(t)-k \phi(t) U(t) \widehat{\eta}(t)-\frac{\alpha}{(\phi(t) r(t))^{1 / \alpha}} \Psi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t)
$$

The proof is complete.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we establish the oscillation criteria for the solutions of (1).
Theorem 1. If the first-order delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(t)+k \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t, a)) U(t) \omega(\sigma(t, a))=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose the contrary that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t, v))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t, s))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, v \in[c, d]$ and $s \in[a, b]$. From Lemma 3, we have (8) and (9) hold. Using (8) and (9), one can see that $\omega(t)=r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}$ is a positive solution of the first order delay differential inequality

$$
\omega^{\prime}(t)+k \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t, a)) U(t) \omega(\sigma(t, a)) \leq 0
$$

In view of ([11] Theorem 1), the associated delay equation (15) also has a positive solution, we find a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Corollary 1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t, a)}^{t} \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a)) U(u) \mathrm{d} u>\frac{1}{k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t, s) \geq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t, a)}^{t} \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a)) U(u) \mathrm{d} u>\frac{1}{k \mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. It is well known that (16) or (17) ensures oscillation of (15), see ([7] Theorem 2.1.1).
Lemma 5. Assume that $\sigma$ is strictly increasing with respect to $t$ for all $s \in(a, b)$. Suppose for some $\delta>0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t, a)}^{t} \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{1}}^{\alpha}(\sigma(u, a)) U(u) \mathrm{d} u \geq \delta \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (1) has an eventually positive solution $x$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w(\sigma(t, a))}{w(t)} \geq \theta_{n}(\delta) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \geq 0$ and $t$ large enough, where $w(t):=r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}(u):=1 \text { and } \theta_{n}(u):=\exp \left(\rho \theta_{n-1}(u)\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that (1) has a positive solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then, we can expect the existence of a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t, v))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t, s))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, v \in[c, d]$ and $s \in[a, b]$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we deduce that $\omega$ is a positive solution of first order delay differential equation (15). In a similar way to that followed in proof of Lemma 1 in [18], we can prove that (19) holds.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\sigma$ is strictly increasing with respect to $t$ for all $s \in(a, b)$ and (18) holds for some $\delta<0$. If there exists a function $\varphi \in C^{1}(I,(0, \infty))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(k \varphi(u) U(u)-\frac{\left(\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{\alpha+1} r(\sigma(u, a))}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \theta_{n}(\delta) \varphi^{\alpha}(u)\left(\sigma^{\prime}(u, a)\right)^{\alpha}}\right)=\infty \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sufficiently large $t \geq t_{1}$ and for some $n \geq 0$, where $\theta_{n}(\delta)$ is defined as (20) and $\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(t)=$ $\max \left\{0, \varphi^{\prime}(t)\right\}$, then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose the contrary that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t, v))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t, s))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, v \in[c, d]$ and $s \in[a, b]$. From Lemma 3, we have (8) holds. It follows from Lemma 5 that there exists a $t \geq t_{1}$ large enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{\prime}(\sigma(t, a))}{z^{\prime}(t)} \geq\left(\frac{\theta_{n}(\delta) r(t)}{r(\sigma(t))}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t):=\varphi(t) r(t)\left(\frac{z^{\prime}(t)}{z(\sigma(t, a))}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\Phi(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Differentiating (23), we get

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi(t)} \Phi(t)+\varphi(t) \frac{\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\alpha}(\sigma(t, a))}-\alpha \varphi(t) r(t)\left(\frac{z^{\prime}(t)}{z(\sigma(t, a))}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{z^{\prime}(\sigma(t))}{z(\sigma(t, a))}\right) \sigma^{\prime}(t, a)
$$

From (8), (22) and (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq-k \varphi(t) U(t)+\frac{\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi(t)} \Phi(t)-\frac{\alpha \theta_{n}^{1 / \alpha}(\delta) \sigma^{\prime}(t, a)}{(\varphi(t) r(\sigma(t, a)))^{1 / \alpha}} \Phi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}(t) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1 with $A=\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(t) / \varphi(t)$ and $B=\alpha \theta_{n}^{1 / \alpha}(\delta) /(\varphi(t) r(\sigma(t)))^{-1 / \alpha},(24)$ yield

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq-k \varphi(t) U(t)+\frac{\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(t)^{\alpha+1} r(\sigma(t, a))}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \theta_{n}(\delta) \varphi^{\alpha}(t)\left(\sigma^{\prime}(t, a)\right)^{\alpha}}
$$

Integrating this inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(k \varphi(u) U(u)-\frac{\left(\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{\alpha+1} r(\sigma(u, a))}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \theta_{n}(\delta) \varphi^{\alpha}(u)\left(\sigma^{\prime}(u, a)\right)^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} u \leq \Phi(t)
$$

then we find a contradiction with condition (21). The proof is complete.
Theorem 3. Assume that there exists a function $\phi \in C^{1}(I,(0, \infty))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(k \phi(u) U(u) \widehat{\eta}(u)-\frac{r(u)\left(\phi_{+}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \phi^{\alpha}(u)}\right) \mathrm{d} u=\infty . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sufficiently large $t \geq t_{1}$, where $\phi_{+}^{\prime}(t)=\max \left\{0, \psi^{\prime}(t)\right\}$, then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose the contrary that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t, v))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t, s))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, v \in[c, d]$ and $s \in[a, b]$. From Lemma 3, we have (8)-(10) hold. Next, using Lemma 4, we arrive at (14). Using Lemma 1 with $A=\phi_{+}^{\prime}(t) / \phi(t)$ and $B=\alpha(\phi(t) r(t))^{-1 / \alpha}$, (14) becomes

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \leq-k \phi(t) U(t) \widehat{\eta}(t)+\frac{r(t)\left(\phi_{+}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \phi^{\alpha}(t)}
$$

Integrating this inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(k \phi(u) U(u) \widehat{\eta}(u)-\frac{r(u)\left(\phi_{+}^{\prime}(u)^{\alpha+1}\right)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \phi^{\alpha}(u)}\right) \mathrm{d} u \leq \Psi(t)
$$

This is the contrary with condition (25). The proof is complete.
By different method, we establish new oscillation results for Equation (1).
Theorem 4. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} Q(t) d t=\infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose the contrary that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\tau(t, v))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t, s))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, v \in[c, d]$ and $s \in[a, b]$. Consider the function $\Psi$ defined as in (13), it follows from Lemma 4 that (14) holds. Set $\phi(t):=1$, (14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t)+Q(t)+R(t) \Psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t) \leq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t)+Q(t) \leq 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (28) from $t_{3}$ to $t$ and using (26), we arrive at

$$
\Psi(t) \leq \Psi\left(t_{3}\right)-\int_{t_{3}}^{t} Q(t) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

which is a contradiction with the fact that $\Psi(t)>0$ and therefore the proof is complete.
Definition 1. Let $\left\{y_{n}(t)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} R(s) y_{n-1}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) \mathrm{d} s+y_{0}(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
y_{0}(t)=G(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $y_{n}(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t), t \geq t_{0}$.
Lemma 6. Assume that $x$ is a positive solution of (1). Then $\Psi(t) \geq y_{n}(t)$ such that $\Psi(t)$ and $y_{n}(t)$ are defined as in (13) and (29), respectively. Moreover, there exists a positive function $y(t)$ on $[T, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}(t)=y(t)$ for $t \geq T \geq t_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} R(s) y^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) \mathrm{d} s+y_{0}(t), t \geq T \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ be a positive solution of (1). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4, we arrive at (27). By integrating (27) from $t$ to $t^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\Psi\left(t^{\prime}\right)-\Psi(t)+\int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} Q(s) d s+\int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} \Psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq 0
$$

This implies

$$
\Psi\left(t^{\prime}\right)-\Psi(t)+\int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} \Psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq 0
$$

Then, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{\infty} \Psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s<\infty \text { for } t \geq T \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

otherwise, $\Psi\left(t^{\prime}\right) \leq \Psi(t)-\int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} \Psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction with $\Psi(t)>0$. Since $\Psi(t)>0$ and $\Psi^{\prime}(t)>0$, it follows from (27) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t) \geq G(t)+\int_{t}^{\infty} \Psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s=y_{0}(t)+\int_{t}^{\infty} \Psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\Psi(t) \geq G(t):=y_{0}(t)
$$

Hence, $\Psi(t) \geq y_{n}(t), n=1,2,3, \ldots$. Since $\left\{y_{n}(t)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ increasing and bounded above, we get that $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, we see that (29) turns into (30) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 5. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{y_{0}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} y_{0}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose the contrary that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 6, we arrive at (32). From (32), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Psi(t)}{y_{0}(t)} \geq 1+\frac{1}{y_{0}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} y_{0}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s)\left(\frac{\Psi(s)}{y_{0}(s)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider $\mu=\inf f_{t \geq T}\left(\Psi(t) / y_{0}(t)\right)$, then obviously $\mu \geq 1$. Using (33) and (34), we see that

$$
\mu \geq 1+\alpha\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\mu}{\alpha+1} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha+1}+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}
$$

which contradicts the expected value of $\mu$ and $\alpha$, therefore, the proof is complete.

Theorem 6. If there exist some $y_{n}(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}(t)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\alpha}>1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose the contrary that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Let $\Psi(t)$ defined as in (13). Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\Psi(t)} & =\frac{1}{r(t)}\left(\frac{z(t)}{z^{\prime}(t)}\right)^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{r(t)}\left(\frac{z(T)+\int_{T}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) r^{1 / \alpha}(s) z^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s}{z^{\prime}(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{r(t)}\left(\frac{r^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \int_{T}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s}{z^{\prime}(t)}\right)^{\alpha} \\
& =\left(\int_{T}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\alpha} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \geq T$. Thus, it follows from (36) that

$$
\Psi(t)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\alpha} \leq\left(\frac{\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s}{\int_{T}^{t} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

and so

$$
\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(t)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\alpha} \leq 1
$$

which contradicts (35). The proof is complete.
Corollary 2. If there exist some $y_{n}(t)$ such that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} Q(t) \exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) R(s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} R(t) y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) y_{0}(t) \exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(s) y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose the contrary that (1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. From Lemma 6 , we get that (30) holds. Using (30), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}(t) & =-R(t) y^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t)-Q(t) \\
& \leq-R(t) y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) y(t)-Q(t) \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{T}^{t} Q(s) \exp \left(\int_{T}^{s} y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(u) R(u) \mathrm{d} u\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq y(T)<\infty,
$$

which contradicts (37).

Next, let $M(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} R(s) y^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(s) d s$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\prime}(t) & =-R(t) y^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t) \\
& \leq-R(t) y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) y(t) \\
& =-R(t) y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)\left(M(t)+y_{0}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we find

$$
\int_{T}^{\infty} R(t) y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) y_{0}(t) \exp \left(\int_{T}^{t} R(s) y_{n}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} t<\infty,
$$

which contradicts (38). The proof is complete.

## 4. Examples

Example 1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\left(x(t)+p_{0} x\left(\tau_{0} t\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\int_{\lambda}^{1} \frac{q_{0}}{t^{\alpha+1}} x^{\alpha}(t s) \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, \tau_{0} \in(0,1)$. It is easy to verify that

$$
U(t)=\frac{q_{0}}{t^{\alpha+1}}(1-\lambda)\left[1-p_{0}\right]^{\alpha}, \eta_{t_{0}}(t)=t \text { and } \widetilde{\eta}_{t_{0}}(t)=M t
$$

where

$$
M:=1+\lambda^{\alpha} \frac{q_{0}}{\alpha}(1-\lambda)\left[1-p_{0}\right]^{\alpha} .
$$

Using Corollary 1, we see that (40) is oscillatory if

$$
\left(M^{\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha} q_{0}(1-\lambda)\left[1-p_{0}\right]^{\alpha}\right) \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(M-1) M^{\alpha} \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we note that $R(t)=\alpha$,

$$
\widehat{\eta}_{t_{1}}(t)=\lambda^{1 / M}, Q(t)=\frac{N}{t^{\alpha+1}} \lambda^{\alpha / M}, G(t)=\frac{N \lambda^{\alpha / M}}{\alpha} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1}}
$$

where $N=q_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{\alpha}(1-\lambda)$. From Theorem $5,(40)$ is oscillatory if

$$
\left(\frac{N}{\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha / M}\right)^{1 / \alpha}>\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}
$$

Remark 1. Consider a particular case of (40), namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{2} x\left(\tau_{0} t\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{t^{2}} x(\lambda t)=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the results in Example 1, Equation (42) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \frac{q_{0}}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \lambda q_{0}\right) \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Corollary 2 in [3], we see that (42) is oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0} \lambda \ln \frac{1}{2 \lambda}>\frac{2}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, in the case where $\lambda=1 / 3$, conditions (43) and (44) reduce to $q>1.588$ and $q>5.443$, respectively. Thus, a new criterion improve some related results in [3].

Example 2. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} x\left(\frac{t-x}{3}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\prime \prime}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{q_{0}}{t^{2}}\right) x\left(\frac{t-s}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}>0$. It is easy to verify that

$$
U(t)=\frac{q_{0}}{t^{2}}, \eta_{t_{0}}(t)=t
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\eta}_{t_{0}}=t+\frac{q_{0}}{4} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d x=t\left(1+\frac{q_{0}}{4}\right)
$$

Using Corollary 1, if

$$
\frac{q_{0}}{4}\left(1+\frac{q_{0}}{4}\right) \ln 2>\frac{1}{e}
$$

then (45) is oscillatory.

## 5. Conclusions

The growing interest in the oscillation theory of functional differential equation is due to the many applications of this theory in many fields, see [1,2]. In this work, we used comparison principles and Riccati transformation techniques to obtain new oscillation criteria for neutral differential Equation (1). Our new criteria improved a number of related results [3,4,14]. Further, we extended and generalized the recent works $[9,10]$.
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#### Abstract

Quantum inequalities (QI) are local restraints on the magnitude and range of formulas. Quantum inequalities have been established to have a different range of applications. In this paper, we aim to introduce a study of QI in a complex domain. The idea basically, comes from employing the notion of subordination. We shall formulate a new q-differential operator (generalized of Dunkl operator of the first type) and employ it to define the classes of QI. Moreover, we employ the $q$-Dunkl operator to extend the class of Briot-Bouquet differential equations. We investigate the upper solution and exam the oscillation solution under some analytic functions.
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## 1. Introduction

Quantum calculus exchanges the traditional derivative by a difference operator, which permits dealing with sets of non-differentiable curves and admits several formulas. The most common formula of quantum calculus is constructed by the q-operator ( $q$-indicates for the quantum), which is created by the Jackson $q$-difference operator [1] as follows: let $\delta_{q}$ be the $q$-calculus which is formulated by

$$
\delta_{q}(\curlyvee(\xi))=\curlyvee(q \xi)-\curlyvee(\xi),
$$

then the derivatives of functions are presented as fractions by the $q$-derivative

$$
D_{q}(\curlyvee(\xi))=\frac{\delta_{q}(\curlyvee(\xi))}{\delta_{q}(\xi)}=\frac{\curlyvee(q \xi)-\curlyvee(\xi)}{(q-1) \xi}, \quad \xi \neq 0
$$

For example, the q-derivative of the function $\xi^{n}$ (for some positive integer $n$ ) is

$$
D_{q}\left(\xi^{n}\right)=\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1} \xi^{n-1}=[n]_{q} \xi^{n-1}, \quad[n]_{q}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1} .
$$

Recently, quantum inequalities (differential and integral) have extensive applications not only in mathematical physics but also in other sciences. In variation problems, Cruz et al. [2] presented a new variational calculus created by the general quantum difference operator of $D_{q}$. Rouze and Datta
used the quantum functional inequalities to describe the transportation cost functional inequality [3]. Giacomo and Trevisan proved the conditional Entropy Power Inequality for Gaussian quantum systems [4]. Bharti et al. provided a novel algorithm to self-test local quantum systems employing non-contextuality quantum inequalities [5]. The class of quantum energy inequalities is studied by Fewster and Kontou [6]. In the control system, Ibrahim et al. established different classes of quantum differential inequalities [7]. In quantum information processing, Mao et al formulated a new quantum key distribution based on quantum inequalities [8].

