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Are Near-Coastal Sea Levels Accelerating Faster Than Global during the Satellite Altimetry Era?
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Abstract: Impact and risk assessments in coastal areas are informed by current and future sea level rise and acceleration, which demands a better understanding of drivers for regional sea level acceleration. In our study, we analyze the near-coastal sea level acceleration compared with global values during satellite altimetry (1993–2020) and discuss the potential drivers of regional sea level acceleration. We estimate regional sea level acceleration using high-resolution satellite altimetry sea surface height anomalies. Our study reveals a wide range of regional acceleration estimates, varying from −1.2 to 1.2 mm yr$^{-2}$, which can be up to 20 times larger or smaller than the global mean sea level acceleration of 0.07 mm yr$^{-2}$. Notably, sea level acceleration near the global coastline is calculated at 0.10 ± 0.03 mm yr$^{-2}$, exceeding the global mean sea level acceleration by 40%. Regional patterns of sea level acceleration are in good agreement with acceleration patterns calculated from the steric sea level. However, the magnitude of acceleration is only partially explained by the changes in steric sea level, with increasing contributions from the non-steric component.
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1. Introduction

Climate change and sea level rise are happening worldwide—no region on Earth has escaped it [1]. Coastal areas contain large human populations, more significant socio-economic activities and assets, and more fragile ecosystems. Cities, villages, and coastal communities are facing increasing risk of sea level rise and more frequent and severe coastal inundation, which could lead to huge economic losses [1–5]. Decisions about adequate adaptation options and building a suitable coastal defense could take years; therefore, developing an understanding of the drivers of regional sea level acceleration is key for advanced projections of local sea level rise [2].

Sea level rise is not uniform, and despite the fact that scientists have made significant strides in understanding the global rise and acceleration of sea levels [1,6,7], the estimates for the regional and local sea level accelerations remain highly uncertain [8,9]. From tide gauge-based global sea level reconstructions over the past 100 years [10–12] and almost global sea level coverage from satellite altimetry over three decades [1,6,7], there is substantial evidence suggesting that the rate of sea level changes has been increasing in recent decades. Annual global mean sea level rise calculated from satellite altimetry (1993–2021) reached a new high in 2021, increasing to 9.7 cm above 1993 [13] compared to 20 cm since 1900 (1900–2018) [1]. According to the Sixth Assessment Report of the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) [14], the global sea level continues to rise due to the increased rate of ice mass loss from glaciers and the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets and the warming of the ocean. Global sea level rise has accelerated since the late 1960s, with a rate of 2.3 mm yr$^{-1}$ for 1971–2018, and it has increased to 3.7 mm yr$^{-1}$
for 2006–2018 [14]. In addition, observations from space missions since 1993 and in situ observations of sea level components demonstrate that ice mass loss from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets is increasing, and observations in the ocean suggest heat uptake by the ocean is increasing, leading to the sea level rise [13,15].

Regional and local sea level acceleration from tide gauge records in individual locations has been studied since the 1990s [10,16,17]. Nevertheless, the interpretation of the results and discussion of an acceleration in coastal sea level [16] is often unclear, indicating the necessity for a better understanding of drivers of regional sea level rise and acceleration. Recent regional sea level acceleration studies based on satellite altimetry data have discussed the role of sea level variability in detecting a robust trend and acceleration in time series, with PDO and ENSO variability from the Pacific Ocean regions removed [8,18]. The removal of variability is contributing to an improved understanding of the physical mechanisms driving sea level changes. However, for practical applications (e.g., adaptation), removed variability could be observed in the future and might even exceed projected sea level rise in short-term projections [8,19–21].

In this study, we provide new insights into regional and near-coastal level acceleration by analyzing satellite altimetry data from 1993–2020. We identify the areas with positive/negative sea level acceleration along the coast, calculated from satellite altimetry, and discuss potential drivers for regional sea level acceleration. We highlight the difficulties in providing a robust estimate of the acceleration in near-coastal sea levels due to limitations of satellite altimetry data in coastal areas and challenges in communicating the calculated regional acceleration to end users, motivated by the importance of understanding the regional sea level changes for adaptation purposes.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Data

We calculate regional sea level acceleration using 28 years of satellite altimetry observations (1993–2020) and complement these with steric sea level data. In this study, we denote regional as the ocean basin with spatial scales larger than 100 km. Full-gridded sea surface height anomalies were obtained from NASA JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) (https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/dataset/SEA_SURFACE_HEIGHT_ALT_GRIDS_L4_2S_ATS_5DAY_6THDEG_V_JPL2205 (accessed on 3 April 2023)) [22] with a spatial resolution of 0.17° × 0.17° between 1993 and 2020 and the latitude ranging from 80° N to 80° S. This is a combined altimetry product derived from several altimeters.

