Dynamics of MHD Convection of Walters B Viscoelastic Fluid through an Accelerating Permeable Surface Using the Soret–Dufour Mechanism
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Abstract: The MHD convective Walters-B memory liquid flow past a permeable accelerating surface with the mechanism of Soret-Dufour is considered. The flow equation constitutes a set of partial differential equations (PDEs) to elucidate the real flow of a non-Newtonian liquid. The radiation thermo-physical parameters were employed based on the use of Roseland approximation. This implies the fluid employed in this exploration is optically thick. Utilizing suitable similarity terms, the flow equation PDEs were simplified to become total differential equations. The spectral homotopy analysis method (SHAM) was utilized to provide outcomes to the model. The SHAM involves the addition of the Chebyshev pseudospectral approach (CPM) alongside the homotopy analysis approach (HAM). The outcomes were depicted utilizing graphs and tables for the quantities of engineering concern. The mechanisms of Soret and Dufour were separately examined. The imposed magnetism was found to lessen the velocity plot while the thermal radiation term elevates the temperature plot because of the warm particles of the fluid.
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1. Introduction

Mixed convective flow has been of great importance and has attracted the attention of many researchers in recent decades because of its importance in the field of engineering and environmental and geophysical applications. In view of these applications and the importance of Soret and Dufour for fluids that have a light molecular weight, as well as fluids with a medium molecular weight, investigators have published many works. Alam et al. [1] examined the contribution of Soret together with Dufour on free convection MHD as well as mass transport flow using a numerical approach. Mahdy [2] performed a non-similar boundary layer analysis to examine the contribution of Soret together with Dufour with heat plus mass transport for a power-law non-Newtonian liquid. Thermal
diffusion means that heat transport is induced using a concentration gradient, while diffusion-thermo refers to mass diffusion, which is induced using a thermal gradient. The problem of mixed convective flow together with incompressible flow under the impact of buoyancy plus transverse magnetism simultaneously with Dufour–Soret has been investigated by Makinde [3]. Cheng [4] elucidated the contribution of Soret together with Dufour to mixed convection heat, together with mass transport through a downward-pointing vertical wall. Heat and mass movement of the natural convection motion in a saturated penetrable channel with Soret–Dufour, as well as variable viscosity, was analyzed by Moorthy and Senthilvadivy [5]. Sharma et al. [6] explored Soret together with Dufour on mixed convection MHD plus unsteadiness motion past a radiative porous vertical plate. Seini and Makinde [7] numerically solved the simultaneous contribution of Soret and Dufour on a mixed convection flow by elucidating viscous together with ohmic dissipation. Uwanta and Halima [8] examined how Soret and Dufour behave in the exploration of heat together with mass transportation with viscous dissipation plus constant suction. Aruna et al. [9] extensively discussed the effects of adding Soret to Dufour in the model of unsteady mixed convection MHD heat alongside mass motion. Tella et al. [10] explored the Soret–Dufour phenomenon in their study of viscous as well as chemically reactive fluid.

The elucidation of Walters-B liquid flow has been considered in most recent published research because of its various applications in food processing industries and technology. The flow of such fluids has been studied by Joneidi et al. [11] whose model involved a vertical channel together with a penetrable wall. Kumar [12] solely presented work on viscoelastic liquid in a penetrable channel. Vijaya et al. [13] studied viscoelastic fluid thermal convection flow through a porous channel and biot number influence. In another development, the study of Walters-B liquid flow through porous and tampered asymmetric channels was elucidated by Abdulkhadi and Tamara. Pandey et al. [14] explored the behavior of Walters-B liquid in a nanofluid layer that is heated at the bottom. Moatimid and Hassan [15] presented non-Newtonian Walters-B term flow in a nanofluid vertical layer. Islam and Haque [16] studied Walters-B memory flow with a radiative and induced magnetic field. Rana and Chand [17] studied elasto-viscous and Walters-B nanofluid layers as well as Rayleigh-Benald convection. Hayat et al. [18] investigated the behavior of homogeneous, together with heterogeneous, reactions on Oldroyd-B fluid flow.

Alam et al. [1] investigated free convection MHD together with the mass flow of a viscous chemical reacting together with an electrically conducting fluid. Moorthy et al. [19] analyzed heat together with the mass transport of natural convection by considering variable viscosity as well as Soret–Dufour effects. Regarding heat together with the mass transport of two-dimensional and steady free convection MHD motion with viscous dissipation, Soret–Dufour was studied by Lavanya and Ratnam [20]. Reddy et al. [21] explained the behavior of thermal radiation, as well as MHD mixed convection flow, by considering oscillatory suction. Krishna et al. [22] explored the convective motion of an incompressible viscous plus chemically reacting fluid with a heat source. The results of diluted convective heat together with mass motion in a Darcy with pores were elucidated by Srinivasacharya et al. [23].

Spectral techniques have become important tools for scientist and engineers in providing solution to systems of differential equations. The spectral techniques are known for their elegance, accuracy, and lower computational analysis time. SHAM combines CPM with HAM. SHAM was explained by Motsa et al. [24,25] in solving nonlinear ordinary differential equations. After his introduction of SHAM, many researchers have used it in solving boundary layer problem. Motsa et al. [26] presented the use of SHAM in solving PDEs. Bivariate SHAM for the outcome of heat together with the mass transport of boundary layer motion was elucidated by Motsa and Makukula [27]. Fagbade et al. [28] used SHAM in solving the problem of MHD natural convection Walters-B liquid flow.

