Repulsive Fermi and Bose Polarons in Quantum Gases
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Abstract: Polaron quasiparticles are formed when a mobile impurity is coupled to the elementary excitations of a many-particle background. In the field of ultracold atoms, the study of the associated impurity problem has attracted a growing interest over the last fifteen years. Polaron quasiparticle properties are essential to our understanding of a variety of paradigmatic quantum many-body systems realized in ultracold atomic gases and in the solid state, from imbalanced Bose–Fermi and Fermi–Fermi mixtures to fermionic Hubbard models. In this topical review, we focus on the so-called repulsive polaron branch, which emerges as an excited many-body state in systems with underlying attractive interactions such as ultracold atomic mixtures, and is characterized by an effective repulsion between the impurity and the surrounding medium. We give a brief account of the current theoretical and experimental understanding of repulsive polaron properties, for impurities embedded in both fermionic and bosonic media, and we highlight open issues deserving future investigations.
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1. Introduction

Understanding the fate of an impurity particle immersed within a complex medium represents a paradigmatic problem in quantum physics [1], encompassing a variety of physical scenarios and spanning an enormous range of energies: from ultracold atomic gases [2–4], helium liquids [5,6] and solid-state materials [7–10], all the way up to nuclear and quark matter [11–13]. The interest in such \((N+1)\) many-body systems is two-fold: on the one hand, a primary goal is to characterize how the interactions with the surrounding bath turn the impurity particle into a \textit{quasiparticle} with modified static and dynamical properties, such as a renormalized energy, a finite lifetime and an effective mass. On the other hand, understanding how the bare particle is effectively \textit{dressed} by its environment provides important information about the nature of the medium itself, since the impurity can act as a microscopic, local probe for both the excitations and the collective properties of the surrounding material.

Importantly, a common set of ideas and technical tools can be applied to investigate the impurity problem in seemingly disparate setups, the investigation of one system yielding information on another. In particular, the so-called Fermi polaron—a mobile quantum impurity embedded in a degenerate Fermi gas—has been successfully investigated and characterized both in ultracold atomic mixtures [14–29] and in atomically thin semiconductors [30,31], using a single theoretical framework and relying on similar experimental methods. This has recently stimulated much cross-fertilization between the two fields.
Atoms 2022, 10, 35

In addition, the original polaron scenario of a single electron interacting with phonons in a crystal [7] has now been extended to quantum impurities immersed in a Bose–Einstein condensate—the so-called Bose polaron [33–37].

Ultracold atoms represent a particularly appealing playground for the exploration of impurity physics, both in and out of equilibrium, owing to their versatility, their clean and isolated nature, as well as their accessible time and length scales. Initiated with the investigation of the highly-polarized limit of resonantly interacting Fermi gases across the BCS-BEC crossover, and the observation of the so-called attractive Fermi polaron [14,15], over the last decade a series of groundbreaking experiments have renewed the interest in the \((N + 1)\) problem within the field of quantum gases. These have already enabled the characterization of the quasiparticle properties of impurity atoms embedded within both Fermi [14–29] and Bose [34–38] environments, for various impurity-to-medium particle mass ratios, and encompassing not only systems in three dimensions (3D), but also two- [17,18,26] and one-dimensional [33,39,40] environments. Combining the exquisite control over interatomic interactions enabled by magnetic Feshbach resonances [41] with advanced spectroscopic tools [42,43], quantum gases allow one to prepare both impurity and medium particles in single, well-defined quantum states, and to probe quasiparticle properties with unparalleled accuracy, down to the single-atom level.

As a non-trivial and quite general result, the detailed comparison between experiment and theory has demonstrated that most quasiparticle properties can be accurately modeled, even in the strong coupling regime, using theoretical methods which are much simpler than those required for a quantitative description of balanced atomic mixtures. For instance, excellent agreement between theory and experiment has been demonstrated for the ground-state properties of a highly imbalanced Fermi mixture, owing to the almost exact cancellation of a large set of high-order Feynman diagrams [44,45], and the agreement even extends to the non-equilibrium evolution of impurities immersed in an ultracold Fermi gas following an interaction quench [22,46]. Simple theories for strongly interacting many-body systems are rare, and the \((N + 1)\) problem therefore provides an important testbed for improving our understanding of more complex states of highly correlated matter. In particular, the study of the extremely polarized case of a single impurity provides accurate information for systems that feature a sizable concentration of minority particles, the impurity limit exhibiting some of the critical points of the full phase diagram, whose topology we can thus learn about by investigating highly polarized systems [47].

In this topical review article, we focus on a specific kind of impurity quasiparticle, termed the repulsive polaron, discussing experimental and theoretical progress in the understanding of its highly non-trivial nature from a cold-atom perspective. Originally introduced to characterize the Stoner instability [48] of a gas of itinerant fermions towards a ferromagnetic state [3,49,50], the repulsive polaron concept is nowadays generically employed to denote any impurity particle dressed by strong repulsive interactions with a surrounding (either fermionic or bosonic) medium. While for genuine impurity-medium interparticle repulsion, e.g., the one originating from Coulomb or hard-sphere interaction potentials, the repulsive polaron represents the ground state of the \((N + 1)\)-system, in the case of van der Waals interactions relevant for cold atomic gases [41] such repulsive quasiparticles connect to an excited energy branch of the many-body spectrum (see Figure 1). This is due to the fact that any short-ranged repulsion with a scattering length exceeding the interaction range inherently requires an underlying weakly-bound molecular level into which the system may decay, thereby making the repulsive polaron metastable. As a consequence, repulsive quasiparticles in ultracold atomic mixtures represent both a theoretical and experimental challenge, which has stimulated over the last decade an intense debate about the nature of the repulsive branch, with even its existence being questioned [51]. On the other hand, this has triggered the rapid development of new theoretical methods and experimental probes, able to trace in real time the quasiparticle formation, decay and decoherence [22,28,37,46,52–58].
Figure 1. Quasiparticle spectrum as a function of interaction strength $1/(k_F a)$. Attractive (green) and repulsive (purple) polaron energy branches. The shaded area centered around the repulsive polaron energy represents the quasiparticle spectral width $\Gamma$. For widths comparable to its energy, the repulsive polaron ceases to be a well defined coherent quasiparticle (dashed line ending). In the case of a fermionic medium, the attractive polaron also stops being well defined at sufficiently large $1/(k_F a)$, where it undergoes a sharp transition to a dressed molecule quasiparticle [59]. On the other hand, the ground state of the Bose polaron spectrum does not feature a single-impurity transition. Inset: impurity spectral function $A(\omega)$ at zero momentum for $\omega > 0$, i.e., a vertical cut through the repulsive polaron spectrum at fixed interaction strength. The center of the polaron spectral function denotes the polaron energy $E$, while its half width at half maximum and area relate to the quasiparticle width $\Gamma$ and residue $Z$, respectively.

Here, we provide a concise overview of the recent advances in this research field. Our discussion will concentrate on the most widely explored scenario of three-dimensional systems; for impurity problems in lower dimensions, we refer the interested reader to already available review articles by Levinsen and Parish [4] and by Mistakidis et al. [60], which focus on two- and one-dimensional systems, respectively. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we outline the theoretical basis for the treatment of single-impurity problems in ultracold bosonic and fermionic atomic media; in Section 3, we introduce the main experimental probes of polaron quasiparticle properties, especially focusing on the metastable repulsive branch; in Section 4, we discuss the origin of the repulsive polaron quasiparticle lifetime, reconciling different interpretations for the quasiparticle damping mechanisms found in the literature; finally, in Section 5, we discuss the emergence of long-range impurity-impurity interactions mediated by the medium, thereby linking polaron physics to that of bosonic and fermionic atomic mixtures.

