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Abstract: Over the last two decades, a considerable amount of equipment has been acquired (spreaders, seeders, sprayers, among others) to respond to the challenges of the precision agriculture (PA) concept. Most of this equipment has been purchased at a high cost. However, many of them, despite still being functional and equipped with sensors, actuators, and electronic processing units capable of adjusting to variations in speed, have become obsolete in terms of communication and incompatible with new monitoring and control systems based on the “Isobus” protocol. This work aims to present a solution for updating the control system (“Ferticontrol”) of a “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader with variable rate application (VRA), making it compatible with the “InCommand” system from “Ag Leader”. The solution includes serial protocol mediation using low-cost tools such as “Arduino” and “Raspberry Pi” microcontrollers and open-source software. The development shows that it is possible to implement a solution that is accessible to farmers in general. It also provides a niche business opportunity for young researchers to set up small technology-based enterprises associated with universities and research centers. These partnerships guarantee permanent innovation and represent a decisive step towards modern, technological, competitive, and sustainable agriculture.
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1. Introduction

Precision agriculture (PA) emerged from an urgent need for transformation and upgrading of traditional agriculture and was driven by emerging technologies. Its purpose is to address shortcomings and deficiencies of traditional agriculture, which have resulted in excessive environmental pollution in the context of changing international situations and frequent natural disasters [1]. The PA concept has revolutionized agriculture over the last decades. Its aim is to increase farm profits and reduce environmental impacts by adjusting production inputs to specified levels, adjusted to the needs of each area of a field [2]. This technological incorporation has permeated all levels of agriculture and is present in practically all crops and production systems [3].

PA using spatial data of plant status and soil characteristics can be described as an integration of techniques and equipment to predict the crop’s requirements and supply those needs at the right time and place. This optimizes fertilizer-use efficacy and increases both crop quality and productivity [4]. Field information collection, data management, decision making, and execution are the four key components of precision fertilization [1].
PA cycle can be summarized in three main phases: (i) surveying soil and crop spatial variability; (ii) processing data and defining differentiated management zones; and (iii) making decisions and implementing differentiated soil, crop, and production system management [5]. In this generic structure, variable rate application (VRA) represents the closing of the cycle and is a technology commonly used for the application of agricultural inputs (seeds, fertilizers, and water) according to the actual requirements of different management zones delineated in the field [6]. This is a popular technique that optimizes and matches the inputs to the condition of the growing crops [7]. According to Grisso et al. [8], not every farm or field will reap a measurable economic benefit from VRA, but these technologies offer opportunities for growers to increase both the production and environmental efficiencies of crop production and should be carefully evaluated at the field scale in experiments that compare variable rate vs. uniform application.

The two VRA systems currently available in the market for agriculture input applications are map-based variable rate technology (VRT with postprocessing control) and sensor-based VRT (with real-time control) systems [4]. The choice between these systems depends primarily on the type of application required but also on the investment policy and the level of technical know-how [6]. The main advantages of the map-based systems are related to the simultaneous application of multiple sources of information, the existence of application systems for most agricultural inputs, and the existence of a delay between input sampling and application, which can be helpful in improving system accuracy. On the other hand, the most important advantages of sensor-based systems consist of the flexibility to change the rate at which agricultural inputs are applied without the need to map the area or gather field data, which results in the possibility of applying agricultural inputs immediately after measurement [8]. However, map-based methods can be considered as the most common approach to VRT due to insufficient accuracy of the sensors used for real-time soil and crop mapping [9].

Given the speed of the developments of mechatronics and information technology, with the continuous launch of technological innovations in a highly competitive market such as agricultural machinery, equipment quickly becomes obsolete, especially due to the evolution of the communication protocols between hardware and software (between spreaders, seeders, and sprayers, for example, and monitoring and control systems). Therefore, the adaption and update of control systems that maintain or provide the possibility of operating in VRT represents a challenge of great interest that has been taken on by several research teams, as shown in the list of studies presented in Table 1.

