Research on an Alpha Navigation Signal Detection Method Based on Multichannel Orthogonal Correlation
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Abstract: The Alpha navigation system is the only operating radio system based on very-low-frequency (VLF) signals that can be used to research VLF navigation, timing, and ionospheric characteristics. The detection of the Alpha navigation signal is the key step in the Alpha receiver; however, the received Alpha navigation signal is susceptible to noise and mutual interference, which deteriorates signal detection performance. This paper presents a multichannel orthogonal correlation method for Alpha navigation signal detection. Once the three frequency signals of the Alpha navigation system are obtained using a notch filter, station identification is realized using a multichannel orthogonal correlation method and signal format. The selection of key parameters and the detection performance under noise and mutual interference are analyzed. This method’s detection probability exceeds 90% when the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is greater than $-10 \text{ dB}$. The influence of mutual interference on the signal correlation peak is less than 1% when the signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) of the mutual interference is greater than $-28 \text{ dB}$. The proposed method is verified using an actual signal collected using an Alpha receiver. The results show that an Alpha signal can be detected at an extremely low SNR. This method has strong practicability and satisfies the application requirements of an Alpha receiver.
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1. Introduction

Very-low-frequency (VLF) radio signals have a frequency range of 3–30 kHz and propagate in the Earth–ionosphere waveguide cavity. They are widely used in navigation, timing, and ionospheric research owing to their long propagation distance, stable phase, and high permeability [1–3]. In the 1960s, the United States developed the Omega navigation system based on low-frequency radio signals. In 1982, the system achieved global coverage of navigation signals using eight stations that transmit signals at different frequencies [4–6]. However, with the widespread use of the Global Positioning System (GPS), which accelerated the process of updating navigation systems, the United States shut down the Omega navigation system in 1997 [7]. The Alpha navigation system is an Omega-like ultra-long-range navigation system that was developed by the Soviet Union and put into operation in 1970. Alpha is the only VLF-based navigation system still in operation internationally, and it uses five stations to provide navigation services to its coverage area [8–10].

The weaknesses of Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSSs) include weak signals, poor penetration ability, susceptibility to interference, poor anti-interference ability, and an inability to enter water. The sole reliance on a GNSS for navigation and timing carries serious risks [11–13]. However, VLF and satellite signals have significant differences in
operating frequency (low- and high-frequency), operating mode (land- and satellite-based), and signal strength (high- and low-level). In general, such signals do not lose their service capabilities when subjected to intentional or unintentional interference. Therefore, many countries are now researching VLF-based radio navigation and timing systems to reduce the risk of relying on GNSS [7,14]. The most representative is the Spatial, Temporal and Orientation Information in Contested Environments (STOIC) project released by the United States Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) on 12 June 2014, which aims to find a positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT) system for various military services that does not rely on a GPS but has the same level of accuracy as a GPS, where the signals used are VLF signals [15,16]. According to the test results published in 2019, the positioning accuracy after the correction of the propagation delay of the VLF signals can reach 40 m.

The Alpha navigation system is a good source of signals for research on VLF technology. Its signal transmission frequency ranges from 10 to 15 kHz, and the frequency reference of the system is generated by a highly stable cesium atomic clock [17]. Therefore, Alpha can not only be applied to navigation but also to research VLF timing technology, new VLF navigation technologies, solar activity monitoring, and signal propagation characteristics [5,17]. Related applications and research based on Alpha must accurately measure the field intensity and phase signal parameters of different stations with different frequencies using receiving equipment. Signal detection is the first step in the signal-receiving equipment of an Alpha navigation system. The purpose of this is to automatically detect the expected station signal and determine the starting position of the signal at different frequency points of the expected station. However, owing to the large number of stations in the Alpha navigation system and because each station broadcasts three different frequency signals, it is possible to simultaneously receive different frequency signals from multiple stations, which causes mutual interference with the expected stations and makes signal detection difficult. When detecting expected station signals, it is necessary to suppress the signals from other stations and reduce their impact on the detection of expected station signals. Simultaneously, noise is received at the same time during the receiving process of the Alpha signal, which affects the accuracy of the expected station detection and signal parameter measurement. In particular, when the signal is weak, noise and mutual interference must be suppressed to improve the quality of Alpha navigation signals.