2. Fermi and Bose Polarons

We begin by introducing the problem of a single impurity in a quantum medium and providing an overview of the basic theoretical concepts. Our focus will be on the case of short-range interactions between the impurity and medium particles, which is appropriate for dilute quantum gases. For concreteness, we will restrict our attention to three-dimensional (3D) systems, since this is the situation for most of the cold-atom experiments that have been performed thus far. However, the phenomenology of the repulsive polaron branch is similar in two dimensions [28], and thus our discussion is also relevant to exciton polarons in atomically thin semiconductors [30].

2.1. Theoretical Description

In this review, we will assume that the medium consists of identical particles of mass $m_{\text{med}}$ which are in thermal equilibrium at a temperature $T$ and chemical potential $\mu$. The
medium can, for instance, correspond to an ideal Fermi gas or a weakly interacting Bose gas. It is governed by a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{med}}$ which, in the two cases, is given by either:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Fermi}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{med}} - \mu) \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where the creation operator $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}^+$ satisfies the usual fermionic commutation relations, or

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Bose}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{med}} - \mu) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}} V_B(\mathbf{q}) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^+ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}'}^+ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}},$$

with the bosonic creation operator $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^+$. The single-particle dispersion in the medium is $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{med}} = |\mathbf{k}|^2 / 2m_{\text{med}} \equiv k^2 / 2m_{\text{med}}$ at momentum $\mathbf{k}$. To be able to directly compare results for the two cases, we will define a Fermi wave vector $k_F = (6\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$ and Fermi energy $E_F = k_F^2 / 2m_{\text{med}}$ in terms of the density $n$ of the bath, independent of the medium statistics ($k_F$ and $E_F$ are often labeled $k_a$ and $E_a$ in the Bose polaron literature). In Equation (2) for a bosonic medium, we have introduced a boson interaction potential $V_B$ which is assumed to be of short range and characterized by a scattering length $a_B$ which is positive and small, $0 < na_B^3 < 1$, to ensure the stability of the Bose gas. Here and throughout this review we use units where the volume, Boltzmann’s constant $k_B$ and the reduced Planck’s constant $\hbar$ are all set to one.

Including the impurity degree of freedom as well as the impurity-medium interactions, we therefore have the total Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{med}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^i \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}} V(\mathbf{q}) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^+ \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}'},$$

(3)

Here, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^i$ is the impurity creation operator and $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = k^2 / 2m$ is the impurity kinetic energy, with $m$ the impurity mass. The bosonic operator $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^+$ corresponds to medium density operators, and takes the form $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^+ \hat{f}_{\mathbf{q}}^+$ or $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^+ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}}^+$ depending on the statistics of the medium. Note that we treat the impurity within the canonical ensemble, where we have a fixed number of impurities (one impurity in this case), while we use the grand canonical ensemble for the medium.

We have written the impurity-medium interactions in the Hamiltonian (3) in terms of a generic finite-range potential $V(\mathbf{q})$, which can in principle describe an actual repulsive interaction such as a soft-sphere potential, as well as the short-range attractive interactions that are the main focus of this review. Importantly, regardless of whether $V(\mathbf{q})$ is attractive or repulsive, the low-energy scattering amplitude between an impurity and a medium atom at relative momentum $\mathbf{k}$ can be cast in the universal form

$$f_\delta(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{1/a + i\mathbf{k}},$$

(4)

where $a$ is the $s$-wave scattering length. This allows us to employ pseudo-potentials for the impurity-medium interactions that simplify calculations and expose the universal physics.

In the following, we take $V(\mathbf{q}) = g$, where the constant $g$ is the coupling strength, and we introduce an ultraviolet cutoff $\Lambda$—effectively corresponding to the (inverse) range of the potential—on the relative collision momenta in all two-body scattering processes. We then use the low-energy scattering amplitude in Equation (4) to relate the physical parameter $a$ to the “bare” parameters of the model, $g$ and $\Lambda$, yielding the relation,

$$\frac{1}{g} = \frac{m_r}{2\pi a} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{med}}} = \frac{m_r}{2\pi a} - \frac{m_r}{2\pi^2 \Lambda},$$

(5)

where $m_r = (1/m + 1/m_{\text{med}})^{-1}$ is the reduced mass. Here, we immediately see that for repulsive interactions $g > 0$, we have the constraint $\pi / \Lambda > a > 0$, where $\pi / \Lambda$ mimics the
range of a repulsive potential. Thus, in this case, the scattering length \( a \) is always positive, and it has an upper limit set by the range of the potential itself.

In the case of ultracold atomic gases where the underlying van der Waals interactions are attractive, there are no such restrictions on the scattering length and it can be freely tuned to both positive and negative values by varying an external magnetic field. In particular, in the vicinity of a broad Feshbach resonance [41], the scattering length \( a \) can be enhanced to greatly exceed any other length scale in the problem, attaining the regime of unitarity-limited interactions with negligible contribution from finite-range corrections. When the scattering length is positive, there exists a shallow bound state between the impurity and a particle from the medium, with binding energy \( \varepsilon_b = 1/2m\alpha^2 \), corresponding to the pole of the scattering amplitude \( f_s(k) \) given by Equation (4), at \( k = i/a \) [62].

### 2.2. Quasiparticle Properties

The interactions between the impurity and the medium lead to excitations of the medium, and consequently the state corresponding to the bare impurity on top of an unperturbed bath is no longer an eigenstate of the system Hamiltonian. As illustrated in Figure 1, the resulting impurity quasiparticle has modified properties such as energy, mass, and residue (squared wave function overlap with the non-interacting state), and likewise the quasiparticle can acquire a finite lifetime. We now outline how these formally appear in the theory.

The quasiparticle properties at temperature \( T = 1/\beta \) are all encoded in the retarded impurity Green’s function,

\[
G(p, t) = -i\Theta(t) \text{tr}\left[\hat{\rho}_\text{med}\hat{c}_p(t)\hat{c}_p(0)\right],
\]

which is written in terms of the time-dependent impurity operator \( \hat{c}_p(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t}\hat{c}_p e^{-i\hat{H}t} \). Here, \( \hat{\rho}_\text{med} = e^{-\beta\hat{H}_\text{med}} / \text{tr}\left[e^{-\beta\hat{H}_\text{med}}\right] \) is the medium density matrix and the trace is over the eigenstates of the medium in the absence of the impurity. In a time-independent system, it is convenient to introduce the impurity Green’s function as a function of frequency via the Fourier transform,

\[
G(p, \omega) = \int dt e^{i\omega t} G(p, t).
\]

This satisfies the Dyson equation:

\[
G(p, \omega) = G_0(p, \omega) + G_0(p, \omega)\Sigma(p, \omega)G(p, \omega) = \frac{1}{G_0(p, \omega)^{-1} - \Sigma(p, \omega)},
\]

in terms of the impurity self energy \( \Sigma \) and the bare impurity propagator \( G_0(p, \omega) = 1/(\omega - \epsilon_p + i\Gamma) \), where the infinitesimal factor \(+i\Gamma\) shifts the pole slightly into the lower half plane.