Motsa et al. [29] presented an improved SHAM for solving boundary layer motion problems. Among other authors that have used SHAM in the literature discussed in this work are Khidir and Sibanda [30], Fagbade et al. [31], Mehmood et al. [32], Walter et al. [33],
Liao et al. [34], Canuto et al. [35], Fornberg et al. [36], Trefethen et al. [37] etc. Authors who worked extensively on nano fluids and fluid behavior in multiple conditions include Doaa Rizk et al. [38], Asad Ullah et al. [39], Shahid Khan et al. [40], Khan et al. [41], Rashid Nawaz et al. [42], and Zahir Shah et al. [43].

In all the above-mentioned works, little or no attention has been given to elucidating the steady MHD convective motion of Walters-B term liquid past an accelerating porosity surface with the consideration of Soret together with Dufour. SHAM is utilized to obtain the numerical solution of the nonlinear ODE. This work is necessary because the results obtained will be useful for engineers in the industry, especially in the food processing industry. The rest of this work is organized as follows: The mathematical formulation is presented in Section 2 and the numerical solution using SHAM is presented in Section 3. We elucidate the outcomes and discussions in Section 4, and the final remarks of the findings are made in Section 5.

2. Model Equations

We considered a problem of steady, laminar, and viscous, as well as two-dimensional MHD mixed convection motion, of Walters-B liquid flow. The x-axis is considered an upward surface in a vertical area; likewise, the y-axis is considered normal to fluid motion. In the model, heat source/absorption and thermal radiation together with viscous dissipation is taken into consideration. Magnetism $B(x)$ is imposed transversely to fluid flow toward the y-axis. The Joule heating effect is neglected in the energy equation, while the chemical reaction influence is neglected in the concentration equation. However, concentration and temperature gradients are so high that Soret, as well as Dufour, effects cannot be neglected. The velocity and temperature together with species concentration given by $U_w(x, y), T_w(x, y), C_w(x, y)$ are functions of $x$ and $y$. In this analysis, all attributes of fluid are presumed uniform, with density variations in the momentum flow equation as an exceptional case.

We consider in our study the flow equations for Walters-B viscoelastic liquid because it involves one parameter of fluid. The tensor $S$ of the liquid follows the following equations by Mehmood [32] and Walter [33].

$$S = -PI + \tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $p$ = pressure, $I$ = identity tensor and

$$\tau = 2\eta_0 e - 2k_0 \frac{\delta e}{\delta t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $e$ = the rate of strain tensor given as:

$$2e = \nabla (v) + \nabla (v)^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $v$ means velocity, $\nabla$ = gradient operator, $\frac{\delta}{\delta t}$ = the tensor quantity of the convected differentiation subject to motion material, $\eta_0$ = the viscosity limit at a small shear rate, and $k_0$ = the short relaxation time. Hence, $\eta_0$ and $k_0$ are expressed as:

$$\eta_0 = \int_0^\infty \lambda(\xi)d\xi, \quad k_0 = \int_0^\infty \tau\lambda(\xi)d\xi$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\lambda(\xi)$ is the relaxation spectrum, by Walters [33]. The rate of the stream tensor differential term $\frac{\delta e}{\delta t}$ in Equation (2) is expressed as:

$$\frac{\delta e}{\delta t} = \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla (e) - e \cdot \nabla (v) - (\nabla (v))^T \cdot e$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
The model in this study is based on Walters-B liquid fluid approximation, considering that the relaxation time is short in such a way that any terms involving
\[ \int_0^\infty \tau^n \lambda(\tau) d\tau \quad n \geq 2 \] (6)
have been forgone.

Because of the simplifications above on the Walters-B model and the assumptions together with Boussinesq’s evaluation, the model analysis, which is presented in Figure 1 of the present investigation, is:
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \] (7)
\[ \left| \frac{u}{\partial y} \right| + \left| \frac{-nu}{\partial x} \right| + \left| \frac{-u}{\partial y} \right| + \left| \frac{\beta_\lambda(C - C_\infty)}{\rho} \right| + \left| \frac{\beta_\lambda(T - T_\infty)}{\rho} \right| + K_0 \left[ \frac{v}{\partial x} \right] + \left| \frac{u}{\partial x} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \right| \right] = 0 \] (8)
\[ \left| \frac{u}{\partial y} \right| + \left| \frac{\frac{1}{\rho^2 p} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2}}{\partial y} \right| + \left| \frac{\alpha}{\partial \rho} \right| + \left| \frac{-\frac{\mu}{\rho^2 c^p} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}}{\partial \rho} \right| - \frac{\frac{Dk_T}{\tau_{yc^p}}}{\partial \rho} = \frac{\frac{Q_0}{\rho c^p}}{(T - T_\infty)} = 0 \] (9)
\[ \left| \frac{u}{\partial C} \right| + \left| \frac{\frac{\partial C}{\partial x}}{\partial y} \right| + \left| \frac{-\frac{D}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}}{\partial y} \right| = 0 \] (10)

with the boundary constraint:
\[ u(x, 0) = ax, \quad v(x, 0) = v_w, \quad T(x, 0) = T_w(x) = T_0 + A_0x \] (11)
\[ C(x, 0) = C_w(x) = C_0 + M_0x, \quad u(x, \infty) = 0, \quad T(x, \infty) = T_0, \quad C(x, \infty) = C_0 \] (12)

