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Abstract: The adverse effects of the transportation of O3 and its precursors on local air quality under certain meteorological conditions has long been recognized. Previous studies covered the effects of their transport without distinguishing specific forms (direct transport, via air pollutants originating from emissions outside the target regions; indirect transport, via air pollutants generated from chemical reactions between local and outside precursors) and processes (chemical and physical). This study aimed to figure out the effects of different scales of emissions on O3 pollution in the Guanzhong basin (GZB) by quantifying the forms and processes of transport using the CAMx model. The results showed that the emissions on different scales had various pathways for influencing O3 formation under two polluted, synoptic circulation types (southeast high and northeast ridge). Under the southeast high type, the meteorological conditions favored the chemical production of O3, which led to the highest local O3 contributions from the GZB. The prominent cross-regional transport was positive for indirect transport from south Shaanxi, implying the synergistic impacts of biogenic VOCs and urban pollutants in the GZB. With the southerly winds in the GZB, the downwind cities of Xi’an were impacted by positive direct and indirect transport from the emissions of Xi’an. These impacts occurred through the processes of gas-phase chemistry (especially O3P + O2 and O3 + NO) and vertical advection. For the northeast ridge type, positive direct transport from the emissions of Henan Province was important for O3 pollution in the GZB, as there were remarkable easterly airflows. From the east to the west of the GZB, the impacts from the emissions from Henan Province on cities in the GZB were reduced, which occurred through the processes of horizontal advection and vertical dispersion. This work highlights significant differences in the forms and processes of O3 formation in downwind areas impacted by the emissions from different-scale emissions, and advances our knowledge of atmospheric pollutant transport and its impact on O3 pollution.
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1. Introduction

Tropospheric ozone (O3) is an effective greenhouse gas and a strong oxidant that is detrimental to human health and vegetation growth [1,2]. Especially under favorable meteorological conditions, O3 transport could enhance O3 exposure and health risks [3–5]. High amounts of O3 are generated through the photochemical reactions of its two primary precursors (nitrogen oxides, NOx = NO + NO2, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs)), which could be emitted either locally or from distances of even hundreds of kilometers away under certain weather conditions [6–8]. Therefore, a key challenge in identifying the sources of O3 pollution is quantifying their contributions and processes on different scales.
The regional transport of O\textsubscript{3} and its precursors significantly influences O\textsubscript{3} pollution at a given location, which usually includes two forms: (1) direct transport: air pollutants originating from emissions outside the target regions; (2) indirect transport: e.g., NO\textsubscript{x} and VOCs from other regions participate in local atmospheric chemical reactions [9–11]. Such analyses are often qualitative, and detailed quantification of local and regional contributions to O\textsubscript{3} need to be determined using chemical transport models (CTMs), such as reported in the following. The cross-state transport of O\textsubscript{3} and its precursors is one of the most contentious issues in air pollution management and contributes up to 50% or more to O\textsubscript{3} pollution in the eastern United States [12]. In China, transport from nearby cities and outside of the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region provides the largest contribution (more than 60%) to O\textsubscript{3} in the cities in the YRD [13]. Emissions in Hebei Province play an important role in O\textsubscript{3} formation in the North China Plain (NCP); for example, transport from upwind cities in the Hebei Province significantly influence O\textsubscript{3} pollution in Beijing, contributing 50% or more [14]. Furthermore, Wu et al. [15] pointed out that non-Beijing emissions provide contributions through direct transport (36.6%) and indirect transport (~5.1%) to summer O\textsubscript{3} pollution in Beijing.

Enhanced local O\textsubscript{3} formation is influenced by various physical and chemical processes under favorable meteorological conditions [16–18]. Specifically, net chemical production has a high value at vertical levels from 900 to 800 hPa, and O\textsubscript{3} is generated and transported downward to increase O\textsubscript{3} concentrations at the surface, whereas horizontal advection reduces surface O\textsubscript{3} [19]. Notably, the precursors transported from source regions significantly influence local O\textsubscript{3}-NO\textsubscript{x}-VOCs sensitivity and enhance O\textsubscript{3} formation [20–22]. However, the specific chemical and physical processes through which emissions from different source regions affect local O\textsubscript{3} formation have rarely been quantified. Hence, investigation into the forms and detailed processes of the transportation of O\textsubscript{3} and O\textsubscript{3} precursors on local O\textsubscript{3} pollution would be valuable for deepening the understanding of the interactions among pollutants from different regions.

