



Article Development of Cementitious Mortars for Aerial Additive Manufacturing

Barrie Dams ¹,*¹, Binling Chen ^{1,2}, Paul Shepherd ¹, and Richard J. Ball ¹

- ¹ Department of Architecture & Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK
- ² School of Mechanical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

* Correspondence: bd272@bath.ac.uk

Abstract: Additive Manufacturing (AM) methods in the construction industry typically employ ground-based deposition methods. An alternative to transform the role of AM in construction is to introduce an aerial capability. A recent project titled Aerial Additive Manufacturing (AAM), the first AM system to use untethered, unmanned aerial vehicles (or 'drones'), has demonstrated the 3D-printing of cementitious materials during flight. An autonomous aerial system would minimise requirements for working at height, thus reducing safety risks and release AM from ground-based constraints. This study investigates viscous cementitious mortars for AAM. To assess workability and buildability, a robotic arm representing UAV movement in three-dimensional space moved a lightweight deposition device to extrude multiple layers. Constituents such as Pulverised Fuel-Ash, Silica fume, polyol resin, limeX70 and Polypropylene fibres were added to cement-based material mixes. Sand:binder ratios were a maximum of 1.00 and Water:binder ratios ranged from 0.33–0.47. Workability and buildability of mixes were evaluated using performance parameters such as power required for extrusion, number of layers successfully extruded, the extent of deformation of extruded layers and evaluation of mechanical and rheological properties. Rheology tests revealed mortars with a suitable workability-buildability balance possessed a Complex modulus of 3-6 MPa. Mechanical tests showed that resistance to deformation and buildability positively correlate and indicate compressive strengths in excess of 25 MPa. This study has demonstrated that structural cementitious material can be processed by a device light enough to be carried by a UAV to produce an unsupported, coherent multiple-layered object and further demonstrated the feasibility of untethered AAM as an alternative to ground-based AM applications in construction.

Keywords: cementitious mortar; additive manufacturing; unmanned aerial vehicles; workability; buildability; layers; rheology

1. Introduction

The construction industry has traditionally used slow and energy-intensive methods to build structures [1], often using formative or subtractive techniques [2] with the latter approach involving the machining of a bulk quantity of material down to a required dimension [3]. By contrast, additive manufacturing (AM), often referred to as '3D printing', creates objects by depositing one layer of material at a time [4], using only the specific amount of material required during construction. The use of AM in the construction industry, a sector regarded as traditionally fragmented, risk-averse [5] and having low levels of innovation [6], is on the increase with projects realised by the use of AM methods on a construction-sized scale. AM offers considerable benefits to the construction industry by reducing material wastage, labour costs and delays resulting from health and safety-related issues along with increasing the scope for bespoke architecture and design [7]. Initial project outlays on machinery and materials can be high, but these costs can be mitigated by integrating the 3D printing of services and structural elements [2].



Citation: Dams, B.; Chen, B.; Shepherd, P.; Ball, R.J. Development of Cementitious Mortars for Aerial Additive Manufacturing. *Appl. Sci.* **2023**, *13*, 641. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/app13010641

Academic Editor: Vahid Afroughsabet

Received: 28 November 2022 Revised: 27 December 2022 Accepted: 30 December 2022 Published: 3 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

AM techniques under investigation in the construction industry have involved either large ground-based, fixed position approaches such as frames [2,7–9], rotating compound robotic arm systems [1], robotic frames moving upon dual rails either side of the printing envelope [10] or the use of autonomous mobile coordinated, grounded robots using simultaneous localisation and mapping algorithms [11]. The dimensions and design of the buildings are restricted by the size and manoeuvrability of ground-based deposition instruments [12]. Ground-based research projects have included the concrete printing method developed at the University of Loughborough, UK [7,13], contour crafting, developed at the University of Southern California, USA [10,14], the digital construction platform project being developed at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA [1] and D-shape printing, created by Enrico Dini and D-shape Enterprises [15]. Typically (though not entirely), cementitious-based AM construction related projects are based upon the AM principle of fused deposition modelling (FDM), where suitably viscous materials such as thermoplastic polymers [16], mortars and concretes can be extruded through a nozzle and deposited one layer at a time to create a solidifying object or structure [2]. In contrast, other methods can spray cementitious material on to formwork [17].

The aerial additive manufacturing (AAM) project is investigating a new, transformative approach to the autonomous construction of buildings using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) to deposit cementitious materials of suitable viscosity to create or repair structures in situ [18,19]. It has been demonstrated by the AAM project that coordinated flying, untethered UAVs can extrude a cementitious material with suitable rheological properties and combination of workability and buildability to create a multi-layered object with a complex geometry requiring high levels of precision [12]. To the authors knowledge, the AAM project is the world's first published demonstration of a successful extrusion of cementitious material by a UAV 'on the fly' using an on-board power source, the UAV being neither tethered, landed, nor powered by an external ground-based source.

Figure 1 illustrates a programmed, untethered UAV extruding multiple layers of cementitious material in a Peano curve design 'on the fly' [12]. FDM is a suitable principle for AAM and the feasibility of UAVs 3D extrusion-printing other materials in addition to cementitious-based formulas such as polyurethane foam during controlled flight has also been demonstrated [18].



Figure 1. A flying, untethered Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (also known as a 'drone') 3D printing multiple layers in a Peano curve design using a formulated cementitious material with suitable rheological properties ([12]).

UAVs have become established in numerous sectors including military, civilian and emergency services [20]. The use of aerial robots in the construction industry has grown to the extent that the industry is now a leading sector in aerial robotic use [21]. However, the applications have typically dealt with topographical surveillance, building inspection and data gathering to assist cost estimations [22], rather than the AM construction or repair of a building in situ. An aerial approach is intended to free AM from ground-based design and logistical constraints, and is designed to be particularly effective in reducing safety risks and costs when confronted by elevated, hostile or inaccessible environments [12,19] which present human hazards or topography challenging for ground-based methods. Examples include post-natural, or human-made, disaster reconstruction and working at height on projects such as bridges and stadia, an inherently dangerous task with falls from height causing over a third of construction industry fatalities [23] and scaffolding related operations being a leading cause of falls [24].

An alternative miniature syringe-based deposition device, light enough to be carried by an aerial robot and capable of drawing up and extruding high viscosity liquids, has previously been developed for horizontal attachment to the base of a UAV [19]. This study modifies the miniature deposition device in order to investigate cementitious mortars and pastes suitable for AAM with additional additives and admixtures to assess the impact upon rheological properties.

In addition to layer adhesion, the following parameters have been identified as important for fresh, wet cementitious materials in AM processing: 'pumpability' (the ease and consistency at which a material may flow through a deposition device), 'extrudability' (the ability of the material to be deposited through a nozzle), 'open time' (the duration of time in which material properties remain within the range required for printing, prior to full curing) and 'buildability' (a measure of how freshly extruded material can be deposited in layers and resist deformation under loading) [7]. In the context of AM, buildability may be further defined as a term describing the ability possessed by a freshly deposited filament to both support its own self-weight and support the weight of subsequently deposited layers. Pumpability and extrudability will be dealt with in this study by encompassing the parameters into the general term 'workability'.

Crucial to the success of a material developed for AM extrusion is the recognition of the trade-off between buildability and workability [15]. A desirable balance encompassing both qualities in the chosen material is sought. A plasticiser is an important admixture in this respect, allowing a reduction in the water:binder ratio (thus improving strength and buildability), while aiding workability [13]. Mixes with high workability have lower stiffness and lower initial strength (thus reducing buildability), but encourage greater cohesion between layers by maintaining a chemically active surface longer, promoting inter-facial bonding where properties are closer to bulk material [9], thus enhancing the durability of the extruded element.

