



Understanding microRNAs in the Context of Infection to Find New Treatments against Human Bacterial Pathogens

Álvaro Mourenza ¹, Blanca Lorente-Torres ¹, Elena Durante ^{1,2}, Jesús Llano-Verdeja ¹, Jesús F. Aparicio ¹, Arsenio Fernández-López ^{3,4,5}, José A. Gil ^{1,6}, Luis M. Mateos ^{1,6,*} and Michal Letek ^{1,7,*}

- Departamento de Biología Molecular, Área de Microbiología, Universidad de León, 24071 León, Spain; amouf@unileon.es (Á.M.); bloret00@estudiantes.unileon.es (B.L.-T.); e.durante2@campus.uniurb.it (E.D.); jllanv00@estudiantes.unileon.es (J.L.-V.); jesus.aparicio@unileon.es (J.F.A.); jagils@unileon.es (J.A.G.)
 Ul Interpretit di Italian Carla Ba, Via Acardia Caffi 2, (1020 Italian Italian)
- ² L'Università di Urbino Carlo Bo, Via Aurelio Saffi, 2, 61029 Urbino, Italy
- ³ Departamento de Biología Molecular, Área de Biología Celular, Universidad de León, 24071 León, Spain; arsenio.fernandez@unileon.es
- ⁴ Instituto de Biomedicina (IBIOMED), Universidad de León, 24071 León, Spain
- ⁵ Neural Therapies SL, Campus de Vegazana s/n, 24071 León, Spain
- ⁶ Instituto de Biología Molecular, Genómica y Proteómica (INBIOMIC), Universidad de León, 24071 León, Spain
- ⁷ Instituto de Desarrollo Ganadero y Sanidad Animal (INDEGSAL), Universidad de León, 24071 León, Spain
- Correspondence: luis.mateos@unileon.es (L.M.M.); michal.letek@unileon.es (M.L.)

Abstract: The development of RNA-based anti-infectives has gained interest with the successful application of mRNA-based vaccines. Small RNAs are molecules of RNA of <200 nucleotides in length that may control the expression of specific genes. Small RNAs include small interference RNAs (siRNAs), Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), or microRNAs (miRNAs). Notably, the role of miRNAs on the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression has been studied in detail in the context of cancer and many other genetic diseases. However, it is also becoming apparent that some human miRNAs possess important antimicrobial roles by silencing host genes essential for the progress of bacterial or viral infections. Therefore, their potential use as novel antimicrobial therapies has gained interest during the last decade. The challenges of the transport and delivery of miRNAs to target cells are important, but recent research with exosomes is overcoming the limitations in RNA-cellular uptake, avoiding their degradation. Therefore, in this review, we have summarised the latest developments in the exosomal delivery of miRNA-based therapies, which may soon be another complementary treatment to pathogen-targeted antibiotics that could help solve the problem caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria.

Keywords: miRNAs; pathogen; bacteria; infection; antimicrobial

1. Introduction

Small RNAs are non-coding molecules of RNA of less than 200 nucleotides in length and with important roles in transcriptional regulation. There are different small RNAs, such as small interference RNAs (siRNAs), piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), and micro RNAs (miRNAs). MicroRNAs were identified in the early 1990s [1], and their function as transcriptional regulators was gradually elucidated [2]. MiRNAs are typically around 18–25 nucleotides long non-coding molecules that act as transcriptional regulators by targeting specific messenger RNAs (mRNAs) for their destruction to achieve gene silencing [3,4]. MicroRNA biogenesis is an important process that finalizes with the RNA-Induced Silencing Complex (RISC) formation, which localizes and binds miRNA with its target mRNA. This results in the degradation of the targeted mRNA(s) and a subsequent reduction in the expression of the affected gene(s) [5–7]. However, the silencing of a transcriptional repressor may result in downstream gene upregulation. Therefore, some miRNAs can also trigger the expression of specific genes [8].



Citation: Mourenza, Á.; Lorente-Torres, B.; Durante, E.; Llano-Verdeja, J.; Aparicio, J.F.; Fernández-López, A.; Gil, J.A.; Mateos, L.M.; Letek, M. Understanding microRNAs in the Context of Infection to Find New Treatments against Human Bacterial Pathogens. *Antibiotics* **2022**, *11*, 356. https://doi.org/10.3390/ antibiotics11030356

Academic Editor: Albert Figueras

Received: 3 February 2022 Accepted: 4 March 2022 Published: 8 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Most mammalian mRNAs possess conserved targets for miRNAs [9]. The perfect match between a miRNA and its target (3'UTR region of the mRNA) results in mRNA cleavage, eventually leading to gene silencing. However, there is also a possibility of a non-perfect match between a miRNA and the 5'UTR region of a gene [10]. The complementarity degree between a miRNA and its mRNA target dictates its level of degradation or silencing [7,11]. In addition, some post-transcriptional alterations could change the processing of miRNAs by the DROSHA/DICER complex and their loading onto Argonaute (AGO) proteins, an essential component of RISC. These changes in miRNA maturation may alter the miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression and could be different depending on the type of cell or their microenvironment [7].

Despite their highly complex and understudied roles, it is now becoming clear that miRNAs are essential molecules that regulate multiple molecular pathways in humans and other organisms. There are >2500 human miRNAs annotated in public repositories, and >3000 miRNAs have been additionally identified in specific cell types. These >5500 miRNAs have >45,000 gene targets, representing more than 60% of all human protein-coding genes [4,9,12–14].

Initially, the role of miRNAs on infection was discovered in models of viral infections [15]. Plants and animals express miRNAs that target viral genes to combat infections caused by a wide range of viruses [16,17]. Antiviral miRNAs may also control the levels of mRNAs produced by the infected host cell, and they play major roles in viral pathogenesis [18]. This led to the development of novel antiviral strategies based on miRNAs [19] and even the design of attenuated vaccines based on miRNA technology [20].

Interestingly, the first miRNA related to bacterial infections was found in plants, when miR-393 was discovered as a contributor to the resistance to infection caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [21,22]. The discovery of natural antimicrobial responses based on miRNAs opened the door to new studies in the field of immunology focused on the role of miRNAs in the activation of the immune response [5].

MicroRNA expression is tightly controlled in cells and is tissue- or even organspecific [7]. Factors that regulate a miRNA expression and activity include genetic polymorphisms, DNA methylation, asymmetric miRNA strand selection, and the miRNA interactions with RNA-binding proteins or other RNAs [7].

Based on this preliminary evidence, the roles of miRNAs were perceived as a new opportunity to discover biomarkers and new therapeutic strategies against a wide range of other pathogens, including bacteria and parasites [5,23–27]. The host miRNA response to bacterial infection was initially studied by stimulation of toll-like receptors (TLRs) with pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and subsequent analysis of the expression profile of different miRNAs [5,28]. One of the earliest studies discovered that miR-146 and miR-155 work as a negative-feedback loop to stop the TLR4-mediated cellular response in human monocytes exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [28]. Later work has focused on studying the miRNAs involved in the intracellular infection of different bacterial pathogens [23]. Here, we have summarized the latest developments on the role of miRNA in bacterial infections.