In this investigation, we formulate a novel q-differential operator of complex coefficients and discuss its behavior in view of the theory of geometric functions. The suggested q-differential operator indicates a generalization of well-known differential operators in the open unit disk, such as the Dunkl operator and the Sàlàgean operator. It will be considered in some subclasses of starlike functions. Quantum inequalities involve the $q$-differential operator and some special functions are studied. Sharpness of QI is studied in the sequel. As an application, we employ the q-differential operator to define the $q$-Briot-Bouquet differential equations ( $q$-BBE). Special cases are discussed and compared with recent works. Moreover, we illustrate a set of examples of $q$-BBE (for $q=1 / 2$ ) and exam its oscillated solutions.

## 2. Related Works

The quantum calculus receives the attention of many investigators. This calculus, for the first time appeared in complex analysis by Ismail et al. [9]. They defined a class of complex analytic functions dealing with the inequality condition $|\curlyvee(q \xi)|<|\curlyvee(\xi)|$ on the open unit disk. Grinshpan [10] presented some interesting outcomes filled with geometric observations are of very significant in the univalent function theory. Newly, q-calculus becomes very attractive in the field of special functions. Srivastava and Bansal [11] presented a generalization of the well-known Mittag-Leffler functions and they studied the sufficient conditions under which it is close-to-convex in the open unit disk. Srivastava et al. [12] established a new subclass of normalized smooth and starlike functions in $\cup$. Mahmood et al. [13] introduced a family of $q$-starlike functions which are based on the Ruscheweyh-type q-derivative operator. Shi et al. [14] examined some recent problems concerning the concept of $q$-starlike functions. Ibrahim and Darus [15] employed the notion of quantum calculus and the Hadamard product to amend an extended Sàlàgean q-differential operator. Srivastava [16] developed many functions and classes of smooth functions based on the q-calculus. The q-Subordination inequality presented by Ul-Haq et al. [17]. Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian [18] as well as Ibrahim et al. [7] used the quantum calculus and the Hadamard product to deliver some subclasses of analytic functions involving the modified Sàlàgean $q$-differential operator and the generalized symmetric Sàlàgean $q$-differential operator respectively.

## 3. q-Differential Operator

Assume that $\Lambda$ is the set of the smooth functions formulating by the followed power series

$$
\curlyvee(\xi)=\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n}, \quad \xi \in \cup=\{\xi:|\xi|<1\}
$$

For a function $\curlyvee \in \Lambda$, the Sàlàgean operator expansion is formulated by the expansion

$$
\varsigma^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)=\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{m} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n}
$$

For $\curlyvee \in \Lambda$, we get

$$
D_{q} \curlyvee(\xi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \curlyvee_{n}[n]_{q} \xi^{n-1}, \quad \xi \in \cup, \curlyvee_{1}=1
$$

Now, let $\curlyvee \in \Lambda$, the Sàlàgean $q$-differential operator [18] is formulated by

$$
\varsigma_{q}^{0} \curlyvee(\xi)=\curlyvee(\xi), \varsigma_{q}^{1} \curlyvee(\xi)=\xi D_{q} \curlyvee(\xi), \ldots, \varsigma_{q}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)=\xi D_{q}\left(\varsigma_{q}^{m-1} \curlyvee(\xi)\right),
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer. A calculation associated by the formula of $D_{q}$, yields $\varsigma_{q}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)=$ $\curlyvee(\xi) * \Theta_{q}^{m}(\xi)$, where $*$ is the convolution product,

$$
\Theta_{q}^{m}(\xi)=\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q}^{m} \xi^{n}
$$

and

$$
\varsigma_{q}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)=\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q}^{m} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n}
$$

Next, we present the q-differential operator as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{0} \curlyvee(\xi)=\curlyvee(\xi) \\
& { }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{1} \curlyvee(\xi)=\xi D_{q} \curlyvee(\xi)+((\lambda \curlyvee(\xi)-\xi)-\lambda(\curlyvee(-\xi)+\xi)) \\
& \vdots  \tag{1}\\
& { }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)={ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m-1} \curlyvee(\xi)\right) \\
& \quad=\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left([n]_{q}+\left((-1)^{n+1}+1\right) \lambda\right)^{m} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\lambda=0, q \rightarrow 1^{-}$, the operator subjects to the Sàlàgean operator [19]. In addition, the operator ${ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m}$ represents to the q-Dunkl operator of first rank [20], such that the value of $\lambda$ is the Dunkl parameter. The term $\left([n]_{q}+\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right)\right)^{m}$ indicates a major law in oscillation study (see [21]). Furthermore, the term $e^{2 i \pi}$ is denoting the quantum number $q$ when $\hbar=1$. That is there is a connection between the definition of the ${ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m}$ and its coefficients.

Two functions $\curlyvee$ and $\lambda$ in $\Lambda$ are subordinated $(\curlyvee \prec \curlywedge)$, if there occurs a Schwarz function $\zeta \in \cup$ with $\zeta(0)=0$ and $|\zeta(\xi)|<1$, whenever $\gamma(\xi)=\lambda(\zeta(\xi))$ for all $\xi \in \cup$ (see [22]). Literally, the subordination inequality is indicated the equality at the origin and inclusion regarding $\cup$.

Definition 1. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\curlyvee \in \Lambda$. Then it is in the set ${ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$ if and only if

$$
\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)} \prec \varsigma(\xi), \quad \xi \in \cup,
$$

where $\varsigma$ is univalent function of a positive real part in $\cup$ realizing $\varsigma(0)=1, \Re\left(\varsigma^{\prime}(\xi)\right)>0$.
The set of functions ${ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\alpha, \lambda, \varsigma)$ is an extension of types related to the Ma and Minda classes (see [23-27]). Next result indicates the upper and lower bound of a convex formula involving special functions, which will be useful in the next section.

Theorem 1. Assume that $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then for $\varsigma \in \cup$ as follows:

1. $\zeta(\xi)=(1-\beta) \sqrt{1+\xi}+\beta$,
2. $\varsigma(\xi)=(1-\beta) e^{\xi}+\beta$,
3. $\varsigma(\xi)=(1-\beta)(1+\sin (\xi))+\beta$,
4. $\varsigma(\xi)=(1-\beta) e^{\xi^{\xi}-1}+\beta$,
satisfying

$$
\min _{|\xi|=r} \Re(\varsigma(\xi))=\varsigma(-r)=\min _{|\xi|=r}|\varsigma(\xi)|, \quad r<1
$$

and

$$
\max _{|\xi|=r} \Re(\varsigma(\xi))=\varsigma(r)=\max _{|\xi|=r}|\zeta(\xi)|, \quad r<1
$$

Proof. For $\vartheta \in(0,2 \pi), \Re\left(\zeta\left(r e^{i \vartheta}\right)\right)=(1-\beta) e^{r \cos (\vartheta)} \cos (r \sin (\vartheta))+\beta$, the first and second formula can be found in [25]. In the same manner, we show the third formal. When $\beta=0$ this implies that $\varsigma(\xi)=1+\sin (\xi)$ (see [24]). Obviously,

$$
\sin \left(r e^{i \vartheta}\right)=\sin (r \cos (\vartheta)) \cosh (r \sin (\vartheta))+i \cos (r \cos (\vartheta)) \sinh (r \sin (\vartheta))
$$

thus, this yields

$$
\Re(\varsigma(\xi))=\sin (r \cos (\vartheta)) \cosh (r \sin (\vartheta))+1 .
$$

Now, let $r \rightarrow 0$, this leads to

$$
\min _{|\xi|=r} \Re(\varsigma(\xi))=1-\sin (r)=\min _{|\xi|=r}|\zeta(\xi)|=1
$$

Consequently, we indicate that

$$
\left|\sin \left(r e^{i \vartheta}\right)\right|^{2}=\cos ^{2}(r \cos \vartheta) \sinh 2(r \sin \vartheta)+\sin ^{2} 2(r \cos \vartheta) \cosh 2(r \sin r) \leq \sinh ^{2}(r) ;
$$

thus, this yields

$$
\max _{|\xi|=r} \Re(\zeta(\xi))=1+\sin (r)=\max _{|\xi|=r}|\zeta(\xi)| \leq 1+\sinh ^{2}(r) .
$$

Extend the above outcome, for $\beta>0$, we obtain

$$
\min _{|\xi|=r} \Re(\zeta(\xi))=\beta+(1-\beta)(1-\sin (r))=\min _{|\xi|=r}|\zeta(\xi)|=1
$$

and

$$
\max _{|\xi|=r} \Re(\varsigma(\xi))=\beta+(1-\beta)(1+\sin (r))=\max _{|\xi|=r}|\zeta(\xi)| \leq \beta+(1-\beta)\left(1+\sinh ^{2}(r)\right)
$$

Similarly, for the last assertion, where for $\beta=0$, we have a result in [27].
The next result can be found in [22].
Lemma 1. Suppose that $\tau>0$ and $\varsigma \in \mathfrak{H}[1, n]$. Then for two constants $\wp>0$ and $v>0$ with $v=v(\wp, \tau, n)$ are achieving

$$
\varsigma(\xi)+\tau \xi \zeta^{\prime}(\xi) \prec\left[\frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}\right]^{v} \Rightarrow \varsigma(\xi) \prec\left[\frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}\right]^{\wp}
$$

Lemma 2. Consider $\varphi(\xi)$ is a convex function in $\cup$ and $h(\xi)=\varphi(\xi)+n v\left(\xi \varphi^{\prime}(\xi)\right)$ for $v>0$ and $n$ is a positive integer. If $\varrho \in \mathfrak{H}[\varphi(0), n]$, and

$$
\varrho(\xi)+v \xi \varrho^{\prime}(\xi) \prec h(\xi), \quad \xi \in \cup,
$$

then $\varrho(\xi) \prec \varphi(\xi)$, and this outcome is sharp.

## 4. $q$-Subordination Relations

In this section, we deal with the set ${ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$ for some $\varsigma$.
Theorem 2. Assume that ${ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$ fulfills the next relation:

$$
{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \gamma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda)
$$

where $\gamma$ is non-negative real number (depending on $\beta$ ) and $\varsigma$ is one of the form in Theorem 1 and

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \gamma):=\left\{\curlyvee \in \bigwedge: \Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)>\gamma, \gamma \geq 0\right\} ; \\
{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda)
\end{gathered}:=\left\{\curlyvee \in \bigwedge: \Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)>0\right\} .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\curlyvee \in_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$ and $\varsigma(\xi)=(1-\beta) \sqrt{1+\xi}+\beta$. This implies the inequality

$$
\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)} \prec(1-\beta) \sqrt{1+\xi}+\beta, \quad \xi \in \cup
$$

According to Theorem 1, one can find

$$
\min _{|\xi|=1^{-}} \Re\left((1-\beta)(\xi+1)^{0.5}+\beta\right)<\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<\max _{|\xi|=1^{+}} \Re\left((1-\beta)(\xi+1)^{0.5}+\beta\right.
$$

which indicates

$$
\beta<\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<(1-\beta) \sqrt{2}+\beta
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)>\beta:=\gamma \geq 0
$$

which leads to the requested result. Assume that $\varsigma(\xi)=(1-\beta) e^{\xi}+\beta$, then we conclude the next minimization and maximization inequality

$$
\min _{|\xi|=1} \Re\left((1-\beta) e^{\xi}+\beta\right)<\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<\max _{|\xi|=1} \Re\left((1-\beta) e^{\tau}+\beta\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\left((1-\beta) \frac{1}{e}+\beta\right)<\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<((1-\beta) e+\beta)
$$

that is

$$
\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)>\left((1-\beta) \frac{1}{e}+\beta\right):=\gamma \geq 0
$$

Now, suppose that $\varsigma(\xi)=(1-\beta)(1+\sin (\xi)+\beta)$, which implies that

$$
\min _{|\xi|=1} \Re((1-\beta)(1+\sin (\xi))+\beta)<\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<\max _{|\xi|=1} \Re((1-\beta)(1+\sin (\xi))+\beta)
$$

A calculation yields

$$
(0.158(1-\beta)+\beta)<\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<(1.841(1-\beta)+\beta)
$$

this yields

$$
\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)>(0.158(1-\beta)+\beta):=\gamma \geq 0
$$

Remark 1. In Theorem 2,

- When $m=0, \beta=0, \zeta(\xi)=1+\sin \xi \Longrightarrow{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda, 1+\sin \xi) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda, \gamma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda)$ for non-negative $\gamma$ (see [24]);
- When $m=0 \Longrightarrow{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda, \gamma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda)$ for all $\varsigma$ in Theorem 2 and non-negative real number $\gamma$ (see [25]);
- When $m=0, \beta=0, \varsigma(\xi)=e^{\xi} \Longrightarrow{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}\left(\lambda, e^{\xi}\right) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda, \gamma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda)$ for all non-negative $\gamma$ (see [28]);
- When $m=0, \beta=0, \varsigma(\xi)=(\xi+1)^{0.5} \Longrightarrow{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}\left(\lambda,(\xi+1)^{0.5}\right) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda, \gamma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda)$ for all non-negative $\gamma$ (see [28]).

Next outcome shows the inclusion relation between the class ${ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \sigma)$ and other geometric class.
Theorem 3. The set ${ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$ satisfies the inclusion:

$$
{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma) \subset{ }_{q} \mathfrak{M}_{m}(\lambda, \alpha):=\left\{\curlyvee \in \bigwedge: \Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\tilde{\zeta})\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<\alpha, \alpha>1\right\}
$$

where $\varsigma$ is given in Theorem 1.
The class $q \mathfrak{M}_{m}(\lambda, \alpha)$ is an extension of the Uralegaddi set (see [29])

$$
\mathfrak{M}(\alpha):=\left\{\curlyvee \in \bigwedge: \Re\left(\frac{\xi(\curlyvee(\xi))^{\prime}}{\curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<\alpha, \alpha>1\right\}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\curlyvee \in{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$, where $\varsigma$ is termed in Theorem 1. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<(1-\beta) \sqrt{2}+\beta:=\alpha \\
& \Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<(1-\beta) e+\beta:=\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Re\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)<1.841(1-\beta)+\beta:=\alpha
$$

Thus, $\curlyvee \in \mathfrak{M}_{m}(\curlyvee(\mathfrak{\xi}), \alpha)$.
Remark 2. We have the following special cases from Theorem 3,

- $m=0, \beta=0, \varsigma(\xi)=1+\sin \xi \Longrightarrow_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}(\lambda, 1+\sin \xi) \subset q^{\prime} \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\lambda, \alpha)$ for $\alpha>1$ (see [24]);
- $m=0, \varsigma(\xi)=\beta+(1-\beta) e^{\xi} \Longrightarrow{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}\left(\lambda,(1-\beta) e^{\xi}\right)+\beta \subset \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\lambda, \alpha)$ for $\alpha>1$ (see [25], Theorem 2.5);
- $\left.\quad m=0, \varsigma(\xi)=(1-\beta)\left((\xi+1)^{0.5}\right)+\beta \Longrightarrow{ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}\left(\lambda, \beta+(1-\beta)(\xi+1)^{0.5}\right)+\beta\right) \subset q_{q} \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\lambda, \alpha)$ for $\alpha>1$ (see [25], Theorem 2.6).
- $m=0, \beta=0, \varsigma(\xi)=\left((\xi+1)^{0.5}\right) \Longrightarrow_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{0}^{*}\left(\lambda,(\xi+1)^{0.5}\right) \subset q^{M_{0}}(\lambda, \alpha)$ where $\alpha>1$ (see [25], Corollary 2.7).

The following theorem confirms the belonging of a normalized function in the class ${ }_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$, where $\varsigma$ indicates the Janowski formula of order $\wp>0$.