The Xi’an-centered Guanzhong basin (GZB) is the most developed region in northwestern China, and one of the key regions for air pollution control, as listed by the Chinese government, with frequent O\textsubscript{3} pollution in the summer [23,24]. A previous study [25] investigated the typical synoptic circulation types (CTs) related to summer O\textsubscript{3} pollution and evaluated their impacts on the sources of O\textsubscript{3} pollution in the GZB based on observational data analysis and model simulations. The results showed that local contributions and transport from regions outside of the GZB significantly affected O\textsubscript{3} pollution in Xi’an and other cities (Weinan, Xiangyang, Tongchuan, and Baoji), but the impacts of the emissions from the cities in the GZB or outside areas on the forms and processes of O\textsubscript{3} formation under CTs were not investigated.

In this study, sensitivity simulations using the Comprehensive Air Quality Model with Extensions (CAMx) were performed to assess how different-scale emissions influence O\textsubscript{3} pollution and which form and process are more important. Section 2 explains the data and methodology, Section 3 presents the results and discussion, and Section 4 provides the summary and conclusions. This attempt to examine the forms and processes of O\textsubscript{3} formation in the GZB is expected to deepen the understanding of the complex cause of O\textsubscript{3} pollution in the region and beyond.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Air Quality and Meteorological Data

In this study, the surface O\textsubscript{3} concentrations were obtained from the China National Environmental Monitoring Centre (http://106.37.208.233:20035/, last accessed: 22 February 2023). Frequent O\textsubscript{3} pollution episodes were observed in the summer (June–August), and high O\textsubscript{3} concentrations were generally observed in the daytime (10:00–18:00 local time (LT)). Hence, we selected a representative period (i.e., 14:00 LT) for the partial analysis of pollution and meteorological characteristics over the study area [25,26].
2.2. Classification of Circulation Types

Yan et al. [25] provided detailed analyses of the four typical CTs (southeast high and northeast ridge, east high, and northwest high type) associated with the occurrence of O₃ pollution in the GZB. The northeast ridge type and southeast high type were identified as polluted. The east-high-type circulation was lightly polluted, and the northwest-high-type circulation was clean. Summer O₃ pollution episodes were closely related to the presence of the northeast ridge type and southeast high type. Under the southeast high type, the meteorological conditions were marked by increased influence of southerly airflow, high temperature, low humidity, and the worst diffusion conditions, all of which were favorable for the local production of O₃. The northeast ridge type was characterized by easterly airflows in the upper air, which transported O₃ and its precursors from upwind polluted areas.

2.3. Model Setup and Approaches

Comprehensive Air Quality Model with Extensions (CAMx, version 6.50, http://www.camx.com, last accessed: 22 February 2023) was used to simulate O₃ pollution in the GZB and its surrounding areas. The SAPRC-07 gas-phase mechanism was chosen for gas-phase chemistry in all the scenarios. Two-nested modeling domains with resolutions of 36 and 12 km (denoted as D01 and D02, respectively) were used in this study (Figure 1a). The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (version 3.2) provided the meteorological fields that were input in CAMx. The NCEP FNL data and the Community Atmosphere Model with Chemistry (CAM-chem) outputs were used to extract the initial and boundary meteorological and chemical conditions, respectively. For details about the model configurations, emissions inventory, and model performance, the reader can refer to a previous study [26]. The simulated meteorological factors used as inputs for CAMx and the simulated hourly O₃ and NO₂ concentrations of D02 matched the observed values, confirming the validity of the analyses.

The GZB was set as the target region in this study, and emissions in the five cities (Xi'an, XA; Xianyang, XY; Weinan, WN; Tongchuan, TC; Baoji, BJ) in the GZB and other regions (southern Shaanxi, SXS; northern Shaanxi, SNX; Henan Province, HN; Hubei Province, HB; other land regions, OTHER) within D02 (as shown in Figure 1b) were included or zeroed out in CAMx simulations to examine the effects of regional transport for on O₃ pollution in the GZB.
In this study, six national air quality monitoring sites (as shown in Figure 1c) were chosen to analyze the sources of O\textsubscript{3} pollution in the GZB, including Weinan Ribao she (WN_RBS, 34.5°N, 109.5°E), Lintong district in Xi’an (XA_LTD, 34.2°N, 108.9°E), Xiaozhai in Xi’an (XA_XZ, 34.5°N, 108.7°E), Normal school in Xianyang (XY_NS, 34.5°N, 108.7°E), Sanlu hospital in Baoji (BJ_SLH, 34.4°N, 107.1°E), and New District Management Committee in Tongchuan (TC_NDMC, 34.9°N, 108.9°E).

