Antioxidant Activity of Aqueous and Ethanolic Extracts of Coconut (Cocos nucifera) Fruit By-Products
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Abstract: Coconut is widely used as a food source in producing countries, and during consumption, the waste that is generated needs to be reduced through by-products processing to ensure environmental sustainability. This study aimed to assess the functionality of by-products (endocarp and mesocarp) of coconuts at early and mature stages. The aqueous and ethanolic (50 and 100% ethanol in water) extracts of coconut by-products were evaluated for the DPPH radical scavenging activity and subjected to linoleic acid-β-carotene system assay in contrast with synthetic antioxidants. Ultrasound-producing extract of young coconut mesocarp provided the highest antioxidant activity with a lower IC50 value (117 µg mL−1) than butylhydroxytoluene (BHT, 170 µg mL−1). Based on the linoleic acid-β-carotene system assay, the extract exhibited a higher antioxidant activity (1.25 ×) than tertiary butylhydroquinone (TBHQ, 200 µg mL−1); and comparable with butylhydroxyanisole (BHA, 250 µg mL−1). Therefore, extracts of coconut by-products, particularly the young mesocarp, can be an alternative natural antioxidant.
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1. Introduction

An increased awareness of a healthy lifestyle linked to food preference and consumption has been highlighted in the global market. Currently, food manufacturers are trying to utilize natural sources because consumers prefer natural over synthetic antioxidants. Evidence suggests that agricultural products rich in phenolic compounds, including coconut (Cocos nucifera) fruit, provide significantly positive antioxidant effects, [1–4].

Phenolic compounds described in coconut fruit are catechins and phenolic acids, such as protocatechuic, chlorogenic, and vanillic acid [5–10]. The level and composition of the phenolic compounds in coconut fruits may differ among the varieties [11–14]. However, frequently consumed young coconut fruit provides considerable antioxidant effects. The green coconut water mitigates the oxidative stress in hypoglycemia and hypertensive rat model [15–18]. Additionally, the maturation level is also essential in characterizing the antioxidant compounds in the fruit [12,13]. Henceforth, the aforementioned variables affecting the antioxidant activities need to be studied to optimize the use of coconut fruit.

Indonesia is one of the largest coconut producers, contributing up to 27% of world production of coconuts [19]. Within the country, the fruit is typically processed by food industries into oil, copra, virgin coconut oil (VCO), coconut milk, and desiccated coconut. These coconut-derived products require a specific type of fruit as the raw material, mainly based on the maturity levels: young (6-month-old) and mature (12-month-old) fruit. Young coconut flesh is most suited as an ingredient for beverage products. In contrast, mature...
fruit is frequently processed into several products, such as coconut milk, dried shredded coconut flesh, and VCO. Due to the coconut processing, some by-products were generated, including the meso- and endocarp of the fruits.

Furthermore, in keeping with the zero waste strategy, the utilization of by-products generated from food and agricultural industries is recently favorable due to the availability of advanced extraction technologies [20,21]. Earlier reports have disclosed a considerable amount of phenolic compounds and the antioxidant effects contained in extracts from tomato pomace, grape peel, coffee spent, and other agro-industrial by-products [22,23]. As many parts of the coconut have proven to contain phenolic compounds providing antioxidant activities [4,24–26], it is reasonable to suppose that the extract of coconut processing by-products may deliver similar benefits.

The coconut mesocarp is the fibrous mid-part contributing 85% of the whole fruit, whilst the endocarp is the hardest part accounting for 10% of the coconut fruit. Some previous studies have reported that both meso- and endocarp contain a considerable amount of antioxidant compounds. Young coconut mesocarp has been reported to provide radical scavenging activities (DPPH) ranging from 5.72 [27] to 0.032 mg mL$^{-1}$ [7], whereas the endocarp exerts 10.89 µg mL$^{-1}$ in a cell line [10,28]. To earn these advantages, effective extraction of the antioxidant compounds from coconut by-products is therefore essential.