Based on the above-mentioned techniques and technologies, numerous types of control systems for VRA of granular fertilizers have been created, tested, and put into practice by many researchers in order to evaluate their accuracy and efficiency under experimental and real field conditions. Some works fall into sensor-based approaches [2,10–12], while a very important number follow the map-based approach [13–24] (Table 1). Table 1 also summarizes three important characteristics of these systems: the controller or microcontroller type, the sensors used for monitoring the output fertilizer rate, and the actuators type used as control drives. The low-cost solutions (technological update, which is the case of upgrading of this Vicon RS-EDW spreader) are particularly important for small farmers, who cannot continuously make large investments in new technologies. Low-income farmers are the most susceptible to negative changes in the environment. Providing these farmers with the right tools to mitigate adversity and to gain greater control of the production process could unlock their potential and support rural communities to meet the increasing global food demand [10]. Effectively, VRA provides the opportunity to improve the economic and environmental dimensions of agriculture [6]. This upgrade to VRT, the basis of the VRA concept, when compared with uniform application, offers a sustainable, efficient, and cost-effective solution for fertilizer application [4]. Precision application of agricultural inputs strives to optimize crop profitability and sustainability by increasing yield and improving input efficiency while concurrently decreasing spatial and temporal variability and diminishing adverse environmental effects [8].
Table 1. Examples of case studies carried out to adapt and update variable rate technology (VRT) spreader control systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Spreader</th>
<th>Control Type</th>
<th>Controller/Microcontroller</th>
<th>Flow Sensors Type</th>
<th>Actuators Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loon et al. [10]</td>
<td>Universal</td>
<td>Real-time (Greenseek N-sensors)</td>
<td>Microcontroller (not available)</td>
<td>Position sensor</td>
<td>Electric linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirzakhaninafchi et al. [12]</td>
<td>Not available (commercial row fertilizer applicator)</td>
<td>Real-time (Yara N-sensors)</td>
<td>Raspberry Pi3 BCM2837/Arduino Uno</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electro-hydraulic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tola et al. [13]</td>
<td>TJEV-4LR–TABATA</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>PC (not available)</td>
<td>Proximity sensor, level and linear sensors</td>
<td>Electric motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meng et al. [14]</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>PC 104 CPU module TI 2407 DSP</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electro-hydraulic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jafari et al. [15]</td>
<td>Hassia DU100 (seeder)</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>DC motor controller</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electric motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serrano et al. [16]</td>
<td>Vicon RS-EDW</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>Fieldstar and Ferticontrol</td>
<td>Load cells</td>
<td>Electric linear (Linak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talha et al. [17]</td>
<td>ATESPAR–GIAD Company</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>ATMega16L</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electro-pneumatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forouzanmehr and Loghavi [18]</td>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>PCatMega16</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electric stepper motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reyes et al. [19]</td>
<td>Baldan SPD 2200</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>Strip-Till Granular Control Module–Ag Leader</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electro-hydraulic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martínez et al. [20]</td>
<td>SOLA model D-903</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>Trimble EZ-Boom Arduino UNO</td>
<td>Position sensor</td>
<td>Electric linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandel et al. [21]</td>
<td>Not available (commercial row fertilizer applicator)</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>PCMC AtMega 328P</td>
<td>Position sensor</td>
<td>DC motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurjar et al. [22]</td>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>LCDAtMega 8A</td>
<td>Proximity and torque sensors</td>
<td>Electric stepper motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameen et al. [23]</td>
<td>SOLA TRISSEN 294/R</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>Arduino MEGA 2560</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electro-pneumatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al. [24]</td>
<td>UAV Model R20</td>
<td>Post-processing (prescription maps)</td>
<td>MC STM32</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Electric motor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many types of fertilizer ejectors are used in variable rate fertilization devices, including the outer-grooved wheel type, centrifugal type, vibrating type, and star wheel type [1], although the centrifugal disc spreader is by far the most common applicator for granule fertilizer in Europe [7]. This spreader type uses the rotating disk at the bottom to scatter fertilizer particles under the action of centrifugal force [1]. The implementation of VRT
technology is based on regulating the distributor opening, regulating the speed of the fluted cylinder shaft, or both (double-variable fertilization device, speed and opening).