Alpha positioning was realized using hyperbolic positioning technology after calculating the phase difference between the signals received from different stations. The phase difference was measured by detecting the indirect phase difference between the different frequency signals at each station and the reference frequency signals [5,18]. Phase difference measurements require a highly stable clock and a reference signal of the same order as that of the transmitted signal, which not only imposes high time synchronization requirements on the receiving equipment but also precludes automated phase difference detection. Currently, signal delay correlation and envelope detection methods exist for detecting pulse signals similar to Alpha navigation signals [19–21]. The signal delay correlation method was used to correlate the two sets of signals before and after obtaining the correlation results. This method requires a high SNR, and the multivalue of the correlation results can lead to large detection errors. The envelope detection method uses the Hilbert variation to obtain the signal envelope and then detects the station signals according to the order of the broadcasting signals. This method generates mutual interference between signal envelopes of different frequencies, which affects the detection performance. In the field of radio timing and navigation signal processing, noise suppression methods include classical bandpass filtering and modern filtering. The classical bandpass filter can either be a finite impulse response (FIR) filter or an infinite impulse response (IIR) filter. Modern filtering methods include wavelet filtering, empirical mode decomposition, kalman filtering, etc. [22,23]. Although the modern filtering method has the advantage of strong anti-noise ability, it is more complicated to implement on a hardware platform with high digital signal processing timing. The classical bandpass filter is simple to realize and can
fulfill the requirements of the receiver, so it is often used in engineering implementation. Therefore, this paper primarily considers the use of a bandpass filter to suppress the noise.

To address the detection problems of noise and mutual interference in Alpha navigation signal reception, a multichannel orthogonal correlation detection method is proposed in this study. Upon extracting the three frequency signals of all stations in Alpha, an orthogonal correlation was performed for each frequency signal to obtain the correlation peaks, and station identification was achieved according to the broadcasting order of the three frequency signals of the different stations in the Alpha system. In this study, the performance of the detection method was simulated under noise and mutual interference, and real signals were used to verify the method. The results show that the method not only has the advantage of strong mutual interference resistance but can also achieve the detection of Alpha navigation signals under the condition of a lower signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and meet the application requirements of the Alpha receiver.

2. Materials and Methods

In this section, we describe the basic situation of the Alpha navigation system and its signal broadcast formats. The realization process of the signal detection method based on multichannel orthogonal correlation is presented by combining the signal characteristics and frequency transmission sequence of the Alpha navigation system. The principle of the detection method is described in detail, and the key parameters that affect its effectiveness are discussed.

2.1. Signal Format for Alpha Navigation System

Currently, the Alpha navigation system is the only operational long-range land-based navigation system based on VLF signals [7]. The Alpha navigation system contains five stations, including one main station and four secondary stations, and the working area covers approximately 70% of the world. The transmitter power of each station is approximately 200–250 kW, and the antenna radiation power ranges from 50 to 80 kW [24,25]. The positioning accuracy of the system is in the range of 2–4 n mile. To solve the signal propagation delay correction problem, Russia established 31 Alpha signal propagation measurement stations in the operating area of the Alpha system, and the positioning accuracy can be improved to 200 m from 1000 m by using the propagation delay correction. The distribution of Alpha navigation system stations is shown in Figure 1 [26,27]. As can be observed from the distribution of stations, the system is a resource that China can use for research on VLF timing and navigation.

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of Alpha navigation system distribution.](image)

The five stations in the Alpha navigation system broadcast three frequency signals: $f_1$, $f_2$, and $f_3$. The stations are distinguished based on the transmission order of the three signals. Table 1 lists the transmission frequency and sequence of signals at each station.
in the system [5,9]. The time taken for each station to broadcast a signal frame was 3.6 s, and each frame consisted of six time periods with a length of 600 ms [7,17]. According to the signal broadcasting format designed by Alpha, although multiple signals of the same frequency will not be received at any location or time within the coverage range of the system, signals of different frequencies will be received simultaneously.

