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Abstract: The objective of the study was to examine the perceptions of business students on their employability skills at the point of graduation. The study was partially driven by past research which identified lack of soft skills as one of the main contributing factors in younger workers’ dismissal from work, and the need to understand the level of employability skills younger graduates have at graduation. An exploratory descriptive research methodology was used for the study. A survey was administered to 189 fourth-year business students. In total, 90 students filled-in the questionnaire, representing a 47.6% response rate. Based on a structured survey questionnaire administered to final-year undergraduate business students, the four most important employability skills for recruitment to entry-level positions are communication skills, learning skills, positive attitudes and behaviours, and problem-solving skills. The main prominent result was that ‘learning skills’ were ranked the second most important employability skills. In today’s fast-paced, rapidly changing work environments that are characterised by rapid knowledge obsolescence and an unknown future, willingness to learn and proactive lifelong learning are key to sustaining long-term graduate employability. Students seem to be satisfied with their perceived level of academic, personal management, and teamwork skills they possessed at the point of graduation. However, there are possible areas for further improvement regarding creativity and innovative skills, and ability to ‘resolve and management conflicts’ in teamwork. The study found that students used a combination of traditional and student-centred learning methods and pedagogies to acquire employability skills. As business students approach graduation, it is important to focus on areas they can improve and emphasise self-directed lifelong learning throughout their careers. The study confirms the role of external factors—labour market demand—in influencing perceived employability. The perceptions of students need to be systematically included in HEIs’ employability policy and discourse.
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1. Introduction

Rapid technological change, digitisation, and globalisation are changing the structure of today’s workplace. Technologies that did not exist barely a decade ago are increasingly changing the nature of jobs, work practices, and skills requirement today (PwC 2018; WEF 2016; Pennington and Stanford 2019; World Bank 2019). Technologies such as artificial intelligence, robotics, 3D printing, big data, the Internet of things, machine learning, drone technologies, nanotechnology, renewable energy technologies, and biotechnology are increasingly becoming mainstream in the workplace. In the process, these technologies are destroying old jobs as well as creating new ones (OECD 2018; World Bank 2019). New technologies have also changed the skill composition required to perform the remaining jobs, often moving them towards more skill-intensity (T. A. Campbell 2018; De Vos et al. 2021). Consequently, the “lifecycle of competencies needed for successful performance in the job is shortening rapidly” (De Vos et al. 2021, p. 11). Rapid technological change and equally rapid knowledge obsolescence have meant that workers must continuously reskill and upskill to retain their employability (Deloitte 2020). In addition, the global trends
towards massification of higher education have meant that more and more graduates are competing for fewer jobs, reducing the currency of a first degree, as well as increasing the competition in graduate labour markets (Pinto and He 2019; Tomlinson 2008; Moore and Morton 2017). Increasingly, new graduates are entering graduate labour markets that are very competitive, congested, dynamic, precarious, turbulent, and unpredictable (Lock and Kelly 2020; Watzlawik and Kullasepp 2016; Tomlinson 2017b). In addition, more and more new graduates are entering non-graduate and recently graduated jobs (i.e., clerical and administrative jobs in banks (tellers), customer services, marketing, etc.), which are linked to wide-spread underemployment and problematic long-term career development trajectories (Osseiran 2020; Erdsiek 2017).

The phenomenon of graduate underemployment and unemployment has become a policy issue in many developing and developed economies (Calvo and Garcia 2021; Mgaiwa 2021; Suleman 2018; Succi and Canovi 2020; Romgens et al. 2020). One supply side approach to tackling graduate underemployment and unemployment has been a focus on strengthening graduate employability. Consequently, employability has increasingly been adopted by some universities as a credible fourth mission, in addition to teaching, research, and community service (M. Campbell et al. 2019; Pereira et al. 2020; Bennett 2018). Graduate employability broadly comprises of knowledge, skills, behaviours, and attributes that enables a graduate to get a job, stay on a job, do well on a job, find another job if necessary, and progress in their chosen career (Mainga et al. 2022; Wickramasinghe and Perera 2010; Finch et al. 2013; Behle 2020; Romgens et al. 2020; Van Harten et al. 2022). In this study, terms such as generic skills, soft skills, 21st century skills, and employability skills are used interchangeably. There is now a growing realisation that having a degree with good grades and technical knowledge (discipline-specific knowledge) is not enough for new graduates to succeed in today’s dynamic labour markets (Pinto and He 2019; Dolce et al. 2020; Tomlinson 2008; Scott and Willison 2021; McArthur et al. 2017). For example, a graduate who has excellent academic grades but has poor interpersonal, teamwork, critical thinking, and communication skills is unlikely to be successful in their first graduate-level job. Graduates need to develop additional soft/generic/employability skills needed to stand out and navigate inevitable challenges associated with transiting from school into the world of work, and to progress in their chosen careers (Succi and Canovi 2020; Suleman 2018; Okolie et al. 2020; Scott and Willison 2021).

Graduate employability is seen as a complex, dynamic, and multidimensional construct that includes both subjective and objective elements (Jackson 2013; De Vos et al. 2021; Finch et al. 2013; Romgens et al. 2020; Suleman 2018). Developing graduate employability is a multipronged endeavour involving multiple stakeholders, such as students, higher education institutions (HEIs), academics, career services, employers, employers’ associations, government agencies, NGOs, parents, etc. (Clarke 2018; Sin and Amaral 2017; Presti and Pluviano 2016). Despite this diffused responsibility, however, the literature generally seems to assign responsibility for employability to the individual student in the first instance, and higher education institutions (HEIs) in the second instance (Sin and Neave 2016; Tomlinson 2012; Cheng et al. 2021). Hence, our exploratory research focuses on the views of students.

The views of students on their employability are crucial, as they are the main stakeholders who are directly impacted by their higher education learning experiences (Tymon 2013). There is now significant research which suggest that students often have a blurred understanding of what graduate employability is, what skills are needed by employers for entry-level positions, and how to enhance their career prospects during their studies at university (Amoroso and Burke 2018; Dolce et al. 2020). Students often fail to link their learning experiences at university to future careers (Lock and Kelly 2020; Scott et al. 2019). Consequently, they may not always avail themselves of university-wide opportunities that may exist to enhance their employability profile while studying at university. Garcia-Aracil et al. (2018) argued for the need to explore students’ perceptions of their preparedness for transition to the world of work. Ergun and Sesen (2021) took note of the fact that there has been limited empirical research on students’ perception of their employabil-
ity. Andrewartha and Harvey (2017) observed that students’ views and perceptions have largely been ignored in the employability arena. Academic researchers have not pursued research on students’ views with the same vigour as they have done on employers’ (Higdon 2016; Tymon 2013).

There has been very little research done on graduate employability in the Caribbean. Though discussed widely in many public forums, there has been no systematic study of graduate employability. This exploratory study was undertaken to fill this gap. This is the first step on future planned regionwide study on graduate employability.

2. Significance of the Study

There are several reasons why a study on ‘employability’ of university graduating students is important. First, there has been relatively little systematic research on graduate employability in the Caribbean. Past studies have shown that while the Bahamas has higher-than-the-world average attainment at secondary school education level (i.e., partly due to free education), some indicators of quality education have lagged (IMF 2018). For example, the average grade for high school graduates was a ‘D’ in 2016, with only about 5.7% getting a ‘C’ or above in Maths, English, and a Science subject (IMF 2018). ORG Bahamas (n.d.) has also observed issues of education quality in the pre-tertiary education sector. Examining employability skills would reveal how pre-tertiary educational deficiencies are addressed at the university level. Employability skills are central to having flexible, adaptive, innovative, and productive workers. Workers with such skills and competencies are key to enabling efficient development of internationally competitive firms that are crucial to economic growth, especially within knowledge-based economies.

Second, in some research, Bahamian enterprises have indicated that ‘inadequate educated workforce and deficiencies in required skills’ was one of the major problems they faced with recruiting new hires (IMF 2018; Fazio and Pinder 2014). Moreover, lack of ‘soft skills’ was a major cause of dismissal and turnover among young employees (Fazio and Pinder 2014; Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) 2016). The problem of skills gap, especially soft skills, was also emphasised in a recent study on employers’ skill needs (Robertson 2021). Third, if new Caribbean-based graduates decide to seek employment in other countries (i.e., US, Canada, UK, Australia, etc.), they will need to stand out and have positional advantage in very competitive congested global labour markets. Fourth, research on graduate employability is important for ‘regular’ updating of degree university programs, to ensure that knowledge and skills embedded in academic courses offered to students are in congruence with skills requirements of current and future employers. As the environment within which companies operate change over time, so will their skill requirements. Fifth, some have argued that the discourse on graduate employability needs to be embedded within the context of the characteristics of the local labour market (Hossain et al. 2020; Uddin 2021). Employability studies should, therefore, consider the contextual environment of the local labour market. Fakunle and Higson (2021) have highlighted the importance of studies on employability in “non-Western contexts, an area that remains under-researched” (p. 8). Lam and Tang (2021) observed that most of the published work on graduate employment outcomes “do not tell us much about the contextualised processes that ‘match’ or ‘mismatch’ graduates’ qualifications with ‘appropriate’ graduate jobs” (p. 14). Winterton and Turner (2019) makes a case for local research on graduate employability that “develop solutions that fit specific cultural, economic and institutional contexts” (p. 536).

Our study attempted to capture the perceptions of graduating business students. The overall objective of the research was to examine business students’ perception about their employability skills as they approach graduation. Relative to the views of employers, the perceptions of students on graduate employability are less understood (Tymon 2013; Higdon 2016). However, students’ perceptions are important, as individuals’ choice of action are often influenced by their perceptions irrespective of objective realities (Soares
The study contributes to literature by providing insight on graduating students’ perception on work-readiness in the Caribbean context.

3. Research Questions

To achieve the above stated research objective, four research questions were developed for the study. The four research questions are:

1. Which employability skills do graduating students perceive as most important when employers are recruiting fresh business graduates for entry-level positions?
2. Which academic, personal management, and teamwork skills are perceived by graduating students as fully developed in new business graduates?
3. Which learning methods do students find most helpful in facilitating the acquisition of relevant knowledge and employability skills by business graduates?
4. Which factors affect perceived employability of new business graduates?