Using satellite altimetry data, we calculated the global mean sea level acceleration from the global mean time series of sea level, while near-coastal sea level acceleration is estimated from the time series within the area of 0.2° around the global coastline. By doing so, we utilize available altimetry data within 20 km from the coast [9,23] and denote them as the ‘near coastal’ sea level.

To examine the drivers of regional sea level acceleration, we examine the acceleration of the steric sea level. The Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP) steric sea level (1993–2020) with a 1° × 1° horizontal resolution for the upper 2000 m layers is used here, which shows advantages in reducing the sampling errors by infilling data gaps with available observations following an improved ensemble optimal interpolation method [24]. We re-grid IAP data to the resolution of the satellite altimetry data (0.17° × 0.17°). The IAP steric sea level is obtained from the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (http://www.ocean.iap.ac.cn/pages/dataService/dataService.html (accessed on 3 April 2023)) [24]. The monthly IAP steric data, referring to thermosteric changes, are corrected for biases in XBT and MBT temperature data [24]. We have not made any corrections for Pinatubo in gridded datasets of altimetry and steric sea level time series due to the lack of information on regional patterns associated with volcanic eruption.

In this study, we consider two dominant climate indexes impacting the sea level acceleration: El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) from https://psl.noaa.gov/enso/mei/data/meiv2.data (accessed on 3 April 2023) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) from
2.2. Methods

We apply a regression model to estimate the regional and global mean sea level acceleration, and annual and semi-annual cycles are removed from the time series, as we apply a 6- and 12-month least-square fit prior to analysis:

$$h = a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3$$

(1)

where \(t\) is time, \(a_1\) to \(a_3\) coefficients are estimated following the least-squares fit, and \(h\) is the reprocessed sea level time series. We estimate acceleration using the conventional method as twice the quadratic coefficient \(a_2\) (Equation (1)). The calculations of acceleration are implemented using the function \texttt{polyfit} in MATLAB, which also provides key statistics for estimating the confidence interval at a 95% level with the function \texttt{polyparci}. The standard deviation is calculated from what the \texttt{polyfit} model could not fit in the observational data, and in this analysis, we assume the residuals are pure noise following Veng and Andersen (2021) [25]. The \(p\) value is calculated to test if the regional acceleration is statistically significant.

To determine the contribution of internal variability to regional and global mean sea level acceleration, we apply a linear regression model to the climate indexes following Moreira et al. (2021) [7], and annual and semi-annual cycles are removed from the time series prior to analysis [7]:

$$h = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 \text{MEI}(t) + a_4 \text{PDO}(t)$$

(2)

where \(t\) is time, \(a_0\) to \(a_4\) coefficients are estimated following the least-squares fit, and \(h\) is the reprocessed sea level time series.

3. Results

Using satellite altimetry, we have calculated a global mean sea level acceleration of 0.07 ± 0.01 mm·yr\(^{-2}\). Our estimate of the global mean sea level acceleration is in good agreement with the previously estimated acceleration of 0.08 ± 0.01 mm·yr\(^{-2}\) by Veng and Andersen (2021) [26], within the margin of errors in both estimates.

To explore the changes in patterns of regional sea level acceleration, we calculate the patterns of regional acceleration from satellite altimetry, IAP steric, and their difference from 1993 to 2020 (Figure 1a–c). Regional acceleration in most locations is 10–20 times larger/smaller than the global mean number (Figure 1a), with extreme estimates from −5.1 mm·yr\(^{-2}\) to 4.15 mm·yr\(^{-2}\) in individual locations, mainly in dynamically active parts of the ocean, e.g., Kuroshio, the Southern Ocean, and the North Atlantic. Almost 48% of the ocean area covered by the satellite altimetry demonstrates a positive value of acceleration, while 28% is negative and 24% is inconclusive or statistically not significant. Regional acceleration patterns (Figure 1a) mimic the PDO/ENSO patterns in the Pacific [8,26].
There is agreement between the patterns for steric sea level acceleration calculated using IAP steric sea level datasets from Cheng et al., 2017 [26] and for sea level acceleration from satellite altimetry (Figure 1a–c). However, the map of the differences between the acceleration from satellite altimetry and steric sea levels shows there is a large contribution from other sources not associated with steric sea level changes. Statistical significance tests of the estimates of acceleration for satellite altimetry, IAP steric, and the difference between estimates from satellite altimetry and IAP steric are presented in Figure 1d–f, illustrating that the acceleration in areas with large internal variability is not statistically significant. Correlation maps shown in Figure S1 provide evidence of a strong correlation between the patterns (Figure 1a–c).