**Table 1.** Alpha navigation system broadcast signals and sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>The Transmission Sequence of a Frame Signal</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Master</td>
<td>( f_1 ) ( f_2 ) ( f_3 ) ( f_0 ) ( f_0 ) ( f_0 )</td>
<td>( f_1 = 11.904761 \text{ kHz} ) ( f_2 = 12.648809 \text{ kHz} ) ( f_3 = 14.880952 \text{ kHz} ) ( f_0 = \text{No broadcast} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western secondary</td>
<td>( f_3 ) ( 0 ) ( f_1 ) ( f_2 ) ( 0 ) ( 0 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern secondary</td>
<td>( 0 ) ( f_3 ) ( f_2 ) ( f_1 ) ( 0 ) ( 0 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern secondary</td>
<td>( f_2 ) ( 0 ) ( 0 ) ( 0 ) ( f_1 ) ( f_3 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern secondary</td>
<td>( 0 ) ( f_1 ) ( 0 ) ( 0 ) ( f_3 ) ( f_2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2. Multichannel Orthogonal Correlation Detection Method

The detection of the Alpha navigation signal is the first step in signal processing in the receiving equipment, with the purpose being to find the starting position of the expected station of the three frequency signals [28]. To accurately identify the three frequency signals of each station in an Alpha navigation system under the influence of noise and mutual interference, this paper presents a multichannel orthogonal correlation method by which the recognition and signal detection of Alpha navigation stations can be realized. Figure 2 illustrates the implementation process of the proposed method. The proposed method is realized through three processes: digital filtering, orthogonal correlation, and station identification.

#### 2.2.1. Signal Digital Filtering

Before signal detection, the received signal must be denoised, and three frequency signals must be extracted. Digital signal filtering entails two steps. First, a digital bandpass

![Figure 2. Implementation process of detection method.](image-url)
filter is used to attenuate interference and the out-of-band noise to improve the signal
detection probability. The bandpass filter uses an FIR filter. The bandwidth of the filter was
10–15 kHz, and the order was 256-order Hamming. The out-of-band signal suppression
ability of the filter exceeded 40 dB. Subsequently, three frequency signals, denoted \( f_1, f_2, \) and \( f_3 \), were extracted using three frequency notch filters. The notch filter uses a second-
order IIR filter [29,30]. We used the error signals of the three notch filters as the three
extracted frequency signals. The three frequency signals were obtained after processing
using three notch filters, which reduced the interaction of the frequency signals between
different stations. The transfer function of the second-order IIR notch filter is as follows [31]:

\[
H(z) = \frac{1 + \alpha - 2\beta z^{-1} + z^{-2}}{1 - (1 + \alpha)\beta z^{-1} + \alpha z^{-2}},
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the bandwidth control parameter of the notch filter; \( \beta \) is the frequency control
parameter of the notch filter. The two parameters are designed as

\[
\beta = \cos(\omega),
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{\text{SNR}^{-1} - 1} - \sqrt{1 - \text{SNR}^{-1}} \tan(\Delta\omega/2)}{\sqrt{\text{SNR}^{-1} + 1} - \sqrt{1 - \text{SNR}^{-1}} \tan(\Delta\omega/2)},
\]

where \( \omega \) signifies the angular frequency of the notch signal; \( \Delta\omega \) denotes the bandwidth of
the trap; and \( \text{SNR} \) indicates the SNR of the notch signal. Equations (2) and (3) show that
the corresponding notch filter can be designed based on the frequency of the three signals
of the Alpha navigation signal.

The signal processed by the notch filter is expressed as

\[
s_i(t) = A_i \sin(2\pi f_i t) + n_i(t),
\]

where \( i \) represents the three frequency signals of \( f_1, f_2, \) and \( f_3 \); \( f_i \) denotes the signal
frequency; \( A_i \) is the signal amplitude; and \( n_i \) indicates the noise in the signal after the
notch filter.