4. Literature Review

4.1. Definition

There is no global consensus on the definition of graduate employability (Clarke 2018; Chhinzer and Russo 2018; Kornelakis and Petrakaki 2020; Romgens et al. 2020). This is partly because the topic has attracted researchers from different disciplines, such as management, human resources management, accounting, career studies, vocational and organisational psychology. Definitions of graduate employability has kept evolving in the literature (Scott and Willison 2021; Romgens et al. 2020). For this study, an augmented definition is used. Graduate employability is defined as: A set of knowledge, skills, abilities, behaviours, and attributes that make graduates more likely to get initial employment and be successful in their chosen careers, ultimately empowering them as critical and reflective life-long learners, who are flexible and adaptive throughout their career span, to the benefits of themselves, their employers, the community, and the wider economy (CBI 2011; Knight and Yorke 2003; Harvey 2001; Bridgstock 2009; Weligamage 2009). In the context of globalisation, rapid technological change, and digitisation, the emphasis is on lifelong learning, being critical, reflective, flexible, and adaptive throughout one’s career span. Employability is much more than academic knowledge (discipline-specific knowledge); it includes possession of skills, abilities, and behavioural attributes that are congruent with the targeted workplace or profession. There is an understanding that even when entry-level graduates have acceptable levels of technical skills specific to their discipline, they may still fail to perform on the job due to lack of soft or transferable skills (Osmani et al. 2017; Atfield and Purcell 2010; Robinson and Garton 2008). Employability skills such as problem-solving, effective communication, critical thinking, interpersonal skills, and ability to work in a team are very important for entry-level graduates to be effective and efficient on their first job (Finch et al. 2013; Lim et al. 2016).

The traditional career where one joined one company and rose through the hierarchy to the top of the organisation, no longer exist for many graduates (Amoroso and Burke 2018; Bridgstock 2009; De Vos et al. 2021). Traditional careers that used to offer job security in exchange for loyalty to the employer are declining, being replaced with ‘employability security’. A large portion of today’s work is done in ‘projects’, which has a contracted start and end dates (Watzlawik and Kullasepp 2016). In addition, new employment arrangements have developed, such as the gig economy, portfolio careers, and virtual and contingent work, which require frequent and radical career transitions (Presti et al. 2019). Today’s graduate career trajectories are likely to involve relatively more frequent switching between jobs, employers, and even sectors (Mainga et al. 2022; Sullivan and Ariss 2021). Employability/generic/soft skills enhance career mobility as they are applicable across jobs, professions, sectors, and contexts (Chamorro-Premuzic et al. 2010; Osmani et al. 2019; Sullivan and Ariss 2021). One study suggested that today’s graduates will experience as much as 17 job transitions/changes during their career span (ABDC 2017). Career adaptability and ability for lifelong learning is critical in such a dynamic setting. The ability
to continuously acquire new skills as one transit across different job roles becomes more important than ‘skills’ possessed at any one particular point in one’s career span (Tomlinson 2012; Bridgstock 2009; Finch et al. 2016). Due to rapid knowledge obsolescence, the life span of any competences possessed at any one time has declined. As technologies change and companies restructure their processes, job structures and skills requirements will inevitably change over time; hence, the importance of continually enhancing one’s employability throughout a career span. In much of the contemporary literature, employability skills are seen to be complementary to discipline-specific knowledge and skills (Pang et al. 2019; Cheng et al. 2021). This study does not explicitly focus on discipline-specific knowledge and skills.

4.2. Employability Skills

The traditional conceptualisation of graduate employability has often focused on acquiring knowledge, skills, attributes, and behaviours that are valued by employers. The literature has identified a list of employability skills expected by employers to be possessed by new graduates. The most common employability skills identified as important to employers include the following: flexibility, critical thinking, time management, willingness to learn (learning skills), oral and written communication skills, problem solving, interpersonal skills, teamwork skills, numeracy, creativity, leadership skills, conflict management, ethical awareness, self-confidence, positive attitudes and behaviours, work experience, enthusiasm and motivation, self-management, working under pressure, independent working, organisation and planning, initiative, ICT literacy, perseverance, and others (Mainga et al. 2022; Chhinzer and Russo 2018; Osmani et al. 2019). Later conceptualization included the importance of psychological, cultural, and social capital (Behle 2020; Tomlinson 2017a; Romgens et al. 2020). Views have shifted from obtaining satisfying work to the adaptive capacity an individual need for obtaining and retaining work during an environment of fast-moving changes (De Vos et al. 2021; Presti et al. 2019). The later conceptualisation includes the need to strengthen self-awareness, self-efficacy, proactivity, career building skills, self-directedness, resilience, adaptability, agility, reflectivity, persistence/grit, social and cultural awareness, emotional intelligence, lifelong learning, growth mindset, and understanding of the labour market, as some of the bedrocks for a sustainable career in precarious, continuously evolving, and turbulent labour markets of the 21st century (Rowe 2019; Van Harten et al. 2022; Masole and van Dyk 2016; Lock and Kelly 2020). What is clear is that employability skills are highly sort after by most employers when recruiting entry-level graduates (Robinson and Garton 2008; Lim et al. 2016; Succi and Canovi 2020). It is important for students to know which of the above listed skills and attributes are prioritised by employers.

Acceleration in digital transformation of work processes is demanding the development of new additional soft skills and competences among new graduates. New competences, such as complex-problem solving skills, interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary skills, ability to handle and analyse large data, IT-enabled collaboration of virtual teams, systems thinking, reasoning and ideation, articulation ability, curiosity/imagination, and continuous self-directed active learning, will need to be developed among graduates. Such skills will enable future graduates tackle and solve ill-structured, complex, and interconnected global challenges, such as fighting poverty, climate change, food security, biodiversity, and water scarcity (World Bank 2019; WEF 2020; Sousa and Wilks 2018; Deloitte 2020).

4.3. Empirical Studies

Most studies have found that there is often a discrepancy between the skills, knowledge, behaviours, and attributes university students possessed at graduation, and those that employers were looking for (Ayoubi et al. 2017; Jackson 2012; Osmani et al. 2019; Hossain et al. 2020; Moore and Morton 2017). Several employers across the globe have expressed dissatisfaction with fresh graduates’ skillsets relative to industry requirements. The
mismatch between the knowledge graduates acquire at university and skills required by the labour market has been found in studies on the USA, UK, Canada, Australia, Malaysia, Germany and Italy, South Africa, Ghana, Nigeria, Sri Lanka, Portugal, Vietnam, India, China, Bangladesh, and others (Mainga et al. 2022, p. 29). Some studies have found that most employers view fresh university graduates as not ‘work-ready’ (Osmani et al. 2019; Moore and Morton 2017; Griffiths et al. 2018; Konig and Ribaric 2019). Consequently, universities around the world are under pressure to produce more employable graduates.

Lack of relevant skills among new graduates has partly contributed to graduate unemployment and underemployment in both developed and developing economies (Koys et al. 2019; Mgaiba 2021; McArthur et al. 2017; Minocha et al. 2018). One study on 28 low-income Asian nations found that only about 13% of university graduates were considered to have the requisite skills and competencies that are required for their jobs (P. Brown et al. 2011; Verma et al. 2018). A survey of employment outcome of over 40,000 accounting and finance graduates in Australia found that only about 55% were in graduate-level jobs, with many graduates in short-term, casual, or part-time work (Jackson 2018; Bennett et al. 2020). In 2015, about 30% of new business graduates in Australia were still unemployed four months after their graduation (Graduate Careers Australia 2015, as in, McArthur et al. 2017). Other Australian studies have found that there has been a decline in full-time employment outcome and a rise in unemployment among business graduates in Australia (Jackson and Edgar 2019; McArthur et al. 2017). In Europe, unemployment among college graduates stood at 50.8% in North Macedonia, 44.7% in Greece, 43.5% in Italy, and 24.6% in Spain (Calvo and Garcia 2021).

4.4. Students’ Responsibility

Despite the general acceptance of the importance of employability skills for new graduates’ long-term careers, a significant number of undergraduate students do not put effort or utilise all the available opportunities to develop employability skills while at university (Scott et al. 2019; MacDermott and Ortiz 2017; Tymon 2013; Amoroso and Burke 2018; Jackson and Edgar 2019). Some students do not even realise the importance of soft/employability skills (MacDermott and Ortiz 2017). In some cases, students are not aware of the skills required by the labour market (Dolce et al. 2020; Lockett and Feng 2019; Amoroso and Burke 2018). As a result, students tend to both inflate and underestimate their employability skills (Gawrycka et al. 2020; Wallis 2021). A study on Vietnam found that employers were not satisfied with graduates’ employability assets during recruitment, and graduating students had poor understanding of the real needs of the labour market (T. T. Tran 2017). For some students, they assume that discipline-specific knowledge equates to skills demanded by employers (Cavanagh et al. 2015; Kovesi and Kalman 2020; Abbas and Sagsan 2020). A study on Chinese students found that college students focus on discipline knowledge, high grades, and prestigious universities at the expense of soft skills and career
planning (Lockett and Feng 2019). To enhance employability, it has been argued that HEIs in China should instil flexibility, adaptability, and critical and reflective thinking among graduates (Abbas and Saglan 2020). A study in the Czech Republic found that university studies were theory-based and detached from practice (Gawrycka et al. 2020). Lock and Kelly (2020) observed that some Australian students often have limited understanding of how different courses they undertake at university are linked to their chosen careers. Many students have a “poor understanding of the education-employment pathways on which they have embarked” (Lock and Kelly 2020, p. 22). A study done at the University of Leeds (UK) found that students who were not enrolled in any employability enhancing programs were the most uncertain about their future careers and had the least confidence in presenting their skills to employers (Divan and McBuney 2016). Moreover, in some cases, students are not able to articulate the skills they have developed during university studies to recruiters (Mello et al. 2021; Jackson and Edgar 2019). Other factors might also affect students’ employability, including average age of cohorts, low motivation to schoolwork, family background, etc. For example, mature students with some work experiences are likely to be more focused and driven in developing missing employability skills than those who are joining the university straight from high school. Millennials and Generation Z have different views about work and relevancy of different skills than their parents.

University students have a role to play in enhancing their employability. First, they must develop (or be helped to develop) an acute awareness of the importance of employability skills to their future employment prospects (Amoroso and Burke 2018; Lockett and Feng 2019). Second, students must proactively take opportunities afforded to them to acquire employability skills through several channels, such as part-time work, summer internships, industrial tours, campus debates, and effective use of services offered through university career offices, career fairs, etc. (Kornelakis and Petrakaki 2020). Third, they should develop knowledge of what employment opportunities exist in the labour market, and what the entry-level skill requirements are (Lockett and Feng 2019; Pouratashi 2019). Students’ awareness of the skills valued by employers is essential, if they are to derive the maximum benefits from curricular strategies and take proactive efforts in acquiring any missing skills (Garcia-Aracil et al. 2018). Most employers look for graduates who are ‘work-ready’ (Amoroso and Burke 2018). That is, graduates who have a balance between good grades (academic knowledge) and competencies in a wide range of soft skills. Employers are sometimes happy with the technical/discipline-specific knowledge and skills, but not with the soft skills of new graduates (Pang et al. 2019).

