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Abstract: Krystyna Miłobędzka (born 1932) is one of the most interesting and unique phenomena of the Polish poetry scene of the 20th and 21st centuries. Two characteristics of her poetry, the visual character of her many poems and her preoccupation with the concept of the garden-world, are worth a closer look. Miłobędzka’s poetry refers to the topoi of the garden-world in single poems and cycles of poetic texts. Her hortus mirabilis, inserts itself into the sphere of the metaphysical reflection of nature, giving Miłobędzka’s poetry a specific dynamic in which the “I”—the gardener—has a significant role as an observer, and as a creator of entities. The activity of looking, which happens, in fact, in all types of verbs and aspects, is in this specific sphere (look, watch, see), fundamental to defining oneself in the world and the world’s relationship to oneself. In this perspective, the image of the garden from childhood, is confronted by a necessary new visualization. The temporal aspect of the garden is at the center of existence, in the cyclical return of nature’s laws of rebirth and death, which are relevant to the personal, singular perspective of the end in many of Miłobędzka’s volumes. In Anaglify (Anaglyphs), some poems particularly fit the issue of visuality in poetry, not only at the conceptual level, the place granted to observation, the poet-particular observer, but the poem itself. They are conceived as graphic and pictorial realizations. Poems from the volume dwanaść wierszy w kolorze (twelve poems in color) or wszystkowiersze (omnipoems) are special cases of these. The selected words are conceived in color, and their arrangement on the space of the page has meaning. The parallel between looking and writing, which Miłobędzka consistently uses in her writing method and poetically admits, is also very important. Although her poetic diction alludes to historical avant-garde and linguistic poetry achievements, her lyrical savoir-faire is characterized by a certain new minimalist construction and a separate, recognizable style. Miłobędzka’s innovativeness lies in combining seemingly distant and sometimes poetically opposite categories: full, ambiguous image-in-poem and asceticism by means of expression, such as a minimal number of words. Her poetry is deeply rooted in perceiving, seeing, watching, and contemplating the world—faithful to its physicality but also open to the most essential questions of philosophy asking about existence and its limits. This new visibility of elements is reflected in authentic poetic delight and in the “visualizing” form, where the poem also becomes an image on the plane of a sheet of paper or becoming one side of the house wall as a mural poem.
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1. Introduction

Krystyna Miłobędzka was born in Margonin in 1932 and is one of the most interesting and unique phenomena of the Polish poetry scene of the 20th and 21st centuries. Although her debut in 1960 with the volume Anaglyphs (Miłobędzka [1960] 2019) places her near the generation of “Współczesność”2, the period of fascination with her work falls toward the end of the 20th and the beginning of the 21st centuries.

The author currently lives in Puszczykowo near Poznań, Poland. She remains an inspiration for many Polish poets from different movements despite not identifying with...
any fixed poetic group (Borowiec 2012). Krystyna Miłobędzka’s published volumes following her first *Anaglyphs* are still consistently rich in meaning and formal, inseparable linguistic solutions, appropriate and recognizable to her style. It is also worth recalling that Miłobędzka, who holds a doctorate in literary studies and is a theatre scholar, also worked as a theatre director, pedagogue, and lecturer in theatre classes at Adam Mickiewicz University. She is also the author and co-author of scripts, rehearsals, written dialogues and published letters. Miłobędzka’s work has also received numerous awards.

Much has been written about Miłobędzka’s poetry, emphasizing the character of her poems, such as their minimalism of form and consolidation (Nyczek 2008, pp. 173–77; Żurek [2017] 2022), wordiness (Maliszewski 2020, pp. 74–75), a strong childlike imagination (Orska 2018, pp. 255–85), predilection for concreteness (Kałuża 2008, pp. 199–215), incomprehensibility, avant-garde, and linguistic inspirations (Bogalecki 2011; Grądziel-Wójcik 2008, pp. 21–34), diversity of her poetical world (Borowiec 2012) or her references to the poetry of Tymoteusz Karpowicz (Górniak-Prasnal 2017, pp. 253–69) and Miron Białoszewski (Suszek 2020).