The impurity self energy allows us to extract the quasiparticle properties [63]. In particular, the presence of a quasiparticle is related to a pole of the Green’s function, and in the vicinity of this pole we have:

\[
G(p, \omega) \simeq \frac{Z}{\omega - E - p^2/2m^* + i\Gamma},
\]

for small momenta. Here, the energy \( E \), residue \( Z \), effective mass \( m^* \), and damping rate \( \Gamma \) are related to the self energy as outlined in Table 1. The quasiparticle properties manifest themselves in the spectral function, defined from the Green’s function as:

\[
A(p, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[G(p, \omega)],
\]

which is one of the key experimental observables of quantum impurity physics (see Figure 1).
\[ \Delta N = \frac{1}{E} \left( \frac{C}{16\pi m_{\sigma}} + E \right) \] (Fermi); \[ \Delta N = -\frac{2}{3\mu} \left( \frac{C}{16\pi m_{\sigma}} + E + \frac{a_E}{2} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mu} \right) \] (Bose),

in the case of Fermi [70] and Bose polarons. These expressions differ due to the additional length scale \( a_B \) in the Bose gas and the density scaling of each chemical potential, which...
is \( \mu = E_F \) and \( \mu = 4\pi a g n / m_{\text{med}} \) for Fermi and Bose media, respectively. Moreover, the additional term in the Bose case can be regarded as a three-body contact [71] involving the impurity and two bosons\(^2\). As a direct consequence of Equation (14), the energy of attractive Fermi polarons at the unitary point (where \( a \) diverges) satisfies \( \Delta N = -E/E_F \). In general, we define \( C \) and \( \Delta N \) from the impurity self energy, as displayed in Table 1, such that we can apply these relationships to arbitrary quasiparticles with a finite lifetime—e.g., the repulsive polaron—not just those that correspond to an eigenstate.

2.2.1. Limit of Weak Interactions

In the limit of weak impurity-medium interactions \( k_F|a| \ll 1 \), one can apply perturbation theory to obtain exact analytical expressions for the quasiparticle properties. Here, the scattering length \( a \) can be either positive or negative, corresponding to repulsive or attractive polarons, respectively. Most notably, the behavior up to order \( (k_F a)^2 \) is universal and independent of the microscopic details of the interactions for both Fermi and Bose polarons. Indeed, the perturbative expressions at this order are even insensitive to whether the underlying interactions are attractive or repulsive.

Table 2 summarizes the perturbative results up to \( O(a^2) \) or to the lowest non-vanishing order for both types of polarons, where, for simplicity, we specialize to the case of an impurity of the same mass as the medium particles and we take \( T \) to be smaller than the interaction energy shift such that it has no effect at this order. In the case of the Fermi polaron, the perturbative expansion was first carried out by Bishop [72]. For the Bose polaron, the expansion was first considered by Novikov and Ovchinnikov [73] and requires \( |a|/\xi \ll 1 \) and \( a^2/(ag\xi) \ll 1 \), where we assume that the Bose medium is condensed with \( \xi = 1/\sqrt{8\pi n a g} \) the condensate healing length (note that the Bose polaron expansion has been carried out to even higher order in \( a \)—for details, see Ref. [74]). From Table 2 we see that, apart from \( \Gamma \) and the number of particles in the dressing cloud, the leading order behavior is the same, i.e., the statistics of the medium only enters at higher order. However, already beyond leading order, there are intriguing differences between the two cases. While the expressions for the correction to, e.g., the energy and the contact look quite different, they take a similar form when formulated in terms of the compressibility of the two media. For instance, relating the 2nd order term to the respective speeds of sound, \( c_F = k_F/(\sqrt{3}m) \) and \( c_B = 1/(\sqrt{2m}\xi) \), we find that the correction to the energy is comparable: \( \frac{2}{27}k_F a \approx 0.8ma_c \) and \( \frac{8\sqrt{2} \pi}{3\xi} \approx 1.7ma_c \).

**Table 2.** Perturbation theory results for quasiparticle properties in the case \( m = m_{\text{med}} \), evaluated up to 2nd order in the impurity-medium scattering length. The result for the damping rate \( \Gamma \) refers to the repulsive polaron in the case where we have short-range attractive impurity-medium interactions (see Section 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fermi Polaron</th>
<th>Bose Polaron</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E )</td>
<td>( \frac{4\pi a n}{m} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2\pi} k_F a \right) ) [72]</td>
<td>( \frac{4\pi a n}{m} \left( 1 + \frac{8\sqrt{2} \pi a}{3\xi} \right) ) [73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>( 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} (k_F a)^2 ) [75]</td>
<td>( 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\xi} \frac{a^2}{ag} ) [74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m^*/m )</td>
<td>( 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi a}} (k_F a)^2 ) [72]</td>
<td>( \frac{8(k_F a)^4}{3\pi a^4} E_F ) [28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Gamma )</td>
<td>( \frac{8(k_F a)^4}{3\pi a^4} E_F ) [28]</td>
<td>( \frac{4\pi a n}{m} ), if ( a = a_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C )</td>
<td>( 16\pi^2 n a^2 \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2\pi} k_F a \right) )</td>
<td>( 16\pi^2 n a^2 \left( 1 + \frac{16\sqrt{2} \pi a}{3\xi} \right) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta N )</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{3} k_F a - \frac{4}{\pi} (k_F a)^2 ) [77]</td>
<td>( \frac{a}{a^2_B} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{a^2}{ag} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2. Strong-Coupling Polarons

Going beyond the limit of weak impurity-medium interactions, the polaron problem generally becomes analytically intractable and one must turn to approximation methods. In particular, the metastable repulsive branch in the strong-coupling regime where \( |k_F a| \gtrsim 1 \) has been tackled with a wide variety of methods. For the Fermi polaron, these include variational methods [28,46,54,78], diagrammatic Monte Carlo [51], diagrammatic methods...
(\(T\)-matrix, many-body \(T\)-matrix and beyond) \([77,79–82]\), virial expansion \([80]\), functional renormalization group \([83]\), and functional determinants \([35]\). In the case of the Bose polaron, the repulsive branch has been investigated using diagrammatic methods \([84,85]\), variational methods \([35,86–88]\), quantum Monte Carlo \([38,89,90]\), virial expansion \([91]\), and functional renormalization group \([92,93]\). We will briefly discuss the variational method in Section 4; however an in-depth discussion of the other methods is beyond the scope of this review.

3. Experimental Probes

We now provide a brief description of the techniques used to probe and characterize quasiparticle properties in current experiments with ultracold atoms, and we discuss how the available experimental measurements compare with existing theories. This short overview focuses on the metastable repulsive polaron state, and mainly addresses the case of a fermionic medium, where the most advanced experimental protocols have been developed and already exploited successfully.

The most well-established experimental protocol for probing quasiparticles in ultracold atomic mixtures is radio-frequency (RF) spectroscopy \([42]\). In the last decade, RF spectroscopy has been the technique of choice to precisely address a variety of properties in ultracold atom experiments \([43]\). It has permitted the first direct observation of both attractive \([14]\) and repulsive \([16,17]\) Fermi polarons, and since then it has been exploited extensively in both Fermi and Bose polaron studies \([18,23,25,29,34–36]\). RF spectroscopy involves two internal (hyperfine) states of the impurity atoms which are coupled by an oscillating RF field. Because of its long wavelength, the RF field is essentially uniform over the sub-millimeter scale of atomic samples, and RF photon absorption transfers a negligible momentum to the atoms. The two coupled impurity states are chosen so as to feature different interaction strengths with the surrounding medium, which may in turn be composed of a third hyperfine state of the same atomic species or an entirely different atomic species.

In the case of the so-called injection spectroscopy, a weak RF pulse transfers impurities from a (nearly) non-interacting state to another state featuring strong interactions with the medium particles. To perform spectroscopy at varying interaction strength, the impurity-medium scattering length \(a\) is tuned by means of a Feshbach resonance \([41]\). Within the linear response regime, the RF signal is given by (see, e.g., Refs. \([94,95]\)):

\[
I_{\text{inj}}(\omega) \propto \sum p n_p A(p, \epsilon_p + \omega). \tag{15}
\]

Here, \(n_p\) is the initial momentum distribution function of the impurities, \(A(p, \omega)\) is the impurity spectral function given by Equation \((10)\), and we measure the frequency \(\omega\) from the bare transition frequency between the initial and final hyperfine states. Since the RF pulse has a finite duration, in order to describe the experimental spectral response, Equation \((15)\) has to be convoluted with a filter function whose width is inversely proportional to the duration of the RF pulse. To maintain a direct correspondence between the experimentally recorded spectrum and the impurity spectral function, care must be taken to operate sufficiently close to the linear response regime, as well as to work with sufficiently low impurity concentrations and sample temperatures. Otherwise, for instance, the first moment of the experimental RF signal may significantly deviate from the quasiparticle energy \([16,38]\).