4.5. Business Schools, Teaching and Learning Methods

Past literature shows that business schools have been failing students in the way they offer their degree programs, as they focus mostly on developing students’ discipline-specific knowledge and skills (McMurray et al. 2016; Hossain et al. 2020; Lim et al. 2016; Ayoubi et al. 2017; Abbasi et al. 2018). Business schools have been criticised for not serving their stakeholders effectively, as they are seen to be detached from actual managerial practices, too focused on content, and are not preparing students with relevant skills needed to address real-world issues/problems (Thomas and Ambrosini 2021; Longmore et al. 2018). Employers are looking for additional complementary employability skills needed to enhance their firms’ flexibility, adaptability, creativity, agility, innovation, and competitiveness. Consequently, graduates who are work-ready (i.e., have high discipline-specific knowledge and well developed in-demand employability skills) will be more competitive in getting initial employment and progressing in their long-term careers. Employability skills can enhance students’ positional advantage in the labour market, especially in the era of massification/over-supply that has devalued the currency of undergraduate degrees (Pinto and He 2019).

Some literature still observes that, though things are changing, traditional teacher-centred methods such as lecturing still dominate the learning landscape in universities and business education (Budanceva et al. 2016; Mohiuddin et al. 2020; Cameron 2017). However,
some teaching and learning methods used in universities and business schools are seen as not adequate at developing long-term skills, behaviours, and attributes required by today’s workplace, such as communication skills, interpersonal skills, teamwork, conflict management, critical thinking, creativity, innovation, self-motivation, self-awareness, self-efficacy, adaptability, proactivity, and lifelong learning (J. Tran 2012; Teng et al. 2019; Virtanen and Tynjala 2019). Traditional teaching and learning often involves lectures, class discussions, memorisation, and regurgitation of theoretical course content during tests and examinations (Uddin 2021; Teng et al. 2019). The focus is on the teacher and what they do (Mesny et al. 2021). In general, the teacher oversees the learning environment, i.e., what is taught and how it is taught. The teacher is the sole source of knowledge, and the focus is on one-way transmission of theoretical and abstract knowledge to the students (Budanceva et al. 2016; Virtanen and Tynjala 2019). Students are largely passive recipients of such knowledge (Teng et al. 2019; Guardia et al. 2021; J. Tran 2012). Their participation in class—if any—is largely determined by the teacher.

Consequently, several educational and employability researchers have argued for the need to complement some of the traditional teaching and learning methods (i.e., lectures, class discussion, tutorials, etc.) with student-centred learning methods and innovative integrative pedagogues. Student-centred learning approaches and innovative integrative pedagogues put the learner at the centre of the learning experience (Avolio et al. 2019). Such approaches encourage action-oriented, experiential, interactive, and collaborative team-based learning (Lorange and Thomas 2016; Farashahi and Tajeddin 2018; Giraud-Carrier et al. 2021). No one teaching method or pedagogical approach is enough to produce the wide range of skills required to prepare students for today’s workplace (Virtanen and Tynjala 2019; Anthony and Garner 2016). Students are encouraged to be active participants in the construction of new knowledge and take ownership of their own learning experience (Longmore et al. 2018; Grossman et al. 2016; Mesny et al. 2021). Learning in real-world conditions in collaboration with others is encouraged. The teacher assumes a more facilitating role and is not the sole source of knowledge. Lack of faculty training in new innovative pedagogies, large classes, and faculty’s lack of time are often cited as having contributed to the continued use of traditional teaching methods in HEIs, especially in developing country environments (Groves et al. 2018). Consequently, in the absence of training in integrative pedagogies, most academics tend to teach the way they themselves were taught (Yoder et al. 2021; Longmore et al. 2018).

4.6. Perceived Employability

Perceived employability has been defined differently by different authors. Most common definitions express it as a psychological concept that capture individuals’ subjective self-assessment and perception of their employment prospects in competitive labour markets, i.e., a belief in the ability to find the right job, retain a job, or obtain a new one if necessary (Van Harten et al. 2022; Vanhercke et al. 2014; Vargas et al. 2018; Calvo and Garcia 2021). Perception is important, as it influences actual behaviour, including self-efficacy, self-esteem, motivation, self-direct job search, perseverance, ambition, locus of control, etc. Following Rothwell et al. (2008), perceived employability is made of four components: (i) the institution attended, i.e., its brand and reputation; (ii) credibility and status of field of study, including demand for individuals with such a qualification; (iii) self-belief, dealing with confidence in the student’s abilities and academic performance; and (iv) the external labour market’s demand for applicant with qualifications in a particular field. Our analysis omitted the first component—institutional reputation and brand.

5. Research Methodology

We adopted an exploratory descriptive research methodology. The triangular design approach was adopted from Rosenberg et al. (2012) and Wickramasinghe and Perera (2010). In our study, we administered questionnaires to final-year business students (as a proxy for graduates). Atfield and Purcell (2010) and Kenayathulla et al. (2019) also used final-year
students’ perceptions as a proxy for graduates. At the time of the survey, most final-year students were a semester away to completing their studies. Issues of first employment would certainly pre-occupy their thoughts at this stage of their degree program.

A questionnaire was developed and put in SurveyMonkey. The questionnaire had seven major sections: demographic data, general employability skills, academic skills, personal management skills, teamwork skills, teaching/learning methods, and perceived employability. The demographic data asked questions about the department in which the student did their studies, what major was followed, gender, whether the student was working or not, whether any of the parents had a degree or not, and the age of the respondent.

The general employability section had a list of important soft skills identified in the literature, including communication skills, problem-solving skills, learning skills, positive attitudes and behaviours, interpersonal skills, teamwork skills, etc. The section on academic skills listed several skills that a students had to rate based on the extent to which they were developed at the point of graduation. The list included skills such as critical and analytical skills, problem-solving using mathematics (numerical skills), ability to apply specialised knowledge from different fields, decision making skills, IT literacy skills, etc. The list of personal management skills included self-confidence, conscientiousness, self-awareness, ability to plan and manage time, accountability, positive attitudes, etc. The teamwork skills section included skills such as the ability to contribute to group problem-solving, plan and make decisions with others and support the outcomes, respect the thoughts and opinions of group members, exercise ‘give and take’ to achieve group results, team building skills, etc. The section on learning methods included lectures, assignments, tests and quizzes, final exam, group case studies, reflective learning portfolio, university career services, etc. Perceived employability included a number of items that needed to be rated between 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), such as “I have achieved high grades in my studies”, “I regard my academic work as high quality”, “I am confident that I will secure graduate-level employment within 6 months after graduation”, etc. The different items in the questionnaires were derived from the various literature reviews, especially from Wickramasinghe and Perera (2010), Bloom and Kitagawa (1999), Finch et al. (2013), and Weligamage (2009). Documentary analysis was done on various secondary sources, such as journal papers, books, and articles found in various internet databases.

Convenient sampling was employed. Once the survey was uploaded on SurveyMonkey, a link was provided to fourth-year students. The link and associated information were given to several lecturers teaching fourth-year courses, so that they could inform their respective classes/students about the employability skills survey and provide them with a link to the survey. Some lecturers also sent group emails to students via Microsoft Teams and Moodle. The survey targeted all fourth-year business students. The first three questions filtered potential respondents, so that they provided informed consent, were 18 years or older, and were business students. The total population targeted was 189 fourth-year business students. In total, 90 students filled in the questionnaire. This represented a response rate of 47.6%, which is respectable for survey designs. The data collection was done during two semesters: Spring 2021 and Summer 2021. In other words, the survey was run between January 2021 to June 2021. Data analysis was done using SPSS (V22).

6. Data Analysis

We start our data analysis with demographic analysis of respondents. The sample data characteristics are shown in Table 1. The data were collected from final-year students in the College of Business, which has three departments: Accounting, Banking, and Finance; Computer Information Systems; and Management and Marketing. The students were studying several different majors: Accounting, Banking, and Finance, Computer Information System, Economics, Economics and Finance, Finance, HRM, Marketing, and Management. In total, 24.4% of respondents were male, while 74.4% were female. Moreover, 60% of respondents were working, while 40% were not. In terms of age, 66.7% were between 20–24 years, 21.1%
were between 25–29 years old, 6.7% were between 30–34 years, 3.3% between 35–39%, and 1 student was above 40 years. One student did not provide their age. Most students in our sample—more than 83%—were either Millennials or Generation Z.

Table 1. Demographic data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting, Banking, and Finance</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Information Systems</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and Marketing</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major in which students are studying</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banking and Finance</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Information System</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics and Finance</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRM</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not employed</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If any parent has a first degree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–39</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Survey data.

We performed some reliability tests for the six variables used in the study: Employability skills, Academic skills, Personal management skills, Teamwork skills, Learning methods, and Perceived employability. The results are shown in Table 2. Since the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients are all above 0.6, all multidimensional variables used in the study presents acceptable internal consistency reliability for an exploratory study in the social sciences (Janssens et al. 2008; Taherdoost 2016; Hair et al. 2012; Straub et al. 2004).
Table 2. Internal consistency reliabilities for scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators/Factors</th>
<th>Cronbach's Alpha</th>
<th>No. of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employability skills</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic skills</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal management skills</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamwork skills</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning methods</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived employability</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Survey data.

We progress in our data analysis by answering the respective research questions.

7. Relative Importance of Different Employability Skills

The analysis here focuses on research question 1. Table 3 summarises the employability skills perceived by graduating students, as being most important when employers are recruiting fresh graduates for entry-level positions. The question in the questionnaire used to capture the relevant data was: Which of the following factors do you see as key or most important in securing an initial graduate-level job? (please rank in order of importance, i.e., 1–14 with 1 = most important). The study used mean scores to capture which skills are perceived by graduating students as given priorities by employers when recruiting new business graduates. Other research has used mean scores to rank the relative importance of skills (i.e., Abbasi et al. 2018; Konig and Ribaric 2019; Strong et al. 2020; Lim et al. 2016; Succi and Canovi 2020).

Following Wickramasinghe and Perera (2010), we analysed the first four most important skills used by employers when recruiting fresh business graduates for entry-level jobs. Konig and Ribaric (2019) also zeroed on the first four important skills in parts of their analysis. We first analyse all the respondents together, before analysing the results based on individual departments. As shown in Table 3, communication skills, learning skills, positive attitudes and behaviours, and problem-solving skills were ranked by graduating students as the top four most important employability skills sought after by employers. Adaptability skills (ranked 8th) were considered moderately important, with pre-graduation work experience (ranked 11th), leaderships skills (ranked 12th), resilience (13th), and working with diversity (ranked 14th) ranking relatively low in importance when employers are recruiting new graduates for entry-level positions. The mean difference across the various employability skills was significant (F = 28.45, $p < 0.0000$). This means that students ranked the relative importance of at least two employability skill types differently.

When we compare the views of students from the three departments, the results in the overall ranking does not seem to change much between the three departments: Accounting, Banking, and Finance; Computer information systems; and Management and marketing. The results are shown on the right side of Table 3. Students from the three departments all selected the same three skills to be among the first four important employability skills: communication skills, positive attitudes and behaviours, and problem-solving skills. Again, leadership skills, resilience, and working with diversity are ranked by graduating students as among the relatively least important skills considered for entry-level positions.

