Investigations on the Global Spread of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai Volcanic Eruption Using Space-Based Observations and Lagrangian Transport Simulations
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Abstract: On 15 January 2022, the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai (HTHH) (175.38° W, 20.54° S) volcano erupted explosively. It is considered the most explosive volcanic eruption during the past 140 years. The HTHH volcanic eruption caused intense ripples, Lamb waves, and gravity waves in the atmosphere which encircled the globe several times, as reported by different studies. In this study, using OMI, SAGE-III/ISS, and CALIPSO satellite observations, we investigated the spread of the volcanic SO2 cloud due to the HTHH eruption and subsequent formation of sulfuric acid clouds in the stratosphere. It took about 19–21 days for the stratospheric SO2 injections of the HTHH to encircle the globe longitudinally due to a dominant westward jet with wind speeds of ~2500 km/day, and it slowly dispersed over the whole globe within several months due to poleward spread. The formation of sulfuric acid clouds intensified after about a month, causing the more frequent occurrence of high aerosol optical depth elevated layers in the stratosphere at an altitude of about 20–26 km. This study deals with the dynamics of volcanic plume spread in the stratosphere, knowledge of which is essential in estimating the accurate radiative effects caused by perturbations in the earth–atmosphere system due to a volcanic eruption. In addition, this knowledge provides important input for studies related to the geo-engineering of the earth’s atmosphere by injecting particulates and gases into the stratosphere.
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1. Introduction

Vast amounts of gases and aerosol particles injected into the stratosphere due to volcanic eruptions result in the perturbation of the radiative balance of the earth and the chemical equilibrium of the stratosphere. Substantial increases in stratospheric aerosols from volcanic eruptions are considered as natural climate experiments as they provide an opportunity to examine the effects on the climate resulting from abrupt perturbations in the atmosphere [1–3].

There are a number of well-known processes that ensue gradually in the stratosphere succeeding a volcanic eruption. Strong volcanic eruptions directly inject large amounts of sulfur dioxide (SO2), carbon dioxide (CO2) [4–6], water vapor [7], and other trace gases into the stratosphere. The directly injected volcanic emissions disperse and cover the global stratosphere rapidly over a typical time period of about 20 days to 1 month [3,8–10].

In contrast to their much shorter lifetimes in the troposphere, the volcanic SO2 clouds in the stratosphere are converted to sulfuric acid (H2SO4) clouds consisting of tiny sulfate aerosol particles in the presence of hydroxyl (OH) radicals (due to oxidation), and the subsequent transformation leads to an increase in the total amount of stratospheric aerosol [11].
This increases the optical depth, causing an enhancement in scattering and absorption of solar radiation, resulting in an increase in albedo and a net loss of energy [3]. Winds rapidly disperse the particles throughout the lower stratosphere. Because of the typical 1–2-year residence time of the particles in the stratosphere, stratospheric aerosol injections become nearly global in extent, resulting in near-global perturbation to the radiative energy balance.

Volcanic eruptions inject large amounts of particles into the lower stratosphere. This causes the radiative heating of the stratosphere and the cooling of the surface/troposphere. The stratosphere gains shortwave radiative energy while the surface experiences a deficit due to the volcanic aerosol layer. Because of the dominant scattering of the solar radiation by the sulfate aerosols, the net effect of volcanic eruptions is to cool the planet [1,3]. Following radiative balance perturbations there are multiple complex feedback processes affecting the entire Earth–atmosphere system at different scales [12–14]. For example, the perturbation in solar flux due to the Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption, with a volcanic explosivity index 6, injected approximately 30 Tg of volcanic ash into the stratosphere, was reported to cause an average global cooling of $-0.5^\circ$C for $\sim$2 years [15,16].

An increase in the concentration or surface area density of sulfate aerosols provides additional pathways of heterogeneous chemistry and modifies the relative importance of the catalytic processes responsible for ozone depletion in the stratosphere due to changes in ClOx-induced ozone depletion chemistry through the intermediary of NOx chemistry [17]. The increase in stratospheric aerosol surface area resulting from a volcanic eruption also makes these catalytic processes more effective for ozone depletion [18,19].

The increase in stratospheric aerosol optical depth (AOD) causes local heating due to the absorption of solar radiation, which results in changes in thermal structure and mean circulation patterns. A change in mean circulation can affect the vertical distribution of ozone, causing local depletion. A temperature change can change the reaction rate constants for several reactions, causing a change in the production/destruction of ozone. Changes in actinic flux will also affect the photo dissociation rate and affect the ozone balance [18].

