Promising Photocytotoxicity of Water-Soluble Phtalocyanine against Planktonic and Biofilm *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates from Lower Respiratory Tract and Chronic Wounds
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Abstract: Alternative methods of killing microbes have been extensively researched in connection with the widespread appearance of antibiotic resistance among pathogenic bacteria. In this study, we report on in vitro antimicrobial phototoxicity research of cationic phthalocyanine with 2-(4-N-methylmorpholin-4-ium-4-yl)ethoxy substituents against selected clinical strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from the lower respiratory tract and chronic wounds. The microorganisms tested in the research were analyzed in terms of drug resistance and biofilm formation. The photocytotoxic effect of phthalocyanine was determined by the reduction factor of bacteria. The studied cationic phthalocyanine at a concentration of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M, when activated by light, revealed a significant reduction factor, ranging from nearly 4 to 6 log, of *P. aeruginosa* cells when compared to the untreated control group. After single irradiation, a decrease in the number of bacteria in biofilm ranging from 1.3 to 4.2 log was observed, whereas the second treatment significantly improved the bacterial reduction factor from 3.4 to 5.5 log. It is worth mentioning that a boosted cell-death response was observed after the third irradiation, with a bacterial reduction factor ranging from 4.6 to 6.4 log. According to the obtained results, the tested photosensitizer can be considered as a potential antimicrobial photodynamic therapy against multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa*.
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1. Introduction

The current emergence and worldwide spread of multidrug-resistant bacteria are of great concern. Among bacteria that have developed multiple mechanisms of resistance against clinically relevant antibiotics, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is particularly noteworthy. *P. aeruginosa* is an opportunistic, nosocomial pathogen that causes infections of particular danger for immunocompromised and hematological patients, as well as patients with cystic fibrosis or burns [1–5]. This bacterium was found to be one of the most frequent pathogens associated with nosocomial pneumonia (including ventilator-associated pneumonia), septicemia, urinary tract infections, as well as surgical site and wound infections [6–10]. *P. aeruginosa* is not only naturally resistant but can also acquire further resistance to multiple antibiotic classes, making it extremely difficult to treat. Moreover, *P. aeruginosa* can survive and multiply in a nutrient-depleted environment, as well as produce many virulence factors. It is also known to produce robust biofilm, which complicates the treatment of severe infections appearing within the lower respiratory tract and chronic...
wound infections [11–13]. Therefore, biofilm eradication appears to be one of the most important steps in the treatment of these infections [14–16].

Serious limitations of traditional antibiotic and chemotherapeutic approaches for the treatment of bacterial infections, mainly related to the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacteria, triggered a search for new therapeutic methods. One of these seems to be photodynamic therapy (PDT), also known as photodynamic inactivation (PDI) or photodynamic antimicrobial therapy (PACT), which has shown potential as a non-antibiotic modality treatment for various infections. PACT is the method by which a combination of a sensitizing phototherapeutic agent (photosensitizer), molecular oxygen and visible light destroys microbial cells through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), including singlet oxygen [17,18]. Singlet oxygen and other oxygen radicals cause lethal effects within a variety of bacterial cells [19–22]. The mechanism of the photodynamic reaction starts with irradiation of a photosensitizer (Ps) with light of an appropriate wavelength. The energy transfer from the excited Ps to oxygen in its triplet state causes the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), including singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$). ROS react with cell organelles and disrupt their functions as a result of previously mentioned physicochemical processes in PACT. Two main oxidative mechanisms of photoinactivation (PI) are considered for the inactivation of the target cells. The type I pathway involves electron/hydrogen atoms—transfer reactions from a Ps in its triplet state with the participation of a substrate, which leads to the production of radical ions. The type II pathway relies on singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) production in the reaction of a Ps with triplet state oxygen. Both processes lead to ROS, such as $^1O_2$ and free radicals, which can irreversibly alter vital components of cells, finally leading to lethal oxidative damage [23,24].