Injection spectroscopy allows one to probe the entire many-body spectrum of strongly interacting impurities, since it addresses both the ground and the excited states of the impurity-medium Hamiltonian [see Equation \((3)\)]. Indeed, repulsive Fermi polarons have been revealed by injection spectroscopy (see Figure 2), first in a highly imbalanced, heteronuclear Fermi mixture \([16]\) and subsequently in a homonuclear spin mixture \([23]\). Similarly, injection RF spectroscopy of mobile impurities immersed in a Bose-Einstein condensate has allowed the observation of repulsive Bose polarons \([34,35]\). This RF spectroscopy technique

...
has been recently extended to the optical domain [26], exploiting the clock transition and
tunable clock state interactions in alkaline-earth-like atoms [96,97].

---

Figure 2. Experimental RF injection spectroscopy of impurities immersed in different media: (a) a three-dimensional (3D) Fermi gas [16], (b) a two-dimensional (2D) Fermi gas [26], and (c) a 3D Bose-Einstein condensate [35]. For the 3D and 2D fermionic backgrounds, the impurity-medium interaction strength is encoded by the dimensionless parameters $1/k_F a$ and $\log(k_F a_{2D})$, and are tuned via a narrow Feshbach resonance [16] and an orbital Feshbach resonance [26], respectively. The vertical energy scale is normalized to $E_F$ and the zero corresponds to the frequency of the atomic RF transition in the absence of the medium. The repulsive polaron energy branch is clearly visible in all cases at positive RF detuning, ceasing to be well defined upon approaching unitarity-limited interactions from the repulsive side, i.e., $a > 0$ or $\log(k_F a_{2D}) < 0$. Panel (a) is adapted from Ref. [16], panel (b) from Ref. [26], and panel (c) from Ref. [35].

The opposite protocol, where a strongly interacting state is flipped into a non-interacting state, is termed ejection spectroscopy, and has been extensively used to probe the ground state of strongly interacting mixtures with arbitrary population imbalance [43]. While ejection spectroscopy in general depends on the initial occupation of states in the strongly interacting system, it simplifies in the case of a very low impurity concentration, where the impurities are uncorrelated and the distribution function in Equation (15) reduces to a Boltzmann distribution. There, the ejection and injection spectra are directly related via [98]

$$I_{eq}(\omega) = e^{\beta\omega} e^{\beta\Delta F} I_{inj}(-\omega),$$

(16)

in terms of the difference in free energy between the interacting and the non-interacting impurity, $\Delta F$. The exponential prefactor suppresses the repulsive branch at positive energies, which clearly illustrates why ejection spectroscopy is ideally suited to investigations of ground-state properties.

The impurity RF response encapsulates a variety of essential information about polaronic states [3]. Most importantly, when the spectrum contains a well-defined quasiparticle, i.e., a coherent excitation of the medium, the main contribution to the spectral function stems
from the quasiparticle pole [see Equation (9)], and thus the mean and the width of the RF signal \( I_{\text{inj}}(\omega) \) yield a measure of the mean quasiparticle energy \( E + \langle p^2 \rangle / 2m^* \) and quasiparticle width \( \Gamma \), respectively (see also the inset of Figure 1). Ejection spectroscopy additionally allows one to extract the contact \( C \) of the attractive polaron [25,27,36,43,67], which is encoded in the high-frequency tail of the RF signal [99]. RF spectra have been successfully employed to extract the quasiparticle energies of both attractive and repulsive (Bose and Fermi) polarons as a function of the impurity-medium interaction strength [14,16,17,23,29,34,35] and temperature [25,36]. Experimental results for the energies of repulsive Fermi and Bose polarons at the lowest achieved temperatures in homonuclear mixtures are shown in Figure 3, where they are also compared to existing theories. Note that, while the energy of Fermi polarons depends only on a single parameter (up to second order, only on \( k_F a \)), the energy of Bose polarons depends additionally on \( a/\xi \) [100]. Future spectroscopic studies in homogeneous Bose and Fermi gases could resolve the remaining discrepancies between available measurements performed with harmonically trapped samples and calculations at fixed density.
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**Figure 3.** Energy of repulsive Fermi polarons (blue symbols and lines) and Bose polarons (orange symbols and lines) in the equal-mass case. RF spectroscopy experimental data are taken from Ref. [23] (filled blue circles) and Ref. [38] (filled orange squares). We also display theoretical results obtained by a non self-consistent T-matrix approach [77] (solid blue line), functional renormalization group [83] (dashed blue line) and a variational wavefunction [78] (dotted light blue line) for the repulsive Fermi polaron, and by the truncated basis method (TBM) [35] (solid orange line) and fixed-node diffusion QMC [38] (empty orange diamonds) for the repulsive Bose polaron. The mean-field prediction \( E = 4\pi na/m \equiv E_F \frac{1}{\pi^2} k_F a \) (purple dot-dashed line) coincides for the Bose and Fermi polarons.

The quasiparticle width can also be obtained from the RF spectra within linear response, although a precise extraction becomes increasingly difficult as the quasiparticle spectral peak becomes either narrow or broad with respect to the polaron energy. Here, there are strong distinctions between the attractive and repulsive branches, and between Fermi and Bose polarons. In the latter Bose polaron case, the attractive polaron quasiparticle with a finite residue remains the ground state at all interaction strengths, and hence the quasiparticle width \( \Gamma \) always vanishes at zero temperature. However, for the Fermi polaron, the attractive polaron is the many-body ground state only for \( 1/k_F a \) up to the so-called polaron-molecule transition [59,101,102]. At finite temperature, the attractive polaron width in the RF signal is simply linked to the impurity-medium collision rate [25,103]. Conversely, the metastable repulsive polaron retains a finite lifetime even for a zero-momentum impurity, which for weak coupling is governed by a many-body dephasing mechanism rather than by the decay to lower-lying attractive states (see below and Section 4).

The polaron effective mass is rather challenging to probe, as it requires one to access the polaron dispersion relation, i.e., to probe the spectral response at different impurity
momenta [see Equation (9)]. An effective spectroscopic technique relies on the fact that, due to the enhanced effective mass of polarons \( m^* > m \), a moving polaron transferred into a final non-interacting state, or vice versa, will have a RF response peak that varies with the impurity momentum. For the case of fermionic impurities, one can effectively adjust the mean impurity momentum by simply varying the impurity concentration, while still remaining within the highly polarized limit. Indeed, owing to the Pauli exclusion principle, at low but finite temperatures the mean impurity momentum will progressively increase, moving from the (small) thermal value in the limit of vanishing concentration to the (larger) one set by the Fermi momentum of the minority component, which starts building its own Fermi sea for higher concentration. This effect has been successfully exploited, both in ejection and injection RF spectroscopy, to yield estimates of the attractive and repulsive Fermi polaron effective mass [14, 23] (see Figure 4). Although it has not been demonstrated thus far, the same method could be in principle employed in Bose polaron experiments dealing with fermionic impurities [34, 36]. However, such a strategy cannot be applied to Bose or Fermi polarons that are realized using bosonic minority particles [29, 35]. Furthermore, a general drawback of this technique is that it is a priori difficult to disentangle the measurement of the quasiparticle effective mass from the possible impact of weak polaron–polaron interactions, that are expected to become progressively more relevant as the impurity concentration is increased.