We used one-way ANOVA to determine whether the ‘mean’ scores of the importance given to each employability skill was significantly different across the three departments. The results show that there were no significant differences in the way the students from the three departments ranked the various employability skills. This suggest that students from the different departments, on average, had similar perceptions about the relative importance of individual skills when employers are recruiting new graduates for entry-level positions.
### Table 3. Employability skills.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Type</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Accounting, Banking, and Finance</th>
<th>Computer Information Systems</th>
<th>Management and Marketing</th>
<th>One Way ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean **</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication skills</td>
<td>7.3647</td>
<td>3.5418</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.6042</td>
<td>2.9661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning skills</td>
<td>6.9176</td>
<td>3.7866</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.2500</td>
<td>3.8288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive attitudes and behaviours</td>
<td>6.8235</td>
<td>3.4716</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.0851</td>
<td>3.4693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving skills</td>
<td>6.1395</td>
<td>3.7138</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.0426</td>
<td>3.5750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal skills</td>
<td>5.7738</td>
<td>3.1788</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.3830</td>
<td>3.0967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time management skills</td>
<td>5.4878</td>
<td>2.3054</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.4255</td>
<td>2.3933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamwork skills</td>
<td>4.7765</td>
<td>3.0331</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5745</td>
<td>3.1674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptability skills</td>
<td>4.6667</td>
<td>2.7826</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.1042</td>
<td>2.9118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-management skills</td>
<td>3.5765</td>
<td>3.1258</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.0638</td>
<td>2.6898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking</td>
<td>3.3182</td>
<td>5.0527</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.3265</td>
<td>5.0555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-graduation work experience</td>
<td>3.2697</td>
<td>4.9354</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.3469</td>
<td>5.0603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership skills</td>
<td>2.5233</td>
<td>3.3245</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4792</td>
<td>3.3262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>1.8706</td>
<td>3.6345</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.6667</td>
<td>3.6398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with Diversity</td>
<td>1.3256</td>
<td>3.4315</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.6438</td>
<td>3.3996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way ANOVA: \( F = 28.45, p < 0.0000 *** \)

Source: Survey data (N = 82). * The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level. ** Based on your perception as a graduating student, which of the following factors do you see as key or most important in securing an initial graduate-level job? (please rank in order of importance, i.e., 1–14, with 1 = most important). Scores were reversed scored out of 12, so that larger mean score represent the most important. *** The mean difference is significant at the 0.001 level.
8. Extent to Which Academic, Personal Management, and Teamwork Skills Are Fully Developed in Students at Graduation

The analysis here is in relation to research question 2. The three groups of students were asked to rate the extent to which different academic, personal management, and teamwork skills were perceived to be fully developed in new business graduates. Taking the lead from previous research, employability skills were disaggregated into three subconstructs; Academic Skills, Personal Management skills, and Teamwork skills (Abas and Imam 2016; Ramirez and Bautista 2021; Conference Board of Canada 2013; Castillo 2014; Abas-Mastura et al. 2013). The respective groups of respondents were asked: Which of the following skills do you perceive as fully developed in you, now that you have completed your degree program? (please say whether you agree or disagree with statements given below, using the following scale: 5 = strongly agree, 4 = agree, 3 = neutral, 2 = disagree, 1 = strongly disagree). A higher mean score tends towards the ‘strongly agree’ with the extent to which a particular skill was fully developed in business students at the time of graduation. The results are shown in Tables 4–6.

Looking at the results given in Table 4, we can see that students ‘agreed’ that all the academic skills were fully developed in graduates at the time of graduation. Their mean scores for all the academic skills were all above 3.5, and therefore, could be rounded to 4 (4 = agree). In general, they agreed that critical and analytical thinking skills, business communication skills, continuous life-long learning, and competence in specialised subject area (major) were developed to a great extent in new business graduates at the time of recruitment. IT literacy skills and problem-solving skills involving mathematics (numerical skills) were rated the least developed academic skills by graduating students. However, the scores were still falling within the ‘agree’ part of the 5-point Likert scale. IT literacy and numerical skills are all considered key skills that are needed by the 21st century labour force. The mean difference across the different academic skills is not significant (F = 0.559, p = 0.812). The different ‘mean scores’ seems to be cluttered too close together, suggesting no statistical difference in ranking across the different skill types. In effect, we cannot say a lot about the relative rankings of the different skill types. The relative ranking in Table 4 may be true in the population or could have occurred by chance.

When we examine the mean scores for all the academic skills across the three departments, we can see that none fell in the 4.5–5 ‘mean’ score range, which represented ‘strongly agree’ on whether specific skills were fully developed at the time of graduation (5 = strongly agree). This suggest that students from the three departments agreed that all academic skills were fully developed in graduating students, but that there was room for improvement in the level of academic skills students possessed at graduation. To determine if the mean scores given by students in three departments were significantly different for each skill type, we again used one-way ANOVA. The results show that there were no significant differences in the way the three group of respondents rated the various academic skills. This suggest that the students from the three departments had similar perceptions about the extent to which different academic skills were fully developed in business studies students at the time of graduation.
Table 4. Academic skills.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Type</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Accounting, Banking, and Finance</th>
<th>Computer Information Systems</th>
<th>Management and Marketing</th>
<th>One Way ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean **</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical and analytical thinking skills</td>
<td>4.1233</td>
<td>0.7984</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.0500</td>
<td>0.8756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication skills (i.e., understand, speak and write effectively for business purposes—business communication)</td>
<td>4.0411</td>
<td>0.8570</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9500</td>
<td>0.8756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of the importance of continuous life-long learning</td>
<td>4.0411</td>
<td>0.9195</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>1.0127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence in specialised subject area (i.e., your major)</td>
<td>4.0274</td>
<td>0.6661</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.9750</td>
<td>0.6597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to apply specialised knowledge from various fields (i.e., organisational behaviour, marketing, management science, strategic management, etc.)</td>
<td>3.9726</td>
<td>0.7813</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9000</td>
<td>0.8412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Performance (i.e., passing exams, GPA)</td>
<td>3.9452</td>
<td>0.7798</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.9000</td>
<td>0.6718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making skills</td>
<td>3.9315</td>
<td>0.7697</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.8500</td>
<td>0.6998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT literacy skills (i.e., use of computer technology, programs and information systems effectively)</td>
<td>3.9178</td>
<td>0.8621</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7500</td>
<td>0.8697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving skills involving mathematics (i.e., numeracy skills)</td>
<td>3.9178</td>
<td>0.8621</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.9250</td>
<td>0.7642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way ANOVA  
F = 0.559, p = 0.812

Source: Survey data (N = 71). * The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level. ** Which of the following skills do you perceive as fully developed in you, now that you have completed your degree program? (please say whether you agree or disagree with statements given below, using the following scale: 5 = strongly agree, 4 = agree, 3 = neutral, 2 = disagree, 1 = strongly disagree).
### Table 5. Personal management skills.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Type</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Accounting, Banking, and Finance</th>
<th>Computer Information Systems</th>
<th>Management and Marketing</th>
<th>One Way ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean **</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-reliant (i.e., work independently with minimal supervision)</strong></td>
<td>4.4583</td>
<td>0.7108</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5250</td>
<td>0.7157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Honesty, integrity, and personal ethics</strong></td>
<td>4.3521</td>
<td>0.6990</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.3000</td>
<td>0.7232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perseverance</strong></td>
<td>4.2394</td>
<td>0.8696</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.3846</td>
<td>0.7819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recognition and respect for diversity and individual differences</strong></td>
<td>4.2222</td>
<td>0.6965</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.2250</td>
<td>0.6597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountability (i.e., responsible for your actions and the actions of your group, etc.)</strong></td>
<td>4.2222</td>
<td>0.8429</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.1250</td>
<td>0.8825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-awareness (i.e., aware of strengths, weaknesses, etc.)</strong></td>
<td>4.1389</td>
<td>0.7563</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.1000</td>
<td>0.7779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conscientious (i.e., task-focused, self-motivated, etc.)</strong></td>
<td>4.0972</td>
<td>0.7901</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.0250</td>
<td>0.8317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive attitude (i.e., ‘can do’ approach, show initiative, etc.)</strong></td>
<td>4.0417</td>
<td>0.6121</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>0.9058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proactive (i.e., takes initiative)</strong></td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>0.7872</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0500</td>
<td>0.7828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-confidence</strong></td>
<td>3.9167</td>
<td>0.8005</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.8500</td>
<td>0.8930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Creativity and innovative thinking skills (i.e., ability to identify and suggest new ideas)</strong></td>
<td>3.8889</td>
<td>0.8317</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.9250</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ability to plan and manage time</strong></td>
<td>3.8611</td>
<td>1.0113</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.7500</td>
<td>1.0316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Survey data (N = 70). * The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level. ** Which of the following skills do you perceive as fully developed in you, now that you have completed your degree program? (please say whether you agree or disagree with statements given below, using the following scale: 5 = strongly agree, 4 = agree, 3 = neutral, 2 = disagree, 1 = strongly disagree). *** The mean difference is significant at the 0.001 level.
Table 6. Teamwork skills.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Type</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Accounting, Banking, and Finance</th>
<th>Computer Information Systems</th>
<th>Management and Marketing</th>
<th>One Way ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ** SD Rank</td>
<td>Mean SD Rank</td>
<td>Mean SD Rank</td>
<td>Mean SD Rank</td>
<td>F Sig *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect the thoughts and opinions of group</td>
<td>4.3973 0.6612</td>
<td>1 4.4000 0.5905 2</td>
<td>4.6250 0.5176 2</td>
<td>4.2609 0.8100 4</td>
<td>0.935 0.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>members</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribute to group problem-solving</td>
<td>4.3836 0.6153</td>
<td>2 4.4000 0.6718 2</td>
<td>4.2500 0.4629 4</td>
<td>4.3913 0.5830 1</td>
<td>0.197 0.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to take responsibility of assigned</td>
<td>4.3699 0.6771</td>
<td>3 4.3000 0.6869 3</td>
<td>4.7500 0.4629 1</td>
<td>4.3478 0.7141 3</td>
<td>1.488 0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tasks by the group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan and make decisions with others and</td>
<td>4.3472 0.6089</td>
<td>4 4.4103 0.5486 1</td>
<td>4.3750 0.5176 3</td>
<td>4.2174 0.7359 5</td>
<td>0.727 0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>support the outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership ability (i.e., can lead when</td>
<td>4.2500 0.7459</td>
<td>5 4.2000 0.7232 4</td>
<td>4.0000 0.7559 5</td>
<td>4.3636 0.7895 2</td>
<td>0.761 0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appropriate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise ‘give and take’ to achieve group</td>
<td>4.1667 0.8558</td>
<td>6 4.1500 0.8336 5</td>
<td>4.0000 1.3093 5</td>
<td>4.1818 0.7327 6</td>
<td>0.132 0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team building skills</td>
<td>4.0137 0.7545</td>
<td>7 4.1000 0.7089 6</td>
<td>4.2500 0.7071 4</td>
<td>3.7391 0.8100 10</td>
<td>2.233 0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobilise the group for high performance</td>
<td>3.9306 0.7567</td>
<td>8 4.0000 0.7161 7</td>
<td>3.8750 0.8345 6</td>
<td>3.7727 0.8125 9</td>
<td>0.646 0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to resolve and manage conflicts</td>
<td>3.9041 0.7847</td>
<td>9 3.7750 0.7675 9</td>
<td>4.3750 0.5176 3</td>
<td>3.9130 0.8482 7</td>
<td>2.025 0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek a team approach where appropriate (i.e.,</td>
<td>3.8356 0.8977</td>
<td>10 3.8250 0.8439 8</td>
<td>3.6250 1.4079 7</td>
<td>3.8261 0.7777 8</td>
<td>0.176 0.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as opposed to a ‘go it alone’ approach)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way ANOVA

