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Abstract: Human brain atlases are tools to gather, present, use, and discover knowledge about the human brain. The developments in brain atlases parallel the advances in neuroanatomy. The brain atlas evolution has been from hand-drawn cortical maps to print atlases to digital platforms which, thanks to tremendous advancements in acquisition techniques and computing, has enabled progress in neuroanatomy from gross (macro) to meso-, micro-, and nano-neuroanatomy. Advances in neuroanatomy have been feasible because of introducing new modalities, from the initial cadaveric dissections, morphology, light microscopy imaging and neuroelectrophysiology to non-invasive in vivo imaging, connectivity, electron microscopy imaging, genomics, proteomics, transcriptomics, and epigenomics. Presently, large and long-term brain projects along with big data drive the development in micro- and nano-neuroanatomy. The goal of this work is to address the relationship between neuroanatomy and human brain atlases and, particularly, the impact of these atlases on the understanding, presentation, and advancement of neuroanatomy. To better illustrate this relationship, a brief outline on the evolution of the human brain atlas concept, creation of brain atlases, atlas-based applications, and future brain-related developments is also presented. In conclusion, human brain atlases are excellent means to represent, present, disseminate, and support neuroanatomy.
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1. Introduction

For centuries, the human brain has been an enormous challenge for scientists and an abundant inspiration for artists. However, the great importance of the brain has not always been fully understood. In Ancient Egypt, for instance, the brain was considered a rather useless organ with no need to be mummified. In Ancient Greece, Herodotus advising on the mummification process recommended removing as much of the brain as possible and mixing any remains of it with drugs, implying the brain was toxic. One of the greatest philosophers of Antiquity, Aristotle, who also substantially contributed to natural sciences, viewed the brain as a cooling mechanism for blood, while the heart was the seat of intelligence. Toward the end of Antiquity, St. Augustine, considered the father of psychology, demonstrated a better understanding of the brain by dividing it into three compartments, the environment with the senses, the movement environment, and the seat of memory. Then, after one thousand years of stagnation, Leonardo da Vinci created beautiful images, though not always anatomically correct, of the brain capturing its anatomy, by bridging art and science. It was however Vesalius, universally considered to be the most important anatomist and the founder of modern anatomy, who started a new era of anatomical investigation ending its dependence on Greek and Arabic authorities, often erroneous and based upon animal rather than human studies [1]. Vesalius also made a substantial contribution to neuroanatomy by providing the first description of the human corpus callosum linking two halves of the brain, putamen, globus pallidus, caudate nucleus, pulvinar, midbrain, pineal body, and internal capsule, among others. Willis introduced a new level of neuroanatomical accuracy and reclassified the cranial nerves. Neuroanatomy advancements through brain gross dissections were accomplished by 19th-century neuroanatomists including Arnold, Burdach, Foville, Gratiolet, Mayo,
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and Reil as it was illustrated and reviewed by Schmahmann and Pandya [2]. One of the first maps of the human cortical surface based on cytoarchitectonics was created in 1909 by a German neurologist named Korbinian Brodmann [3]. Brodmann postulated that areas differing in structure perform different functions. Brodmann’s areas are still in use today in neuroeducation and research.

Since then, there has been a tremendous development of human brain maps and atlases in terms of concept, content, functionality, applications, and availability. I have earlier distinguished four generations of brain atlases: early cortical maps, print stereotactic atlases, early digital atlases, and advanced brain atlas platforms [4].

Neuroanatomy, as the study of the structure and organization of the nervous system, and human brain atlases, as tools to gather, present, use, and discover knowledge about the human brain, are obviously linked. The goal of this work is to address the relationship between neuroanatomy and human brain atlases and, particularly, the impact of these atlases on the understanding, presentation, and advancement of neuroanatomy. To better illustrate this impact, a brief outline about the evolution of the human brain atlas concept, creation of brain atlases, atlas-based applications, and future brain-related developments is also presented.