There is now also a group of critics who try to read Krystyna Miłobędzka’s poetry in the context of the discourse of ecocriticism or interpret it with the tools appropriate for reading ecopoetry (Lekowska 2020; Jarzyna 2017; Skurtys 2017). This is entirely possible: in her attentiveness to nature, Miłobędzka becomes one of the pioneers of such a poetical world view. I also think that it is possible to outline many, compatible common parts between recent works in the field of reading ecopoetry and ecocriticism (Fiedorczuk and Beltrán 2020; Koza 2020; Małecki and Woźniak 2020) and Krystyna Miłobędzka’s poetry. However, I find the best definition (in fact, rather a kind of “non-definition”) in the fragment by Julia Fiedorczuk:

> I talk about the “ecological turn”, trying to avoid the terms “ecological poetry” or “ecopoetry”. These terms do function, of course, but mostly they involve attempts at some new genre or subgenre of “ecological” poetry. I am skeptical of such attempts for two reasons. First, more than human, nature has always been one of the most important subjects of poetry, and it is indeed difficult to imagine a poem that does not in any way refer to the natural world or shed light on the question of the relationship between humans and the environment. Thus, there is a risk that “ecopoetry” is just a label, artificially generated to describe phenomena that have always existed. Secondly, attempts to describe “ecopoetry” as a new kind of poetry are often prescriptive, in other words, they propose a list of criteria that a “correct” ecological poem should meet (consequently, the others would have to be considered “non-ecological”). This approach seems uninteresting also because its proponents often lose sight of the literary qualities of the text, focusing almost on the content (Fiedorczuk 2019, pp. 14–15).

In other words, I think that Krystyna Miłobędzka’s poetry escapes clear-cut categorizations, even the most fashionable and handy ones, even if they are “eco”. In thinking about the world-garden, language-poetry, inspiration and creation, this poetry retains a separate, inherent, thoroughly original character.

That is why the hortus mirabilis motif discussed here, goes beyond the obvious conundrum, reaching out to poetic texts other than the important but already analyzed and repeatedly cited by critics.6 Also because “spoken” here is primarily associated with what is “seen”, “articulated” with what is “made visible”, and “lost” with “found”. In such a lecture the very known (and widely interpreted) poem *drzewo jak drzewo* (the three as the three) becomes a kind of primary symbol of hortus mirabilis—mirabilis and lost Eden but it also concretely guides our gaze vertically along the tree trunk upward toward the unreachable the tree crown:

---

6. For more on this motif, see Fiedorczuk (2020).
Krystyna Miłobędzka is still not known enough outside of Poland “because of its rootedness in the peculiarities of the Polish language” (Wójcik-Leese 2006, p. 44) although some of her poems have been translated into English. Two characteristics of her poetry, hitherto not assembled by scholars, are worth a closer look today, especially in poem examples that combine both simultaneously. The first characteristic relates to the visual character of her many poems, and the second is her preoccupation with the world garden, which she perceived as a kind of “fair of wonders” (Szymborska 1986, p. 42). Miłobędzka’s poetry, which can be boldly described as modern and avant-garde, refers to the topoi of the garden-world in single poems and cycles of poetic texts. This important cultural trope stems from the primordial role of the poet-gardener because, as we read in one of Mateusz Salwa’s many works devoted to gardens, entitled Antyogród w ogrodzie (Antigarden in the Garden):

The garden is one of the more enduring topoi present in Western culture. At the same time, it must be remembered that it is a topoï in a double sense of the word. For the garden is as much a real place as it is a figure of thought. These two orders cannot be separated, as every real garden, i.e. a separate space where plants are cultivated for utilitarian or aesthetic purposes, is in its own way the realization of an imaginary garden (Salwa 2020, p.112).

However, with hortus mirabilis, regardless of the history and theory of the garden, the current concept and the practice of implementation of the times stimulates the imagination of the creator. The meaning of the word “mirabilis”—also changes over the centuries. The signification can be understood as:—marvelous, miraculous, wonderful, supernatural, extraordinary. Some examples include the hanging gardens of Semiramis (Figure 1), the Garden of Eden imagined in the form of a painting by the Upper Rhenish Master (Figure 2) or a map (Figure 3), or even the image of a man who is a garden himself by Arcimboldo (Figure 4).