**Figure 1.** Flow configurations.

Employing the Roseland model, considering the heat flux as:
\[ q_r = -\frac{4\sigma^\infty}{3k^2} \frac{\partial T^4}{\partial y} \] (13)
Assuming the difference between the temperatures when flow region is small and $T^4$ implies a linear parameter of $T_\infty$, we simplified $T^4$ by expanding Taylor’s series about $T_\infty$ as well as avoiding higher terms. The process of Taylor’s series expansion is given below:

$$f(T) = f(T_\infty) + (T - T_\infty)f'(T_\infty) + \frac{(T - T_\infty)^2}{2!}f''(T_\infty) + \ldots$$

where

$$f(T) = T^4, \text{ then } f'(T) = 4T^3, \ f''(T) = 12T^2$$

which implies that

$$f(T_\infty) = T^4_{\infty}, \text{ then } f'(T_\infty) = 4T^3_{\infty}, \ f''(T_\infty) = 12T^2_{\infty}$$

Evaluating the above, as well as avoiding a higher term, we have

$$T^4 \approx 4T^3_{\infty}T - 3T^4_{\infty} \quad (14)$$

Substituting Equation (14) into (13) and using the result on the second term at RHS of the energy equation gives

$$- \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = \frac{16\sigma^2 T^3_{\infty}}{3\rho c_p k^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \quad (15)$$

Using the above simplification on energy Equation (8), we have:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{16\sigma^2 T^3_{\infty}}{3\rho c_p k^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{\mu}{\rho c_p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 + \frac{Q_0}{\rho c_p} (T - T_\infty) + \frac{DkT}{c_s c_p} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \quad (16)$$

To evaluate the flow equations into coupled ODE, the following similarity terms are introduced:

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\nu \alpha x} f(\eta), \ \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{w}} y, \ u = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}, \ v = - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \quad (17)$$

$$T = T_\infty + (T_\infty - T_\infty) \theta(\eta), \ C = C_\infty + (C_\infty - C_\infty) \phi(\eta) \quad (18)$$

The stream function defined above is found to satisfy the continuity Equation (7). Introducing the similarity transformation above on the governing Equations (7)–(12), the fourth-order coupled ODEs are derived:

$$\beta f \frac{d^4 f}{d\eta^4} + \frac{d^3 f}{d\eta^3} - M^2 \frac{d f}{d\eta} - \left[ \frac{d f}{d\eta} \right] \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^3} + M^2 \left[ \frac{d f}{d\eta} \right] \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^2} + \left[ \frac{d f}{d\eta} \right] \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^4} + Gt \varphi + Gm \phi = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\left( \frac{1 + R}{Pr} \right) \frac{d^2 \varphi}{d\eta^2} + \left[ \varphi \frac{d f}{d\eta} \right] \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^2} + E \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^2} \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^2} + a \varphi + Df \frac{d^2 \phi}{d\eta^2} = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{1}{Sc} \frac{d^2 \phi}{d\eta^2} + \left[ \phi \frac{d f}{d\eta} \right] \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^2} + Sr \frac{d^2 \phi}{d\eta^2} = 0 \quad (21)$$

subject to

$$\frac{d f}{d\eta} = 1, \ f = S_w, \ \varphi = 1 \text{ at } \eta = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{d f}{d\eta}(\infty) \to 0, \ \varphi(\infty) \to 0, \ \phi(\infty) \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to \infty \quad (23)$$

where $\beta = \frac{ak_\psi}{\nu}$ is the viscoelastic parameter, $M = \left( \frac{\nu c_p}{\omega} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ represents the magnetic term, $Gt = \frac{\sigma^2(T_\infty - T_\infty)}{\alpha x^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ represents the Grashof number, $Gm = \frac{\sigma^2(C_\infty - C_\infty)}{\alpha x^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ means represents the
Grashof number, \( R = \frac{16cT_0^3}{\rho L^4} \) represents thermal radiation, \( Pr = \frac{v}{\alpha} \) represents the Prandtl number, \( Ec = \frac{\alpha}{\nu (1 - \text{Mo})} \) represents the Eckert number, \( \alpha = \frac{Q_0}{\nu v \mu} \) represents the heat generation or absorption term, and \( Sc = \frac{v}{D} \) represents the Schmidt number.

3. Numerical Approach: SHAM

SHAM is a version of HAM that numerically solves problems of heat together with mass transport. Detailed steps and explanations of HAM can be found in Liao [34] who was credited for proposing the method. SHAM, as proposed by Motsa et al. [35,36], uses CSC to decompose the deformation higher-order HAM in a case whereby the nonlinear differential equations cannot be solved analytically. Therefore, SHAM combines CSC with HAM to solve boundary layer equations. SHAM considered that the linear operator is employed to develop an algorithm that selects the entire linear part of the flow functions. It leads to a tedious sequence of linear ODE, which can be solved numerically using SHAM. Due to the elegance and high accuracy achieved by the spectral methods with few grid points [37–39], it has become a major tool for scientists and engineers in solving nonlinear differential equations. To apply SHAM, the problem-simplified domain is changed from \([0, 1]\) to \([-1, 1]\) for an easy model. Furthermore, the boundary constraints are explored homogeneously using the following functions:

\[
\xi = \frac{2\eta}{L} - 1, \quad \xi \in [-1, 1], \quad f(\eta) = f(\xi) + f_0(\eta), \quad \vartheta(\eta) = \vartheta(\xi) + \vartheta_0(\eta), \quad \phi(\eta) = \phi(\xi) + \phi_0(\eta)
\]  