Very intensive worldwide studies aiming to develop an ideal photosensitizer for PACT are ongoing, with many multidisciplinary research groups having so far evaluated the potential of various agents against different microorganisms [25,26]. The properties of phthalocyanines (Pcs) have met most of the requirements for an ideal photosensitizer in PACT, being fully synthetic macrocyclic compounds belonging to the porphyrinoid family. Pcs, unlike porphyrins, consist of four isoindole heterocycles bound together by meso nitrogen atoms in place of methine groups. Interestingly, they can be easily modified by both the introduction of different metal cations to the macrocyclic core as well as by modification with various functional groups to their periphery [27,28]. Their physicochemical properties, especially optical ones, enable their application as photosensitizers in photodynamic therapy. One of the most critical factors limiting their broader use as photosensitizers is the tendency to form aggregates in solutions, including water-based media.

Several studies for Pcs modified with morpholine moieties in axial, peripheral and non-peripheral positions have been performed in the last fifteen years, including recent works published by the authors [29–32]. In the latest study, novel octaiodide salt of octa-substituted magnesium(II) phthalocyanine with N-methyl morpholiniumethoxy (Pc+) substituents (Figure 1) was examined in vitro for photocytotoxicity against a broad spectrum of microorganisms. Pc+ revealed promising photosensitizing activity against a plethora of standard bacteria strains, including $P. aeruginosa$ [29].

$P. aeruginosa$ can be particularly dangerous for patients with lung diseases and is notoriously persistent in severe wounds. Treatment of these infections is also hindered by the ability of $P. aeruginosa$ to form antibiotic-resistant biofilms. According to a CDC report, in 2017, multidrug-resistant $P. aeruginosa$ caused an estimated 32,600 infections among hospitalized patients and 2700 estimated deaths in the United States [33]. In the same year it was recognized as one of the most life-threatening bacteria and listed as a priority pathogen for research and development of new antibiotics by the World Health Organization [34].
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wounds and the lower respiratory tract is very promising [35–37]. Therefore, the objective of the present microbiological study was to evaluate a PACT photosensitizing potential of \( \text{Pc}^+ \) against clinical strains of \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \). Clinical strains of \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) with different phenotypic features isolated from lower respiratory tract and chronic wound infections were studied in the current research.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Photosensitizer and Light Source

\( \text{Pc}^+1,4,8,11,15,18,22,25\text{-octakis}[2-(4\text{-N-methylmorpholin-4-ium-4-yl})\text{ethoxy}]\text{phthalocyaninato magnesium(II)} \) octaiodide was synthesized and characterized following a recently published procedure [29]. Q-band maximum absorption in the UV–vis of \( \text{Pc}^+ \) in aqueous solution appears at 736 nm. A high-power LED multichip emitter (60 high-efficiency AlGaAs diode chips, Roithner LaserTechnik GmbH, Vienna, Austria) was used for illumination at 735 nm (7.90 V, 0.158 A). Bacteria cells were exposed to a light dose of 1.8 J/cm\(^2\) (planktonic form) or 3.6 J/cm\(^2\) (biofilm).