Several major eruptions, including Agung in 1963, El Chichón in 1982, and Pinatubo in 1991, occurred during the last century. Investigations of the Mt. Pinatubo eruption provided significant knowledge on the radiative forcing and response characteristics of stratospheric aerosols [20,21]. The eruption of Mount Pinatubo had significant effects on the earth’s climate. Top-of-atmosphere radiation measurements from satellites showed that the Mount Pinatubo eruption resulted in a significant decrease in absorbed solar radiation in the earth–atmosphere system. The global mean decrease in absorbed solar radiation in the period immediately following the eruption was about 5 Wm$^{-2}$. The albedo of the earth–atmosphere system increased by up to 0.007 because of the reflection of up to an additional 2.5 Wm$^{-2}$ of solar radiation over the following two years [22,23]. The most optically thick portions of the aerosols from Mt. Pinatubo were located between an altitude of 20 km and 25 km and were confined to 10$^\circ$ S–30$^\circ$ N during the early period [24,25]. The stratospheric aerosol optical depths up to latitudes of at least 70$^\circ$ N were observed to be affected within 2–3 months after the eruption. The global mean stratospheric aerosol optical depth increased by 1400% within the first year following the eruption (from approximately 0.01 to a peak value of 0.14) [22]. The stratospheric aerosol surface area concentrations at mid-latitudes increased by $\sim$40% due to Mt. Pinatubo, and in the core of the volcanic plume they were increased by 70% [26,27].

The Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai (HTHH) volcano (175.38$^\circ$ W, 20.54$^\circ$ S) erupted explosively at 04:00–04:10 UTC on 15 January 2022. As this was a submarine explosion, it injected a substantial amount of water and ice into the stratosphere [28]. It has been reported that the eruption caused the formation of umbrella clouds at 31 km and at 17 km. The highest plume reached approximately 55–58 km [29–31]. A very strong westward propagation of the upper umbrella cloud was observed [30]. Gupta et al. [30] reported on the growth and spread of the umbrella cloud after the eruption based on Himawari...
satellite data. There are several studies reporting intense Lamb wave and gravity wave activity from the surface to the ionosphere due to the HTHH eruption based on joint satellite observations [32–37]. The radiative effects of the HTHH eruption have also been computed [38,39]. The HTHH eruption was reported to cause significant hydration of the stratosphere [40].

In this study, we investigated how the SO₂ plume spread globally after the HTHH volcanic eruption, the subsequent formation of the sulfuric acid cloud, and its optical effects, based on multi-satellite observations. This study shows the following: (1) the SO₂ plume encircled the globe within 19–21 days of the HTHH eruption, with a mean spread speed of ~2500 km/day. (2) The aerosol concentration was enhanced at an altitude range of 20–25 km due to new particle formation triggered by the increased amount of SO₂ and subsequent growth. (3) The peak enhancement in the aerosol extinction coefficient was ~600% of the unperturbed pre-HTHH eruption level. (4) A visualization of the dynamics of the changes in aerosol population. (5) The effects of stratospheric circulation patterns, such as the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO), Brewer Dobson circulation, and large scale Rossby waves, are clearly shown. (6) A prominent asymmetrical distribution of the sulfuric acid clouds in the southern hemisphere is observed.

2. Data and Methodology

The satellite data listed in Table 1 were used for this study.

Table 1. List of satellite data products used for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Data Product</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aOMI</td>
<td>OMSO2 L2</td>
<td>ASDC/NASA Earthdata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE III</td>
<td>SAGE III/ISS L2 solar event species profile (HDF5) V052</td>
<td>ASDC/NASA Earthdata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIPSO</td>
<td>CAL_LID_L2_05kmAPro-Standard-V4-20</td>
<td>ASDC/NASA Earthdata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAL_LID_L2_05kmAPro-Prov-V3-41</td>
<td>ASDC/NASA Earthdata</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. OMSO2 L2 Dataset from OMI Observations

The Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) level 2 sulfur dioxide (SO₂) total column product (OMSO2) [41] data were used for this study. The retrieval was based on a principal component analysis (PCA)-based algorithm with new SO₂ Jacobian lookup tables and a priori profiles. Each file contains data from the daylit half of an orbit (~53 min). There are approximately 14 orbits per day. The resolution of the data is 13 × 24 km² at nadir, with a swath width of 2600 km and 60 pixels per scan line every 2 s.

For each OMI scene there are six different estimates of the vertical column density (VCD) of SO₂ in Dobson Units (1 DU = 2.69 × 10¹⁶ molecules/cm²), obtained by making different assumptions about the vertical distribution of SO₂. ColumnAmountSO2_STL is the SO₂ VCD corresponding to an assumed lower stratospheric SO₂ profile with a center of mass altitude of 18 km. At these altitudes the averaging kernel is weakly dependent on altitude, so that differences in actual cloud height in the lower and middle stratosphere produce only small errors for a plume located at ~28 km altitude. The biases with latitude and viewing angle are generally less than 0.2 DU. The noise level in the background data is about 0.2 DU. This product is recommended for use in studies on explosive volcanic eruptions with plumes reaching the stratosphere. The sensitivity of the OMI measurements has permitted the tracking of volcanic SO₂ clouds located at ~20 km altitudes encircling the entire globe in about 16 days (e.g., [42]). The OMSO2 is expected to provide good retrieval results when the SO₂ loading is less than ~50 DU. When the SO₂ loadings are higher than ~100 DU, the algorithm underestimates the true SO₂ amount, and the higher the loading the larger the underestimation [43].
2.2. SAGE III Data