The conventional methods that have been utilized to extract antioxidant compounds are Soxhlet, maceration, mechanical agitation, and hot water extraction [6,8,9,29,30]. These methods are time-consuming (2 to 144 h) and operate at high temperatures (up to 100 °C) to increase the extraction rates, leading to the degradation of thermal labile phenolic compounds. To overcome the problem described, advanced methods are proposed with the aid of sonication.

The pulse-duty cycle of an ultrasound-assisted extraction defines the release of cavitation that passes through an elastic medium. The extraction mechanism is based on cavitation bubbles that can grow during rarefaction phases and decrease in size during compression cycles. When the size of these bubbles reaches a critical point, the bubbles collapse during a compression cycle and destroy the cell walls of the plant matrix [21,31–33]. This approach facilitates a faster extraction. Additionally, applying low to moderate extraction temperature in the sonication process tends to increase antioxidant compounds recovered from the matrices [34].

Ultrasounds have been applied to improve the extraction of several different kinds of both vegetables and fruits [35]. Some of the most interesting compounds extracted using ultrasound-assisted extraction are powerful antioxidant compounds [36] including simple flavonols from onion [37], anthocyanins from blackcurrant [38], stilbenes from grape canes, and simple phenolics from red algae [39]. Ultrasound-assisted extraction has proved to be more efficient than the conventional extraction methods [40,41] and with similar or even better recovery rates than other green extraction techniques [42,43].

Therefore, this research aimed to evaluate the antioxidant activities of ultrasound-producing extracts from coconut by-products (meso- and endocarp) with different maturation levels (6 and 12 months). Ultimately, the antioxidant activities of the studied extracts were compared with the commercial synthetic antioxidants.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Materials

Coconut fruits at two different maturation stages, i.e., young (6 months old) and mature (12 month-old), originated from local farmers in Bantul Region, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Chemicals such as ethanol (ethanol (gradient grade for liquid chromatography with ≥99.9% (GC) purity), methanol, water, sodium carbonate, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH), Folin–Ciocalteu’s reactive, gallic acid, β-carotene tween-20, and synthetic antioxidants, including butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), and tertiary butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany.
2.2. Sample Preparation

Coconut samples were washed with clean water. Subsequently, the meso- and endocarp (Figure 1) were manually separated from other parts of the coconut fruit and cut into cuboid shapes (40 × 10 × 5 mm). Afterward, the two studied parts were dried in a cabinet dryer at 50 °C for 48 h. The dried samples were ground until 60 mesh size and stored in tight plastic containers at ambient temperature, which were labeled to identify young mesocarp (YM), young endocarp (YE), mature mesocarp (MM), and mature endocarp (ME).

2.3. Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction

Extraction was conducted using an ultrasonic bath Transsonic Elma (Elma Schmidbauer GmbH, Gottlieb-Daimler-Str, Germany) with a frequency of 37 kHz, maximum power of 320 W, and a volume capacity of 2750 mL. A sample of 10 g was weighed and placed in a 250 mL flask. Different compositions of water and ethanol (0:100, 50:50, and 100:0) were used as the extraction solvent and poured into the flask containing the sample with a sample-to-solvent ratio of 1:20 (w/v). The sample was subjected to extraction for 1 h at 45 ± 5 °C. The resulting extract was then filtered using a Whatman No. 1 filter paper and evaporated under a vacuum at 45 °C to remove the solvent. Subsequently, the extract was weighed to calculate the extraction yield and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C until analysis. The yield was expressed in weight (%, w/w) with respect to the dry basis of coconut by-products.