F = 6.531, p < 0.0000 ***

Source: Survey data (N = 70). * The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level. ** Which of the following skills do you perceive as fully developed in you, now that you have completed your degree program? (please say whether you agree or disagree with statements given below, using the following scale: 5 = strongly agree, 4 = agree, 3 = neutral, 2 = disagree, 1 = strongly disagree). *** The mean difference is significant at the 0.001 level.
For Personal management skills, the results are given in Table 5. Students rated self-reliant (i.e., work independently with minimal supervision); honesty, integrity, and personal ethics; perseverance; recognition and respect for diversity and individual differences; and accountability (i.e., responsible for your actions and the actions of your group, etc.) to be the most five developed personal management skills in new business graduates. The last two skills were tied at the rank of 4. Graduating students perceived creativity and innovative thinking skills (i.e., ability to identify and suggest new ideas), and ‘ability to plan and manage time’, to be the least developed personal management skill among new business graduates. However, the mean scores were still falling within the ‘agree’ point on the 5-point Likert scale. When we examine the mean scores for all the personal management skills across the three departments, we can see that none fell in the 4.5–5 mean score range, which represented ‘strongly agree’ on the Likert scale. In other words, none of the graduating students from the three departments ‘strongly agreed’ that the various personal management skills were fully developed in business graduates at the time of graduation. This suggest that students from all the three departments saw room for improvement in the level of personal management skills students possessed at graduation. The mean difference across the 12 personal management skills was significant ($F = 3.991$, $p < 0.0000$). This means that students ranked the relative importance of at least two skill types differently.

We again use one-way ANOVA results to examine the mean scores across the three groups of students from different departments, to see if there are any significant differences in their perceptions. For personal management skills, there were significant difference in the mean scores given by the three groups on ‘ability to plan and manage time’ ($F = 3.649$, $p = 0.031$). This means that there was a statistical difference in the perceptions of the three groups of students with respect to the extent to which this skill was fully developed in graduating students. However, the post hoc test could not identify where the difference lay. From the mean value, it seems the difference is between mean scores given by ‘management & marketing’ students ($m = 4.2174$) and Computer information systems students ($m = 3.1429$). It is this combination that gave the greatest mean difference. The mean scores on the perceptions of the three groups of students were not significantly different on the other 11 personal management skills. This suggests similarities in perceptions between the three groups of students, on the extent to which these 11 personal management skills were fully developed among graduating students.

In Table 6, we examine the extent to which teamwork skills were perceived to be fully developed in graduating students. The students agreed that respecting the thoughts and opinions of group members, contributing to group problem-solving, ability to take responsibility of assigned tasks by the group, and ‘plan and make decisions with others and support the outcomes, were the four fully developed in new business graduates. All the three groups of students ‘agreed’ that the various teamwork skills were fully developed to some extent in graduating students. According to students, the ‘ability to resolve and manage conflicts’ and seeking a team approach where appropriate (i.e., as opposed to a ‘go it alone’ approach), were the least two developed teamwork skills among graduating students. Again, we can see that none of the mean scores fell in the 4.5–5 mean score range, which represented ‘strongly agree’. This suggest that students saw room for improvement in the extent to which teamwork skills were fully developed in business students at the time of graduation. The ranking of the various teamwork skills was statistically different across the 10 different skill types ($F = 6.531$, $p < 0.0000$). This means that students ranked the relative importance of at least two skill types differently.

We again used one-way ANOVA to determine if there are significant differences in the mean scores given to each skill by the three groups of students. The results show that there were no significant differences in the way the three groups of students rated the extent to which different teamwork skills were developed among graduating students. This suggest that the three groups of students had similar perceptions about the extent to
which different teamwork skills were fully developed in business studies students at the time of graduation.

9. Teaching Methods and Pedagogy Approaches

The analysis here relates to research question 3. Students were asked: Which of the following learning methods did you find most helpful in facilitating/enabling you to acquire ‘employability skills’? (please rank in terms of most important, i.e., 1–12, with 1 = most important). A higher mean score is associated with ‘most helpful’ method. The results are shown in Table 7. The results suggest a combination of lecture-centric teaching methods (i.e., lectures and assignments) and methods that encourage students’ experiential learning and interactive engagement in their own learning (i.e., pre-graduation employment, internship/Industry job placements, group case studies, industry/company guest speakers making presentations to students at the university, etc.). However, university career services and ‘job fairs and workshops’ were perceived as of relatively low importance in helping students develop employability skills. It is possible that industrial tours are not fully used due to their relative costs. The ranking of the various teamwork skills was statistically different across the 10 different skill types \( F = 7.785, p < 0.0000 \). This means that students ranked the relative importance of at least two learning method types differently. Traditional assessments used to measure learning, such as tests, quizzes, and final examinations were seen as a relatively poor medium for building employability skills, probably partly because they are not interactive in nature and not routed in real workplace environments.

Table 7. Learning methods used to acquire employability skills (students’ perspectives).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Methods</th>
<th>Mean *</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lectures</td>
<td>7.7761</td>
<td>3.5025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignments</td>
<td>6.6567</td>
<td>3.2732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-graduation employment (i.e., work experience prior to graduation)</td>
<td>6.5821</td>
<td>3.6230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship/Industry job placements</td>
<td>5.8657</td>
<td>3.9539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Case Studies</td>
<td>5.6618</td>
<td>2.5836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry/Company guest speakers making presentations to students at the University</td>
<td>5.4179</td>
<td>3.4030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading materials when preparing for the final examination</td>
<td>5.3939</td>
<td>3.2671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests and quizzes</td>
<td>5.1791</td>
<td>3.2424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Career services</td>
<td>4.7143</td>
<td>2.7670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing a “Reflective” Learning Portfolio (i.e., reflecting and writing about knowledge, skills, and capabilities acquired during a course, etc.)</td>
<td>4.5970</td>
<td>3.3442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job fairs and workshops</td>
<td>4.5942</td>
<td>4.1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry tours</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>2.6912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way ANOVA \( F = 7.785, p < 0.0000 \) ***

Source: Survey data \( N = 66 \). * Which of the following learning methods did you find most helpful in facilitating/enabling you to acquire “employability skills”? (please rank in terms of most important, i.e., 1–12, with 1 = most important). The scores were reverse scored out of 12, so that the larger mean values represent the most important. *** The mean difference is significant at the 0.001 level.

10. Factors Impacting on Perceived Employability

The analysis here relates to research question 4, that attempted to examine the effect of different factors on perceived employability. Our examinations of perceived employability are shown in Table 8. The results suggest that students had more confidence in their possessed skills in relation to the skills requirements of the labour market. Students seems to agree that their skills and abilities, ability of their degree to lead to a specific career, quality of academic work, high grades, and confidence to secure a graduate-level jobs were all fully developed as they approached their graduation. However, the state of the labour market had a
‘dragging effect’ on the chances of getting into a graduate level job. The last three indicators (i.e., labour demand for graduates at the present time, number of job opportunities in my chosen field, relative availability of job vacancies in the geographical area) were all scored ‘neutral’ on the 5-point Likert scale. This may partly be due to the effect of COVID-19 on global, national, and local economies, and consequently, labour markets. The larger point to make from this result is that graduate employability is affected not only by possession of in-demand technical and employability skills, but also by the state of the local labour market. The ranking of the various indicators for perceived employability was statistically different across the 10 different dimensions ($F = 11.040, p < 0.0000$). This means that students ranked the relative importance of at least two indicators/dimensions differently.

Table 8. Perceived employability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived Employability</th>
<th>Mean *</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The skills and abilities that I possess are what employers are looking for</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>0.8975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am confident that I will secure graduate-level employment within 6 months after graduation</td>
<td>3.8630</td>
<td>1.1219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My degree is seen as leading to a specific career that is generally perceived as highly desirable</td>
<td>3.8219</td>
<td>0.9331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I regard my academic work as high quality</td>
<td>3.7945</td>
<td>0.8158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am confident of securing a graduate level job in my chosen field</td>
<td>3.7534</td>
<td>1.0643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have achieved high grades in my studies</td>
<td>3.6712</td>
<td>0.8174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People in the career I am aiming for, are in high demand in the labour market</td>
<td>3.6438</td>
<td>1.0189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is generally a strong demand for graduates at the present time</td>
<td>3.2740</td>
<td>1.1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can easily find out job opportunities in my chosen field</td>
<td>2.9863</td>
<td>1.0865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are plenty of job vacancies in the geographical area where I am looking</td>
<td>2.8493</td>
<td>1.1386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way ANOVA $F = 11.04, p < 0.0000$ ***

Source: Survey data (N = 73). * Which of the following skills do you perceive as fully developed in you, now that you have completed your degree program? (please say whether you agree or disagree with statements given below, using the following scale: 5 = strongly agree, 4 = agree, 3 = neutral, 2 = disagree, 1 = strongly disagree). *** The mean difference is significant at the 0.001 level.