Other previous AM studies using cementitious materials have used mix proportions from which AAM had to differ notably. Ground-based concrete printing studies have used mortar mixes with a sand:binder ratio of up to 3:1 [13]. It became clear during preliminary mix formulation that such ratios were impractical with a miniature single component deposition method as employed in this study, and 1:1 provided a maximum sand:binder ratio which could facilitate the workability required for successful material transport. Previous studies using high strength cementitious materials have used water:binder ratios below 0.30 [13,25]. The XstreeE 'Democrite' project used 10% by weight of Silica fume and a low water/(cement + sand) mass ratio of 0.1 [26]. The mesh mould and Knit Candela projects (which utilises a sprayed concrete approach) were developed at ETH, Zurich, Switzerland; the former has mixes containing a sand:binder ratio of approximately 2.5:1, a water: binder ratio of 0.6 and a mortar density of approximately 2100 kg/m^3 [27] while the latter designs for a compressive strength in the concrete of 20 MPa [28]. Additionally, the 3DCP project developed at TU Eindhoven, The Netherlands, reported densities of 2000 kg/m³ [29] and compressive strengths of 30 MPa [9], while mix formulation for this study aimed at a compressive strength of 25 MPa and a density towards 2000 kg/m³ it was clear that water: binder ratios below 0.3 would be challenging for the lightweight deposition device to extrude.

This study demonstrates that an accelerator-free, rheologically suitable mortar mix with a suitable open time can be drawn up and deposited in a fresh state by a miniature

extrusion system without the requirement for formwork or 3D-printed supporting material. The properties of pastes and mortars immediately following extrusion are critical [7] and the rigidity of the freshly extruded filament is a primary factor in buildability [9]. The tradeoff between the ability of the mixes to be drawn up and extruded by the deposition device through a nozzle (workability) and the number of layers printed, along with the capability of printed material to resist deformation (buildability), is evaluated in the laboratory using multiple layer extrusion by a robot arm, representing the movement of UAVs in 3D space and quantified with multiple tests. Calorimetry tests assessed how different constituents affected the rate of heat generated during the hydration reactions of fresh mortar mixes. 28-day specimens of the mixes were subjected to compressive, flexural and creep tests to assess how the differing constituents influenced mechanical strength and resistance to long term deformation. Microscopy and X-ray Computer Tomography further visually examine material properties. This study highlights how cementitious material suitable for an aerial approach using the fresh properties and open time of mortar, transported through miniature deposition equipment with light plastic components, contrasts significantly with existing heavy ground-based deposition systems using large metallic components.

2. Materials and Methods

Four mortar mixes and one cement paste mix termed *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* and *E* were formulated and manufactured. Workability was defined by the ability of the material to be drawn up and extruded using the deposition device. Electrical power requirements for the deposition device to process the mixes were also monitored. Buildability of the mixes was assessed by the number of layers extruded and the ability of an extruded layer of fresh material to retain form and shape following deposition on to a level, free surface at room temperature without excessive deformation. The mixes were further judged on mechanical properties at 28-day strength.

2.1. The Deposition Device

The syringe-based deposition device used in this study is shown in Figure 2a. A similar dual-syringe device with additional silicon tubing and a static mixer has already demonstrated the feasibility of drawing up and extruding polyurethane foam liquid components for AAM [19]. For the development of mortar mixes in this study, the deposition device design consists of a single BD Plastipak 60 mL concentric luer lock syringe with a 29.4 mm external diameter barrel.

The device was powered by a PL 155 Aim TTI (Aim and Thurlby Thandar Instruments, Huntingdon, UK) bench supply and had a miniature 6 V DC brushed motor with a 298:1 micro metal gearmotor running gears at 100 revolutions per minute and using 494 Nmm torque. The syringes plunger was actuated by a 3 mm diameter leadscrew, translating the motor shaft's rotation to linear motion [19]. The quadcopter UAVs developed for AAM have a carrying capacity in excess of 600 g [10], therefore the device is certainly light enough to be carried while full of material.

The luer lock was removed from the tip of the syringe and an 8 mm hole drilled in its place to form a nozzle flush with the base of the syringe. The deposition device was kept stationary when drawing up the mortar using a retort stand and clamp. Motor voltage was maintained at 5.95 V, allowing the current to vary during the drawing up and extrusion of mortar. Syringe dimensions and current requirements facilitated the calculation of the varying power requirements of the mixes.

2.2. Cementitious Mix Constituents

The workability and buildability of the fresh mixes were assessed by determining whether it was possible to draw-up and deposit eight cohesive 50 mm diameter circular layers on to a level free surface, without supporting material, during a two hour open-time period. Preliminary tests using a cone penetrometer on cementitious pastes had revealed that workability becomes compromised beyond two hours [30].

This study used Dragon Alfa CEM I 42.5 R (Dragon Alfa Cements Ltd., Gloucester, UK) Portland cement with a particle size of 5–30 μ m and bulk density 900–1500 kg/m³, as the base binding constituent. The chemical composition of the CEM I, determined by Rietveld quantitative phase analysis, is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Rietveld quantitative phase analysis of the chemical composition of Dragon Alfa CEM I 42.5 R Portland cement shown as a percentage by weight.

CEM I Phase	% by wt.
Dicalcium silicate C ₂ S	14.6
Tricalcium silicate C ₃ S	71.5
Tricalcium aluminate C ₃ A	7.27
Tetracalcium aluminoferrite C ₄ AF	4.46
Calcium sulphate phases	2.16

The lignin-based plasticiser used was Adoflow 'S'. Binding additives were Cemex EN 450 N (Cemex, Bristol, UK) grade type-F pulverised fuel ash (PFA), with a bulk density 800–1000 kg/m³, particle size: <45 μ m, and silica fume supplied in powder form by FerroPem, Anglefort, France with a bulk density of 200 kg/m³ and mean particle size of 0.2 μ m. PFA was added to the cementitious mixes for two reasons; firstly, it has a microstructure of spherical particles which would aid the workability of the mixes, plus as an industrial by-product it would allow the reduction of the use of CEM I in the mixes and therefore help to mitigate the carbon impact of the mixes. Silica fume was added to the mixes with the aim of improving the buildability and the compressive strength, with very fine particles interlocking with the larger particles of the other constituents such as CEM I and sand.

Fine aggregate consisted of angular-particle sand (supplied by Jewsons, Bath, UK, product number AGSTB003), which was kiln dried at a temperature of 105 °C for a period of twenty four hours prior to sieving and possessed a loose dry density of 1600 kg/m³. A further additive investigated was limeX70, which is a by-product of the sugar beet industrial process and is typically used for the correction of soil acidity in the agricultural industry [31]. The particle size gradation of the sand and limeX70 used is shown in Figure 2b. To facilitate an extrusion force through the 8 mm diameter of the syringe nozzle within the capacity of the motor, the maximum size of sand particles was 2 mm.

Limex70 consists of 52% calcium carbonate, 15% "organic" composition, 5% silicate, and 30% water [31]. It has been reported that calcium carbonate has an accelerating effect on the hydration of concrete, which hardens the concrete quicker at the early stage [32]; the aim of adding this constituent was the investigation of whether it would aid the buildability of the material following deposition and contribute to the cured mechanical properties.

In addition, 12 mm long, 40 μ m diameter polypropylene fibres were investigated. Polypropylene fibres were added with the aim of increasing buildability and with a view to mitigate crack propagation and improve the tensile properties of mortars which do not contain traditional steel reinforcement.

A viscous polyol resin, Isothane (Accrington, UK)'s Reprocell 500, \leq 2500 cP at 25 °C environment temperature [19], was added to assess the effectiveness of modifying the rheological properties of the fresh material along with any ensuing impact upon cured mechanical properties. It has been previously determined that a polymer which contains the hydroxyl-terminated side groups can effectively increase the flow retaining behaviours and therefore improve the flow, or workability, of cement [33].

During the experimentation, the water temperature added to the mixes was 16.5 °C \pm 1 °C and laboratory temperatures were 20 °C \pm 3° C.

2.3. Cementitious Mix Specifications and Manufacture

Mix formulation and the workability of ensuing mixes was informed by the capabilities of the miniature extrusion device, which had to be light enough to be carried and powered by a flying UAV. Mix proportions of the cement paste mix *A* and mortar mixes *B*–*E* formulated for this study are detailed in Table 2. Mix *D* had 1.2 kg/m³ of polypropylene fibres added. The water:binder ratios used with the mixes were between 0.30 and 0.50. Constituents are shown in kg/m³ with the total fresh density of the mix indicated, along with the % quantities of admixtures and sand:binder and water:binder ratios.

