Effect of Plant Spacings on Growth, Physiology, Yield and Fiber Quality Attributes of Cotton Genotypes under Nitrogen Fertilization
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application could be a better strategy to increase cotton growth, yield, physiology, and fiber quality. However, long-term studies under different climatic conditions are suggested for wider plant spacing with nitrogen fertilizers.
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1. Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is an essential cash crop of Pakistan that provides yarn and edible oil. Pakistan earns 55% of its total foreign exchange earnings by exporting lint and value-added cotton products. In addition, cotton supplies raw materials for its textile and oil manufacturing industries, and cotton contributes 0.8% of the GDP and 4.1% of the agriculture value addition of the country [1]. In 2019–2020, cotton was cultivated on 2527 hectares with a total production of 9.17 million bales. The cotton crop registered a decline of 6.9% to 9.86 million bales [2].

It is important to plan improved management practices that enhance cotton yield potential. Cotton is extremely susceptible to abiotic stresses. Cotton growth and development are significantly influenced by climatic adversaries and seasonal management practices such as variety selection, sowing date, sowing method, plant spacing, water requirement, seed treatment, and appropriate fertilizer application [3,4].

Planting density is very critical for achieving maximum crop development and yield potential under irrigated conditions. In cotton, planting density has a significant effect on the development and yield attributes of the cotton plant. Nevertheless, the optimum plant population per unit area varies as a function of variety. According to its plant architecture, each variety has its plant-to-plant distance requirements [5]. Farmers used their conventional planting methods to sustain plant spacing rather than varietal requirements, and thus, did not attain high crop yield. The plant population affects crop growth dynamics by imposing competition among plants for space, solar radiation, nutrients, and moisture uptake.

Arshad et al. [6] revealed that the planting-density factor plays a crucial role in seed cotton yield. Humidity increases due to higher planting density, providing favourable conditions for insect attack in cotton crops and increasing the attack of cotton leaf curl virus and rotting of bolls [7]. At lower planting density, sympodial branches are longer, and a high percentage of bolls are produced on outer fruiting branches. On the other hand, primary-position bolls produced by narrow plant density are higher in boll weight and higher yield [8]. Maximum yield can be obtained by maintaining the optimum plant population according to plant morphological characteristics. When the cotton crop’s plant population is low, the yield and fiber quality are also affected [9].

In contrast, deficiency of primary nutrients, especially nitrogen, plays a significant role in the growth and development of cotton. Nitrogen is commonly considered the most limiting factor for cotton growth, yield, and radiation use efficiency [10]. Nitrogen application in cotton crops enhances leaf area index and flowering when applied before flowering [11]. On the other hand, high nitrogen availability may shift the balance between vegetative and reproductive growth toward excessive vegetative development, thus delaying crop maturity and reducing seed cotton yield [12]. Higher doses of nitrogen application lead toward more vegetative growth and result in crop maturity delay and ultimately a reduction in crop yield [12].

In addition, nitrogen is the fundamental part of several biochemical compounds. Its unavailability influences photosynthetic rate, crop growth rate and source-sink association of crops [11]. It also prevents the plant from boll (fruit) and square abscission [13,14]. Moreover, cotton genotype and nitrogen relation effect found that Bt cotton exhibited a quadratic nitrogen response, whereas non-Bt cotton has a linear nitrogen response [15]. Ali et al. [16] found that the recommended dose of nitrogen (150 kg ha⁻¹) produced taller
plants with high boll number and weight. The hypothesis was made that wider plant spacing with nitrogen application could improve the productivity of cotton cultivars.

Therefore, the present study was conducted with the main objective of determining the optimum plant spacing in different cotton cultivars without nitrogen and with the recommended dose of nitrogen on the impact of cotton growth, physiology, yield, and fiber quality parameters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Site

The field trial was carried out at the Agronomic Research Area, MNS University of Agriculture, Multan, Pakistan (30.2° N, 71.45° E), during the cotton growing season in 2017 (Figure 1). Soil properties were measured as they vary with land use [15,16]. The soil texture was sandy clay loam (34% sand, 36% silt and clay 30%) with ECe 9.56 dSm⁻¹, pH 8.0, organic matter content 0.72%, total nitrogen 0.036%, available phosphorous 9.20 mg kg⁻¹, and available potassium 250 mg kg⁻¹. All the data were obtained by pre-sowing soil sampling.

![Figure 1](image-url). The average minimum, maximum and mean air temperature and rainfall in Multan, Pakistan during 2017. The dotted lines show the duration of experiment.