2. Human miRNAs and Pathogen Infections

In the context of infection, miRNAs may regulate innate immune pathways that control the magnitude of host inflammatory responses by altering different signalling pathways. However, other miRNAs regulate the expression of specific genes that control pathways relevant to the host cell's infection. Therefore, we have divided the following section into two subsections to shed light on this matter. The first is dedicated to the role of microRNAs on inflammation, and the second is focused on the role of specific microRNAs in the fine-tuning of the infected host cell. In addition, we have created Table 1 to summarize current knowledge about the miRNAs involved in bacterial infections.

| Pathogen | miRNA | Targets | Mechanism of Action | References |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Adherent–Invasive E. coli | ↑ miR-30c and miR-130a * | \downarrow ATG5 and ATG16L1 * | Inhibits autophagy, facilitates bacterial intracellular survival | [29] |
| Burkholderia pseudomallei | ↑miR-30b/c | ↓ Rab32 | Stops phagosome maturation, facilitates bacterial intracellular survival | [30] |
| | ↑ miR-3473 | ↓ TRAF3 | Activates TNF-α release, cell apoptosis and inflammatory response, facilitates infection | [26] |
| Chlamydia trachomatis | ↑ miR-30c-5p | ↓ Drp1 | Inhibition of mitochondrial fission to maintain ATP production, facilitates intracellular survival | [31] |
| | ↑miR-9, miR-19 and miR-451 | ↑ NF-кB pathway | Inflammation control | [32] |
| | ↑ miR-155 and ↓ miR184 | \downarrow Wnt pathway | Inflammation control | [33] |
| Francisella tularensis | ↑ miR-155 | ↓ MyD88 and SHIP-1 | Downregulates the TLR adapter protein MyD88 and the inositol 5'-phosphatase SHIP-1 to inhibit the inflammatory response during infection | [34] |
| Helicobacter pylori | ↑ miR-25 ↑ miR-155 | ↓ KLF2 ↓ MyD88 | Kruppel-like factor 2 (KLF2) is a direct target of exosome-transmitted miR-25 in vascular endothelial cells, which may contribute to chronic heart disease Reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-8 | [35] |
| Legionella pneumophila | ↑ miR-125b, miR-221, and miR-579 | \downarrow DDX58, TP53, LGALS8 and MX1 | Three miRNAs govern expression of the cytosolic RNA receptor DDX58, the tumor suppressor TP53, the antibacterial effector LGALS8, and the antiviral factor MX1 | [36] |
| Listeria monocytogenes | ↑ miR-21 | ↓ MARCKS and RhoB | The pro-phagocytic regulators myristoylated alanine-rich C-kinase substrate (MARCKS) and Ras homolog gene family, member B (RhoB) are downregulated to hinder pathogen internalization | [37] |
| | ↑ miR-26a | \downarrow EPHA2 | The downregulation of EPHA2 attenuates intracellular survival | [38] |
| | ↑ miR-29 | \downarrow IFN- γ | Suppresses the immune response by downregulating the expression of interferon-γ | [39] |
| Mycobacterium bovis (BCG) | ↑ miR-144-3p | ↓ ATG4a | Inhibition of autophagy, facilitates intracellular survival | [40] |
| Mycobacterium tuberculosis | ↓ miR-17-5p | \uparrow Mcl-1 and \uparrow STAT3 | Autophagy activation increasing the interaction of Mcl-1 and Beclin-1 | [41] |
| | ↑ miR-18a | \downarrow ATM | Inhibition of autophagy, facilitates intracellular survival | [42] |
| | ↑ miR-20a-3p | ↓IKKβ | Suppression of immune response, facilitates intracellular survival | [43] |

 Table 1. List of microRNAs identified during infection and their mechanisms of action.

| Pathogen | miRNA | Targets | Mechanism of Action | References |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | ↓ miR-20b-5p | ↑ Mcl-1 | Inhibits apoptosis, facilitates intracellular survival | [44] |
| | ↑ miR-27 | ↓ CACNA2D3 | Autophagy inhibition by means of Calcium associated transporters | [45] |
| - | ↑ miR-33 | \downarrow ABCA1, CROT, CPT1, HADHB and PRKAA1 | Inhibiting cellular cholesterol transport and fatty acid oxidation | [46] |
| - | ↑ miR-99b | ↓ Inflammatory cytokines | Inhibition of inflammation via MyD88 signaling | [47] |
| - | ↓ miR-147 and miR-148a | ↑ Inflammatory cytokines | Inflammasome activation | [48,49] |
| - | ↑↓ miR-155 # | ↑ SHIP1/Akt Pathway ↓ Rheb | Cytokine activation and control of autophagic flux | [50,51] |
| - | ↑ miR-1178 | ↓TLR4-pathway | Blocks immune response | [52] |
| - | ↑ miR-1958 | ↓ Atg5 | Reduction of autophagy | [53] |
| <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium | ↑ miR-let-7i-3p | ↓ RGS2 | Inhibits bacterial replication by the modulation of endolysosomal trafficking and the vacuolar environment | [13] |
| | ↓ miR-15 | \downarrow E2F1 \uparrow Cyclin D1 | Control of cell cycle progression, which facilitates host cell infection | [54] |
| | ↑ miR-29a | \downarrow CAV2 | Caveolin 2 downregulation results in increased bacterial uptake | [55] |
| Shigella flexneri | ↑ miR-29b-2-5p | ↓ UNC5C | Enhances filopodia production, facilitating bacterial capture and uptake | [13] |
| | ↑ miR-3668, miR-4732-5p and miR-6073 | \downarrow NWASP | Impairs bacterial actin-based motility, stops cell-to-cell spread, attenuates intracellular infection | [13] |
| Staphylococcus aureus | ↑ miR-127 | ↑ STAT3 ubiquitination | Interleukin activation and bacterial clearance | [56] |
| Vibrio cholerae | ↑ miR-155 and miR-146a | ↓NF-кB pathway | Reduction of inflammatory and immune responses in intestinal epithelial cells | [57] |
| Broad-spectrum miRNas | ↑ miR-29 ↑ miR-124 ↑ miR-302b | ↓ IFN-γ ↓ TLRs/NF-κB ↑ Cytokine genes | Inhibition of the immune response Inhibition of the immune response Activates the immune response | [39] [25,58,59] [60] |
| Lipopolysaccharide | ↑ miR-155 and miR-146a | ↓ TLR4 pathway | Negative-feedback loop of the TLR4-mediated cellular response in human monocytes exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) | [28] |

Table 1. Cont.

* The symbol \uparrow represents upregulation during infection, whereas \downarrow means downregulation. [#] The role of mir-155 in tuberculosis is host cell-specific.

2.1. Role of miRNAs in the Regulation of Host Inflammatory Responses during Bacterial Infection 2.1.1. Mycobacterium tuberculosis

By far, the most studied relationship between miRNAs and bacteria during infection are those related to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [15]. Phagosome rupture is a critical event in mycobacterial infections in which miRNAs play an important role. For example, EsxA and EsxB are essential virulence factors of *M. tuberculosis* that play a role as phagosome maturation inhibitors and miRNA regulators. The deletion of the *esxBA* genes results in the

upregulation of mir-206, miR-147 and miR-148a, which play essential roles in the release of many inflammatory cytokines [48,49,61].

In addition, miR-20a-3p, miR-99b and miR-1178 are overexpressed in *M. tuberculosis*infected cells and reduce the immune response to facilitate host colonisation. In particular, miR-20a-3p controls the host immunity by blocking the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines through the control of the IKK/NF-kB pathway [43]. At the same time, miR-99b upregulation blocks the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines via MyD88 signalling [47]. Finally, miR-1178 targets the TLR4 to block the immune response in *M. tuberculosis*-infected cells, increasing the pathogen's survival rate [52].

However, the roles of some miRNAs in tuberculosis are still unclear, and their expression is host cell-specific [24]. For example, miR-155 facilitates cell survival and bacterial propagation in macrophages, but it promotes cytokine production and bacterial clearance in T cells via different metabolic routes [50].