In this study, a centrifugal two-disc spreader Vicon RS-EDW with two electric linear actuators (regulation of the opening) was used. Despite this Vicon spreader still being functional and equipped with sensors, actuators, and electronic processing units, it has become obsolete in terms of communication, incompatible with new monitoring and control systems based in the “Isobus” protocol. The studies of Table 1 in general present the results of the development and test of VRT systems or the evaluation of the field performance of commercial VRT systems. We are not aware of any published studies that show solutions for resolving incompatibility between communication protocols and new commercial spreader control systems. This is the main novelty of the proposal presented in this article in relation to the previously published works.

Figure 1 schematically shows the structure of this article, from the scientific problem posed to the proposed solution based in serial protocol mediation.

Figure 1. Structure of this article: from the problem posed to the proposed solution.

The aim of this work is to present a low-cost solution that would allow updating of the control system (“Ferticontrol”) of a “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader for VRA, making it compatible with the “InCommand” system from “Ag Leader”.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Characteristics of Vicon RS-EDW Spreader

In this work, a “Vicon Rotaflow RS-EDW” spreader was used, with a “Ferticontrol” controller. This spreader, acquired in 2003 (Figure 2), can be used to apply fertilizers or seeds, with variable rates (R, in kg/ha). The equipment receives mechanical power through the tractor’s power take-off (at a standard speed of 540 rpm), which transmits rotation to a gearbox, where it is possible to regulate a combination of gears, with different transmission ratios, making it possible to adjust the rotation speed of the two centrifugal distribution discs. The combination of the disc rotation speed, the adjustment of the fertilizer’s drop point on the disc, and the characteristics of the fertilizer (density and granulometry) allow the working width adjustment (L, in m). The working width is instruction data inserted by the operator in the monitoring and control system (“Ferticontrol”).
The “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader is equipped with electronic sensors at the base of the hopper (four load cells and a reference sensor), which allow accurate and regular weighing of the amount of fertilizer present in the hopper (every half second). This therefore provides a measurement of the flow of fertilizer being applied (Q, in kg h\(^{-1}\)). This information is transmitted to a microprocessor, which also receives information on the tractor’s forward speed (v, in km h\(^{-1}\)); this can be provided by a radar or a magnetic proximity sensor placed in the tractor transmission. With this information, the microprocessor calculates the work capacity (C\(_t\), in ha h\(^{-1}\); Equation (1)) and the rate of fertilizer application (R, in kg ha\(^{-1}\); Equation (2)).

\[
C_t(\text{ha/h}) = v \times L \times 0.1 \tag{1}
\]

\[
R(\text{kg/ha}) = \frac{Q}{C_t} \tag{2}
\]

The spreader also has two linear electric actuators (“LINAK”, model “3031PO”; Figure 3a) that make it possible to adjust, continuously, the position of three fertilizer dosing plates located at the bottom of the hopper, between the closed (Figure 3b) and open (Figure 3c) positions, therefore adjusting the flow rate. When the microprocessor receives instructions on the rate of fertilizer to be applied, it compares this instruction with the value measured from load cells in the field. Whenever there is no correspondence between the programmed and applied rate, the microprocessor gives instructions to the electrical actuators to adjust the opening of the dosing plates and, in this way, guarantees compliance with the programmed density.
2.2. Previous VRT Control System of Vicon RS-EDW Spreader