Between the literary gardens of past centuries, we find additional examples from Garden of Epigrams by Waclaw Potocki (Potocki [1648] 1907) and Tytus Czyżewski’s The Mechanical Garden (Czyżewski [1920] 1922, p. 24) (Figure 5).

---


The history of gardens (Majdecki 1972; Szafranska 1998) and literary research on the vision of the literary gardens (Rymkiewicz 1968), there is a special place in the imagination, meeting with what is seen by the poet. The meeting space for these gardens is as varied as they are. This is also partly because of a lack of a clear concept and definition in the description of gardens history, and thus of specific interpretation tools. As Jan Birksted writes: “Garden history, unlike the history of painting, sculpture, and architecture, has no conceptual foundations. It lacks the elements of scholarly and critical consensus: a conventional set of interpretive methods, agreed-upon leading terms, ruling metaphors, and descriptive protocols.” (Birksted 2003, pp. 4–5).

However, the concept of hortus mirabilis stimulating the imaginary and scientific approach is still interesting for the authors of literary works and scholars of different branches of sciences, as we can observe it in the recent publications. The fascination in the peculiar is because poetic garden has also been well described in an extremely interesting work by Dimitrij Sergeevič Lihačev (Lihačev 1991).

Similarly, Miłobędzka’s poetry, even if some scholars have already written about the presence of plants in her poetry (Górniak-Prasnal and Kuchowicz 2015, pp. 117–26; Lekowska 2020, pp. 262–71), has an as-yet-undefined world, a rediscovered hortus mirabilis. It spreads its secrets before the onlookers, amazing them and conveying a certain knowledge. Its image is meticulously created in the individual poems, which comprise a specific personal poetic “herbarium”. Thus, we find in this poetry in the space of the various specific volumes: seeds, leaves, flowers, trees and branches, their general symbolism, and species names. The most important thing, however, is beyond the botanical description—activated in the image are new meanings conveyed through the medium of the poem. First, careful observation of the world is made with a poetic eye (Figures 6 and 7):

2. Observation

Entering the marvelous garden first involves, in Miłobędzka’s case, a very insightful view of oneself and the world in which this poetic “I” finds itself. It also marks an act of courage to step outside one’s own comfort zone. The boundary-gate-wicket to the garden is an attempt to understand what is different from the “I”, which is necessary to define the “I” itself. While encountering the world and the garden, crossing a hitherto impassable boundary is important, which may be the very ritual of sensual, visual initiation. Finally, it turns out that it is the world garden that invites itself and enters the thresholds of our private existence, discovering in ourselves the space where the viewed elements exist “from within” (Foucault and Miskowiec 1986, pp. 22–27):
Draw two circles on the steam-coated glass, adding ears, whiskers and a tail. In this way, a cat is created. One can also draw a spruce, a flower or a person.

As long as the temperature difference on both sides of the glass remains—humans, animals and plants exist in a way that is not—doubt. They exist from outside and from within.

It is brought into being by very careful looking, or, in Miłobędzka’s case, by its constant “drawing”, here on a pane of glass as on a sheet of paper, and in some works, in the very space of the poem by its graphic location.

The verb “patrzę”, like the neologism “jestnienie”, balances between “jest” (is) and “nie” (there is not), indicating the paradox of being enchanted and dazzled by the world as a kind of compliment, sending us back to the reflection of an image, in the flash of an eye, in a pane of glass, or water, as in this poem by Miłobędzka:

Co ja robię, patrzę w jest
w to samo jest mnie i to samo jest wody
w to ośnienie
to jestnienie
jest nie nie

które scalam
które skracam do istnienia
(Miłobędzka [2000] 2020b, p. 233)