![Figure 4. Effective mass of the repulsive Fermi polaron in the equal-mass case: experimental results (blue circles) and theoretical results from a T-matrix approach (dotted green line) [77]. Experimentally, \( m^* \) is observed to diverge and to even turn negative when approaching the unitary limit, compatibly with a thermodynamic instability of the repulsive polaron Fermi liquid [102, 104] at strong coupling. The remaining deviation between theory and experimental data may result from higher-order particle-hole excitations not taken into account in the calculation, as well as from weak polaron–polaron interactions which are neglected in the experimental extraction of \( m/m^* \). The figure is adapted from Ref. [23].](image)

Momentum-resolved ejection spectroscopy has also been exploited in a highly polarized spin mixture in 2D to directly measure the impurity energy dispersion curve as a function of momentum, yielding an estimate of the attractive Fermi polaron mass [17]. Experimental measurements of the Bose polaron effective mass are presently lacking. Novel Raman spectroscopic techniques [27] could be exploited to study the impurity spectral response upon imparting an adjustable momentum to the impurities, both in the case of fermionic and bosonic media. This may allow one to perform two-photon injection spectroscopy and extract the effective mass of repulsive polarons.

The injection and ejection RF spectroscopic probes which we have considered thus far are suited to precisely access quasiparticle spectral properties, as they are well resolved in energy. However, they cannot be exploited to track the formation of quasiparticles in real time, because they lack the time resolution necessary to monitor the rapid build-up
of the polaron dressing cloud. Conversely, dynamical probes such as many-body Ramsey interferometry are well adapted to this scope [46,52–55], and have indeed enabled the experimental observation of the formation (and the decoherence) of both Fermi and Bose polarons [22,37], with the long-time value of the Ramsey contrast being connected to the quasiparticle residue [22,54]. It has also been shown that coherently driving Rabi oscillations between the two impurity states involved in the RF spectroscopy protocol is a powerful complementary technique to probe the polaron quasiparticle properties [28,54]. In particular, the residue $Z$ is directly connected to the Rabi frequency $Ω$ normalized to the bare Rabi frequency $Ω_0$ [16], which is obtained by performing Rabi oscillations in the absence of the medium. Indeed, $\sqrt{Z}$ essentially quantifies the wavefunction overlap between the polaron state and the bare, non-interacting impurity, and one finds that $Z \simeq (\Omega^2 + \Gamma^2)/\Omega^2_0$ as long as $\Gamma \lesssim \sqrt{Z}\Omega_0$ [28]. This connection affords an alternative route to estimating the quasiparticle residue from the area of the coherent spectral response [14,25,36], a method which is extremely challenging once the coherent quasiparticle resonance approaches the onset of the molecular continuum.

Figure 5. Rabi oscillations between non-interacting and strongly interacting impurity states in a fermionic medium. (a) Points are experimental measurements, while lines denote theoretical predictions based on a variational ansatz with no free parameters [28]. Shaded regions allow for experimental parameter uncertainties. (b,c) Normalized Rabi frequency extracted from experimental Rabi oscillations (symbols) in mass-imbalanced [in (b)] [16] and equal-mass [in (c)] [23] mixtures are compared to theoretical predictions for $\sqrt{Z}$ [in (b)] and $Z$ [in (c)] (lines), obtained with a $T$-matrix method [16,23,77]. Solid lines in panel (c) take into account the effect of small initial-state interactions. Panel (a) is adapted from Ref. [28], panel (b) from Ref. [16], and panel (c) from Ref. [23].

Rabi oscillations have allowed experiments to obtain the quasiparticle residue of attractive and repulsive Fermi polarons [16,23,26] (see Figure 5). By contrast, the extension of such a method to bosonic media is currently lacking. While this technique appears to be potentially well-suited also to investigate the Bose polaron properties in two-species mixtures [34,36], it cannot be directly applied to single-species experiments [35] where the impurity particles are created upon transferring atoms from the host condensate—prepared in a certain hyperfine state—into another atomic level. Theoretically, it has recently been shown that the damping rate of Rabi oscillations can be essentially identified with the quasiparticle damping rate $\Gamma$ for coherent quasiparticles with $\Gamma \lesssim \sqrt{Z}\Omega_0$ [28]. Thus, if the repulsive branch is both present and remains coherent, valuable information on the lifetime of repulsive polarons may be extracted from the damping rate of Rabi oscillations in experiment. As shown in panel (a) of Figure 6, the measured damping rate of Rabi oscillations of impurities in a Fermi gas is found to be in excellent agreement with the
quasiparticle damping rate $\Gamma$ obtained from a finite-temperature variational calculation containing a single particle-hole excitation [28,46,98]—see Section 4 for a detailed discussion of this approach.
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**Figure 6.** Stability of the repulsive Fermi polaron in the equal-mass, broad-resonance case. (a) Decoherence rate $\Gamma$ of the repulsive Fermi polaron. (b) Population decay rate $\Gamma_p$ of the repulsive branch to lower-lying states. Circles are experimental data points from Ref. [23], obtained by measuring: (a) the damping rate of Rabi oscillations on the repulsive polaron, or (b) the relaxation of the upper branch measured via a double-pulse experiment. In panel (a), lines denote the quasiparticle spectral width $\Gamma$ calculated with a variational method [28,46,98] at $T = 0$ (dashed, green) and $T = 0.13 E_F$ (solid, green), with functional renormalization group (red, dot-dashed) [83], and at the first non-vanishing order in perturbation theory, $\Gamma_{\text{pert}} = \frac{8(k_F a)^3}{9 \pi^3} E_F$ [28] (dotted, green—see Table 2). In panel (b), lines denote the upper branch decay rate predicted by considering polaron-to-polaron recombination (green) [77], polaron-to-free particle recombination (grey) [23], and three-body molecular recombination (yellow) [105]. Panel (b) is adapted from Ref. [23].

In order to quantify the stability of the repulsive branch against relaxation to lower-lying attractive states, double-pulse sequences have been employed to directly address the repulsive branch de-population rate $\Gamma_p$ [16,17,23,26,29] (see Figure 6b). This technique is weakly sensitive to momentum, because it requires fast $\pi$-pulses with large Rabi frequency $\Omega \sim E_F$, thus coupling to all impurities in the medium irrespective of their kinetic energy. Theoretical calculations of the upper branch population decay rate $\Gamma_p$, based on variants of $T$-matrix techniques including two and three particle processes, match well with experimental measurements in Fermi–Fermi and Fermi-Bose mixtures at a narrow Feshbach resonance with heavy impurities for all couplings [16,29] and in the strongly-interacting region at a broad resonance in the mass-balanced case [23]. For the equal-mass, broad-resonance case [23] at weaker couplings $1/k_F a \gtrsim 1$ the measured repulsive branch decay rate was instead found to match well with the predicted rate of recombination into dimers via three-body processes, $\Gamma_3$ [105], as expected in the spin-balanced regime of a Fermi mixture [56,106]. Future theory approaches beyond the one particle-hole approximation for Fermi polarons may succeed in describing the trend of $\Gamma_p$ for an extended range of couplings in the equal-mass, universal broad-resonance case.

To conclude, in a fermionic medium with $1/k_F a \gtrsim 1$, the repulsive branch decay rate $\Gamma_p$ is generally significantly smaller than the quasiparticle width $\Gamma$ (see Figure 6), in both mass-imbalanced and mass-balanced scenarios [16,23], thereby showing that the lifetime of repulsive Fermi polarons is mostly limited by decoherence processes (see also Section 4). Future experiments with repulsive fermionic impurities immersed in a Bose medium, possibly realized by mass-imbalanced mixtures with reduced three-body losses [107], could further address the important question of the stability of repulsive Bose polarons.