2.4. Factor Separation Approach and Scenario Design

The factor separation approach (FSA) was adopted to quantify the effects of different emission source regions on O\textsubscript{3} formation. According to some studies [9,27], the FSA attributes air pollutant concentrations within the target regions to the following four types of contributions:

1. Local contribution (F\textsubscript{local}): air pollutants directly emitted by sources within target regions (or so-called local sources) and chemically produced from locally emitted precursors.

2. Direct transport contribution (F\textsubscript{direct}): air pollutants originating from emissions outside the target regions, including the directly transported portion as well as those formed through chemical reactions from outside precursors.

3. Indirect transport contribution (F\textsubscript{indirect}): air pollutants generated from chemical reactions between local and outside precursors.

4. Background contribution (F\textsubscript{0}): air pollutants derived from emissions in regions outside the modeling domain, which represent large-scale background levels.

We defined the contribution of O\textsubscript{3} transport as the sum of F\textsubscript{direct} and F\textsubscript{indirect}. In detail, the following simulation cases were designed:

1. Base case: emissions in the GZB and outside areas were both included.

2. All_zero case: emissions within D02 were all zeroed out.

3. Source_region cases: emissions outside the certain source region of the D02 were zeroed out.

4. Source_region_zero cases: emissions in the certain source region of the D02 were zeroed out.

For these cases, modeled concentrations of air pollutants were separately marked as f\textsubscript{base}, f\textsubscript{all_zero}, f\textsubscript{Source_region}, and f\textsubscript{Source_region_zero}. For example, local contributions from Xi’an and transport contributions from other source regions [i, i = 1−9] were calculated with the following equations:

\[
F_0 = f_{all\_zero} \tag{1}
\]

\[
F_{local} = f_{Xi’an} - F_0 \tag{2}
\]

\[
F_{direct}[i] = f_{source\_regions}[i] - F_0 \tag{3}
\]

\[
F_{indirect}[i] = (f_{base} - f_{source\_regions_zero}[i]) - (f_{source\_regions}[i] - F_0) \tag{4}
\]

2.5. Process Analysis

Pollutants contributed by transport were those produced via chemical reactions between locally emitted and transported precursors in the target regions. To study the impacts of the emissions from the cities in the GZB or outside areas on O\textsubscript{3} pollution, we utilized the process analysis tools in CAMx to quantify the rates of O\textsubscript{3} formation above ground level (AGL). Specifically, the chemical and physical processes of O\textsubscript{3} formation were provided by the integrated process rate (IPR) [28,29], and the gas-phase chemical reactions rates of O\textsubscript{3} production and loss were derived from the integrated reaction rate (IRR) [30,31].
3. Results

3.1. Overview of the Study Period

Controlled by two polluted CTs (southeast high type and northeast ridge type), a severe O₃ episode during June 11–15, 2018 occurred in the five cities in the GZB. Specifically, June 11–13 was affected by the southeast high type, while June 14–15 was influenced by the northeast ridge type. The observed O₃ concentrations at typical monitoring sites peaked at 184–281 μg/m³, especially during 12:00–18:00 LT. For the convenience of discussion, the following 2 days were selected to represent the above two polluted CTs: (1) 12 June 2018 (“southeast high-type”) and (2) 15 June 2018 (“northeast ridge-type”).