2.1.2. Francisella tularensis

Interestingly, miR-155 has also been identified as a baffling immune response regulator during the infection of other pathogens. In particular, miR-155 is upregulated during infections caused by *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *novicida*. This is less virulent than other subspecies of *F. tularensis*, which do not induce the expression of miR-155. This observation suggests that there are virulence factors involved in controlling the expression of miR-155 that are only present in the most virulent *F. turalensis* subspecies to favour the infection [34,62]. The role of miR-155 in the non-virulent *F. tularensis* sepsecies to favour the downregulation of SHIP in monocytes and macrophages, which eventually enhances the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines through the activation of the TLR2/MyD88 pathway [62]. In contrast, *F. tularensis* virulent strains show a marked decrease in miR-155 expression with a concomitant reduction of anti-inflammatory cytokines mediated by the silencing of SHIP-1 and MyD88 [34].

2.1.3. Vibrio cholerae

miR-155 is also involved in other infections. For example, *Vibrio cholerae* releases outer membrane vesicles (OMV) that carry different virulence factors. These OMVs elicit the expression of miR-155 and miR-146a in host cells. The expression of these miRNAs eventually results in the downregulation of the inflammatory response, which facilitates bacterial proliferation [57].

2.1.4. Staphylococcus aureus

miR-155 overexpression can cause fatal pneumonia in *Staphylococcus aureus* infected patients because of the overexpression of different interleukins, resulting in a fatal cytokine storm [63]. *S. aureus* also elicits the expression of miR-127, and its upregulation may increase the natural antibacterial response to the pathogen in mice by means of STAT3 ubiquitination [56].

2.1.5. Helicobacter pylori

Helicobacter pylori can also control inflammation by the upregulation of miR-155 [5,64]. This, in turn, reduces the expression of MyD88, whose gene silencing lowers the levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-8 [65]. In addition, miR-155 is also part of negative feedback that finally results in the downregulation of other inflammatory cytokines [66].

However, *H. pylori* chronic infection can cause other disorders such as coronary heart disease [67]. This is also mediated by microRNAs, particularly by the activation and the production of exosomal packaged miR-25, which increases the expression of inflammatory factors in vascular endothelial cells [35]. Moreover, miR-21, miR-218 and miR-223 are also overexpressed in gastric cancer patients during an *H. pylori* infection, and they are probably oncogenic [68]. Because of this, these miRNAs are used as biomarkers of *H. pylori*-induced gastric inflammation and gastric cancer. These data shed some light

on the complex relationship between bacterial infections and other pathologies linked to miRNA changes.

2.1.6. Chlamydia trachomatis

Chlamydia trachomatis is a human intracellular pathogen and the causative agent of trachoma. The infection caused by *C. trachomatis* is related to the differential expression of miRNAs involved in inflammation [69,70]. In particular, the overexpression of miR-155 and downregulation of miR-184 are associated with inflammation in trachoma patients [33].

Moreover, the miRNA expression profile could be used to determine the severity of the disease. Specific miRNA expression patterns are good prognostic markers of pelvic inflammatory disease, a sign of severe genital infection [71]. Additionally, miRNAs that control the NF-kB pathway, such as miR-9, miR-19 and miR-451, are also upregulated during infection [32].

2.1.7. Broad-Spectrum miRNAs

Some miRNAs, however, were identified as having a broad spectrum of antimicrobial effects. In particular, miR-30e-5p reduces bacterial survival by targeting SOCS1 and SOCS3 [72], two crucial regulators of innate immunity whose silencing reduces bacterial replication [73].

Other miRNAs target general regulators of the immune response during infection, which may be broad-spectrum miRNAs. For example, some miRNAs target signalling pathways activated by TOLL receptors (TLRs) [25]. In particular, miR-124 is often over-expressed during bacterial infections [25,58,59], and it modulates the immune response negatively through the TLRs/NK- κ B signalling pathway. Thus, anti-miR-124 could be used as a broad-spectrum therapy, as previously demonstrated with *Mycobacterium bovis* (BCG) [58]. In addition, the expression of miR-302b is induced by TLR2 and the TLR4/NK- κ B pathway during *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection, and its overexpression activates cytokine release [60]. Other miRNAs control the expression of interferon - γ [39]. For example, miR-29 is downregulated during infection of *L. monocytogenes* and *M. bovis* [25,39]. Therefore, it is worth exploring if any of these miRNAs could be used against other bacterial pathogens.

2.2. Role of miRNAs in the Control of the Infected Host Cell

2.2.1. Mycobacterium tuberculosis

Autophagy plays an important role during intracellular infection caused by *M. tuberculosis*, and miRNAs regulate this molecular pathway. The expression of miR-155 and miR-17-5p reduces the intracellular colonisation of *M. tuberculosis* by modulating different metabolic routes that result in autophagy activation in macrophages [24,41,50]. The upregulation of miR-155 results in the activation of autophagy and a concomitant mycobacterial clearance. In particular, miR-155 binds to the 3'UTR region of the *Rheb* gene, promoting phagosome maturation, binding to lysosomes, and subsequent mycobacterial elimination [51].

In addition, *M. tuberculosis* can also control the expression of different miRNAs to reduce autophagy. For instance, miR-27 is upregulated during *M. tuberculosis* infection and downregulates calcium-associated autophagy [45]. The target of miR-27 is the Ca²⁺ transported CAC-NA2D3, which is located at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and whose downregulation inhibits autophagosome formation [45]. Moreover, *M. tuberculosis* host cell infection induces the expression of miR-1958, which binds to the 3'UTR region of Atg5, whose silencing results in the inhibition of the autophagic flux [53]. Finally, miR-18a facilitates *M. tuberculosis* infection by silencing the ataxia–telangiectasia-mutated (*ATM*) gene, which decreases LC3-II levels in infected cells and stops the xenophagy process [42].

On the other hand, miR-33 is overexpressed during *M. tuberculosis* infection, and it targets different host cell genes involved in cholesterol transport and fatty acid oxidation, including *ABCA1*, *CROT*, *CPT1*, *HADHB* and *PRKAA1*. This, in turn, activates the lipid catabolism in the infected host cells, which facilitates bacterial colonisation because of

the highly lipid-dependent metabolism of *M. tuberculosis* [46]. Thus, an anti-miR-33 may promote phagosome maturation and bacterial clearance by stopping the lipid metabolism of the infected host cell.

2.2.2. Adherent-Invasive Escherichia coli

Some microRNAs are also relevant in the Adherent–Invasive *Escherichia coli* (AIEC) colonisation of intestinal mucosa in Crohn's disease patients [74]. In this context, exosomes carrying miR-30c and miR-130a are released into non-infected cells to silence the expression of ATG5 and ATG16L1. The resulting inhibition of the autophagic flux facilitates the intracellular replication of AIEC [29].

2.2.3. Legionella pneumophila

Interestingly, *Legionella pneumophila* may control the expression of 85 different miRNAs during infection. In particular, the upregulation of three miRNAs (miR-125b, miR-221, and miR-579) in a cooperative manner leads to the downregulation of the RNA receptor DDX58/RIG-I, the tumour suppressor TP53, the antibacterial LGALS8 and the MX dynamin-like GTPase 1 (MX1), which altogether enhance the intracellular replication of the pathogen [36]. The repressive effects of miR-125b and miR-221 on *MX1* and miR-579 on *LGALS8* are particularly significant. These genes form a newly discovered cellular immune response pathway against *L. pneumophila* whose overexpression results in bacterial clearance [36].

2.2.4. Chlamydia trachomatis

C. trachomatis maintains mitochondrial ATP production during infection through the upregulation of miR-30c-5p. This miRNA downregulates p53, which in turn leads to the downregulation of Drp1, a mitochondrial fission regulator [31]. Many other intracellular pathogens have very tight interactions with mitochondria during host cell infection, which opens the way to interventions aimed at disrupting bacterial proliferation [75].