Theorem 4. If $\curlyvee \in \wedge$ satisfies the subordination

$$
\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)\left(2+\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right) \prec\left(\frac{\xi+1}{1-\xi}\right)^{\tau}
$$

then $\curlyvee \in_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$, where $\varsigma(\xi)=\left(\frac{\xi+1}{1-\xi}\right)^{\wp}$ for $\wp>0, \tau>0$.
Proof. In virtue of Lemma 1, a computation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)+\xi\left(\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)\left(\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{\xi\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}+2\right) \\
& \prec\left(\frac{\xi+1}{1-\xi}\right)^{\tau} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, according to Lemma 1, we attain

$$
\left(\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right) \prec\left(\frac{\xi+1}{1-\xi}\right)^{\wp}:=\varsigma(\xi),
$$

which indicates that $\curlyvee \in_{q} \mathfrak{S}_{m}^{*}(\lambda, \varsigma)$.
The next result shows the iteration inequality including the q-differential operator ${ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m}$ and ${ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1}$.
Theorem 5. Suppose that $\varphi$ is convex with $\varphi(0)=0$ and $g$ is defined as follows:

$$
g(\xi)=\varphi(\xi)+\left(\frac{1}{1-\ell}\right)\left(\xi \varphi^{\prime}(\xi)\right), \quad \ell \in(0,1), \xi \in \cup
$$

If $\curlyvee \in \wedge$ fulfills the inequality

$$
\left(\frac{\xi}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)^{\ell} \frac{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}{1-\ell}\left(\frac{\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}-\ell \frac{\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right) \prec g(\xi)
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right)\left(\frac{\xi}{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi) \quad\right)^{\ell} \prec \varphi(\xi)
$$

Proof. For all $\xi \in \cup$, we define

$$
\varrho(\xi)=\left(\frac{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right)\left(\frac{\xi}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)^{\ell} .
$$

Please note that the term

$$
\left(\frac{\xi}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)^{\ell}=\left(\frac{\xi}{\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left([n]_{q}+\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right) \lambda\right)^{m+1}}\right)^{\ell}=1+\ldots
$$

therefore, $\left.\left(\frac{\xi}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)^{\ell}\right|_{\xi=0}=1$. A differentiation implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\xi}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)^{\ell}\left(\frac{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}{1-\ell}\right)\left(\frac{\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}-\ell \frac{\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{1-\ell}\right)\left(\xi \varrho^{\prime}(\xi)\right)+\varrho(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we indicate that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{1-\ell}\right)\left(\xi \varrho^{\prime}(\xi)\right)+\varrho(\xi) \prec g(\xi)=\left(\frac{1}{1-\ell}\right)\left(\xi \varphi^{\prime}(\xi)\right)+\varphi(\xi)
$$

In virtue of Lemma 2 , we conclude that $\varrho(\xi) \prec g(\xi)$, which leads to

$$
\left(\frac{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right)\left(\frac{\xi}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m+1} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)^{\ell} \prec \varphi(\xi)
$$

## 5. Q-Differential Equations

This section deals with a class of differential equations type complex Briot-Bouquet (see [30,31] for recent works) and its analytic solutions. The main formula of BBE is $\frac{\xi(\gamma(\xi))^{\prime}}{\gamma(\xi)}=Y(\xi)$. The operator (1) can be used to extend q-BBE as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}=Y(\xi), \quad \xi \in \cup, \curlyvee \in \Lambda \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}$ (the set of univalent and convex in $\cup$ ). The aim is to discuss the maximum outcome of (2) by using $q$-inequalities.

Theorem 6. Suppose that $\curlyvee \in \wedge$ and $\mathrm{Y}(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)} \prec \mathrm{Y}(\xi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the maximum solution of (3) is

$$
{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi) \prec\left(\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right)\right) \xi,
$$

where $\Phi(\xi)$ is smooth in $\cup$, such that $\Phi(0)=0,|\Phi(\xi)|<1$ and it is the upper limit in the above integral. Also, for $|\xi|=\iota, q_{\lambda} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)$ achieves the inequality

$$
\exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{Y(\Phi(-\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right) \leq\left|\frac{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right| \leq \exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{Y(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right)
$$

Proof. By the definition of the subordination, inequality (3) achieves that there exists a Schwarz function $\Phi$ satisfying $|\Phi(\xi)|<1, \Phi(0)=0$ and

$$
\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}=Y(\Phi(\xi)), \quad \xi \in \cup
$$

This implies

$$
\frac{\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}-\frac{1}{\xi}=\frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\xi))-1}{\xi}
$$

Integrating both sides yields

$$
\log _{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)-\log \xi=\int_{0}^{\tilde{\xi}} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota
$$

A calculation indicates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\frac{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right)=\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi) \prec \xi \exp \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{Y(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right)
$$

for some Schwarz function. In addition, by the behavior of the function Y on the disk $0<|\xi|<\iota<1$ we have

$$
\mathrm{Y}(-\iota|\xi|) \leq \Re(\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota \xi))) \leq \mathrm{Y}(\iota|\xi|), \quad \iota \in(0,1)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{Y}(-\iota) \leq \mathrm{Y}(-\iota|\xi|), \quad \mathrm{Y}(\iota|\xi|) \leq \mathrm{Y}(\iota)
$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(-\iota|\xi|))-1}{\iota} d \iota \leq \Re\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right) \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota|\xi|))-1}{\iota} d \iota
$$

which implies

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(-\iota|\xi|))-1}{\iota} d \iota \leq \log \left|\frac{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota|\xi|))-1}{\iota} d \iota
$$

and

$$
\exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(-\iota|\xi|))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right) \leq\left|\frac{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right| \leq \exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota|\xi|))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right)
$$

We conclude that

$$
\exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{Y(\Phi(-\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right) \leq\left|\frac{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}{\xi}\right| \leq \exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{Y(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right)
$$

Next result presents the condition on the coefficients of the normalized function $\curlyvee$ to satisfy the upper bound in Theorem 6 .

Theorem 7. Suppose that $\curlyvee \in \wedge$ has non-negative coefficients. If $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ in Equation (2) and $\Re(\lambda)>0$ then there is a solution satisfying the maximum bound inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi) \prec \xi \exp \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{\mathrm{Y}(\Phi(\iota))-1}{\iota} d \iota\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(\xi)$ is smooth with $|\Phi(\xi)|<1$ and $\Phi(0)=0$.

Proof. By the condition of the theorem, we get the following assertions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Re\left(\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)}\right)>0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \Re\left(\frac{\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left[[n]_{q}+\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right)\right]^{m} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n}}{\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[[n]_{q}+\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right)\right]^{m} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n}}\right)>0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \Re\left(\frac{1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left[[n]_{q}+\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right)\right]^{m} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n-1}}{\left.1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q}+\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right)\right]^{m} \curlyvee_{n} \xi^{n-1}}\right)>0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\frac{1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left[[n]_{q}+\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right)^{m} \curlyvee_{n}\right.}{1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[[n]_{q}+\lambda\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right)\right]^{m} \curlyvee_{n}}\right)>0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left[[n]_{q}+\left(1+(-1)^{n+1}\right) \lambda\right]^{m} \curlyvee_{n}\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have $\left({ }_{q} \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee\right)(0)=0$, which leads to

$$
\frac{\xi\left(q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{q \Lambda_{\lambda}^{m} \curlyvee(\xi)} \in \mathcal{P} .
$$

Hence the proof.
We illustrate an example to find the upper and oscillation solution of $q$-BBE when $q=1 / 2$, see Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. The upper bound solution of $q$-BBE for different $Y(\xi)$.

| $\mathbf{Y}(\xi)$ | Upper Solution | Graph | Polynomial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cos (\xi)$ | $(\xi * \exp (\sin (\xi)-\xi))$ |  |  |
| $1-\sin (\xi)$ | $(\xi * \exp (\cos (\xi)+\xi-1))$ | $\xi-\xi^{4} / 6+O\left(\xi^{6}\right)$ |  |
| $1 /(1-\xi)$ | $(\xi * \exp (-\xi-\log (1-\xi)))$ |  |  |
| $1 /(1-\xi)^{2}$ | $\left(\xi * \exp \left(\frac{\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\right)\right)$ |  |  |
| $1-\xi$ | $\left(\xi * \exp \left(-\xi^{2} / 2\right)\right)$ |  |  |

Table 2. The oscillation solution for different $Y(\xi)$.


Where $C i$ is the cos integral function and $S i$ is the sin integral function. The first example of $\frac{1}{2}$-BBE of $(2)$ is $Y(\xi)=\cos (\xi)$ which has an oscillation solution with one branch point at the origin and has a local maximum at $\xi=\frac{\pi}{2}+2 n \pi$ and local minimum at $\xi=\frac{\pi}{2}-2 n \pi$. While, for $Y(\xi)=1-\sin (\xi)$, the oscillation solution has no branch point in the disk. Moreover, for $Y(\xi)=1 /(1-\xi)$ the oscillation solution has no branch point. Finally, when $Y(\xi)=1-\xi$, the oscillation solution has a global maximum equal to $1 / e$ at $\xi=1$.

## 6. Conclusions

From above, we conclude that in view of the quantum calculus, some generalized differential operators in the open unit disk can have connections (coefficients) convergence of quantum numbers. These numbers might change the behavior of the operator and its classes of analytic functions. We investigated the oscillation solution and asymptotic solutions of different differential equations of the Briot-Bouquet type. For future work, one can employ the q-operator (1) in different classes of analytic functions such as the meromorphic and multivalent functions (see [32-34]).
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Abstract: The main objective of this paper is to establish new oscillation results of solutions to a class of fourth-order advanced differential equations with delayed arguments. The key idea of our approach is to use the Riccati transformation and the theory of comparison with first and second-order delay equations. Four examples are provided to illustrate the main results.
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## 1. Introduction

In the last decades, many researchers have devoted their attention to introducing more sophisticated analytical and numerical techniques to solve mathematical models arising in all fields of science, technology and engineering. Fourth-order advanced differential equations naturally appear in models concerning physical, biological and chemical phenomena, having applications in dynamical systems such as mathematics of networks and optimization, and applications in the mathematical modeling of engineering problems, such as electrical power systems, materials and energy, also, problems of elasticity, deformation of structures, or soil settlement, see [1].

The present paper deals with the investigation of the oscillatory behavior of the fourth order advanced differential equation of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v) g\left(y\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)\right)=0, \quad v \geq v_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \geq 1$ and $\beta$ is a quotient of odd positive integers. Throughout the paper, we suppose the following assumptions:
$a \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), a^{\prime}(v) \geq 0, q_{i}, \eta_{i} \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), q_{i}(v) \geq 0, \eta_{i}(v) \geq v, i=1,2, . ., j, g \in$ $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(x) / x^{\beta} \geq \ell>0$, for $x \neq 0$ and under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \beta}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

During this decade, several works have been accomplished in the development of the oscillation theory of higher order advanced equations by using the Riccati transformation and the theory of
comparison between first and second-order delay equations. Further, the oscillation theory of fourth and second order delay equations has been studied and developed by using an integral averaging technique and the Riccati transformation, see [2-23].

In this paper, we are aimed to complement the results reported in [24-26], therefore we discuss their findings and results below.

Moaaz et al. [27] considered the fourth-order differential equation

$$
\left(a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}+q(v) y^{\alpha}(\eta(v))=0
$$

where $\gamma, \alpha$ are quotients of odd positive integers.
Grace et al. [28] considered the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime \prime}+q(v) g(y(\eta(v)))=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(v) \leq v$.
Zhang et al. in [29] studied qualitative behavior of the fourth-order differential equation

$$
\left(a(v)\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}+q(v) w(\sigma(v))=0
$$

where $\sigma(v) \leq v, \beta$ is a quotient of odd positive integers and they used the Riccati transformation.
Agarwal and Grace [24] considered the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(y^{(\kappa-1)}(v)\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}+q(v) y^{\beta}(\eta(v))=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is even, and they established some new oscillation criteria by using the comparison technique. Among others, they proved it oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v}^{\eta(v)}(\eta(s)-s)^{\kappa-2}\left(\int_{\eta(v)}^{\infty} q(v) d v\right)^{1 / \beta} d s>\frac{(\kappa-2)!}{e} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Agarwal et al. in [25] extended the Riccati transformation to obtain new oscillatory criteria for ODE (4) under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{v \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } v^{\beta(\kappa-1)} \int_{v}^{\infty} q(s) d s>((\kappa-1)!)^{\beta} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Authors in [26] studied oscillatory behavior of Equation (4) where $\beta=1$ and if there exists a function $\tau \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, also, they proved oscillatory by using the Riccati transformation if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\tau(s) q(s)-\frac{(\kappa-2)!\left(\tau^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{2^{3-2 \kappa} s^{\kappa-2} \tau(s)}\right) d s=\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compare the conditions, we apply the previous results to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(v)+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{4}} y(3 v)=0, v \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. By applying Condition (5) in [24], we get

$$
q_{0}>13.6
$$

2. By applying Condition (6) in [25], we get

$$
q_{0}>18
$$

3. By applying Condition (7) in [26], we get

$$
q_{0}>576
$$

The main aim of this paper is to establish new oscillation results of solutions to a class of fourth-order differential equations with delayed arguments and they essentially complement the results reported in [24-26].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, four lemmas are given to prove the main results. In Section 3, we establish new oscillation results for Equation (1), comparisons are carried out with oscillations of first and second-order delay differential equations and some examples are presented to illustrate the main results. Some conclusions are discussed in Section 4.

## 2. Some Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section, the following some auxiliary lemmas are provided
Lemma 1 ([23]). Suppose that $y \in C^{\kappa}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), y^{(\kappa)}$ is of a fixed sign on $\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), y^{(\kappa)}$ not identically zero and there exists a $v_{1} \geq v_{0}$ such that

$$
y^{(\kappa-1)}(v) y^{(\kappa)}(v) \leq 0
$$

for all $v \geq v_{1}$. If we have $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} y(v) \neq 0$, then there exists $v_{\theta} \geq v_{1}$ such that

$$
y(v) \geq \frac{\theta}{(\kappa-1)!} v^{\kappa-1}\left|y^{(\kappa-1)}(v)\right|
$$

for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ and $v \geq v_{\theta}$.
Lemma 2 ([30]). Let $\beta$ be a ratio of two odd numbers, $V>0$ and $U$ are constants. Then

$$
U x-V x^{(\beta+1) / \beta} \leq \frac{\beta^{\beta}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}} \frac{U^{\beta+1}}{V^{\beta}}
$$

for all positive $x$.
Lemma 3 ([9]). If $y^{(i)}(v)>0, i=0,1, \ldots, \kappa$, and $y^{(\kappa+1)}(v)<0$, then

$$
\frac{y(v)}{v^{\kappa} / \kappa!} \geq \frac{y^{\prime}(v)}{v^{\kappa-1} /(\kappa-1)!}
$$

Lemma 4 ([7]). Suppose that $y$ is an eventually positive solution of Equation (1). Then, there exist two possible cases:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right) & y(v)>0, y^{\prime}(v)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(v)>0, \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)>0, & y^{(4)}(v)<0, \\
\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right) & y(v)>0, y^{\prime}(v)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(v)<0, y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)>0, y^{(4)}(v)<0,
\end{array}
$$

for $v \geq v_{1}$, where $v_{1} \geq v_{0}$ is sufficiently large.

## 3. Oscillation Criteria

In this section, we shall establish some oscillation criteria for fourth order advanced differential Equation (1).

Remark 1. It is well known (see [31]), the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a(v)\left(y^{\prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}\right]^{\prime}+q(v) y^{\beta}(g(v))=0, \quad v \geq v_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta>0$ is the ratio of odd positive integers, $a, q \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$is nonoscillatory if and only if there exists a number $v \geq v_{0}$, and a function $\varsigma \in C^{1}([v, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, satisfying the following inequality

$$
\varsigma^{\prime}(v)+\gamma a^{-1 / \beta}(v)(\varsigma(v))^{(1+\beta) / \beta}+q(v) \leq 0, \quad \text { on }[v, \infty) .
$$

In what follows, we compare the oscillatory behavior of Equation (1) with the second-order half-linear equations of the type in Equation (9). There are numerous results concerning the oscillation of (9), which included Hille and Nehari types, Philos type, etc.