What I do, I look in is
into this same is me and this same is the water
into this dazzle
it’s a beknowing
know being is not

which I merge
which I shorten into existence

In this way, hortus mirabilis, inserts itself into the sphere of the metaphysical reflection of nature, giving Miłobędzka’s poetic garden a specific dynamic in which the “I”—the gardener—has a significant role as an observer (as we read in the poem’s climax), and as a creator of entities. The activity of looking, which happens in fact in all types of verbs and aspects, is in this specific sphere (look, watch, see, perceive), fundamental to defining oneself in the world and the world’s relationship to oneself. This visualizing
reflection, in addition to careful observation, is accompanied by weighty optical discourses and statements reminiscent of the laws of physics and related to the perception of the dimensions of reality:

Oczy nie widzą głęboko, widzą daleko albo blisko, dlatego tyle brzegów, tyle zgnięcionych mórz—czy myśleniu wystarczy oczu na zatopienie świata?
Za dużo razy poznane przez siebie, żeby mogło zapuścić korzenie.
Przesuwane przez wszystkie pory roku. Zbliżało się do siebie nieustannie zbyt lekko, aż zmieniło się w wiatr.

(Eyes don’t see deep, they see far or near, that’s why so many shores, so many squashed seas—is there enough eyes for thinking to sink the world?
Too many times met by itself to be able to put down roots.
Shifted through all the seasons. Approached constantly too
Light, until it turned into wind.

Kinetic and optical laws complement one other here, creating the credibility of the individual garden. What is too close becomes invisible to the eyes. *Jestem że widzę że widzę że mijam* (I am that I can see that I can see that I go by) (Miłobędzka [1975] 2020d, p. 113), says one of Miłobędzka’s titles, bringing another important note a few lines further on: “less and less of me”. Here, there is some kind of correspondence between the enlargement of the external garden and the diminution of one’s own self. The parallel between looking and writing, which Miłobędzka consistently uses in her writing method and poetically admits, is also very important: “(...) pisz pisz aż w pisaniu znikniesz/ patrz patrz aż znikniesz w patrzeniu (Miłobędzka [2004] 2020e, p. 314)”. (write write on till you vanish in writing/ look look on till you vanish in looking) (Miłobędzka 2013c, p. 127). An attentive reading of Miłobędzka’s poetry and that of her life partner, Andrzej Falkiewicz, draws attention to an interesting aspect of sensory perception, admitting something that, for the poet, is also an answer to the loaded question of which of the senses she considers most important; he replies: “As a man of our civilization—the Western civilization—I have to put sight at the forefront. It is the sense that captures to some extent the functions of all the other senses. But as a universal man, I would say touch. It is the elemental sense—the sense that enables people to conceive new life.” (Borowiec et al. 2009, p. 32).

3. To Touch by the Colors

For Miłobędzka, part of whose life was spent exploring nature (the forest park in Margonin, the Tatra Mountains, the forests near Poznań), childhood is also an important reminder of the world—a mysterious garden that preserves the colors and vitality of entities in memory. In this perspective, the image of the garden from childhood, is confronted by a necessary new visualization:

In childhood, colors arrange themselves on the soft and delicate surfaces. Not knowing anything about themselves, not knowing their own value, they do not need to complement each other to form a rainbow.

The poet defines child gazing in an interesting way: “It is spontaneous, self-generated thinking, not taught by others. We are born with it, and then we learn to look at the world already with someone else’s eyes, to speak in someone else’s words, the words
of adults” (Borowiec et al. 2009, p. 86). Referring to the bearing of Jean Piaget’s theory (Piaget 1923, [1926] 2003), she also draws attention to the artistic realizations of children, where images and words are treated interchangeably. The poet finds a name-combination for them: “słoworysunki”, “word-drawings” (Borowiec et al. 2009, p. 142). The poetic tricks of animation and personification are also embedded in the children’s world and the power of children’s imagination. Here, plants and fruits exist in an important space because they significantly focalize the seemingly inanimate world. It is as if the magic of an enchanted garden, or the unique memory of colors, was transferred to everyday objects, as in her painterly poem from the volume Anaglyphs:

Ta butelka z sokiem pomarańczowym ma niebieski korek. Niebieskie korki zastępują wyduszonym pomarańczom niebo.