2.2.5. Shigella flexneri and Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium

High-throughput screenings have quickly identified novel microRNAs involved in other bacterial infections. One such study has uncovered three important miRNAs expressed during *Shigella flexneri* infection: miR-3668, miR-4732-5p and miR-6073. These miR-NAs constrain the infection caused by *S. flexneri* by inhibiting the expression of N-WASP, which in turn restricts bacterial actin-based motility, stops cell-to-cell spread, and attenuates intracellular infection [13]. In contrast, the expression of miR-29b-2-5p promotes the production of filopodia in host cells by targeting Unc-5 Netrin Receptor C (UNC5C), which enhances bacterial uptake [76].

Despite the similarities between *Shigella flexneri* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, the control of the expression of specific miRNAs elicited by both pathogens completely differs [13]. In particular, miR-let-7i-3p targets the host RGS2 protein and modulates vacuolar trafficking during *S*. Typhimurium infection, inhibiting its pathogenesis [13].

In addition, the miR-15 family of miRNAs is very important in the pathogenesis of *S*. Typhimurium, as they are downregulated during specific stages of the infection to allow bacterial spreading [54]. In particular, the miR-15 family arrests the cell cycle of infected cells through the inhibition of the transcription factor E2F1 and derepression of cyclin D1 [54,77].

2.2.6. Burkholderia pseudomallei

It is also important to consider that the balance between pro-infection and antiinfection miRNAs may be determinant in the fate of intracellular bacterial pathogens. For example, *Burkholderia pseudomallei* downregulates the expression of miR-30b/30c, which results in the upregulation of Rab32. This GTPase promotes the fusion between phagosomes and lysosomes by releasing hydrolases that limit the intracellular growth of *B. pseudomallei* [30]. However, the expression of miR-3473 is triggered during *B. pseudomallei* infection of macrophages, which is mediated by the overexpression of TNF receptor-associated factor 3 (TRAF3) and subsequent TNF- α release, favouring bacterial replication [26].

2.2.7. Listeria monocytogenes

Listeriosis triggers the upregulation of miR-146a, and the silencing of this miRNA reduces the pathogen's ability to colonise macrophages intracellularly [78]. At the same time, miR-21 is also activated during infection and controls the polarisation of macrophages [79], which eventually leads to a reduction in the intracellular survival of *L. monocytogenes* [37]. In contrast, miR-26a controls the infection of *L. monocytogenes* by targeting the Ephrin receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (EphA2) to inhibit the internalization or the phagosomal escape of the pathogen [38]. Intriguingly, EphA2 is also an invasion receptor for *C. trachomatis* or *S. aureus* [80,81].

3. Novel Antimicrobial Treatments Based on miRNA-Based Technology

RNA-based technology is becoming a feasible strategy to control bacterial infections [82–86]. This new approach has been successfully tested against pulmonary tuberculosis by employing siRNAs targeting *tfgb1* [87]. However, there are now many other opportunities to develop antimicrobial strategies based on other small RNAs, such as many of the miRNAs listed in the previous section.

In addition, the expression of anti-miRNAs targeting specific miRNAs that facilitate bacterial infection may delay or disrupt the pathogen's host colonisation. Anti-miRNAs are artificially produced single-stranded RNAs that are complementary to target miRNAs and block their functioning [82]. This strategy has been previously applied in the context of viral infections [88,89]. For example, miravirsen is an anti-miRNA that targets miR-122, an essential miRNA during hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. Results from a phase II clinical trial indicate that miravirsen can reduce the viral load in a dose-dependent manner [90,91]. The same strategy could be potentially applied to silence miRNAs that are essential for the replication of bacterial intracellular pathogens.

However, there are some important challenges in the clinical application of miRNA as anti-infectives. The most significant handicap of miRNA therapies is their off-target effects. This could be due to miRNA interactions in a non-specific manner with partially complementary mRNAs [10], leading to important side effects in the host [84].

Moreover, the delivery of miRNAs to infected cells could be complicated by the presence of RNAses that can quickly degrade them. This could be partially solved by improving the delivery method of microRNAs to reach specific targets at the cellular or even subcellular levels. This problem has been approached from different perspectives, including the use of nanoparticles, viral delivery systems, high-density lipoproteins, liposomes, or exosomes [84,85], which can facilitate their delivery to host cells [92,93].

Currently, lipid nanoparticles are the leading non-viral delivery systems in the clinical setting [94]. Liposomes are a group of lipid particles that are extensively used to guide RNA-based therapies [95]. However, the main disadvantage of liposomes is the difficulty in functionalising their lipid bilayer [96]. Thus, naturally produced extracellular vesicles are now considered an exciting alternative to improve miRNA delivery (Figure 1).

In particular, exosomes are an up-and-coming solution since they are not toxic and have low antigenicity because they are part of the natural intercellular communication pathways [97]. Exosomes are part of the vesicles generated within the endosomal system and then secreted to the extracellular milieu with essential roles in cell-to-cell communication. Exosomes may efficiently protect the miRNA molecules from degradation by nucleases. Because of this, their use for the delivery of treatments based on nucleic acids is rapidly increasing [98]. In addition, exosomes have advantages over other delivery strategies, such as those based on adenoviruses that may be neutralised by antibodies [97].

Similar to other RNA-delivery systems, exosomes must be modified to target infected cells [31,75]. Cancer research has provided different molecular strategies to increase the specificity of exosomal RNA delivery [99]. The main interactions between exosomes and target cells are mainly based on tetraspanins, integrins, lipids, lectins, heparan sulphate proteoglycans, and extracellular matrix elements [98].



Figure 1. Exosomal delivery of antimicrobial miRNAs to infected cells. Created with BioRender.com (accessed on 1 February 2022).

Interestingly, the isolation of exosomes naturally produced by specific cells increased their fusion with the same parental cells. Thus, isolating exosomes derived from tumour cells and loaded with anti-cancer drugs resulted in well-targeted drug delivery [99]. Moreover, changes in the transmembrane proteins present on the surface of exosomes result in a better adhesion to targeting cells [100]. In addition, the rationale design of exosomes with different membrane modifications also showed promising results in vitro and in vivo in cancer therapies [101]. The use of carbonate apatite or glycan polymers has improved the target cell selectivity by increasing the delivery from endosomes to the cytosol of target cells. Thus, the use of carbonate apatite increased the delivery into the liver, and poly-L-lysine-lactose increased the uptake for hepatocytes [102].

Similar approaches could be used to target bacterial-infected cells. However, the development of exosomes as an efficient RNA-delivery system to treat bacterial infections is still in its early stages [103]. During bacterial infection, both the pathogen and eukaryotic cells can produce exosomes that stimulate the immune system or facilitate bacterial infection [104,105]. In addition, exosomes derived from cells primed with bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) could target specific macrophage populations more efficiently and elicit their activation [106]. This strategy may increase the specificity of exosomes required to block host cell infection caused by intracellular pathogens [107–109]. Nonetheless, more research is needed to develop an efficient, scalable, easy to produce, stable and specific small RNA delivery system that could be used in the context of bacterial infection.

