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Abstract: This study investigates the feasibility of creating a clay polymer-based composite using cowpea husk (CPH) as filler for production of roof tiles. Polymeric composites were fabricated by mixing unsaturated polyester (UPT) resin with cowpea husk at different filler weights and curing. A hybrid composite was produced with the addition of 3 wt.% clay and all samples produced were subjected to flexural, hardness and dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) tests. The effect of clay addition on the mechanical and thermo-mechanical behaviour of formulated composites was investigated. The morphological analysis of the mono and hybrid system shows a rough and coarse inhomogeneous surface with voids created due to the addition of CPH filler for the mono reinforced and clay uniformly filling the voids that were created by the CPH in the hybrid composite. It is observed that hardness, tensile modulus and flexural modulus of hybrid composites increase with an increase in the CPH contents, while the strength and flexural strength all decrease with filler content. The optimal composition was obtained using Grey relational analysis (GRA) at 18% CPH for both mono and hybrid composite. The results imply that the composite combination can be used in making rooftiles and/or also in applications where low strength is required.
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1. Introduction

The availability of affordable and functional housing is one of the basic human needs that is vital to the wellbeing of every human. The quality of the housing portrays a person’s standard of living and socio-economic status in the society. Even though housing is a necessity, its provision has been grossly inadequate especially in developing countries such as Nigeria [1]. According to the Federal Mortgage Bank of Nigeria (FMBN), the housing deficit in Nigeria is estimated to be between 17 to 20 million units [2], far ahead of other African countries, such as Kenya, South Africa and Ethiopia,
which have shortages of 2, 2.5 and 1.2 million units, respectively [3]. Experts believe that only about 10 percent of people who desire to own a home in Nigeria can afford it, compared to 72% in the United States of America, 78% in the United Kingdom and 60% in China [3]. Sufficient and adequate housing has been linked to good mental health and a defence to the spread of diseases, such as COVID-19 [4,5]. The real estate sector plays an important role in developing economies, such as Nigeria, by creating jobs especially for unskilled labour [6] and accounting for 6.36 per cent of the nation’s Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2019 [2].

The escalating cost of construction materials and dependence on imported building materials have been top on the list of constraints towards provision of adequate housing [7]. One of the ways to tackle this problem is by promoting the development and utilisation of sustainable low-cost materials through usage of earth-based materials (clay), agro-wastes and polymers [8–15]. Research efforts in the use of earth-based materials, agro-wastes and polymers are used to drive the current global shift towards a circular economy where materials are utilised and reused with no waste [16,17]. In such production systems, materials which ordinarily will be discarded as waste are converted to useful materials [18,19]. One of the sustainable ways to bring down the cost of building materials, contribute towards affordable housing in Africa and ensure environmental sustainability is to apply this concept of circular economy in developing new composite materials from waste to support the building industry [20,21].

Around the world, burning of agro waste has been the most common means of disposal [22]. The on-field impact of this activity includes removal of a large portion of the organic material hence, adversely hampering the cultivation of the next crop [23]. While the off-field effect of this action is degradation of air quality resulting in aggravation of respiratory problems, poor visibility and skin diseases due to the creation of excess carbon in the atmosphere [24,25]. This has created the need for agro waste valorisation to help reduce environmental impact and decrease raw materials cost.

Small volume fraction of agro fibres has been used in production of ceiling tiles [26], roofing sheets [27] and panels [28]. Cement-based roofing tiles with up to 15% replacement with coir fibre were produced by Darsana et al. [29] and demonstrated to be a quality roofing material and considerably cheaper when compared to the ordinary cement concrete tile. A study by Pasilo and Teebonoma [30] looked into the properties of roofing tiles produced from agricultural residues such as corn cob, palm fruit bunch and water hyacinth resulted in roof tiles with properties similar to commercially produced ones. The use of expanded polystyrene (EPS) as an alternative to roofing technology was studied by Topacio et al. [31] who demonstrated that it is conceivable to manufacture a roof tile using waste EPS plastic as a substitute for cement.