2.2. Treatments

Treatments consisted of a factorial combination of four genotypes (variety MNH-1016, experimental line FH-Lalazar, variety NIAB-878, experimental line Cyto-124), five
plant spacings (15.0 cm, 22.5 cm, 30.0 cm, 37.5 cm, 45.0 cm) and nitrogen fertilization \([N_0 (0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}), N_1 (197 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1})]\). Treatments were carried out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) under factorial arrangements with three replicates. The net plot size was 9 m \times 1.5 m. The number of rows were two within the treatment plots.

### 2.3. Field Experiment

The seedbeds were prepared by cultivating the soil three times with a tractor-mounted plough followed by planking. Application of phosphatic and potassium fertilizer were applied at the time of land preparation by broadcasting to the entire field, i.e., Triple superphosphate (TSP) at the amount of 86 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and Sulphate of Potash (SOP) at the rate of 80 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Nitrogen was applied 197 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Three splits fulfilled the nitrogen requirement. The first dose of nitrogen was applied at 30 days after sowing (DAS), the second dose at 60 DAS, and the third dose of nitrogen was applied at 90 DAS. When soil attained optimum moisture level, the seedbed was prepared (75 cm) and sowing was performed. The seeds were sown on beds manually by the dibbling method according to plant spacing treatments. The row spacing was maintained at 75 cm. All the recommended agronomic practices were carried out as per the local agriculture department. Within 24 h of sowing, pendimethalin (pre-emergence herbicide, 1000 mL per acre) was sprayed using a flat fan nozzle. The furrows were again irrigated three days after dibbling to achieve successful seed emergence. In total, nine irrigations were applied when needed at various plant developmental stages until the crop reached physiological maturity. Plant protection measures were adopted against sucking pests and bollworms when needed.

### 2.4. Data Collection

Plant height, number of nodes plant\(^{-1}\), monopodial and sympodial branches were measured from five selected plants from each plot. The seeds’ cotton was picked from the whole plot manually and then the yield was converted into kg ha\(^{-1}\). The bolls were air-dried to obtain moisture contents below 11\%, and the weight of individual bolls was measured to obtain the average boll weight. A representative tester of 100 g from all treatment plots was used for ginning. The lint obtained from each sample was weighed and its GOT was calculated by using the following formula [17]:

\[
\text{Ginning out turn (GOT)} = \frac{\text{Weight of lint (g)}}{\text{Weight of seed cotton (g)}} \times 100
\]

The fiber length, strength, and fineness were measured by placing a 2.0 g sample of lint in a high-value instrument (HVI), available in the Fiber Technology Section, Central Cotton Research Institute, Multan, Pakistan.

The leaf area was measured by a portable leaf-area meter (Model CI-202, CID IBio Science, Inc. 1554 NE 3rd Ave Camas, WA98607). The average leaf area index (LAI) was calculated by using the formula as follows [18]:

\[
\text{Leaf Area Index (LAI)} = \frac{\text{Leaf area per plant}}{\text{Ground area per plant}}
\]

Stomatal conductance and net photosynthetic rate were measured with the help of a portable infrared gas analyzer system (IRGA) CID, Inc. Photosynthetic systems CI-340. Chlorophyll contents were measured by a portable chlorophyll meter (Minolta SPAD-502). Chlorophyll readings of 10 plants per plot were taken from the fourth and fifth uppermost, fully expanded leaves on the main stem of cotton. The plants were sampled weekly for seven weeks, beginning one week after the onset of “pinhead”. Afterwards, the 10 measured leaves were detached for the determination of total nitrogen content.

Total nitrogen content was analyzed by the Kjeldhal’s digestion and distillation method [19]. Total nitrogen in the plant samples was determined by digesting the plant sample with sulphuric acid and digestion mixture. For this purpose, 0.25 g plant material
was weighed in a digestion tube, then a 4.0 g digestion mixture (K$_2$SO$_4$ and CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O in 9:1 ratio) and 20 mL of sulphuric acid were added. The samples were kept overnight. The samples were digested on the digestion rack at 350 °C temperature till the blackish material turned green. The digestion tube was removed from the digestion rack and made to a volume 100 mL of the digest. Total nitrogen in the plant samples was determined with UDK automatic nitrogen distillation apparatus, available in the Plant Nutrition Laboratory of Soil Salinity Research Institute (SSRI), Pindi Bhattian, Pakistan, using 40% NaOH solution and 4% boric acid. The sample was back-titrated with 0.1N H$_2$SO$_4$ to the reddish endpoint [20].

Total phosphorus and potassium in plant samples were determined by performing wet digestion of plant samples with nitric acid and perchloric acid. For this purpose, 0.50 g material was weighed in a 250 mL conical flask, then 10 mL nitric acid and 5 mL perchloric acid were added. The samples were kept overnight. The samples were digested until 2–3 mL milky solution was left in the conical flask. The digested samples were removed and the final volume of solution was made to 50 mL.