4. Conclusions

Bacterial and viral infections cause millions of deaths, worldwide, each year. Moreover, the increasing incidence of antimicrobial multidrug-resistant bacteria urgently requires the development of alternative therapeutic strategies to classical antibiotherapy. Here, we reviewed the importance of miRNAs during bacterial infections and new potential strate-

gies to control diseases caused by these pathogens based on these small RNA molecules. Altogether, the data reviewed here highlight the complexity of the interactions between host miRNAs and bacterial pathogens and give a realistic perspective on the scientific community's insufficient knowledge about miRNAs as host-directed therapies. However, this information also highlights the importance of some miRNAs in host cell immune and antibacterial responses, which could be targeted for developing new antimicrobial therapies. Despite being a very new technology that has hardly been used in the clinic, miRNAs and artificial anti-miRNAs may have a promising future in human medicine.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.L.; writing—original draft preparation, Á.M.; writing review and editing, Á.M., B.L.-T., E.D., J.L.-V., J.F.A., A.F.-L., J.A.G., L.M.M. and M.L.; funding acquisition, L.M.M. and M.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We thank the Junta de Castilla y León (Spain) for funding our research work on microRNAs, grant number LE044P20. A.M. is supported with a postdoctoral fellowship "Margarita Salas". M.L. is the recipient of a "Beatriz Galindo" grant (Ref. BEAGAL18/00068 - BGP18/00033).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We are thankful to the anonymous reviewers for reading our manuscript and their insightful comments and suggestions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Wightman, B.; Ha, I.; Ruvkun, G. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene *lin-14* by *lin-4* mediates temporal pattern formation in *C. elegans. Cell* **1993**, *75*, 855–862. [CrossRef]
- Treiber, T.; Treiber, N.; Meister, G. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis and its crosstalk with other cellular pathways. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2019, 20, 5–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Carthew, R.W.; Sontheimer, E.J. Origins and mechanisms of miRNAs and siRNAs. Cell 2009, 136, 642–655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, J.K.; Kim, T.S.; Basu, J.; Jo, E.K. MicroRNA in innate immunity and autophagy during mycobacterial infection. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2017, 19, e12687. [CrossRef]
- Eulalio, A.; Schulte, L.N.; Voge, J. The mammalian microRNA response to bacterial infections. RNA Biol. 2012, 9, 742–750. [CrossRef]
- 6. Ghildiyal, M.; Zamore, P.D. Small silencing RNAs: An expanding universe. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2009, 10, 94–108. [CrossRef]
- de Sousa, M.C.; Gjorgjieva, M.; Dolicka, D.; Sobolewski, C.; Foti, M. Deciphering miRNAs' action through miRNA editing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 6249. [CrossRef]
- 8. Orang, A.V.; Safaralizadeh, R.; Kazemzadeh-Bavili, M. Mechanisms of miRNA-mediated gene regulation from common downregulation to mRNA-specific upregulation. *Int. J. Genom.* **2014**, 2014, 970607. [CrossRef]
- 9. Friedman, R.C.; Farh, K.K.H.; Burge, C.B.; Bartel, D.P. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res.* 2009, *19*, 92–105. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wang, Z.; Rao, D.D.; Senzer, N.; Nemunaitis, J. RNA interference and cancer therapy. Pharm. Res. 2011, 28, 2983–2995. [CrossRef]
- 11. Trobaugh, D.W.; Klimstra, W.B. MicroRNA regulation of RNA virus replication and pathogenesis. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2017, 23, 80–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Asl, E.R.; Amini, M.; Najafi, S.; Mansoori, B.; Mokhtarzadeh, A.; Mohammadi, A.; Lotfinejad, P.; Bagheri, M.; Shirjang, S.; Lotfi, Z.; et al. Interplay between MAPK/ERK signaling pathway and MicroRNAs: A crucial mechanism regulating cancer cell metabolism and tumor progression. *Life Sci.* 2021, 119499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aguilar, C.; Cruz, A.R.; Rodrigues Lopes, I.; Maudet, C.; Sunkavalli, U.; Silva, R.J.; Sharan, M.; Lisowski, C.; Zaldívar-López, S.; Garrido, J.J.; et al. Functional screenings reveal different requirements for host microRNAs in *Salmonella* and *Shigella* infection. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2020, *5*, 192–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Londina, E.; Lohera, P.; Telonis, A.G.; Quann, K.; Clark, P.; Jinga, Y.; Hatzimichael, E.; Kirino, Y.; Honda, S.; Lally, M.; et al. Analysis of 13 cell types reveals evidence for the expression of numerous novel primate- and tissue-specific microRNAs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015, *112*, E1106–E1115. [CrossRef]
- 15. Cullen, B.R. Viruses and microRNAs: RISCy interactions with serious consequences. Genes Dev. 2011, 25, 1881–1894. [CrossRef]
- 16. Dölken, L.; Haas, J. Small noncoding RNA: Novel targets for antiviral therapy. *Future Microbiol.* 2008, *3*, 585–588. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ding, S.W.; Voinnet, O. Antiviral immunity directed by small RNAs. Cell 2007, 130, 413–426. [CrossRef]
- Mishra, R.; Kumar, A.; Ingle, H.; Kumar, H. The interplay between viral-derived miRNAs and host immunity during infection. Front. Immunol. 2020, 10, 3079. [CrossRef]