The daily variations in O₃ concentration could provide insights into the interplay between emissions and chemical and physical processes that operate according to a diurnal cycle. The observed hourly O₃ concentrations over the GZB under the two CTs are shown in Figure 2. Under the southeast high type, daytime mean O₃ concentrations at the six sites corresponded to local precursor emissions over the GZB, which decreased in the following order: XA_XZ (216 μg/m³) > XA_LTD (198 μg/m³) > WN_RBS (191 μg/m³) = XY_NS (191 μg/m³) > TC_NDMC (180 μg/m³) > BJ_SLH (145 μg/m³). The O₃ concentrations at the six sites were relatively low before 9:00 LT due to weak photochemical reactions, and all sites usually exhibited high O₃ concentrations during daytime (10:00–20:00 LT), albeit with different peak times. Especially in XY_NS and TC_NDMC, O₃ concentrations suddenly increased during 17:00–19:00 LT, implying the effects of O₃ transportation. Moreover, the O₃ concentrations at the six sites rapidly decreased during the nighttime (after 20:00 LT). However, it is noteworthy that the diurnal cycle of observed hourly O₃ concentrations under the northeast ridge type was different from that under the southeast high type. Under the northeast ridge type, the average increase rates and peak concentrations of O₃ in the six sites were less than those under the southeast high type. A longer duration of high O₃ concentrations (from 12:00 to 20:00 LT) occurred at the six sites, indicating that regional transport had an important impact on the O₃ levels in the GZB.

Figure 2. Observed hourly O₃ concentration on (a) 12 June (“southeast high-type”), and (b) 15 June (“northeast ridge-type”) in the Guanzhong basin. Grey dot lines in each panel denote the Chinese national air quality standard for O₃ (i.e., 200 μg/m³).
The simulated O3 concentrations and wind fields under the two CTs (Figure 3) further verified the analysis of the temporal characteristics of O3 concentrations over the GZB. For the southeast high type, favorable meteorological conditions (increased southerly airflow, high temperature, low humidity, and the worst diffusion conditions) enhanced the strong local O3 production. Particularly, southerly winds dominated the near-surface and at high altitudes in the GZB, which influenced pollutant transportation in the GZB. High O3 concentrations concentrated in Xi’an and downwind cities (Xianyang, Weinan, and Tongchuan) and O3 concentrations in Baoji were lower than those in the other four cities of the GZB, implying the importance of the transportation of O3 and its precursors from the emissions of upwind cities. Under the northeast ridge type, both the high altitude and near-surface levels in the GZB were dominated by easterly flow. Impacted by the easterly wind fields, high O3 concentrations were distributed over the GZB and the border between Shaanxi and Henan provinces, indicating that O3 and its precursors are transported from emissions of Henan province and upwind cities in the GZB significantly influence the concentration of O3 over the GZB. Hence, a comparative study of the characteristics of O3 transport under the two CTs would be valuable for deepening the understanding of the interactions among pollutants from different scales and providing strategies for targeted O3 reductions.

Figure 3. Simulated O3 concentration and wind fields at an elevation of (a,b) ~20 m and (c,d) ~1500 m AGL at 14:00 LT on (a,c) 12 June (“southeast high-type”), and (b,d) 15 June (“northeast ridge-type”) in the Guanzhong basin and surrounding areas.
3.2. The Source of O₃ in the GZB

The contributions from various regions to the daytime O₃ concentrations in the typical sites in the GZB under the two polluted CTs are shown in Figure 4. Under the southeast high type, the meteorological conditions favored the chemical production of O₃, which led to the highest local O₃ contribution (10.7–45.2%) in the GZB. In addition to the largest contribution from outside of D02 (BCON), the prominent inter-regional transport was from south Shaanxi (1.2–10.8%). With the southerly winds in the GZB, the high O₃ plumes from Xi’an had a great impact on the downwind cities, which contributed 37.2 μg/m³ and 45.1 μg/m³ to the O₃ concentrations in Xianyang and Weinan, respectively. With increasing distance, the impacts of the emissions from Xi’an on the O₃ concentrations in Tongchuan diminished, but the contributions from the emissions from Xianyang on O₃ concentrations in Tongchuan increased to 26.1 μg/m³. Moreover, the O₃ concentrations in Baoji were weakly influenced by the emissions from other cities in the GZB, and the emissions from adjacent provinces and the emissions of Baoji contributed a higher percentage of O₃ concentrations (28.1 μg/m³ and 21.2 μg/m³, respectively) in Baoji.

![Figure 4](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 4.** Contributions from different regions to the daytime (10:00–18:00 LT) O₃ concentrations in the Guanzhong basin on (a) 12 June (“southeast high-type”), and (b) 15 June (“northeast ridge-type”).