2.2.2. Multichannel Orthogonal Correlation

After obtaining the three frequency signals via notching, an orthogonal correlation
method was used to obtain the correlation peaks of the three frequency signals. The
correlation method not only obtains high-gain correlation peaks but also further suppresses
noise and other frequency signals left by the notch. We performed a sliding correlation
operation of length \( T \) between \( s_i(t) \) and the orthogonal carrier signals at frequency \( f_i \). The
two correlation results can be expressed as follows [32,33]:

\[
R_{i\text{I}}(t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} s(\tau) \cos(2\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau = \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} [A_i \sin(2\pi f_i t) + n_i(t)] \cos(2\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau,
\]

\[
R_{i\text{Q}}(t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} s(\tau) \sin(2\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau = \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} [A_i \sin(2\pi f_i t) + n_i(t)] \sin(2\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau,
\]

where \( T \) denotes the correlation length, and \( 2\pi f_i t \) indicates the phase difference between
the Alpha navigation signal and the local orthogonal carrier signal of the same frequency.

Owing to the strong correlation between the orthogonal carrier signal and the re-
ceived signal as well as the weak correlation between the orthogonal carrier signal and
the noise, the received signal can be enhanced. However, the noise is not completely inde-
pendent of the orthogonal carrier signal. Therefore, residual noise exists after correlation.
Equations (5) and (6) can be expressed as follows:
\[ R_{i,l}(t) = \frac{A_i}{2\pi} \int_{t}^{t+T} \left[ \sin(4\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) - \sin(2\pi f_i t) \right] d\tau + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t}^{t+T} n_i(t) \cos(2\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau \]
\[ = -\frac{A_i \sin(2\pi f_i t)}{2\pi} + \frac{A_i}{2\pi} \int_{t}^{t+T} \sin(4\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau + n_{i,l}(t), \]  
(7)

\[ R_{i,Q}(t) = \frac{A_i}{2\pi} \int_{t}^{t+T} \left[ \sin(2\pi f_i t) - \cos(4\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) \right] d\tau + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t}^{t+T} n_i(t) \sin(2\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau \]
\[ = -\frac{A_i \cos(2\pi f_i t)}{2\pi} - \frac{A_i}{2\pi} \int_{t}^{t+T} \cos(4\pi f_i \tau + 2\pi f_i t) d\tau + n_{i,Q}(t), \]  
(8)

where \( n_{i,l}(t) \) and \( n_{i,Q}(t) \) represent the residual noises after the correlation. The result of the second term in the formula is so small that it can be disregarded \([34]\). Thus, Equations (7) and (8) can be expressed as follows:

\[ R_{i,l}(t) = -\frac{A_i \sin(2\pi f_i t)}{T} + n_{i,l}(t), \]  
(9)

\[ R_{i,Q}(t) = \frac{A_i \cos(2\pi f_i t)}{T} + n_{i,Q}(t). \]  
(10)

To eliminate the phase difference \(2\pi f_i t\), the operations in Equation (11) are performed on Equations (9) and (10). Thus, we can obtain the orthogonal correlation results:

\[ R_i(t) = \sqrt{\left[ R_{i,l}(t) \right]^2 + \left[ R_{i,Q}(t) \right]^2} = \frac{A_i}{2} + N_i(t). \]  
(11)

where \( N_i(t) \) represents the residual noise after orthogonal correlation.

As observed in the orthogonal correlation process, the correlation length \( T \) is a critical parameter that can be used to obtain an ideal correlation peak. We obtained six correlation peaks through simulation with \( T \) values of 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 \( \mu s \). Figure 3 presents the correlation results.

![Figure 3. Correlation peaks at different correlation lengths.](image)

As illustrated in Figure 3, with an increase in the correlation length \( T \), the amplitude of the correlation peaks not only increases but also becomes sharper, which can improve the sensitivity of detection. However, a longer correlation length \( T \) leads to a wider correlation peak, resulting in a correlation peak overlap between the two stations with the same frequency signal and the detection of the signal not being used. When the correlation length \( T \) is too short, the correlation gain decreases. Therefore, we comprehensively consider selecting a correlation length of \( T = 150 \) ms to ensure the gain of the correlation peaks and prevent overlap between them. This is beneficial to improve the detection sensitivity of the Alpha navigation signal.