Theorem 1. Assume that Equation (2) holds. If the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2 a^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(v)}{\left(\theta v^{2}\right)^{\beta}}\left(y^{\prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v) y^{\beta}(v)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(v)+y(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are oscillatory for some constant $\theta \in(0,1)$, then every solution of Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. By contradiction, assume that $y$ is a positive solution of Equation (1). Then, we can suppose that $y(v)$ and $y\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)$ are positive for all $v \geq v_{1}$ sufficiently large. From Lemma 4, we have two possible cases $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$.

Let case $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ holds, then with the help of Lemma 1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(v) \geq \frac{\theta}{2} v^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ and for all large $v$.
Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(v):=\tau(v)\left(\frac{a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}}{y^{\beta}(v)}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $\varphi(v)>0$ for $v \geq v_{1}$, where there exists a positive function $\tau \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\prime}(v)= & \tau^{\prime}(v) \frac{a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}}{y^{\beta}(v)}+\tau(v) \frac{\left(a\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}(v)}{y^{\beta}(v)} \\
& -\beta \tau(v) \frac{y^{\beta-1}(v) y^{\prime}(v) a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}}{y^{2 \beta}(v)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Equations (12) and (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi^{\prime}(v) \leq & \frac{\tau_{+}^{\prime}(v)}{\tau(v)} \varphi(v)+\tau(v) \frac{\left(a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}}{y^{\beta}(v)} \\
& -\beta \tau(v) \frac{\theta}{2} v^{\kappa-2} \frac{a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta+1}}{y^{\beta+1}(v)} \\
\leq & \frac{\tau^{\prime}(v)}{\tau(v)} \varphi(v)+\tau(v) \frac{\left(a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}}{y^{\beta}(v)} \\
& -\frac{\beta \theta v^{2}}{2(\tau(v) a(v))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \varphi(v)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

From Equations (1) and (14), we obtain

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\tau^{\prime}(v)}{\tau(v)} \varphi(v)-\ell \tau(v) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v) y^{\beta}\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)}{y^{\beta}(v)}-\frac{\beta \theta v^{2}}{2(\tau(v) a(v))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \varphi(v)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}
$$

Note that $y^{\prime}(v)>0$ and $\eta_{i}(v) \geq v$, thus, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\tau^{\prime}(v)}{\tau(v)} \varphi(v)-\ell \tau(v) \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)-\frac{\beta \theta v^{2}}{2(\tau(v) a(v))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \varphi(v)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $\tau(v)=\ell=1$ in Equations (15), then we find

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(v)+\frac{\beta \theta v^{2}}{2 a^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(v)} \varphi(v)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v) \leq 0 .
$$

Thus, we can see that Equation (10) is a nonoscillatory, which is a contradiction.
Let suppose the case $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$ holds. Define

$$
\psi(v):=\vartheta(v) \frac{y^{\prime}(v)}{y(v)}
$$

we see that $\psi(v)>0$ for $v \geq v_{1}$, where there exist a positive function $v \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$. By differentiating $\psi(v)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(v)=\frac{\vartheta^{\prime}(v)}{\vartheta(v)} \psi(v)+\vartheta(v) \frac{y^{\prime \prime}(v)}{y(v)}-\frac{1}{\vartheta(v)} \psi(v)^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, integrating Equation (1) from $v$ to $m$ and using $y^{\prime}(v)>0$, we obtain

$$
a(m)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(m)\right)^{\beta}-a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}=-\int_{v}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) g\left(y\left(\eta_{i}(s)\right)\right) d s
$$

By virtue of $y^{\prime}(v)>0$ and $\eta_{i}(v) \geq v$, we get

$$
a(m)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(m)\right)^{\beta}-a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta} \leq-\ell y^{\beta}(v) \int_{v}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) d s
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$
a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta} \geq \ell y^{\beta}(v) \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

and hence

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v) \geq y(v)\left(\frac{\ell}{a(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta}
$$

Integrating again from $v$ to $\infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(v)+y(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ell}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma \leq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations (16) and (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\vartheta^{\prime}(v)}{\vartheta(v)} \psi(v)-\vartheta(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ell}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma-\frac{1}{\vartheta(v)} \psi(v)^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now set $\vartheta(v)=\ell=1$ in Equation (18), then we obtain

$$
\psi^{\prime}(v)+\psi^{2}(v)+\int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma \leq 0
$$

Thus, it can be seen that Equation (11) is non oscillatory, which is a contradiction. Hence, Theorem 1 is proved.

Remark 2. It is well known (see [19]) that if

$$
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(v)} \mathrm{d} v=\infty, \text { and } \liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{v_{0}}^{v} \frac{1}{a(s)} \mathrm{d} s\right) \int_{v}^{\infty} q(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{4}
$$

then Equation (9) with $\beta=1$ is oscillatory.
Based on the above results and Theorem 1, we can easily obtain the following Hille and Nehari type oscillation criteria for (1) with $\beta=1$.

Theorem 2. Let $\beta=\ell=1$, and assuming that Equation (2) holds, if

$$
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\theta v^{2}}{2 a(v)} \mathrm{d} v=\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{v_{0}}^{v} \frac{\theta s^{2}}{2 a(s)} \mathrm{d} s\right) \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{4} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

also, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} v \int_{v_{0}}^{v} \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} \varsigma \mathrm{~d} v>\frac{1}{4} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\theta \in(0,1)$, then all solutions of Equation (1) are oscillatory.
In the following theorem, we compare the oscillatory behavior of Equation (1) with the first-order differential equations:

Theorem 3. Assume that Equation (2) holds, if the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(v)+\ell \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)\left(\frac{\theta v^{2}}{2 a^{1 / \beta}(v)}\right)^{\beta} x(\eta(v))=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(v)+v z(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ell}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

are oscillatory for some constant $\theta \in(0,1)$, then every solutions of Equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. We prove this theorem by contradiction again, assume that $y$ is a positive solution of Equation (1). Then, we can suppose that $y(v)$ and $y\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)$ are positive for all $v \geq v_{1}$ sufficiently large. From Lemma 4, we have two possible cases $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$.
In the case where $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ holds, from Lemma 1, we see

$$
y(v) \geq \frac{\theta v^{2}}{2 a^{1 / \beta}(v)}\left(a^{1 / \beta}(v) y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)
$$

for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ and for all large $v$. Thus, if we set

$$
x(v)=a(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\beta}>0
$$

then we see that $\psi$ is a positive solution of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(v)+\ell \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)\left(\frac{\theta v^{2}}{2 a^{1 / \beta}(v)}\right)^{\beta} x(\eta(v)) \leq 0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [20] [Theorem 1], we conclude that the corresponding Equation (21) has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. In the case where $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$ holds. From Lemma 3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(v) \geq v y^{\prime}(v) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations (17) and (24), we get

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(v)+v y^{\prime}(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ell}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma \leq 0
$$

Now, we set

$$
z(v)=y^{\prime}(v) .
$$

Thus, we find $\psi$ is a positive solution of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(v)+v z(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ell}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma \leq 0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ([20], Theorem 1), we conclude that the corresponding Equation (22) has a positive solution, which is a contradiction again. Thus the proof is completed.

Corollary 1. Let Equation (2) hold, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v}^{\eta_{i}(v)} \ell \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)\left(\frac{\theta s^{2}}{2 a^{1 / \beta}(s)}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s>\frac{6^{\beta}}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v}^{\eta_{i}(v)} s \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ell}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma \mathrm{~d} s>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\theta \in(0,1)$, then every solutions of Equation (1) is oscillatory.
Example 1. Consider a differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{3}\left(w^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{3}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{6}} w^{3}(2 v)=0, v \geq 1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}$ is a constant. Let $\beta=3, a(v)=v^{3}, q(v)=q_{0} / v^{6}$ and $\eta(v)=2 v$. If we set $\ell=1$, then Condition (26) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v}^{\eta_{i}(v)} \ell \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)\left(\frac{\theta s^{2}}{2 a^{1 / \beta}(s)}\right)^{\beta} \mathrm{d} s & =\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v}^{2 v} \frac{q_{0}}{s^{6}}\left(\frac{\theta s^{2}}{2 s^{1 / 3}}\right)^{3} d s \\
& =\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{q_{0} \theta^{3}}{8}\right)^{3} \int_{v}^{2 v} \frac{q_{0}}{s} d s \\
& =\frac{q_{0} \theta^{3} \ln 2}{8}>\frac{6^{3}}{\mathrm{e}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and Condition (27) holds. Therefore, from Corollary 1, all solutions of Equation (28) are oscillatory if $q_{0}>$ $1728 /\left(\theta^{3} \mathrm{e} \ln 2\right)$ for some constant $\theta \in(0,1)$.

Example 2. Let the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(v)+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{4}} y(2 v)=0, v \geq 1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}>0$ is a constant. Let $\beta=1, a(v)=1, q(v)=q_{0} / v^{4}$ and $\eta(v)=2 v$. If we set $\ell=1$, then Condition (19) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{v_{0}}^{v} \frac{\theta s^{2}}{2 a(s)} \mathrm{d} s\right) \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s & =\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{v^{3}}{3}\right) \int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{q_{0}}{s^{4}} d s \\
& =\frac{q_{0}}{9}>\frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and Condition (20) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v_{0}}^{v} \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \beta} \mathrm{d} \varsigma \mathrm{~d} v & =\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} v\left(\frac{q_{0}}{6 v}\right) \\
& =\frac{q_{0}}{6}>\frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2, all solutions of Equation (29) are oscillatory if $q_{0}>2.25$.
Remark 3. We compare our result with the known related criteria

| The condition | (5) | (6) | (7) | our condition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The criterion | $q_{0}>25.5$ | $q_{0}>18$ | $q_{0}>1728$ | $q_{0}>2.25$ |

Example 3. Consider a differential Equation (8) where $q_{0}>0$ is a constant. Note that $\beta=1, \kappa=4, a(v)=$ $1, q(v)=q_{0} / v^{4}$ and $\eta(v)=3 v$. If we set $\ell=1$, then Condition (19) becomes

$$
\frac{q_{0}}{9}>\frac{1}{4}
$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2, all the solutions of Equation (8) are oscillatory if $q_{0}>2.25$.
Remark 4. We compare our result with the known related criteria

| The condition | (5) | (6) | (7) | our condition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The criterion | $q_{0}>13.6$ | $q_{0}>18$ | $q_{0}>576$ | $q_{0}>2.25$ |

Example 4. Let the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(v)+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{2}} y(c v)=0, v>1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}>0, c>1$ are constants. Note that $\beta=1, a(v)=1, q(v)=q_{0} / v^{2}$ and $\eta(v)=c v$.
From ([14], Corollary 2.4), we have that the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(v)+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{2}} y(c v)=0, \quad c>1, \quad q_{0}>0
$$

is oscillatory if

$$
q_{0}\left(1+q_{0} \ln c\right)>\frac{1}{4}
$$

Therefore, from Theorem 1, all the solutions of Equation (30) are oscillatory if $q_{0}\left(1+q_{0} \ln c\right)>1 / 4$.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, the main aim to provide a study of asymptotic behavior of the fourth order advanced differential equation has been achieved. We used the theory of comparison with first and second-order delay equations and the Riccati substitution to ensure that every solution of this equation is oscillatory. The presented results complement a number of results reported in the literature. Furthermore, the findings of this paper can be extended to study a class of systems of higher order advanced differential equations.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a certain class of third-order nonlinear delay differential equations $\left(r\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(v)+q(v) x^{\beta}(\varsigma(v))=0$, for $v \geq v_{0}$, where $w(v)=x(v)+p(v) x(v(v))$. We obtain new criteria for oscillation of all solutions of this nonlinear equation. Our results complement and improve some previous results in the literature. An example is considered to illustrate our main results.
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## 1. Introduction

The continuous development in various sciences is accompanied by the continued emergence of new models of difference and differential equations that describe this development. Studying the qualitative properties of differential equations helps to understand and analyze many life phenomena and problems; see [1]. Recently, the study of the oscillatory properties of differential equations has evolved significantly; see [2-10]. However, third-order differential equations attract less attention compared to first and second-order equations; see [11-20].

In this paper, we consider the third-order neutral nonlinear differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(v)+q(v) x^{\beta}(\varsigma(v))=0, \text { for } v \geq v_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(v)=x(v)+p(v) x(\vartheta(v)), \alpha$ and $\beta$ are ratios of odd positive integers. In this work, we assume the following conditions:
$\left(\mathbf{I}_{1}\right) \quad r \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$

$$
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty ;
$$

( $\left.\mathbf{I}_{2}\right) \quad p, q \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right), p(v) \leq p_{0}<\infty, q$ does not vanish identically;
$\left(\mathbf{I}_{3}\right) \vartheta, \varsigma \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \vartheta(v)<v, \varsigma(v)<v, \vartheta^{\prime}(v) \geq \vartheta_{0}>0, \vartheta \circ \varsigma=\varsigma \circ \vartheta$ and $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta(v)=\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(v)=\infty$.

A solution of (1) means $x \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$ with $v_{*} \geq v_{0}$, which satisfies the properties $w \in C^{2}\left(\left[v_{*}, \infty\right)\right)$, $r\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha} \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{*}, \infty\right)\right)$ and satisfies (1) on $\left[v_{*}, \infty\right)$. We consider the nontrivial solutions of (1) which exist on some half-line $\left[v_{*}, \infty\right)$ and satisfy the condition $\sup \left\{|x(v)|: v_{1} \leq v<\infty\right\}>0$ for any $v_{1} \geq v_{*}$.

Definition 1. The class $S_{1}$ is a set of all solutions $x$ of Equation (1) such that their corresponding function w satisfies

$$
\text { Case }(\mathbf{i}): \quad w(v)>0, w^{\prime}(v)>0, w^{\prime \prime}(v)>0 \text {; }
$$

and the class $S_{2}$ is a set of all solutions of Equation (1) such that their corresponding function $w$ satisfies

$$
\text { Case }(\mathbf{i i}): \quad w(v)>0, w^{\prime}(v)<0, w^{\prime \prime}(v)>0 .
$$

Definition 2. If the nontrivial solution $x$ is neither positive nor negative eventually, then $x$ is called an oscillatory solution. Otherwise, it is a non-oscillatory solution.

When studying the oscillating properties of neutral differential equations with odd-order, most of the previous studies have been concerned with creating a sufficient condition to ensure that the solutions are oscillatory or tend to zero; see [11-20]. For example, Baculikova and Dzurina [11,12], Candan [13], Dzurina et al. [15], Li et al. [18] and Su et al. [19] studied the oscillatory properties of (1) in the case where $\alpha=\beta$ and $0 \leq p(v) \leq p_{0}<1$. Elabbasy et al. [16] studied the oscillatory behavior of general differential equation

$$
\left(r_{2}\left(\left(r_{1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}(v)+q(v) f(x(\varsigma(v)))=0, \text { for } v \geq v_{0}
$$

For an odd-order, Karpuz at al. [17] and Xing at al. [20] established several oscillation theorems for equation

$$
\left(r_{2}\left(w^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(v)+q(v) x^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))=0, \text { for } v \geq v_{0} .
$$

As an improvement and completion of the previous studies, Dzurina et al. [14], established standards to ensure that all solutions of linear equation

$$
\left(r_{2}\left(r_{1} w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(v)+q(v) x(\varsigma(v))=0
$$

by comparison with first-order delay equations.
The main objective of this paper is to obtain new criteria for oscillation of all solution of nonlinear Equation (1). Our results complement and improve the results in [11-19] which only ensure that non-oscillating solutions tend to zero.