- Hum, C.; Loiselle, J.; Ahmed, N.; Shaw, T.A.; Toudic, C.; Pezacki, J.P. MicroRNA mimics or inhibitors as antiviral therapeutic approaches against COVID-19. *Drugs* 2021, *81*, 517–531. [CrossRef]
- Yee, P.; Poh, C. Development of novel miRNA-based vaccines and antivirals against Enterovirus 71. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2016, 22. [CrossRef]
- Singh, P.K.; Singh, A.V.; Chauhan, D.S. Current understanding on micro RNAs and its regulation in response to Mycobacterial infections. J. Biomed. Sci. 2013, 20, 14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Navarro, L.; Dunoyer, P.; Jay, F.; Arnold, B.; Dharmasiri, N.; Estelle, M.; Voinnet, O.; Jones, J.D.G. A plant miRNA contributes to antibacterial resistance by repressing auxin signaling. *Science* **2016**, *312*, 436–440. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Das, K.; Garnica, O.; Dhandayuthapani, S. Modulation of host miRNAs by intracellular bacterial pathogens. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2016, 6, 79. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Silwal, P.; Kim, Y.S.; Basu, J.; Jo, E.K. The roles of microRNAs in regulation of autophagy during bacterial infection. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2020, 101, 51–58. [CrossRef]
- 25. Zhou, X.; Li, X.; Wu, M. miRNAs reshape immunity and inflammatory responses in bacterial infection. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2018**, *3*, 14. [CrossRef]
- Fang, Y.; Chen, H.; Hu, Y.; Li, Q.; Hu, Z.; Ma, T.; Mao, X. Burkholderia pseudomallei-derived miR-3473 enhances NF-κB via targeting TRAF3 and is associated with different inflammatory responses compared to Burkholderia thailandensis in murine macrophages. BMC Microbiol. 2016, 16, 283. [CrossRef]
- 27. Britton, C.; Winter, A.D.; Gillan, V.; Devaney, E. MicroRNAs of parasitic helminths—Identification, characterization and potential as drug targets. *Int. J. Parasitol. Drugs Drug Resist.* 2014, *4*, 85–94. [CrossRef]
- 28. Taganov, K.D.; Boldin, M.P.; Chang, K.J.; Baltimore, D. NF-κB-dependent induction of microRNA miR-146, an inhibitor targeted to signaling proteins of innate immune responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 12481–12486. [CrossRef]
- Larabi, A.; Dalmasso, G.; Delmas, J.; Barnich, N.; Nguyen, H.T.T. Exosomes transfer miRNAs from cell-to-cell to inhibit autophagy during infection with Crohn's disease-associated Adherent–Invasive *E. coli. Gut Microbes* 2020, 11, 1677–1694. [CrossRef]
- Hu, Z.Q.; Rao, C.L.; Tang, M.L.; Zhang, Y.; Lu, X.X.; Chen, J.G.; Mao, C.; Deng, L.; Li, Q.; Mao, X.H. Rab32 GTpase, as a direct target of miR-30b/c, controls the intracellular survival of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* by regulating phagosome maturation. *PLoS Pathog.* 2019, 15, e1007879. [CrossRef]
- Chowdhury, S.R.; Reimer, A.; Sharan, M.; Kozjak-Pavlovic, V.; Eulalio, A.; Prusty, B.K.; Fraunholz, M.; Karunakaran, K.; Rudel, T. *Chlamydia* preserves the mitochondrial network necessary for replication via microRNA-dependent inhibition of fission. *J. Cell Biol.* 2017, 216, 1071–1089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Igietseme, J.U.; Omosun, Y.; Stuchlik, O.; Reed, M.S.; Partin, J.; He, Q.; Joseph, K.; Ellerson, D.; Bollweg, B.; George, Z.; et al. Role of epithelial-mesenchyme transition in *Chlamydia* pathogenesis. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0145198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Derrick, T.; Last, A.R.; Burr, S.E.; Roberts, C.H.; Nabicassa, M.; Cassama, E.; Bailey, R.L.; Mabey, D.C.W.; Burton, M.J.; Holland, M.J. Inverse relationship between microRNA-155 and -184 expression with increasing conjunctival inflammation during ocular *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2016, *16*, 60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bandyopadhyay, S.; Long, M.E.; Allen, L.A.H. Differential expression of microRNAs in *Francisella tularensis*-infected human macrophages: miR-155-dependent downregulation of MyD88 inhibits the inflammatory response. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e109525. [CrossRef]
- 35. Li, N.; Liu, S.F.; Dong, K.; Zhang, G.C.; Huang, J.; Wang, Z.H.; Wang, T.J. Exosome-transmitted miR-25 induced by *H. pylori* promotes vascular endothelial cell injury by targeting KLF2. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2019**, *9*, 366. [CrossRef]
- Herkt, C.E.; Caffrey, B.E.; Surmann, K.; Blankenburg, S.; Salazar, G.; Jung, A.L.; Herbel, S.M.; Hoffmann, K.; Schulte, L.N.; Chen, W.; et al. A microRNA network controls *Legionella pneumophila* replication in human macrophages via LGALS8 and MX1. *MBio* 2020, 11, e03155-19. [CrossRef]
- Johnston, D.G.W.; Kearney, J.; Zasłona, Z.; Williams, M.A.; O'Neill, L.A.J.; Corr, S.C. MicroRNA-21 limits uptake of *Listeria* monocytogenes by macrophages to reduce the intracellular niche and control infection. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2017, 7, 201. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.; Yuan, J.; Wang, L.; Zheng, Z.; Ran, H.; Liu, F.; Li, F.; Tang, X.; Zhang, J.; Ni, Q.; et al. MiR-26a targets EphA2 to resist intracellular *Listeria monocytogenes* in macrophages. *Mol. Immunol.* 2020, 128, 69–78. [CrossRef]
- Ma, F.; Xu, S.; Liu, X.; Zhang, Q.; Xu, X.; Liu, M.; Hua, M.; Li, N.; Yao, H.; Cao, X. The microRNA miR-29 controls innate and adaptive immune responses to intracellular bacterial infection by targeting interferon-γ. *Nat. Immunol.* 2011, 12, 861–869. [CrossRef]
- Guo, L.; Zhou, L.; Gao, Q.; Zhang, A.; Wei, J.; Hong, D.; Chu, Y.; Duan, X.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, G. MicroRNA-144-3p inhibits autophagy activation and enhances Bacillus Calmette-Guérin infection by targeting ATG4a in RAW264.7 macrophage cells. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0179772. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, R.; Sahu, S.K.; Kumar, M.; Jana, K.; Gupta, P.; Gupta, U.D.; Kundu, M.; Basu, J. MicroRNA 17-5p regulates autophagy in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-infected macrophages by targeting Mcl-1 and STAT3. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2016, 18, 679–691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Yuan, Q.; Chen, H.; Yang, Y.; Fu, Y.; Yi, Z. miR-18a promotes Mycobacterial survival in macrophages via inhibiting autophagy by downregulation of ATM. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 2020, 24, 2004–2012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Cui, J.; Li, Z.; Cui, K.; Gao, Y.; Zhang, B.; Niu, J.; Wang, Y. MicroRNA-20a-3p regulates the host immune response to facilitate the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection by targeting IKKβ/NF-κB pathway. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2021, 91, 107286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Zhang, D.; Yi, Z.; Fu, Y. Downregulation of miR-20b-5p facilitates *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* survival in RAW 264.7 macrophages via attenuating the cell apoptosis by Mcl-1 upregulation. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2019**, *120*, 5889–5896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, F.; Chen, J.; Wang, P.; Li, H.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, H.; Liu, Z.; Zheng, R.; Wang, L.; Yang, H.; et al. MicroRNA-27a controls the intracellular survival of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by regulating calcium-associated autophagy. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 4295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ouimet, M.; Koster, S.; Sakowski, E.; Ramkhelawon, B.; Van Solingen, C.; Oldebeken, S.; Karunakaran, D.; Portal-celhay, C.; Sheedy, F.J.; Ray, T.D.; et al. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* induces the miR-33 locus to reprogram autophagy and host lipid metabolism. *Nat. Immunol.* 2016, 17, 677–686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Singh, Y.; Kaul, V.; Mehra, A.; Chatterjee, S.; Tousif, S.; Dwivedi, V.P.; Suar, M.; Van Kaer, L.; Bishai, W.R.; Das, G. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* controls MicroRNA-99b (miR-99b) expression in infected murine dendritic cells to modulate host immunity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2013, 288, 5056–5061. [CrossRef]
- Zuo, X.; Wang, L.; Bao, Y.; Sun, J. The ESX-1 virulence factors downregulate miR-147-3p in *Mycobacterium marinum*-infected macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* 2020, 88, e00088-20. [CrossRef]
- Wu, H.; Bao, Y.; Wang, L.; Li, X.; Sun, J. Mycobacterium marinum downregulates miR-148a in macrophages in an EsxA-dependent manner. Int. Immunopharmacol. 2020, 73, 41–48. [CrossRef]
- Rothchild, A.C.; Sissons, J.R.; Shafiani, S.; Plaisier, C.; Min, D.; Mai, D.; Gilchrist, M.; Peschon, J.; Larson, R.P.; Bergthaler, A.; et al. MiR-155-regulated molecular network orchestrates cell fate in the innate and adaptive immune response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2016, 113, E6172–E6181. [CrossRef]
- 51. Wang, J.; Yang, K.; Zhou, L.; Wu, M.; Wu, Y.; Zhu, M.; Lai, X.M.; Chen, T.; Feng, L.; Li, M.; et al. MicroRNA-155 promotes autophagy to eliminate intracellular mycobacteria by targeting Rheb. *PLoS Pathog.* **2013**, *9*, e1003697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Shi, G.; Mao, G.; Xie, K.; Wu, D.; Wang, W. MiR-1178 regulates mycobacterial survival and inflammatory responses in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-infected macrophages partly via TLR4. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2018**, *119*, 7449–7457. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ding, S.; Qu, Y.; Yang, S.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, G. Novel miR-1958 promotes *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* survival in RAW264.7 cells by inhibiting autophagy via Atg5. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2019, 29, 989–998. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maudet, C.; Mano, M.; Sunkavalli, U.; Sharan, M.; Giacca, M.; Förstner, K.U.; Eulalio, A. Functional high-throughput screening identifies the miR-15 microRNA family as cellular restriction factors for *Salmonella* infection. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 4718. [CrossRef]
- 55. Hoeke, L.; Sharbati, J.; Pawar, K.; Keller, A.; Einspanier, R.; Sharbati, S. Intestinal *Salmonella* Typhimurium infection leads to miR-29a induced Caveolin 2 regulation. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e67300. [CrossRef]
- 56. Liu, X.; Mao, Y.; Kang, Y.; He, L.; Zhu, B.; Zhang, W.; Lu, Y.; Wu, Q.; Xu, D.; Shi, L. MicroRNA-127 promotes anti-microbial host defense through restricting A20-mediated de-ubiquitination of STAT3. *iScience* **2020**, *23*, 100763. [CrossRef]
- 57. Bitar, A.; Aung, K.M.; Wai, S.N.; Hammarström, M.L. *Vibrio cholerae* derived outer membrane vesicles modulate the inflammatory response of human intestinal epithelial cells by inducing microRNA-146a. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 7212. [CrossRef]
- 58. Ma, C.; Li, Y.; Zeng, J.; Wu, X.; Liu, X.; Wang, Y. *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG triggered MyD88 induces miR-124 feedback negatively regulates immune response in alveolar epithelial cells. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e92419. [CrossRef]
- 59. Ma, C.; Li, Y.; Li, M.; Deng, G.; Wu, X.; Zeng, J.; Hao, X.; Wang, X.; Liu, J.; Cho, W.C.S.; et al. MicroRNA-124 negatively regulates TLR signaling in alveolar macrophages in response to mycobacterial infection. *Mol. Immunol.* **2014**, *62*, 150–158. [CrossRef]
- 60. Zhou, X.; Li, X.; Ye, Y.; Zhao, K.; Zhuang, Y.; Li, Y.; Wei, Y.; Wu, M. MicroRNA-302b augments host defense to bacteria by regulating inflammatory responses via feedback to TLR/IRAK4 circuits. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 3619. [CrossRef]
- Wright, K.; de Silva, K.; Plain, K.M.; Purdie, A.C.; Blair, T.A.; Duggin, I.G.; Britton, W.J.; Oehlers, S.H. Mycobacterial infectioninduced miR-206 inhibits protective neutrophil recruitment via the CXCL12/CXCR4 signalling axis. *PLoS Pathog.* 2021, 17, e1009186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Cremer, T.J.; Ravneberg, D.H.; Clay, C.D.; Piper-Hunter, M.G.; Marsh, C.B.; Elton, T.S.; Gunn, J.S.; Amer, A.; Kanneganti, T.D.; Schlesinger, L.S.; et al. MiR-155 induction by *F. novicida* but not the virulent *F. tularensis* results in SHIP downregulation and enhanced pro-inflammatory cytokine response. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e8508. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Podsiad, A.; Standiford, T.J.; Ballinger, M.N.; Eakin, R.; Park, P.; Kunkel, S.L.; Moore, B.B.; Bhan, U. MicroRNA-155 regulates host immune response to postviral bacterial pneumonia via IL-23/IL-17 pathway. *Am. J. Physiol.-Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* 2016, 310, L465–L475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Săsăran, M.O.; Meliț, L.E.; Dobru, E.D. MicroRNA modulation of host immune response and inflammation triggered by *Helicobacter pylori. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 1406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tang, B.; Xiao, B.; Liu, Z.; Li, N.; Zhu, E.D.; Li, B.S.; Xie, Q.H.; Zhuang, Y.; Zou, Q.M.; Mao, X.H. Identification of MyD88 as a novel target of miR-155, involved in negative regulation of *Helicobacter pylori*-induced inflammation. *FEBS Lett.* 2010, 584, 1481–1486. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ceppi, M.; Pereira, A.M.; Dunand-Sauthier, I.; Barras, E.; Reith, W.; Santos, M.A.; Pierre, P. MicroRNA-155 modulates the interleukin-1 signaling pathway in activated human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 2735–2740. [CrossRef]