The g3bsp_52 level-2 solar event species profiles (HDF5) V052 data product from the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment III (SAGE III) on the International Space Station (ISS) (SAGE III/ISS) [44] was used for this study [NASA/LARC/SD/ASDC, 2017]. It contains all the species products for a single solar event. This ISS-based instrument provides vertical profiles of aerosol extinction, temperature, water vapor, ozone, chlorine dioxide and nitrogen dioxide from occultation measurements along the line of sight between the Sun and the satellite through the earth’s atmosphere. The SAGE III data from January 2017 to September 2022 were used for this study.

2.3. CALIPSO Lidar Data

The CAL_LID_L2_05kmAPro-Standard-V4-20 and CAL_LID_L2_05kmAPro-Prov-V3-41 data products of the CALIPSO satellite [NASA/LARC/SD/ASDC, 2016 and 2018] were used for this study. These are Level 2 aerosol profiles derived from the CALIPSO Level 1B data (attenuated backscatter signal). CAL_LID_L2_05kmAPro-Standard-V4-20 is the standard version data product. CAL_LID_L2_05kmAPro-Prov-V3-41 is the provisional version 3.41 data product using the CALIPSO lidar ratio selection algorithm. The lidar Level 2 data products contain averaged aerosol profile data and ancillary data. The aerosol profile products are generated at a uniform horizontal resolution of 5 km. The aerosol backscatter and extinction coefficients are computed using a lidar ratio selected by the CALIPSO lidar ratio selection algorithm. The CALIPSO data products from 15 January 2022 to July 2022 were used to detect the occurrence of the stratospheric aerosol layer from the HTHH eruption.

2.4. MPTRAC Model

Massive-Parallel Trajectory Calculations (MPTRAC) is a Lagrangian particle dispersion model for the analysis of atmospheric transport processes in the free troposphere and stratosphere [45,46]. MPTRAC calculates air parcel trajectories by solving the kinematic equation of motion using horizontal wind and vertical velocity fields. The MPTRAC model solves the equation of motion using the midpoint method, giving an optimal balance between accuracy and computational efficiency. The MPTRAC model uses a constant vertical diffusivity of 0.1 m$^2$s$^{-1}$ for the stratosphere as a default value. The source code of the MPTRAC model can be obtained from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4400597 (accessed on 5 November 2022). The ERA5 reanalysis [47] model level data downloaded from the Juelich supercomputing facility were used as the meteorological input data for the MPTRAC. ERA5 provides hourly outputs of a comprehensive set of variables at ~30 km horizontal resolution and 137 vertical levels spanning from the surface up to 0.01 hPa.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Initial Phase of the Plume Spread

3.1.1. Observation of Spread of the SO$_2$ Plume Using OMI Satellite Measurements

The one-day time-averaged maps of total SO$_2$ column amount from 15 January 2022 to 2 February 2022 are shown in Figure 1. This was generated using the ColumnnAmountSO$_2$_STL data of the OMI OMSO2 level 2 data product. It can be seen clearly that the volcanic SO$_2$ cloud from the HTHH eruption spreads in a westward direction. It took about 21 ± 2 days to encircle the entire globe. Latitudinally, the SO$_2$ plume was drifting slowly towards the equator, and most of the plume remained confined in the latitude band from 0 to 30° S. Initially, the plume was confined to a narrow latitude band having peak SO$_2$ concentrations, but over time the plume was dispersed to a larger area and the column SO$_2$ concentrations decreased gradually. By the end of the third week, the plume was dispersed such that it was below the detection limit of the OMI, and it could not be traced any further.

The daily averaged longitude–time plot of the SO$_2$ column amount in the latitude band of 0 to 30° S is shown in the upper panel of Figure 2. This shows the gradual encircling
by the SO$_2$ cloud emitted by the volcanic eruption. The daily averaged latitude–time plot of the SO$_2$ column amount is shown in lower panel of Figure 2. The gradual shifting of the plume toward the equator can be seen clearly. After about 20 days, the plume has dispersed almost uniformly in the altitude band of 0 to 30° S.

Based on the daily averaged column amount of SO$_2$, an approximate calculation has been carried out for estimating the speed of the westward longitudinal spread of the SO$_2$ plume, as shown in Figure 3, by using the spread length per day. Along with this, the maximum value of the SO$_2$ amount (in DU) of the plume in the daily averaged map of the OMI data is also plotted in Figure 3. The mean speed of the plume was about 2500 ± 500 km per day. Here we can see that the speed was initially ~1500 km/day and increased to >3500 km/day during the later days. This difference in speed was determined by the altitude at which plume was propagating. Initially, the plume was at higher altitude, so the speed was lower, but as it settled down the speed of the plume increased due to the prevailing background wind velocity. The differences in velocity at different altitudes are expected be the cause of the different transport velocities on different days.