Next, we state the following lemmas, which will be useful in the proof of our results.
Lemma 1. Assume that $c_{1}, c_{2} \in[0, \infty)$ and $\gamma>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)^{\gamma} \leq \mu\left(c_{1}^{\gamma}+c_{2}^{\gamma}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu:= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \gamma \leq 1 \\ 2^{\gamma-1} & \text { if } \gamma>1 .\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $u, g \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), u(v)=g(v)+a g(v-b)$ for $v \geq v_{0}+\max \{0, c\}$, where $a \neq 1$, $b$ are constants. Suppose that there exists a constant $l \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} u(v)=l$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right): & \text { If } \liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} g(v)=g_{*} \in \mathbb{R}, \text { then } g_{*}=l /(1+a) ; \\
\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right): & \text { If } \lim \sup _{v \rightarrow \infty} g(v)=g^{*} \in \mathbb{R}, \text { then } g^{*}=l /(1+a) .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $x \in C^{n}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$. Assume that $x^{(n)}(v)$ is of fixed sign and not identically zero on $\left[v_{0}, \infty\right)$ and that there exists a $v_{1} \geq v_{0}$ such that $x^{(n-1)}(v) x^{(n)}(v) \leq 0$ for all $v \geq v_{1}$. If $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} x(v) \neq 0$, then for every $\mu \in(0,1)$ there exists $v_{\mu} \geq v_{1}$ such that

$$
x(v) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-1)!} v^{n-1}\left|x^{(n-1)}(v)\right| \text { for } v \geq v_{\mu}
$$

## 2. Criteria for Nonexistence of Decreasing Solutions

Through this paper, we will be using the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
£ w(v) & :=r\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}(v), \\
\widetilde{q}(v) & :=\min \{q(v), q(\vartheta(v))\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\eta(v, u):=\int_{u}^{v} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \text { and } \widetilde{\eta}(v, u)=\int_{u}^{v}\left(\int_{u}^{s} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\zeta)} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \mathrm{d} s,
$$

where $v \in\left[v_{0}, \infty\right)$.
Lemma 4. Assume that $x \in S_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(u) \geq \widetilde{\eta}(\omega, u) £^{1 / \alpha} w(\omega) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \leq \omega$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(£ w(v)+\frac{\left(p_{0}\right)^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}} £ w(\vartheta(v))\right)^{\prime} \leq-\frac{1}{\mu} \widetilde{q}(v) w^{\beta}(\varsigma(v)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, we can assume that $x(v)>0, x(\vartheta(v))>0$ and $x(\zeta(v))>0$ for $v \geq v_{1}$, where $v_{1}$ is sufficiently large. From Lemma $1,(1)$ and $\left(\mathbf{I}_{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\beta}(v) \leq \mu\left(x^{\beta}(v)+p_{0}^{\beta} x^{\beta}(\vartheta(v))\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $£ w(v)$ is non-increasing, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w^{\prime}(u) \geq \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} £^{1 / \alpha} w(s) \mathrm{d} s \geq £^{1 / \alpha} w(\omega) \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s, \text { for } u \leq \omega \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this inequality from $u$ to $\omega$, we get

$$
w(u)-w(\omega) \geq £^{1 / \alpha} w(\omega) \int_{u}^{\infty}\left(\int_{u}^{\sigma} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(u) \geq \widetilde{\eta}(\omega, u) £^{1 / \alpha} w(\omega) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (1) and ( $\mathbf{I}_{3}$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(£ w(\vartheta(v)))^{\prime} \frac{1}{\vartheta^{\prime}(v)}+q(\vartheta(v)) x^{\beta}(\varsigma(\vartheta(v)))=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1), (5) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq(£ w(v))^{\prime}+q(v) x^{\beta}(\varsigma(v))+p_{0}^{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta_{0}}(£ w(\vartheta(v)))^{\prime}+q(\vartheta(v)) x^{\beta}(\varsigma(\vartheta(v)))\right) \\
& \geq(£ w(v))^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\vartheta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta}(£ w(\vartheta(v)))^{\prime}+\widetilde{q}(v)\left(x^{\beta}(\varsigma(v))+p_{0}^{\beta} x^{\beta}(\varsigma(\vartheta(v)))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(£ w(v)+\frac{1}{\vartheta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta} £ w(\vartheta(v))\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\mu} \widetilde{q}(v) w^{\beta}(\zeta(v)) \leq 0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the lemma is complete.
Theorem 1. If there exists a function $\delta \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that $\vartheta(v) \leq \delta(v), \varsigma^{-1}(\delta(v))<v$ and the delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(v)+\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{\varsigma_{0}}{\varsigma_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \widetilde{q}(v)(\widetilde{\eta}(\vartheta(v), \delta(v)))^{\beta} \phi^{\beta / \alpha}\left(\varsigma^{-1}(\delta(v))\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then $S_{2}$ is an empty set.
Proof. Assume the contrary that $x$ is a positive solution of (1) and which satisfies case (ii). Then, we assume that $x(v)>0, x(\varsigma(v))>0$ and $x(\vartheta(v))>0$ for $v \geq v_{1}$, where $v_{1}$ is sufficiently large. Thus, from (1), we get $\left(r\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(v) \leq 0$ for $v \geq v_{1}$. Using Lemma 4, we get (3) and (4). Combining (4) and (3) with [ $u=\vartheta(v)$ and $\omega=\delta(v)]$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(£ w(v)+\frac{1}{\zeta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta} £ w(\varsigma(v))\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\mu} \widetilde{q}(v)(\widetilde{\eta}(\vartheta, \delta))^{\beta} £^{\beta / \alpha} w(\delta(v)) \leq 0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $£ w(v)$ is non-increasing, we see that $£ w(v) \leq £ w(\varsigma(v))$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
£ w(v)+\frac{1}{\varsigma_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta} £ w(\varsigma(v)) \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{\varsigma_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta}\right) £ w(\varsigma(v)) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (11) along with (12), we have that $\phi(v):=£ w(v)+\frac{1}{\varsigma_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta} £ w(\varsigma(v))$ is a positive solution of the differential inequality

$$
\phi^{\prime}(v)+\frac{1}{\mu} \widetilde{q}(v)(\widetilde{\eta}(\vartheta, \delta))^{\beta}\left(\frac{\varsigma_{0}}{\varsigma_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \phi^{\beta / \alpha}\left(\varsigma^{-1}(\delta(v))\right) \leq 0 .
$$

By Theorem 1 [21], the associated delay Equation (10) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$. If there exists a function $\theta \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that $\theta(v) \leq v$, $\vartheta(v) \leq \varsigma(\theta(v))$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{v \rightarrow \infty} M^{\beta-\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(\vartheta, \zeta(\theta)) \int_{\theta(v)}^{v} \tilde{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s>\mu\left(1+\frac{1}{\varsigma_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $S_{2}$ is an empty set.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain (12). Using Lemma 4, we get (3) and (4). Integrating (4) from $\theta(v)$ to $v$, we get

$$
0<£ w(v)+\frac{1}{\zeta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta} £ w(\varsigma(v)) \leq £ w(\theta(v))+\frac{1}{\zeta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta} £ w(\varsigma(\theta(v)))-\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\theta(v)}^{v} \widetilde{q}(s) w^{\beta}(\vartheta(s)) \mathrm{d} s,
$$

which together with (12) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{\varsigma_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta}\right) £ w(\varsigma(\theta(v))) \geq \frac{1}{\mu} w^{\beta}(\vartheta(v)) \int_{\theta(v)}^{v} \widetilde{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w^{\prime}(v)<0$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $w(v) \geq M$ for $v \geq v_{2}$, and hence (14) becomes

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{\zeta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta}\right) £ w(\varsigma(\theta(v))) \geq \frac{M^{\beta-\alpha}}{\mu} w^{\alpha}(\vartheta(v)) \int_{\theta(v)}^{v} \widetilde{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s .
$$

From (3) $[u=\vartheta(v)$ and $\omega=\varsigma(\theta(v))]$, we find

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{\varsigma_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta}\right) \geq \frac{M^{\beta-\alpha}}{\mu} \eta^{\alpha}(\vartheta, \zeta(\theta)) \int_{\theta(v)}^{v} \widetilde{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

From above inequality, taking the lim sup on both sides, we obtain a contradiction to (13). The proof is complete.

Corollary 1. Assume that there exists a function $\delta \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that $\vartheta(v) \leq \delta(v)$, $\zeta^{-1}(\delta(v))<v$. Then $S_{2}$ is an empty set, if one of the statements is hold:
$\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}\right) \alpha=\beta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_{\vartheta^{-1}(\delta(v))}^{v} \widetilde{q}(s) \widetilde{\eta}(\varsigma(s), \delta(s)) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0} \mu e} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathbf{b}_{2}\right) \alpha<\beta$, there exists a function $\xi(v) \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$ such that $\xi^{\prime}(v)>0, \lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \xi(v)=\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta \xi^{\prime}\left(\vartheta^{-1}(\delta(v))\right)\left(\vartheta^{-1}(\delta(v))\right)^{\prime}}{\alpha \xi^{\prime}(v)}<1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{\mu \xi^{\prime}(v)}\left(\frac{\vartheta_{0}}{\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \widetilde{q}(v) \zeta(\zeta, \delta) e^{-\zeta(v)}\right]>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is well-known from [22,23] that conditions (15)-(17) imply the oscillation of (10).

## 3. Criteria for Nonexistence of Increasing Solutions

Theorem 3. Assume that $\vartheta(v) \leq \varsigma(v)$ and $\varsigma^{\prime}(v)>0$. If there exist a function $\sigma(v)$ and $v_{1} \geq v_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v_{1}}^{v}\left[\frac{1}{\mu} \sigma(s) \widetilde{q}(s)-\frac{\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\sigma(s) \eta\left(\varsigma(s), s_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $S_{1}$ is an empty set.
Proof. Let $x$ be a positive solution of (1) and which satisfies case (i). In view of case (i), we can define a positive function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(v)=\sigma(v) \frac{£ w(v)}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by differentiating (19), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(v)=\sigma^{\prime}(v) \frac{£ w(v)}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))}+\sigma(v) \frac{(£ w(v))^{\prime}}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))}-\frac{\alpha \sigma(v) £ w(v) w^{\alpha-1}(\varsigma(v)) w^{\prime}(\varsigma(v)) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)}{w^{2 \alpha}(\varsigma(v))} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (19) into (20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(v)=\sigma(v) \frac{(£ w(v))^{\prime}}{w^{\alpha}(\zeta(v))}+\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \psi(v)-\frac{\alpha \eta\left(\zeta(v), v_{1}\right) \zeta^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(v)} \psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(v) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, define another positive function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(v)=\sigma(v) \frac{£ w(\vartheta(v))}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (22), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(v)= & \sigma^{\prime}(v) \frac{£ w(\vartheta(v))}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))}+\sigma(v) \frac{(£ w(\vartheta(v)))^{\prime}}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))}  \tag{23}\\
& -\frac{\alpha \sigma(v) £ w(\vartheta(v)) w^{\alpha-1}(\varsigma(v)) w^{\prime}(\varsigma(v)) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)}{w^{2 \alpha}(\varsigma(v))} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (22) into (23) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}(v)=\sigma(v) \frac{(£ w(\vartheta(v)))^{\prime}}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))}+\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \omega(v)-\frac{\alpha \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(v)} \omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(v) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write the inequalities (21) and (25) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(v)+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}} \omega^{\prime}(v) \leq & \sigma(v) \frac{(£ w(v))^{\prime}+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}}(£ w(\vartheta(v)))^{\prime}}{w^{\alpha}(\varsigma(v))} \\
& +\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \psi(v)-\frac{\alpha \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(v)} \psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(v) \\
& +\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}}\left(\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \omega(v)-\frac{\alpha \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \zeta^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(v)} \omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(v)\right) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account Lemma 1, (4) and (26), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(v)+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}} \omega^{\prime}(v) \leq & -\sigma(v)\left(\frac{\widetilde{q}(v)}{\mu}\right) \\
& +\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \psi(v)-\frac{\alpha \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(v)} \psi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(v) \\
& +\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}}\left(\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \omega(v)-\frac{\alpha \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(v)} \omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(v)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the following inequality

$$
B u-A u^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{\alpha^{\alpha} B^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} A^{\alpha}}, A>0
$$

with

$$
A=\frac{\alpha \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(v)} \text { and } B=\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(v)+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}} \omega^{\prime}(v) \leq & -\sigma(v) \frac{\widetilde{q}(v)}{\mu}+\frac{\left(\sigma^{\prime}(v)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\sigma(v) \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)\right)^{\alpha}} \\
& +\frac{\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(v)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\sigma(v) \eta\left(\varsigma(v), v_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(v)\right)^{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating last inequality from $v_{1}$ to $v$, we arrive at

$$
\int_{v_{1}}^{v}\left[\sigma(s) \frac{\widetilde{q}(s)}{\mu}-\frac{\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\left(\sigma(s) \eta\left(\varsigma(s), s_{1}\right) \varsigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s \leq \psi\left(v_{2}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}} \omega\left(v_{2}\right) .
$$

The proof is complete.
Theorem 4. Assume that there exist continuously differentiable functions $\sigma(v)$ and $\xi(v)$ and $\vartheta^{-1}(\delta(v))$ such that $\left(\vartheta^{-1}(\delta(v))\right)^{\prime}>0, \xi^{\prime}(v)>0$ and if (3) and one of the conditions (16), (17) or (15) holds, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 5. Assume that $x$ is a positive solution of (1). If there exist $\theta \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that $\theta(v)<v$, $\varsigma(v)<\vartheta(\theta(v))$ and if conditions (3) and (13) hold, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.

In this section we state and prove some results by considering

$$
\varsigma(v)=v-\delta_{0} \text { for } \delta_{0} \geq 0, p(v)=p_{0} \neq 1
$$

Lemma 5. Let $x(v)$ be positive solution of Equation (1), eventually. Assume that $w(v)$ satisfies case (ii). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} q(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} \phi=\infty, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} x(v)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $w(v)$ is a non-increasing positive function, there exists a constant $w_{0} \geq 0$ such that $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} w(v)=w_{0} \geq 0$. We claim that $w_{0}=0$. Otherwise, using Lemma 2, we conclude that $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} w(v)=w_{0} /\left(1+p_{0}\right)>0$. Therefore, there exists a $v_{2} \geq v_{0}$ such that, for all $v \geq v_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(\zeta(v))>\frac{w_{0}}{2\left(1+p_{0}\right)}>0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1) and (29), we see that

$$
(£ w((v)))^{\prime} \leq-q(v)\left(\frac{w_{0}}{2\left(1+p_{0}\right)}\right)^{\beta}
$$

Integrating above inequality from $v$ to $\infty$, we have

$$
£ w((v)) \geq\left(\frac{w_{0}}{2\left(1+P_{0}\right)}\right)^{\beta} \int_{v}^{\infty} q(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}(v) \geq\left(\frac{w_{0}}{2\left(1+P_{0}\right)}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{r(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} q(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (30) from $v$ to $\infty$, yields

$$
-w^{\prime}(v) \geq\left(\frac{w_{0}}{2\left(1+P_{0}\right)}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \mathrm{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u
$$

Integrating again from $v_{2}$ to $\infty$, we obtain

$$
w\left(v_{2}\right) \geq\left(\frac{w_{0}}{2\left(1+P_{0}\right)}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \int_{v_{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty} \mathrm{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} \phi
$$

which contradicts with (27). Therefore, $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} w(v)=0$, and from the inequality $0<x(v) \leq w(v)$, we have property (28). The proof is complete.

Theorem 6. Let condition (27) be satisfied and suppose that there exists a function $\varrho \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\varrho(v) \leq \varsigma(v), \varrho(v)<v$ and $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(v)=\infty$. If the first-order delay differential equation

$$
y^{\prime}(v)+\frac{q(v)}{\left(1+p_{0}\right)^{\beta}}\left(\int_{v_{1}}^{\varrho(v)} \int_{u_{1}}^{\phi} a^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} u\right)^{\beta} y^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\varrho(v))=0
$$

is oscillatory, then every solution $x(v)$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or satisfies (28).
Proof. Assume that $x(v)$ is positive solution of (1), eventually. This implies that there exists $v_{1} \geq v_{0}$ such that either (i) or (ii) hold for all $v \geq v_{1}$.
For (ii), by lemma 5, we see that (28) holds.