Mix *A* essentially acts as a reference, or control, mix. It is a simple cement paste, which did not contain fine aggregate or additives, and had previously been demonstrated as a material which possessed suitable workability for AAM [30], but the buildability could be improved upon. Therefore, the performance and characteristics of formulated mortar mixes B-E could be compared with respect to their added combination of constituents in relation to mix *A*.

Constituent (kg/m ³)	Mix A	Mix B	Mix C	Mix D	Mix E
Sand	-	566	701	880	625
LimeX70	-	-	-	-	100
CEM1	1487	906	526	660	546
PFA	-	227	105	132	117
Silica Fume	-	-	70.1	88.0	117
Plasticiser	22.3	17.0	7.01	8.80	7.80
Water	468	357	273	343	359
Polyol Resin (RMA)	-	-	70.1	-	-
Polypropylene Fibres	-	-	-	1.10	-
Total Density kg/m ³	1978	2073	1753	2113	1871
Plasticiser % by wt. binder	1.50	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00
Polyol Resin % by wt. binder	-	-	10.0	-	-
Polypropylene Fibres kg/m ³	-	-	-	1.20	-
Ratios	Mix A	Mix B	Mix C	Mix D	Mix E
sand:binder	-	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.93
water:binder	0.33	0.33	0.40	0.40	0.47

Table 2. Mix proportions of constituents for mixes *A*–*E*.

The pastes and mortars were created using the following method:

- 1. Once weighed, the binder, fine aggregate and additives were hand mixed and transferred to the bowl of an automatic Savisto (Stockton-on-tees, UK) 800 Watt mixing device.
- 2. The water, plasticiser and polyol resin (if present) were then weighed, hand mixed and poured into the bowl with an even distribution among the powdered constituents. The mixing device possessed a steel beater revolving in planetary motion.
- 3. Slow mixing was administered for an initial ten seconds followed by three thirty second periods of 400 rpm mixing interspersed with manual gathering, thus ensuring the resulting mixes possessed a suitable consistency.
- 4. The material was transferred into a 600 mL plastic container and subjected to a further thirty seconds of manual mixing.
- 5. Finally, the syringe of the autonomous deposition device was inserted into the material and submerged by 10 mm to draw up the mixes (as shown in Figure 2a).

2.4. The Robot Arm

The robot arm used in the study to hold and transport the syringe device during autonomous deposition was a Dobot Magician model with four degrees of freedom, a 320 mm reach and a 500 g carrying capacity (close to the 600 g typical capacity of AAM quadcopter UAVs). The syringe device was fixed to the robot arm with a custom designed 3D-printed syringe holder to facilitate unhindered movement of the arm in the X (outwards and inwards), Y (left to right) and Z (vertical) planes as illustrated in Figure 2c. This also assisted detachment from and reattachment to the robot arm, as the syringes required the refilling of material between layer printing. For each mix, 50 mm diameter circular layers were extruded centrally to the robot arm field of operation. A plan view of the field of operation (within the semi-circular boundaries), and circle as programmed into the Dobot Studio software V1.4.12, is also illustrated in Figure 2c. The velocity of the robot arm was 2 mm/s. Therefore, a 50 mm diameter layer with a 157 mm circumference could be printed in 78.5 s. The robot arm with deposition device attached is illustrated in Figure 2d.

2.5. Rheology, Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Calorimetry

To assess whether a suitable balance between workability and buildability may be linked to quantifiable rheological data, oscillatory stress tests to determine the Complex modulus G^* , elastic-deformation component storage modulus G' and viscous-flow component loss modulus G'' were carried out on the mortars for two hours immediately following mixing using a TA Instruments DHR-2 rheometer (TA, Hertfordshire, UK). Disposable aluminium smooth parallel plates with a 25 mm diameter upper geometry and 40 mm lower plate were used with a geometry gap of 1000 μ m. The displacement-controlled oscillatory tests used a small angular velocity of 5.0×10^{-5} radians per second, ensuring the material stayed within the linear viscoelastic region. Frequency was kept constant at 1 Hz (an angular frequency of 6.28 radians per second) and a temperature of 25 °C was maintained. The samples tested for each mix consisted of material taken from the same batches created for the workability and buildability tests with the deposition device.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) was carried out to identify the functional groups of the polyol resin, with particular emphasis on the purpose of identifying and confirming the presence of hydroxyl groups. The instrument used was a Perkin Elmer Frontier 91175 instrument with a diamond Attenuated Total Reflectance head (Pike Technologies Inc., Madison, WI, USA). The resolution was 2 cm⁻¹ and the wave number parameters ranged between 600 cm⁻¹–4000 cm⁻¹.

Calorimetry tests were conducted over a period of 48 h to assess how the differing constituents affected the heat evolution rate of the exothermic hydration reactions of the mixes. 40 g samples of each mix were placed into sealed containers immediately following mixing and inserted into the chambers of a Calmetrix I-Cal 4000 (Calmetrix, Boston, MA, USA) high precision isothermal calorimeter linked with CalCommander v.1.67 software. The temperature of the chambers was maintained at 20 °C. Two samples of each mix were tested, and the mean results were taken.

2.6. Mechanical Tests upon Cured Specimens

To give an indication of the impact of the additives and admixtures upon 28-day strength, compressive and flexural tests were conducted using a 200 kN servo-hydraulic testing rig model DH 200 (Mayes, UK) hydraulic test frame with Instron WaveMatrix software. Three prismatic shaped test specimens 160 mm long \times 40 mm wide \times 40 mm high for each of the five mix designs were formed in steel moulds lubricated with mineral oil, thus providing three flexural strength test specimens and six compressive strength test specimens (broken half-prisms) for each mix. Specimens were cured in a controlled environment for 28 days at a temperature of 20 °C and 55% relative humidity prior to testing in accordance with the British standard BS EN 1015-11:1999 [34].

Deformation due to long term loading was evaluated over a period of 50 days using 18 mm diameter, 37 mm high cylindrical specimens of material. The specimens were placed into a bespoke rig as shown in Figure 2e, where a predetermined load was evenly distributed over the full cross-sectional area of the cylinders. The rig was equipped with eight Solartron LE12 linear displacement transducers, capable of reading to an accuracy of 50 nm. Together with a USBIM Mk2 (Solartron, West Sussex, UK) controller, the transducers formed an Orbit 3 network powered by a Solartron PIM supplementary supply. Weights of 1 kg were suspended from the lever arms yielding a mechanical advantage of 18. Displacement, temperature and humidity readings were taken concurrently at five-minute intervals to assess the varying deformation and the impact of environmental changes upon the specimens.

A JEOL 247 SEM6480LV (JEOL, Hertfordshire, UK) scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to obtain images of cured sample specimens of mixes SEM images of crosssectional morphology of mixes A–E at magnifications of ×4000 and ×2000 to qualitatively assess sample microstructure. The specimens were covered with a 10 nm gold coating immediately prior to insertion into the SEM chamber in order to reduce charging.

2.8. X-ray Computer Tomography

X-ray Computer Tomography (CT) scans were applied to investigate the 3D structure of the circular layers of the extruded mixes. The CT scans were measured using a Nikon XT H 225 ST model machine (Nikon Metrology, Leuven, Belgium) and conducted using 65 kV and 50 μ A X-ray beam output. The obtained data files were subsequently analysed by using VGStudioMAX (Volume Graphics, Hexagon, UK) software.



Figure 2. Experimental Methodology illustrations. (a) The miniature deposition device, kept stationary with a retort stand and clamp. a, 60 mL syringe. b, 6 V DC brushed motor. c, 3 mm diameter leadscrew. d, plunger. e, constraining tie cables. f, 8 mm diameter nozzle drilled into the syringe base. g, cement paste being drawn up by the device. (b) Particle size gradation of the sand used as fine aggregate and limeX70. (c) Plan view schematic diagram of the field of robot arm operation showing placement of the 50 mm diameter circular layer depositions. (d) Dobot Magician robot arm with attached syringe deposition device. (e) The creep rig with cylindrical mortar samples a, 1 kg weights suspended from this location. b, Cylindrical samples of mixes *A*–*E*. c, Lever arms. d, Solar orbit linear encoders. e, Temperature and relative humidity sensor. f, Circular platens. g, Pivots.