Recent advancements in the use of reinforced composites in structural applications have been reported by Okafor and Ihueze [32], Beaumont et al. [33], Pei et al. [34] and Atiqah et al. [35]. The mechanical behaviour, physical and thermo-mechanical properties of reinforced composites have been studied by several researchers [36–39] to determine their technical and economic feasibility. Several studies have also considered various modifications in terms of treatment, method of production and weight fraction variations of these composites for better properties [40–43]. One of such modifications is the addition of clay at macro and nano levels, and the effects of clay addition to bio-composites have been studied by many researchers. For example, Arulmurugan and Venkateshwar [44] investigated the influence of alkali treatment and addition of 5% weight montmorillonite nanoclay as filler on mechanical and visco-elastic behaviour of jute fibre polymer composite. The produced composites showed both improved mechanical properties and an increase in the glass transition temperature from 109 to 115 °C. Hossen et al. [45] studied the effect of fibre treatment and nanoclay on the tensile properties of jute fibre-reinforced polyethylene/clay nanocomposites and showed the addition of a small amount of nanoclay improved the tensile properties of the
composite without compromising the weight of the composite. Okonkwo et al. [46] evaluated the feasibility of enhancing the properties of Bambara nut shell particulate reinforced polyester composite by incorporation of clay and the composite showed higher mechanical properties than existing composites.

However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no published report or investigation showing the incorporation of CPH which is a biomass resources and 3% clay as a filler in an UPT composite. With the abundance of biomass waste and menace of polymer waste in Africa [32,47], it is important to valorise and find alternative economic uses for them, while contributing to cleaning the environment. Thus, this paper presents the experimental investigations towards developing a composite with CPH in an unsaturated polyester matrix for sustainable building application. The effect of clay addition on the mechanical and thermo-mechanical behaviour of the formulated composites was investigated for possible application in roofing tiles. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used for the morphological analysis of the mono and hybrid system. This was used to view the dispersion of both CPH and clay in the UPT composite.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Cowpea husks (CPH) were collected from a farm in Nassarawa State, North central, Nigeria right after cowpea harvest. They were first cleaned of dust and dirt and sun dried for 24 h. They were crushed in an electric grinder and classified in a horizontal screen shaker of different sizes. The particles that remained in the 45 µm sieves were used for the composite production. Clay was obtained from Galadimawa area in Federal Capital Territory; Abuja, Nigeria with a Global Positioning System (GPS) coordinates of 9°4′0.0012″ N and 7°28′59.9988″ E at 491 m above sea level. The clay used in this study has been previously characterised in an earlier work by Ngayakamo et al. [14], where it was shown that the mineral composition is dominated by kaolinite (Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄), muscovite (KF)₂(Al₂O₃)₃(SiO₂)₆, phengite (K(AlMg)₂(OH)₂(SiAl)(SiAl)₉O₁₀) group of micas and haematite (Fe₂O₃). While the chemical composition of the clay soil mainly consists of 66.10–67.12% silica (SiO₂) and alumina (Al₂O₃) between 14.93–19.45. The mined clay lumps were pulverized, milled, sieved and soaked in water to remove impurities such as stones and decaying organic matter. The mixture was then rinsed severally with distilled water. The obtained clay particles were sun dried for 24 h before drying in a laboratory oven at a temperature of 90 °C for 4 h. Natural clay powder of <45 µm was used to produce the composite samples.

Unsaturated polyester resin with the cobalt naphthenate (accelerator) and methyl ethyl ketone peroxide (MEKP) (catalyst) was obtained from a local vendor in Kaduna state, Nigeria. The choice of UPT resin is due to its easy handling, low cost, good physical and mechanical properties, high transparency, fast curing at room temperature with no gas generation and also because the individual polymer chains of the UPT cross-links during curing, it can be cast and laminated at a temperature and pressure lower than other thermosetting resin [48].

2.2. Composite Preparation and Experimental Design

The graphical description of the research method is shown in Figure 1.

In the production of the composites, 2 mL catalyst and 1 mL accelerator were utilized for each 100 g of polyester resin. The choice of 1 mL accelerator is to avoid a sudden reaction which could affect composite mechanical properties. The measured quantity of CPH was mixed with the UPT at room temperature and stirred continuously for 3 min after which MEKP was added using a syringe and stirred continuously for another 2 min to obtain a homogenous mix. The accelerator was added and stirred for another 1 min before pouring the mix in a mould of dimension 180 × 160 × 5 mm smeared with a releasing agent for easy removal of composite (Figure 1). The composite was left to cure at room
temperature for 24 h as shown in Figure 1 before demoulding and manually cutting off overflow flakes. This was repeated for varying weight fractions of cowpea husk particles which ranged from 10% and so on. A hybrid composite of 3 wt.% clay and varying weight fraction of CPH was produced to check the effect of clay addition on the CPH/UPT. Sample description and composition for the production of the particle boards are shown in Table 1. The amount of clay particles used was fixed at 3 wt.% in line with previous works from the literature [46]. An unreinforced UPT sample was fabricated to serve as control for comparison in studying the effect of the CPH reinforcement.