At the time of the HTHH eruption, the QBO was in its easterly phase at ~10 hPa (https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data_services/met/qbo/qbo.html (accessed on 28 November 2022)), which caused the dominant westward propagation of the SO$_2$ cloud from the HTHH eruption.

Similar results were reported for El Chichon [48], for which it was found that the leading edge of the volcanic plume was moving towards the west all the time. During the first 12 days, the plume moved more slowly. It also had different transport velocities on different days. The speed of the El Chichon plume was ~22 m/s (~1900 km/day). Similarly, Mount Pinatubo injected a large amount of gas and aerosols into the stratosphere, and within two weeks most of the stratospheric aerosol clouds circled the planet while spreading to latitudes between 20° S–30° N [20,49–51]. During the early period of the Mount Pinatubo eruption, the cloud was composed of SO$_2$ gas, crustal particles, and tiny sulfuric droplets. Gradually, the SO$_2$ gas was converted to sulfuric acid aerosols. There were two types of transport regimes suggested for the dispersal of the Mt Pinatubo eruption, including a lower transport regime causing the rapid poleward and downward movement of volcanic material. At higher altitudes, the injected material tended to remain over the equator, bounded between 20° N to 20° S, and could persist for years [20].

### 3.1.2. HTHH Plume Observations Using SAGE III and CALIPSO Satellite Data

During the HTHH eruption, the SAGE III and CALIPSO satellites, which are mainly used for observing the vertical distribution of atmospheric gases and aerosols, also made measurements and detected an enhancement in stratospheric aerosol concentrations. Figure 4 shows the vertical distribution of the SAGE III observed aerosol extinction coefficients (a measure of the extinction of solar radiation due to the presence of aerosols) and shows the volcanic plume at heights of ~37 km, ~42 km, and ~34 km on 17, 18, and 19 January, respectively.

The altitude variation in the range-corrected attenuated backscatter signals of the CALIPSO lidar observations during 17 and 19 January is shown in Figures 5–7. These lidar measurements captured the volcanic plume between 20 km and 30 km of altitude very well. The plume seen in Figure 7 by the CALIPSO lidar also includes the region where the plume was observed by SAGE III (Figure 4) on 19 January 2022, showing that they both detected the enhancement in signal due to the same elevated stratospheric aerosol layer caused by the HTHH eruption. The CALIPSO and SAGE III observations are also consistent with the OMI observed columnar concentration shown in Figure 1. The HTHH plume observed by the SAGE III and CALIPSO lidar matched well with the spatial and temporal expansion of the plume as observed by the OMI satellite instrument. The remaining differences in Figures 1 and 4–8 are due to different parts of the HTHH plume being observed at different times.
Figure 1. One-day time-averaged maps of column SO$_2$ amount from 15 January 2022 to 2 February 2022 obtained using the ColumnAmountSO2_STL data of the OMI OMSO2 data product. Each panel corresponds to a one-day average, starting from the topmost panel for 15 January 2022. The limit of the color bar is kept very low compared with the real range of the SO$_2$ concentration to enhance the appearance of the spatial extent. The daily maximum amount of SO$_2$ is plotted in Figure 3. The location of the HTHH volcano is marked with a white x.
3.1.2. HTHH Plume Observations Using SAGE III and CALIPSO Satellite Data

During the HTHH eruption, the SAGE III and CALIPSO satellites, which are mainly used for observing the vertical distribution of atmospheric gases and aerosols, also made measurements and detected an enhancement in stratospheric aerosol concentrations. Figure 4 shows the vertical distribution of the SAGE III observed aerosol extinction coefficients (a measure of the extinction of solar radiation due to the presence of aerosols) and...
shows the volcanic plume at heights of ~37 km, ~42 km, and ~34 km on 17, 18, and 19 January, respectively.

Figure 4. Altitude profiles of aerosol extinction at 384 nm observed by the SAGE III satellite for three days during which elevated layers at altitudes greater than 30 km were seen.

The altitude variation in the range-corrected attenuated backscatter signals of the CALIPSO lidar observations during 17 and 19 January is shown in Figures 5–7. These lidar measurement captured the volcanic plume between 20 km and 30 km of altitude very well. The plume seen in Figure 7 by the CALIPSO lidar also includes the region where the plume was observed by SAGE III (Figure 4) on 19 January 2022, showing that they both detected the enhancement in signal due to the same elevated stratospheric aerosol layer caused by the HTHH eruption. The CALIPSO and SAGE III observations are also consistent with the OMI observed columnar concentration shown in Figure 1. The HTHH plume observed by the SAGE III and CALIPSO lidar matched well with the spatial and temporal expansion of the plume as observed by the OMI satellite instrument. The remaining differences in Figures 1 and 4–8 are due to different parts of the HTHH plume being observed at different times.