3. Results

3.1. Workability and Autonomous Deposition Device Performance

The power requirements for the deposition device are shown in Table 3 with an empty syringe in comparison with the mixes. As the deposition device would be powered by a UAV in flight, the less power required for material extrusion the better, as power requirements for extrusion have to be within the capabilities of the UAV without compromising power capacity for the primary function of flight. To operate the deposition device with cement paste mix A, the current required was 61 mA \pm 5 mA and it took 60 s to draw up, or extrude, 10 mL of cementitious paste. This increased to 104 s per 10 mL for Mix D, which yielded a mean current during draw-up and deposition of $84 \text{ mA} \pm 15 \text{ mA}$. An 8 mmdiameter nozzle produced a mean flow velocity out of the syringe of 3.16 mm/s and resulted in a 200 mm line of material being extruded per 10 mL of material in the syringe. This was sufficient to produce a 50 mm diameter circular printed layer with a 157 mm circumference, theoretically requiring 7.9 mL of material to complete a layer. Therefore, to produce one circular layer it took 79% of the time required to process 10 mL (Table 3, column 3). The 78.5 s per layer velocity of the robotic arm ensured that it was not travelling too quickly for deposition device extrusion and enabled the printing of a consistent bead of material.

Table 3. Performance of the deposition device showing power requirements for mixes *A*–*E* in comparison to an empty syringe. The time and energy transferred values refer to 10 mL movement of the plunger in the syringe.

Mix	Current (mA)	Time (secs)	Energy (Joules)	Power (Watts)
Empty	58	58	20.0	0.345
Ā	61	60	21.8	0.363
В	79	76	35.8	0.470
С	58	62	21.4	0.345
D	84	104	52.0	0.500
Ε	74	61	26.9	0.440

The deposition device comfortably processed 20 mL of material for mixes *A* and *C*. Mixes *B*, *D* and *E* were more challenging, with the device having difficulty in drawing up 10 mL of mixes *B* and *D*. Mix *D* required 45% more power to process the material than mix *C*, which in turn required only slightly more power to process than an empty syringe.

Table 4 displays the workability and buildability classification for each mix along with mix density and the number of layers the syringe device could process within the two-hour open time period. The classifications reflect the performance of the material during processing. For example, mix *A* deformed irreparably upon the deposition of the sixth layer (as shown in Figure 3), hence a poor buildability rating, whilst mixes *B* and *D* performed well in terms of buildability, but possessed poor workability as eight layers could not be printed due to the syringe device being unable to draw-up the mortar after 45 min (mix *D*) and 60 min (mix *B*). Table 4 also reveals the least workable mixes of *B* and *D* as possessing the highest density.

y the deposition	device) and	build-

Mix	Density (kg/m ³)	Workability	Buildability	Layers Printed
Α	1978	very good	poor	6
В	2073	poor	good	4
С	1753	very good	good	8
D	2113	poor	good	3
Ε	1871	good	good	8

Table 4. Workability (the ability of the material to be processed by ability (quality of defined circular layers extruded without excessive deformation) visual classification for mixes A–E, with the fresh mix density shown and number of layers the syringe device could draw up and deposit.

3.2. Buildability

Figure 3 depicts the 50 mm diameter 3D-printed layered samples for each mix used for the assessment of buildability. The extruded filaments could support subsequent layers and self-weight with the exception of cementitious paste Mix A, which was not able to effectively support subsequent layers and laterally deformed significantly during the deposition of the sixth layer to the extent that no subsequent layers were possible, hence a classification of poor buildability. The polypropylene fibres of mix *D* are visible in the extruded layers.

The lower number of layers printed for mixes *B* and *D* reflects the relatively high stiffness and buildability of the mixes, which ultimately proved too challenging in terms of workability for the deposition device. Four printed layers was a result of the device being unable to process the material further rather than the inability of the material to accept more layers.



Figure 3. The 50 mm diameter circular layers of the extruded mixes: A (cement paste), B (the introduction of fine aggregate), C (polyol resin), D (polypropylene fibres) and E (LimeX70). Refer to Table 2 for the full mix constituent proportions and Table 4 for mix densities.

3.3. Rheology, Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Calorimetry

Figure 4a illustrates the Complex modulus G^* of the mortar mixes along with the number of layers printed by the deposition device on the secondary axis. Elastic deformation was dominant in all mixes, confirming the mixes behaved in a more solid-like than liquid-like manner. The mixes are sequenced with G^* in ascending order and a relationship between G^* and the number of layers printed can be observed. The fibrous Mix D was

the most challenging mix for the syringe device to extrude due to the highest complex modulus, while mixes A (the cement paste) and C (with the polyol resin) possessed the lowest G^* and were the most workable mixes for the syringe device.



Figure 4. Rheology, FTIR and Calorimetry results. (**a**) Complex modulus G^* for mortar mixes A-E, averaged over the open time of two hours. Mixes are ordered left to right in order of ascending G^* . *G'* significantly dominated over G'' for all mixes. The number of layers printed is also indicated for the mixes. (**b**) The relationship between Complex modulus G^* and the number of layers printed by the deposition device. The 'suitable mix' G^* range shows a suitable workability-buildability balance. (**c**) The relationship between Complex modulus G^* , the power required to process the mixes and time taken to draw up 10 mL of mixes A-E. (**d**) FTIR spectrum of the polyol resin used in this study, Isothane's Reprocell 500 (used in Mix *C*) to demonstrate the presence of hydroxyl groups. (**e**) Calorimetry experiments for mixes A-E depicting the energy transferred per gram of material and (**f**) Calorimetry results illustrating the rate of heat evolution per hour.

The rheological suitability of the mixes is suggested by the number of layers printed in Figure 4a. This is further depicted in Figure 4b to show the range of G^* favourable for a mortar mix possessing a good workability-buildability balance. Mortar mixes possessing a

 G^* of between 3 and 6 MPa can be considered suitable for the deposition device used in this study. The relationship of Complex modulus G^* with both the power required and time taken for the autonomous syringe device to draw-up 10 mL of mixed material is shown in Figure 4c. The positive correlation between G^* and time on the scatter graph can be observed as linear while G^* and power can be observed as non-linear.

As can be seen in the FTIR spectrum (Figure 4d), the characteristic bands of hydroxyl and carbonyl groups are observed in the 3430^{-1} and 1726 cm^{-1} regions, respectively. The bands in the range from 2800^{-1} to 3000^{-1} are associated with C-H asymmetrical and symmetrical stretching vibrations [35]. The band at 1075^{-1} indicates the stretching of C-O [36]. Thus, in this study, the polyol resin has been shown to contain rich hydroxyl groups which were intended to promote the workability of the cementitious mix based upon the work of [33].

Figure 4e,f shows the results of the calorimetry experiments recorded over a 48-h period immediately following mixing. Figure 4e shows the energy produced by the hydration reaction per gram of material, while Figure 4f shows the rate of the reaction. The cement paste mix *A* showed the highest rate of heat reaction, with the other mixes showing how the added constituents have, to varying extents, affected the heat of the hydration reaction. This is most pronounced with mix *C*, which contains polyol resin. The initial high peak is primarily due to the rehydration of calcium sulphate hemihydrate and the aluminate phases of Portland cement reacting [37]. The second peak observed between 9 and 13 h is the result of the reactions of the silicate phases forming calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H), a prime phase contributing to the mechanical properties of a cementitious material [37].

3.4. Mechanical Tests—Strength and Creep

The 28-day compressive and flexural strengths for mixes A-E are shown in Figure 5a and b, respectively. Specimens were tested to failure and the graph shows the mean results with standard deviation. Mix D performed well in mechanical tests and showed the highest compressive strength, consistently in excess of 60 MPa and capable of rising above 70 MPa. Mix C, with the polyol resin achieved a lower compressive strength of just below 30 MPa. Mix E, with the limeX70, remained competitive despite requiring a significantly higher water:binder ratio of 0.47 for suitable workability. Coefficients of variation for compressive strength ranged from 4% - 8%.