### 4. Repulsive Quasiparticle Stability

The repulsive polaron quasiparticle is challenging to investigate theoretically, since it is a metastable object in quantum gases where the underlying short-range interactions are attractive. In particular, there has been much debate about what controls the stability of the quasi-
particle, as encoded by the quasiparticle damping rate $\Gamma$ in Equation (9) [16,21,23,28,77,83]. As discussed in Section 3, $\Gamma$ directly manifests itself in the damping of Rabi oscillations and in the broadening of the quasiparticle peak in the spectral function. However, the quasiparticle damping rate has turned out to be far from trivial to calculate even in the weak-coupling limit [23,28].

In this section, we will focus on the case of an impurity at rest, such that we can exclude any spectral broadening due to momentum relaxation [21,103], where the polaron lowers its momentum via collisions. To gain insight into the quasiparticle stability, let us start with the non-interacting impurity-medium system at $T = 0$ and then imagine gradually increasing the scattering length $a$ from zero, such that we adiabatically populate the repulsive branch. In the weak-coupling limit $k_Fa \ll 1$ (Table 2), the behavior of the metastable repulsive polaron up to order $a^2$ is indistinguishable from that of the purely repulsive case, resembling an infinitely long-lived quasiparticle in the ground state. However, as we further increase the strength of the interactions, the metastable polaron develops a non-zero $\Gamma$, in contrast to the purely repulsive ground-state polaron. If we assume that $\Gamma$ is dictated by the decay into the attractive branch at negative energies (as it was initially assumed [16,77]), then we can estimate its leading order behavior in $a$ from calculations of three-body recombination involving the impurity and two medium particles. This yields the scaling $a^6$ for the Fermi polaron case [105] and $a^4$ for the Bose polaron when $a = a_B$ [108], which can be understood from the fact that the population decay rate $\Gamma_p$ in this limit must scale as $n^2$ in the Bose case and $E_Fn^2$ in the Fermi polaron case. However, an alternative mechanism for the quasiparticle damping has recently been proposed [28], whereby the repulsive polaron loses its coherence by coupling to the many-body continuum at positive energies, as illustrated in Figure 7. Crucially, this many-body dephasing enters at a lower power of $a$ (see Table 2) and thus dominates $\Gamma$ at weak coupling. This is consistent with experimental observations in Figure 6, as discussed in Section 3.

![Figure 7. Behavior of repulsive polarons for underlying attractive interactions (a) and purely repulsive interactions (b). In the former case, the polaron is embedded in a continuum (grey shading) at positive energies, thus resulting in broadening with increasing $k_Fa$. By contrast, in the latter case, the continuum lies above the polaron energy and the polaron has an infinite lifetime.](image)

As we approach strong interactions, we eventually expect both many-body dephasing and relaxation to the lower branch to determine the repulsive polaron lifetime. In this regime, the repulsive polaron becomes increasingly ill-defined and it is quite challenging to calculate the associated quasiparticle properties. Indeed, as illustrated in Figure 8, different state-of-the-art calculations give inconsistent results for the spectral function in the case of the Fermi polaron, clearly indicating the need for future work. In particular, we see that the theories even disagree on the shape of the repulsive polaron peak at unitary, as well as yielding different results for the peak position and width.

In the following, we will delve further into one particular method, namely the variational method, since this describes many-body dephasing within the upper branch and provides a particularly intuitive way of accounting for excitations of the medium. We will also show how the case of purely repulsive interactions results in a ground-state polaron with $\Gamma = 0$ in this approach. Finally, we will examine the special case of an infinitely heavy impurity, since it admits an exact solution that serves as a useful reference point for the repulsive polaron, even though the quasiparticle residue $Z$ vanishes in this case.
Figure 8. Spectral function $A(\omega) \equiv A(0, \omega)$ of the Fermi polaron for $m = m_{\text{med}}$ and $T = 0$, calculated using a $T$-matrix formalism [77] (black, solid), functional renormalization group [83] (green, dashed), and diagrammatic Monte Carlo [51] (red, dotted), where in the latter case, we display the maximally smooth solution [51]. We show the results for slightly different values of $1/k_Fa \approx 1$ (a), and for $1/k_Fa = 0$ (b).

4.1. Variational Description of Attractive and Repulsive Polarons

The basic idea of the variational method is to construct a variational form of the time-dependent impurity operator and then use this to approximate the impurity Green’s function (6). In particular, it has proved remarkably fruitful to consider “truncated” operators with up to one or two excitations of the medium [28,46,88,98]. For the Fermi polaron, the simplest form we can write down for the zero-momentum impurity operator is [46]:

$$\hat{c}_0(t) \simeq a_0(t) \hat{c}_0 + \sum_{k,q} a_{kq}(t) f^\dagger_q f_{k-q},$$

where the time-dependent complex functions $a_j(t)$ are variational parameters. Equation (17) can be regarded as the operator version of the variational wave function originally introduced by F. Chevy for the attractive Fermi polaron [109]. However, unlike the original Chevy ansatz which only describes the attractive polaron ground state, the time-dependent variational operator can capture all the interacting impurity states at arbitrary temperature. In particular, the variational operator becomes exact in the high-temperature limit, where it becomes equivalent to the leading order contribution to the virial expansion [46].

Similarly, the operator in the case of the Bose polaron has the form [88]:

$$\hat{c}_0(t) \simeq a_0(t) \hat{c}_0 + \sum_q a^q(t) b^\dagger_q b_q + \sum_k a^k(t) b^\dagger_k b_{-k} + \sum_{k,q} a_{kq}(t) f^\dagger_q f_{k-q} + \ldots,$$

where we have only explicitly written the terms with up to one excitation of the medium. Note that this differs slightly from the Fermi polaron case, since the second and third terms in Equation (18) describe bosons being scattered into and out of the condensate, respectively. In principle, we could obtain the exact impurity operator by including an infinite number of possible excitations. However, in practice, this is only feasible for special cases such as the infinitely heavy impurity in a non-interacting quantum gas.

To proceed, we consider the “error operator” $\hat{\epsilon}(t) = i\partial_t\hat{c}_0(t) - [\hat{c}_0(t), \hat{H}]$, which quantifies how well the approximate time-dependent impurity operator satisfies the Heisenberg equation of motion. We then construct the error quantity $\Delta(t) \equiv \text{tr} [\hat{\rho}_{\text{med}} \hat{\epsilon}(t) \hat{\epsilon}^\dagger(t)]$, which we minimize with respect to $\partial_t a^*_j(t)$ in order to obtain the differential equations for the parameters $a_j(t)$. Specializing to the Fermi polaron and taking the stationary condition $a_j(t) = a_j e^{-i\omega_j t}$, we finally obtain the coupled set of equations...
\[ \omega a_0 = gn a_0 + \sum_{k,q} \Lambda (1 - n_k) n_q a_{kq} \]

\[ \omega a_{kq} = \left[ \epsilon_{q-k} + \epsilon_{k}^{\text{med}} - \epsilon_{q}^{\text{med}} + gn \right] a_{kq} + gn a_0 + \sum_{k'} \Lambda (1 - n_{k'}) a_{k'q} - \sum_{q} \Lambda n_q a_{kq'}, \]

where we have used the simplified impurity-medium interaction defined by Equation (5), and we have the Fermi–Dirac distribution for the medium particles,

\[ n_k = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\epsilon_k^{\text{med}} - \mu)/T}}. \]

For the case of purely repulsive interactions, Equation (5) requires \( g > 2\pi a/m_r > 0 \), and we can see in Equation (19) that all the single-particle impurity energies are shifted upwards by \( gn \), the Born approximation of the interaction energy. Furthermore, \( gn \) corresponds to an upper bound on the repulsive polaron energy in the ground state since \( E \leq \langle 0 | \hat{H}_0 | 0 \rangle = gn \), where \( |0\rangle \) is the state of the undisturbed medium at zero temperature. Therefore, the lowest energy solution to Equation (19) at zero temperature will always lie below the onset of the scattering continuum, and thus the variational approach yields a ground-state repulsive polaron with an infinite lifetime (see Figure 7). This energy gap between the polaron ground state and the onset of the continuum is an artifact of the approximation (similar to what was found for the attractive polaron [104]), since an exact theory with an infinite number of particle-hole excitations will contain excited polaronic states with energies arbitrarily close to the ground-state energy. Note that Equation (19) correctly yields the mean-field energy \( E \approx 2\pi n/m_r \) in the limit \( n \to 0 \), which one can show by making use of Equation (5).