2. Evolution of Brain Atlas Concept

The concept of the brain atlas has been evolving together with the tremendous progress in neuroanatomy thanks to imaging and computing. It should be noted that various authors consider or define the brain atlas differently as briefly overviewed below. Traditionally, the brain atlas is considered a collection of brain maps or a database. Here, there are a few examples. Roland and Zilles define brain atlases as collections of micrographs or schematic drawings of brain sections with identified anatomic structures [5]. Evans et al. treat brain atlases as large-scale neuroimaging databases providing the mean and variance in the population [6]. Mori et al. consider the brain atlas a tool for image structurization via atlas-based image subdivision to exploit a great amount of imaging information offered by medical systems [7]. Amunts et al. regard brain atlases as central for integrating diversified information about various aspects of the brain [8]. Kuan et al. consider the brain atlas a tool aiming to integrate diverse information, understand complex brain anatomy, localize experimental data, and plan experiments [9]. Costa et al. consider the atlases the means able to produce specific, testable hypotheses about circuit organization and connectivity [10]. Chon et al. find anatomical atlases in standard coordinates to be necessary for the interpretation and integration of research findings in a common spatial context [11]. Hence, despite some minor differences, what is common for all these approaches is that they mainly reflect a research usefulness of brain atlases in human and/or animal studies.

I proposed a different concept of the human brain atlas by extending its standard imaging content with a knowledge database, tools for content processing and analysis, and means to broaden this content with the user’s data [12]. This concept has been customized to stereotactic and functional neurosurgery as a population-based, self-growing, and structural-functional multi-atlas. Subsequently, based on the atlas evolution review [4] and considering various perspectives and applications, my latest definition of the human brain atlas has evolved as follows: “the reference human brain atlas is a vehicle to gather, present, use, and discover knowledge about the human brain with a highly organized content, tools enabling a wide range of its applications, massive and heterogeneous knowledge database, and means for content and knowledge updating and growing by its user” [13]. Correspondingly, an architecture embodying such a brain atlas is proposed along with a method of its implementation [13].

3. Creation of Human Brain Maps and Atlases

The evolution of brain fixation techniques combined with optical microscopy enabled neuroanatomy advancement beyond gross anatomy toward microanatomy. Several early cortical maps were created from microscopy in the first three decades of the 20th cen-
tury encapsulating new knowledge about the human brain. Early brain mappers include Brodmann [3], Campbell [14], Flechsig [15], Vogt and Vogt [16], and Von Economo and Koskinas [17]. Their maps were made for a single modality, cytoarchitectonics [3,17] or myeloarchitectonics [15,16], and varied in the number of parcellated cortical areas. This development was a substantial step forward in comparison to examining gross neuroanatomy from cadaveric studies.

To localize cerebral structures in neurosurgery in the pre-tomographic imaging era, stereotactic brain atlases were developed. These, initially print, atlases represented a significant step forward in atlas development both in terms of atlas content and concept. In the 1950s, stereotactic brain atlases were created by Speigel and Wycis in 1952 [18], Talairach et al. in 1957 [19], and Schaltenbrand and Bailey in 1959 [20], followed by Andrew and Watkins in 1969 [21], Van Buren and Borke in 1972 [22], Schaltenbrand and Wahren in 1977 [23], Afshar et al. in 1978 [24], and Talairach and Tournoux in 1988 [25] and 1993 [26]. The contents of these atlases vary covering deep gray nuclei (by Talairach et al., 1957), the thalamus and adjacent structures (by Andrew and Watkins, 1969), variations and connections of the thalamus (by Van Buren and Borke, 1972), deep structures and the whole brain (by Schaltenbrand and Wahren, 1977), the brainstem and cerebellar nuclei (by Afshar et al., 1978), the whole brain (by Talairach and Tournoux, 1988), and brain connections (by Talairach and Tournoux, 1993).

Besides stereotactic, other print atlases were published for neuroradiology, neurosurgery, neuroscience, and neuroeducation, including a brain atlas for computed tomography [27], an atlas of the hippocampus [28], an atlas of the cerebral sulci [29], an atlas of brain function [30], an atlas of the brainstem and cerebellum [31], an atlas of morphology and functional neuroanatomy [32], an atlas of the brainstem and cerebellum with magnetic resonance 9.4 Tesla (T) images [33], and the Netter’s atlas of neuroscience [34].