We performed a sliding correlation of 150 ms between the three frequency signals \((f_1, f_2, \text{ and } f_3)\) outputted by the notch filter and the corresponding orthogonal carrier signals, which resulted in three correlation results: \( R_1(t), R_2(t), \text{ and } R_3(t) \).
2.2.3. Signal Detection and Station Identification

Station identification detects the expected stations based on the different transmission sequences of $f_1$, $f_2$, and $f_3$, which are the frequency signals of the five stations of the Alpha navigation system. The specific goal was to detect the correlation peak by comparing the correlation results, namely $R_1(t)$, $R_2(t)$, and $R_3(t)$, of the three frequency signals with their corresponding thresholds. Figure 4 illustrates the station identification process.

![Flow chart of Alpha navigation station identification](image)

The conditions for the successful detection of the five stations in the Alpha navigation system are described below.

The condition for the master station signal to be detected successfully.

When the correlation results of the $f_1$ frequency signal are all greater than the threshold $T_1$ in the time period $t + 10$ ms to $t + 480$ ms, all of the correlation results of the $f_2$ frequency signal are greater than the threshold $T_2$ in the time period $t + 610$ ms to $t + 1080$ ms, and all of the correlation results of the $f_3$ frequency signal are greater than the threshold $T_3$ in the time period $t + 1210$ ms to $t + 1680$ ms; therefore, we confirm that the main station detection is successful. The threshold calculations for the three frequency signals of the main station are as follows:

$$T_1 = \frac{R_1(t) + R_1(t + 490 \text{ ms})}{2},$$

$$T_2 = \frac{R_2(t + 600 \text{ ms}) + R_2(t + 1090 \text{ ms})}{2},$$

$$T_3 = \frac{R_3(t + 1200 \text{ ms}) + R_3(t + 1690 \text{ ms})}{2}.$$  

The condition for the western secondary station signal to be detected successfully.

When the correlation results of the $f_1$ frequency signal are all greater than the threshold $T_1$ in the time period $t + 10$ ms to $t + 480$ ms, all of the correlation results of the $f_2$ frequency signal are greater than the threshold $T_2$ in the time period $t + 610$ ms to $t + 1080$ ms, and all of the correlation results of the $f_3$ frequency signal are greater than the threshold $T_3$ in the time period $t + 2410$ ms to $t + 2880$ ms; therefore, we confirm that the western secondary station detection is successful. The threshold calculations for the three frequency signals of the western secondary station are as follows:

$$T_1 = \frac{R_1(t) + R_1(t + 490 \text{ ms})}{2},$$

$$T_2 = \frac{R_2(t + 600 \text{ ms}) + R_2(t + 1090 \text{ ms})}{2},$$

$$T_3 = \frac{R_3(t + 2400 \text{ ms}) + R_3(t + 2890 \text{ ms})}{2}.$$  

The condition for the eastern secondary station signal to be detected successfully.

When the correlation results of the $f_1$ frequency signal are all greater than the threshold $T_1$ in the time period $t + 10$ ms to $t + 480$ ms, all of the correlation results of the $f_2$ frequency signal are greater than the threshold $T_2$ in the time period $t + 3010$ ms to $t + 3480$ ms, and all of the correlation results of the $f_3$ frequency signal are greater than the threshold $T_3$ in the time period $t + 2410$ ms to $t + 2880$ ms; therefore, we confirm that the eastern
secondary station detection is successful. The threshold calculations for the three frequency signals of the eastern secondary station are as follows:

\[
T_1 = \frac{R_1(t) + R_1(t + 490 \text{ ms})}{2}, \quad (18)
\]
\[
T_2 = \frac{R_2(t + 3000 \text{ ms}) + R_2(t + 3490 \text{ ms})}{2}, \quad (19)
\]
\[
T_3 = \frac{R_3(t + 2400 \text{ ms}) + R_3(t + 2890 \text{ ms})}{2}. \quad (20)
\]