For attractive impurity-medium interactions, we can take the limit \( g \to 0^- \) and still have a well-defined scattering length \( a \), provided we also take \( \Lambda \to \infty \). This corresponds to the case of a zero-range potential, which is a reasonable description for a dilute atomic gas where all the relevant length scales are much greater than the range of the van der Waals interactions. In this case, Equation (19) is equivalent to the non-self-consistent T-matrix approach [46,110], where the impurity self energy \( \Sigma = g \sum_{k,q} (1 - n_k) n_q a_{kq}/a_0 \) corresponds to

\[ \Sigma(0, \omega) = \sum_{q} n_q \left[ \frac{m_r}{2\pi a} - \sum_{k} \left( \frac{1 - n_k}{\omega - \epsilon_{q-k} - \epsilon_{k}^{\text{med}} + \epsilon_{q}^{\text{med}} + i0} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_k + \epsilon_k^{\text{med}}} \right) \right]^{-1}. \]

In contrast to purely repulsive interactions, we see here that there is no shift of the single-particle impurity energies and thus the self energy acquires an imaginary part when \( \omega > 0 \). For Bose polarons above the BEC critical temperature, the self energy has the same form as Equation (21), where the Fermi distributions are instead replaced by Bose distributions, and we have \( 1 + n_k \) rather than \( 1 - n_k \) in Equation (21).

We can determine the lifetime of the metastable repulsive Fermi polaron in the weak-coupling limit by expanding Equation (21) in \( a \) and computing the lowest order contribution to the imaginary part [28]. This yields, for arbitrary temperature,

\[ \Gamma \approx - \text{Im}[\Sigma(0, E)] = \pi \left( \frac{2\pi a}{m_r} \right)^2 \sum_{k,q} n_q (1 - n_k) \delta \left( E - \epsilon_{q-k} - \epsilon_{k}^{\text{med}} + \epsilon_{q}^{\text{med}} \right) \] (Fermi).

Here, we see how \( \Gamma \) is derived from the many-body continuum of states at positive energies, which is qualitatively distinct from the process of relaxation to lower energy states. Taking \( T = 0 \), \( m = m_{\text{med}} \) and using the lowest order energy \( E = 4\pi n/m \) then gives the expression for \( \Gamma \) in Table 2. We stress that this imaginary contribution arising from many-body dephasing is not canceled by third-order diagrams involving self-energy insertions [74], since these only yield real terms.
The quasiparticle damping rate of the repulsive Bose polaron can be obtained in a similar manner, yielding the zero-temperature expression:

$$\Gamma \simeq \pi n \left( \frac{2\pi a}{m_r} \right)^2 \sum_k \frac{\epsilon_k^{\text{med}}}{E_k} \delta(E - \epsilon_k - E_k) \quad (\text{Bose}),$$

(23)

where the Bogoliubov dispersion $E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^{\text{med}}(\epsilon_k^{\text{med}} + 2\mu)}$, and we have used the second-order self energy in Ref. [74]. In this case, $\Gamma$ depends on both the boson–boson and impurity-boson interactions. However, if we consider the special case where $a_B = a$ and $m = m_{\text{med}}$, we obtain the simple expression in Table 2.

Finally, let us contrast the quasiparticle decoherence process described in this section with the standard Fermi liquid picture. There, the dominant mechanism for quasiparticle damping is momentum relaxation, which at low temperatures yields a lifetime proportional to $1/T^2$ [25], and at zero temperatures to $1/p^4$ [103,111] for attractive Fermi polarons. However, the repulsive polaron has a finite lifetime at zero momentum, even in the absence of any decay into lower-lying excitations (such as attractive polarons, dressed molecules and tightly-bound dimers). In this sense, the repulsive polaron defies the standard Fermi liquid picture, which predicts that the lifetime should diverge at the impurity “Fermi surface” ($k = 0$) [111].

4.2. The Case of an Infinitely Heavy Impurity

A useful reference system is that of an infinitely heavy impurity, which could in principle be approximately realized in cold-atom experiments by pinning the impurity atoms in tight traps. Remarkably, this problem affords analytic expressions for the spectral function when the medium is an ideal gas. Most notably, the Fermi polaron in the limit $m/m_{\text{med}} \to \infty$ experiences the celebrated Anderson’s orthogonality catastrophe [112], where the impurity ground states with and without interactions with the surrounding fermionic bath are orthogonal, i.e., the quasiparticle residue $Z = 0$, and the spectrum features a power-law singularity, as quantified by the threshold behavior

$$A(\omega) \sim \theta(\omega - \omega_0)(\omega - \omega_0)^{\alpha - 1}.$$ (24)

Here, the power law coefficient $\alpha = \delta(k_F^2) / \pi^2$ is related to the impurity-fermion scattering phase shift $\delta(k) = -\tan^{-1}(ka)$ evaluated at the Fermi surface, and the repulsive branch of the spectrum starts at: $\omega_0 = -\int_{k_F}^{E_F} dE \delta(\sqrt{2mE}) / \pi$.

More recently, the case of an infinitely heavy impurity in an ideal Bose gas has also been investigated [113]. This is, of course, a highly singular limit. Even for a finite impurity mass, the lack of compressibility of the ideal Bose gas implies that $Z = 0$ for the ground state [87,100,114,115] and that the ground-state energy itself becomes ill defined for $a \geq 0$. This highlights the crucial role played by boson-boson interactions in stabilizing the system [116]. Despite this, the ideal Bose polaron spectrum remains well-defined, and in the case of an infinitely heavy impurity it can be obtained from an analytic solution of the impurity time evolution [113]. The analysis of Ref. [113] demonstrated a threshold behavior of the repulsive branch, valid when $0 < k_F a \ll 1$,

$$A(\omega) \sim \theta(\omega - \omega_0)(\omega - \omega_0)^{-3/2} \exp\left( -\frac{8\pi n^2 a^4}{m(\omega - \omega_0)} \right),$$ (25)

where $\omega_0 = 2\pi n a / m$. As in the Fermi case, the spectral function contains a power law, but in this case there is a strong suppression of spectral weight associated with low-energy excitations, preventing the power-law singularity.

The behavior in the two cases is illustrated in Figure 9. One major difference is that the Fermi case features a spectral gap between the attractive and repulsive branches when $a > 0$, whereas the Bose case instead has a strongly suppressed weight between the branches.
Away from the resonance, the repulsive branches look reasonably similar; however as we approach the resonance, the behavior is remarkably different. We emphasize that in both the Fermi and Bose cases the problem is integrable, and completely determined by the solution of the two-body problem involving the impurity and a particle from the medium. Therefore, there is no analog of three-body recombination, and hence the population decay rate $\Gamma_p$ is identically 0. Likewise, our argument for the many-body dephasing relied on the existence of a well-defined quasiparticle that is pushed up into a scattering continuum, and thus also does not apply in either case. These points illustrate the strong qualitative differences between the mobile and the fixed impurity for properties beyond the impurity energy.