For this spreader to operate in VRT mode, a system is required that, receiving georeferenced information via Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receiver, which functions as an interface with the “Ferticontrol” console. Between 2004 and 2010, the spreader was used with a “Massey-Ferguson” (MF) agricultural tractor, model 6130. A partnership was established with MF that allowed the use of its “Fiedstar” system and a GNSS antenna for this purpose, “Garmin 16” (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Previous solution to variable rate technology (VRT) control of “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader with the “Massey-Ferguson 6130” tractor: details of GNSS antenna “Garmin 16” and “Ferticontrol” and “Fieldstar” controllers (this with the memory card type “PCMCIA”).](image)

The data transfer (prescription map) was loaded via a PCMCIA memory card. After 20 years, this system stopped receiving updates and support from the manufacturer, becoming an obsolete system. Its programming and map analysis software ran on “Windows XP”, which was also no longer supported as of 2014. Furthermore, data transfer between the computer and the “Fieldstar” system was carried out through the “PCMCIA” memory card, with the brand’s own data format (MF), thus making system maintenance and data transfer difficult.

2.3. Proposed System of Vicon RS-EDW Spreader Control as VRT

To try to resolve this issue, while maintaining the functionality of the spreader in VRT mode, an “InCommand 1200” (IC) monitor (launched in 2015 by “Ag Leader”) was purchased from the “AAMS Ibérica” as a monitor for controlling tasks in PA. This controller is compatible with universal “Isobus” terminals (ISO 11783) [25], which allows connection to various implements; however, none of its interfaces showed compatibility with the “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader. In a first approach, an analysis and budget proposal were requested from the “Kverneland” group, the current representative of this equipment, to make the distributor compatible with the new monitoring and control system (IC). The proposal involved modifying the entire plate mechanical system, updating the control system, and replacing the spreader actuators and sensors. This solution had an extremely high cost (of around EUR 15,000) for a 20-year-old spreader and with no guarantee that it could, in the end, achieve the proposed objective and the correct functioning of the solution.

When integrating equipment that is not compatible with the “Isobus” terminal (as is the case of “Ferticontrol”), “Ag Leader” has several “CANBUS” modules for the IC monitor, which allow connection to controllers, sensors, and actuators of older equipment, including the “Application Rate” (AR), the “Spinner Spreader Control” (SSC), and the “Auxiliary Input” (AI) modules. Figure 5 schematically shows the proposed solution for VRT control.
of “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader based on an “Arduino” microcontroller and open-source software to make the “In-Command” (Ag Leader) compatible with the “Ferticontrol”.

![Diagram of equipment connections](image)

Figure 5. Proposed solution for variable rate technology (VRT) control of “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader based on “Arduino” microcontroller board and open-source software to make “InCommand” (Ag Leader) and “Ferticontrol” compatible with each other.

3. Results and Discussion

A working configuration of the fertilizer application in the “Direct Command” (DC) system version 7.5 (2022 Ag Leader Technology, 2202 South Riverside Drive, Ames, IA, USA) was carried out, using the “IC” monitor and the “AR” module. A “serial RS232” connection was established with the PC and, using an algorithm developed in “Python” programming language, it was possible to send instruction messages through “IC” (current proposal), for example, with the prescription rate of “100 kg ha$^{-1}$ ("$\text{RATE}, 100^*1F$").

Similarly, the “Fieldstar” monitor was configured with an existing job on a “PCMCIA” card, so as to obtain the message it sends to “Ferticontrol” also for a “100 kg ha$^{-1}$” prescription. A connection was made between the “Fieldstar” and the “Ferticontrol” with a “serial RS232” connection in “T” format, allowing simultaneous connection to the PC. A “Python” algorithm was used to capture the content of the message (“$\text{DOSES}, 0.00, 100.0, 100.0, 100.0^*7F$”) via the PC’s “serial RS232”. Knowing that “Ferticontrol” needed to assume the programmed density, a “serial RS232” communication test was carried out between the PC and “Ferticontrol”. First, the message captured in the previous step (“$\text{DOSES}, 0.00, 100.0, 100.0, 100.0^*7F$”) was sent and accepted by the “Ferticontrol” monitor. Next, the content of the message was changed, varying the prescription rate from 100 to 300 kg ha$^{-1}$ (“$\text{DOSES}, 0.00, 300.0, 300.0^*7F$”), but it was not recognized by “Ferticontrol”.