As print atlases had several limitations, including static content, sparseness of image plates, limited functionality, and difficulty in mapping into patients’ scans, electronic and interactive brain atlases have been developed. Initially, these were digitalized versions of the stereotactic print atlases followed by their enhancements and extensions as reviewed in [4,35].

In particular, two stereotactic brain atlases are of great importance, “Atlas of Stereotaxy of the Human Brain” by Schaltenbrand and Wahren [23] and “Co-Planar Stereotactic Atlas of the Human Brain” by Talairach and Tournoux” [25]. The Schaltenbrand and Wahren atlas is based on 111 brains and comprises photographic plates of macroscopic and microscopic sections through the hemispheres and the brainstem. The macroscopic plates provide the extent of variation in the brain structures. The microscopic myelin-stained sections demonstrate in great detail cerebral deep structures which usually are not well visible on brain scans. This atlas is available in most surgical workstations. The Talairach and Tournoux atlas presents the cerebral structures as colored drawings through axial, coronal, and sagittal sections of a single, normal brain specimen. It is applied in neurosurgery and brain research reaching over 22,000 citations.

Because of the importance of these two brain atlases, we have developed their enhanced and extended electronic versions, and the applied processing was explained in detail in [36]. These electronic atlases are fully parcellated which enables their automatic labeling. This parcellation is by unique coloring and closed contouring (a contour representation is additionally useful for atlas-to-data registration as the contours do not block the actual patient data); see Figure 1. These electronic atlases have been embedded into atlas-assisted stand-alone applications [37–40] and plug-in libraries licensed to 13 companies and integrated with major surgical workstations [41].
Figure 1. Electronic brain atlases: (left) Talairach and Tournoux axial fully color-coded plate 4 mm above the intercommissural plane; (right) Schaltenbrand and Wahren coronal microscopic plate in contour representation 4 mm behind the posterior commissure (note that all the contours are closed).

Enormous advancements in imaging, brain mapping, and computing drive the development of human brain atlas platforms. I have specified 23 directions in the evolution of brain atlas content development grouped into eight categories by employing various criteria, including scope, parcellation, plurality, modality, scale, ab/normality, ethnicity, and a combination of them [4]. I briefly overview these brain atlas categories and provide some examples of brain atlases from numerous centers.


In general, the human brain can be parcellated into numerous anatomic and/or functionally distinct cortical regions and subcortical structures based on macrostructural, microstructural, functional, and/or connectional features. The parcellation category represents novel and/or finer parcellations of brain structures and surfaces based on various modalities and approaches. The developments here are from classic gross anatomy, cytoarchitecture, and myeloarchitecture to functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) exploiting resting-state and task-based sequences [58], chemoarchitecture [59], vascular territories [60], anatomic connectivity based on diffusion tensor imaging [48] and diffusion spectrum imaging [61], anatomic-functional connectivity based on diffusion and resting-state MRI [62], electroencephalography [63], (multi)receptor architecture [64], and/or multiplicity of them [50,65]. Both the size and the number of the parcellated regions can be variable; for instance, a multi-modal MRI-based parcellation of the cerebral cortex results in 180 variable-size areas per hemisphere [65], the Brainnetome atlas is parcellated into 210 various cortical areas and 36 subcortical regions [62], and the Yale Brain Atlas consists of 690 same-size one-square centimeter parcels [63].

Parcellation not only introduces subdivision but also enables systematization, localization, and comparison, ideally making the brain "addressable". Parcellated regions can be named based on some existing nomenclatures, such as Terminologia Anatomica [66] which is an international standard for the whole body or Terminologia Neuroanatomica targeting the central nervous system, peripheral nervous system, and sensory organs [67]. Several
nomenclatures have been introduced for research applications, such as *NeuroNames* supporting synonyms and multiple languages [68], *Uberon* [69] supporting single- and cross-species queries, *Foundation Model of Anatomy* (FMA) providing a structure-based template from the molecular to the macroscopic levels for representing biological functions of the human body [70], and *Common Coordinate Framework* (CCF) ontology to define positions in the body down to individual cells [71]. Alternatively, parcellation-related identifiers are used, such as numbers in naming Brodmann’s areas [3] or parcel unique names with a gyrus code and a letter indicating the parcel position within the gyrus in the *Yale Brain Atlas* [63].