To determine the contribution of internal variability, represented by PDO and ENSO, we calculate the patterns of regional acceleration from satellite altimetry, IAP steric, and their difference from 1993 to 2020 after removing internal variability (Figure 2a–c). Figure 2 shows that the acceleration from both satellite altimetry and the IAP steric sea level decreases in the Pacific Ocean after the removal of variability associated with ENSO and PDO. The significance test proves that many areas in the Northwestern Pacific have no significant acceleration of the steric sea level after removing the internal variability.

Global near-coastal sea level acceleration, calculated from the satellite altimetry grid points close to the coastline, is $0.10 \pm 0.03$ mm·yr$^{-2}$ (Figure 3a,b), exceeding the global mean sea level acceleration of $0.07 \pm 0.01$ mm·yr$^{-2}$ by 40%. We have calculated the difference between the time series of sea level close to the coast and global mean sea level (Figure S2b), obtaining a significant residual acceleration of $0.03 \pm 0.03$ mm·yr$^{-2}$ in the difference. Around 24% of near-coastal locations display a negative value of acceleration, and 61% of near-coastal locations exceed the estimate of global mean acceleration (Figure 4a).
Global near-coastal sea level acceleration, calculated from the satellite altimetry grid points close to the coastline, is 0.10 ± 0.03 mm·yr\(^{-2}\) (Figure 3a,b), exceeding the global mean sea level acceleration of 0.07 ± 0.01 mm·yr\(^{-2}\) by 40%. We have calculated the difference between the time series of sea level close to the coast and global mean sea level (Figure S2b), obtaining a significant residual acceleration of 0.03 ± 0.03 mm·yr\(^{-2}\) in the difference.

Around 24% of near-coastal locations display a negative value of acceleration, and 61% of near-coastal locations exceed the estimate of global mean acceleration (Figure 4a).

Figure 2. Acceleration (mm·yr\(^{-2}\)) from satellite altimetry (a); IAP (b) and their difference (c) after removing the internal variability for 1993–2020. Statistical significance test of the estimates of acceleration for (d) satellite altimetry, (e) IAP steric, and (f) the difference between estimates from satellite altimetry and IAP steric after removing the internal variability.

Figure 3. Acceleration calculated using satellite altimetry data close to coastline (a). Global mean sea level time series from 1993–2020 are represented by the red line, and global near-coastal mean sea level is represented by blue, calculated from satellite altimetry values close to the coastline (b). Acceleration close to the coastline after removing the internal variability (c). Global mean sea level time series after removing the internal variability are represented by the red line, and global near-coastal mean sea level after removing the internal variability is represented by blue (d).
Figure 4. Near-coastal locations with acceleration exceeding the global estimate (brown) and below the global estimate (blue) (a). Near-coastal locations with acceleration exceeding the global estimate (brown) and below the global estimate (blue) after removing the internal variability (b).

The global mean for near-coastal sea level, calculated from satellite altimetry along the coastline, is $3.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ compared to our global mean trend of $3.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ (Figure 3b). The near-global coastline sea level trend is statistically different from the global mean sea level trend, with a rate of difference in the time series of $0.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ (Figure S2).

After removing ENSO and PDO signals (Figure 3c,d), global near-coastal sea level acceleration decreases from $0.10 \pm 0.03 \text{ mm yr}^{-2}$ to $0.09 \pm 0.03 \text{ mm yr}^{-2}$, while the global mean sea level acceleration decreases from $0.07 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm yr}^{-2}$ to $0.04 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$. The removal of internal variability, associated with ENSO and PDO, leads to a decrease in the global mean sea level acceleration by approximately $0.03 \text{ mm yr}^{-2}$ as previously reported by Nerem et al. (2018) [6]. After the removal of ENSO and PDO signals, the calculated near-coastal sea level acceleration exceeds the global mean sea level acceleration by 125%. Compared with the global mean sea level acceleration, the global near-coastal sea level acceleration is less influenced by the removal of internal variability. The global near-coastal sea level trend changes from $3.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ to $3.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ with the removal of internal variability, and the global mean sea level trend changes from $3.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ to $3.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ after removing the internal variability (Table S1). After removing the internal variability (Figure 4b), there is a slight decrease (4%) in near-coastal locations exceeding the estimate of the global mean acceleration, which is mainly located on the Atlantic coast of America.