Jeszcze nigdy pomarańcze nie były tak blisko nieba jak w butelce - nigdy go nie dotykały. Zreszta nie muszą już dojrzać, więc zetknięcie z niebem ma dla nich inne znaczenie—to jest albo inny przymus albo inna przyjemność.

(Miłobędzka [1960] 2020g, p. 10)

This bottle of orange juice has a blue cork. The blue corks replace heaven to squeezed oranges.

Never before have the oranges been as close to the sky as they are in the bottle – never touched it. Besides, they don’t have to ripen any more so their contact with the sky has a different meaning for them—it is either a different compulsion or a different pleasure.

The author also tells us another story from her time at the Institute of Wood Technology in Poznań, giving us the pictorial birth of the Anaglyph cycle and spatial vision that her poems preserve: “The most important thing I took away from the Institute were these wonderful glasses with one glass turquoise and the other red, which made it possible to receive spatial images. This, among other things, gave rise to the name for my first texts—anaglyphs” (Borowiec et al. 2009, p. 26). Commenting on this first series, the author also says: “It is there to see each thing at once from the outside and from the inside—and this is written clearly in the first anaglyph, but it is also repeated in the subsequent ones. In the substance intervention is a seeing that is at once objective and personal, private. That is how I would read these records today” (Borowiec et al. 2009, p. 50).

4. The Garden Itself

In Miłobędzka’s volume entitled Pokrewne (Related), in addition to poems with significant titles for our considerations, such as Roślinne (Vegetal) (Miłobędzka [1970] 2020h, p. 57) or Chlorofil (Chlorophyll) (Miłobędzka [1970] 2020i, p. 58), there are also two poems of great importance to Miłobędzka’s imagery and to our considerations, entitled Ogród (Garden) (Miłobędzka [1970] 2020j, p. 66) and Narodzenie z oczu (Birth from the Eyes) (Miłobędzka [1970] 2020k, p. 59). The first contains a visualization (to which the reader succumbs) of the thicket, entanglement, and green elements of the garden climbing towards the sun. As we read the subsequent verses, we realize that the gardens are personified and that the thicket, the growing, and searching for paths also belong to the sphere of human communication. The visualization of the garden, here, acts as a costume and, as it were, a diagnosis of the lyrical situation, the passion, and the danger involved in “tissue penetration”:
Kto tu oddzieli, komu potrzebne nazywanie, splecione kryją się w sobie, owijające, owijane, dławione jednych oddechem, podają sobie pokarm wielkimi przełykami. Nie ma żadnych ścieżek, nie ma dojścia, jest tylko kawałek uległego podłoża, na ogrody w tobie, zawsze ten sam powrót, ten sam cień wysyłany spod najniższych roślin, wyrastanie powoli od stóp, uderzenie ciepła w ciasne objęcia tkanek. Tu ogień, kiedy się otworzą. (Miłobędzka [1970] 2020j, p. 66)

Who here will separate, who needs naming, entwined they hide into each other, wrapping, wrapped, choked by one breath, they feed each other with great gulps. There are no paths, there is no access, there is only a piece of submissive ground, to the gardens within you, always the same return, the same shadow sent from under the lowest plants, growing slowly from the feet, the throb of heat in the tight embrace of tissue. Here the fire, when they open.

The second poem, demonstrates in its very fabric the close relationship between the one watching and the one watched, who/which simultaneously becomes a registration of life processes, as in the following extract from the poem: “Spojrzenie po spojrzeniu/rozgina wejścia coraz głębsze: trawa dzieli się trawą/liść łamie się liściem, gną się i strzępia, wpłatane w ziemię biją powietrze drzewami.” (Miłobędzka [1970] 2020k, p. 59). (Gaze after gaze bifurcates the entrances deeper and deeper: grass/shares grass, leaf breaks leaf, bend and fray, entangled/in the earth beat the air with trees).