The condition for the northern secondary station signal to be detected successfully. When the correlation results of the \(f_1\) frequency signal are all greater than the threshold \(T_1\) in the time period \(t + 10 \text{ ms}\) to \(t + 480 \text{ ms}\), all of the correlation results of the \(f_2\) frequency signal are greater than the threshold \(T_2\) in the time period \(t + 1210 \text{ ms}\) to \(t + 1680 \text{ ms}\), and all of the correlation results of the \(f_3\) frequency signal are greater than the threshold \(T_3\) in the time period \(t + 610 \text{ ms}\) to \(t + 1080 \text{ ms}\); therefore, we confirm that the northern secondary station detection is successful. The threshold calculations for the three frequency signals of the northern secondary station are as follows:

\[
T_1 = \frac{R_1(t) + R_1(t + 490 \text{ ms})}{2}, \quad (21)
\]
\[
T_2 = \frac{R_2(t + 1200 \text{ ms}) + R_2(t + 1690 \text{ ms})}{2}, \quad (22)
\]
\[
T_3 = \frac{R_3(t + 600 \text{ ms}) + R_3(t + 1090 \text{ ms})}{2}. \quad (23)
\]

The condition for the southern secondary station signal to be detected successfully. When the correlation results of the \(f_1\) frequency signal are all greater than the threshold \(T_1\) in the time period \(t + 10 \text{ ms}\) to \(t + 480 \text{ ms}\), all of the correlation results of the \(f_2\) frequency signal are greater than the threshold \(T_2\) in the time period \(t + 2410 \text{ ms}\) to \(t + 2880 \text{ ms}\), and all of the correlation results of the \(f_3\) frequency signal are greater than the threshold \(T_3\) in the time period \(t + 1810 \text{ ms}\) to \(t + 2280 \text{ ms}\); therefore, we confirm that the southern secondary station detection is successful. The threshold calculations for the three frequency signals of the southern secondary station are as follows:

\[
T_1 = \frac{R_1(t) + R_1(t + 490 \text{ ms})}{2}, \quad (24)
\]
\[
T_2 = \frac{R_2(t + 2400 \text{ ms}) + R_2(t + 2890 \text{ ms})}{2}, \quad (25)
\]
\[
T_3 = \frac{R_3(t + 1800 \text{ ms}) + R_3(t + 2290 \text{ ms})}{2}. \quad (26)
\]

3. Results

In this section, we analyze the effectiveness and performance of the proposed detection method using simulations. We verify the detection method using an actual Alpha signal.

3.1. Method Validation

We verified the rationality and validity of the detection method through a simulation. The simulation signal included the master station and the western secondary station. The SNR of the master station is \(-5 \text{ dB}\), and the signal amplitude ratio of the master station to that of the west secondary is \(-9.5 \text{ dB}\). The proposed method was used to detect signals from the master station. Figure 5 shows the generated Alpha navigation signal waveform and spectrum diagram.
3. Results

In this section, we analyze the effectiveness and performance of the proposed detection method using simulations. We verify the rationality and validity of the detection method through signal extraction by the orthogonal correlation method. Since the signal extracted by the orthogonal correlation is not continuous, we used three notch filters to distinguish. Hence, we used three notch filters to extract the three frequency signals, and we used an orthogonal correlation to detect the master station signal.

Figure 5 shows that although the time-domain waveform has been completely submerged in the noise, the spectrogram clearly shows the transmission signal of the two stations in different time periods. Subsequently, bandpass filtering was performed on the waveform and notch to extract the three frequency signals.

Figure 6 shows that the signal is more pronounced after applying the bandpass filter and that the noise is well suppressed. However, because the signal from the secondary station is relatively strong, the expected signal from the master station remains difficult to distinguish. Hence, we used three notch filters to extract the three frequency signals, and we used an orthogonal correlation to detect the master station signal.