To interpret the evolution of the regional acceleration pattern, we calculate the sea level acceleration for a twenty-year moving window from satellite altimetry (Figure 5), IAP steric (Figure 6), and the difference between them (Figure 7). Patterns of acceleration for satellite altimetry and the steric sea level are changing with time (Figures 5 and 6). The time-varying spatial pattern of acceleration from satellite altimetry and IAP steric sea levels shows high homogeneity, which is prominently observed in the Pacific Ocean and other regions [8]. The regional acceleration map of the moving window from altimetry and IAP steric shows a homogenous time-varying spatial pattern in the North Atlantic and the Indian oceans (Figures 5 and 6).
Figure 5. Evolution of the satellite altimetry patterns of acceleration for moving 20-year time window by one year since 1993.

Figure 6. Evolution of the steric sea level patterns of acceleration for moving 20-year time window by one year since 1993.
Figure 7. Evolution of the differences between acceleration calculated from satellite altimetry and steric sea level for 20-year time period shifted by one year since 1993.

With the starting year of the moving window changing from 1993 to 1997, the acceleration becomes stronger in the eastern tropical Pacific and extends northwestward until it reaches the North American coast for both altimetry and IAP steric; then the pattern weakens largely followed by strengthening again. At the same time, in the central and western North Pacific, the acceleration patterns of the moving window display the opposite signs (Figures 5 and 6). With the starting year of the moving window changing since 1998, the weakening of regional acceleration patterns from both altimetry and IAP steric is observed in the Indian Ocean. Since 1993, there has been a strengthening of spatial acceleration patterns from both altimetry and IAP steric sea levels in the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre region, accompanied by a weakening of spatial acceleration patterns in the Subpolar Gyre region.

4. Discussion

Using high-resolution gridded satellite altimetry data, we have estimated that sea level acceleration close to the coast is $0.10 \pm 0.03 \text{ mm yr}^{-2}$, exceeding the global mean sea level acceleration of $0.07 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm yr}^{-2}$ by 40%. Considering the dense population and concentrative infrastructures in coastal zones, the higher increase rate of sea level rise will place low-lying coastal regions under great threat due to global warming. Elevated water levels caused by accelerated sea level rise will exacerbate coastal flooding and erosion. The implications for adaptation are that decision makers and planners require a skillful understanding of such coastal processes along coastlines, and more attention should be paid to densely populated areas.

Faster acceleration at near-coastal locations compared to the global mean sea level acceleration could be explained by numerous near-coastal processes and ocean adjustment mechanisms in shelf seas [27–29], including local atmospheric effects or coastal trapped waves, baroclinic instabilities, shelf currents, and freshwater transport in estuaries [27–30].
In addition, there are some well-known limitations of satellite altimetry data close to the coastline, resulting from land contamination and the lack of optimized geophysical corrections applied to the coastal zones [9]. However, despite the large uncertainties, satellite altimetry data have been used for many applications along the coastline, e.g., estimating local vertical land movement [31–33], assessing interannual sea level variability along the coastal areas of the USA [34], and assessing coastal vulnerability facing sea level rise in Indian Sundarban delta [35]. Also, the uncertainty ranges of sea level acceleration estimated from gridded satellite altimetry for four different regions along the coastal United States are consistent with tide gauge acceleration envelopes [36].

We calculated the near-coastal sea level acceleration from the gridded satellite altimetry to make it comparable with global mean sea level acceleration. The error estimation in our acceleration is relatively conservative and does not reflect the uncertainties in the original datasets, as discussed in several publications [9,33]. Our estimations of sea level acceleration are dependent on the time slices, and the relatively short period of satellite altimetry limits our present understanding of coastal, regional, and global sea level acceleration. Longer records of high-resolution satellite altimetry along with improved corrections are in demand for robust sea level acceleration evaluation in the future. Sea level acceleration for coastal locations (not considered in this study) could be enhanced by subsidence from groundwater extraction, urbanization, and tectonic activities [5,9,31]. However, incorporating changes in sea level acceleration due to tectonic activities and land movement is beyond the scope of this analysis, particularly given the limitations of satellite altimetry data close to the coastline.

The removal of natural variability, represented by ENSO and PDO, reveals that there are decreases in sea level acceleration from both satellite altimetry and the IAP steric sea level in the Pacific Ocean from 1993–2020. Our study supports the role of ENSO and PDO for satellite altimetry sea level acceleration, which has been previously discussed in several studies [6,7,26]. Our regional acceleration estimates reflect the challenges of separating the wide range of variability resulting from complex interactions of various climate signals [7], and we demonstrate the sea level acceleration results with and without the removal of ENSO and PDO. We have performed this for the purpose of communicating the observed sea level changes to policy makers and the general public, considering their interest in the interpretation of observed changes and the use of observations for adaptation purposes.