![Graphical description of the research method.](image)

**Figure 1.** Graphical description of the research method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples Designation</th>
<th>Composition (wt.%)</th>
<th>Composition (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% UPT Control</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% CPH A1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12% CPH A2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14% CPH B1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16% CPH B2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18% CPH C1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% CPH + 3% clay C2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12% CPH + 3% clay D1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14% CPH + 3% clay D2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16% CPH + 3% clay E1</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18% CPH + 3% clay E2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mechanical behaviour of the composites was analysed by carrying out tensile, flexural, and hardness test. These properties of particulate reinforced polymers are dependent on factors such as weight fraction, extent of distribution, particle size, interfacial adhesion, presence of agglomerates, and voids among others.
2.3. Morphology and Mechanical Behaviour of the Composite

2.3.1. Morphological Examination

The morphology and microstructure of the samples was examined using a Carl Zeiss SEM (Model: evo10LS-EDAX, made in Germany) scanning electron microscope. Samples were coated with thin layer gold nanoparticles in a mini sputter coater (Model: Quorum SC 7620, made in UK) prior to characterization to prevent the samples from charging and reflection.

2.3.2. Tensile Test

Tensile behaviour of the composite samples was conducted in accordance with ASTM D3039 [49] standard. Samples were machined to a rectangular shape of dimension of 100 × 20 × 5 mm while a Tensometric machine M500-25CT using a crosshead speed of 50 mm/min was used to conduct the test. Gauge length of the samples is 40 mm. Three samples were tested and average values taken.

2.3.3. Flexural Test

Bending behaviour of the produced samples was determined via 3-point flexural test in accordance with ASTM D790 [50] standard using a 100 KN capacity Universal Materials Testing machine, Cat.Nr.261. Rectangular samples of dimension 80 × 30 × 5 mm were used for the test. Span length of the samples during the test is 100 mm. In a three-point bending test, the maximum bending stress used to break a beam refers to the flexural strength of a material whereas the ratio of stress and strain in a materials’ linear elastic region refers to the flexural modulus [51].

2.3.4. Hardness Test

Hardness of the composite samples was determined with an FIE Vickers Hardness Tester model MV1-PC serial no 07/2012-1329. Hardness is the ability of a material to resist indentation under load and a fixed speed. Three samples each were tested for each group for all the mechanical testing specimen.

2.3.5. Thermomechanical Analysis

Thermomechanical analysis of the composite samples was conducted using a NET-ZSCH DMA 242 analyser to determine the visco-elastic properties of the samples. The test was carried out from 27 °C to 150 °C using a heating rate of 3 K/min, amplitude of 50 µm and frequency of 5 Hz according to ASTM D5023-15 [52] standard using samples of 40 mm span length, 12 mm width and actual specimen thickness. Visco-elastic behaviour of formed composite samples under stress and over a wide range of temperature was studied by dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA). The DMA studies of the composite is important since the materials usually undergo heterogeneous types of dynamic stretch during service life. Using the DMA, it is feasible to determine the mechanical performance of the final product using the loss modulus (E’’), storage modulus (E’), and damping factor (tan δ). The loss modulus is linked with the viscous response of the polymeric structure and indicates the released energy in terms of heat. The rigidity and stiffness of a polymeric structure are measured using the storage modulus. Tan δ or mechanical damping factor is the ratio of loss and storage modulus.