The digested aliquot with nitric acid and perchloric acid was used to determine total P from plant samples. For this purpose, 5mL aliquot was taken in a 50 mL volumetric flask, then we added 5 mL 0.25% ammonium metavanadate solution and 5 mL 5% ammonium molybdate solution. The final volume was made to 50 mL. A yellow color was formed. Samples were kept for 30 min, a standard was prepared, and color intensity was measured at 400 nm wavelength with a PD-303S Apel spectrophotometer in the plant nutrition laboratory at SSRI, Pindi Bhattian [21].

The digested aliquot with nitric acid and perchloric acid was used to determine total K from plant samples. For this purpose, 5mL aliquot was taken in a 50 mL volumetric flask, then, a final volume was made to 50 mL with distilled water. Standard solution readings were noted on Jen way PFP-7 flame photometer in the plant nutrition laboratory at SSRI, PindiBhattian [21].

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using R software (Version 4.1.2) by applying a linear model. The “nlme” package was used to fit the linear model in R. Each factor was statistically analyzed separately as this was an RCBD factorial experiment. The mean separation was performed at $p < 0.05$ with Tukey multiple comparison test using “emmeans” package in R.

3. Results

3.1. Plant Height, Monopodial Branches, Sympodial Branches, Leaf Area and Nodes Plant$^{-1}$

The main effects of variety of nitrogen rate and variety × plant spacing were found to be significant for plant height at $p < 0.05$ (Table 1). The nitrogen application of 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ showed a 22.1% increase in plant height when compared to no nitrogen application. The 15.0 cm plant spacing showed a 2.8%, 5.6%, 4.2% and 4.9% increase in plant height compared to other plant spacings 22.5, 30.0, 37.5, and 45.0 cm, respectively. The MNH-1016 showed 10.6%, 13.9%, 9.1% and 9.9% higher plants than FH-Lalazar, NIAB-878 and Cyto-124, respectively (Table 2).

The main significant effects of plant spacing and nitrogen rate were on the monopodial branches (Table 1). The nitrogen application 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ increased by 25.0% the monopodial branches compared to with no nitrogen application. The wider plant spacing performs better than the narrow plant spacing. The 45.0 cm plant spacing showed a 22.1%, 32.6%, 16.3% and 7.5% increase in monopodial branches compared to 15.0, 22.5, 30.0, 37.5, and 45.0 cm plant spacings, respectively (Table 2). The cultivar Cyto-124 showed the highest number of monopodial branches of all cultivars (MNH-1016, FH-Lalazar, NIAB-878, and Cyto-124).

Table 1. P value of main and interaction effect of variety, plant spacing and nitrogen rates on the cotton growth, physiology, yield, and fiber quality attributes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Plant Height</th>
<th>Monopodial Branches</th>
<th>Sympodial Branches</th>
<th>Leaf Area</th>
<th>Nodes Plant$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Bolls Plant$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Boll Weight</th>
<th>Seed Cotton Yield</th>
<th>GOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variety (V)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The effect of nitrogen rates, plant spacing and variety on the cotton plant height, monopodial branches, sympodial branches, leaf area and nodes plant$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Plant Height (cm)</th>
<th>Monopodial Branches</th>
<th>Sympodial Branches</th>
<th>Leaf Area (cm$^2$)</th>
<th>Nodes Plant$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nitrogen Rate Effect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_0$ (0 kg N ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>130.57 ± 11.21b</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.28b</td>
<td>19.46 ± 2.83a</td>
<td>228.75 ± 24.95b</td>
<td>26.63 ± 4.36b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_1$ (197 kg N ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>158.73 ± 15.42a</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.35a</td>
<td>16.61 ± 3.38b</td>
<td>266.08 ± 33.30a</td>
<td>29.60 ± 4.67a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plant × Plant Spacing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0 cm</td>
<td>149.26 ± 27.56a</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.25d</td>
<td>19.7 ± 3.169a</td>
<td>279.58 ± 32.99c</td>
<td>23.25 ± 3.70d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.5 cm</td>
<td>145.61 ± 16.70a</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.30d</td>
<td>19.04 ± 2.62ab</td>
<td>268.75 ± 27.39bc</td>
<td>25.62 ± 3.80c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0 cm</td>
<td>141.98 ± 18.04a</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.26bc</td>
<td>17.83 ± 2.95b</td>
<td>244.04 ± 26.51b</td>
<td>29.00 ± 3.65b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5 cm</td>
<td>143.72 ± 17.04a</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.32ab</td>
<td>17.54 ± 3.24bc</td>
<td>230.42 ± 20.59c</td>
<td>30.62 ± 3.49ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.0 cm</td>
<td>142.68 ± 16.62a</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.31a</td>
<td>16.00 ± 3.93c</td>
<td>214.29 ± 16.81d</td>
<td>32.08 ± 4.76a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Varietal Effect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNH-1016</td>
<td>156.16 ± 23.53a</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.32a</td>
<td>20.23 ± 2.59a</td>
<td>236 ± 39.37c</td>
<td>29.16 ± 4.55a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH-Lalazar</td>
<td>141.84 ± 14.77b</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.33a</td>
<td>19.80 ± 2.72a</td>
<td>245 ± 30.02bc</td>
<td>27 ± 4.80b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAB-878</td>
<td>137.60 ± 17.34b</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.37a</td>
<td>16.33 ± 3.05b</td>
<td>250 ± 67.84ab</td>
<td>29.90 ± 4.04a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyto-124</td>
<td>143.01 ± 16.97b</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.38a</td>
<td>15.80 ± 2.85b</td>
<td>257 ± 29.25a</td>
<td>26.40 ± 4.83b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters are showing significant difference at $p \leq 0.05$.