Within the plurality category, probabilistic brain atlases provide novel neuroanatomical information in terms of statistical distributions of the studied entities. For instance, these atlases may contain the mean values, standard deviations, moments, and other quantifiers of volumes (e.g., for the entire brain [72], white matter [73], cerebellum [74], or subcortical structures [75]), areas (such as cortical surface regions [76]) or distances (e.g., the thickness of the cortical mantle). Multi-atlases can illustrate neuroanatomy over the lifespan. For instance, a mega multi-atlas [77] comprises 90 component brain atlases with the brain specimens ranging from 4 to 82 years of age.

In the modality category, the major advancement has been from postmortem to in vivo data enabled by neuroimaging allowing to accomplish a “living neuroanatomy”. Furthermore, more detailed neuroanatomical images with better quality are feasible in brain atlasing due to the increased teslage of the acquired MRI neuroimages, namely, from 1.5T [78] to 3T [45,53] to 7T [52,79–83] to 9.4T [84].

The scale category includes brain atlases with various temporal, spatial, and combined spatiotemporal scales. Several temporal scale-related brain atlases aggregate age-dependent neuroanatomical changes ranging from pediatric to geriatric populations [85–87]. Other relevant works include a dynamic 4D atlas of the developing brain [88] and a temporal cell atlas of gene expression in brain development [57].

The spatial scale of brain atlases ranges from macro- to meso- to micro- to nanoscale, including the integration of atlas data across multiple scales. The developments in this area include the *BigBrain* with a 20-micrometer resolution [89], a comprehensive cellular-resolution (of 1 μm/pixel) atlas linking macroscopic anatomical and microscopic cytoarchitectural parcellations [90], a whole-brain cell atlas integrating anatomical, physiological and molecular annotations for a complete characterization of neuronal cell types, their distributions, and patterns of connectivity [91], a genomics brain atlas [56], an atlas of brain transcriptome [92], an atlas of serotonin [93], and a proteomic brain atlas [94].

Several disease-specific brain atlases have been created, e.g., for Alzheimer’s disease [95], dementia [96], stroke [97,98], brain tumors [99], and epilepsy [100]. Some of them enable the quantification of brain structural deficits in epilepsy, depression, schizophrenia, Alzheimer’s disease, autism, and bipolar disorders [101]; others include the *Probabilistic Stroke Atlas* [98] which facilitates outcome prediction, the *Virtual Epileptic Patient* atlas which provides an automated brain region parcellation and labeling for epilepsytology and functional neurosurgery [100], and the *Probabilistic Atlas of Diffuse WHO Grade II Glioma Locations* which identifies the preferential locations of these gliomas in the brain [99]. A different way of atlas use is presented in [102] to investigate genetic correlations between brain phenotypes (attained as cortical surface area and thickness) and psychiatric/neurological disorders by means of genetically informed brain atlases. This study revealed the association between global surface and fronto-parietal thickness with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, temporal area with schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder, and fronto-occipital morphology with neurological disorders.

Ethnicity-based brain atlases enable comparison of neuroanatomy between various populations, such as Chinese and Caucasian [103] and Indian with Chinese and Caucasian [104].

The design, development, and validation of a human brain atlas is a painstaking and time-consuming process that requires high attention to detail. The design principles of a holistic and reference brain atlas are formulated in [105], computational methods employed in brain atlas development are addressed in [106], visualization and interac-
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4. Brain Atlas-Assisted Applications

The human brain atlases are employed across education, research, and clinics [4]. In neuroeducation, the brain atlas assists students and educators as a visual and interactive tool with parcellated and labeled virtual brain models, equipped with an intuitive and friendly user interface, able to communicate cerebral complexity in a more convenient and comprehensible manner. In research, brain atlases focus predominantly on how to integrate and openly share massive amounts of heterogeneous experimental data in a common reference atlas space and to relate these data across scales. In clinics, brain atlases are valuable computer-aided tools to support and enhance screening, diagnosis, treatment, and prediction.