(24)

where \( f_0(\eta), \vartheta_0(\eta) \) and \( \phi_0(\eta) \) are initial approximations constraints:

\[
f_0(\eta) = -f_w + 1 - e^{-\eta}, \quad \vartheta_0(0) = \phi_0(0) = e^{-\eta}
\]  

(25)

By substituting Equations (24) and (25) into the simplified governing Equations (19)–(21), it gives

\[
f'''' - 2\beta f' f''' + a_1 f' + a_2 f^2"" + \beta f^{"} f' + a_3 f'' - M^2 f' + \eta f'''' + a_4 f + a_5 f^{"} + Gt \vartheta - f' + a_6 f' + a_7 f'' + a_8 f' = J_1(\eta)
\]  

(26)

\[
(1 + R) \vartheta'' + Prf \vartheta' + b_1 f + b_2 \vartheta' + \alpha \vartheta - Prf' \vartheta + b_3 f' + b_4 \vartheta + Prf'' f' + b_5 f'' + DfPrf'' = J_2(\eta)
\]  

(27)

\[
\phi'' + Scf \phi' + c_1 f + c_2 f' + ScSr \vartheta'' - Sc f' \phi + c_3 f' + c_4 \phi = J_3(\eta)
\]  

(28)

subject to:

\[
f'(1) = f'(0) = 0, \quad \vartheta(1) = \vartheta(0) = 0, \quad \phi(1) = \phi(0) = 0
\]  

(29)

where the prime in Equations (26)–(29) denotes differentiation \( w.r.t \xi \), and we have set

\[
b_1 = Pr \vartheta_0, b_2 = Pr f_0, b_3 = -Pr \vartheta_0, b_4 = Pr f''_0, b_5 = 2Prf''_0, a_1 = -2\beta f^{"}_0, a_2 = -2\beta f' f'_0, a_3 = 2\beta f''_0
\]

\[
a_4 = \beta f^{"}_0, a_5 = \beta f_0, a_6 = -f''_0, a_7 = -f'_0, a_8 = -2f''_0
\]

\[
c_1 = Scf \vartheta'_{0}, c_2 = Scf_0, c_3 = -Scf_0, c_4 = -Sc \vartheta'_0
\]

\[
J_1(\eta) = -f''''_0 + 2\beta f' f''''_0 - \beta f'' f''''_0 + M^2 f''_0 - \beta f''_0 f''''_0 - Gt \vartheta_0 + f_0 f''_0 - Gm \varphi_0 - f'_0 f'_0
\]

(30)

\[
J_2(\eta) = -(1 + R) \vartheta''_0 - Pr f_0 \vartheta_0 - a \vartheta_0 + Pr f''_0 \vartheta_0 - Pr f''_0 \vartheta''_0 - PrDf' \varphi''_0
\]

(31)

\[
J_3(\eta) = -\phi'' - Sc \phi' - Sc Sr \vartheta'' + Sc f' \phi
\]

(32)

where \( f_0, \vartheta_0, \) and \( \phi_0 \) are functions of \( \eta \). The linear part of Equations (26)–(28) is given by:

\[
f''''_1 + a_1 f''_1 + a_2 f'''_1 + a_3 f''''_1 - M^2 f''_1 + a_4 f_1 + a_5 f''''_1 + Gt \vartheta_1 + a_6 f_1 + a_7 f''_1 + Gm f_1 + a_8 f''_1 = J_1(\eta)
\]  

(33)


\begin{align}
(1 + R)\phi_i'' + b_1 f_1 + b_2 \theta_i' + \alpha \theta_i + b_3 f_i' + b_4 \theta_i + b_5 f_i'' + D f P r \phi_i'' = f_2(\eta) \\
\phi_i'' + c_1 f_1 + c_2 \phi_i' + S c S r \phi_i'' + c_3 f_i' + c_4 \theta_i = f_3(\eta)
\end{align}

subject to

\begin{align}
f_i(-1) = f_i'(1) = 0, \quad \theta_i(-1) = \theta_i(1) = 0, \quad \phi_i(-1) = \phi_i(1) = 0
\end{align}

CPm is used to obtain the solution to Equations (34)–(37), and we further approximate functions \( f_i(\xi), \theta_i(\xi) \) together with \( \phi_i \) as a series of truncations using Chebyshev polynomials given as:

\begin{align}
f_i(\xi) - f_i^N(\xi_j) + \sum_{k=0}^N \bar{T}_k T_{1k}(\xi_j), & \quad j = 0, \ldots, N \\
\theta_i(\xi) - \theta_i^N(\xi_j) + \sum_{k=0}^N \bar{\theta}_k T_{2k}(\xi_j), & \quad j = 0, \ldots, N \\
\phi_i(\xi) - \phi_i^N(\xi_j) + \sum_{k=0}^N \bar{\phi}_k T_{3k}(\xi_j), & \quad j = 0, \ldots, N
\end{align}

where \( N \) is the number of collocation points and \( f_i(\xi), \theta_i(\xi) \) and \( \phi_i(\xi) \) are derivatives within the collocation point, given by:

\begin{align}
\frac{d^r f_i}{d \xi^r} = \sum_{k=0}^N D_{kj} f_i(\xi_j), \quad \frac{d^r \theta_i}{d \xi^r} = \sum_{k=0}^N D_{kj} \theta_i(\xi_j), \quad \frac{d^r \phi_i}{d \xi^r} = \sum_{k=0}^N D_{kj} \phi_i(\xi_j)
\end{align}