The temporal aspect of the garden is at the center of existence, in the cyclical return of nature’s laws of rebirth and death, which are relevant to the personal, singular perspective of the end:

jeszcze do wnuka
jeszcze do ogrodu
jeszcze do żalu nad sobą

yet to the grandson
yet to the garden
yet to self-pity

The dynamics of change interact with the dynamics of the image seen and processed. Milobędzka aptly describes this creative process:

Actually, none of the images we have in ourselves should be immobilized, that is, become something unchangeable, something absolutely permanent. Because, after all, these images even in memories do not freeze—”they are not a music box and not a photograph”. They are important when they come to life, when something disappears and something arrives in them, no matter how far back in time they are. In fact, they are deprived of time, and in this deprivation, they are changing and touching the one who owns them. They do not speak back to us in the same way every moment, neither with the same voice nor with the same gesture; and even if there were the same image, for me, having a different experience and being in a different time, the gesture and word from there are already something completely different. As long as it is alive, changing and
moving, it has some meaning...—"it repeals itself, as long as it is alive" (Borowiec et al. 2009, p. 26).

5. Living Garden of Poems

Among the poems by Miłobędzka, some particularly fit the issue of visuality in poetry, not only at the conceptual level, the place granted to observation, the poet-particular observer, but the poem itself. They are conceived as graphic and pictorial realizations. Poems from the volume *dwanaście wierszy w kolorze* (twelve poems in color) (Miłobędzka 2012) are the special cases of these. The selected words are conceived in color, and their arrangement on the space of the page has meaning. Here, they meet in the minimalist form of the poem “I” and “tree” and respond to each other in a congruent symmetry: two questions, two text fragments highlighted in green, a twice-referenced word: “I see”:

(i mówiące mnie drzewo?)

(How many trees do I see?)

wreszcie raz powiedzieć

(finally say it once)

Miłobędzka [2012] 2020m, p. 366

(And tree speaking me?)

Of how many trees **this one** can I see?

finally say it once

I see

Behold, “I see” also means here as much as “I know”—read with the color codes and symbolism of nature (hope for green), it also means the selectivity of this knowledge if we read the green: “this one/I see” (as “this one I know” and “I am”). The minimalist form, when the poem fits at the top of the page and within empty space, is also impressive and helps to balance this ambivalence of meaning: a sense of pride in the joy of looking and seeing and the singularity of the experience. Intertextually, we are close to other texts such as the *wywod jestem’u* (discourse of I am) by Miron Białoszewski (Białoszewski 1961, p. 7), *Eimi* (I am) by E.E. Cummings (Cummings 1933), *Je suis comme je suis* (I am as I am) by Jacques Prévert (Prévert [1946] 1949, p. 100), but also the Socratic, “I know that I know nothing.”

The poem of Miłobędzka can also be a kind of enigma or a clever riddle hidden in the answer to the question: What does not see deeply? Because the eye cannot see itself. With its blue color, the poem adopts the issue previously suggested by Miłobędzka—the impossibility of seeing deeply.

The author’s decision here seems to be in a line with the conviction of the philosophical thought of Ludwig Wittgenstein, who in his *Remarks on Color*, notes: “If all the colors became whitish the picture would lose more and more depth”. (Wittgenstein 1977, p. 44) Interestingly, Miłobędzka launches the rhyme deep in the process: deep—eye; and, let us say it one more time: it is important because in Polish the word “eye” (oko) is part of the word “deep” (głęboko). The eye, repeated in the poem, grows huge and intense simultaneously:
We find an equally interesting notation in another poem, in which, repeating the word "only" in a specific arrangement relates it, both to the poem and to the hidden addressee "you". The addressee is the most important, for to him/her (but also to the poem as well) refers the imperative, underlined "be" and enlarged ochre "shine". This potential shining is implicitly introduced by the sun; in the space of Miłobędzka’s “colorful” poems, it is also coherent on the whole, precisely above the line image of the garden where the eye looks at the tree in the sun:

\[
\text{tylko bądź} \quad \text{only be}
\]

\[
\text{tylko} \quad \text{only}
\]