2.2. Bacterial Strains

Clinical strains (\( n = 29 \)) of \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) were acquired from the Microbiological Laboratory of the Lord Clinical Hospital (Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland). Strains were isolated from the lower respiratory tract (\( n = 13 \)) and chronic wounds (\( n = 16 \)). Selected characteristics of \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) strains used in the study are summarized in Table 1. \( \text{P. aeruginosa PAO1} \) was used to verify the biofilm formation procedure. \( \text{P. aeruginosa PAO1}, \text{P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853} \) and \( \text{P. aeruginosa NCTC 6749} \) were used to determine conditions of the photodynamic inactivation of biofilm. All strains were stored in cryogenic vials at \(-70 \pm 10 \) °C. Prior to each experiment, subcultures were prepared on tryptic soy agar (TSA).
### Table 1. Characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* strains using selected phenotypic and genotypic features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain No.</th>
<th>Source of Isolation</th>
<th>Resistance to Antibiotics</th>
<th>MBL</th>
<th>Biofilm Formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRL CAZ IPM MEM CIP TOB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S R R R S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S R R R S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S R R R R R -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S R R R S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>R R R R R S S +</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>R R R R R R S +</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S S R S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>R R R R R R S +</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S S S R S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S S R R S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>L. resp. tract</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S I S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S R R R I S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S R S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>R R R R R R +</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>R S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>R R R R R R +</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S R R R S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S R S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>R R R R R R +</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S R R S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>chronic wound</td>
<td>S S S S S S -</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L. resp. tract—lower respiratory tract; PRL—piperacillin; CAZ—ceftazidime; IPM—imipenem; MEM—meropenem; CIP—ciprofloxacin; TOB—tobramycin; S—sensitive; I—susceptible, increased exposure; R—resistant; MBL—metallobeta-lactamases; (+)—negative test for MBL; (+)—positive test for MBL; S—strong-biofilm producer; M—moderate-biofilm producer; W—weak-biofilm producer.

#### 2.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

**Sensitivity to antimicrobials:**

Assessment of drug susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* strains to antibiotics was carried out using the disc diffusion method in accordance with EUCAST recommendations. The following antibiotics were used to test drug susceptibility: piperacillin 30 μg, ceftazidime 10 μg, imipenem 10 μg, meropenem 10 μg, ciprofloxacin 5 μg and tobramycin 10 μg. The test results were interpreted according to EUCAST guidelines [38].

Detecting carbapenemases in *P. aeruginosa* strains:

For *P. aeruginosa* strains intermediate or resistant to carbapenems, Carba NP test and imipenem–EDTA disk method for metallo-beta-lactamases (MBL), as well as a test with boronic acid for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases (KPC), were carried out. The test results were interpreted in accordance with EUCAST guidelines [39].

#### 2.4. Quantitative Assessment of Biofilm Formation

To evaluate biofilm formation, a crystal violet assay was used. Each well of a sterile 96-well flat-bottom plastic plate was filled with 200 μL of standardized bacterial suspension in brain–heart infusion broth (BHI) (density c.a. 10^6 CFU/mL). Negative control wells contained BHI broth only. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 was used as a positive control. The plates
were closed and incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37 °C. Then, the contents of each well were aspirated, and each well was washed three times with 250 µL of sterile physiological saline. The plates were shaken in order to remove all non-adherent bacteria. After washing, biofilms were stained for 15 min with 2% solution of crystal violet (200 µL). Then, each well was washed three times with 250 µL of sterile physiological saline once again. After the plates were air-dried, 200 µL of 99% methanol per well was added. The absorbance of each well was measured at 590 nm using an Infinite M200 plate reader (Tecan, Austria GmbH, Grödig, Austria). The interpretation of biofilm formation by tested strains was made according to the criteria of Stepanovic et al. [40].

\[
\text{ODc} = \text{mean OD of negative control} + 3 \times \text{standard deviation (SD) of negative control; non-biofilm producer (N): OD} \leq \text{ODc; weak-biofilm producer (W): ODc} < \text{OD} \leq 2 \times \text{ODc; moderate-biofilm producer (M):} 2 \times \text{ODc} < \text{OD} \leq 4 \times \text{ODc; strong-biofilm producer (S): OD} > 4 \times \text{ODc.}
\]