Two messages were analyzed (“$\text{DOSES}, 0.00, 100.0, 100.0, 100.0^*7F$” and “$\text{DOSES}, 0.00, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0^*7E$”), which had been obtained from the “Fieldstar” monitor (prescriptions that were programmed on the memory card with 100 and 0 kg ha$^{-1}$, respectively) to try to decipher the message’s encryption. It turned out that the last two characters varied
depending on the content of the message. These characters acted as a checksum, used in “Fieldstar”—“Ferticontrol” communication. A “Python” algorithm was developed to decipher the system’s checksum calculation pattern. The use of an “XOR” (exclusive) checksum was verified. A “Python” algorithm was developed to calculate the checksum to be added at the end of each “Ferticontrol” command message.

After message decoding (encryption and checksum; Figure 6), it was necessary to test the operation of the spreader control system.

As this equipment is daily used in the farm, it would not be available during the communication test period. For this reason, an emulator system was developed on “Raspberry Pi”, with two “RS232” serial ports: in essence, it simulated sending the command instruction from the “IC” through one “RS232” serial port (SP1) and receiving the corresponding command instruction from the converter system, which, in this case, was the PC, through the second “RS232” serial port (SP2; Figure 7). The command received by the emulator would be the instruction sent to “Ferticontrol”, which was displayed on a screen for format checking. This system allowed the communication algorithm and conversion of command messages to be robustized. Electronic circuit boards and case used in the device are presented in Figure 8.

![Figure 6. Proposed solution as actual variable rate technology (VRT) control of “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader based on microcontroller; SP—serial port; PC—personal computer.](image)

![Figure 7. Solution proposed to communication between “InCommand” and “Ferticontrol”; detail of the microcontroller “Arduino” with liquid crystal display (LCD: top line—message received from “InCommand”; bottom line—message sent and executed by “Ferticontrol”).](image)
Using a PC with an algorithm developed in “Python”, which converted the instruction command from “InCommand” to “Ferticontrol”, a connection was made on the tractor between the “IC” monitor, the “AR” module, and “Ferticontrol”. A prescription map was designed for field operational tests. In these tests, an adjustment of 0.5 s was needed to synchronize the messages.

The conversion system, developed in “Python” on PC, was migrated to an “Arduino” microcontroller with two “RS232” serial ports. This system works in a continuous loop, where, every 0.5 s, it receives the command message from the “IC”, converts and sends it to the “Ferticontrol” monitor. The algorithms developed are presented in Figures 9 and 10. The message received and sent was displayed on a display connected to “Arduino”. Field tests were carried out based on the prescription map, with all the equipment mounted on the tractor (Figure 11). The tests showed that the “Ferticontrol” monitor assumed the programmed prescription rate (“Direct Command”), depending on the location of the tractor at the field.

**Algorithm 1: Main** - This algorithm continuously reads incoming data from Serial1 “Application Rate Module” and calls other algorithms to process the data to extract the commanded Rate, generates the “Ferticontrol” formatted message command with the rate value and checksum and sends it over Serial2 to “Ferticontrol”.

1. while(Function is Available (Serial1)) do
2. dose_tx = Process_Input_Serial_Data (Serial1)
3. out_string = Generate_Ferticontrol_Message (dose_tx)
4. Function write_String(Serial2, out_string)
5. end while

**Figure 9.** Main algorithm of the proposed solution.

The results obtained in the bench or field tests show that it is possible to control the “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader in VRT mode, using the “Direct Command” software on the “InCommand” monitor from “Ag Leader”.