The poem *Rose*, from the volume *omnipoems* ([Miłobędzka] [2000] 2020p, pp. 256–57) belongs to Miłobędzka’s visual and garden poems. However, it is also something more: it is a full calligram enriched by its reflection. The individual words are arranged verse by verse to form a graphic rose: "rose bloomed/ bloomed in the garden/ bloomed in the garden wet with dew/ bloomed in the garden wet with dew sparkling drops/ bloomed in the garden wet with dew sparkling drops on pink petals/ bloomed in a garden wet with dew sparkling drops on pink curled/ veined petals/ before I cut it down/ before I cut it right at the root and wrapped the cut in cellophane/ this rose/ my poem/ for Valentine”. Miłobędzka inscribes herself with her poem by developing it with the symmetry and meaning of the rose in bloom. She creates a poem-picture, in a certain rhythm, thus referring to Tadeusz Peiper’s concept of a blooming poem ([Peiper] [1926] 1972) and the love symbolism of the rose, thus inscribing herself in the rich history of poems dedicated to roses. The reverse image is similar to a flower, imprinted in the herbarium, a memento of the queen of the garden—the rose (Figure 8):
Two more poems deserve attention. The first poem asks the question of “having”, “possession”, belonging, and one’s place in the world. Underlined and “colored”, “there is no”, contradicts the invisible “is”. In Polish, it is this cluster of “nie ma” that is the answer to the question, “is there?” Here, the lyric subject has and does not have this small world; it is also reflected consistently in other poems because the following reading is also possible otherwise: it is the world that has me.

**M A M**

(M N I E MA)

**WŁASNY**

(b a r o m a ł y ś w i a t

(Miłobędzka [2012] 2020q, p. 360)

**I HAVE**

(HAS ME)

**OWN**

(v e r y l i t t l e w o r l d

The last example shows a poem literally closed in a large square bracket. If we recall what the poem is about, for example, a rose or a tree, we can also interpret the poem as a kind of garden with a gate (Figure 9):

![Figure 9](image-url)


Gutorow, writing about Miłobędzka’s poetry-creating power, draws attention to her desire to reach such a point of speech, which is the absolutization of speech or ultimate point (Gutorow 2011, p. 290). It seems that the “closed poem” is an example of just such attainment of speech, although even here, through the play of graphic (visual) closure, one may be tempted to make ambiguous interpretations for the reason that in the vertical line, there is an opening —light and space above the poem and below the poem. If the poem is a key, and we turn it from horizontal to vertical in the likeness of a key, we open the closure.

6. Hortus Mirabilis by Krystyna Miłobędzka—Conclusion

What is the character of the world-garden and hortus mirabilis in the poetry of Krystyna Miłobędzka?

The weight and admiration of the universe of the garden and its wonders are present all the time in Miłobędzka’s work. We also find traces of this importance in the poet’s correspondence with another poet important to her, the aforementioned Tymoteusz Karpowicz. Both poets and their life partners often change their opinions on gardening, on new plants, their development and illnesses, seasons, vegetables, flowers, trees and bushes. However, let us draw attention, once again, to the essence of the garden’s appearance, to the importance of its visual character which appears in these words of Tymoteusz Karpowicz in a letter addressed to Andrzej Falkiewicz:

“And how does your garden sing (in colors and shapes) under the magical baton of Ms. Krystyna? How wide the Newcomers have already opened the greenery?”

(Miłobędzka Krystyna et al. 2021b, pp. 738–39).

After all, Krystyna Miłobędzka—the gardener and observer continuously shares her experience with Krystyna Miłobędzka—the poet, that is, a careful observer of the world.

On the one hand, the poet in her poems, refers to the topos of the tender observer, the poet-gardener, and the garden-world. On the other hand, she introduces a new verbal articulation to her poetic pictures. Although her poetic diction alludes to historical avant-garde and linguistic poetry achievements, her lyrical savoir-faire is characterized by a certain minimalism construction and a separate, recognizable style. Miłobędzka’s innovativeness lies in combining seemingly distant and sometimes poetically opposite categories: full, ambiguous image-in-poem and asceticism by means of expression, such as a minimal number of words. Her poetry is deeply rooted in perceiving, seeing, watching, and contem-
plating the world—faithful to its physicality but also open to the most essential questions of philosophy asking about existence and its limits. Among Miłobędzka’s poems, a special category is formed by texts that introduce elements of the plant world and simultaneously strongly present interest in their visual side. This new visibility of elements is reflected in authentic poetic delight and in the “visualizing” form, where the poem also becomes an image on the plane of a sheet of paper. Poems by Miłobędzka are also understood and seen in their visual dimension by others, for example, in graphic realization by Jan Berdyszak in collaboration with Krystyna Miłobędzka (Berdyszak and Miłobędzka 2015).