2.4. Antioxidant Activity

2.4.1. 2,2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)-Radical Scavenging Activity (RSA) Assays

The DPPH-RSA of coconut by-product extracts was determined according to the method of Brand-Williams, et al. [44] with minor modification. The extract was accurately weighed (0.01 g), dissolved into 10 mL methanol, and diluted 5× by methanol. Subsequently, 100 µL of the liquid extract at concentrations ranging from 0 to 250 µg mL⁻¹ were mixed with a 1.9 mL DPPH solution (0.06 mM). The mixture was then homogenized and incubated at ambient temperature for 30 min in the dark. The absorbance of the mixture was measured by a UV–Vis spectrophotometer (UV-2450, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) at 515 nm using DPPH solution as the blank. The DPPH-RSA was indicated by the IC₅₀ value measuring the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of DPPH free radical. The IC₅₀ of synthetic antioxidants, i.e., BHT, BHA, and TBHQ, were also
The scavenging capacity of DPPH was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\text{DPPH scavenging capacity (\%) } = \frac{\text{Absorbance of blank} - \text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of blank}} \times 100
\] (1)

2.5. \(\beta\)-Carotene-Linoleic-Acid System Assay

The \(\beta\)-carotene bleaching method was applied to determine the antioxidant activity of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of coconut by-products. \(\beta\)-carotene (10 mg) was dissolved in 10 mL of chloroform. Subsequently, the solution (4 mL) was mixed with 40 mg of linoleic acid and 400 mg of Tween-20 in dark conditions. Chloroform was purged using nitrogen gas for 2 min. The remaining emulsion was diluted with 100 mL distilled water and then was agitation for 2 min. Thereafter, the \(\beta\)-carotene emulsion (200 \(\mu\)L) was transferred into a test tube containing the extract of coconut by-product to obtain a concentration of 20 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\). A control sample was prepared using distilled water instead of sample extract in the \(\beta\)-carotene-linoleic-acid system. BHA, BHT, and TBHQ with a concentration of 200 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) were used for comparative purposes. The tubes were placed at room temperature. The oxidation of \(\beta\)-carotene emulsion was monitored spectrophotometrically (UV-2450, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) by measuring at every 30 min for 4 h at 450 nm.

2.6. Total Phenolic Compounds Determination

Total phenolic compounds were determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method. Up to 200 \(\mu\)L of diluted extract (200 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)) and 800 \(\mu\)L of 10% Folin–Ciocalteu reagent were mixed. After 2 min, 1 mL of 7.5% sodium carbonate was added to the mixture and the mixture stood for 2 h at room temperature. The absorbance values were measured by a UV/Vis spectrophotometer at 765 nm (UV-2450, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Subsequently, a calibration curve of gallic acid was prepared at concentrations ranging from 10 to 100 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\). The results were expressed as gallic acid equivalents in the dry matrix (mg GAE g\(^{-1}\) of dry matter).

2.7. Individual Phenolic Compounds Identification

The identification of phenolic compounds was carried out on a Shimadzu HPLC system (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a binary pump (LC-20AD), auto-sampler (SIL-HTC, Shimadzu, Japan), and UV-Vis SPD M-20A diode array detector (DAD). The detector was set for compound identification using a three-dimensional (3D) scan mode in the wavelength range from 200 to 400 nm. The individual phenolic compounds in the sample (10 \(\mu\)L) were separated on a reverse-phase C\(_{18}\) column Shim-Pac GIST Shimadzu (150 mm, 4.6 mm, 5 \(\mu\)m) at 30 °C. Mobile phase A (2% acetic acid and 5% methanol in water) and phase B (2% acetic acid and 88% methanol in water) were pumped at a flow rate of 1 mL min\(^{-1}\). The following gradient was applied (time, % solvent B): 0 min, 0%; 0.02 min, 18.3%; and 10–13 min, 100%. The identification was performed by comparing the retention time and UV-Vis 3D spectra of chromatographic peaks of the sample with standard compounds. Additionally, a spiking method was also conducted to confirm the identity of the compound.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Significancy level of the studied variables (part of coconut by-products, meso- and endocarp; maturation levels, 6 and 12 months) were statistically calculated using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Provided that the variables affect the responses (antioxidant activities of the extracts from coconut by-products), a Duncan test with 95% confidence was performed to check the differences among the means using IBM SPSS Statistics software, version 20 (IBM Company, Armonk, NY, USA). All the experiments were conducted in triplicate.
3. Results and Discussion

The foremost study in this research was the evaluation of the sample matrices and extraction solvents on the level of phenolic compounds in the extract. Subsequently, the antioxidant activities of phenolic compounds extracted from the coconut by-products (meso- and endocarp) at two maturation levels were evaluated. Compounds responsible for the antioxidant activity were also identified.