There is a direct positive correlation between compressive strength and density. For mortar mixes B–E, it can be ascertained that as compressive strength increases, workability decreases, with cement paste mix A an exception to this statement.

Flexural specimens exhibited variation in strength, with coefficients of variation ranging from 8% (mix B) to 23% (mix D). Specimens failed in a sudden, brittle manner, breaking into two. An exception to this was the fibrous Mix *D*, where specimens were held together by the presence of the polypropylene fibres as shown in Figure 5c, preventing them from fully breaking into two. This contrasts with the broken specimens of the other mixes shown in Figure 5c.

The results of the creep rig showing strain over a period of 50 days, along with the recorded environmental variations in temperature and humidity, are shown in Figure 5d and e, respectively. Whilst there was minor difference in temperature, there was significant variation in humidity and this has had a clear impact upon all samples, with a rise in humidity corresponding with expansion in the cylindrical specimens. The cement paste mix *A* varied significantly with humidity, while mix *C*, with the added polyol resin, exhibited the least variation with humidity but the greatest overall strain. Mix *D* with the added polypropylene fibres exhibited the least strain. For all five mixes, there is a direct negative correlation between deformation and density (Table 4).



Figure 5. Mechanical test results. (a) 28-day compressive strengths for mixes A–E. (error bars denote the standard deviation). (b) The 28-day flexural strengths for mixes A–E (error bars denote the standard deviation). (c) Examples of flexural failure. Specimens for mixes A, B, C and E broke in two. Mix D specimens were held together by the polypropylene fibres to prevent complete breakage. (d) Creep results over a 50-day period, showing strain. (e) Temperature and humidity variations in the creep results.

3.5. Scanning Electron Microscopy

As shown in Figure 6a–f, all cross-sectional fracture surfaces of each mix design show a structure typical of the C-S-H phases formed in CEM1-based cements. SEM images of mixes A-E illustrated were taken at ×4000 magnification unless noted otherwise. The smooth, spherical particle observed in mix *B* (Figure 6b) can be attributed to the PFA, while the spherical particles in Mix *D* (Figure 6d) and Mix *E* (Figure 6f) illustrate the presence of larger and smaller PFA particles, respectively; silica fume possesses smaller and more sub-angular to angular particle microstructure [38] which is distinct from the spherical PFA. The image shown in Mix *E* shows a polypropylene fibre with a diameter of approximately 40 µm. Micro-cracking is visible in mixes *A* (Figure 6a), *B* (Figure 6b) and *E* (Figure 6f). Mix *C* (Figure 6c) shows a polyol resin modified cementitious matrix.

The PFA spherical particle visible in mix D (Figure 6d) is observed to have a closer, superior bond to the cementitious matrix than that observed in B (Figure 6b), which has a gap evident. Mix D contained silica fume and was stronger, denser and possessed more buildability, with the denser CSH structure a result of adding the silica fume to the mix. The close up of the polypropylene fibre visible in mix D (Figure 6a), imaged at ×2000 magnification, shows an uneven surface which would promote anchorage in the cementitious matrix and assist pull-out resistance, whereas a smoother fibre would pull-out more easily when tensile forces were applied. Possible traces of cement phases adhering to the fibre are visible in the image. Mix D was the strongest in the compression strength results and had the highest G^* , but possessed low workability; in summary it was the stiffest mix. Mix E (Figure 6f), to which the limeX70 was added, shows a distinct diamond-shaped calcite crystal [39] towards the right of the image due to the lime-rich mix and excess of hydroxide, along with what are reasoned to be needle-shaped silicate crystals on the far-right of the image.



Figure 6. SEM images of cross-sectional morphology of mixes A–E taken at ×4000 magnification unless otherwise stated. (a) Mix A with cracks in the matrix and Calcium Silicate Hydrate (CSH) phases visible. (b) Mix B with CSH phases and a distinct spherical fly ash particle visible. (c) Mix C which shows a denser resin-modified cementitious structure. (d) Mix D with the PFA particle showing a closer bond to the cementitious matrices than observed in mix Mix B. (e) Mix D with an image of a polypropylene fibre taken at ×2000 magnification. (f) Mix E with a smaller spherical PFA particle visible along with a diamond shaped calcite crystal and needle-shaped silicate crystals on the right of the image.

3.6. X-ray Computer Tomography

Figure 7 illustrates the CT 3D reconstruction of the extruded mixes A–E. Based on the 3D reconstruction, the extruded mixes can be viewed in 2D images from three planes, which are xy-plane, yz-plane, and zx-plane. The black areas represent pores or gaps in the structure, while the bright areas (grey colour) represent the dense part of the structure, such as the cementitious matrix. The circular design deposited in several layers are clearly shown in the 2D images. The small black dots represent the presence of small pores through the parts, which it is reasoned to be from the presence of small air pockets during mix preparation. The small black curves illustrate the presence of small gaps in the parts, this could be due to compromised layer-boundary bonding and inter-layer adhesion. In mix D, the red circles highlight the presence of the polypropylene fibres in a multitude of orientations.



Figure 7. Micro-CT 3D image of circular layers of the five extruded mixes *A* (cement paste), *B* (the introduction of fine aggregate), *C* (featuring polyol resin), *D* (including polypropylene fibres) and *E* (featuring LimeX70). The leftmost column shows the scanned specimens and 2D slices, and their 2D image views from the slices are shown in xy-plane (first black background column 1), yz-plane (column 2), zx-plane (rightmost column 3), respectively. Pores in the cementitious matrices, compromised inter-layer adhesion and fibres (light specks highlighted by red circles) are all in evidence.

3.7. Graphical Summary of Mix Parameters

Figure 8 contains radar charts which graphically illustrate the relationship between the different parameters of the formulated mixes, with part (a) showing the properties of the mixes ascertained from the experimentation, and part (b) illustrates the mix constituents for reference and comparison. Values presented in Figure 8 have been scaled up or down from the absolute values for purposes of visual clarity and are intended to portray the relationship between the parameters.

It can be observed in Figure 8 that mix D was the stiffest, strongest in compression and required the most power to draw-up and extrude; it contained the highest sand:binder ratio in addition to the second-highest quantity of silica fume and the only mix to contain polypropylene fibres. Mix E added silica fume and limeX70 and required a higher water:binder ratio. With the addition of the polyol resin in mix C, there was an impact upon compressive strength in relation to other mixes, although values achieved suggested mix C remained a structurally viable material in compression. Mix B contained the highest quantity of PFA and a relatively high amount of plasticiser but had a lower water:binder ratio—although compressive strength and G^* were high. The simple cement paste mix Acontained high compressive strength and a high quantity of CEM I.



Figure 8. Radar charts graphically depicting and summarising the mix parameters of the formulated mixes. Values have been scaled up or down from the absolute values for the purposes of visual clarity and portrayal of the relationships between the mix parameters. (**a**) Experimental results and properties of the mixes, (**b**) Summarising the constituent content of the mixes for ease of comparison.

4. Discussion

The study highlights the trade-off between workability and buildability along with the impact these parameters have upon quantitative material properties. This study suggests that the correct approach to take is to accept the trade-off between workability and buildability and acknowledge that there will be a challenge in one of those parameters for a higher strength material.

It is reasoned that the addition of silica fume to mix *D*, along with the use of sand and fibres, contributed to the requirement for increasing the water:binder ratio from 0.33 to enable an extent of extrusion from the deposition device (Figure 8). It is further reasoned that the higher water:binder ratio contained in mix *E* was necessitated by a combination of the addition of silica fume and limeX70 in order to facilitate material extrusion. The addition of polyol resin in Mix *C* facilitated an increase in the sand:binder ratio and the addition of Silica fume without compromising workability. With mix *B*, it is submitted that the lower water:binder made the difference in the mix being challenging to extrude and requiring higher power, whereas Mix *A* was too workable in the fresh state and inherently contained a high carbon footprint regarding CEM I content.