The visuality of Miłobędzka’s poems, is also evidenced by two of them becoming the poems of public space, watched and read by everyone on the route of poetic murals in Poznań or in Puszczykowo. Here, as one can see at the photography of mural at Lodowa street, the two last lines really attest the poet’s attachment to the garden’s metaphysic architecture: *jestem wszystkim czego nie mam furtką bez ogrodu* (I am all what I have not/ the gate without the garden (Figure 10). The poem in Puszczykowo show the colors play and one more time, the importance of the verb “to be” and its possible senses (Figure 11).

![Figure 10. © photography by Paweł Jędrzejczak. Mural of a poem by Krystyna Miłobędzka, *jestem do znikania* (I am to disappear) in Lodowa street in Poznań, made in a frame of project by Joanna Pańczak and Tomasza Genowa.](image-url)
Finally, we have a kind of double illustration because the murals on the walls between the trees seems to show us the visual, that is concrete, character of her poetry, like in the example:

- dróżki w ogrodzie (paths in garden)
- (czarne wgląd) (black deep inside)
- (przeskoki, zgłębenia) (leaps, deep insights)
- dom przed zniknięciem w drzewach (the house before it vanishes among the trees)
- dom znikający w drzewach (the house vanishing among the trees)

(Miłobędzka 2013d, pp. 136–37)

The power of this poetry also lies in its ability to co-create a space viewed and experienced, in many ways, placing the multiplicity (repetitiveness) and singularity (uniqueness) of existence at the center of its interest. Miłobędzka is convincing in her poetic creations and their minimalistic form. She speaks of it with amazement: “I don’t know by what miracle I became interesting to young people. Maybe somewhere in the poems, I managed to—bagatelle! —capture the essence of life” (Borowiec et al. 2009, p. 141). In her original way of composing the visual hortus poems (e.g., Rose), she inscribes herself as a relative in the family of poets, including so ancient poetic garden as these by Johann Wolfgang Goethe (von Goethe 1789), William Blake (Blake 1794), and Emily Dickinson (Dickinson 1856, 1858) and their memorable poetic gardens and at the same time convincing today’s young people.
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Notes
1 All quotes and titles are translated by the author of this paper unless otherwise indicated. Anaglyphy (Anaglyphs) are the cycle of poems which were first published in periodicals such as: “Ziemia Kaliska”, “Nowa Kultura” and “Twórczość” in the years 1960–1962.
2 “Współczesność” (Contemporaneity) was the name of the generation of authors and writers making their debut in Poland around 1956 and dominating literary life for the next 10 years. Their work was a reference point for all subsequent generations of writers and literary groups. To this formation belonged, among others: Andrzej Bursa, Stanisław Grochowiak, Miron Białoszewski, Jerzy Harasymowicz, Marek Hlasko, Halina Poświatowska and Edward Stachura.


5 Among others, the author has received the following awards: the Barbara Sadowska Award (1992), the Culture Foundation Award (1999) and a volume from Afar. Letters 1970–2003 (Miłobędzka 2020). These are: “Współczesność” (Contemporaneity) was the name of the generation of artists and writers making their debut in Poland around 1956 and dominating literary life for the next 10 years. Their work was a reference point for all subsequent generations of writers and literary groups. To this formation belonged, among others: Andrzej Bursa, Stanisław Grochowiak, Miron Białoszewski, Jerzy Harasymowicz, Marek Hlasko, Halina Poświatowska and Edward Stachura.

6 Especially the poem drzewo tak drzewo (the tree yes the three) is an often-interpreted poem (cf. papers on Miłobędzka poetry quoted in this article).
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