3.1. Effect of the Sample Matrices and Solvent on the Extraction Yield

The presence of phenolic compounds in coconut by-products varies in composition and levels and usually forms complexes with other compounds in the matrices. Hence, ultrasound-assisted extraction was conducted to separate the phenolic compounds from the complex matrices to obtain a high extraction yield. The assistance of ultrasonic waves in this study probably degraded the sample matrix to promote extraction yield [31], as shown in Figure 2.
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**Figure 2.** The yield of phenolic compounds extracted from YM—young coconut mesocarp, YE—young coconut endocarp, MM—mature coconut mesocarp, and ME—mature coconut endocarp. Different letters within the same coconut by-products (lower case letters) and solvents (capital letters) indicate significant differences at a 5% significance level according to Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

The different matrices of young mesocarp (YM), young endocarp (YE), mature mesocarp (MM), and mature endocarp (ME) are mainly due to the compositions of the cell wall that include lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose [45–47]. The unique composition of the cell wall defines the hardness of each matrix [48–51]. The cavitation generated by the ultrasound wave easily degrades the matrix with a lower composition of lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose [48,49], as occurred in the young coconut by-products (YM and YE). However, despite being produced by the mature coconut fruit, the mesocarp (MM) is relatively more tender than the endocarp (ME).

Mature coconut by-products (MM and ME) produced different extraction yields, i.e., 19.70–39.41% and 1.28–3.47%, respectively. However, the young coconut by-products (YM and YE) remain comparable, ranging from 13.93–36.94% and 24.53–39.21%. This finding explained that the tender matrix of the coconut mesocarp is more easily degraded by ultrasonic waves than the hard endocarp. Ultrasonic waves destroy the matrix to facilitate a quick release of phenolic compounds, leading to an increased extraction yield. Hence, the more tender the matrix subjected to ultrasonic waves, the more compounds are released into the extraction solvent, producing a higher yield.
In addition to the matrix effect, the extraction yield is also influenced by the organic solvent type used [52–54]. Figure 2 also reveals that the phenolic compounds extracted from different matrices of coconut by-products required specific extraction solvents. The mesocarp samples (YM and MM) produced a higher level of phenolic compounds in the extract by applying 100% ethanol as the extraction solvent. In contrast, water was comparable with the pure ethanol to extract phenolic compounds from the endocarp of the young coconut fruit, whereas 50% ethanol was also appropriate for the mature fruit. This result agreed with Arivalagan et al. [4], which reported different optimum solvents for each matrix because the composition of phenolic compounds in the matrix also varies according to their polarity.

3.2. Effect of the Sample Matrices and Solvent on the Antioxidant Activities

3.2.1. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

DPPH radical scavenging activity is expressed in IC$_{50}$ value, i.e., the minimum concentration needed to inhibit 50% of DPPH free radicals. A lower IC$_{50}$ value indicates high effectiveness in inhibiting free radicals. The IC$_{50}$ values of phenolic compounds extracted from the coconut by-products (Figure 3) were measured and compared with commercial synthetic antioxidants (BHT, BHA, and TBHQ).
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**Figure 3.** The radical scavenging activity by DPPH of extracts from YM—young coconut mesocarp; YE—young coconut endocarp; MM—mature coconut mesocarp; ME—mature coconut endocarp. Different letters within the sample indicate significant differences among the means and control (BHA, BHT, and TBHQ) at a 5% significance level according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