Figure 5. Along-track curtain of attenuated backscatter signals observed by the CALIPSO lidar on 17 January 2022 showing the volcanic plume at altitudes from 20 km to 28 km, latitudes of ~8° S to 26° S, and longitudes of 149° E to 153° E. Image obtained from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/products/lidar/browse_images/std_v4_index.php (accessed on 12 July 2022).

Figure 6. Along-track curtain of attenuated backscatter signals observed by the CALIPSO lidar on 19 January 2022 showing the volcanic plume at altitudes from 22 km to 28 km, latitudes of ~10° S to 26° S, and longitudes of 106° E to 110° E. Image obtained from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/products/lidar/browse_images/std_v4_index.php (accessed on 12 July 2022).
Figure 5. Along-track curtain of attenuated backscatter signals observed by the CALIPSO lidar on 17 January 2022 showing the volcanic plume at altitudes from 20 km to 28 km, latitudes of ~8° S to 26° S, and longitudes of 149° E to 153° E. Image obtained from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/products/lidar/browse_images/std_v4_index.php (accessed on 12 July 2022).

Figure 6. Along-track curtain of attenuated backscatter signals observed by the CALIPSO lidar on 19 January 2022 showing the volcanic plume at altitudes from 22 km to 28 km, latitudes of ~10° S to 26° S, and longitudes of 106° E to 110° E. Image obtained from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/products/lidar/browse_images/std_v4_index.php (accessed on 12 July 2022).

Figure 7. Along-track curtain of attenuated backscatter signals observed by the CALIPSO lidar on 19 January 2022 showing the volcanic plume at altitudes from 28 km to >30 km, latitudes of ~2° S to 21° S, and longitudes of 83° E to 86° E. Image obtained from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/products/lidar/browse_images/std_v4_index.php (accessed on 12 July 2022).

Figure 8 shows the altitude variation in the aerosol extinction coefficients at 532 nm on selected days after the eruption (when the elevated layer was observed), as estimated using the CALIPSO lidar observations (level 2 data). We can see that the plume remained in an altitude band between 20 km and 30 km. It might even have existed above 30 km, as seen in the 19 January profile from SAGE III. However, the CALIPSO data are available only up to an altitude of 30 km. It is worth noting that the extinction coefficients often reached a very high value of 1, suggesting that the plume caused a significant reduction in the solar radiation reaching the surface.

3.1.3. Lagrangian Transport Modelling of the HTHH Plume Dispersal

The propagation of the SO₂ plume injected by the HTHH volcanic eruption on 15 January 2022 has been simulated with the MPTRAC Lagrangian transport model. This model was already used in several studies for tracking the spread of volcanic eruption plumes [52–54]. The MPTRAC simulation was initiated at 04:15 UTC on 15 January 2022 at 175.38° W and 28° S. The altitude distribution and the spread of the plume immediately
Figure 7. Along-track curtain of attenuated backscatter signals observed by the CALIPSO lidar on 19 January 2022 showing the volcanic plume at altitudes from 28 km to >30 km, latitudes of ~2° S to 21° S, and longitudes of 83° E to 86° E. Image obtained from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/products/lidar/browse_images/std_v4_index.php (Accessed on 12 July 2022).

Figure 8 shows the altitude variation in the aerosol extinction coefficients at 532 nm on selected days after the eruption (when the elevated layer was observed), as estimated using the CALIPSO lidar observations (level 2 data). We can see that the plume remained in an altitude band between 20 km and 30 km. It might even have existed above 30 km, as seen in the 19 January profile from SAGE III. However, the CALIPSO data are available only up to an altitude of 30 km. It is worth noting that the extinction coefficients often reached a very high value of 1, suggesting that the plume caused a significant reduction in the solar radiation reaching the surface.

3.1.3. Lagrangian Transport Modelling of the HTHH Plume Dispersal

The propagation of the SO\textsubscript{2} plume injected by the HTHH volcanic eruption on 15 January 2022 has been simulated with the MPTRAC Lagrangian transport model. This model was already used in several studies for tracking the spread of volcanic eruption plumes [52–54]. The MPTRAC simulation was initiated at 04:15 UTC on 15 January 2022 at 175.38° W and 28° S. The altitude distribution and the spread of the plume immediately after the eruption have been detailed by many studies using observations from satellites, such as Himawari imagery [30]. The SO\textsubscript{2} plume was initialized at this grid box at an altitude of 28 km. The SO\textsubscript{2} mass was fixed at 400 kt. The meteorological inputs were provided by model level ERA5 hourly data files starting from the model initiation. The simulation was carried out from 15 January 2022 to 26 January 2022.