2.5. Effect of PACT on Bacterial planktonic Form

The tested strains were cultured aerobically in BHI broth at 36 ± 1 °C for 20 h. Then, bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation (3000 RPM for 15 min at 4 °C), resuspended and diluted with 10 mM PBS (pH = 7.0) to a final concentration of ca. 10^7 colony forming units (CFUs) per mL. Aliquots of a studied compound were placed in the wells of microtiter plates (except for negative controls (containing PBS)), then a standardized bacterial suspension was added to each well. The samples were incubated for 15 min (incubation time). Bacteria were irradiated at room temperature with high-power LED multichip emitter (60 high-efficiency AlGaAs diode chips, Roithner LaserTechnik GmbH, Vienna, Austria) for 10 min. A control study in darkness was performed simultaneously. Bacterial suspension from each well, after dilution, was grown on TSA plates. After the incubation period (20 h at 36 ± 1 °C), viable bacteria were measured by counting the number of CFUs. Experiments were performed in triplicate. The reduction of living bacteria in each sample was determined by the log reduction factor calculations as follows:

1. photodynamic inactivation—R1 = Log L(-)Ps(-)—Log L(+Ps(+);
2. dark toxicity—R2 = Log L(-)Ps(+)—Log L(-)Ps(+));

L(-)Ps(-)—no irradiation, no Pc+ (negative control)
L(-)Ps(+)—no irradiation, Pc+ (dark control)
L(+Ps(+)—irradiation, Pc+

2.6. Effect of PACT on Biofilm

To evaluate the effect of PACT on P. aeruginosa biofilm, viable counts were determined after single, double and triple photoinactivation. For this purpose, biofilms were grown in 96-well microtiter plates for 24 h, as described previously [29]. The medium was removed, and the wells were washed gently with PBS in order to remove planktonic cells. Subsequently, 200 µL aliquots of Ps solution (group Ps+) were added. The samples were incubated for 15 min and irradiated by LED panel (group L+) for 20 min. Next, the solution containing Ps was removed, and the first set of samples was tested for determination of the viable cells of bacteria in biofilm, while another 200 µL aliquot of Ps solution was added to the remaining two sets of samples. The procedure was repeated twice, and the viable cells of bacteria in biofilm were determined after the second and the third treatment. To determine the number of viable cells, the biofilm was scraped carefully and homogenized. Samples were diluted with PBS in series, plated on the TSA plates and incubated 20 h at 36 ± 1 °C. Simultaneously, the sets of samples in the dark condition (group L–) were tested. Irradiated and non-irradiated viable bacteria in samples and the reductions of bacterial cell populations were calculated as described above (Section 2.5).
2.7. Statistical Analysis

The data for CFU/mL were converted to the logarithmic form. The data of antimicrobial activity were expressed as mean ± SD. Pairwise comparisons were made using the unpaired Student’s t-test to compare the decrease of *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated (i) from the lower respiratory tract and from chronic wounds, and (ii) the decrease of *P. aeruginosa* strains resistant to all β-lactams and sensitive to all β-lactams. Analysis of variance tests were used to verify differences between the groups of strong-biofilm producers, moderate-biofilm producers and weak-biofilm producers.

A probability value (p) of less than 0.05 was considered significantly different. Statistical analysis was performed with the STATISTICA software, v.13.1.

3. Results

3.1. Resistance Patterns and Ability to Form Biofilm of *P. aeruginosa* Strains

Selected characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* strains applied in the study are summarized in Table 1. *P. aeruginosa* strains exhibited various patterns of resistance to antibacterial agents—from sensitive to resistant to all antibiotics used in the research (two strains isolated from chronic wounds). Four strains (three lower respiratory tract isolates and one chronic wound isolate) exhibited resistance to five of the six drugs used and were MBL(+). It should be highlighted that the highest rates of resistance were noted against carbapenems: meropenem (18 resistant strains, two intermediate strains) and imipenem (14 resistant strains, one intermediate strain). In addition, the most common pattern of resistance was resistance to the above mentioned antibiotics (four lower respiratory tract isolates and three chronic wound isolates) and to meropenem alone (two lower respiratory tract isolates and two chronic wound isolates). In order to identify the mechanism of resistance to carbapenems, disk-based assays and colorimetric tests were also employed.