Compared with those under the northeast ridge type, transport from Henan province and Weinan was of greater importance for O₃ pollution over the GZB under the northeast ridge type, as there were remarkable easterly airflows. Particularly, the impacts of the emissions from Henan province and Weinan were reduced from the east to the west of the GZB. For example, the contributions of the emissions from Henan province to the O₃ concentrations over the GZB decreased in the following order: WN_RBS (32.0 μg/m³) > XA_LTD (24.3 μg/m³) > TC_NDMC (18.2 μg/m³) > XY_NS (17.5 μg/m³) > XA_XZ (13.7 μg/m³) > BJ_SLH (1.3 μg/m³).
Figure 5 shows the contributions of direct and indirect transport from the five cites in the GZB to O$_3$ concentrations. Under the two CTs, the O$_3$ in the six sites was mostly from direct transport, while indirect transport from the emissions from Tongchuan had little contribution to the five sites outside Tongchuan. Notably, the characteristics of O$_3$ transport from the emissions of each city in the GZB were different under the two CTs. Under the southeast high type, the emissions of Xi'an had a significant impact on the O$_3$ pollution in Xi'an, Xianyang, and Weinan, with the direct (indirect) transport of 45.8 (4.8) μg/m³, 43.4 (9.3) μg/m³, 33.8 (3.4) μg/m³, and 45.9 (0.8) μg/m³ at XA_XZ, XA_LTD, XY_NS, and WN_RBS, respectively. Under the northeast ridge type, the impacts of the emissions of Xi'an concentrated in Xi'an and Boaji, and the emissions of Weinan greatly influenced the GZB overall, with the direct transport of 8.5–23.4 μg/m³. At this time, Baoji is downwind of other cities in the GZB and was influenced by direct transport, which contributed 19.0, 13.1, and 8.5 μg/m³ from the upwind cities (Xianyang, Xi'an, and Weinan, respectively).

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Contributions from five cities in the Guanzhong Basin to the daytime (10:00–18:00 LT) O$_3$ concentrations in six sites on (a,c,e,g,f) 12 June (“southeast high-type”), and (b,d,f,h,j) 15 June (“northeast ridge-type”).

Figure 6 displays the contributions of direct and indirect transport from the major source regions (i.e., other parts of Shaanxi, Henan, and Hubei provinces) outside the GZB. Under the southeast high type, the emissions of south Shaanxi had an impact on the O$_3$ pollution in the GZB, and indirect transport was the major form, which indicates that O$_3$ precursors from south Shaanxi (most likely biogenic VOCs from Qin Mountain) were transported to the urban areas of the GZB and further reacted with the pollutants there. Under the northeast ridge type, direct transport from the emissions of Henan province contributed 16.5–41.9 μg/m³ to the O$_3$ concentrations at the typical sites in the GZB (except BJ_SLH). Negative indirect transport (about −5 μg/m³) reduced the contributions from the emissions of Henan province, implying the negative effects of pollutant transport on O$_3$ formation over the GZB. The contributions from the other source regions to O$_3$ concentrations were not significant.
3.3. Process Analysis of O₃ in the GZB

The emissions of Xi’an had a significant impact on O₃ formation in the GZB. Figure 7 shows the contributions from the emissions of Xi’an to the daytime O₃ processes at ~15 m AGL in the GZB under the southeast high type. For the urban site (i.e., Xiaozhai) in Xi’an, chemical production was the main process. For the suburban site (i.e., Lintong district) in Xi’an and the neighboring cities (Xianyang and Weinan), they influenced O₃ formation through the processes of gas-phase chemistry and vertical advection. In Tongchuan, which is far away from Xi’an, there were some contributions from the emissions of Xi’an. However, Baoji was not located in the downwind area of Xi’an, so the contribution from the emissions of Xi’an was almost zero.

Figure 6. Contributions from key regions outside the Guanzhong Basin to the daytime (10:00–18:00 LT) O₃ concentrations in six sites on (a,c,e,g) 12 June (“southeast high-type”), and (b,d,f,h) 15 June (“northeast ridge-type”).