Table 4 confirms that it is possible for a mix to perform well in workability at the expense of buildability and vice versa. Where the mixes perform well in both parameters and possess a suitable workability-buildability balance, this also may come at a cost. Mix *C* was highly workable and held its form well, being able to receive multiple layers. However, as shown in the calorimetry results (Figure 4), the addition of the rheological modifying polyol resin retards the C-S-H reaction during hydration of the mortar mix. C-S-H is

an important contributor to the binding properties [40] and reaction inhibition reduces compressive strength.

Mix *E* also performed well in both workability and buildability – again at a cost, as adding limeX70 to the mix required a significant increase in water:binder ratio to make the mix workable, thus inevitably impacting compressive strength. Highly workable mixes *C* and *E* additionally displayed the highest deformation during long term loading (Figure 5).

While a pure cement paste is certainly workable for the miniature deposition device, it was clear that the addition of fine aggregate promotes the successful printing of multiple layers, as mix *A* deformed irreparably during the layer extrusion process. With fine aggregate, mixes *B*–*E* did not exhibit this deformation. A further purpose of the additional binding materials and fine aggregate is to reduce the level of Portland cement used in the mixes, as an entirely cementitious paste is not favourable when considering sustainability. Portland cement has long been established as a material with an undesirably high carbon footprint, with the raw materials requiring burning at high kiln temperatures of 1400–1500 °C [37]. The addition of industrial by-products (PFA, silica fume, limeX70) as additives mitigates the higher embodied carbon of the mortar mixes, which is a current characteristic of AM cement slurries, though this can be offset by geometric optimisation of printed elements [17].

It is suggested that workability, defined in this study as the ability of a material to be processed by the deposition device, is the primary qualitative material parameter for the relative miniaturisation of AM required for aerial applications. There should therefore be less of an emphasis on attempting to achieve very high compressive strengths through using very low water:binder ratios, with the miniature deposition system requiring water:binder ratios approaching a more conventional level for concrete at ≈ 0.50 . It is reasoned that if a formulated mix cannot be processed autonomously in a lightweight device with aerial robot portability, high compressive strength is essentially immaterial. A further driver in considering workability to be the prime parameter is the mitigation of power used in the processing of the material, with a more workable, rheologically suitable mix using less energy and requiring less time to process.

While the polypropylene fibres used in mix *D* presented a challenge with regards to workability, the ability of the fibres to partially hold a failed specimen together can be identified as a potential performance asset in a mortar mix without reinforcement. The use of fibres of a suitable length and dimensions would form part of a further investigation concerning the introduction of a measure of ductility within the material, mitigating the sudden, brittle nature of failure and reduce the level of shrinkage and crack propagation. Mix *D* performed well in both compressive and flexural tests and there is capacity within the mix to further increase plasticiser content and water:binder ratio to address the challenge of workability posed by the presence of fibres.

With mix E having a water:binder ratio approaching 0.50, the use of limeX70 would require an alternative approach to mitigate negative impact upon strength. This study used quantities of plasticiser of 1–1.5% by weight of binder and the compressive strength results suggest that an approach of further increasing plasticiser content up to 2% by weight of binder should be investigated when using limeX70 and fibres, thus maintaining required workability. Regarding limex70, the calorimetry test results in this study showed an opposite result to that initially expected. In fact, Mix E has a low hydration rate, even lower than that of Mix A (as seen in Figure 4). The possible reason could be due to the quantity of organic composition within limeX70, which contains compounds including carbohydrates, proteins, plant fibres and alcohols. The effects of polysaccharides and saccharides on the hydration of cement have been previously investigated and it was discovered they have a retarding effect on cement hydration and the formation of both C-S-H and portlandite could be slowed and delayed [41,42]. In addition, the proteins can be treated as a retarder in cement (whey protein cement retarder). Therefore, despite the modest organic content of limex70 (15%), this quantity is evidently sufficient to dominate the hydration of Mix E. Limex70 can act as a substitute of CEM1 for the mitigation of the carbon footprint

of the material, but it appears to cause the retardation of cement hydration, which is a consideration for AM processes. Further work could focus on controlled variance of quantities of limeX70 in AAM mixes to assess combinations of workability and buildability, and effects upon hydration.

As shown in Figure 7A1–A3, the distorted structure means that cement paste could not maintain the circular shape, which suggests Mix *A* has poor buildability. The circular structure can be maintained with the introduction of fine aggregate (Figure 7B1–B3). The addition of polyol resin improved workability and did not impact negatively upon buildability (but did upon strength). The circular structure made by Mix *C* can be maintained as high as 6 layers (Figure 7C1–C3). In terms of Mix *D*, the presence of polypropylene fibres can be identified from Figure 7D1–D3), where the red circles marked areas show that the white-colour fibres were randomly distributed through the structure. In addition, more pores and layer-boundary gaps can be found in Mix *D*, suggesting that the addition of fibres can present a challenge for workability. Regarding to Mix *E* (Figure 7E1–E3), its structure is similar to that of Mix *B* and Mix *C*, the addition of limeX70 can help to maintain the circular structure, with an improved buildability.

The study shows that the Complex modulus G^* of the mixes, which measures the rigidity of the mortar's soft-solid structure, can serve as quantification of the workabilitybuildability balance contained within the mix and indicate whether the mix is suitable for AAM extrusion. The most rigid mixes *B* and *D* were the most challenging to process and the least rigid mixes *A* and *C* were the most workable. Figure 4 quantifies G^* values of 3–6 MPa as a good balance between workability and buildability for AAM. Below this value and the material is lacking in rigidity, possessing good workability but inadequate buildability, as shown in this study with the lateral deformation of mix *A*. Above 6 MPa and the material becomes too rigid for the device used in this study, with buildability dominating to the detriment of workability, as demonstrated by the device having difficulty processing mixes *B* and *D*.

A previous AAM study using liquid components of polyurethane foam with a dualsyringe deposition device employed a 986:1 gearing ratio motor [19]. The change in this study to a faster, lower torque 298:1 micro metal gearmotor was informed by both the desire to increase velocity and the realisation during this study's preliminary tests that higher torque promoted segregation of mortar constituents. This results in material disproportionately high in water content being extruded and the forming of dead zones of compacted aggregate and additives around the internal sides of the syringe's tapered end. The requirement for higher velocity and lower torque emphasises the importance of the workability of the mixes, as a lightweight deposition device will need to process the mixes using minimum torque in order to decrease constituent segregation, increase the rate of deposition and place minimal demand on the power source of a UAV host during controlled flight.

Mix *C* possessed a good combination of workability and buildability and while possessing the lowest compressive strength, remains structurally viable. Alternative RMAs can further be investigated for AAM, and indeed it has been shown that Cellulose gum and Xanthan gum are suitable options for AAM [12]. Using RMAs also reduces constituent segregation.

A further consideration for all AM cementitious extrusion is post-extrusion alignment and potential deformation of the filament. Material deformation may be due to the inherent effects of gravity, the weight of subsequent layers compressing previously extruded layers, material shrinkage effects or geometrical variations in filament dimension or the trajectories of the automated deposition device, while mixes B-E did not significantly deform in the manner of cement paste mix A, imperfections in the extruded filaments may be observed in Figure 7, notably mix D which was the 'driest' of the formulated mixes and it was challenging to extrude a filament of entirely consistent dimensions. Any slight variation in alignment of extrusion may result in imperfections in height and width of the extruded filament. Development of a test method to quantify extruded material deformation would

19 of 22

be a further asset in evaluating the precision and stability of height of extruded AM material. Buildability and excessive rigidity may be considered further as to whether denser material post-extrusion can be printed to a number of geometrically cohesive layers, while the rigidity of mixes *B* and *D* suggest buildability appropriate for a larger deposition device requiring more power, it may be submitted that the buildability of the mixes themselves cannot be entirely judged unless the deposition device can print further layers - but it can be judged by this study that the mixes are less appropriate for AAM.

While AAM material with the formulated mixes has been demonstrated, the issue of upscaling an AAM construction operation would require the continued research of UAVs being able to increase their carrying capacity (or 'payload') and power delivery capabilities from on-board batteries, which would facilitate larger and heavier extrusion systems. This would combine with the utilisation of a coordinated building approach inspired by natural builders such as birds, wasps and termites, where multiple units work together to build an object - with each unit being aware not only of their own trajectory but the trajectory of other units and an awareness of where other units have already extruded material. Multiple UAV unit extrusion has been demonstrated in [12] and a coordinated 'swarm' of flying, self-powered UAVs is envisaged for a full construction-scale project.