According to the obtained results, only six strains were resistant to carbapenems due to the presence of metallo-β-lactamases (MBL). No strains positive for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases (KPC) or OXA-β-lactamases (OXA) were detected.

In general, apart from carbapenems, the tested strains showed resistance to ciprofloxacin and to drugs other than carbapenems beta-lactams (piperacillin and ceftazidime). The lowest antibiotic resistance was observed for tobramycin. In the herein presented study, strains of *P. aeruginosa* were found to produce biofilm, with most of them (n = 21) producing a massive amount of biofilm (Table 1).

3.2. Photoinactivation of *P. aeruginosa* by PACT

Synthesis of the studied phthalocyanine Pc+ was based on procedures recently reported by our group [29,31]. The octaiodide salt of the magnesium(II) phthalocyanine derivative Pc+ was synthesized and characterized by mass spectrometry (MALDI TOF, the Advanced Chemical Equipment Instrumentation Facility at the Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznan), UV–vis (Hitachi UV–vis U-1900 spectrometer) and NMR spectroscopy (Bruker 400 spectrometer) [29]. Herein presented studies indicate antimicrobial photocytotoxicity of Pc+ against all tested strains of *P. aeruginosa*. Pc+ at a concentration of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M, activated by light [L(+)]Ps(+)], revealed a significant decrease in the number of bacterial cells compared to the untreated control group (L(-)Ps(-)). Representative images of *P. aeruginosa* quantification after treatment with Pc+ at a concentration of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M in the dark (dark toxicity) and after irradiation with light (photocytotoxicity) are presented in Figure 2. For *P. aeruginosa* isolated from the lower respiratory tract of patients with pneumonia, effective bactericidal action (Figure 3) with reductions varying from 3.9 log (strains no. 10 and 22) to 5.7 log (strain no. 23) was demonstrated (Figure 3A). The results of the studies performed on *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from chronic wound infections (Figure 3B) revealed a decrease in the number of viable cells varying from 4.6 log (strain no. 30) to 5.5 log (strain no. 42). It is worth noting that no significant statistical differences were observed in the degree of microbial reduction either between strains isolated from the lower respiratory tract and chronic wounds, between strains resistant and sensitive to all
beta-lactam antibiotics used in the study, or between strains producing different degrees of biofilm ($p > 0.05$). In the dark, no apparent toxicity was noted in the same conditions (Figure 3A, B). The influence of light was also assessed by the irradiation of a set of samples without a photosensitizer. The control indicated no change in the number of viable cells as compared to the non-irradiated samples (data not shown).

Figure 2. Photocytotoxic effect of Pc+ against *P. aeruginosa* through incubation and plate counting method. Representative plates with bacterial colonies of *P. aeruginosa*. (A)—number of *P. aeruginosa* in the control sample not containing the photosensitizer and not irradiated (L- Ps-); (B)—number of *P. aeruginosa* in the non-irradiated test containing the photosensitizer (dark toxicity (L- Ps+)); (C)—number of *P. aeruginosa* in the irradiated test containing the photosensitizer (photocytotoxicity (light toxicity) (L+ Ps+)).
In the studies, the differences in morphology of \textit{P. aeruginosa} colonies were observed. Colonies isolated from Pc+-treated but non-irradiated samples were smaller and smoother compared to those isolated from non-Pc-treated controls.

3.3. Effect of PACT on \textit{P. aeruginosa} Biofilm

To evaluate the effect of PACT on biofilm, \textit{P. aeruginosa} PAO and ten clinical strains of \textit{P. aeruginosa} were selected on the basis of origin and biofilm production (strains no. 21, 50—lower respiratory tract and strong biofilm producer; strains no. 10, 69—lower respiratory tract and moderate biofilm producer; strains no. 3, 30, 49—chronic wound and strong biofilm producer; strains no. 6, 35, 73—chronic wound and moderate biofilm producer). First, extensive screening using standard strains of \textit{P. aeruginosa} (PAO, \textit{P. aeruginosa}...
ATCC 27853, *P. aeruginosa* NCTC 6749) was carried out to determine the lowest Pb+ concentration, incubation and irradiation time (data not shown). For this study we chose Pb+ concentration of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ M, 15 min of incubation and 20 min of irradiation time.