The simulated spread of the HTHH plume is shown in Figures 9 and 10. Figure 9 shows the spatial distribution of the SO\textsubscript{2} plume with colors representing the altitude of the plume in the stratosphere. Figure 10 is same as Figure 9, except that the colors show the column density of the SO\textsubscript{2} plume in DU. Additional simulations were carried out by initiating the plume at different altitudes from 25 km to 35 km. However, the initial plume altitude of ~28 km provided the best match between the simulated transport with the OMI satellite data shown in Figure 1. The e-folding lifetime of SO\textsubscript{2} in the stratosphere was kept fixed to 7 days. A comparison with the satellite observations shows that the plume injected into the stratosphere can be tracked for a long time using transport models such as MPTRAC.
Figure 9. Lagrangian transport simulation of the HTHH SO$_2$ plume dispersal in the stratosphere from 16 to 26 January 2022. The initial plume height of the simulation was set to an altitude of 28 km. The initial latitude and longitude of the trajectories were set to the location of the HTHH eruption. The simulation starting time was 04:15 UTC on 15 January 2022. The colors show the altitude of the plume in km. The topmost panel is for 00:00 UTC on 16 January 2022. The location of the HTHH volcano is marked with a red x.
3.2. Growth of the Sulfuric Acid Cloud and Its Optical Effects

A plot of monthly mean aerosol extinction coefficients with latitude at the wavelength of 384 nm from 2017 to 2021 using the SAGE III data is shown in Figure 11. It shows that a prominent aerosol layer above 20 km was not present throughout this period. There
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were years when the elevated layer was present below 20 km, which might have been caused by other volcanic eruptions. It is clear from Figure 11 that a state of unperturbed background stratospheric aerosol is rare due to injections of significant amounts of SO₂ directly into the stratosphere by frequent volcanic eruptions. The sulfuric acid aerosol clouds are distributed globally over a period of months and have very high optical depths compared with background stratospheric aerosols. It typically takes a few years for the stratosphere to regain its natural background.  

Figure 11 shows the altitude variation in the 384 nm aerosol extinction coefficients during the months following the 2022 HTHH volcanic eruption. Here we can see the overwhelming enhancement in aerosol extinction coefficients at the altitude range of 20 km to 26 km. This shows that the formation of the sulfuric acid clouds and subsequent growth in particle size caused a significant enhancement in the aerosol extinction coefficient. A histogram of aerosol extinction coefficients at 384 nm during the different months following the eruption is shown in Figure 13. This shows the dynamics of the sulfuric acid droplet growth happening at different altitudes each month. The post-eruption part of January 2022 appears to show an unperturbed state of the stratosphere, except for the occurrence of high aerosol extinction coefficient cases at the altitude of 33–40 km. With the progress of time, the occurrence of high aerosol extinction coefficient cases increased. The highest occurrences of aerosol extinction coefficient cases were observed during February 2022 and were located in the low latitude tropical belt. However, the frequency of occurrence were quite low compared with the March 2022–May 2022. The occurrences of high aerosol extinction coefficients were initially observed around an altitude of 25 km. However, after April 2022, the high aerosol extinction coefficient occurrence slowly shifted toward lower altitudes. During September 2022, high aerosol extinction coefficient occurrences were present at altitudes of 15 km to 26 km. This was due to the poleward transport of SO₂ and sulfuric acid droplets and the subsequent growth in that area. At the same time, the concentration of sulfuric acid droplets reduced in the tropical region. As seen in Figure 12,
the lower altitude occurrences were observed toward the poleward region and the higher altitude occurrences were observed over the tropical regions, mainly due to latitudinal variations in tropopause altitude.

Figure 12. Plots of monthly mean aerosol extinction coefficients at a 384 nm wavelength at different latitudes from January 2022 to September 2022 using SAGE III observations. The x-axis of each panel corresponds to a latitude range from 50° S to 50° N.

The monthly mean Ångström exponent calculated using the 520 nm and 1021 nm aerosol extinction coefficient observations by SAGE III is plotted in Figure 14, and the colored histogram corresponding to this is plotted in Figure 15. The Ångström exponent ($\alpha$) at each altitude has been computed by using the aerosol extinction coefficients measured by SAGE III at 520 nm and 1021 nm wavelengths,

$$\alpha(z) = -\log\left(\frac{k(z)_{520\text{nm}}}{k(z)_{1021\text{nm}}}\right) / \log\left(\frac{520}{1021}\right),$$

where $k(z)_{520\text{nm}}$ and $k(z)_{1021\text{nm}}$ are the aerosol extinction coefficients at the 520 nm and 1021 nm wavelength channels of SAGE III, respectively, at altitude z.

The Ångström exponent provides a measure of the sizes of the aerosol particles. A lower Ångström exponent corresponds to a larger size, and vice versa [55]. In the tropical region around the altitude of ~28 km during the February 2022, it can be seen that the Ångström exponents are reduced compared with those in the surrounding area. This region of reduced Ångström exponents grows from February to May due to the formation of
sulfuric acid droplets, and subsequently due to coagulation, condensation, and coalescence. These droplets are transported toward the poles with time, altering the distribution of the aerosol particles therein. From June to September, the enhancement in the Ångström exponents is seen gradually at mid-latitudes due to poleward transport as well as the in-situ formation and growth of sulfuric acid droplets. Here it may be noted that the poleward transport in the southern hemisphere is much stronger compared with that in the northern hemisphere.