where \( r \) is the differentiation order and \( D \) is the spectral differentiation matrix. Using Equations (38)–(40) on Equations (34)–(36) yields

\begin{align}
A F_L = G
\end{align}

subject to

\begin{align}
f_1(\xi_N) = -S_w, \quad \sum_{k=0}^N D_{0m} f_1(\xi_m) = 1, \quad \theta_1(\xi_N) = \phi_1(\xi_N) = 1, \quad \theta_1(\xi_0) = \theta_1(\xi_0) = 0
\end{align}

where

\begin{align}
A = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align}

and

\begin{align}
A_{11} = D^3 + a_1 D + a_2 D^3 + a_3 D^2 - M^2 D + a_4 + a_5 D^4 + a_6 + a_7 D^2 + a_8 D \\
A_{12} = G T I, \quad A_{13} = G m I, \quad A_{21} = b_1 + b_3 D + b_5 D^2, \quad A_{22} = (1 + R) D^2 + b_2 D + \alpha + b_4 \\
A_{23} = D f P r D^2, \quad A_{31} = c_1 + c_3 D, \quad A_{32} = S c S r D^2, \quad A_{33} = D^2 + c_2 D + c_4 \\
F_L = [f_1(\xi_0), \ldots, f_1(\xi_N), \theta_1(\xi_0), \ldots, \theta_1(\xi_N), \phi_1(\xi_0), \ldots, \phi_1(\xi_N)]^T \\
G = [H_1(\eta_0), H_1(\eta_1), \ldots, H_1(\eta_N), H_2(\eta_0), H_2(\eta_1), \ldots, H_2(\eta_N), H_3(\eta_0), H_3(\eta_1), \ldots, H_3(\eta_N)] \\
a_i = \text{diag}(a_i(\eta_0), a_i(\eta_1), \ldots, a_i(\eta_{N-1})) \\
b_i = \text{diag}(b_i(\eta_0), b_i(\eta_1), \ldots, b_i(\eta_{N-1})) \\
c_i = \text{diag}(c_i(\eta_0), c_i(\eta_1), \ldots, c_i(\eta_{N-1})), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
\end{align}
where \( T \) represents transpose, \( \text{diag} \) represents the matrix diagonal, and \( I \) represents the matrix identity of magnitude \((N + 1) \times (N + 1)\). To use the constraints in (44), we first eliminate the first rows and columns together with the last rows with columns of \( A \). In the same vein, we delete the first rows together with the last rows of \( f_i(\xi), \theta_i(\xi), \phi_i(\xi) \) and \( G \). The conditions in (44) is further utilized on the first rows together with the last rows of matrix \( A \), which is a reframed matrix. Finally, we set the first rows as well as the last rows of matrix \( G \) (modified version) to be zeros. Thus, the values of \( f_i(\xi_0), \ldots, f_i(\xi_N), \theta_i(\xi_0), \ldots, \theta_i(\xi_N), \phi_i(\xi_0), \ldots, \phi_i(\xi_N) \) can be determined from

\[
F_L = A^{-1}G
\] (46)

Equation (46) provides the initial function to determine the SHAM outcome of the flow model. Hence, to find the SHAM outcomes of (26)–(28), it requires that we define the linear operator:

\[
L_f[\overline{f}(\eta,q), \overline{\theta}(\eta,q), \overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = f''(1 + a_1 f' + a_2 f^{'''} + a_3 f''') + G \theta + a_9 f'' + \phi \eta (50)
\]

\[
L_\theta[\overline{\theta}(\eta,q), \overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = (1 + R) \theta'' + b_1 \theta + b_2 \phi + a_1 \phi + b_3 \phi' + b_4 \phi' + b_5 \phi'' + D f \phi'' (51)
\]

\[
L_\phi[\overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = \phi'' + c_1 \phi + c_2 \phi' + S C \phi'' + c_3 \phi' + c_4 \phi (52)
\]

In the above equations, \( q \in [0,1] \) = functions embedded and \( \overline{f}(\eta,q), \overline{\theta}(\eta,q) \) and \( \overline{\phi}(\eta,q) \) are undetermined terms. The deformation zero expression is expressed as:

\[
(1 - q)L_f[\overline{f}(\eta,q)] - \overline{f}(\eta) = q h_L H_f[\overline{f}(\eta,q), \overline{\theta}(\eta,q), \overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] (53)
\]

\[
1(-q)L_\theta[\overline{\theta}(\eta,q), \overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = q h_\theta H_\theta[\overline{\theta}(\eta,q), \overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] (54)
\]

\[
1(-q)L_\phi[\overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = q h_\phi H_\phi[\overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] (55)
\]

In the above equations, \( h_f, h_\theta \) and \( h_\phi \) = nonlinear operators defined by:

\[
N_h[\overline{f}(\eta,q), \overline{\theta}(\eta,q), \overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = \overline{f}'' + a_1 \overline{f}'' + \beta A \overline{f}'' + a_2 \overline{f}'' + a_3 \overline{f}'' - M^2 \overline{f}' + a_4 \overline{f}' + \overline{\theta}'' + \overline{\phi}'' (56)
\]

\[
N_{h\theta}[\overline{\theta}(\eta,q), \overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = \overline{\phi}'' + b_1 \overline{\phi}'' + b_2 \overline{\phi}'' + a_1 \overline{\phi}'' + b_3 \overline{\phi}'' + b_4 \overline{\phi}'' + D f \overline{\phi}'' (57)
\]

\[
N_{h\phi}[\overline{\phi}(\eta,q)] = \overline{\phi}'' + c_1 \overline{\phi}'' + c_2 \overline{\phi}'' + S C \overline{\phi}'' + c_3 \overline{\phi}'' + c_4 \overline{\phi}'' (58)
\]