11. Discussion

11.1. Important Employability Skills

Graduating business students ranked communication skills, learning skills, positive attitudes and behaviours, and problem-solving skills as the top four most important employability skills that employers seek when recruiting new graduates for entry-level positions. Except for ‘learning skills’, the other three skills tend to be cited among the top demanded employability skills in the literature (Abbasi et al. 2018; Hossain et al. 2020; Osmani et al. 2019; Strong et al. 2020). Learning skills are very important for 21st century careers. Of the top 15 skills projected for 2025, ‘active learning and learning strategies’ were the second most important skill, just behind ‘analytical thinking and innovation’ (WEF 2020). Whittemore (2018) also identified learning-to-learn as the second most important soft skill in the face of a “vortex of uncertainty, ambiguity and volatility” (p. 4) due to unprecedented rapid technological changes and transformational forces brought about by globalisation. Positive attitudes and behaviours represent the growing importance of attributes and dispositions in graduate level employment. The CBI (2019) report observes that wider behaviours, dispositions, and attributes (i.e., positive attitudes, aptitude for work, self-directedness, flexibility, adaptability, career ownership, etc.) are a clear priority for employers when recruiting university, college, and school leavers—far ahead of degree classification or university attended. Some of the attitudes, behaviours, attributes, and dispositions expected in new graduates include proactivity, initiative, enthusiastic participation, willingness to learn, ability to work independently, flexibility, being responsible
and professional, self-confidence, resilience, and ambition (Caballero et al. 2020; Donald et al. 2019; Lisa et al. 2019; Tomlinson 2017b). Business students should prioritise the development of the abovementioned four skills, without necessarily neglecting the development of the other employability skills. Employers are looking for graduates with well-developed communication skills, with an aptitude for lifelong learning, have positive attitudes and behaviours, and are problem-solvers. For all the employability skills studied here, there was alignment in perceptions of their relative importance between the three groups of students in the three departments.

Pre-graduation work experience was ranked relatively low in importance, even though some literature suggests that employers view it as important in promoting work readiness (McMurray et al. 2016; Finch et al. 2013; Andrews and Higson 2008). It is possible that pre-graduation employment may not be aligned to graduate level jobs (i.e., it is short term and driven by the need to pay bills instead of alignment with future careers). Leadership skills were ranked even lower in importance. Some studies suggest that employers do not emphasise these skills when recruiting new graduates. Most graduates are not expected to assume leadership positions immediately after graduation (Succi and Canovi 2020; Strong et al. 2020). Resilience is increasing in importance, as the workplace becomes more complex with the use of advanced technology, becomes more demanding, faces rapid change, and experiences more competitive pressures. Changes in the workplace have increased the importance of psychological resources. Work intensity, stress, long working hours, multitasking, complex restructuring, work redesign, and increased competitive pressures have placed more demands on workers. Resilience is important to be able to survive in such demanding and dynamic working environments.

11.2. Academic, Personal Management, and Teamwork Skills

We discuss the results to research question 2. The study examined the extent to which different academic, personal management, and teamwork skills were perceived to be fully developed in new business graduates.

11.2.1. Academic Skills

There is indication that students were satisfied with the level of academic skills possessed by them as they near graduation. These skills are foundational, a base on which to acquire further skills, knowledge, behaviours, and attributes as new graduates progress in their initial employment. The mean scores given to all the academic skills by students were around ‘agree’ (4 = agree), with regard to how fully developed these skills were in them at the time of graduation (Table 4). The results may suggest that students were, on average, happy with the level of academic skills that may have a more direct link with technical-/discipline-specific knowledge. The result seems to be in line with some past findings that suggest that employers may sometimes be satisfied with graduates’ discipline-specific knowledge, but still observe that the level of soft skills are below what the labour market expects (Mello et al. 2021; Abbasi et al. 2018; Monteiro et al. 2021). However, a degree qualification with good grades in mainly discipline-specific knowledge is no longer sufficient for career success in today’s labour markets (Tomlinson 2008; Succi and Canovi 2020; Okolie et al. 2020; Hossain et al. 2020). It must also be noted that none of the academic skills were scored ‘strongly agree’ (5 = strongly agree) by students. Consequently, there is room for continuous improvement.

There was no statistical difference in perceptions of each academic skill type across the three student groups in the three departments. This means that the perceptions of the three groups of students were similar on the rating of the extent to which academic skills are fully developed in them at the point of graduation. Unlike all the other skills studied in this paper, the difference in scores across the nine different types of academic skills was not significant (F = 0.559, p = 0.812), suggesting that students probably gave themselves high scores across any indicators classified as ‘academic skills’. Several studies have found
that students do overestimate their academic capabilities and competencies (Wallis 2021; McArthur et al. 2017; Koys et al. 2019).

11.2.2. Personal Management Skills

Personal management skills are skills, attributes, and behaviours that offer the greatest possibility for achievement and growth in the workplace and careers (Conference Board of Canada 2013). This includes demonstrating positive attitudes and behaviours, being responsible, and being adaptable. Personal characteristics, attitudes, attributes, and dispositions are becoming important in 21st century careers (CBI 2019). The most fully developed personal management skill is self-reliance (i.e., ability to work independently). Most students do a lot of independent work (i.e., individual assignments, reading, etc.) during their undergraduate studies. It is, therefore, not surprising that ability to work independently is viewed as one of the most developed personal management skills. The result support the view that employers prefer graduates who can work both in teams, as well as being independent thinkers and problem-solvers. The second most developed personal management skill—honesty, integrity, and personal ethics—represents the growing importance of business ethics since the 2008 global financial crisis. Perseverance is the third most developed personal management skill. Conceptually, perseverance represents attributes, such as persistent effort, attention, focus, and commitment towards useful long-term goals, irrespective of challenges and difficulties encountered along the way (Datu 2021; Lucas and Hanson 2016). Similarly, the SRI International (2018) sees perseverance as representing a “continuation of a goal-oriented action in spite of obstacles, difficulties, or discouragement” (p. 27). Completing a business degree is challenging, partly because students do a diverse set of courses. Perseverance is particularly important for students who take full times studies in addition to other multiple responsibilities (i.e., work, family, extracurricular activities, etc.). In fourth position is personal accountability (i.e., responsibility for your actions and the actions of your group). One area of concern may be the perceived relative low development of ‘creativity and innovative thinking skills’ (i.e., rated 10th) in graduating business students. However, the mean score could still be rounded upward to ‘agree’ (4 = agree) on the Likert scale.

The differences between the three student groups on the extent to which different personal management skills were perceived to be fully developed in them, is only significant for ‘ability to plan and manage time’. Universities are self-regulating environments in which planning and managing time is critically important to achieving academic performance goals. Effective time management skills have been associated with self-regulating learning, academic achievement, lower student anxiety and stress, increased creativity, self-efficacy, and student satisfaction (Ghiasvand et al. 2017; Rathnayake 2021; Donnelly et al. 2019; Wolters and Brady 2021). The significant difference in ‘mean’ scores is probably between ‘management and marketing’ versus ‘Computer information systems’ students. A study in the US that examined the time management practices and skills of accounting, business, and information systems majors found that there was a significant difference between female and male students (Powell et al. 2020). Female students, in general, had better time management skills than male students. That reflected in the difference in the development of time management skills across majors, dependent on whether the degree programs’ enrolment was dominated by male or female students. Time management skills and practices were lower in programs that tended to be dominated by male students (i.e., computer information systems or computer sciences) (Powell et al. 2020). In fact, of all the personal management skills shown in Table 5, ‘ability to plan and manage time’ was the only skill-type which had a mean score of ‘neutral’ (3 = neutral), scored by computer information systems students. Discussion with a senior academic in computer information systems department confirmed that the final year enrolment was nearly evenly divided between male and female students. This is a deviation from typical enrolments in other business programs at the institution, where female students dominate gender compositions. In other words, even though male students are evenly enrolled in the computer information
system degree, it still represents a high percentage compared to other business programs where female enrolment dominates. This may partly explain the lower development of time management skills in computer information systems (i.e., mainly due to relatively high enrolment of male students) relative to enrolment in management and marketing degree program.

11.2.3. Teamwork Skills

Most employees today spend an increasing amount of time working in work groups, teams, and projects. About 95% of U.S workers are said to work in more than one team (Center for Creative Leadership 2015). The increased technological complexities of problems that companies must solve have meant that workers must develop good teamwork skills to be effective. Students agreed that all the teamwork skills were well developed at the time of graduation. All the teamwork skills were rated close to 4 on the 5-point Likert scale (4 = agree).

Teamwork skills are important in collaborating with others, working with people from different backgrounds, developing cooperative work relationships, contributing to team discussions, and enhances an awareness of productive interdependence with others (Osmani et al. 2015, 2017; Kornelakis and Petrakaki 2020). In general, “employers today are looking for workers who get along well with other people, who are able to work as part of a team, who are dependable and reliable, who are eager to learn and who have good written and oral communication” (Kenyayathulla et al. 2019, p. 100).

A poll by the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU) in 2009 found that 71% of employers wanted increased teamwork and interpersonal skills in new graduates (Hughes and Jones 2011). An annual Job Outlook Survey by the NACE (2015) found that teamwork skills were one of the two most important demanded skills by employers. A NACE (2012) survey found that nearly 80% of employers surveyed indicated the importance of ability to work in a team as a highly desired attribute for new recruits. The Job Outlook 2017 Survey by NACE found that about 78% of survey respondents indicated that teamwork was their top non-technical skill required in graduating students (Marasi 2019). Several benefits have been identified with teamwork, including efficient work, better decision making, enhanced critical thinking and problem-solving capacity, knowledge sharing, better collaboration and communication, self-monitoring, improved creativity and innovation, increased individual and team learning, flexibility among the workforce, networks, stronger focus on common organisational goals, improved motivation and engagement, social support among workers, trust and psychological safety, self-efficacy, better conflict management, accountability, high synergy and positive interdependence, and stronger working relationships among team members (Alghamdi and Bach 2018; Hogan and Young 2020; Betta 2016; Manegold et al. 2020). In addition, work teams are better positioned to solve complex, poorly defined, cross-disciplinary problems, as well as manage unexpected situations (Hogan and Young 2020). The demand for teamwork skill in the workplace translates into high demand for the same skills in university graduates. There is growing expectations that HEIs should focus on developing such highly demanded skill in graduates. In fact, working in student teams is central to learning and job readiness in most business schools (Betta 2016). However, employers are still complaining that new graduates do not have fully developed teamwork skills (King and King 2021). Part of the problem is the challenges of applying effective teamwork pedagogies in HEIs (Wilson et al. 2018). Merely putting students to work in group assignments and team projects does not produce all the teamwork skills that employers are looking for in new graduates.