A dome-shaped structure or cylindrical, tapering tower featuring layers of extruded circular filaments would be viable structural and architectural options for AAM using mortar. This study used a circular nozzle primarily due to the end of the robotic arm being unable to rotate about its own axis, therefore a non-circular bead would vary in diameter as the arm progressed in circular motion.

Durability Considerations for AAM

An alternative approach for an aerial robot capable of rotation in controlled flight would be to change the geometry of the nozzle to rectangular. This would achieve greater bead width, increase the bonding surface area between layers thus promoting layer adhesion, bring increased lateral stability to multiple extruded layers and help mitigate adhesion and alignment-related issues of different layers compromising the durability of the extruded cementitious material. It was observed in Figure 7 that contour imprecision negligible to the naked eye can result in imperfections in layer adhesion; indeed, geometry and shape accuracy have been recognised as a primary issue for AM on a construction scale [43].

Further considerations for durability is the choice of any reinforcing fibres, whether in continuous filament, placed element or chopped fibre form, included as part of the cementitious material. Fibres increase durability of mixes [17]; major considerations include suitability within alkaline cementitious matrices and the ability to mitigate crack-propagation within the material. In this study, polypropylene fibres were used due to the compatibility of the fibres within cement mixes, effectiveness in mitigating micro and macro crack propagation [44] and the addition of fibres resulting in mitigating the potential penetration of water or harmful ions into concrete matrices [45]. Polyvinyl Alcohol (PVA) fibres are a further option to investigate for AAM with previous studies suggesting durability of the fibres within cementitious matrices [46] so that concrete with PVA can be considered an engineered, high-performance material [47].

Additionally, the wider effects of additives and admixtures introduced for the purposes of rheological properties influencing workability and buildability require wider consideration for durability. Added RMAs would benefit from further examination to ascertain any reduction in mechanical properties or performance, sorptivity, or the promotion of shrinkage and resulting micro-cracking of the extruded material and therefore detrimental impact upon long-term durability.

5. Conclusions

AAM offers enormous potential to transform in situ automated processes and site safety in the construction industry. This study investigated the importance of the workability-buildability balance for mortars appropriate for AAM, and proposes an open time complex modulus of between 3–6 MPa as being rheological quantification of a mix possessing a suitable workability-buildability balance for a miniature deposition device. Fine aggregate is required to extrude multiple mortar layers without extensive deformation occurring, unless a suitable RMA can be used as a partial or entire substitute.

The significance of the workability-buildability balance and its relationship with strength is highlighted. A prime example is silica fume and fibres improving compressive strength (\approx 70 MPa) and mitigating creep, but detracting from workability. A further example is the use of polyol resin as an RMA, which aids both workability and buildability, but inhibits hydration reactions and reduces density and strength. Workability is deemed the primary qualitative parameter and rheology the primary quantitative parameter for AAM due to the relative miniaturisation of the AM process and the need to reduce torque to minimise constituent segregation during material transportation.

The study concludes that a mortar with a workability-buildability balance suitable for AAM can be drawn-up and deposited in defined layers using a lightweight, single component deposition system without requiring supporting material.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.D., P.S. and R.J.B.; methodology, B.D., P.S. and R.J.B.; software, B.D., B.C., P.S. and R.J.B.; validation, B.D., B.C., P.S. and R.J.B.; formal analysis, B.D. and B.C.; investigation, B.D. and B.C.; resources, B.D., B.C., P.S. and R.J.B.; data curation, B.D.; writing—original draft preparation, B.D. and B.C.; writing—review and editing, B.D., P.S. and R.J.B.; visualization, B.D. and B.C.; supervision, P.S. and R.J.B.; project administration, B.D., P.S. and R.J.B.; funding acquisition, P.S. and R.J.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The Aerial Additive Manufacturing project was funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) [grant number EP/N018494/1]. This study was supported by the EPSRC Centre for Decarbonisation of the Built Environment (dCarb) [grant number EP/L016869/1] and a University of Bath Research Scholarship.

Institutional Review Board Statement: "Not applicable"—studies not involving humans or animals.

Data Availability Statement: All data supporting this paper is available from the University of Bath data archive at https://doi.org/10.15125/BATH-00435 (accessed on 5 January 2023).

Acknowledgments: The authors express thanks to the following: William Bazeley, Martin Naidu, Neil Price, David Surgenor, David Williams (Laboratory Personnel, University of Bath, UK). Shamsiah Awang Ngah (Research associate, University of Bath, UK). Yijie Mao (University of Bath, UK) for laboratory particle distribution tests. Hong Chang (Technical support, University of Exeter, UK). Manuel Nuno and British Sugar plc for the LimeX70. Sina Sareh, Ketao Zhang and Mirko Kovac (Imperial College London, UK) for initial design of the deposition device and video footage of UAV extrusion.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

- AAM Aerial Additive Manufacturing
- AM Additive Manufacturing
- CH Calcium Hydroxide
- cP Centipoise
- C-S-H Calcium Silicate Hydrate
- FDM Fused Deposition Modelling
- *G*^{*} Complex modulus
- *G'* Elastic modulus
- G" Viscous modulus
- mWh Milliwatt hours
- PFA Pulverised Fuel Ash (fly ash)
- RMA Rheological Modifying Admixture
- UAV Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
- δ Phase Angle

References

- Keating, S.J.; Leland, J.C.; Cai, L.; Oxman, N. Toward site-specific and self-sufficient robotic fabrication on architectural scales. *Sci. Robot.* 2017. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1126/scirobotics.aam8986.
- 2. Buswell, R.A.; Soar, R.; Gibb, A.G.; Thorpe, A. Freeform construction: Mega-scale rapid manufacturing for construction. *Autom. Constr.* 2007, *16*, 224–231.
- Kietzmann, J.; Pitt, L.; Berthon, P. Disruptions, decisions, and destinations: Enter the age of 3-D printing and additive manufacturing. *Bus. Horizons* 2015, 58, 209–215.
- 4. Kalsoom, U.; Nesterenko, P.N.; Paull, B. Recent developments in 3D printable composite materials. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 60355–60371.
- 5. Arora, S.K.; Foley, R.W.; Youtie, J.; Shapira, P.; Wiek, A. Drivers of technology adoption—the case of nanomaterials in building construction. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* **2014**, *87*, 232–244.
- 6. Wu, P.; Wang, J.; Wang, X. A critical review of the use of 3-D printing in the construction industry. Autom. Constr. 2016, 68, 21–31.
- Lim, S.; Buswell, R.A.; Le, T.T.; Austin, S.A.; Gibb, A.G.F.; Thorpe, T. Developments in construction-scale additive manufacturing processes. *Autom. Constr.* 2012, 21, 262–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2011.06.010.
- 8. Le, T.T.; Austin, S.A.; Lim, S.; Buswell, R.A.; Law, R.; Gibb, A.G.; Thorpe, T. Hardened properties of high-performance printing concrete. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2012, 42, 558–566.
- 9. Bos, F.; Wolfs, R.; Ahmed, Z.; Salet, T. Additive manufacturing of concrete in construction: Potentials and challenges of 3D concrete printing. *Virtual Phys. Prototyp.* **2016**, *11*, 209–225.
- 10. Zhang, J.; Khoshnevis, B. Optimal machine operation planning for construction by Contour Crafting. *Autom. Constr.* **2013**, 29, 50–67.
- 11. White, D.J.; Alhasan, A.A.; Vennapusa, P.K.R. In Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Autonomous and Robotic Construction of Infrastructure, Ames, IA, USA, 2–3 June 2015.
- 12. Zhang, K.; Chermprayong, P.; Xiao, F.; Tzoumanikas, D.; Dams, B.; Kay, S.; Kocer, B.B.; Burns, A.; Orr, L.; Choi, C.; et al. Aerial additive manufacturing with multiple autonomous robots. *Nature* **2022**, *609*, 709–717.
- Le, T.T.; Austin, S.A.; Lim, S.; Buswell, R.A.; Gibb, A.; Thorpe, T. Mix design and fresh properties for high-performance printing concrete. *Mater. Struct.* 2012, 45, 1221–1232. https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-012-9828-z.
- 14. Khoshnevis, B.; Hwang, D.; Yao, K.-T.; Yeh, Z. Mega-scale fabrication by contour crafting. *International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering*. **2006**, *1*, 301–320.
- 15. Labonnote, N.; Ronnquist, A.; Manum, B.; Ruther, P. Additive construction: State-of-the-art, challenges and opportunities. *Autom. Constr.* **2016**, *72*, 347–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2016.08.026.
- 16. Hambach, M.; Volkmer, D. Properties of 3D-printed fiber-reinforced Portland cement paste. Cem. Concr. Compos. 2017, 79, 62–70.
- 17. Nuh, M.; Oval, R.; Orr, J.; Shepherd, P. Digital fabrication of ribbed concrete shells using automated robotic concrete spraying. *Addit. Manuf.* **2022**, *59*, 103159.
- 18. Hunt, G.; Mitzalis, F.; Alhinai, T.; Hooper, P.A.; Kovac, M. 3D Printing with Flying Robots, In Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), Hong Kong, China, 31 May–7 June 2014; pp. 4493–4499.
- 19. Dams, B.; Sareh, S.; Zhang, K.; Shepherd, P.; Kovac, M.; Ball, R. Aerial additive building manufacturing : Three-dimensional printing of polymer structures using drones. *Constr. Mater.* **2017**, *173*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1680/jcoma.17.00013.
- 20. Feron, E.; Johnson, E.N. Aerial Robotics. In *Springer Handbook of Robotics*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2008; Volume F/44; pp. 1009–1029.
- 21. Drones Direct. The UK Drone Users Report; Drones Direct: Huddersfield, UK, 2017.
- 22. Dillow, C. Drones Take Off In the Construction Industry as a Cost-Saving Tool | Fortune.com, 2016.