Differentiating (50)–(52) m times \( w.r.t. \) \( q \) and taking \( q = 0 \) and dividing the outcome expressions by \( m! \), we obtain the \( m \)th deformation order equations:

\[
L_f[\overline{f}(\xi) - \chi m \overline{f}_{m-1}(\xi)] = h_f(\xi) R_m(\xi) (59)
\]

\[
L_\theta[\overline{\theta}(\xi) - \chi m \overline{\theta}_{m-1}(\xi)] = h_\theta(\xi) R_m(\xi) (60)
\]

\[
L_\phi[\overline{\phi}(\xi) - \chi m \overline{\phi}_{m-1}(\xi)] = h_\phi(\xi) R_m(\xi) (61)
\]

subject to:

\[
\overline{f}_m(-1) = \overline{\theta}_m(-1) = \overline{\phi}_m(-1) = 0, \quad \overline{f}_m(1) = \overline{\theta}_m(1) = \overline{\phi}_m(1) = 0 (62)
\]

where
\( R_m^f(\xi) = \tilde{f}_{m-1} + a_1\tilde{f}_{m-1} + a_2\tilde{f}''_{m-1} + a_3\tilde{f}'''_{m-1} + a_4\tilde{f}_{m-1} - M^2\tilde{f}'_{m-1} + a_5\tilde{f}''_{m-1} + Gr\tilde{f}_{m-1} + a_6\tilde{f}_{m-1} + a_7\tilde{f}''_{m-1} \)

\[
Gm\phi_{m-1} + a_8f'_{m-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left( -2\beta f''_{n} f''_{m-1-n} + \beta f''_{n} f''_{m-1-n} + \beta f''_{n} f''_{m-1-n} - \tilde{f}''_{m-1-n} - \tilde{f}''_{m-1-n} \right)
\]

\[-H_1(\eta)1(-\chi_m) \]

4. Results and Discussion

Equations (18)–(20) subject to constraints (21) and (22) have been numerically addressed utilizing SHAM for all controlling terms such as the viscoelastic term (\( \beta \)), Magnetism term (M), thermal Grashof (Gt), mass Grashof (Gm), thermal radiation term (R), Prandtl (Pr), Eckert (E), heat generation/absorption parameter (\( \alpha \)), Dufour number (Df), suction/injection velocity (Sw), Schmidt (Sc), and Soret term (Sr). SHAM combines the Chebyshev pseudospectral techniques with HAM in solving differential equations. Throughout our computational analysis, we employ \( M = 1.0, Gt = 2.0, R = 0.5, Pr = 0.71, E = 0.01, Sw = 0.1, \alpha = 0.01, \beta = 0.01, Sr = 0.2 \) and Df = 0.3, Sc = 0.61 to compute tables and plot graphs, unless stated otherwise.

Figure 2 explains the contribution of the thermal radiation term on the velocity, concentration, and temperature plots. Thermal radiation boosts convective flow as an upsurge in thermal radiation causes a significant elevation in the fluid velocity together with temperature. This ascension is shown in Figure 2a,b because, as thermal radiation increases, the velocity plus temperature plot increases.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2.** Effect of thermal radiation R on (a) velocity and (b) temperature profiles.
Figure 3 represents the contribution of the magnetic term to the velocity, concentration, and temperature plot. From Figure 3a, it is seen that increases in the magnetic term produces a reduction in the velocity profile. This is owing to the fact the Lorentz force produced by the applied magnetism strength in the direction of the flow. In Figure 3b,c, it observed that an increase in the magnetism term increases the temperature and concentration plots.

Prandtl number behavior is elucidated in Figure 4. It is noticeable that an increase in Prandtl (Pr) reduces the velocity and temperature profiles. This is because small values of Pr lead to elevation in thermal conductivities, which causes heat to diffuse out of the heated plate faster than when the Pr number is high. It is noted that when the Prandtl number is small (meaning Pr < 1), the fluid will be conducive.
Figure 5 represents the contribution of the viscous/energy term (i.e., Eckert) on temperature and velocity, as well as concentration distributions. The viscous/energy dissipation represents the relationship existing between the kinetic energy of fluid movement and the enthalpy. It is obvious from Figure 5a,b that as the Eckert number increases, elevation in the velocity and temperature of the fluid is observed.
Figure 5. Effect of Eckert number E on (a) velocity, (b) temperature, and (c) concentration profiles.

Figure 6 exhibits the velocity, concentration, and temperature plots for distinct numbers of Schmidt (Sc). Sc defined the quotient of momentum over mass diffusivity. Thus, in Figure 6c, a decrease in the concentration distribution is observed when Sc is increased. In fact, it shows that larger values of Sc are equivalent to very small mass diffusivity. In Figure 6a, a degeneration in the fluid velocity is noted when Sc is increased.
Figure 6. Effect of Schmidt number Sc on (a) velocity, (b) temperature, and (c) concentration profiles.