Figure 7. The contributions from the emissions of Xi’an to the daytime (10:00–18:00 LT) O₃ processes (~15 m AGL) on 12 June (“southeast high-type”) in the 6 sites in the Guanzhong basin.
Considering the effects of the emissions of Xi’an on the daytime O$_3$ processes over the GZB (Figure 7), Figure 8 further displays the hourly contributions from the emissions of Xi’an to O$_3$ production and loss rates in the typical sites on 12 June (“southeast high-type”). For the four sites (XA_XZ, XA_LTD, XY_NS, and WN_RBS), the chemical pathways of the impacts from the emissions of Xi’an were enhanced O$_3$ production (O$_3$P + O$_2$) and O$_3$ titration by NO (O$_3$ + NO). The impacts of the emissions of Xi’an on daytime mean O$_3$ production rates were 0.04–0.15 ppm/h, while these impacts on daytime mean O$_3$ loss rates were 0.02–0.11 ppm/h. The former had larger effects on O$_3$ formation than the latter. Hence, the chemical contributions from the emissions of Xi’an were positive.

Figure 8. Hourly formation/loss chemical pathways of O$_3$ production rates at (a,b) XA_XZ, (c,d) XA_LTD, (e,f) XY_NS, and (g,h) WN_RBS with/without the emissions of Xi’an on 12 June in the GZB (“southeast high-type”). Colored bars stand for different chemical pathways that contribute to O$_3$ production rates at each site, and the red lines are net chemical contribution to O$_3$ production rate at each site.

Figure 9 shows the contributions from the emissions of Henan to the daytime O$_3$ processes at ~15 m AGL in the GZB under the northeast ridge type. The contributions from the emissions of Henan province to O$_3$ formation in the GZB gradually decreased from the east to the west of the GZB. Higher contributions occurred in Weinan and suburban Xi’an, but the contributions were approaching zero in Baoji. Although the impacts from the emissions of Henan province were different over the GZB, horizontal advection and vertical dispersion were the main processes responsible for its impacts on O$_3$ formation in the GZB. It is worth noting that these were completely different from the impacts of the emissions in the GZB, which indicates the distance between the receptors and source regions altered the processes of the interactions among the pollutants on different scales.
4. Conclusions

In the present study, the contributions of various forms of transport and processes to O₃ pollution under two polluted CTs in the GZB were investigated using observational analyses and numerical simulations with a CAMx model. The results showed that the emissions on different scales could affect O₃ pollution through different forms (direct and indirect transport), processes (chemical and physical processes), and chemical reactions (O₃ production and loss pathways).

Under the southeast high type, the meteorological conditions favored the chemical production of O₃, which led to the highest local O₃ contribution (10.7–45.2%) from the GZB. In addition to the largest contribution from outside of D02 (BCON), the prominent inter-regional transport was from south Shaanxi (1.2–10.8%), and indirect transport was the main form. With the southerly winds in the GZB, high O₃ plumes from Xi’an had a great impact on the downwind areas, which contributed 37.2 μg/m³ and 45.1 μg/m³ to the O₃ concentrations of Xianyang and Weinan, respectively. With the increasing distance, the impacts of the emissions from Xi’an on O₃ concentrations in Tongchuan were diminished. Moreover, direct transport was the major form and the major mechanism of O₃ formation through the processes of gas-phase chemistry and vertical advection. Specifically, enhanced O₃ production (O₃P + O₂) and O₃ titration by NO (O₃ + NO) were the predominant chemical reactions in O₃ formation.

Compared with those under the northeast ridge type, transport from Henan province and Weinan was of greater importance for O₃ under the northeast ridge type, as there were remarkable easterly airflows over the GZB. Specifically, the impacts of the emissions from Henan province and Weinan reduced from the east to the west of the GZB. Moreover, direct transport was the major form, and horizontal advection and vertical dispersion were the main processes influencing O₃ formation in the GZB from the emissions of Henan province. Therefore, this study could help to improve the understanding of O₃ pollution under different synoptic circulation conditions in this area, highlighting the necessity of enhanced regional collaboration and efforts in combating O₃ pollution. Moreover, surface O₃ concentrations can likely be affected via the vertical exchange of the boundary layer, the free troposphere, or the stratosphere O₃. Based on higher-resolution simulations in conjunction with additional observed data, future research will explore vertical O₃ exchange and its impact on surface air quality over western China.
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