- 23. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. *Commonly Used Statistics*; Occupational Safety and Health Administration: Washington, DC, USA, 2015.
- Nadhim, E.A.; Hon, C.; Xia, B.; Stewart, I.; Fang, D. Falls from height in the construction industry: A critical review of the scientific literature. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2016, 13, 638. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13070638.
- Fehling, E.; Leutbecher, T.; Bunje, K. Design relevant properties of hardened ultra high performance concrete. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Ultra High Performance Concrete, 2004, Kassel, Germany, 13–15 September 2004; Volme 1, pp. 327–338.
- Gosselin, C.; Duballet, R.; Roux, P.; Gaudillière, N.; Dirrenberger, J.; Morel, P. Large-scale 3D printing of ultra-high performance concrete–a new processing route for architects and builders. *Mater. Des.* 2016, 100, 102–109.
- Hack, N.; Wangler, T.; Mata-Falcón, J.; Dörfler, K.; Kumar, N.; Walzer, A.N.; Graser, K.; Reiter, L.; Richner, H.; Buchli, J.; et al. Mesh mould: An on site, robotically fabricated, functional formwork. In Proceedings of the Second Concrete Innovation Conference (2nd CIC), Tromso, Norway, 6–8 March 2017; number 19.
- Popescu, M.; Rippmann, M.; Liew, A.; Reiter, L.; Flatt, R.J.; Van Mele, T.; Block, P. Structural design, digital fabrication and construction of the cable-net and knitted formwork of the KnitCandela concrete shell. In *Structures*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; Volume 31, pp. 1287–1299.
- Wolfs, R.; Bos, F.; Salet, T. Early age mechanical behaviour of 3D printed concrete: Numerical modelling and experimental testing. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2018, 106, 103–116.
- Dams, B.; Wu, Y.; Shepherd, P.; Ball, R.J. Aerial Additive Building Manufacturing of 3D printed Cementitious Structures. In Proceedings of the 37th Cement and Concrete Science Conference UCL, London, UK, 11–12 September 2017; Paper number 055.
- 31. LimeX. LimeX Range, British Sugar plc.; LimeX: London, UK, 2017.
- Ali, M.; Abdullah, M.S.; Saad, S.A. Effect of calcium carbonate replacement on workability and mechanical strength of Portland cement concrete. In *Advanced Materials Research*; Trans Tech Publications Ltd.: Wollerau, Switzerland, 2015; Volume 1115, pp. 137–141.
- 33. Zheng, Y.; Chen, G.; Shan, H.; He, J.; Lei, J. Study on the synthesis and properties of benzoate modified with hydroxyl-terminated of the side chains of polycarboxylic superplasticizer. *J. Dispers. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, 1–8.
- 34. BS EN 1015-11:1999; Methods of Test for Mortar for Masonry—Part 11: Determination of Flexural and Compressive Strength of Hardened Mortar. BSI British Standards: London, UK, 1999.
- 35. Trovati, G.; Sanches, E.A.; Neto, S.C.; Mascarenhas, Y.P.; Chierice, G.O. Characterization of polyurethane resins by FTIR, TGA, and XRD. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2010, 115, 263–268.
- 36. Maji, P.K.; Das, N.K.; Bhowmick, A.K. Preparation and properties of polyurethane nanocomposites of novel architecture as advanced barrier materials. *Polymer* **2010**, *51*, 1100–1110.
- 37. Domone, P.; Illston, J. Construction Materials: Their Nature and Behavior; Spon Press: London, UK, 2010.
- Berenguer, R.; Lima, N.; Pinto, L.; Monteiro, E.; Povoas, Y.; Oliveira, R.; Lima, N. Cement-based materials: Pozzolanic activities of mineral additions are compromised by the presence of reactive oxides. *J. Build. Eng.* 2021, 41, 102358.
- 39. Serrapede, M.; Pesce, G.L.; Ball, R.J.; Denuault, G. Nanostructured Pd hydride microelectrodes: In situ monitoring of pH variations in a porous medium. *Anal. Chem.* **2014**, *86*, 5758–5765.
- 40. Sarkar, S.; Aimin, X.; Jana, D. *Scanning Electron Microscopy*, X-ray Microanalysis of concretes; William Andrew Publishing/Noyes Publications: Norwich, NY, USA, 2001; pp. 231–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-8155-1437-4.50010-2.
- Peschard, A.; Govin, A.; Grosseau, P.; Guilhot, B.; Guyonnet, R. Effect of polysaccharides on the hydration of cement paste at early ages. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2004, 34, 2153–2158.
- 42. Kochova, K.; Schollbach, K.; Gauvin, F.; Brouwers, H. Effect of saccharides on the hydration of ordinary Portland cement. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2017**, 150, 268–275.
- Weger, D.; Lowke, D.; Gehlen, C. 3D printing of concrete structures using the selective binding method—Effect of concrete technology on contour precision and compressive strength. In Proceedings of the 11th FIB International PhD Symposium in Civil Engineering, Tokyo, Japan, 29–31 August 2016, pp. 29–31.
- 44. Akid, A.S.M.; Hossain, S.; Munshi, M.I.U.; Elahi, M.M.A.; Sobuz, M.H.R.; Tam, V.W.; Islam, M.S. Assessing the influence of fly ash and polypropylene fiber on fresh, mechanical and durability properties of concrete. *J. King Saud-Univ.-Eng. Sci.* 2021, *in press.*
- 45. Liu, Y.; Wang, L.; Cao, K.; Sun, L. Review on the Durability of Polypropylene Fibre-Reinforced Concrete. *Adv. Civ. Eng.* **2021**, 2021, 6652077.
- Akers, S.; Studinka, J.; Meier, P.; Dobb, M.; Johnson, D.; Hikasa, J. Long term durability of PVA reinforcing fibres in a cement matrix. Int. J. Cem. Compos. Lightweight Concr. 1989, 11, 79–91.
- Veigas, M.G.; Najimi, M.; Shafei, B. Cementitious composites made with natural fibers: Investigation of uncoated and coated sisal fibers. *Case Stud. Constr. Mater.* 2022, 16, e00788.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.