The main significant effect of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rate was found on the sympodial branches per plant (Table 1). The nitrogen application of 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ reduced the number of sympodial branches compared to with no nitrogen application. The narrow plant spacing was found to be better for the number of sympodial branches per plant than wider plant spacing. The MNH-1016 variety showed higher sympodial branches per plant over other FH-Lalazar, NIAB-878, and Cyto-124 cultivars (Table 2).

The main significant interaction effect of variety, nitrogen rate, and plant spacing was found to be on cotton leaf area (Table 1). The nitrogen application 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ increased by 16.7% the leaf area compared to the plot where no nitrogen was applied. The plant spacing 15.0 cm increased the leaf area by 4.1%, 14.3%, 21.3%, and 30.4% compared to plant spacings 22.5, 30.0, 37.5 and 45.0 cm, respectively. The MNH-1016 showed an increase of 2.2%, 23.9% and 28.0% over FH-Lalazar, NIAB-878, and Cyto-124, respectively (Table 2).

The main significant effects of variety, plant spacing and nitrogen rate were found on cotton nodes per plant (Table 1). The nitrogen application of 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ increased the number of nodes per plant compared to no nitrogen application (Table 2). The wider plant spacing showed a higher number of nodes compared to narrow plant spacings. The NIAB-878 variety showed a higher number of nodes per plant than MNH-1016, FH-Lalazar and Cyto-124 (Table 2).

3.2. Bolls per Plant, Boll Weight and Seed Cotton Yield

The main effect of variety, plant spacings, and nitrogen rate was found significantly on the bolls per plant. The interaction effect of variety × nitrogen rate and plant spacing × nitrogen rate was also found to be significant (Table 1). The nitrogen application 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ showed two times higher bolls per plant than the no nitrogen application. The plant spacing 45.0 cm increased by 71.5%, 56.3%, 33.4%, and 20.7% the number of bolls per plant compared to the 15.0, 22.5, 30.0, 37.5 and 45.0 cm plant spacings, respectively. The MNH-1016 increased by 17.3%, 0.7% and 43.5% the number of bolls per plant compared to FH-Lalazar, NIAB-878, and Cyto-124, respectively (Figure 2).

The main significant effect of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rates was found on the boll weight (Table 1). The nitrogen application increased the boll weight compared to the no nitrogen application. The increase in plant spacings increased the boll’s weight. The
MNH-1016 showed the highest bolls weight, while cyto-124 showed minimum boll weight (Figure 2).

The main significant effect of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rate was found on the seed cotton yield at \( p < 0.05 \). The interaction effect of variety \( \times \) plant spacing was also found to be significant (Table 1). The increase in seed cotton yield was 33.1\% with nitrogen application 197 kg ha\(^{-1} \) compared to the no-nitrogen application. The seed cotton yield reduced with increasing the plant spacing. The higher seed cotton yield was at 15.0 cm, while the lowest seed cotton yield was at 45.0 cm. The 15 cm plant spacing showed an increase of 7.1\%, 21.3\%, 39.3\%, and 64.3\% in seed cotton yield compared to 22.5, 30.0, 37.5, and 45.0 cm plant spacings, respectively. The variety MNH-1016 showed higher seed cotton among other cultivars (Figure 2).

### 3.3. Ginning out Turn Staple Length and Fiber Fineness

The main effect of variety and nitrogen rates were found to be significant on the ginning out turn (GOT) at \( p < 0.05 \) (Table 1). The nitrogen application 197 kg ha\(^{-1} \) increased GOT 3.4\% over no-nitrogen application. The plant spacing 45.0 showed the highest GOT while plant spacing 30.0 cm showed the lowest GOT. The variety FH-Lalazar showed an increase of 2.3\%, 7.9\%, and 45.8\% increase in GOT compared to MNH-1016, NIAB-878, and Cyto-124, respectively (Table 3).