The method for calculating the surface area density (SAD) from the SAGE observations has been discussed in Thomason et al. [56]. Using the method described therein, the surface area densities for different months after the HTHH eruption were calculated using the aerosol extinction coefficient observations at 520 nm and 1021 nm wavelengths by SAGE III as given below.

\[
SAD = k_{1021} \left( \frac{1854.97 + 90.137r + 66.97r^2}{1 - 0.1745r + 0.00588r^2} \right)
\]

Here, \(k_{1021}\) is the aerosol extinction coefficient of the 1021 nm channel in units of km\(^{-1}\) and \(r\) is the 520 nm to 1021 nm aerosol extinction coefficient ratio. This equation provides the surface area density in the units of \(\mu m^2 cm^{-3}\).

![Figure 13. Histogram of aerosol extinction coefficient observations at 384 nm by SAGE III/ISS during different months after the HTHH eruption.](image)

A plot of monthly mean surface area density with altitude during 2022 for different months is shown in Figure 16. The mean surface area density at a \(~25\, km\) altitude reached \(>15\, \mu m^2 cm^{-3}\) from February 2022 to May 2022. This enhancement was mainly in the tropical region. Subsequently, the surface area density increase shifted towards the poleward latitudes but it was of a comparatively lower magnitude than that which occurred over
the tropical region. The histogram plot (Supplementary Figure S1) of surface area density shows that the highest surface area density occurred during the month of February, reaching more than the 50 µm²cm⁻³. Over the following months, the occurrences of high surface area density reduced gradually. However, the frequency of occurrence of high surface area density of sulfuric acid droplets increased until May 2022. The frequency distribution of the surface area density and aerosol extinction coefficient are similar, as the aerosol extinction coefficient is directly dependent on the surface area density of the scatterers.

Figure 14. Plots of monthly mean Ångström exponents with latitude using 520 nm and 1021 nm wavelength SAGE III observations during 2022. The x-axis of each panel corresponds to a latitude range from 50° S to 50° N.

The altitude variation in the monthly mean of all SAGE III observations and the percentage enhancement in different months after the eruption with respect to January 2022 (pre-eruption) are shown in Figure 17. The peak enhancement occurred in the month of February 2022. However, the latitude–altitude extent of the sulfuric acid clouds was still limited in February. In the later months, the latitude as well as the altitude spread of the SO₂ plume increased. However, peak enhancement was less than in February. The radiative impact would be much higher as it would be covering a larger latitude.

Based on a simple radiative equilibrium model, Zhang et al. [39] computed that during the next 1–2 years the global mean surface air temperature will decrease by 0.0315–0.1118 °C due to an increase of 0.0019 in the global AOD. This impact is much less than that of the El Chichon eruption (AOD increase: 0.0325, SW flux reduction: 0.975 W m⁻², global mean surface air temperature change: 0.3 °C). The recent update shows that the amount of SO₂ injected into the stratosphere by HTHH is ~0.4 million tons, i.e., only 5.7% of that injected into the stratosphere by the El Chichon eruption (7 million tons).
Figure 15. Histogram of Ångström exponents calculated using the extinction coefficient observed at 520 nm and 1021 nm by SAGE III/ISS during the months after the HTHH eruption.

The distribution of the sulfuric acid aerosols, which can be inferred from Figures 12–18, has an asymmetrical distribution in the southern hemisphere. The aerosols were located at altitudes of 20–25 km during the initial months after the eruption, and they were located mainly in southern tropical belt. They were later dispersed to lower altitudes in the mid-latitude regions due to poleward transport. The spatial and temporal variations in the HTHH sulfuric acid aerosols are a direct manifestation of stratospheric transport processes such as the QBO, the Brewer Dobson circulation (BDC), and large scale Rossby waves. The BDC and large scale Rossby waves are responsible for the transport of sulfuric acid aerosols from the tropics to middle latitudes. Eventually, the aerosols will be removed by a variety of removal processes, such as mixing with tropospheric air due to transport across isentropic surfaces, cloud scavenging, etc. [11].

It is interesting to note that during January–May, the aerosols were lying mostly in the tropical belt. However, in June, there was rapid poleward transport. This is directly related to the phase of the QBO. It has been reported in previous studies that during the easterly phase of the QBO, the stratospheric aerosols are compressed toward the tropics, and during the westerly phase of the QBO, the aerosols are transported toward the poles ([11] and references therein). During January 2022 to May 2022, the QBO was in its easterly phase at altitudes of 25–30 km, and during June, the QBO phase became westerly (https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data_services/met/qbo/qbo.html, accessed on 5 November 2022).
3.3. Long-Term Lagrangian Transport Simulation of the HTHH Plume Dispersal

The Lagrangian transport simulation of the HTHH plume using the MPTRAC model described earlier was further continued until 1 April 2022. The results of the MPTRAC simulation for selected days are shown in Figure 18. The MPTRAC simulation is reproducing the spread of the sulfuric acid clouds as observed by SAGE III. The dominant confinement of the plume in the southern hemisphere is also seen in the simulations.