The IC$_{50}$ values of the extracts from coconut by-products ranged from 117.66 (YM) to 1209.87 mg L$^{-1}$ (ME) and were in the same ranges as reported by a previous study for similar samples [14]. In comparison with synthetic antioxidants, the ethanolic extract from YM provided a lower IC$_{50}$ value ($p < 0.05$) than BHA, whereas the ethanolic extract from MM exhibited a similar result to the BHA (170.37 mg L$^{-1}$). On the contrary, the IC$_{50}$ values of YE and ME were higher than all synthetic antioxidants. These results confirmed that two (YM and MM) out of four studied coconut by-product samples contain natural antioxidants that can produce similar or even higher antioxidant results than BHA. Earlier studies also supported the evidence of antioxidant activities exposed by the extracts obtained through conventional extractions (7 days maceration and 30 min agitation) using methanol (5720 mg L$^{-1}$) and ethyl acetate (5970 mg L$^{-1}$) from coconut by-products [27,55]. Hence,
sonication in this work provides extract with higher antioxidant activities, whereas the
extraction was more practical and performed in a shorter time [32].

3.2.2. Linoleic-Acid-β-Carotene Bleaching System

The measurement of antioxidant activities in the linoleic acid-β-carotene system was
performed to determine the potential of natural antioxidants derived from coconut by-
products. The measurement principle is that the free radical of linoleic acid attacks the
highly unsaturated β-carotene system. The antioxidant activities of the four extracts of
cocoanut by-products, positive control, BHT, BHA, and TBHQ, are presented in Figure 4.
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**Figure 4.** The bleaching inhibition in the linoleic acid-β-carotene system by antioxidants in YM—
young coconut mesocarp (a); YE—young coconut endocarp (b); MM—mature coconut mesocarp (c),
and; ME—mature coconut mesocarp (d) extracted using different solvents compared with synthetic
antioxidants (BHT, BHA, and TBHQ) and control.
The optical density (OD) that indicates the stability of the $\beta$-carotene system (control sample) will rapidly decrease during incubation in the absence of antioxidants. On the contrary, the presence of antioxidants prevents the degradation of the $\beta$-carotene system by two mechanisms: (i) by protecting the target substrate from the oxidation initiator (secondary antioxidant), particularly by scavenging the radical substance that is responsible for the oxidation initiation stage ($O\bullet-$); (ii) by inhibiting the propagation or chain-breaking antioxidants which break the radical chain propagator ($LOO\bullet$) [56]. The high antioxidant activity is indicated by the high trendline of bleaching inhibition compared with synthetic antioxidants.

All samples and synthetic antioxidants (BHT, BHA, and TBHQ) successfully inhibited $\beta$-carotene degradation. The ethanol 100% (OD$_{240\min} = 0.90$) and 50% (OD$_{240\min} = 0.91$) extracts of YM was found to have a similar inhibition pattern compared with BHA (OD$_{240\min} = 0.92$) and BHT (OD$_{240\min} = 0.92$), whereas it was higher than TBHQ (OD$_{240\min} = 0.76$) (Figure 4a). On the other hand, aqueous extract of YM (OD$_{240\min} = 0.71$) exhibited a lower inhibition compared with all synthetic antioxidants (Figure 4a). MM, which was extracted using ethanol 100% (OD$_{240\min} = 0.82$), 50% (OD$_{240\min} = 0.87$), exhibited higher inhibition compared with TBHQ (OD$_{240\min} = 0.76$), whereas the water extract (OD$_{240\min} = 0.76$) provided a similar result to TBHQ (Figure 4c). The antioxidant extracts from YM and MM exhibited more potent antioxidant activity than TBHQ; in particular, YM has the most similar trend of bleaching inhibition with BHA and BHT (Figure 4a). The results were consistent with reported preceding studies [57–60].

Conversely, the bleaching inhibition of endocarp from young (YE) and mature coconut (ME) was lower than synthetic antioxidants (Figure 4b,d). Endocarp from young (YE) and mature coconut (ME) that were extracted in ethanol 50% produced OD$_{240\min} = 0.82$ and 0.76, respectively, which were higher than TBHQ (OD$_{240\min} = 0.76$). On the contrary, the ethanol 100% and water extracts from both YE and ME had lower OD$_{240\min}$ than all synthetic antioxidants (Figure 4c,d) as investigated by several studies [61–64]. These data implicate different results by DPPH measurement because of different mechanisms of antioxidant activities [56,65]. The activity of the antioxidant compound in the studied extracts defined by DPPH measurement acted as a radical scavenger, whilst, in the $\beta$-carotene system assay, the antioxidant compound worked as a chain initiation-blocker.