Ability to resolve and manage conflicts is very important, but was ranked relatively low. However, the mean rating could still be rounded upward to ‘agree’ on the 5-point Likert scale (4 = agree). There were no significant differences between the three groups of students in the three departments, on their perceptions about the extent to which the different teamwork skills were fully developed in them at the time of graduation.
12. Learning Methods

The surveyed graduating students perceived lectures and assignments as the two most important learning methods used to acquire employability skills. The two learning methods are largely teacher driven. A study by Mohiuddin et al. (2020) surveyed the literature on teaching methods used in higher education institutions, with a focus on four disciplines: Arts and Humanities, Medical Education, Science and Engineering, and Social Sciences. Their study found that over 110 teaching methods are used in higher education institutions across the globe. However, it was only lecturing that was common to all the above four disciplines covered in the study. Lecturing is a time-honoured method that has been used to transmit concepts, theories, and technical knowledge to students in HEIs for a very long time (Mohiuddin et al. 2020; Virtanen and Tynjala 2019). A study of learning designs and teaching methods in six Australian universities found that a broad range were being employed, but there was still a bias towards traditional discipline stereo-type methods (i.e., lectures, in-class discussion, etc.) (Cameron 2017). However, one of the major limitations of lectures is that they do not automatically promote student active participation in their own learning nor enhance self-directed learning, experiential learning, or critical reflexivity in large classes (Budanceva et al. 2016; Virtanen and Tynjala 2019). In most studies where students compare the effectiveness of lecturing to other teaching/learning methods (i.e., case methods, flipped classrooms, presentations, problem-based learning, group projects, journal articles, skill video, digital media, etc.), lectures tend to perform relatively poor compared to what are described as student-centred learning approaches. Farashahi and Tajeddin (2018) compared students’ perceptions on the relative effectiveness of three common teaching methods—simulation, case study, and lectures—in developing problem-solving skills, interpersonal skills, and self-awareness. They found that simulation and case study were similar but more effective than lectures in developing problem-solving skills. Regarding developing interpersonal skills and self-awareness, their order of effectiveness was simulation, case study, and lectures, respectively. Osmani et al. (2018) did an experiment in which they combined traditional teaching methods (i.e., lecturers, textbook, case-study workshops) with flipped classrooms, presentations, problem-based learning, and collaborative learning. They found that classroom-based teaching and learning techniques used on MIS degree programs were failing to develop graduate skills, such as time management, critical thinking, and ability to conduct research. Virtanen and Tynjala (2019) also found that traditional university teaching methods (i.e., lecturing, reading, and working alone) were negatively related to the learning of generic skills, such as problem solving, creativity, ability to operate in new situations, and solving occupational problems. It is, therefore, important for faculty to realise the relative strengths and weaknesses of traditional teaching methods.

The overall picture from our results, however, is much more optimistic. Most graduating students perceived a combination of lectures, assignments, and collaborative dialogic student-centred learning techniques as important in facilitating their acquisition of employability skills. The finding is in line with most current literature that advocate for integrating different teaching methods and pedagogies needed to develop a wide variety of employability skills (Farashahi and Tajeddin 2018; Virtanen and Tynjala 2019; Longmore et al. 2018; Osmani et al. 2018).

The fact that careers services were not too prominent in students’ acquisition of employability skills is both a concern but not surprising. Studies have shown that a good portion of students have traditionally not used voluntary career services within universities. A national survey of more than 30,000 U.S. college and university students found that about 40% of students had not used any of the career services available online or in person (Gallup Inc. 2017). A survey by Chin et al. (2018) found that about 29% of surveyed students were unaware of career services, and consequently, had never sought any help from such university centres. A survey of 258 undergraduate psychology students at one UK university psychology department found lower level of engagement with career services (Bradley et al. 2021). Less than 50% of surveyed students had attended any career
services event. Students’ low engagement with career services has also been observed in other studies, especially if students have to use such services on a voluntary basis (Donald et al. 2019; Jackson and Edgar 2019). Such low engagement often results in late career exploration by some students (Monteiro et al. 2021). Moreover, students who need career services the most (i.e., students from low social-economic status, as well as minority, rural, and disabled students) tend not to use careers services more frequently due to lack of time, as well as work and other commitments (Andrewarth and Harvey 2017; Amoroso and Burke 2018; Jackson and Edgar 2019). However, those students who engage with the careers services often find them helpful with their traditional roles (i.e., help with CV preparation, application letters, interview preparations, career counselling, job search, processing of internships, and arranging job fairs) (Conroy et al. 2020; Chin et al. 2018). Recent efforts by universities to focus on raising graduate employability as a university objective has seen expanded profile of career services in most HEIs. Their mandate has also gone beyond helping students with smoothing school-to-work transitions, to helping students develop a variety of competencies they will need to pursue successful long-term careers in more dynamic labour markets. With the expanded new mission, career services staff members are now involved in providing evidence-based research on the evolution of labour markets in different industries, providing career building and management skills to students, building multipurpose partnerships with employers and other stakeholders, and teaching embedded career-related education in courses offered jointly by academic staff in schools/faculties/departments (J. L. Brown et al. 2019).

Further research is needed to understand why career services, job fairs, and workshops were not seen by graduating students as being prominent in facilitating the enhancement of their employability skills. For example, is it possible that students see activities and programs offered by university career services as no more than places where employers are looking for new graduate employees? Are students seeing career services as a place for expanding their social and psychological capital or enhancing their understanding of the labour market? In addition, students are often focused on assessed activities. If some activities offered by the career services are seen as optional, then the uptake from students who are time-starved will be low. Capacities of careers services—in terms of staff/student ratios—may also need to be examined.

13. Perceived Employability at Graduation

From our results, we can see that students agreed that they had confidence in their academic performance, and the fact that their skills and abilities were to a certain extent fully developed at graduation. They also agreed that their respective qualifications had credibility and are demanded in their specific fields. However, the labour market’s demand for new graduates had a negative impact on their employability. The three indicators used to capture graduate labour market demand (i.e., the last three items in Table 8) where all scored ‘neutral’ on the 5-point Likert scale. This may partly be due to the impact of COVID-19, which has depressed global economic activities at the time of the study. Nevertheless, our results emphasise the reality that graduate employability is partially affected by external factors that are not related to the students’ knowledge, skills, abilities, and attributes.

A study that surveyed students in Turkish universities in Istanbul found that the external labour market demand had the greatest impact on perceived employability, ahead of generic skills, academic performance, personal factors, and work experience (Ergun and Sesen 2021). A similar study examined perceived employability of undergraduate students at a South African university. The study found that surveyed students were confident of their internal employability (i.e., their skills, knowledge, and abilities), but were less confident about finding employment opportunities in the external labour market (Botha 2021). This was partly explained by the aftermath of the global economic crisis that started in 2008 and compounded by the impact of COVID-19. The two factors, in addition to other macroeconomic factors, had a negative effect on graduate-level job creation in South
Africa. In addition, job creation lagged the number of graduates being produced by the whole university system in the country, resulting in over-supply and stiff competition in the graduate labour market—especially for those graduates who did not have work experience. The main takeaway from the above results is that graduate employability can be affected by external factors that are outside the control of the university system (Behle 2020).

14. Limitations

Larger sample sizes could be used in future research, by including final-year students from more than two semesters. This research could be replicated at other HEIs/colleges/faculties/departments in the Caribbean and elsewhere. Future research could include other factors that affect graduate employability but were not explicitly covered in this study (i.e., issues of balancing labour demand/supply, cultural/social/psychological capitals, extra-curricular activities, impact of discipline specific knowledge, institutional branding, company recruitment policies, role of personal factors, impact of the state of the local and global economy, etc.). While it is important to examine students’ perceptions, studies have shown that students—especially Millennials and Generation Z—tend to overstate their capabilities. Hence, there is a need to do follow-up surveys on employers’ views on graduate employability. A more realistic picture may be developed when students’ views are triangulated with the views of employers. Future research should, therefore, conduct follow-up surveys on employers’ views on graduate employability in the Caribbean.

15. Implications for Theory and Practice

Based on the above analyses and discussion, the following empirical/theoretical implications are outlined:

i. In addition to the usual list of most important employability skills frequently cited in past research—communication skills, positive attitudes and behaviours, and problem-solving—Millennials and Generation Z students are aware of the critical importance of ‘learning skills’ for recruitment to entry-level positions. In an era of fast-paced technological change, rapid knowledge obsolescence, and shortened lifespan of job skills, learning agility and proactive lifelong learning are critical to long-term graduate employability. Change is the only constant. Past learning is not a perfect predictor of future success. “What you know doesn’t matter as much as your ability to learn new things and apply that learning to new scenarios and environments” (Morgan 2014, as cited in, Richardson et al. 2020, p. 5). Our results support past findings that suggest that these new generational cohorts of workers value career development prospects the most, when selecting an employer, which may have implications for recruitment and retention strategies of organisations (Egerova et al. 2021). They are more willing to move on quickly if their career development, good working environment, and other needs they care about are not met (Benitez-Marquez et al. 2022). In general, developing a passion and ability for self-regulated, self-directed lifelong learning is critical to lifelong employability. Being able to continuously learn throughout one’s career span is also foundational to the development or acquisition of all other soft/generic skills.

ii. Graduating business students tended to have a high view of their competences on various dimensions of academic skills at the point of graduation. Graduating students seem to partially link graduate employability to developed skills that have a more direct link to program content knowledge or discipline-specific knowledge. HEIs’ approach to developing students’ soft skills must take this perspective into consideration. It is probably more effective to develop some of the employability skills if they are embedded into the curriculum.

iii. While business students were satisfied with the level of personal management skills they had developed by the time of graduation, there seems to be some room for improving their level of ‘creativity and innovative skills’. However, the development of such skills is complex, and requires much more than business students’
exposure to creativity and innovation techniques or formulaic approaches (Sosa and Kayrouz 2020). These skills will increasingly become more important and play a crucial role in sustaining students’ employability in the future world of work. Equally important, the demand for such skills is less susceptible to substitution by current and future automation and Artificial Intelligence (AI).

iv. If university career services are offered to students on a voluntary basis, they often attract low engagement among students, especially from time-starved students, who in most cases might need such services much more (i.e., low social economic status, minorities, women, rural students, and disabled students). Depending on the situation of each individual institution, HEIs may need to consider global trends where career services profile has been raised within institutions, in line with increased institutional focus on graduate employability. Career services are increasingly being co-located in colleges, faculties, schools, or departments. They work alongside academics to embed and co-deliver career development training within semi-compulsory modules. Moreover, their mission has been expanded to address issues associated with long-term graduate employability (i.e., undertake research on evolution of labour markets in different sectors and industries, build multidimensional partnerships with various relevant stakeholders, offer career building and management skills, etc.) (J. L. Brown et al. 2019). In cases where HEIs expanded the mission of career services, their capabilities to effectively meet expanded mission may need to be looked at, including manning levels relative to the student population.

v. A combination of traditional teaching methods (i.e., lectures, class discussion, reading and working alone, etc.) and student-centred learning methods and innovative pedagogies are needed to develop a wide range of in-demand employability skills in graduates. However, studies in Australia, Europe, Canada, and the USA suggests that significant staff training is needed to achieve optimal effectiveness in a mix of innovative pedagogies, and to develop the ‘right’ portfolio of learning methods more tailored to different disciplines (Hora et al. 2015; Lorange and Thomas 2016).

vi. The study confirms the role of external factors—labour market demand—influencing perceived employability. Regarding long-term graduate employability, HEIs are just one of the many contributors, though very important ones. A more holistic approach to developing graduate employability will need the contributions of other stakeholders (i.e., employers, government, alumni, industry associations, parents, etc.) and consideration of other none ‘skill-based’ factors.