![Figure 16](image-url)

**Figure 16.** Plots of monthly mean surface area density with latitude during 2022. The x-axis of each panel corresponds to a latitude range from 50° S to 50° N.
acid aerosols from the tropics to middle latitudes. Eventually, the aerosols will be removed by a variety of removal processes, such as mixing with tropospheric air due to transport across isentropic surfaces, cloud scavenging, etc. [11].

It is interesting to note that during January–May, the aerosols were lying mostly in the tropical belt. However, in June, there was rapid poleward transport. This is directly related to the phase of the QBO. It has been reported in previous studies that during the easterly phase of the QBO, the stratospheric aerosols are compressed toward the tropics, and during the westerly phase of the QBO, the aerosols are transported toward the poles [11 and references therein]. During January 2022 to May 2022, the QBO was in its easterly phase at altitudes of 25–30 km, and during June, the QBO phase became westerly (https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data_services/met/qbo/qbo.html, accessed on 05 November 2022).

Figure 17. Top panels: altitude variation in mean aerosol extinction coefficients of the SAGE-observed profiles for different months. January1 corresponds to days in January 2022 before the eruption, and January2 corresponds to days in January 2022 after the eruption. Bottom panels: altitude variation in percentage enhancement in aerosol extinction coefficient at 384 nm with respect to January 2022 (before eruption) in the northern and southern hemispheres.
Figure 18. Left panels: spatial distribution of air parcel altitudes (km) of the HTHH plume obtained from the Lagrangian transport simulation using the MPTRAC model. Right panels: spatial distribution of air parcel densities (/km²) of the HTHH plume.

4. Summary

In this study, we investigated the global spread of the HTHH volcanic eruption using OMI, SAGE III, and CALIPSO lidar data and Lagrangian transport simulations obtained using the MPTRAC model. During the initial phase of the spread, the dominant westward propagation of the SO₂ plume encircled the globe in the 0–30° S latitude band within 21 ± 2 days. The average speed of the westward spread inferred by the plume spread length per day was found to be about 2500 ± 500 km/day. The difference in the transport velocity during the different days is mainly attributed to the difference in the wind speeds at the different altitudes at which the plume was propagating. In addition, the plume coverage slowly expanded to northern and southern hemisphere latitudes due to the dispersal process. The sulfuric acid cloud started appearing in the stratosphere within just a few days due to the formation of tiny sulfuric acid droplets because of the reaction between SO₂ and OH radicals and subsequent growth due to coagulation. This was observed in the SAGE III data as well as in the CALIPSO data, and the frequent occurrence of high aerosol extinction coefficient values in the SAGE III and CALIPSO altitude profiles was observed. These high aerosol extinction coefficient elevated layers were mostly centered between 20–26 km altitudes. The peak enhancement of extinction was as large as 600% during February at an altitude of about 24 km. The peak AOD enhancement due to the sulfuric acid cloud formation after the HTHH eruption was about half of that caused by the Mt. Pinatubo eruption. The global spread of the Tonga plume in the stratosphere has been simulated using the MPTRAC Lagrangian transport model. The simulated plume distribution is matching well with the observations of the OMI satellite. The progression
of the sulfuric acid cloud formation and its transport with latitude and altitude has been visualized using the altitude profiles and histograms of the aerosol extinction coefficient, Ångström exponent, and surface area density.

The spread of the HTHH SO$_2$ and sulfuric acid clouds is asymmetric, being largely confined to the southern hemisphere. This asymmetrical distribution will cause an asymmetrical radiative effect. It will be interesting to see how this asymmetrical distribution impacts the atmospheric circulation processes.

The perturbation caused by the HTHH eruption will affect almost all components of the earth-atmosphere system through feedback effects due to perturbation of the radiative fluxes over all parts of the atmosphere. The eruption will also affect the composition of the stratosphere. However, the potential radiative impact of the HTHH eruption is likely to be much less than that of the Mt. Pinatubo eruption as the total amount of material injected in the stratosphere is much lower. Accurate estimates of the overall radiative and climatic effects can only be ascertained about 1–2 years after the eruption. It will be important to see how the eruption affects the global temperature and major circulation patterns (such as the Indian summer monsoon, El-Nino, etc.), which were reported to have been affected by earlier volcanic eruptions.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/atmos13122055/s1, Figure S1: Histogram of surface area density computed using SAGE III/ISS data during different months after the HTHH eruption.
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