Figure 7 shows the signals extracted by the notch filters and the correlation results. The three frequency signals were separated by notch filters, and obvious correlation peaks were obtained via the orthogonal correlation method. Since the signal extracted by the notch filter is a continuous wave signal of three frequencies, multiple values will exist in the detection, resulting in increasing detection errors. In addition to not aliasing, the orthogonal correlation of different frequency signals has a unique correlation peak. According to the threshold calculation method and the detection conditions of the master station described in Section 2.2.3, the positions of the three frequency signals of the master station can be accurately detected, thereby proving the effectiveness of the proposed method.
Figure 7 shows the signals extracted by the notch filters and the correlation results. The three frequency signals were separated by notch filters, and obvious correlation peaks were obtained via the orthogonal correlation method. Since the signal extracted by the notch filter is a continuous wave signal of three frequencies, multiple values will exist in the detection, resulting in increasing detection errors. In addition to not aliasing, the orthogonal correlation of different frequency signals has a unique correlation peak. According to the threshold calculation method and the detection conditions of the master station described in Section 2.2.3, the positions of the three frequency signals of the master station can be accurately detected, thereby proving the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Figure 7. Notch filter results and signal detection results. (a) $f_1$ signal obtained via notch filter. (b) $f_1$ signal detection results. (c) $f_2$ signal obtained via notch filter. (d) $f_2$ signal detection results. (e) $f_3$ signal obtained via notch filter. (f) $f_3$ signal detection results.

3.2. Anti-Noise Performance

The received Alpha navigation signal in space will carry noise, which will reduce the SNR of the signal, thus affecting signal detection. In general, Alpha receivers are required to be able to detect signals with an SNR greater than $-10$ dB. Therefore, we generate signals with $-30$ dB < SNR < 0 dB to obtain the detection probability of the detection method under different SNRs.

Figure 8 shows the signal detection probability under different SNRs. When the SNR is greater than $-7$ dB, the detection probability is 100%. Although the performance of the detection method decreases faster when the SNR is greater than $-10$ dB, the detection probability of the signal is exceeds 90%. Therefore, it is proven that the proposed method has good anti-noise performance. The proposed detection method can fulfill the requirement of Alpha navigation signal detection by receiver.
Mutual interference is the simultaneous reception of signals of different frequencies from different stations in an Alpha navigation system that interfere with the detection of the expected signal. When the expected detection signal and the mutual interference signal are received simultaneously, the mutual interference signal affects the waveform of the expected signal, which affects the amplitudes of the correlation peaks. According to the signal transmission time sequence of each station of the Alpha navigation system presented in Table 1, at most, three different frequency signals can be received simultaneously. Hence, when detecting the expected station, one or two mutual interference signals are received simultaneously. To comprehensively evaluate the detection ability of the proposed method under mutual interference, we analyzed the impact of one or two mutual interferences on the amplitude of the expected signal correlation peak. The range of the signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) between the expected signal and the interference signal is −28 dB to 10 dB.

Figure 9a shows the proportion of the correlated peak amplitude errors caused by any pairwise comparison of $f_1$, $f_2$, and $f_3$. Figure 9b shows the proportions of the correlated peak amplitude errors caused by the $f_2$ and $f_3$ frequency signals to the $f_1$ frequency signal. The proportion of the correlation peak errors caused by interference among the three frequencies is less than 0.8%. The ratio of $f_1$ correlation peak errors caused by both $f_2$ and $f_3$ is less than 0.6%. Generally, when the SIR exceeds −28 dB, the influence of mutual interference on the correlation results of the expected signal is less than 1% by using the detection method proposed in this paper. Therefore, it is shown that the proposed detection method can suppress mutual interference and reduce the influence of mutual interference on the correlation peak of the expected signal.

![Detection Probabilities](image)

**Figure 8.** Detection probabilities under different SNR values.

3.3. The Effect of Mutual Interference

![Effect of Mutual Interference](image)

**Figure 9.** The proportion of the influence of mutual interference on the correlation peak of the expected station. (a) The amplitude error of a mutual interference condition. (b) The amplitude error of two mutual interference conditions.
3.4. Experimental Verification

We tested the detection method by receiving an Alpha navigation signal in space. Figure 10 shows the testing scheme. A magnetic antenna was used to receive the Alpha signal in space, and the radio frequency (RF) signal was amplified using the Alpha receiver. We used a high-speed data collection board to obtain the Alpha navigation signal received by the antenna. The detection method proposed in this study was used to process the collected Alpha digital signal.