- 67. Lee, M.; Baek, H.; Park, J.S.; Kim, S.; Kyung, C.; Baik, S.J.; Lee, B.K.; Kim, J.H.; Ahn, C.W.; Kim, K.R.; et al. Current *Helicobacter pylori* infection is significantly associated with subclinical coronary atherosclerosis in healthy subjects: Across-sectional study. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0193646. [CrossRef]
- 68. Li, B.S.; Zhao, Y.L.; Guo, G.; Li, W.; Zhu, E.D.; Luo, X.; Mao, X.H.; Zou, Q.M.; Yu, P.W.; Zuo, Q.F.; et al. Plasma microRNAs, miR-223, miR-21 and miR-218, as novel potential biomarkers for gastric cancer detection. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e41629. [CrossRef]
- 69. Eledge, M.R.; Yeruva, L. Host and pathogen interface: microRNAs are modulators of disease outcome. *Microbes Infect.* **2018**, 20, 410–415. [CrossRef]
- Derrick, T.; Roberts, C.H.; Rajasekhar, M.; Burr, S.E.; Joof, H.; Makalo, P.; Bailey, R.L.; Mabey, D.C.W.; Burton, M.J.; Holland, M.J. Conjunctival MicroRNA Expression in Inflammatory Trachomatous Scarring. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2013, 7. [CrossRef]
- 71. Yeruva, L.; Myers, G.S.A.; Spencer, N.; Creasy, H.H.; Adams, N.E.; Maurelli, A.T.; McChesney, G.R.; Cleves, M.A.; Ravel, J.; Bowlin, A.; et al. Early MicroRNA expression profile as a prognostic biomarker for the development of pelvic inflammatory disease in a mouse model of chlamydial genital infection. *MBio* 2014, 5, e01241-14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Mishra, R.; Krishnamoorthy, P.; Kumar, H. MicroRNA-30e-5p regulates SOCS1 and SOCS3 during bacterial infection. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2021**, *10*, 887. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alice, A.F.; Kramer, G.; Bambina, S.; Baird, J.R.; Bahjat, K.S.; Gough, M.J.; Crittenden, M.R. Amplifying IFN-γ signaling in dendritic cells by CD11c-specific loss of SOCS1 increases innate immunity to infection while decreasing adaptive immunity. *J. Immunol.* 2018, 200, 177–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Martinez-Medina, M.; Aldeguer, X.; Lopez-Siles, M.; González-Huix, F.; López-Oliu, C.; Dahbi, G.; Bianco, J.E.; Blanco, J.; Garcia-Gil, L.J.; Darfeuille-Michaud, A. Molecular diversity of *Escherichia coli* in the human gut: New ecological evidence supporting the role of Adherent–Invasive *E. coli* (AIEC) in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 2009, 15, 872–882. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Spier, A.; Stavru, F.; Cossart, P. Interaction between intracellular bacterial pathogens and host cell mitochondria. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2019, 7, BAI-0016-2019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sunkavalli, U.; Aguilar, C.; Silva, R.J.; Sharan, M.; Cruz, A.R.; Tawk, C.; Maudet, C.; Mano, M.; Eulalio, A. Analysis of host microRNA function uncovers a role for miR-29b-2-5p in *Shigella* capture by filopodia. *PLoS Pathog.* 2017, 13, e1006327. [CrossRef]
- 77. Aguilar, C.; Costa, S.; Maudet, C.; Vivek-Ananth, R.P.; Zaldívar-López, S.; Garrido, J.J.; Samal, A.; Mano, M.; Eulalio, A. Reprogramming of microRNA expression via E2F1 downregulation promotes *Salmonella* infection both in infected and bystander cells. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12*, 3392. [CrossRef]
- Du, C.T.; Gao, W.; Ma, K.; Yu, S.X.; Li, N.; Yan, S.Q.; Zhou, F.H.; Liu, Z.Z.; Chen, W.; Lei, L.C.; et al. MicroRNA-146a deficiency protects against *Listeria monocytogenes* infection by modulating the gut microbiota. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 19, 993. [CrossRef]
- 79. Wang, Z.; Brandt, S.; Medeiros, A.; Wang, S.; Wu, H.; Dent, A.; Serezani, C.H. MicroRNA 21 Is a homeostatic regulator of macrophage polarization and prevents prostaglandin e2 -mediated M2 generation. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0115855. [CrossRef]
- 80. Subbarayal, P.; Karunakaran, K.; Winkler, A.C.; Rother, M.; Gonzalez, E.; Meyer, T.F.; Rudel, T. EphrinA2 Receptor (EphA2) is an invasion and intracellular signaling receptor for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *PLoS Pathog*. **2015**, *11*, e1004846. [CrossRef]
- Bravo-santano, N.; Stölting, H.; Cooper, F.; Bileckaja, N.; Majstorovic, A.; Ihle, N.; Mateos, L.M.; Calle, Y.; Behrends, V.; Letek, M. Host-directed kinase inhibitors act as novel therapies against intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 4876. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Iannaccone, M.; Dorhoi, A.; Kaufmann, S.H.E. Host-directed therapy of tuberculosis: What is in it for microRNA? *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* **2014**, *18*, 491–494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Man, D.K.W.; Chow, M.Y.T.; Casettari, L.; Gonzalez-Juarrero, M.; Lam, J.K.W. Potential and development of inhaled RNAi therapeutics for the treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2016**, *102*, 21–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Deng, Y.; Wang, C.C.; Choy, K.W.; Du, Q.; Chen, J.; Wang, Q.; Li, L.; Chung, T.K.H.; Tang, T. Therapeutic potentials of gene silencing by RNA interference: Principles, challenges, and new strategies. *Gene* **2014**, *538*, 217–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Pecot, C.V.; Calin, G.A.; Coleman, R.L.; Lopez-Berestein, G.; Sood, A.K. RNA interference in the clinic: Challenges and future directions. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2011, *11*, 59–67. [CrossRef]
- Lam, J.K.W.; Chow, M.Y.T.; Zhang, Y.; Leung, S.W.S. siRNA versus miRNA as therapeutics for gene silencing. *Mol. Ther.-Nucleic Acids* 2015, 4, e252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rosas-taraco, A.G.; Higgins, D.M.; Sánchez-campillo, J.; Lee, E.J.; Orme, I.M.; González-juarrero, M. Local pulmonary immunotherapy with siRNA targeting TGFβ1 enhances antimicrobial capacity in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infected mice. *Tuberculosis* 2011, 91, 98–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Drury, R.E.; O'Connor, D.; Pollard, A.J. The clinical application of MicroRNAs in infectious disease. *Front. Immunol.* 2017, *8*, 1182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jamalkhah, M.; Asaadi, Y.; Azangou-Khyavy, M.; Khanali, J.; Soleimani, M.; Kiani, J.; Arefian, E. MSC-derived exosomes carrying a cocktail of exogenous interfering RNAs an unprecedented therapy in era of COVID-19 outbreak. *J. Transl. Med.* 2021, 19, 164. [CrossRef]
- Gebert, L.F.R.; Rebhan, M.A.E.; Crivelli, S.E.M.; Denzler, R.; Stoffel, M.; Hall, J. Miravirsen (SPC3649) can inhibit the biogenesis of miR-122. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014, 42, 609–621. [CrossRef]
- 91. Janssen, H.L.A.; Reesink, H.W.; Lawitz, E.J.; Zeuzem, S.; Rodriguez-Torres, M.; Patel, K.; van der Meer, A.J.; Patick, A.K.; Chen, A.; Zhou, Y.; et al. Treatment of HCV infection by targeting microRNA. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2013**, *368*, 1685–1694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 92. Zhang, J.; Li, S.; Li, L.; Li, M.; Guo, C.; Yao, J.; Mi, S. Exosome and exosomal microRNA: Trafficking, sorting, and function. *Genom. Proteom. Bioinform.* **2015**, *13*, 17–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arroyo, J.D.; Chevillet, J.R.; Kroh, E.M.; Ruf, I.K.; Pritchard, C.C.; Gibson, D.F.; Mitchell, P.S.; Bennett, C.F.; Pogosova-Agadjanyan, E.L.; Stirewalt, D.L.; et al. Argonaute2 complexes carry a population of circulating microRNAs independent of vesicles in human plasma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 5003–5008. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cullis, P.R.; Hope, M.J. Lipid nanoparticle systems for enabling gene therapies. *Mol. Ther.* 2017, 25, 1467–1475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Guo, P.; Coban, O.; Snead, N.M.; Trebley, J.; Hoeprich, S.; Guo, S.; Shu, Y. Engineering RNA for targeted siRNA delivery and medical application. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2010**, *62*, 650–666. [CrossRef]
- 96. Akuma, P.; Okagu, O.D.; Udenigwe, C.C. Naturally Occurring Exosome Vesicles as Potential Delivery Vehicle for Bioactive Compounds. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2019**, *3*, 23. [CrossRef]
- 97. Mathiyalagan, P.; Sahoo, S. Exosomes-based gene therapy for MicroRNA delivery. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2017, 1521, 139–152. [CrossRef]
- Van Niel, G.; D'Angelo, G.; Raposo, G. Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2018, 19, 213–228. [CrossRef]
- Qiao, L.; Hu, S.; Huang, K.; Su, T.; Li, Z.; Vandergriff, A.; Cores, J. Theranostics tumor cell-derived exosomes home to their cells of origin and can be used as Trojan horses to deliver cancer drugs. *Theranostics* 2020, 10, 3474–3487. [CrossRef]
- Alvarez-erviti, L.; Seow, Y.; Yin, H.; Betts, C.; Lakhal, S.; Wood, M.J.A. Delivery of siRNA to the mouse brain by systemic injection of targeted exosomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2011, 29, 341–345. [CrossRef]
- Wang, J.; Dong, Y.; Li, Y.; Li, W.; Cheng, K.; Qian, Y.; Xu, G. Designer exosomes for active targeted chemo-photothermal synergistic tumor therapy. *Adv. Func. Mat.* 2018, 28, 1707360. [CrossRef]
- Matsuki, Y.; Yanagawa, T.; Sumiyoshi, H.; Yasuda, J. Modification of exosomes with carbonate apatite and a glycan polymer improves transduction ef fi ciency and target cell selectivity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2021, 583, 93–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Schorey, J.S.; Harding, C.V.; Schorey, J.S.; Harding, C. V Extracellular vesicles and infectious diseases : New complexity to an old story. J. Clin. Investig. 2016, 126, 1181–1189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Hosseini, H.M.; Ali, A.; Fooladi, I.; Nourani, M.R.; Ghanezadeh, F. The role of exosomes in infectious diseases. *Inflamm. Allergy-Drug Targets* **2013**, *12*, 29–37. [CrossRef]
- 105. Jones, L.B.; Bell, C.R.; Bibb, K.E.; Gu, L.; Coats, M.T.; Matthews, Q.L. Pathogens and their effect on exosome biogenesis and composition. *Biomedicines* 2018, *6*, 79. [CrossRef]
- 106. Neupane, K.R.; Mccorkle, J.R.; Kopper, T.J.; Lakes, J.E.; Aryal, S.P.; Abdullah, M.; Snell, A.A.; Gensel, J.C.; Kolesar, J.; Richards, C.I. Macrophage-engineered vesicles for therapeutic delivery and bidirectional reprogramming of immune cell polarization. ACS Omega 2021, 6, 3847–3857. [CrossRef]
- 107. Alipoor, S.D.; Mortaz, E.; Tabarsi, P.; Farnia, P.; Mirsaeidi, M.; Garssen, J.; Movassaghi, M.; Adcock, I.M. Bovis Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) infection induces exosomal miRNA release by human macrophages. *J. Transl. Med.* **2017**, *15*, 105. [CrossRef]
- 108. Mosquera-Heredia, M.I.; Morales, L.C.; Vidal, O.M.; Barceló, E.; Silvera-Redondo, C.; Vélez, J.I.; Garavito-Galofre, P. Exosomes: Potential disease biomarkers and new therapeutic targets. *Biomedicines* **2021**, *9*, 1061. [CrossRef]
- 109. Barile, L.; Vassalli, G. Exosomes: Therapy delivery tools and biomarkers of diseases. Pharmacol. Ther. 2017, 174, 63–78. [CrossRef]