In the Pacific Ocean, changes in the acceleration patterns from 1997–2016, in which the eastern tropical Pacific has the largest area of acceleration while the central and western North Pacific has the largest area of deceleration, are consistent with the significant climate shift in the PDO [25,37,38].

In the Indian Ocean, the weakening of spatial acceleration patterns from both altimetry and IAP steric has been observed in the coastal regions of South Asia since 1998. Changes in the patterns of acceleration in the Indian Ocean might be explained by the decadal variability in sea surface height associated with decadal Indian Ocean basin mode (DIOB), supported by previous studies [19,20,39]. However, the mechanisms for decadal variability in the Indian Ocean are not fully explained [19,40]. Since 1993, there has been a strengthening of regional acceleration patterns from both altimetry and IAP steric sea levels in the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre region, accompanied by a weakening of spatial acceleration patterns in the Subpolar Gyre region (Figures 5 and 6). We attribute these patterns to the decadal sea level fluctuations partly driven by surface wind and heat flux associated with climate modes, e.g., the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) in combination with changes in the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO), as suggested by Han et al., 2019 [20]. This is supported by modelling studies [41,42] with evidence that on a decadal timescale, variability in the North Atlantic is a combination of internal variability [43,44]. The dipole of the spatial acceleration pattern in the Subtropical Gyre and Subpolar Gyre regions is thought to be a distinctive fingerprint of the AMOC (Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation) [19,45–47], suggesting that changes in the AMOC can be gyre-specific rather than an isolated basin-scale cell [19]. However, there are open questions about the
variability in the AMOC due to limited direct measurements of the AMOC, which are only available over a decade [48].

Large uncertainties in observations [15, 24, 26] and the large variability in relatively short time series contribute to the lack of robust estimates for acceleration, which has been discussed previously [26, 39, 49]. As the need for more detailed sea level information near the coast with a focus on impacts and adaptations is emerging, there is a growing demand for sustainable sea level monitoring and related observations (e.g., steric sea level) to improve our understanding of the sea level rise and its acceleration in coastal areas.

5. Conclusions

Sea level acceleration close to the global coastline is $0.10 \pm 0.03$ mm yr$^{-2}$, calculated from satellite altimetry, and exceeds the global mean sea level acceleration of $0.07 \pm 0.01$ mm yr$^{-2}$ by 40%. The regional patterns of sea level acceleration are mainly explained by the changes in steric sea level, compared to the increased contribution from ice mass loss from the sheets and glaciers suggested as the main driver for acceleration in the global mean sea level in a previous study [8]. However, there is a caveat whereby for regional sea level patterns, the magnitude of acceleration from the steric sea level is relatively smaller compared to the estimates from the satellite altimetry data. The calculated difference in the patterns is increasing, suggesting there is an additional non-steric contribution to the regional accelerations.

The regional acceleration calculated from the gridded satellite altimetry data displays almost 20 times larger/smaller estimates for acceleration compared to the global mean value of $0.07$ mm yr$^{-2}$. Compared to previous studies, in which part of the internal variability was removed, our estimates provide evidence of a large redistribution of heat and ocean mass by the previously detected climate modes (e.g., PDO and ENSO) in the Pacific regions [8]. The similarity in the patterns of acceleration in satellite altimetry and the steric sea level suggests common mechanisms for redistribution by ocean dynamics [20]. It seems that dynamical changes in the ocean are driving the patterns of acceleration on a regional scale and along the global coastline, in contrast to the ice mass loss contribution to the global mean sea level acceleration.

Sea level rise along the global coastline will increase the frequency of flooding and intensity the risks for millions of people living in low-lying coastal areas [1, 2]. Policy makers and planners require a robust estimate of the rate of sea level rise and its acceleration for adequate adaptation options. However, there is an open question as to whether the near-coastal acceleration calculated from satellite altimetry data is a robust feature, considering the changes in ocean dynamics are amplifying the global mean sea level acceleration in large ocean basins and along the coast. In addition, there is the crucial task of improving the communication/delivery of the required scientific information about future sea level changes between scientists and policy makers, coastal engineers, and the public. For stakeholders in charge of coastal planning and risk assessment, the availability of robust scientific information is crucial for decision-making about adaptation options.
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