As revealed in Figure 4, after single irradiation, a decrease of the number of bacteria in biofilm varied in the range of 1.3–4.2 log between tested strains. It is worth pointing out that the second treatment decreased the number of bacterial significantly, as a log reduction ranging from 3.4 to 5.5 log was noted. Boosted cell-death response was observed after the third irradiation, when the decrease in the number of viable bacterial cells was in the range 4.6–6.4 log. Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images of a biofilm of *P. aeruginosa* treated with Pb+ in the dark and after photodynamic therapy using Pb+ are shown in Figure 5. Specific changes in cellular morphology, including alterations in cellular size and structures, were noted.

![Figure 4](image-url)
Figure 4. Values of reduction factors (R) based on selected clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms treated with Pc+ at a concentration of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M in the dark (dark toxicity) and after irradiation (photocytotoxicity): (A) photocytotoxic biofilm inactivation and toxicity—PAO and strains isolated from lower respiratory tract, strong biofilm producers; (B) photocytotoxic biofilm inactivation and toxicity—strains isolated from lower respiratory tract, moderate biofilm producers; (C) photocytotoxic biofilm inactivation and toxicity—strains isolated from chronic wound, strong biofilm producers; (D) photocytotoxic biofilm inactivation and dark toxicity—strains isolated from chronic wound, moderate biofilm producers.
4. Discussion

In our earlier work, the photocytotoxic effect of Pc+ was assessed against planktonic and biofilm forms of microorganisms, including reference and clinical strains [29]. An extensive drop in cell survival of the planktonic form of P. aeruginosa NCTC 6749 was observed in the photocytotoxicity study with Pc+ against strains isolated from severe and difficult-to-treat infections. In this study, clinical planktonic and sessile strains of P. aeruginosa isolated from chronic wounds and lower respiratory tract infections were also subjected to Pc+ treatment. It is worth noting that the antimicrobial susceptibility patterns and biofilm forms of the evaluated strains isolated from different infection sites were different. Among the strains with strong biofilm biomass production there were both multi-drug resistant as well as antibiotic sensitive strains. However, when analyzing antimicrobial resistance on the ability to form biofilms, it can be concluded that the strong-biofilm producer strains are those which most frequently include microorganisms resistant to more than four antibiotics. In our previous study, Pc+ revealed excellent photocytotoxicity against planktonic cells of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The photoactivity
of Pc+ toward *P. aeruginosa* NCTC 6749 was only slightly lower than that of *E. coli* and Gram-positive bacteria [28]. For this reason, further examination with the use of clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa* was included in the current study. In the in vitro antimicrobial photocytotoxicity study against *P. aeruginosa*, cationic phthalocyanine successfully reduced planktonic bacterial cells, with reduction factor (R1) values much higher than 4 log for nearly all tested strains (except strains no. 10 and 22). It is worth noting that for all tested strains the 3-log criterion for bactericidal activity for antibiotics was achieved. Bactericidal activity of antibacterial agent in vitro is usually defined as a reduction of the number of viable cells by at least 3 log. It is worth noting that for all tested strains the parameter was exceeded.

Moreover, the differences in the sensitivity to antibiotics and the degree of biofilm production by the analyzed strains did not affect their sensitivity to the photocytotoxic effect of the tested phthalocyanine.