2.3.6. Statistical Analysis of Responses

Experimental values obtained from the mechanical tests were analysed for signal to noise (S/N) ratio with the aim of achieving optimization of individual responses. The S/N ratio values were calculated using larger-the better characteristics from Equation (1) and shown in Tables 2 and 3 for both mono-reinforced and hybrid composites. Further optimization of the values was carried out using Grey relational analysis (GRA) to determine the optimum combination in property of the fabricated composites. GRA is part of grey system theory, that was proposed by Deng in 1982 [53] and is used...
for solving problems with complicated interrelationships amid multiple factors and variables [34]. According to Yiyo et al. [55], this procedure involves utilizing the S/N ratio values obtained to calculate the Grey relational generation (GRG) using the larger-the-better attributes with Equation (2) followed by Grey relation coefficient (GRC) using Equation (3) [55] and afterwards Grey relational grade (GR*grade) using Equation (4) [55]. The GRG is the step in GRA that converts the original data into a sequence that can be compared, while GRC is used to identify the elements that influence the system’s behaviour. The concluding process of GRA is the determination of optimal factors for the single response by the GR*grade.

\[
S_N = -10 \log \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{y_i^2} \right) \tag{1}
\]

where \( y \) = number of observations, \( n \) = response value.

Table 2. Experimental result of the S/N ratio values for mono-reinforced composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>S/N for wt% UPT</th>
<th>S/N for Flexural Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Flexural Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Tensile Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Hardness (HV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>39.0849</td>
<td>28.0830</td>
<td>8.5821</td>
<td>23.9373</td>
<td>0.6120</td>
<td>32.1491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>38.8897</td>
<td>26.7612</td>
<td>7.6655</td>
<td>20.0563</td>
<td>4.1095</td>
<td>33.2797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>38.6900</td>
<td>26.8957</td>
<td>7.4251</td>
<td>19.5224</td>
<td>3.5738</td>
<td>34.1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>38.4856</td>
<td>27.8820</td>
<td>5.9025</td>
<td>20.8279</td>
<td>2.5551</td>
<td>34.3866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>38.2763</td>
<td>25.0861</td>
<td>3.5853</td>
<td>21.0884</td>
<td>1.8052</td>
<td>34.9436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Experimental result of the S/N ratio values for hybrid composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>S/N for wt% UPT</th>
<th>S/N for Flexural Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Flexural Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Tensile Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>S/N for Hardness (HV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>38.7904</td>
<td>18.3291</td>
<td>8.0864</td>
<td>24.6701</td>
<td>9.8914</td>
<td>32.1491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>38.5884</td>
<td>17.8530</td>
<td>6.3613</td>
<td>21.9729</td>
<td>9.2510</td>
<td>33.2797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>38.3816</td>
<td>10.9555</td>
<td>5.2490</td>
<td>19.1808</td>
<td>9.2838</td>
<td>34.1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>38.1697</td>
<td>5.4368</td>
<td>4.5577</td>
<td>13.0449</td>
<td>7.9588</td>
<td>34.3866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>37.9525</td>
<td>1.0616</td>
<td>-2.6154</td>
<td>12.1919</td>
<td>5.7971</td>
<td>34.9436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{Larger – the better attributes } (\chi_{ij}) = \frac{y_{ij} - y_j}{\bar{y}_j - y_j} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\gamma (\chi_{0j}, \chi_{ij}) = \frac{\Delta_{\min} + \beta \Delta_{\max}}{\Delta_{ij} + \beta \Delta_{\max}} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \tag{3}
\]

where, \( \gamma (\chi_{0j}, \chi_{ij}) \) is the GRC between \( x_{ij} \) and \( x_{0j} \). \( \Delta_{ij} = x_{0j} - x_{ij}, \Delta_{\min} = \min (\Delta_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m; j = 1, 2, \ldots, n), \Delta_{\max} = \max (\Delta_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m; j = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) and \( \beta \) is the distinguishing coefficient, \( \beta \in [0,1] \). The aim of the distinguishing coefficient (\( \beta \)) is to compress or expand the range of the grey relational coefficient and 0.5 is the broadly accepted value [56].
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphological Analysis

SEM micrographs of three representative samples are shown in Figure 2. The representative micrographs were chosen due to the fact that all samples of the various groups (neat UPT, mono reinforced and hybrid composites) show similar morphology. Figure 2a shows the SEM image of the pure unsaturated polyester resin surface which is smooth and neat indicating a strong interconnectivity without revealing any microscopic separation. Figure 2b shows a rough and coarse inhomogeneous surface with voids created due to the addition of CPH filler. These voids are attributed to inhomogeneous dispersion of the CPH in the UPT matrix. The presence of the voids could lead to cracks and eventually premature fracture leading to poor mechanical performance of the composite. Figure 2c shows the SEM image of the hybrid composite. This was carried out to study its effect in the composite. From the figure, it was observed that the clay uniformly fills the voids that were created by the CPH biomass. This could be beneficial to the modulus and hardness properties of the composite.

![Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs of (a) unsaturated polyester resin (UPT) (b) a representative mono reinforced composite (c) representative of hybrid composite.](image)

3.2. Mechanical Properties

3.2.1. Tensile Properties

The effects of CPH addition on the tensile strength and modulus of the composites are shown in Figure 3a. UPT resin showed a tensile strength of 29 MPa. It can be seen that as the CPH content was introduced at 10%, the tensile strength of the unsaturated polyester composites decreased. Further reduction was noticed with an increment in filler content until it reached 9.465 MPa at 14 weight percent CPH. Similar decrease in UPT strength with addition of filler has been reported by Mourad et al. [57]. The particle size of the CPH could play a critical role in the reduction of the tensile strength by increasing the area of stress concentration zones [58]. Furthermore, the lower tensile strength at higher CPH content may be due to formation of agglomeration of CPH particulates leading to poor crosslinking of polyester chains. Although the tensile strength decreased with increasing filler content, the produced composites showed favourable results (9.465–15.735 MPa) that may be similar or higher in some cases when compared to published reports on some construction materials. For example, Adi et al. [59] reported 4.23 MPa for 60% weight bauxite residue while foam glass, mineral fibres and polystyrene reported 0.689, 0.069, and 0.310 MPa, respectively. More so, the obtained tensile strength values are higher than the values reported by Abu-Jdayil et al. [60] of 4.35 and 1.25 MPa for fine and coarse crumb rubber polyester composites, respectively. However, for the tensile modulus, 10% initial inclusion of CPH resulted...
in a slight drop in the modulus value of the composite. The maximum tensile modulus obtained is 1.605 GPa, i.e., 12% CPH content and 88% polyester. Beyond that, the tensile modulus ranges from between 1.509 to 1.231 GPa. This drop in tensile modulus is probably due to an increase in filler content, which results in poor load transmission between the fibres. In addition, high fibre concentrations imply resin-depleted areas, resulting in insufficient fibre–matrix adhesion for stress transfer [61]. The addition of clay further reduced the tensile strength by over 47.57% while increasing the tensile modulus by 136.44% as shown in Figure 3b. The reduction in tensile strength could be due to agglomeration in the hybrid resulting in non-uniform stress transfer while the increase in the modulus could be due to the absence of voids or pores and good interfacial bonding [62]. This is similar to the observation of Fan et al. [63] who reported reduction in tensile strength and improvement in tensile modulus with addition of clay in clay-polypropylene nanocomposite.

Figure 3. (a) Tensile properties (b) Tensile properties hybrid composite (c) Flexural properties (d) Flexural properties of hybrid composite.

3.2.2. Flexural Properties

Figure 3c illustrates the flexural behaviour of the cowpea husk reinforced composites. Flexural strength and flexural modulus peaked at 10 wt.% reinforcement with a value of 25.36 MPa and 2.686 GPa respectively. It can be seen that initial 10% inclusion of cowpea husk to polyester resin reduced the flexural strength of composites by 32.49%, while increasing its flexural modulus by 16.27%. This behaviour was also observed by Adi et al. [59] in addition of seawater-neutralized bauxite residue in unsaturated polyester and Norizan et al. [64] with sugar palm yarn fibre reinforced unsaturated polyester composite. CPH addition seems to encourage crack propagation thereby reducing composite toughness which results in lower values of flexural strength [65]. In addition, the increase in stiffness compared to the unreinforced polyester was observed, and it is due to the presence of strong interfacial adhesion between the cowpea husk
particles and UPT matrix [66]. Sufficient amount of cowpea husk in the UPT matrix facilitates effective stress transfer within the matrix due to intrinsic properties of cowpea husk [67].

Beyond a 16% addition of the cowpea husk, there is a reduction in interfacial distance leading to a decrease in chain mobility and total surface for fibre matrix interaction. Hence a decline in flexural property of the UPT composite probably due to formation of agglomerates at higher filler concentration causing insufficient stress transfer between fibres and the matrix as observed by Okonkwo et al. [46] for Bambara nut shell polyester composite, for chicken feather [68] and for coconut and snail shell polyester composites [36]. The incorporation of clay (Figure 3d) improved the flexural modulus property of the hybrid composite with sample D2 containing 16% fibre and 83% UPT recording the maximum flexural modulus value of 2.912 GPa and sample E2 with 18% fibre having the minimum at 1.99 GPa.