**Figure 8.** Electronic circuit boards and case used in the device (microcontroller “Arduino”, liquid crystal display, LCD, and serial ports 1 and 2).
Algorithm 2: Process_Input_Serial_Data - This algorithm reads incoming data from Serial1 "Application Rate Module" and extracts a substring from the input string that represents a rate value between ",", and "" for further use.

Inputs: Serial1: Serial communication object for data input from "Ag Leader InCommand Application Rate Module"

1. In String = Function read_String(Serial1)
2. dose tx = "0.00"
3. If (In String ! = "") and (In String != NULL) then
4. start Index = Function index_of(In String, ",") + 1
5. end Index = Function index_of(In String, """)
6. dose tx = Function sub_String(start Index, end Index)
7. return dose tx Returns a string with input rate value

Algorithm 3: XOR_Checksum - This algorithm processes each byte in the data array, applying XOR operation with the current checksum value, and update the checksum. The final result is the 8-bit XOR checksum of the input data.

Inputs: data: an array of bytes, len: the length of the data array

Output: crc: the calculated 8-bit XOR checksum

1. crc = 0x00
2. for (i=0; i<len; i++) do
3. crc = Function XOR(crc, data[i])
4. return crc

Algorithm 4: Generate_Ferticontrol_Message - This algorithm generates a message string in the "Ferticontrol" command format, calculates an 8-bit XOR checksum for the message, converts the checksum to a hexadecimal string, and then returns the formatted message with the rate value and checksum.

Inputs: dose tx: Fertilizer rate value as a string

Outputs: message_output: Formatted data output to "Ferticontrol"

1. message = "DOSES,0.00"," + dose tx + ",0.0"," + dose tx + ",0.0"
2. len = Function get_length(message)+1
3. data[len]
4. data = Function to_BYTES(message)
5. checksum = Function XOR_Checksum(data, len)
6. hexCheckSum = Function convert_to_Hexadecimal(checksum)
7. message_output = Function send_Serial("$" + message + "," + hexCheckSum)
8. return message_output

Figure 10. Complementary algorithms of the proposed solution.

There could be other possible alternative approaches, for example, deactivating the "Vicon" distributor controller ("Ferticontrol") and directly controlling the "Linak" actuators and sensors (load cells, level, and magnetic proximity sensors) through a microcontroller. This option would provide greater possibilities for development (mechanical, electronic, and informatic) and even greater flexibility in controlling the "Vicon" spreader, since the microcontroller would autonomously control the actuators and sensors, as carried out by Chandel et al. [21] or Gurjar et al. [22], and can even evolve into functions for cutting sections and recording the real fertilizer rate applied to the field. However, in addition to the added complexity, it would be necessary to disconnect the original control system from the spreader ("Ferticontrol", load cells, level sensor, and actuators) to make the connections to the "Arduino" microcontroller and its development and testing, which would put the spreader inoperative for common agricultural activities on the farm for an indefinite period.
It would also be necessary to redo all the calibration of the equipment and carry out field tests to validate the fertilizer rate applied by the spreader in accordance with the prescription map of the “Direct Command” software, similar to those carried out by various teams, for example, Serrano et al. [16], Mahmoodpour et al. [26], or Wan et al. [27].

![Figure 11. Proposed solution (“InCommand”–“Arduino”–“Ferticontrol”) installed on the tractor.](image)

The great advantage of the proposed system (Figure 5) is the integration of all the original equipment of the “Vicon” spreader (controller, sensors, and actuators), maintaining both its functionality and, therefore, its permanent availability for the farm’s agricultural activities and the robustness of factory calibrations in terms of sensors (monitoring) and actuators (variable control) in fertilizer application. It did not require calibrations of dosing systems to guarantee different application rates, as they are already parameterized and tested in previous tests with the “Ferticontrol” monitor [16].