For (i), since $w^{\prime}(v)$ is a non-decreasing positive function, there exists a constant $c_{0}$ such that $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} w^{\prime}(v)=c_{0}>0\left(\right.$ or $\left.c_{0}=\infty\right)$. By Lemma 2, we have

$$
\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime}(v)=c_{0} /\left(1+p_{0}\right)>0
$$

which implies that $x(v)$ is a non-decreasing function and taking into account $\delta_{0} \geq 0$, we get

$$
w(v)=x(v)+p_{0} x\left(v-\delta_{0}\right) \leq\left(1+p_{0}\right) x(v),
$$

therefore

$$
x(v) \geq \frac{1}{1+p_{0}} w(v)
$$

for $\varrho(v) \leq \varsigma(v)$, and

$$
x(\zeta(v)) \geq x(\varrho(v)) \geq \frac{1}{1+p_{0}} w(\varrho(v)) .
$$

By substitution in (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(£ w(v))^{\prime}+\frac{q(v)}{\left(1+p_{0}\right)^{\beta}} w^{\beta}(\varrho(v)) \leq 0 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7) and (31), we get

$$
(£ w(v))^{\prime}+\frac{q(v)}{\left(1+p_{0}\right)^{\beta}}\left(\int_{v_{2}}^{\varrho(v)} \int_{u_{1}}^{\phi} a^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} u\right)^{\beta}(£ w(\varrho(v)))^{\frac{\beta}{\bar{\alpha}}} \leq 0 .
$$

Therefore, we have $y=£ w(v)$ is positive solution of a the first order delay equation

$$
y^{\prime}(v)+\frac{q(v)}{\left(1+p_{0}\right)^{\beta}}\left(\int_{v_{1}}^{\varrho(v)} \int_{u_{1}}^{\phi} a^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} u\right)^{\beta} y^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\varrho(v)) \leq 0
$$

The proof is complete.
Theorem 7. If the first-order delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(v)+\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{\vartheta_{0}}{\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}\right) \widetilde{q}(v) \frac{\lambda^{\beta} \varsigma^{2 \beta}(v)}{2^{\beta} r^{\beta / \alpha}(\varsigma(v))} w^{\beta / \alpha}(\varsigma(v))=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, eventually. Then, every solution $x(v)$ of Equation (1) is either oscillatory or satisfies (28).
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 1, we get, from (1), (5) and (8), that (9) holds. Now, by using Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(v)>\frac{\lambda}{2} v^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(v) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} v} £ w(v) \leq 0$ and $\vartheta(v) \leq v$, we obtain $£ w(\vartheta(v)) \geq £ w(v)$, and so

$$
£ w(v)+\frac{1}{\vartheta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta} £ w(\vartheta(v)) \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{\vartheta_{0}} p_{0}^{\beta}\right) £ w(v),
$$

which with (9) gives

$$
(£ w(v))^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{\vartheta_{0}}{\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}\right) \widetilde{q}(v) w^{\beta}(\zeta(v)) \leq 0 .
$$

Thus, from (33), we find

$$
(£ w(v))^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{\vartheta_{0}}{\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}\right) \widetilde{q}(v) \frac{\lambda^{\beta}}{2^{\beta}} \varsigma^{2 \beta}(v)\left(w^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma(v))\right)^{\beta} \leq 0
$$

If we set $w:=£ w(v)=r\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}$, then we have that $w>0$ is a solution of delay inequality

$$
w^{\prime}(v)+\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{\vartheta_{0}}{\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}^{\beta}}\right) \widetilde{q}(v) \frac{\lambda^{\beta} \varsigma^{2 \beta}(v)}{2^{\beta} r^{\beta / \alpha}(\varsigma(v))} w^{\beta / \alpha}(\varsigma(v)) \leq 0
$$

By Theorem 1 [21] the associated delay differential Equation (32) also has a positive solution. The proof is complete.

Example 1. Consider the third order delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left([x(v)+p x(\lambda v)]^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{\alpha(n-1)+1}} x^{\alpha}(\gamma v)=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma, \lambda \in(0,1)$. Then $\widetilde{q}(v)=\frac{q_{0}}{v^{2 \alpha+1}}, \zeta(v)=\gamma v, \vartheta(v)=\lambda v$, set $\sigma(v)=v^{2}, \zeta(v)=\frac{(\gamma+\lambda) v}{2}$.
It is easy to get $\eta(v, u)=(v-u), \widetilde{\eta}(v, u)=\frac{(v-u)^{2}}{2}$ and $\vartheta^{-1}(v)=\frac{v}{\gamma}$.
By Theorem 3, (18) imply

$$
q_{0}>\frac{(2)^{\beta-1}(2 \alpha)^{\alpha+1}}{\gamma^{2 \alpha}(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\beta}}{\vartheta_{0}}\right)
$$

also, by (15) with $\alpha=1$, we get

$$
\frac{q_{0}}{8}(\gamma-\lambda)^{2} \ln \frac{2 \gamma}{\lambda+\gamma}>\frac{\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}}{\vartheta_{0} e}
$$

By Theorem 4 with $\alpha=1$, the Equation (34) is oscillatory if

$$
q_{0}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\vartheta_{0}}\right), \frac{8\left(\vartheta_{0}+p_{0}\right)}{(\gamma-\lambda)^{2}\left(\ln \frac{2 \gamma}{\lambda+\gamma}\right) \vartheta_{0} e}\right\} .
$$

Remark 1. The results in [11-19] only ensure that the non-oscillating solutions to Equation (34) tend to zero, so our method improves the previous results.

Remark 2. For interested researchers, there is a good problem which is finding new results for non existence of Kneser solutions for (1) without requiring

$$
\vartheta \circ \varsigma=\varsigma \circ \vartheta \text { or }\left(\vartheta^{-1}(v)\right)^{\prime} \geq \vartheta_{0} .
$$
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#### Abstract

This paper aims to study the oscillatory properties of fourth-order advanced differential equations with $p$-Laplacian like operator. By using the technique of Riccati transformation and the theory of comparison with first-order delay equations, we will establish some new oscillation criteria for this equation. Some examples are considered to illustrate the main results.
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## 1. Introduction

In the last decades, many researchers from all fields of science, technology and engineering have devoted their attention to introducing more sophisticated analytical and numerical techniques to solve and analyze mathematical models arising in their fields.

Fourth-order advanced differential equations naturally appear in models concerning physical, biological, chemical phenomena applications in dynamical systems, mathematics of networks,and optimization. They also appear in the mathematical modeling of engineering problems to study electrical power systems, materials and energy, elasticity, deformation of structures, and soil settlement [1]. The $p$-Laplace equations have some applications in continuum mechanics, see for example [2-4].

An active and essential research area in the above investigations is to study the sufficient criterion for oscillation of delay differential equations. In fact, during this decade, Several works have been accomplished in the development of the oscillation theory of higher order delay and advanced equations by using the Riccati transformation and the theory of comparison between first and second-order delay equations, (see [5-12]). Further, the oscillation theory of fourth and second order delay equations has been studied and developed by using integral averaging technique and the Riccati transformation, (see [13-27]). The study of oscillation has been carried to fractional equations in the setting of fractional operators with singular and nonsingular kernels, as well (see $[28,29]$ and the references therein).

We provide oscillation properties of the fourth order advanced differential equation with a $p$-Laplacian like operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b(v)\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right|^{p-2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v) g\left(y\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \geq v_{0}$ and $j \geq 1$. Throughout this paper, we assume that:
$\left(D_{1}\right) p>1$ is a real number,
$\left(D_{2}\right) q_{i}, \eta_{i} \in C\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), q_{i}(v) \geq 0$,
$\left(D_{3}\right) \eta_{i}(v) \geq v, \lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{i}(v)=\infty, i=1,2, . ., j$,
$\left(D_{4}\right) g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) /|x|^{p-2} x \geq k>0, \text { for } x \neq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(D_{5}\right) b \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), b(v)>0, b^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$ and under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{1 /(p-1)}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, our aim in this paper is complete and improves the results in [5-7]. For the sake of completeness, we first recall and discuss these results. Li et al. [3] examined the oscillation of equation

$$
\left(a(v)\left|z^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right|^{p-2} z^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)\left|w\left(\delta_{i}(v)\right)\right|^{p-2} w\left(\delta_{i}(v)\right)=0
$$

where $p>1$ is a real number. The authors used the Riccati transformation and integral averaging technique. Park et al. [8] used Riccati technique to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of

$$
\left(a(v)\left|w^{(\kappa-1)}(v)\right|^{p-2} w^{(\kappa-1)}(v)\right)^{\prime}+q(v) g(w(\delta(v)))=0
$$

where $\kappa$ is even and under the condition

$$
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^{1 /(p-1)}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

Agarwal and Grace [5] considered the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(y^{(\kappa-1)}(v)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}+q(v) y^{\gamma}(\eta(v))=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is even and they proved it oscillatory if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{v}^{\eta(v)}(\eta(s)-s)^{\kappa-2}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty} q(v) d v\right)^{1 / \gamma} d s>\frac{(\kappa-2)!}{e} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Agarwal et al. in [6] studied Equation (4) and obtained the criterion of oscillation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{v \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } v^{\gamma(\kappa-1)} \int_{v}^{\infty} q(s) d s>((\kappa-1)!)^{\gamma} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Authors in [7] studied oscillatory behavior of (4) where $\gamma=1, \kappa$ is even and if there exists a function $\delta \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, also, they proved it oscillatory by using the Riccati transformation if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\delta(s) q(s)-\frac{(\kappa-2)!\left(\delta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{2^{3-2 \kappa} s^{\kappa-2} \delta(s)}\right) d s=\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compare the conditions, we apply the previous results to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(v)+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{4}} y(3 v)=0, v \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. By applying condition (5) on Equation (8), we get

$$
q_{0}>13.6
$$

2. By applying condition (6) on Equation (8), we get

$$
q_{0}>18
$$

3. By applying condition (7) on Equation (8), we get

$$
q_{0}>576
$$

From the above we find the results in [6] improves results [7]. Moreover, the results in [5] improves results [6,7], we see this clearly in the Section 3. Thus, the motivation in studying this paper is complement and improve results [5-7].

We will need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1 ([18]). If the function $y$ satisfies $y^{(i)}(v)>0, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, and $y^{(n+1)}(v)<0$, then

$$
\frac{y(v)}{v^{n} / n!} \geq \frac{y^{\prime}(v)}{v^{n-1} /(n-1)!}
$$

Lemma 2 ([10]). Suppose that $y \in C^{n}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), y^{(n)}$ is of a fixed sign on $\left[v_{0}, \infty\right), y^{(n)}$ not identically zero and there exists a $v_{1} \geq v_{0}$ such that

$$
y^{(n-1)}(v) y^{(n)}(v) \leq 0
$$

for all $v \geq v_{1}$. If we have $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} y(v) \neq 0$, then there exists $v_{\lambda} \geq v_{1}$ such that

$$
y(v) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} v^{n-1}\left|y^{(n-1)}(v)\right|
$$

for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $v \geq v_{\lambda}$.
Lemma 3 ([21]). Let $\gamma$ be a ratio of two odd numbers, $V>0$ and $U$ are constants. Then

$$
U x-V x^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{U^{\gamma+1}}{V^{\gamma}}, V>0
$$

Lemma 4 ([15]). Assume that $y$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exist two possible cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right) \quad y(v)>0, y^{\prime}(v)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(v)>0, y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)>0, y^{(4)}(v) \leq 0, \\
& \left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right) \quad y(v)>0, y^{\prime}(v)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(v)<0, y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)>0, y^{(4)}(v) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $v \geq v_{1}$, where $v_{1} \geq v_{0}$ is sufficiently large.

## 2. Oscillation Criteria

In this section, we shall establish some oscillation criteria for equation (1).
Lemma 5. Assume that $y$ be an eventually positive solution of (1) and $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(v):=\delta(v)\left(\frac{b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1}}{y^{p-1}(v)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\delta^{\prime}(v)}{\delta(v)} \pi(v)-k \delta(v) \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)-\frac{(p-1) \varepsilon v^{2}}{2(\delta(v) b(v))^{\frac{1}{(p-1)}}} \pi(v)^{\frac{p}{(p-1)}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v>v_{1}$, where $v_{1}$ large enough.
Proof. Let $y$ is an eventually positive solution of (1) and $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ holds. Thus, from Lemma 2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(v) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} v^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and for all large $v$. From (9), we see that $\pi(v)>0$ for $v \geq v_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\prime}(v)= & \delta^{\prime}(v) \frac{b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1}}{y^{p-1}(v)}+\delta(v) \frac{\left(b\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}(v)}{y^{p-1}(v)} \\
& -(p-1) \delta(v) \frac{y^{p-2}(v) y^{\prime}(v) b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1}}{y^{2(p-1)}(v)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (11) and (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi^{\prime}(v) \leq & \frac{\delta^{\prime}(v)}{\delta(v)} \pi(v)+\delta(v) \frac{\left(b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}}{y^{p-1}(v)} \\
& -(p-1) \delta(v) \frac{\varepsilon}{2} v^{2} \frac{b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p}}{y^{p}(v)} \\
\leq & \frac{\delta^{\prime}(v)}{\delta(v)} \pi(v)+\delta(v) \frac{\left(b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}}{y^{p-1}(v)} \\
& -\frac{(p-1) \varepsilon v^{2}}{2(\delta(v) b(v))^{\frac{1}{p-1)}}} \pi(v)^{\frac{p}{(p-1)}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1) and (12), we get

$$
\pi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\delta^{\prime}(v)}{\delta(v)} \pi(v)-k \delta(v) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v) y^{p-1}\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)}{y^{p-1}(v)}-\frac{(p-1) \varepsilon v^{2}}{2(\delta(v) b(v))^{\frac{1}{(p-1)}}} \pi(v)^{\frac{p}{(p-1)}}
$$

Note that $y^{\prime}(v)>0$ and $\eta_{i}(v) \geq v$, thus, we find

$$
\pi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\delta^{\prime}(v)}{\delta(v)} \pi(v)-k \delta(v) \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)-\frac{(p-1) \varepsilon v^{2}}{2(\delta(v) b(v))^{\frac{1}{(p-1)}}} \pi(v)^{\frac{p}{(p-1)}}
$$

The proof is complete.
Lemma 6. Assume that $y$ be an eventually positive solution of (1) and $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$ holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(v):=\sigma(v) \frac{y^{\prime}(v)}{y(v)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \xi(v)-\sigma(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v-\frac{1}{\sigma(v)} \xi(v)^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v>v_{1}$, where $v_{1}$ large enough.
Proof. Let $y$ is an eventually positive solution of (1) and $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$ holds. Integrating (1) from $v$ to $m$ and using $y^{\prime}(v)>0$, we obtain

$$
b(m)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(m)\right)^{p-1}-b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1}=-\int_{v}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) g\left(y\left(\eta_{i}(s)\right)\right) d s
$$

By virtue of $y^{\prime}(v)>0$ and $\eta_{i}(v) \geq v$, we get

$$
b(m)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(m)\right)^{p-1}-b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1} \leq-k y^{p-1}(v) \int_{v}^{u} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) d s
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$
b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1} \geq k y^{p-1}(v) \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

and so

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v) \geq y(v)\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)}
$$

Integrating again from $v$ to $\infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(v)+y(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v \leq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $\xi(v)$, we see that $\xi(v)>0$ for $v \geq v_{1}$. By differentiating, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime}(v)=\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \xi(v)+\sigma(v) \frac{y^{\prime \prime}(v)}{y(v)}-\frac{1}{\sigma(v)} \xi(v)^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (15) and (16), we obtain

$$
\xi^{\prime}(v) \leq \frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \xi(v)-\sigma(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v-\frac{1}{\sigma(v)} \xi(v)^{2} .
$$

The proof is complete.
Theorem 1. Assume that there exist positive functions $\delta, \sigma \in C^{1}\left(\left[v_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(k \delta(s) \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)-\frac{2^{p-1} b(s)\left(\delta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{p}}{p^{p}\left(s^{2} \varepsilon \delta(s)\right)^{p-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, and either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) d s=\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\sigma(s) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v-\frac{1}{4 \sigma(s)}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume that $y$ is eventually positive solution of (1). Then, we can suppose that $y(v)$ and $y\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)$ are positive for all $v \geq v_{1}$ sufficiently large. From Lemma 4 , we have two possible cases ( $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ ) and ( $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ ).