4.1. Education

The history of neuroanatomy over the centuries has been linked to the teaching methods employed, including cadaveric dissection, plastination, observation of live models, live surgery, animal dissection, synthetic models, bibliographic sources, radiology, and audiovisual virtual reality including stereoscopy [108]. Electronic and interactive brain atlases may be embedded in synthetic models, radiology, audiovisual virtual reality, and computer-aided live surgery.

Several standard neuroeducational brain atlases have been developed, such as Digital Anatomist [109], A.D.A.M. [110], The Electronic Clinical Brain Atlas [37], Voxel-man [78], The Cerefy Atlas of Brain Anatomy [39], Primal’s Interactive Head and Neck [111], and The Cerefy Clinical Brain Atlas [40].

In comparison to the standard brain atlases, advanced atlases provide novel features in neuroeducation facilitating brain exploration and understanding. Examples of such atlases are The Cerefy Atlas of Cerebral Vasculature [53], The Human Brain in 1492 Pieces [43], The Human Brain in 1969 Pieces: Structure, Vasculature, Tracts, Cranial Nerves, Systems, Head Muscles, and Glands [44], and The Human Brain, Head and Neck in 2953 Pieces [81]. These novel features include continuous navigation and exploration, free composing and decomposing of a 3D explorable scene (see Figure 2), joint surface and sectional anatomy, presentation in context, correlation of anatomy and terminology, simultaneous presentation of multiple systems, wide scope of presentations (from local to global neuroanatomy), virtual dissections, quantification, and generation of teaching materials [112,113] as well as automatic testing and assessment of neuroanatomy knowledge [114] available, e.g., in The Cerefy Atlas of Cerebral Vasculature [53].

Technology advancements open new avenues in brain atlasing, although on the other hand, they may cause an increased cost and decreased accessibility of brain atlas applications, especially for users in less privileged countries. To address this issue, I have created the NOWinBRAIN 3D neuroimage public repository at www.nowinbrain.org. NOWinBRAIN is a large (the largest so far), systematic, comprehensive, extendable, spatially consistent, easy to use, long-lasting, and beautiful repository of 3D reconstructed images of a living human brain extended to the head and neck populated with over 7800 images (version 3.1) organized in 10 galleries. The design, development, and content of the primary and multi-tissue galleries are addressed in [115], the combined planar–surface gallery in [116], the dissection gallery in [117]; and the gallery of dual white matter–cortical surfaces with the cerebral sulci in [118]. Note that despite the tremendous development of various brain-related resources, such a repository is not yet available. This systematically designed repository is empowered with many novel features, such as multi-tissue galleries, the use of various spatially co-registered image sequences, and unique image-naming syntax. It is freely available and easily accessible as a web resource without any password or registration. These features make NOWinBRAIN valuable for neuroeducators, medical students, neuroscientists, and clinicians, especially, in less privileged countries. The current
users are from over 75 countries on six continents. Most users are from Europe and the United States including the technologically advanced Silicon Valley. Frequent users are from India, China, and Egypt. There are also visitors from Nepal, Afghanistan, Sudan, Tanzania, Brazil, Argentine, and Peru.

Figure 2. Neuroanatomy composed of 3D pieces (such as Lego blocks) and parcellated by unique color coding. The composed 3D scene contains the brain with the left hemisphere removed and the right hemisphere parcellated into gyri and sulci, cervical spine, deep gray nuclei, cerebral ventricles, intracranial and extracranial vasculature on the right, cranial nerves on the left, and the visual system (an antero-left lateral view).