![Figure 2](image-url)

Figure 2. Impact of spacing on the seed cotton yield, boll weight, and no. of bolls plant\(^{-1} \) of four cotton cultivars under different nitrogen applications; No (no nitrogen application) and N1 (nitrogen application 197 kg ha\(^{-1} \)). The same letter(s) within variety and nitrogen rate are statistically nonsignificant. Error bar represents the standard deviation (\( n = 3 \)). Different letters are showing significant difference at \( p \leq 0.05 \).
The effect of nitrogen rates, plant spacing and variety on the cotton ginning out turn (GOT), staple, and micronaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Got</th>
<th>Staple</th>
<th>Miconaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Rates Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₀ (0 kg N ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>31.30 ± 5.25a</td>
<td>21.64 ± 2.85b</td>
<td>3.59 ± 0.53b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₁ (197 kg N ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>32.34 ± 5.10b</td>
<td>24.55 ± 3.88a</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.41a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plant × Plant Spacing effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Spacing</th>
<th>Got</th>
<th>Staple</th>
<th>Miconaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.0 cm</td>
<td>31.81 ± 5.21a</td>
<td>20.56 ± 2.66e</td>
<td>4.20 ± 0.56ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.5 cm</td>
<td>31.64 ± 5.47a</td>
<td>21.93 ± 3.00d</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.63ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0 cm</td>
<td>31.46 ± 4.39a</td>
<td>23.16 ± 3.42c</td>
<td>4.19 ± 0.59ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5 cm</td>
<td>31.61 ± 5.35a</td>
<td>24.36 ± 3.56b</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.49b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.0 cm</td>
<td>32.58 ± 5.73a</td>
<td>25.47 ± 3.79a</td>
<td>4.40 ± 0.66a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Varietal effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Got</th>
<th>Staple</th>
<th>Miconaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNH-1016</td>
<td>34.66 ± 2.67a</td>
<td>24.17 ± 3.46b</td>
<td>4.83 ± 0.60a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH-Lalazar</td>
<td>35.45 ± 2.52a</td>
<td>21.88 ± 2.99c</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.44b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAB-878</td>
<td>32.862.65b</td>
<td>25.57 ± 3.72a</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.48b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyto-124</td>
<td>24.31 ± 2.87c</td>
<td>20.76 ± 2.54d</td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.40b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are the mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). The values with the same letter(s) within treatment (nitrogen rate, plant spacing, and variety) are statistically nonsignificant. Different letters are showing significant difference at $p \leq 0.05$.

The main and interaction effects of variety, plant spacings, and nitrogen rate were found to be significant on the staple length (Table 1). The increment in the staple length was 13.4 with the application of nitrogen 197 kg ha⁻¹ over no-nitrogen application. The staple length increased with increasing the plant spacing. The maximum staple length was found at 45.0 cm, while the minimum staple length was found at 15.0 cm. The variety NIAB-878 showed an increase of 5.8, 16.9, and 23.2% as compared to FH-Lalazar, MNH-1016 and Cyto-124, respectively (Table 3).

The main effect of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rate was significant at $p < 0.05$. The interaction effect of variety × plant spacing and variety × plant spacing × nitrogen rate was also found to be significant (Table 1). The higher micronaire was found with the application of nitrogen fertilizer 197 kg ha⁻¹ over no nitrogen application (Table 3). The plant spacing showed a mixed effect on the micronaire. The maximum micronaire was found at 45.0 cm plant spacing. However, the micronaire reduction was seen at 37.5 cm plant spacing. The variety MNH-1016 showed higher micronaire than other varieties. The variety MNH-1016 showed a 19.9%, 18.7%, and 20.4% increase in micronaire over FH-Lalazar, NIAB-878, and Cyto-124, respectively (Table 3).