The potential of various Pcs for antimicrobial photodynamic therapy was confirmed in various studies [41–43]. Spesia et al. presented high photoinactivation of Gram-positive *S. mitis* and Gram-negative *E. coli* bacteria by cationic zinc(II) phthalocyanines [41]. In another study, a cationic zinc(II) phthalocyanine derivative was active against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. *E. coli* and *S. aureus* strains were inactivated with 675 nm light in the presence of 1 µM photosensitizer under 5 min irradiation with a reduction of about 5 log [42]. Other authors studied zinc(II) and ruthenium phthalocyanine derivatives in multi-cationic dendrimers against different microorganisms. Zinc(II) phthalocyanine derivatives at a concentration of 1 µM and light dose of 60 J × cm⁻² inactivated *S. aureus* strains with a reduction factor of 6 log, whereas ruthenium phthalocyanines appeared less harmful against *S. aureus*, leading to a bactericidal effect only at maximum concentration and light dosage (1 µM and 60 J × cm⁻²). Photoactivation of *E. coli* with a reduction of more than 7 log using zinc(II) phthalocyanine derivatives and in the range of 2–5 log for ruthenium phthalocyanine derivatives with a light dose of 60 J × cm⁻² has been noted [43].

A number of various Pcs, either alone or in combinations with different substances, have been tested for a potential photodynamic effect against *P. aeruginosa*, with differentiated antibacterial photocytotoxicities against *P. aeruginosa* [44–51]. Ömeroğlu et al. presented the effectiveness of the silicon phthalocyanine derivative against Gram-positive bacteria, *S. mutans* and *S. aureus*, with over 3 log reductions. However, no effect was observed on the *P. aeruginosa* strain photoactivated and irradiated under the same conditions (approx. 1 log reduction) [50]. In another study, two water-soluble cationic lutetium(III) acetate phthalocyanines (LuPc-5 and LuPc-6) were tested against *P. aeruginosa* and *Candida albicans*. Full photoactivation of tested strains was achieved with LuPc-5 against *P. aeruginosa* and *C. albicans*, whereas photoactivation with the peripherally substituted LuPc-6 was less significant (2 log) [49]. Ke and coworkers presented the photoactivation efficiency of two zinc(II) phthalocyanine derivatives against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains. *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were inactivated with a reduction of 4 log in the concentration range of 5 nM to 0.4 µM, whereas the same reduction in the viability of *P. aeruginosa* appeared in the range of 3.5 to 15.5 µM [47].

Many studies revealed that, although *P. aeruginosa* could be successfully inactivated, higher doses of photosensitizers and/or light are necessary. The sensitivity to photosensitization of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria differs depending on their extracellular structures. The cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria is more complex than that of Gram-positive bacteria due to the presence of an outer membrane that covers the surface of the peptidoglycan [49–53]. The outer membrane is equipped with hydrophilic surfaces and lipophilic cores, which makes it a very effective barrier against lipophilic and hydrophilic molecules. Moreover, only amphipathic molecules, i.e., molecules with lipophilic backbones and polar/charged flanks, are capable of passing through this barrier [22,54]. Despite this phenomenon, cationic photosensitizers replace an essential LPS-binding (LPS—lipopolysaccharide) of divalent Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions and thereby disrupt outer
membrane stability, leading to the formation of channels in the outer membrane that can facilitate photosensitizer uptake [55]. The electrostatic interactions constitute an essential factor initiating cell–drug binding. Therefore, the outer membrane and cell membrane are the sites of action for cationic phototherapeutic agents, which explains the high photocytotoxic potential of the herein studied zinc(II) phthalocyanine against *P. aeruginosa* strains. The fact that the tested Pc+ strongly absorbs red light means that the photodynamic process in tissues is effective, as red light is characterized by relatively deep tissue penetration.