The influence of suction velocity (Sw) is explored in Figure 7. It is noticeable that acceleration in the suction velocity causes an elevation in the velocity, as well as temperature at the plate, but decreases when it is further from the plate as shown in Figure 7a,b. Moreover, from Figure 7c, an elevation in the concentration can be observed when the suction velocity is higher.
Figure 7. Effect of suction velocity $S_w$ on (a) velocity, (b) temperature, and (c) concentration profiles.

The contribution of Dufour and Soret is explained separately for thorough investigations. We plotted the contribution of Dufour on the velocity, concentration, and temperature graphs in Figure 8. It is noticed that the Dufour or diffusion thermal parameter alters the temperature. From Figure 8a, as the Dufour parameter is intensified, the fluid velocity increases, whereas Figure 8b shows that a higher value of the Dufour term produces an acceleration in the fluid temperature. In the same vein, the effect of Dufour on the fluid concentration is very minimal, as shown in Figure 8c.
Figure 8. Effect of Dufour parameter $D_f$ on (a) velocity, (b) temperature, and (c) concentration profiles.

Figure 9 represents the consideration of a distinct Soret number in the concentration, velocity, and temperature plots. Figure 9c shows that an increment in the Soret term produces an acceleration in the concentration plot, as expected. In Figure 9a, an increase in the velocity graph is detected with a higher value of the Soret term. We observed that the contribution of the Soret term ($S_r$) and Dufour parameter ($D_f$) on the temperature and concentration plot is opposite.
The contribution of the viscoelastic term ($\beta$) (i.e., Weissenberg numeric) is plotted in Figure 10. The viscoelastic parameter $\beta$ describes the influence of the coefficient of normal stress on the motion. Figure 10a portrays the contribution of the viscoelastic term on the velocity graph. It is detected from the graph that at any moment in the flow regime, an increase in $\beta$ produces a drastic degeneration in the velocity of the fluid, whereas we observed in this study that a higher value of the viscoelastic term has no contribution to the temperature and concentration plot as depicted in Figure 10b,c. The result in Figure 10a signifies that a higher value of $\beta$ has the tendency to decrease the hydrodynamics layer thickness.
Figure 10. Effect of Weissenberg number $\beta$ on (a) velocity, (b) temperature, and (c) concentration profiles.

We plotted the contribution of the heat absorption/generation term ($\alpha$) on the velocity, concentration, and temperature distributions in Figure 11. It is detected from Figure 11a,b that a higher $\alpha$ produces an acceleration in the fluid velocity and temperature. This signifies that temperature together with velocity in the boundary layer increases when $\alpha > 0$ while the reverse is the case when $\alpha < 0$. Thus, when $\alpha > 0$, more heat is generated and the temperature within the layer is enhanced.
The contribution of the thermal Grashof number (Gt) is elucidated in Figure 12. Gt defined the ratio of the force of buoyancy to that of viscous material affecting the liquid. The thermal Grashof number can also be called the thermal buoyancy force term. As expected, in Figure 12a, the fluid velocity elevates as the thermal buoyancy force parameter is intensified. Furthermore, from Figure 12b, the temperature field decreases with a higher value of thermal Grashof. It is interesting to observe a slight degeneration in the concentration field when Gt is increased.
Figure 12. Effect of thermal Grashof number $G_t$ on (a) velocity, (b) temperature, and (c) concentration profiles.

Figure 13 represents the effect of the mass Grashof number ($G_m$) on the velocity, concentration, and temperature graphs. In Figure 13a, it is discovered that an increment in the $G_m$ number allows a rise in the velocity of the fluid. In the same vein, as seen in Figure 13b,c a higher mass Grashof decreases both the temperature and concentration.
Table 1 shows the numeric local skin friction, local Nusselt (Nu), and local Sherwood number (Sh). For distinct values of the viscoelastic parameter ($\beta$) and thermal Grashof number (Gt), they produce a notable elevation in the skin friction, local Nusselt, and local Sherwood numbers, respectively. In Table 2, we present the numeric local skin friction, local Nusselt, and Sherwood numbers for distinct values of the heat generation parameter ($\alpha$) and Soret number (Sr). The results in Table 2 revealed that an increase in both heat generation $\alpha$ and the Soret number causes an increase in the skin friction, Nusselt, and Sherwood numbers.