![Testing Scheme](image)

**Figure 10.** The testing scheme of the proposed detection method.

Since the Alpha navigation system was not transmitting signals at the time, we used the Alpha navigation signal collected in February 2019. The test site is located in Xi’an City, and its coordinates are (034°15′ N, 108°54′ E). The great circle distance of the test site from the eastern secondary station is 6640 km. Figure 11 shows the signal waveforms and spectrograms at the test site. The waveform diagram shows that the received signal is not only weak but also has many continuous wave and pulse interferences. Although the spectrogram can slightly distinguish the existence of an Alpha navigation signal, the signal is weak, meaning that the signal belongs to an extremely low SNR signal.

![Signal Waveform and Spectrogram](image)

**Figure 11.** Signal collected at test site. (a) Signal waveform. (b) Signal spectrogram.

We used the proposed detection method to process the collected signals. Figure 12 shows the three frequency signals obtained after the notch and their correlation peaks. In Figure 12a, it can be observed that the notch filter can easily extract the three obvious frequency signals. In Figure 12b–d, the correlation peaks of the three frequency signals are sharp and obvious after orthogonal correlation. We used the threshold calculation method and the station sentence condition in Section 2.2.3 to detect the correlation peaks’ positions for the three frequency signals. The detection results show that the three correlation peaks adhere to the transmission order of the eastern secondary station signal, showing that the eastern secondary station detection is successful. In this section, the proposed method is verified by using real signals, and it is proven that the method proposed in this paper can detect extremely weak signals.
Figure 12. Notch filter and signal detection results of received signal. (a) Three frequency signals signal obtained via notch filter. (b) $f_1$ signal detection results. (c) $f_2$ signal detection results. (d) $f_3$ signal detection results.

4. Discussion

The multichannel orthogonal correlation detection method proposed in this paper aims to tackle the problem of multi-station multi-frequency signal detection. Through simulation and actual measurement, it was shown that the proposed method can satisfy the detection of the Alpha navigation signal. The proposed method can be easily implemented on a field-programmable gate array (FPGA) digital chip, which changes the problem of signal detection and timing synchronization in an analog circuit of an Alpha navigation receiver. This method not only satisfies the requirement of alpha navigation signal detection, but also satisfies the strict requirement of timing in navigation and timing applications after it is implemented on an FPGA chip. Although this method is practical, the following two points can be further explored:

(1) To pursue the practicability of the method, a classical bandpass filter was adopted in this study to suppress the noise. In future work, we can study the performance of the detection method using modern filtering techniques such as empirical mode decomposition and wavelet filtering. We believe that the application of these techniques will not only improve the anti-noise performance of the proposed method, but also make the phase measurement of the alpha navigation signal more accurate.

(2) Although the detection method is proposed for the transmission characteristics of Alpha navigation signals, the three frequency signals of each station extracted by the notch filtering in the method can be used for the detection of signal parameters, and they meet the phase difference measurement of digital signals in the Alpha positioning receiver. Therefore, the detection method will be applied in research on signal parameter measurement and the positioning method of an Alpha receiver in the future.
5. Conclusions

When GNSS signals are rejected, VLF radio navigation and timing can be used as a supplement and backup. Since the Alpha navigation system is the only navigation system based on VLF signals worldwide, it can provide a good signal source for VLF timing and navigation research. A multichannel orthogonal correlation method for Alpha navigation signal detection was proposed to solve the problems of noise and mutual interference in Alpha navigation signal detection. We verified the practicality of the proposed detection method and analyzed the detection performance of the method under different noise and mutual interference conditions. The results showed that the probability of successful signal detection reached more than 90% when the SNR was greater than −10 dB. The influence of mutual interference on the correlation peak of the expected signal was less than 1% when the SIR exceeded −28 dB. Hence, the proposed Alpha navigation signal detection method exhibited good performance. Finally, we designed experiments to verify the detection method using actual signals. The measured signal is the signal of the eastern secondary station, which is 6600 km from the receiving point. The quality of the signal is also very poor, and we cannot distinguish the signal through the spectrum diagram. However, after processing using the proposed method, the detection of the eastern secondary station could be easily realized.

In addition to having good performance, the proposed detection method has a high application value and can be easily implemented on the hardware platform. It can fulfill the requirements of signal detection at the receiving end of navigation, timing, ionospheric research, etc. In the future, the performance of the detection method will be further improved through more advanced noise reduction processing.
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