The enhancement of flexural modulus can be explained by the relatively higher aspect ratio of the clay, which can fill the holes between the reinforcing fibre matrix, [38,66,69] thus, decreasing the void content as the weak point of the composite material. Chee S.S et al. [38] observed a similar trend in increase in flexural property by adding 1% nanoclay in a hybrid composite. However, there was a decrease in flexural strength upon addition of clay and this can be linked to the formation of agglomerates which creates stress concentration points leading to early failure of the composites. Similar results have been observed by Mourad et al. [57] and Abu-Jdayil et al. [60].

3.2.3. Hardness

The Vicker’s hardness results obtained for the samples are shown in Figure 4a,b. There is a continuous increase in hardness with an increase in cowpea husk fibre content. Expansion in hardness of composites might be ascribed to the solidifying impact of the filler particles as composites become stiffer and thus harder as reinforcement increases, as shown in Figure 3 [70]. This is consistent with previous studies [37,71,72]. All the composites recorded hardness values higher than the 100% unsaturated polyester similar to the works of Ala, et al. [73] and Kaymakci et al. [74] Addition of 3% clay into the composite increased the Vicker’s hardness values of all the sample because clay enhanced the interfacial adhesion between the unsaturated polyester and CPH; therefore, prompting less micro-voids and filler-polyester debonding in the interphase region [20,75]. The maximum hardness value of 55.87 and 69.7 HV at 18% CPH composition was exhibited by mono-reinforced and hybrid composites, respectively.

![Figure 4. (a) Graph of wt% of mono-reinforced and (b) hybrid composite against hardness.](image-url)
3.3. Thermomechanical Properties

3.3.1. Storage Modulus (E’)

Figure 5a shows the storage modulus variation as a function of temperature for the investigated composites. Storage modulus is a measurement of the material’s stiffness. In all the composites studied, loss in stiffness is observed with an increase in temperature due to increased particle mobility leading to a decrease in storage modulus. Sample A2 containing 12% CPH and 88% UPT resin exhibited the highest storage modulus of 2393.39 MPa at 28.2 °C while the lowest was obtained for A1 1879.02 MPa of 10% CPH and 90% UPT resin at similar temperature reading which corresponds to the elastic modulus values in Figure 3a. This implies that 12% CPH is the optimum amount of CPH to obtain enhanced modulus. This is also similar to the result of fly ash hybrid polyester composite [76] and clay-jute fibre polymer composite [77]. The effect of hybridization with 3% clay on the storage modulus was investigated as presented in Figure 5d. Addition of 3% to the various mix (Figure 5d) led to an increase in modulus for sample C2 which has a mixture of 87% UPT and 10% CPH. This increase is associated with clay acting as toughening agent and lowering the rate of molecular mobility of the polymer chains [78], while also enhancing stress transfer from reinforcement to matrix due to improved interfacial adhesion strength between the fibre reinforcement and matrix [38]. This also means there was an increase in the energy storage ability of the composites and agrees with the elastic modulus graph in Figure 3c. Further increase in the CPH weight fraction shows gradual decrease in the E’ value with sample E2 having the lowest value of 1162.37 MPa. This is because the clay content in the composite had formed clusters forming a heterogeneous mix and creating a weak bond between matrix and fibres.

![Figure 5. Cont.](image-url)
3.3.2. Loss Modulus (E"

Figure 5b shows the effect of temperature on the loss modulus values of the CPH-UPT composites. In all the cases, the loss modulus curve reached a maximum peak before decreasing at higher temperatures due to an increase in molecular mobility in the polymer chains. The UPT resin gave a broad peak in the temperature range 80–100 °C with a minimum value of loss modulus which is due to lack of heat dissipation [79] with sample B1 having the highest value. This higher value of sample B1 could be due to better adhesion between fibre and matrix. Inclusion of CPH fibre resulted in flatter peaks at lower temperature ranges. Similar observation has been made by [46] on Bambara nut shell polyester composite. The addition of fibre introduces greater chain segments in addition to greater loose volume and these contribute to a broadening of the loss modulus curve [80]. As the temperature increases, lignocellulosic materials begin to degrade releasing heat. This could explain the higher peak temperature of the pure UPT resin compared to the CPH composites. Loss modulus of the hybrid composite is presented in Figure 5f showing a decrease in E" with increasing fibre content with irregular glass transition temperature. This could be seen in the double peak of sample D2. However, the values obtained were higher than the UPT resin with the exception of sample E2. Such irregular behaviour was observed by Okonkwo E.G et al. and Binu P.P et al. [46,81] with addition of clay to bambara nut polyester composite and inclusion of nanoclay Cloisite15A to glass fibre polyester composite. The decline in the value of E" could be due to clay agglomeration which loosens the polymeric structure [82].