4.2. Research

Brain atlases are widely applied in research for various purposes and play a key role in modern neuroimage analysis [119]. One of the main areas of brain atlas applications is human brain mapping. Then, the brain atlases, such as the BrainMap [120] or the Brain Atlas for Functional Imaging [38], provide the underlying neuroanatomy enabling the activation loci in functional images to be automatically labeled with cortical areas and stereotactic coordinates. Brain atlases are widely applicable for fast, automatic, and robust segmentation of neuroimages [121–126]. Brain atlases are central tools for data integration [127] enabling combining various brain-related information, such as micro- and
macrostructural parcellation, connectivity, temporal dynamics, and regional functional specialization [8]. The brain atlas also serves as a tool for localizing experimental data and planning experiments [9] as well as to generate hypotheses about brain organization [10]. In addition, brain atlases enable knowledge discovery; for instance, Makowski et al. employed genetically informed brain atlases to determine the impact of genetic variants on the brain in genome-wide association studies of regional cortical surface area and thickness in about 40,000 adults and 9000 children [102]. These studies uncovered 440 genome-wide significant loci (largely acquired in childhood) related to early neurodevelopment and associated with neuropsychiatric risk.

4.3. Clinics

The first clinical application of human brain atlases has been stereotactic and functional neurosurgery. Initially, a digital atlas, such as The Electronic Clinical Brain Atlas [37], was employed offline in the operating room to aid neurosurgery. Subsequently, the brain atlas libraries derived from our brain atlas database [36] were directly incorporated into several surgical workstations, including the StealthStation (Medtronic) [41], to assist neurosurgery. In general, the brain atlas provides pre-, intra-, and post-operative support [128]. Pre-operatively, the atlas assists to plan the target and trajectory as well as provides a list of structures intersected by the trajectory. The usage of multiple brain atlases improves the planning quality and surgeon’s confidence [129,130]. Intra-operatively, the brain atlas specifies the structures already traversed by the electrode, identifies the actual structure where the electrode tip is located, measures distances to important structures, and provides the neuroanatomic and vascular context [130]. Post-operatively, the atlas enables the examination of the precision of placement of the stimulating electrode or a permanent lesion. Other atlas-assisted applications in neurosurgery include atlas-guided do-it-yourself neurosurgery [41] and an atlas-enhanced operating room for the future [131].

Several brain atlas-aided proofs of concepts (prototypes) have been developed in some other areas. Namely, in neuroradiology, brain atlases can assist in neuroimage interpretation by segmenting and labeling brain scans including pathological, template-based reporting, dealing with data explosion by facilitating processing multi-detector (especially 320-raw computed tomography) scans, and communication for both doctor-to-doctor and especially doctor-to-patient [132]. Multiple brain atlases have the potential in stroke management including prediction, diagnosis, and treatment by providing automated processes ensuring fast decisions [60,98,133]. In neurology, the 3D Atlas of Neurologic Disorders [134] demonstrates various locations of brain damage, including local neuroanatomy, cranial nerves, and cerebrovasculature, along with the resulting neurologic deficits, bridging in this way neuroanatomy, neuroradiology, and neurology [135]. Finally in psychiatry, a brain atlas allows for the automatic generation of neuroanatomic volumes of interest for statistical analysis, e.g., to study schizophrenic patients and controls [136].

5. Future Developments

There has been an enormous explosion of human brain-related endeavors in the last few years. These are advanced, big, government-led, and/or well-funded projects, initiatives, and/or national brain programs, such as The Human Connectome Project to map structural and functional connections to investigate the relationship between brain circuits and behavior [51]; The Allen Brain Atlas to map gene expression [56]; The Big Brain to acquire ultra-high resolution neuroimages [89]; The CONNECT project combining macro- and microstructure [137]; the Brainnetome project to understand the brain and its disorders, develop methods for multi-scale brain network analysis, and create the Brainnetome atlas [138]; The BRAIN Initiative (Brain Research Through Advancing Innovative Neurotechnologies) [139] to develop technology to advance neuroscience discovery [140]; The Blue Brain Project to simulate neocortical micro-circuitry [141]; The Human Brain Project to create a research infrastructure to decipher the human brain, reconstruct its multiscale organization, and develop brain-inspired information technology [142]; the Chinese Color Nest Project to
study human connectomics across the life span [87]; the Japanese Brain/MINDS (Brain Mapping by Integrating Neurotechnologies for Disease Studies) project to better understand the human brain and neuropsychiatric disorders through “translatable” biomarkers [143]; and SYNAPSE (Synchrotron for Neuroscience—an Asia-Pacific Strategic Enterprise) to map the entire human brain at sub-cellular level by employing synchrotron tomography [144]—a proposal of how to build a corresponding human brain atlas I have recently presented at the SYNAPSE 2022 meeting; https://www.slri.or.th/th/index.php?option=com_attachments&task=download&id=4493 (28 December 2022).