Assume that case ( $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ ) holds. From Lemma 5, we get that (10) holds. Using Lemma 3 with

$$
U=\delta^{\prime}(v) / \delta(v), V=(p-1) \varepsilon v^{2} /\left(2(\delta(v) b(v))^{\frac{1}{(p-1)}}\right) \text { and } x=\pi(v),
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{\prime}(v)}{\delta(v)} \pi(v)-\frac{(p-1) \varepsilon v^{2}}{2(\delta(v) b(v))^{\frac{1}{(p-1)}}} \pi(v)^{\frac{p}{(p-1)}} \leq-\frac{2^{p-1} b(v)\left(\delta^{\prime}(v)\right)^{p}}{p^{p}\left(v^{2} \varepsilon \delta(v)\right)^{p-1}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) and (20), we obtain

$$
\pi^{\prime}(v) \leq-k \delta(v) \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)+\frac{2^{p-1} b(v)\left(\delta^{\prime}(v)\right)^{p}}{p^{p}\left(v^{2} \varepsilon \delta(v)\right)^{p-1}} .
$$

Integrating from $v_{1}$ to $v$, we get

$$
\int_{v_{1}}^{v}\left(k \delta(s) \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)-\frac{2^{p-1} b(s)\left(\delta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{p}}{p^{p}\left(s^{2} \varepsilon \delta(s)\right)^{p-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq \pi\left(v_{1}\right),
$$

for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, which contradicts (17).
Let case ( $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ ) holds. Integrating (1) from $m$ to $v$, we conclude that

$$
-b(m)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(m)\right)^{p-1}=-\int_{m}^{v} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) g\left(y\left(\eta_{i}(s)\right)\right) d s .
$$

By virtue of $y^{\prime}(v)>0$ and $\eta_{i}(v) \geq v$, we get

$$
\int_{m}^{v} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) d s \leq \frac{b(m)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(m)\right)^{p-1}}{k y^{p-1}(m)}
$$

which contradicts (18).
From Lemma 6, we get that (14) holds. Using Lemma 3 with

$$
U=\sigma^{\prime}(v) / \sigma(v), V=1 / \sigma(v) \text { and } x=\xi(v),
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(v)}{\sigma(v)} \xi(v)-\frac{1}{\sigma(v)} \xi^{2}(v) \leq-\frac{1}{4 \sigma(v)}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (14) and (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime}(v) \leq-\sigma(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v+\frac{1}{4 \sigma(v)}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating from $v_{1}$ to $v$, we get

$$
\int_{v_{1}}^{v}\left(\sigma(s) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \gamma} \mathrm{d} v-\frac{1}{4 \sigma(s)}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq \xi\left(v_{1}\right)
$$

which contradicts (19). The proof is complete.
When putting $\delta(v)=v^{3}$ and $\sigma(v)=v$ into Theorem 1, we get the following oscillation criteria:
Corollary 1. Let (3) hold. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(s^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)-\frac{2^{p-1} 3^{p} s^{-3(p-1)+2} b(s)}{p^{p} \mathcal{E}^{p-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. If (18) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(s \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v-\frac{1}{4 s}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.
In the next theorem, we compare the oscillatory behavior of (1) with the first-order differential equations:

Theorem 2. Assume that (3) holds. If the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}(v)+k \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)\left(\frac{\varepsilon v^{2}}{2 b^{1 / \gamma}(v)}\right)^{p-1} \theta(\eta(v))=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(v)+v \phi(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

are oscillatory, then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume the contrary that $y$ is a positive solution of (1). Then, we can suppose that $y(v)$ and $y\left(\eta_{i}(v)\right)$ are positive for all $v \geq v_{1}$ sufficiently large. From Lemma 4 , we have two possible cases $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$.

In the case where $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$ holds, from Lemma 2, we get

$$
y(v) \geq \frac{\varepsilon v^{2}}{2 b^{1 /(p-1)}(v)}\left(b^{1 /(p-1)}(v) y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)
$$

for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and for all large $v$. Thus, if we set

$$
\theta(v)=b(v)\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)^{p-1}>0
$$

then we see that $\xi$ is a positive solution of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}(v)+k \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(v)\left(\frac{\varepsilon v^{2}}{2 b^{1 /(p-1)}(v)}\right)^{p-1} \theta(\eta(v)) \leq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ([27], Theorem 1), we conclude that the corresponding Equation (25) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction.

In the case where $\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)$ holds, from Lemma 1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(v) \geq v y^{\prime}(v) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (28) and (15), we get

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(v)+v y^{\prime}(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v \leq 0
$$

Thus, if we set

$$
\phi(v)=y^{\prime}(v),
$$

then we see that $\xi$ is a positive solution of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(v)+v \phi(v) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v \leq 0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known (see ([27], Theorem 1)) that the corresponding Equation (26) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Corollary 2. Assume that (3) holds. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\eta_{i}(v)}^{v} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)\left(\frac{\varepsilon s^{2}}{2 b^{1 /(p-1)}(s)}\right)^{p-1} \mathrm{~d} s>\frac{((n-1)!)^{p-1}}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{v \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\eta_{i}(v)}^{v} v \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} s>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of $(1)$ is oscillatory.

## 3. Examples

For an application of Corollary 1, we give the following example:
Example 1. Consider a differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(v)+\frac{q_{0}}{v^{4}} y(2 v)=0, v \geq 1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}>0$ is a constant. Note that $p=2, b(v)=1, q(v)=q_{0} / v^{4}$ and $\eta(v)=2 v$. If we set $k=1$, then condition (23) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(s^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)-\frac{2^{p-1} 3^{p} s^{-3(p-1)+2} b(s)}{p^{p} \varepsilon^{p-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} s & =\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q_{0}}{s}-\frac{9}{2 \varepsilon s}\right) d s \\
& =\left(q_{0}-\frac{9}{2 \varepsilon}\right) \int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} d s \\
& =\infty \text { if } q_{0}>4.5
\end{aligned}
$$

and condition (24) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(s \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v-\frac{1}{4 s}\right) \mathrm{d} s & =\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q_{0}}{6 s}-\frac{1}{4 s}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\infty, \text { if } q_{0}>\frac{3}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from Corollary 1, all solution Equation (32) is oscillatory if $q_{0}>4.5$.
Remark 1. We compare our result with the known related criteria for oscillation of this equation are as follows:

| The condition | (5) | (6) | (7) | our condition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The criterion | $q_{0}>25.5$ | $q_{0}>18$ | $q_{0}>1728$ | $q_{0}>4.5$ |

Therefore, it is clear that we see our result improves results [5-7].
For an application of Theorem 1, we give the following example.
Example 2. Consider a differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{a}{v^{3}} y(c v)=0, v \geq 1 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ and $a>1$ is a constant. Note that $p=2, b(v)=v, q(v)=a / v^{3}$.
If we set $k=1, \delta(s)=\sigma(s)=s^{2}$, then conditions (17) and (19) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(k \delta(s) \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s)-\frac{2^{p-1} b(s)\left(\delta^{\prime}(s)\right)^{p}}{p^{p}\left(s^{2} \varepsilon \delta(s)\right)^{p-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} s & =\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{s}-\frac{2}{s \varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\left(a-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& =\infty \text { if } a>\frac{2}{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\sigma(s) \int_{v}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{b(v)} \int_{v}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} v-\frac{1}{4 \sigma(s)}\left(\sigma^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s & =\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\infty, \text { if } q_{0}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. Hence, by Theorem 1, every solution of Equation (33) is oscillatory if

$$
a>\frac{2}{\varepsilon} .
$$

Remark 2. By applying condition (23) in Equation (8), we find

$$
q_{0}>4.5
$$

while the conditions that we obtained in the introduction as follows:

| The condition | (5) | (6) | (7) | our condition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The criterion | $q_{0}>13.6$ | $q_{0}>18$ | $q_{0}>576$ | $q_{0}>4.5$ |

Therefore, our result improves results [5-7].

## 4. Conclusions

This paper is concerned with the oscillatory properties of the fourth-order differential equations with $p$-Laplacian like operators. New oscillation criteria are established, and they essentially improves the related contributions to the subject. In this paper the following methods were used:

1. Riccati transformations technique.
2. Method of comparison with first-order differential equations.

Further, in the future work we get some oscillation criteria of (1) under the condition $\int_{v_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{1 /(p-1)}(s)} \mathrm{d} s<\infty$.
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#### Abstract

Some new oscillatory and asymptotic properties of solutions of neutral differential equations with odd-order are established. Through the new results, we give sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the studied equations, and this is an improvement of the relevant results. The efficiency of the obtained criteria is illustrated via example.
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## 1. Introduction

During this paper, we investigate the asymptotic properties of solutions to the odd-order neutral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+f(l, u(\eta(l)))=0, l \geq l_{0}>0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l \geq l_{0}, z(l)=u(l)+p(l) u(\theta(l)), 0 \leq p(l) \leq p_{0}<\infty$ and $n$ is an odd natural number. Through the paper, we assume that
(I) $\alpha$ is a ratio of odd positive integers, $r, \eta, \theta \in C^{1}\left(I_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), r^{\prime}(l) \geq 0, \eta(l)<l, \eta^{\prime}>0,\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)^{\prime} \geq$ $\eta_{0}>0, \theta^{\prime}(l) \geq \theta_{0}>0, \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \eta(l)=\infty, \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \theta(l)=\infty, I_{\rho}:=\left[l_{\rho}, \infty\right)$, the function $f \in C\left(I_{0} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and there exists a nonnegative function $q$ such that $|f(l, u)| \geq q(l)|u|^{\alpha}$. Moreover, we study asymptotic behavior and oscillation of solutions of (1) in a canonical case, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{l_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1 / \alpha}(\varrho)} \mathrm{d} \varrho=\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) $\theta(l)<l$ and $\theta \circ \eta=\eta \circ \theta$.

If there exists $l_{u} \geq l_{0}$ such that the real valued function $u$ is continuous, $r\left(z^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}$ is continuously differentiable and satisfies (1), for all $l \in I_{u}$; then, $u$ is said to be a solution of (1). We restrict our discussion to those solutions $u$ of (1) which satisfy sup $\left\{|u(l)|: l_{1} \leq l_{0}\right\}>0$ for every $l_{1} \in I_{u}$.

Definition 1. A solution $u$ of Equation (1) is called an $N$-Kneser solution if there exists a $l_{*} \in I_{0}$ such that $z(l) z^{\prime}(l)<0$ for all $l \in I_{*}$. The set of all eventually positive $N$-Kneser solutions of Equation (1) is denoted by $\Re$.

Definition 2. A solution $u$ of (1) is said to be non-oscillatory if it is positive or negative, ultimately; otherwise, it is said to be oscillatory. The equation itself is termed oscillatory if all its solutions oscillate.

There are many authors who studied the problem of oscillation of differential equations of a different order and presented many techniques in order to obtain criteria for oscillation of the studied equations, for example, [1-12].

For applications of odd-order equations in extrema, biology, and physics, we refer to the following examples. In 1701, James Bernoulli published the solution to the Isoperimetric Problem-a problem in which it is required to make one integral a maximum or minimum, while keeping constant the integral of a second given function, thus resulting in a differential equation of third-order (see [13]). In the early 1950s, Alan Lloyd Hodgkin and Andrew Huxley developed a mathematical model for the propagation of electrical pulses in the nerve of a squid. The Hodgkin-Huxley Model is a set of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. The model has played a seminal role in biophysics and neuronal modeling.

Recently, researchers have paid attention to neutral differential equations, as well as studying the oscillation behavior of their solutions. There is a practical side to study the problem of the oscillatory properties of solutions of neutral equations besides the theoretical side. For example, the neutral equations arise in applications to electric networks containing lossless transmission lines. Such networks appear in high-speed computers where lossless transmission lines are used to interconnect switching circuits. For more applications in science and technology, see [14-16].

Karpuz et al. [17] studied the higher-order neutral differential equations of the following type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(l)+p(l) u(\theta(l)))^{(n)}+q(l) u(\eta(l))=0, \text { for } l \in\left[l_{0}, \infty\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where oscillatory and asymptotic behaviors of all solutions of higher-order neutral differential equations are compared with first-order delay differential equations, depending on two different ranges of the coefficient associated with the neutral part

Xing et al. [18] established some oscillation criteria for certain higher-order quasi-linear neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(l)\left((u(l)+p(l) u(\theta(l)))^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(l) u^{\alpha}(\eta(l))=0, n \geq 2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \leq 1$ is the quotient of odd positive integers.
Li and Rogovchenko [19] concerned with the asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of third-order nonlinear neutral differential equations

$$
\left(r(t)\left(\left(x(t)+p_{0} x\left(t-\omega_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\alpha}(\tau(t))=0
$$

where $p_{0} \geq 0, p_{0} \neq 1$ and $\omega_{0}$ are constants, $\omega_{0} \geq 0$ (delayed argument) or $\omega_{0} \leq 0$ (advanced argument).

Some results that are closely related to our work are presented as follows:
Theorem 1 ([17], Corollary 2, see [20], Theorem 3.1.1 and [21]). Assume that p satisfies the condition

$$
p \in C\left(\left[l_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right) \text {satisfies } \bar{l}_{p}:=\limsup _{l \rightarrow \infty} p(l)<1
$$

If

$$
\limsup _{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\eta(l)}^{l} \frac{1}{(n-1)!}(\eta(\rho))^{n-1} q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho>1
$$

or

$$
\liminf _{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\eta(l)}^{l} \frac{1}{(n-1)!}(\eta(\rho))^{n-1} q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}}
$$

holds, then (3) is almost oscillatory.
Theorem 2 ([18], Corollary 2.8). Let $n$ be odd, $\alpha \leq 1,\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)^{\prime} \geq \eta_{0}>0,0 \leq p(l) \leq p_{0}<\infty, \theta(l) \leq l$, and $\theta^{\prime}(l) \geq \theta_{0}>0$, suppose that (2) holds. If $\theta^{-1}(\eta(l))<l$ and

$$
\liminf _{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\theta^{-1}(\eta(l))}^{l} \frac{\Theta(\rho)\left(\rho^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha}}{r(\rho)} \mathrm{d} \rho>\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{0}}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}\right) \frac{((n-1)!)^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{e}}
$$

where $\Theta(l)=\min \left\{q\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right), q\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right.$; then, every solution of (4) is oscillatory or tends to zero as $l \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1 ([18,22]). Assume that $u_{1}, u_{2} \in[0, \infty)$. Then,

$$
\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)^{\alpha} \leq \mu\left(u_{1}^{\alpha}+u_{2}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mu= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } 0<\alpha \leq 1 \\ 2^{\alpha-1} & \text { for } \alpha>1\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2 ([23]). Let $u \in C^{n}\left(\left[l_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$. Assume that $u^{(n)}(l)$ is of fixed sign and not identically zero on $\left[l_{0}, \infty\right)$ and that there exists a $l_{1} \geq l_{0}$ such that $u^{(n-1)}(l) u^{(n)}(l) \leq 0$ for all $l \geq l_{1}$. If $\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} u(l) \neq 0$, then, for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$, there exists $l_{\mu} \geq l_{1}$ such that

$$
u(l) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} l^{n-1}\left|u^{(n-1)}(l)\right| \text { for } l \geq l_{\mu}
$$

## 2. Main Results

For the sake of convenience, we use the following notation:

$$
R_{0}(\varsigma, \varrho)=\int_{\varrho}^{\zeta} r^{-1 / \alpha}(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho, R_{k}(\varsigma, \varrho)=\int_{\varrho}^{\zeta} R_{k-1}(\varsigma, \rho) \mathrm{d} \rho, k=1,2, \ldots, n-2
$$

and

$$
Q(l)=\min \{q(l), q(\theta(l))\}, Q_{1}(l)=\min \left\{q\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right), q\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right\}
$$

The following lemma is a direct conclusion from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 in [18], so its proof was neglected.