The huge threat of *P. aeruginosa* to human health results from its innate resistance to many antimicrobials and its unique ability to form a biofilm. Biofilms often develop on the surface of wounds and is responsible for their chronicity and also complicates treatment. In a similar fashion, infections of the lower respiratory tract develop a biofilm, which makes it important to look for substances that act not only on the planktonic forms of bacteria, but, most importantly, on biofilms. Antibiotics and antiseptics used in the treatment of chronic wound infections are ineffective as antibiofilm agents because these agents have not been designed for killing of microorganisms within a biofilm but have only been designed to specifically focus on killing microorganisms in the planktonic state [37,56,57]. What is interesting is that biofilm production is a persistence strategy of *P. aeruginosa* for survival. The significance of this strategy is especially highlighted in chronic infections, pneumonia in patients with cystic fibrosis, hospital-acquired pneumonia, as well as infections associated with biomedical devices such as implants or catheters [3,43,58]. Growth in the biofilm contributes to its virulence and protects bacteria not only from antibiotics, but also from disinfectants and other antimicrobials, as well as host defense systems [13,14,59]. In this study, the effect of Pc+ on *P. aeruginosa* biofilm was assessed. As revealed in Figure 4, after single irradiation, a decrease in the number of bacteria ranging from 1.3 to 4.2 log was observed. *P. aeruginosa* strains used in this study exhibited various antibiotic resistance patterns and varying degrees of biofilm formation. Nevertheless, the phototoxic effect of Pc+ was not influenced by the degree of biofilm production or antibiotic resistance mechanisms present in the cells. The result is significant regarding the increasing resistance of bacteria to many drugs, especially beta-lactam antibiotics used in routine antibiotic therapy. An interesting increase of photoactivation efficiency against *P. aeruginosa* biofilms was achieved by the introduction of the second and the third irradiation steps. The second irradiation of the biofilm significantly improved the bacterial reduction factor from 3.4 to 5.5 log, whereas the boosted cell death response was observed after the third irradiation, when a bacterial reduction factor from 4.6 to 6.4 log was noted. Noteworthy is the fact that repeated exposure of short durations of time can be advantageous in the case of this type of biofilm, and the obtained result could be considered for the basis of a prospective therapy. To sum up, the herein researched phthalocyanine derivative could be considered as a potential photosensitizer against multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* of potential applicability in the treatment of chronic wound infection.

5. Conclusions

Chronic wounds and lower respiratory tract infections constitute a complex and interdisciplinary health and social problem. Treatment of these infections is particularly problematic due to the presence of bacteria in the form of a biofilm. Cationic zinc(II) phthalocyanine with 2-(4-N-methylmorpholin-4-ium-4-yl)ethoxy groups subjected to the photocytotoxicity assessment against planktonic and biofilm forms of clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa* demonstrated promising photocytotoxicity. The studied cationic phthalocyanine at a concentration of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M, when activated by light, revealed a significant reduction factor of *P. aeruginosa* bacteria, ranging from nearly 4 to 6 log when compared to the untreated control group. In the biofilm inactivation study, after single dose irradiation a decrease in the number of bacteria ranging from 1.3 to 4.2 log was observed. *P. aeruginosa* strains used in this study exhibited various antibiotic resistance patterns and varying degrees of biofilm formation. Nevertheless, the phototoxic effect of Pc+ was not influenced by the degree of biofilm production or antibiotic resistance mechanisms present in the cells. The result is significant regarding the increasing resistance of bacteria to many drugs, especially beta-lactam antibiotics used in routine antibiotic therapy. An interesting increase of photoactivation efficiency against *P. aeruginosa* biofilms was achieved by the introduction of the second and the third irradiation steps. The second irradiation of the biofilm significantly improved the bacterial reduction factor from 3.4 to 5.5 log, whereas the boosted cell death response was observed after the third irradiation, when a bacterial reduction factor from 4.6 to 6.4 log was noted. Noteworthy is the fact that repeated exposure of short durations of time can be advantageous in the case of this type of biofilm, and the obtained result could be considered for the basis of a prospective therapy. To sum up, the herein researched phthalocyanine derivative could be considered as a potential photosensitizer against multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* of potential applicability in the treatment of chronic wound infection.
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