### 3.4. Photosynthetic Rate, Stomatal Conductance and Chlorophyll Content

The main and interaction effects of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rate were found to be significant on the photosynthetic rate at $p < 0.05$ (Table 1). The nitrogen application of 197 kg ha⁻¹ showed a higher photosynthetic rate than the no nitrogen application. The photosynthetic rate increased with an increase in plant spacing. The maximum photosynthetic rate was found where 45.0 cm plant spacing was practised, while the minimum photosynthetic rate was found with 15.0 cm plant spacing. The variety NIAB-878 showed an increased photosynthetic rate by 6.3, 14.4, and 24.8% compared to MNH-1016, FH-Lalazar, and Cyto-124, respectively (Table 4).
Table 4. The effect of nitrogen rates, plant spacing and variety on photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance chlorophyll contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Photosynthetic Rate</th>
<th>Stomatal Conductance</th>
<th>Chlorophyll Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N}_0 ) (0 kg N ha(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>12.57 ± 4.83b</td>
<td>89.08 ± 64.78b</td>
<td>62.02 ± 26.08b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N}_1 ) (197 kg N ha(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>22.42 ± 8.68a</td>
<td>119.36 ± 41.21a</td>
<td>81.97 ± 24.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Plant} \times \text{Plant Spacing effect} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0 cm</td>
<td>9.54 ± 3.85e</td>
<td>150.05 ± 30.39a</td>
<td>80.24 ± 26.74a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.5 cm</td>
<td>12.80 ± 4.40d</td>
<td>122.39 ± 26.73b</td>
<td>73.15 ± 27.51a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0 cm</td>
<td>17.01 ± 5.67c</td>
<td>97.06 ± 39.15c</td>
<td>71.63 ± 24.90a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5 cm</td>
<td>21.90 ± 7.02b</td>
<td>78.44 ± 29.59d</td>
<td>73.71 ± 25.05a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.0 cm</td>
<td>26.23 ± 8.67ab</td>
<td>73.18 ± 17.96d</td>
<td>78.74 ± 25.68a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Varietal effect} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNH-1016</td>
<td>18.20 ± 9.11ab</td>
<td>110.94 ± 34.95ab</td>
<td>66.60 ± 26.30b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH-Lalazar</td>
<td>16.91 ± 7.53bc</td>
<td>117.08 ± 30.28a</td>
<td>81.21 ± 24.77ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAB-878</td>
<td>19.34 ± 9.95a</td>
<td>100.13 ± 31.72bc</td>
<td>85.15 ± 23.33a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyto-124</td>
<td>15.5 ± 7.36bc</td>
<td>88.74 ± 61.42c</td>
<td>69.03 ± 24.97a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are the mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). The values with the same letter (s) within treatment (nitrogen rate, plant spacing, and variety) are statistically nonsignificant. Different letters are showing significant difference at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

The main and interaction effects of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rates were found to be significant on the stomatal conductance at \( p < 0.05 \) (Table 1). The increment in stomatal conductance was 34.0% with the application of nitrogen fertilizer 197 kg ha\(^{-1} \) compared to the no nitrogen application. The maximum stomatal conductance was recorded at 22.5 cm plant spacing while the minimum was at 45.0 cm (Table 4). The variety FH-Lalazar showed higher stomatal conductance compared to other varieties.

The main effect of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rate was found to be significant on chlorophyll contents at \( p < 0.05 \) (Table 1). The interaction effect of variety \( \times \) plant spacing was also found to be significant. The nitrogen application of 197 kg ha\(^{-1} \) increased by 32.2% the chlorophyll contents over no nitrogen application. The 15.0 cm plant spacing increased chlorophyll contents by 9.7%, 12.0%, 8.9% and 1.9% over 22.5, 30.0, 37.5 and 45.0 cm, respectively. The variety NIAB-878 increased chlorophyll contents by 27.9%, 5.0% and 23.4% compared to MNH-1016, FH-Lalazar, and Cyto-124, respectively (Table 4).

3.5. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Uptake in Plants

The main and interaction effects of variety, plant spacings and nitrogen rate were found to be significant on the nitrogen uptake in the plant at \( p < 0.05 \) (Table 1). The nitrogen uptake was increased by 31.0% with nitrogen application 197 kg ha\(^{-1} \) over no nitrogen application. The plant spacing showed a mixed effect on the nitrogen uptake. The higher nitrogen uptake was seen on 15.0 and 45.0 cm plant spacings. A slight difference was also observed among varieties on nitrogen uptake (Figure 3).
The main and interaction effects of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rate were significant on the nitrogen uptake in the plant at $p < 0.05$ (Table 1). The nitrogen uptake was increased by 31.0% with nitrogen application 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ over no nitrogen application. The plant spacing showed a mixed effect on the nitrogen uptake. The higher nitrogen uptake was seen on 15.0 and 45.0 cm plant spacings. A slight difference was also observed among varieties on nitrogen uptake (Figure 3).

The main effect of variety and plant spacing was found to be significant on phosphorus at $p < 0.05$. The interaction effects of variety $\times$ plant spacing, variety $\times$ nitrogen rate, plant spacing $\times$ nitrogen rate, and variety $\times$ plant spacing $\times$ nitrogen rate were also found to be significant on the phosphorus uptake (Table 1). The 45.0 cm plant spacing showed maximum phosphorus uptake, while 15.0 and 22.5 cm showed minimum phosphorus uptake. A slight difference was observed in phosphorus uptake in plants among all varieties. The lowest phosphorus uptake was recorded in Cyto-124 (Figure 3).