The following are implications for managerial practices for organisations. They are divided into three groups; pre-graduation, graduation, and post-graduation.

i. Managers in organisations or industry need to be involved in the development of employability skills of business students long before they reach the time for graduation. This can be done proactively through visits to universities as guest speakers, part-time lecturers, and serving on university industry advisory panels. This may require various forms of partnerships with HEIs. During these encounters with business students, future employers can outline their prioritised skills they are looking for when employing fresh graduates. Different employers are likely to have slightly different emphasis on which skills are relatively important. This may help students correct any wrong perceptions they might have about employers’ skill demands.

ii. At graduation, future employers need to be cognisant of expectations of graduating students, especially those of Millennials and Generation Z. In reference to this study, that means paying attention to providing learning and career development opportunities as these cohorts join the workforce. Providing such opportunities could be part of the retention strategies. The fact that Millennials and Generation Z students value ‘learning skills’ means that organisations can use this as fertile ground when they recruit them as new workers, and support both their career
development and organisational learning. Organisational learning, in turn, could have a positive impact on long-term competitiveness.

iii. Once employed, these new graduate recruits will still need continued support as they embark on long and turbulent career journeys. As they climb the various leadership ladders across different companies, employers, and sectors, their discipline specific knowledge will increasingly become less important. Their generic/soft skills will increasingly become more important. At the top of organisations, soft skills are the most important, even in high-tech companies. Efforts need to be made by employers to facilitate the development of different types of soft skills. Millennials and Generation Z will increasingly become the dominant group in the workforce in twenty or more years from now.

16. Conclusions

The paper points out the importance of capturing students’ views about their employability at the time of graduation. Such student insight—even with its flaws—can be one of the many inputs when HEIs are developing an institutional policy on graduate employability. The perceptions that learning skills are the second most important employability skills used by employers when recruiting graduates for entry-level positions is supported by recent research. Learning does not stop at undergraduate graduation. Learning to learn, willingness to learn, learning mindset, growth mindset, lifelong learning, active learning, learning agility, self-regulated learning, and self-directed learning are just some of the many terms used to capture the need to continuously learn, adapt, and grow throughout one’s career span. Learning skills (as well as other soft skills) promote mobility across jobs and sectors in dynamic labour markets and is the only way to guarantee one’s long-term employability in the face of continuous restructuring and automation of jobs. Not all relevant soft skills can be developed in the classroom or at the university. Some of the soft skills required to manage volatile labour markets will be developed and refined long after the student has left university. All students—including those who might hate or are tired of school—need to be encouraged to develop a passion and drive for continuous skillling, reskilling, and upskilling throughout their career span. Long-term employability is much more than just in-demand skill acquisition; it is about being able to flexibly adapt and proactively remain relevant in dynamic and evolving labour markets.

A combination of traditional teaching and student-centred learning methods and integrative innovative pedagogies are needed to develop a wide spectrum of soft skills needed by graduates to succeed in the workplace. It is up to each faculty/college/school/department to determine the ‘right’ portfolio of learning methods necessary to develop a targeted mix of soft skills appropriate for each discipline. The literature suggests learning methods that promote active learning, experimentation, real-world problem-solving, project- and team-based collaborative learning, reflexivity, and constructivist and dialogic approaches are more likely to be effective in developing soft skills relevant to the workplace. Efforts should also be made to ensure that the learning experiences are operating at the intersection of theory and practice. Achieving such aspirations is not a short-term objective. It requires experimentation, incremental innovation, organisational learning, and embarking on a continuous improvement journey with no destination. Developing graduate employability is a complex undertaking requiring multiple approaches and inputs from various stakeholders (i.e., students, employers, governments, employer associations, alumni, parents, etc.). At an institutional level, the contribution of career services in coordination with schools/faculties/departments will increasingly play a pivotal role in helping HEIs focus their effort on enhancing graduate employability. Other factors and contributions towards enhancing graduate employability that are outside the control of HEIs need to be addressed. Our study confirms that the strength of labour market demand does affect students’ perceived employability.

At a conceptual level, students’ perceptions must be included as one of the ‘partners’ in the co-construction of HEIs policy on graduate employability. Students’ role in higher
education has evolved from being passive recipients of content knowledge, to ‘market consumers’, to being active partners in their own learning and knowledge construction. Not all students’ perceptions will be in line with workplace reality or what employers expects, but such information is still an important and relevant data point.

It is important to emphasise that major technological advances in Artificial intelligence (AI), advanced robotics, 5G technologies, Internet of Things (IoT), Big data analytics, bioengineering, nano technology, virtual and augmented reality, mobile and cloud-based data processing, machine learning, and Blockchain (to name a few) will have a revolutionary impact on how work is restructured and the future demand for skills, including in the developing world. The changes to job structures and skill requirements will have a wide-ranging impact on graduate employability. As a result, universities should not only be focusing on offering educational programs that ensure work-ready graduates, but must also be developing future-ready graduates. Higher education systems both in the developed and developing world will need to be reimagined to meet the demands of complex, dynamic, and continuously evolving labour markets.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, W.M., M.B.M.-B. and S.A.Q.; methodology, W.M. and M.B.M.-B.; software, W.M. and M.B.M.-B.; validation, W.M., M.B.M.-B. and R.M.; formal analysis, W.M.; investigation, W.M., M.B.M.-B. and S.A.Q.; resources, W.M. and M.B.M.-B.; data curation, W.M., M.B.M.-B. and S.A.Q.; writing—original draft preparation, W.M. and R.M.; writing—review and editing, W.M., M.B.M.-B. and R.M.; visualization, W.M., M.B.M.-B. and R.M.; supervision, W.M. and M.B.M.-B.; project administration, W.M. and M.B.M.-B.; funding acquisition, None. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The University of The Bahamas IRB approved the study. The IRB number 202110 granted the ethics approval associated with the study on 24 June 2021.

Informed Consent Statement: The respondents to the online survey had to provide informed consent before they could access the online survey. They could not access the survey without informed consent.

Data Availability Statement: The data is available from the corresponding author upon request and upon fulfilling confidentiality requirements.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
Andrewartha, Lisa, and Andrew Harvey. 2017. Student voice and influence on employability in Australian higher education. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability* 8: 202–14. [CrossRef]


Ayoubi, Rami M., Kahla Alzahrif, and Bayan Khalifa. 2017. The employability skills of business graduates in Syria: Do policy makers and employers speak the same language? *Education + Training* 59: 61–75. [CrossRef]


Bradley, Alex, Martyn Quigley, and Kate Bailey. 2021. How well are students engaging with the careers services at university? *Studies in Higher Education* 46: 663–76. [CrossRef]


Brown, Jason L., Michael Healy, Tessa McCredie, and Peter McIlveen. 2019. Career services in Australian higher education: Aligning the training of practitioners to contemporary practice. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management* 41: 518–33. [CrossRef]


Chamorro-Premuzic, Tomas, Adriane Arteche, Andrew J. Bremer, Corina Greven, and Adrian Furnham. 2010. Soft skills in higher education: Importance and improvement ratings as a function of individual differences and academic performance. *Educational Psychology: An International Journal of Experimental Educational Psychology* 30: 221–41. [CrossRef]


Chhinzer, Nita, and Anna Maria Russo. 2018. An exploration of employer perceptions of graduate student employability. *Education + Training* 60: 104–20. [CrossRef]


Fakunle, Omolabake, and Helen Higson. 2021. Interrogating theoretical and empirical approaches to employability in different global regions. *Higher Education Quarterly* 75: 525–34. [CrossRef]


Garcia-Aracil, Adela, Silvia Monteiro, and Leandro S. Almeida. 2018. Students’ perceptions of their preparedness for transition to work after graduation. *Active Learning in Higher Education* 22: 49–62. [CrossRef]


Higdon, Rachel Delta. 2016. Employability: The missing voice: How student and graduate views could be used to develop future higher education policy and inform curricula. *Power and Education* 18: 176–95. [CrossRef]


Jackson, Denise. 2012. Business undergraduates’ perceptions of their capabilities in employability skills: Implication for industry and higher education. *Industry and Higher Education* 28: 345–56. [CrossRef]


Lim, Yet-Mee, Teck Heang Lee, Ching Seng Yap, and Chui Ching Ling. 2016. Employability skills, personal qualities, and early employment problems of entry-level auditors: Perspectives from employers, lecturers, auditors, and students. *Journal of Education for Business* 91: 185–92. [CrossRef]


McMurray, Stephen, Mathew Dutton, Ronald McQuaid, and Alec Richard. 2016. Employer demand from business graduates. *Education + Training* 58: 112–32. [CrossRef]


Okolie, Ugochukwu Chinsono, Paul Agu Igwe, Hyginus Emeka Nwosu, Beatrice Chinyere Enejie, and Sunday Mlanga. 2020. Enhancing graduate employability: Why do higher education institutions have problems with teaching generic skills? *Policy Futures in Education* 18: 294–313. [CrossRef]


Robinson, Shane J., and Bryan L. Garton. 2008. An Assessment of the employability skills needed by graduates in the College of Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources at the University of Missouri. *Journal of Agricultural Education* 49: 96–105. [CrossRef]


Scott, Fraser J., and Debra Willison. 2021. Students’ reflections on an employability skills provision. *Journal of Further and Higher Education* 45: 1118–33. [CrossRef]


Sin, Cristina, and Alberto Amaral. 2017. Academics’ and employers’ perceptions about responsibilities for employability and their initiatives towards its development. *Higher Education* 73: 97–111. [CrossRef]


 Sousa, Maria Jose, and Daniela Wilks. 2018. Sustainable Skills for the World of Work in the Digital Age. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science* 35: 399–405. [CrossRef]


Teng, Weili, Chenwei Ma, Saeed Pahlavansharif, and Jason James Turner. 2019. Graduate readiness for the employment market of the 4th industrial revolution: The development of soft employability skills. Education + Training 61: 590–604. [CrossRef]


Tomlinson, Michael. 2008. The degree is not enough: Students’ perceptions of the role of higher education credentials for graduate work and employability. British Journal of Sociology of Education 29: 49–61. [CrossRef]


Tomlinson, Michael. 2017a. Forms of graduate capital and their relationship to graduate employability. Education + Training 59: 338–52. [CrossRef]


Tran, June. 2012. Vietnamese higher education and the issue of enhancing graduate employability. Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability 3: 2-16. [CrossRef]


Tymon, Alex. 2013. The student perspective on employability. Studies in Higher Education 38: 841–56. [CrossRef]


Vargas, Reyes, Maria Immaculada Sanchez-Queija, Andrew Rothwell, and Agueda Parra. 2018. Self-perceived employability in Spain. Education + Training 60: 226–37. [CrossRef]