Lemma 3. Assume that $u$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a sufficiently large $l_{1} \geq l_{0}$ such that, for all $l \geq l_{1}$, either

$$
\text { Case }(1): z(l)>0, z^{\prime}(l)>0, z^{(n-1)}(l)>0, \quad\left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}<0
$$

or

$$
\text { Case }(2):(-1)^{k} z^{(k)}(l)>0, \text { for } k=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Now, in the following theorem, we will provide a new criterion for non-existence of N-Kneser solutions of (1) by using the comparison theorem.

Theorem 3. Assume (I) and (II) holds. If there exists a function $\zeta(l) \in C\left(\left[l_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying $\eta(l)<\zeta(l)$ and $\theta^{-1}(\zeta(l))<l$, such that the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(l)+\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\zeta(l), \eta(l)) Q(l) G\left(\theta^{-1}(\zeta(l))\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then $\Re$ is an empty set.
Proof. Let $u$ be a N-Kneser solution of (1), say $u(l)>0$ and $u(\eta(l))>0$ for $l \geq l_{1} \geq l_{0}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k} z^{(k)}(l)>0, \text { for } k=0,1,2, \ldots, n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq \frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta^{\prime}(l)}\left(r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+p_{0}^{\alpha} q(\theta(l)) u^{\alpha}(\eta(\theta(l))) \\
& \geq \frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\left(r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+p_{0}^{\alpha} q(\theta(l)) u^{\alpha}(\eta(\theta(l))) \\
& =\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\left(r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+p_{0}^{\alpha} q(\theta(l)) u^{\alpha}(\theta(\eta(l))) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (1) and (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq\left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\left(r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(l) u^{\alpha}(\eta(l)) \\
& +p_{0}^{\alpha} q(\theta(l)) u^{\alpha}(\theta(\eta(l))) \\
& \geq\left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\left(r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}  \tag{8}\\
& +Q(l)\left(u^{\alpha}(\eta(l))+p_{0}^{\alpha} u^{\alpha}(\theta(\eta(l)))\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From definition of $z$ and using (I), we have

$$
z(\eta(l))=u(\eta(l))+p(\eta(l)) u(\theta(\eta(l))) \leq u(\eta(l))+p_{0} u(\theta(\eta(l))) .
$$

By using the latter inequality in (8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\left(r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +Q(l)\left(u(\eta(l))+p_{0} u(\theta(\eta(l)))\right)^{\alpha} \\
\geq & \left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\left(r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\mu} Q(l) z^{\alpha}(\eta(l))
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq\left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\mu} Q(l) z^{\alpha}(\eta(l)) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from the monotonicity of $r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
-z^{(n-2)}(\varrho) & \geq z^{(n-2)}(\varsigma)-z^{(n-2)}(\varrho)=\int_{\varrho}^{\varsigma} \frac{r^{1 / \alpha}(\rho) z^{(n-1)}(\rho)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(\rho)} \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\varsigma) z^{(n-1)}(\varsigma) R_{0}(\varsigma, \varrho) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (10) from $\varrho$ to $\varsigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{(n-3)}(\varrho) \leq z^{(n-3)}(\varsigma)-z^{(n-3)}(\varrho)=r^{1 / \alpha}(\varsigma) z^{(n-1)}(\varsigma) R_{1}(\varsigma, \varrho) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (11) $n-3$ times from $\varrho$ to $\varsigma$ and using (6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(\varrho) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\varsigma) z^{(n-1)}(\varsigma) R_{n-2}(\varsigma, \varrho) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
z(\eta(l)) \geq r^{1 / \alpha}(\zeta(l)) z^{(n-1)}(\zeta(l)) R_{n-2}(\zeta(l), \eta(l)),
$$

which, by virtue of (9), yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \left(r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{\mu} Q(l) r(\zeta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\zeta(l)) R_{n-2}(\zeta(l), \eta(l))\right)^{\alpha} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, set

$$
G(l)=r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}>0 .
$$

From (I) and the fact that $r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)$ is non-increasing, we have

$$
G(l) \leq r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\right)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\zeta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\zeta(l))\right)^{\alpha} \geq \frac{\theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} G\left(\theta^{-1}(\zeta(l))\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14) in (13), we see that $G$ is a positive solution of the differential inequality

$$
G^{\prime}(l)+\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}} R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\zeta(l), \eta(l)) Q(l) G\left(\theta^{-1}(\zeta(l))\right) \leq 0 .
$$

In view of [24], Theorem 1, we have that (5) also has a positive solution, a contradiction. Thus, the proof is complete.

In the following theorem, we establish a hille and nehari type condition that confirms the non-existence of N -Kneser solutions of (1).

Theorem 4. Assume (I) and (II) hold. If there exists a function $\delta(l) \in C\left(\left[l_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying $\delta(l)<l$ and $\eta(l)<\theta(\delta(l))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(\delta(l)), \eta(l))}{r(\theta(\delta(l)))} \int_{\delta(l)}^{l} Q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho>\frac{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Re$ is an empty set.
Proof. By using the same method in proof of Theorem 3, we obtain (9). Integrating (9) from $\delta(l)$ to $l$ and using the fact that $z$ is decreasing, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(\delta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\delta(l))\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} r(\theta(\delta(l)))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(\delta(l)))\right)^{\alpha} \\
\geq & r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\mu} z^{\alpha}(\eta(l)) \int_{\delta(l)}^{l} Q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho \\
\geq & \frac{1}{\mu} z^{\alpha}(\eta(l)) \int_{\delta(l)}^{l} Q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\theta(\delta(l))<\theta(l)$ and $r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)$ is non-increasing, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\theta(\delta(l)))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(\delta(l)))\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\mu} z^{\alpha}(\eta(l)) \int_{\delta(l)}^{l} Q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (12) with $\varsigma=\theta(\delta(l))$ and $\varrho=\eta(l)$ in (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(\theta(\delta(l)))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(\delta(l)))\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{\mu}\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(\delta(l)))\right)^{\alpha} R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(\delta(l)), \eta(l)) \int_{\delta(l)}^{l} Q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\frac{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} \geq \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(\delta(l)), \eta(l))}{r(\theta(\delta(l)))} \int_{\delta(l)}^{l} Q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho .
$$

Now, we take the lim sup of both sides of the previous inequality, and we obtain a contradiction to (15). The proof is complete.

In the following theorem, we will provide another criterion for the non-existence of N -Kneser solutions of (1) using the comparison theorem.

Theorem 5. Assume (I), (II), and $\eta(\theta(l))<l$ hold. If the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(l)+Q_{1}(l) R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(l), l)\left(\frac{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}\right) \Psi(\eta(l))=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then $\Re$ is an empty set.
Proof. Let $u$ be a N-Kneser solution of (1), say $u(l)>0, u(\theta(l))>0$ and $u(\eta(l))>0$ for $l \geq l_{1} \geq l_{0}$. This implies that

$$
(-1)^{k} z^{(k)}(l)>0, \text { for } k=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

By using (1) and (I), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq \frac{1}{\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)^{\prime}}\left(r\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right) u^{\alpha}(l) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\eta_{0}}\left(r\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right) u^{\alpha}(l)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)^{\prime}}\left(r\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +p_{0}^{\alpha} q\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right) u^{\alpha}(\theta(l)) \\
\geq & \frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}\left(r\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +p_{0}^{\alpha} q\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right) u^{\alpha}(\theta(l)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above inequalities yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \frac{1}{\eta_{0}}\left(r\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}\left(r\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +q\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right) u^{\alpha}(l)+p_{0}^{\alpha} q\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right) u^{\alpha}(\theta(l)),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} r\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}} r\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +Q_{1}(l) z^{\alpha}(l) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(l)=\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} r\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}} r\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\alpha} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (II) and the fact that $r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)$ is non-increasing, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(l) \leq \frac{r\left(\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0}}\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (12) with $\varsigma=\theta(l)$ and $\varrho=l$ and (20), we have

$$
z^{\alpha}(l) \geq r(\theta(l))\left(z^{(n-1)}(\theta(l))\right)^{\alpha} R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(l), l) \geq \Psi(\eta(l)) R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(l), l)\left(\frac{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}\right) .
$$

From definition $\Psi$ and using the above inequality in (18), we get

$$
0 \geq \Psi^{\prime}(l)+Q_{1}(l) R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(l), l)\left(\frac{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}\right) \Psi(\eta(l)) .
$$

In view of [24], Theorem 1, we have that (17) also has a positive solution, a contradiction. Thus, the proof is complete.

## 3. New Oscillation Criteria

In the following lemma, we present criteria that ensure that non-existence of solutions satisfies case (1).

Lemma 4. Assume that $u$ be an eventually positive solution of (1) and the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(l)+\frac{Q(l)}{\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\right)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{0}}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(\eta(l))}(\eta(l))^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \Phi\left(\eta\left(\theta^{-1}(l)\right)\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(l)+\frac{Q_{1}(l)}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{0}}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}\right)}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(l)} l^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \phi\left(\theta^{-1}(\eta(l))\right)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then $z$ does not satisfy the following case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(l)>0, z^{\prime}(l)>0, z^{(n-1)}(l)>0 \text { and } z^{(n)}(l) \leq 0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $u$ is an eventually positive solution of (1) and $z$ satisfies (23). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain (9). By using Lemma 2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(l) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(l)} l^{n-1} r^{1 / \alpha}(l) z^{(n-1)}(l) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by setting $w(l)=r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}$ in (9) and utilizing (24), we see that $w$ is a positive solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w(l)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} w(\theta(l))\right)^{\prime}+Q(l)\left(\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(\eta(l))}(\eta(l))^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} w(\eta(l))=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(l)=r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}$ is non-increasing and it satisfies (25), let us denote

$$
\Phi(l)=w(l)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} w(\theta(l))
$$

It follows from $\theta(l)<l$

$$
\Phi(l) \leq w(\theta(l))\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\right)
$$

Substituting these terms into (25), we get that $\Phi$ is a positive solution of

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(l)+\frac{Q(l)}{\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}}\right)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(\eta(l))}(\eta(l))^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \Phi\left(\eta\left(\theta^{-1}(l)\right)\right) \leq 0
$$

In view of [24], Theorem 1, we have that (21) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction (21).

Now, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5, we obtain (18). In the same style as the first part, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} r\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)\right)^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}} r\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\left(z^{(n-1)}\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +Q_{1}(l) z^{\alpha}(l)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Lemma 2, we get

$$
z(l) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(l)} l^{n-1} r^{1 / \alpha}(l) z^{(n-1)}(l)
$$

Therefore, by setting $U(l)=r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}$ in (18) and utilizing (24), we see that $U$ is a positive solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} U\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}} U\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\right)^{\prime}+Q_{1}(l)\left(\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(l)} l^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} U(l)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U(l)=r(l)\left(z^{(n-1)}(l)\right)^{\alpha}$ is non-increasing and it satisfies (26), let us denote

$$
\phi(l)=\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} U\left(\eta^{-1}(l)\right)+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}} U\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)
$$

It follows from $\theta(l)<l$

$$
\phi(l) \leq U\left(\eta^{-1}(\theta(l))\right)\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{0}}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}\right)
$$

Substituting these terms into (26), we get that $\phi$ is a positive solution of

$$
\phi^{\prime}(l)+\frac{Q_{1}(l)}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{0}}+\frac{p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0}}\right)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(l)} l^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \phi\left(\theta^{-1}(\eta(l))\right) \leq 0 .
$$

In view of [24], Theorem 1, we have that (22) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction (22). Thus, the proof is complete.

The following theorems give the criteria for oscillation for all solutions of Equation (1).
Theorem 6. If (5) and (21) are oscillatory, then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume on the contrary that $u$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, from Lemma 3, we conclude that there are two possible cases for the behavior of $z$ and its derivatives. By using Theorem 3 and Lemma 4, conditions (5) and (21) ensure that there are no solutions for Equation (1) satisfy case (1) and case (2) respectively. Thus, the proof is complete.

Theorem 7. If (17) and (21) are oscillatory, then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume on the contrary that $u$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, from Lemma 3, we conclude that there are two possible cases for the behavior of $z$ and its derivatives. By using Theorem 5 and Lemma 4, conditions (17) and (21) ensure that there are no solutions for Equation (1) satisfying case (1) and case (2), respectively. Thus, the proof is complete.

The following corollaries provided criteria for the oscillation of the first-order equations that were used in the comparison.

Corollary 1. If there exists a function $\zeta(l) \in C\left(\left[l_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ satisfying $\eta(l)<\zeta(l)$ and $\theta^{-1}(\zeta(l))<l$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\theta^{-1}(\zeta(l))}^{l} R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\zeta(\rho), \eta(\rho)) \frac{Q(\rho)}{\mu} \mathrm{d} \rho \geq \frac{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0} \mathrm{e}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\eta\left(\theta^{-1}(l)\right)}^{l} Q(l)\left(\frac{\lambda_{0}}{(n-1)!r^{1 / \alpha}(\eta(l))}(\eta(l))^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \rho \geq \frac{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0} \mathrm{e}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, then (1) is oscillatory.
Corollary 2. Let $\delta(l)=\theta(l)$ in Theorem 4. If $\eta(l)<\theta(\theta(l))$, such that (28) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(\theta(l)), \eta(l))}{r(\theta(\theta(l)))} \int_{\theta(l)}^{l} Q(\rho) \mathrm{d} \rho>\frac{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\theta_{0}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, then (1) is oscillatory.
Corollary 3. If $\eta(\theta(l))<l$, such that (28) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\eta(\theta(l))}^{l} Q_{1}(\rho) R_{n-2}^{\alpha}(\theta(\rho), \rho)>\frac{\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0} \mathrm{e}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold, then (1) is oscillatory.

Example 1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left((u(l)+p u(\delta l))^{(n-1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{l^{\alpha(n-1)+1}} u^{\alpha}(\lambda l)=0, l \geq 1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (31), we have $r(l)=1, p(l)=p, \theta(l)=\delta l, \eta(l)=\lambda l$ and $q(l)=q_{0} / l^{\alpha(n-1)+1}$. Using some mathematical operations. By using Corollary 1, we find that (31) is oscillatory if

$$
q_{0} \ln \left(\frac{2 \delta}{\delta+\lambda}\right)>\frac{\mu\left(\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{\theta_{0} \mathrm{e}}\left((n-1)!\left(\frac{2}{\delta-\lambda}\right)^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
q_{0} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\delta}\right)>\frac{\left(\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{\theta_{0} \mathrm{e}} \frac{((n-1)!)^{\alpha}}{\lambda_{0}^{\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha(n-1)}}
$$

By using Corollary 3, we find that (31) is oscillatory if

$$
q_{0} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\delta}\right)>\frac{\left(\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{\theta_{0} \mathrm{e}} \frac{((n-1)!)^{\alpha}}{\lambda_{0}^{\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha(n-1)}}
$$

and

$$
q_{0} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta \lambda}\right)>\frac{\left(\theta_{0}+p_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{\eta_{0} \theta_{0} \mathrm{e}} \frac{((n-1)!)^{\alpha}}{\lambda^{\alpha(n-1)+1}(\delta-1)^{\alpha(n-1)}} .
$$

## 4. Conclusions

This article is concerned with oscillatory properties of solutions for the odd-order neutral equation. Many works have studied the oscillatory properties of solutions of an odd-order equation; see $[17,18]$. However, in these works, we find sufficient conditions to ensure that every non-oscillatory solution tends to zero, that is, conditions that guarantee that all solutions are oscillatory or tend to zero. Unusually, in this paper, we presented new criteria ensuring that all solutions of (1) are oscillatory, which in turn is an improvement and extension of the results in [17,18]. For this purpose, we used the comparison technique with first-order equations. For ease of application in the examples, Corollaries 1-3 provided criteria for the oscillation of the first-order equations that were used in the comparison.
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