The main and interaction effects of variety, plant spacing, and nitrogen rate were significant on the potassium uptake at $p < 0.05$ (Table 1). The nitrogen application 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ showed an increase of 7.4% over no nitrogen application. The 45.0 cm plant spacing showed an increase of 31.4%, 14.2%, 37.7%, and 24.1% increase in potassium uptake compared to 22.5, 30.0, 37.5 and 45.0 cm plant spacings (Figure 3). The variety FH-Lalazar showed an increase in potassium uptake by 10.1%, 3.0% and 3.0% compared to MNH-1016, NIAB-878 and Cyto-124, respectively.

4. Discussion

The current field study was conducted at the Research Area of MNS, University of Agriculture, Multan, Pakistan, to evaluate the impact of plant spacing on growth,
yield, physiology, and fiber quality attributes of four cotton cultivars under no nitrogen application and nitrogen application of 197 kg ha$^{-1}$. The nitrogen application increased the cotton growth, yield, physiology, and fiber quality attributes compared to no nitrogen application. There was a mixed effect of wider and narrow plant spacing on cotton productivity and fiber quality compared to narrow plant spacing. There was also a mixed effect of varieties on cotton productivity and fiber quality.

The nitrogen application of 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ increased the plant height, monopodial branches, leaf area, number of bolls per plant, seed cotton yield, GOT, staple length, micronaire, photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, chlorophyll contents, and NPK uptake in plants compared to where no nitrogen was applied.

Nitrogen is the main essential and chief nutrient required in large quantities for cotton growth and development. It is the main constituent of protein, chlorophyll, and is a part of the cell organelles. The increase in plant height of many crops by applying nitrogen is reported in the literature [22, 23]. Our results were in line with Kumbhar et al. [23], who reported that nitrogen plays a part in the accelerated vegetative growth of the plants. The deficiency of nitrogen affects crop growth and yield of seed cotton. Maximization of N fertilizer is the purpose for better management [24]. In several crops, for instance, cotton, a surplus quantity of N may enhance vegetative growth and delay maturity, resulting in low yield [25]. The present study results show that nitrogen application has a significant effect on number of bolls per plant. This could be due to nitrogen fertilization, as the cotton plant is highly responsive to nitrogen uptake. These consequences are similar to Rabia et al. [26]. They stated that an increase in nitrogen also significantly increases the number of bolls per plant due to cotton being more responsive to nitrogen than other crop plants. Nitrogen fertilization has a significant effect on fiber fineness. This may be due to unique genotypic effects or suitable environmental conditions. Moreover, fiber quality is affected by nitrogen fertilization [27, 28].

Nitrogen application has a significant effect on chlorophyll content. This was due to the fact that the cotton plant is highly responsive towards nitrogen. The highest chlorophyll content could be due to better assimilation and translocation of photosynthates [29]. The chlorophyll content (SPAD values) increased where nitrogen was applied. The highest SPAD values were observed at 90 days after planting. The increase in chlorophyll content resulted from increased leaf N uptake in leaf tissue and sufficient availability of nitrogen fertilizer [30]. The results agree with those of Boquet et al. [31], who reported a strong association between nitrogen rate and SPAD values.