3.3.3. Damping Factor (tanδ)

Figure 5c presents the ratio of the loss modulus (E") and the storage modulus (E’) which is the mechanical loss factor or tanδ. It can be related to the impact resistance of a material. The polyester (control) showed the highest tanδ value indicative of maximum chain mobility due to poor thermal resistance of polyester resin, unlike phenolic resin, which showed a higher thermal resistance than its natural fibre reinforced composite [83]. However, introduction of CPH fibre shifts the curve to the left showing that fibre incorporation affects damping which could be due to the inhibition of chain mobility due to filler addition. Another reason being that the type and distribution of fibres incorporated, as well as the filler/matrix interaction and void content, all have an impact on damping.
factor [84]. As the temperature rises, the damping values reach a peak in the transition area before falling in the rubbery region.

It was observed that with the addition of clay particles, a lowering of tanδ peaks with increase in fibre loading having sample E2 with 18% fibre content with the lowest peak. This is due to improvement in interfacial bonding as shown in Figure 5f. The position and height of the tanδ peak are indicators of a composite material’s structure and properties [77]. Stronger interfacial adhesion means low molecular chain mobility hence less energy dissipation [83,85] observed by the lowering of tanδ. Below 100 °C the fabricated composites displayed higher damping than the polyester suggesting that addition of CPH/clay to composites can improve their energy accumulation capabilities therefore they could be used as dampers at such temperatures.

3.4. Statistical Optimization Results

The results of Grey relational generation (GRG), Grey relational coefficient (GRC) and Grey relational grade (GR*grade) for the mechanical properties are shown in Tables 4 and 5 for mono-reinforced and hybrid composites, respectively, while the obtained main effects plots are presented in Figure 6. The higher grade shows that the experiment is nearer to optimum composition. Based on these results, it can be observed that multi-response optimal performance of the mono-reinforced composite can be achieved by using 82% UPT and 18% CPH while the hybrid composites are 79% UPT and 18% CPH representing samples C1 and E2, respectively.

Table 4. Results of GRC and for GR*grade for mono-reinforced composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Order</th>
<th>GRC for wt% UPT</th>
<th>GRC for Flexural Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Flexural Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Tensile Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Hardness (HV)</th>
<th>GRC for GR*grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xo</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Results of GRC and for GR*grade for hybrid composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Order</th>
<th>GRC for wt% UPT</th>
<th>GRC for Flexural Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Flexural Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Tensile Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>GRC for Hardness (HV)</th>
<th>GRC for GR*grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xo</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions

Biomass is a viable renewable and sustainable source of raw material for diverse application and mostly used in the energy industry. In this regard, this study investigates the potentials of cowpea husk, a biomass as reinforcement for polyester as well as the influence of 3% clay addition as a secondary material on the mechanical properties. The effect of clay addition on the mechanical and thermo-mechanical behaviour of formulated composites was investigated for possible application in roofing tiles. The morphological analysis of the mono and hybrid system shows a rough and coarse inhomogeneous surface with voids created due to the addition of CPH filler for the mono reinforced and clay uniformly filling the voids that were created by the CPH in the hybrid composite. Observations made from the mechanical and thermo-mechanical properties of the composite indicates that variations in composition have an impact on the attributes of all the produced composite as they all yielded different results. The addition of cowpea husk improved hardness, tensile modulus and flexural modulus but decreased tensile strength and flexural strength. The visco-elastic test also showed improvement in the damping properties of the hybrid composite below 100 °C. From the Grey relational analysis, the optimal composition for both mono-reinforced and hybrid composite is 18 percent CPH. This proves the potential of the composite to be used in building application and also serves as a benchmark for future work. Finally, this study has provided data and knowledge to fill the missing gap of using CPH, UPT and clay as a potential and sustainable source of raw material for building application in particular roof tiles. However, further studies on the full optimization of cowpea husk and clay should be carried out to elucidate the exact amount of cowpea husk and clay for maximum tensile and flexural strength.
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