Figure 13. Effect of mass Grashof number Gm on (a) velocity, (b) temperature, and (c) concentration profiles.
Table 1. Numeric values of local skin friction, local Nusselt, and local Sherwood numbers for distinct values of viscoelastic parameter ($\beta$) and thermal Grashof number when $M = 1.0$, $R = 0.5$, $Pr = 0.71$, $E = 0.01$, $Sw = 0.1$, $\alpha = 0.01$, $Sr = 0.2$, $Df = 0.3$, $Sc = 0.61$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$Gt$</th>
<th>$Cf$</th>
<th>$Nu$</th>
<th>$Sh$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.35362</td>
<td>0.65313</td>
<td>0.92109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.53971</td>
<td>0.6796</td>
<td>0.94349</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.72152</td>
<td>0.70418</td>
<td>0.96415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.07430</td>
<td>0.74707</td>
<td>1.001256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.35618</td>
<td>0.65291</td>
<td>0.92095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.54379</td>
<td>0.67981</td>
<td>0.94351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.72714</td>
<td>0.70430</td>
<td>0.96431</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.08301</td>
<td>0.74750</td>
<td>1.00168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.36766</td>
<td>0.65211</td>
<td>0.92051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.56172</td>
<td>0.67975</td>
<td>0.94369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75155</td>
<td>0.70493</td>
<td>0.96508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.12046</td>
<td>0.74942</td>
<td>1.00358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Numeric values of local skin friction, local Nusselt, and local Sherwood numbers for distinct values of heat generation parameter ($\alpha$) and Soret number ($Sr$) when $M = 1.0$, $R = 0.5$, $Pr = 0.71$, $E = 0.01$, $Sw = 0.1$, $Sr = 0.2$, $Df = 0.3$, $Sc = 0.61$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$Sr$</th>
<th>$Cf$</th>
<th>$Nu$</th>
<th>$Sh$</th>
<th>$Cf$</th>
<th>$Nu$</th>
<th>$Sh$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.09417</td>
<td>0.70364</td>
<td>1.01421</td>
<td>1.05091</td>
<td>0.74833</td>
<td>1.06656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.13712</td>
<td>0.74918</td>
<td>0.88981</td>
<td>1.09354</td>
<td>0.79586</td>
<td>0.92930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.18712</td>
<td>0.80057</td>
<td>0.74683</td>
<td>1.14279</td>
<td>0.84920</td>
<td>0.77128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.31471</td>
<td>0.92566</td>
<td>0.38704</td>
<td>1.26665</td>
<td>0.97771</td>
<td>0.37455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.09968</td>
<td>0.69008</td>
<td>1.01670</td>
<td>1.05523</td>
<td>0.73602</td>
<td>1.06848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.14095</td>
<td>0.73666</td>
<td>0.89450</td>
<td>1.09652</td>
<td>0.78449</td>
<td>0.93333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.18941</td>
<td>0.78945</td>
<td>0.75314</td>
<td>1.14456</td>
<td>0.83905</td>
<td>0.77707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.31473</td>
<td>0.91886</td>
<td>0.39461</td>
<td>1.26665</td>
<td>0.97114</td>
<td>0.38196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.11955</td>
<td>0.64363</td>
<td>1.02623</td>
<td>1.06975</td>
<td>0.69593</td>
<td>1.07521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.15414</td>
<td>0.69359</td>
<td>0.91000</td>
<td>1.10609</td>
<td>0.74877</td>
<td>0.94608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.19652</td>
<td>0.75529</td>
<td>0.77245</td>
<td>1.14970</td>
<td>0.80902</td>
<td>0.79406</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.31363</td>
<td>0.90744</td>
<td>0.40934</td>
<td>1.26587</td>
<td>0.95815</td>
<td>0.39801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

This study presents the numerical outcomes of the MHD free convective motion of Walters-B liquid past a permeable accelerating surface with the contribution of Soret and Dufour. The equations of motion PDEs, which modeled the problem under investigation, were evaluated into fourth-order coupled and highly nonlinear total differential equations with suitable similarity functions. We solved the transformed fourth-order coupled and highly nonlinear ordinary differential equations in Equations (19)–(21) using SHAM. SHAM uses the traditional HAM in conjunction with the Chebyshev pseudospectral method to solve differential equations. Spectral methods are now an essential instrument for scientist and engineers to solve complex problems. Detailed explanations of SHAM are presented in the previous section.

The present results show that the application of magnetism gives rise to a drag-like force (i.e., Lorentz force), thereby lowering the fluid velocity. This study also explains the application of the MHD flow of viscoelastic fluid in biomechanics, the petroleum industry, etc. The present results reveal that the velocity decreases drastically with an increase in the viscoelastic parameter ($\beta$). Furthermore, this study shows that an increase in the Dufour parameter intensifies the fluid velocity alongside temperature. This is owing to the energy flux, which rises due to the concentration gradient, which is inversely proportional to the velocity. In the same vein, a higher Soret term increases the velocity and concentration field.
Finally, the influence of Soret, Dufour, thermal radiation, and heat generation/absorption on the flow region is significant and thereby finds application in diverse problems in engineering such as isotope separation, nuclear waste disposal, petroleum reservoirs, etc.
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**Nomenclature**

- \( u \) \( x \)-axis velocity component (Unit: m/s)
- \( v \) \( y \)-axis component (Unit: m/s)
- \( g \) gravity
- \( D \) diffusivity
- \( \beta_0 \) Constant magnetism
- \( c_p \) specific heat (Unit: J/kgk)
- \( q_r \) radiative heat flux (Unit: W/m\(^2\))
- \( k_T \) Ratio of thermal diffusion
- \( c_s \) concentration susceptibility
- \( T_w \) Temperature (Unit: K)
- \( C_w \) concentration
- \( k_0 \) viscoelastic term
- \( Q_0 \) heat generation term
- \( k_s \) absorption coefficient
- \( \sigma^* \) Stefan-Boltzman
- \( \beta_t, \beta_c \) Thermal expansion and concentration, respectively
- \( \kappa \) Angle of inclination (Unit: degree)
- \( \theta \) Dimensionless temperature (Unit: K)
- \( \varphi \) Dimensionless concentration
- \( T_\infty \) temperature far from layers (Unit: K)
- \( C_\infty \) Concentration far from layers (Unit: mol)
- \( \sigma_e \) electrical conductivity
- \( \rho \) Density of liquid (Unit: kg/m\(^3\))
- \( \nu \) Viscosity of liquid (Unit: m\(^2\)/s)
- \( \psi \) stream relations (Unit: m\(^2\)/s)
- \( \eta \) Distance variable (Unit: dimensionless)
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