### 3.3. Effect of the Sample Matrices and Solvent on the Phenolic Compounds

The extractability of phenolic compounds was significantly defined by the type of matrix and solvent ($p < 0.05$), in which the highest phenolic compounds (395.97 ± 4.78 mg GAE g$^{-1}$) were extracted from YM using 50% ethanol (Figure 5). Furthermore, the reported concentration in this study is higher than the result from former research on the extraction of phenolic compounds from coconut mesocarp by maceration using methanol (126.7 mg GAE g$^{-1}$) and ethyl acetate (249.2 mg GAE g$^{-1}$) [27].

The solvent composition of ethanol:water (1:1) was also an appropriate solvent for extracting phenolic compounds from MM (129.37 mg GAE g$^{-1}$). In contrast, 100% ethanol was suitable for endocarps, viz., YE (223.25 ± 3.54 mg GAE g$^{-1}$) and ME (216.65 ± 1.19 mg GAE g$^{-1}$). However, the phenolic compounds of coconut by-products were scarcely recovered by water. The results suggest that the polarity of the phenolic compounds in coconut by-products was lower in water and thus more soluble in organic solvents. These findings agree with the previous studies on the maceration of endocarp of coconut fruit using different extraction solvents in which water (6.96 GAE g$^{-1}$) recovered the lowest concentration of phenolic compounds compared with methanol (10.56 GAE g$^{-1}$) and ethanol (8.18 GAE g$^{-1}$) [66].

The addition of water in organic solvent plays a role in polarity changes of the extraction solvent that can alter the solubility of phenolic compounds. The aqueous solvent system normally increases the solubility of organic matrices such as protein and carbohydrates that can interfere with phenolic compounds during the extraction.
Among the coconut by-products, the young mesocarp was selected as the highest natural antioxidant source according to the level of total phenolic compounds, DPPH radical scavenging activity, and the ability to prevent the oxidation of the linoleic-acid-β-carotene system. Therefore, the individual phenolic compounds were identified in the ethanolic extract from the young coconut mesocarp (Figure 6).
The identification was performed by comparing the spectra and retention time of the sample peak with the corresponding standards and further confirmed by the spiking method. The compounds identified were catechin, chlorogenic acid, vanillin, and trans-cinnamic acid, as reported by some former studies [9,67,68].

3.4. Correlation between Total Phenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Activities

The Pearson correlation analysis was performed to determine the correlation between total phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of the extracts from coconut by-products (Figure 7). A strong positive correlation (0.87) between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of the DPPH (IC$_{50}$) measurement was found. This result was supported by previous work that revealed the correlation between the antioxidant activities and phenolic compounds in several herbs [69]. Hence, the phenolic compounds notably contributed to the antioxidant properties of the extract of coconut by-products by scavenging the free radicals. In addition, the phenolic compounds contained in the extract that work as chain initiation-blockers resulted in an intermediate positive correlation with linoleic acid–β–carotene (0.55). There are some previously published results also showing correlation between the level of phenolic compounds and the antioxidant results for the extracts from coconut mesocarp and exocarp [55].
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4. Conclusions

High antioxidant levels were found for some extracts produced with different solvents and from different coconut by-products. Both the specific conditions of the coconut by-products, i.e., young or mature, and the solvents used for the extraction, ethanol or water, determine the antioxidant levels found for the extracts. Specifically the mesocarp from a young fruit, extracted with pure ethanol or a 50/50 mixture ethanol water, produced as many antioxidant effects as some synthetic antioxidants, including BHA. Two different antioxidant activities were confirmed for the extracts, radical scavenger in DPPH method and chain initiation-blocker in the β-carotene system assay. It has been also demonstrated that the phenolic composition of the extracts affects the antioxidant levels as they showed a very high correlation. This study offers a new opportunity to use coconut mesocarp as a source of natural antioxidants that can produce as many antioxidant effects as some synthetic antioxidants.
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