

Supplementary material:

Table S1. Negative binomial model results of the proportion of time spent in each behaviour at different resource points.

Behaviour	Omnibus test results			Post-hoc test results	
	Chi-squared	df	<i>p</i>	Resource	95% CIs
Locomotion	0.8941	2	0.6395	Water	0.292–0.550
				Warren	0.335–0.686
				Carcass	0.300–0.705
Foraging	0.6477	1	0.7234	Water	0.430–1.096
				Warren	0.371–0.889
				Carcass	0.163–1.543
Investigating	0.144	2	0.931	Water	0.179–0.833
				Warren	0.262–0.716
				Carcass	0.175–0.483
Sniffing	0.879	2	0.644	Water	0.351–0.835
				Warren	0.247–0.702
				Carcass	0.296–0.744
Digging	0.256	1	0.613	Water	0.026–5.03
				Carcass	0.019–1.32
Scent marking	0.209	2	0.900	Water	0.037–0.715
				Warren	0.0001–44.705
				Carcass	0.016–0.811
Vigilance	0.280	2	0.869	Water	0.174–0.553
				Warren	0.132–0.864
				Carcass	0.103–0.595

Given that there was no significance difference between groups, we report only the upper and lower confidence intervals.