The wider plant spacings increased monopodial branches. However, sympodial branches and boll weight was increased where no nitrogen fertilizers were applied. The wider plant spacing (45.0 cm) increased monopodial branches, boll weight, boll numbers, GOT, staple length, micronaire, photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and phosphorus uptake in plants. However, the narrow plant spacing results were also the best for monopodial branches, sympodial branches, leaf area, seed cotton yield, stomatal conductance, chlorophyll contents and nitrogen uptake. These findings are related to those of Anjum [32], who reported that different plant spacings did not significantly influence the plant height of cotton due to different genetic makeup. On the contrary, Rabia et al. [33] and Qamar et al. [34] reported that plant spacing on plant height was found to be significant, as plants luxuriously utilized all resources and light interception was also better. Plant density affects light interception, moisture availability, nutrient uptake, humidity, and weed infestation, [35] and thus influences plant height, fruiting behavior, maturity and final yield. More competition among plants suppresses plant growth under high density. Higher plant density resulted in a lesser internodal distance [36]. This is in affirmation with the earlier findings of Stephenson et al. [37], who concluded that higher plant density decreased the number of monopodial and sympodial branches. With the increase in plant spacing, the number of sympodial branches per plant also increased. Alfaqeih [38] also reported similar results. An increase in the number of sympodial branches per plant in low planting density could be due to less competition and more space available for the
growth of plants. The number of plants per area was greater in narrow spacing treatments. The plants in the narrow spacing (15 cm) were dense (86,109 plants ha$^{-1}$), while at wider spacing (45.0 cm) the number of plants was lower, i.e., 28,703 plants ha$^{-1}$. These findings are related to those described by Chhabra and Bishoni 1993. They revealed that boll weight and number of bolls decrease with narrow spacing, but yield per hectare increased. Due to the maximum plant population and nitrogen fertilization, the cotton yield was directly increased. Parlawar et al. [39] also reported that maximum seed cotton yield in narrow spacing is due to the high plant population. Ali et al. [40] stated that the highest seed cotton yield was gained with spacing 15 cm. Similar findings were reported by Delaney et al. [41]; Brodrick et al. [42] and Singh et al. [43]. By increasing space, it was observed that boll weight increased, which led to the highest seed cotton yield. Boll weight showed a decreasing trend with the decrease in plant spacing as well as without nitrogen. Heavier bolls in wider spacing may be because of less competition amongst crop plants, resulting in efficient consumption of all resources. These findings are found to be similar to Alfaqeih et al. [38], Clawson et al. [44] and Shah et al. [45]. They reported that wider spacing increased the number of branches per plant and boll weight which was due to less competition between plants. The results were similar to those reported by Hussain et al. [46] and Alfaqeih et al. [38]. They reported that an increase in the number of bolls per plant was a direct consequence of more sympodial branches per plant. In addition, Iqbal et al. [29] revealed that an increase in the number of bolls per plant with an increase in plant spacing can reduce competition between plants. Space availability would have enabled the plants to uptake more water and nutrients to produce a greater number of sympodial branches. This finally would have resulted in a greater number of bolls per plant. In addition, the highest number of bolls could be due to better assimilation and translocation of photosynthates [28]. These findings were similar to Shukla et al. [47] and Sisodia et al. [48]. They stated that leaf area index increases with a decrease in plant spacing or narrow spacing. Higher LAI could be due to less availability of horizontal space available for an individual plant. So this is why the plant grows taller with respect to vertical space, and produces a greater number of leaves, sympodial branches per plant and is accompanied by a greater number of plants per unit area, which leads to a higher yield under closer spacing. Contrasting results were found by Arunvenkatesh et al. [49]. They stated that different plant spacing did not affect the micronaire values. In addition, many reports have presented the significance of appropriate planting density and spacing, that differ across various environments and cotton varieties, to obtain maximum seed cotton yield along with improved fiber quality [50,51]. In previous studies there were nonsignificant differences among various plant spacings, so there was no effect on ginning out turn. These results are similar to Hussain et al. [45], who stated that plant spacing did not affect ginning out turn. Varieties also showed nonsignificant results. Therefore, it is concluded that GOT is genetically controlled. Donald [52] also concluded the same results. In addition, at wider spacing, plants have more availability to meet their requirements, and less competition is found among plants.

The variety MNH-1016 showed higher values for leaf area, boll numbers, boll weight, seed cotton yield, and micronaire, compared to other varieties. The variety FH-Lalazar showed an increase in GOT, stomatal conductance and potassium uptake over other varieties. The NIAB-878 showed higher staple length, photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and phosphorus uptake in plants compared to other varieties. The cyto-124 showed an increase in plant height, monopodial branches, leaf area and nitrogen uptake. A positive correlation between boll weight and all other parameters was seen.

The findings agreed with [53,54]. N-efficient cultivars have strong adaptability and tend to grow in reproductive organs and are beneficial to the formation of boll number and boll weight, especially under low N conditions. As far as the effect of plant height on different genotype is concerned, it was statistically significant due to different varietal characters [55]. The difference in micronaire values was due to different varieties. This
could be due to the difference in genetic vigor. Similar differences in micronaire values due to different cultivars have also been reported by Faircloth et al. [54].

So far, numerous studies have found that various crops with different genotypes display variance in nitrogen absorption and nitrogen utilization. The yield differences between cultivars may be mainly caused by nitrogen absorption capacity and nitrogen transferred to reproductive organs. Therefore, we should choose or breed varieties with strong absorption and transferability to reduce the N fertilization application under the premise of ensuring yield. In addition, at wider spacing, plants have more availability to meet their requirements, and less competition was found among plants.

5. Conclusions

The nitrogen application of 197 kg ha$^{-1}$ increased the cotton growth, yield, physiology, and fiber quality attributes compared with no nitrogen application. There was a mixed effect of wider and narrow plant spacings on cotton productivity and fiber quality. However, wider plant spacing affected cotton growth, physiology, yield, and fiber quality attributes. There was also a mixed effect of variety on cotton productivity and fiber quality. However, the performance of MNH-1016 and NIAB-878 was found to be higher than FH-Lalazar and Cyto-124. Long-term studies under different ecological conditions are suggested to further explore the role of different plant spacings on the cotton productivity of different cultivars under sufficient amounts of nitrogen fertilizers.
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