The characteristics of the “hardware” and “software” used, which are easy to access and open-source, allow the quick and accurate control of the “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader, in VRT mode, with the communication of the application rate between “InCommand” and “Ferticontrol” every 0.5 s. This short average response time to switch between the target fertilizer rates is similar to that obtained in other works with electric drive, such as Alameen et al. [23], who registered a response time of 6–11 microseconds, Al-Gaadi et al. [6], with average response time of 0.1 s, or Tola et al. [13] and Meng et al. [14], with a response time of 1–2 s to change from one fertilizer’s application rate to another. This response time will allow the equipment to accurately implement variable prescription maps, achieving effective variation in fertilizer application rates between different management zones. Serrano et al. [16], in tests under real field conditions in pastures, found that this Vicon spreader and “Fiedstar”–“Ferticontrol” VRT systems led to a machine delay time of 6–7 s and an actual fertilization application rate around 90% of the target rate. Jafari et al. [15] also recorded 5–7 s of response time in dynamic tests of a variable rate electrical controller, while Mirzakhaninafchi et al. [12], with an electro-hydraulic control system, showed an operational response time in the range of 3.5 to 5 s. Relative to the accuracy, Yu et al. [28] present a real-time measurement system, based on a weighing sensor, to evaluate the instantaneous application rates of fertilizers and recorded approximately 3% application rate error in dynamic performance tests; on the other hand, Tola et al. [13] showed overall system errors of ± 5%, while Forouzannehr and Loghavi [18] showed successful response to the target discharge rates of an electric drive system, with an overall mean error of 5.4%, which can, in some cases, reach 9%. In summary, Al-Gaadi et al. [6], in their review article based on previous research on the performance of the existing control and monitoring systems used in VRA of solid fertilizers, found high application accuracy.
Depending on the actuation method employed, the map-based systems utilized for VRA of solid fertilizers operate with an overall accuracy ranging between 94% and 98%.

Since fertilization is a recognized and important component of agriculture, in terms of potential benefits for farmers, the VRT can provide both economic and environmental benefits [6]. In traditional systems, fertilizers are applied uniformly and, thus, some areas of a field may receive less fertilizer that is needed, while other parts may receive more than required for the healthy growth of the plants. It is well known that the plants might not fulfill their full genetic potential if the fertilization is not sufficient, while an excessive application of fertilizers, especially of nitrogen, can result in leaching and pollution of surface and ground waters. Both these situations result in avoidable and unnecessary economic loss for the farmer [6]. In contrast, the use of VRT helps improve soil fertility and results in a more uniform production along the field, increasing the average productivity [6].

In terms of future research perspectives and despite the remarkable development of the systems currently used in VRA of solid fertilizers [6], it is important to continue this work through comparison between variable rate and uniform fertilizer application [29] in order to increase the assimilation of precision agriculture among farmers and to demonstrate its efficiency at the field scale given the numerous field variables that influence its accuracy.

4. Conclusions

This work presents a low-cost solution that would allow updating of the control system (“Ferticontrol”) of a “Vicon RS-EDW” spreader for VRA, making it compatible with the “InCommand” system from “Ag Leader”. The solution proposed in this study is to update this “Vicon” spreader, as VRT has the potential and the versatility of responding to other brands and also other equipment, namely seeders and sprayers. The development shows that this solution is accessible to farmers in general. Fundamentally, farmers become aware of these developments so that they realize that there is a technological leap to be made and that the expensive initial investment that they made in equipment some years ago, which quickly became obsolete, can, after all, continue to have an economic return with the support of these partnerships.

This type of development and update to technologically obsolete agricultural machinery also creates a market opportunity, which can lead to the creation of small new enterprises providing technology-based services, in the first phase, evaluating each situation and choosing the best implementation options. These partnerships guarantee permanent innovation and represent a decisive step towards modern, technological, competitive, and sustainable agriculture, particularly important to low-resource farmers.
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