These and other efforts have resulted in the acquisition of big data and the development of diverse brain-related databases, such as BigBrain, Allen Brain Atlas, HCP (Human Connectome Project) database and HCP Young Adult Data, BIRN (Biomedical Informatics Research Network) MRI and fMRI data, OpenNEURO, OASIS (Open Access Series of Imaging Studies) Brains Project, ABCD (Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development) Data Repository, BCP (Baby Connectome Project) database, BP (bipolar disorder) neuroimaging database, and the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) as overviewed in [145]. Moreover, the BRAIN Initiative resulted in the development of the Neuroscience Multi-Omic Archive repository containing transcriptomic and epigenomic data from over 50 million brain cells [146]. In addition, the online community repository NeuroMorpho.Org contains more than 140,000 neural reconstructions (including glia) consisting of 3D representations of branch geometry and connectivity in a standardized format, and for each reconstruction, a set of morphometric features is extracted [147].

The abovementioned large-scale endeavors and big data empowered with high-performance computing at peta- and exascale will enormously increase our knowledge and understanding of the human brain at various scales and will propel the development of novel and more powerful brain atlases.

6. Summary and Conclusions

Neuroanatomy, as the study of the structure and organization of the nervous system, and human electronic brain atlases, as tools to gather, present, use, and discover knowledge about the human brain, are naturally linked. Consequently, this work addresses this human brain atlas–neuroanatomy mutual relationship.

Brain atlasing has progressed from the initial brain drawings and hand-drawn cortical maps to advanced brain atlas platforms. Presently, human electronic brain atlases have been advancing tremendously in terms of content, functionality, and applications. The advancement is empowered by software engineering methods and tools, such as databases, image processing, computer graphics, and virtual and augmented reality. This advancement spreads in multiple directions which can be grouped with respect to scope, parcellation, plurality, modality, scale, ab/normality, ethnicity, and combination of them.

Neuroanatomy has also been transformed enormously. From gross neuroanatomy facilitated by cadaveric dissections to micro-neuroanatomy enabled by brain fixation techniques combined with optical microscopy to nano-neuroanatomy empowered by modern electron microscopy, genomics, proteomics, transcriptomics, and epigenomics, and also from cadaveric neuroanatomy to living neuroanatomy enabled by modern imaging of structure, function, vasculature, structural and functional connectivity, and molecular processes. Moreover, imaging offers new acquisition methods, ever-increasing spatial and temporal resolutions, a better quality of images, and shorter acquisition times, all supported by artificial intelligence.

This ever-growing neuroanatomical knowledge enables the creation of human electronic brain atlases. These atlases mirror the advances in neuroanatomy capturing the dramatically increasing knowledge about the human brain in health and disease. Numerous centers contribute to neuroanatomy and brain atlasing advancements from various perspectives as briefly outlined here.

Furthermore, reciprocally, the developments in brain atlasing impact neuroanatomy enabling the use, presentation, mining, dissemination, and growth of this knowledge as
well as facilitating learning, understanding, exploring, researching, diagnosing, screening, decision making, outcome prediction, and treatment of the human brain. In addition, because of remarkable progress in brain atlasing, these atlases are able to more accurately, realistically, and completely represent and present this neuroanatomical knowledge and better disseminate and use it. In my opinion, human brain atlases are the best means to represent, present, disseminate, and support neuroanatomy.

Finally, the impact on neuroanatomy and brain atlasing by the ongoing large brain projects and acquired big data may be expected to be enormous.
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