![Figure 9. Spectral function of an infinitely heavy impurity in an ideal Fermi gas at $T = 0.01 E_F$ (blue, solid) [52] or an ideal Bose gas at $T = 0$ [113] (purple, dashed). We show the result for $1/k_Fa = 1$ (a) and $1/k_Fa = 0$ (b). On the left, the two attractive peaks in the Bose case are due to single or double occupation of the impurity-boson bound state.](image)

5. Beyond the Impurity Limit: Induced Interactions and Instabilities

5.1. Polaron-Polaron Induced Interactions

When considering more than one impurity, the problem becomes significantly more complex at low temperatures where there are correlations between impurities. On the one hand, the statistics of the impurities starts to play a role. On the other, a variety of thermodynamic phases exist for the various components, which may be normal or condensed, mixed or phase-separated, etc. Below we will treat separately the different cases which arise depending on the statistics of the bath and the impurities.

5.1.1. Bosonic Impurities in a Fermi Sea

The energy density of a gas containing $N_{\uparrow}$ bosonic impurities immersed in a bath of $N_{\uparrow} \gg N_{\downarrow}$ ideal fermions may be written as [117]:

$$E(n_{\uparrow}, n_{\downarrow}) = \frac{3}{2} E_F n_{\uparrow} + E_{\downarrow} n_{\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} F n_{\downarrow}^2. \quad (26)$$

The various terms represent, in order, the (purely kinetic) energy of the unperturbed Fermi sea, the energy of isolated polarons, and the contribution due to polaron–polaron interactions. We have omitted the mean kinetic energy of the impurities, which is negligible when cold bosonic impurities are considered.

The effective interaction $F$ between Landau quasiparticles can be split into two contributions: $F = g_{\uparrow} + g_{\downarrow}$. The first is the direct interaction, $g_{\uparrow} = 4\pi a_{11}/m_{\downarrow}$, where $a_{11}$ is the scattering length between bare impurities (and we assume $|k_Fa_{11}| \lesssim 1$). The second term instead describes an exchange contribution, mediated by particle-hole excitations of the Fermi sea.

To obtain an explicit expression for the exchange interaction term, we follow the simple derivation outlined in Refs. [118,119]. An $\uparrow$ atom and a $\downarrow$ polaron interact with a coupling constant $g_{\downarrow}$ given by:

$$g_{\downarrow} = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial n_{\uparrow} \partial n_{\downarrow}} = \frac{\partial \mu_{\uparrow}}{\partial n_{\downarrow}} = \frac{\partial \mu_{\downarrow}}{\partial n_{\uparrow}}. \quad (27)$$
Here and in the following, derivatives with respect to the density of a component will be taken at fixed density of the other. To second order in $\xi_k$, the polaron-polaron interaction is then given by:

$$E^{(2)} = -\frac{g^2}{V^2} \sum_{k,p,q} (1 - n_{k+q}^\uparrow) (1 + n_{p-q}^\downarrow) n_{p}^\downarrow n_{k}^\uparrow,$$

where $n_k^\uparrow$ and $n_p^\downarrow$ indicate, respectively, Fermi and Bose distribution functions, since we are assuming bosonic impurities in a Fermi bath, and we have introduced the polaron dispersion $c_p^* = p^2/2m^*$. The exchange contribution to Landau’s polaron–polaron interaction is then obtained by differentiating with respect to the distribution functions of the two quasiparticles,

$$F_x = -\frac{\delta^2 E^{(2)}}{\delta n_{p-q}^\downarrow \delta n_{p}^\uparrow},$$

where both $p$ and $q$ are assumed to be vanishingly small. Performing the functional derivatives one finds:

$$F_x = -\frac{g^2}{V} \left( \sum_k \frac{n_k^\uparrow - n_{k+q}^\uparrow}{e_{k+q}^{\text{med}} - e_k^{\text{med}}} \right)_{q \to 0} = -g^2 L,$$

where $L$ is the so-called Lindhard function, which at zero temperature coincides with the density of states at the Fermi surface $N = \left( \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mu} \right)_F = \frac{3n_r}{\pi^2}$. Collecting the above results, at zero temperature we obtain:

$$F_x = -g^2 N = -\left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n_\uparrow} \right)^2 \frac{\partial n_\uparrow}{\partial n_\uparrow} = -\left( \frac{\partial \mu_\uparrow}{\partial n_\uparrow} \right)^2 \frac{\partial n_\uparrow}{\partial n_\uparrow}.$$

To simplify this expression, we use the triple product rule $(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y})_z (\frac{\partial y}{\partial z})_y (\frac{\partial z}{\partial x})_x = -1$ to find:

$$-\left( \frac{\partial \mu_\uparrow}{\partial n_\downarrow} \right) \frac{\partial n_\uparrow}{\partial n_\downarrow} \mid_{\mu_\uparrow} = \frac{\partial n_\uparrow}{\partial n_\downarrow} \mid_{\mu_\uparrow} = \Delta N,$$

where $\Delta N$ is the number of bath particles in the dressing cloud of an impurity introduced in Equation (12). The latter expression shows that the induced quasiparticle interaction for bosonic impurities may be compactly written as

$$F_x = -\frac{(\Delta N)^2}{N}.$$

This final result highlights the power and beauty of Fermi liquid theory: to derive the effective interaction we have used perturbation theory to describe the weak interaction mediated by the bath between two quasiparticles. The strong-coupling effects which generate the quasiparticles themselves are fully taken into account in Equation (33) by means of $\Delta N$, a quantity which must be computed using a suitable theory describing the strongly coupled $N + 1$ problem (like those described in the earlier sections of this work). As such, Equation (33) holds for arbitrarily strong impurity-bath interaction strength provided there are no instabilities or transitions that invalidate the use of Fermi liquid theory.

Very recent measurements targeting locally-large concentrations of bosonic impurities in a Fermi bath showed a trend compatible with this Fermi liquid prediction [29,121], but more accurate observations are needed to clearly pinpoint the phenomenon. Indeed, multiple issues render the measurements complicated in the case of a Bose–Fermi mixture. First, the relevant Feshbach resonances featured a relatively small magnetic field width, so
that magnetic field instabilities generated large error bars. Second, the bosonic component is highly compressible, so that the density distributions can vary rapidly before and after the "injection". Indeed, for repulsive Bose–Fermi interactions, the mixture is highly unstable towards phase-separation [117,122,123]. On the other hand, the absence of Pauli blocking in the minority component means that it is possible to create mixtures featuring large local concentrations of impurities. As such, Bose–Fermi mixtures represent a very favorable setting for studying polaron–polaron induced interactions.

5.1.2. Fermionic Impurities in a Fermi Sea

When a fermionic bath hosts distinguishable fermionic impurities, the energy density may be written as:

$$E(n_{\uparrow}, n_{\downarrow}) = \frac{3}{5} E_F n_{\uparrow} + E_{\text{kin}} n_{\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} F n_{\downarrow}^2. \quad (34)$$

A number of differences are present with respect to the discussion of bosonic impurities given in the previous Section 5.1.1:

i. There is no direct interaction between identical fermionic impurities (i.e., $F = F_x$).

ii. Pauli pressure dictates that impurities form their own Fermi sea, with Fermi energy $E_{F\downarrow} = (n_{\downarrow}/n_{\uparrow})^{2/3} (m/m^*) E_F$. The corresponding contribution $E_{\text{kin}}\downarrow = \frac{3}{5} E_{F\downarrow} n_{\downarrow}$ to the energy density can be sizable, and this indeed permitted a direct measurement of the effective mass $m^*$ of the polarons via injection RF spectroscopy in Ref. [23].

iii. Correspondingly, final states available to the interacting impurities are "Pauli blocked", rather than "Bose enhanced", so that in the numerator of Equation (28) one needs to replace $(1 + n_{\downarrow}^{\pm} p - q)$ by $(1 - n_{\downarrow}^{\pm} p - q)$, where $n_{\downarrow}^{\pm}$ is now a Fermi distribution function. As a consequence, the functional derivative with respect to the distribution functions of the minority particles in Equation (29) leads to an overall sign change in the exchange interaction term for fermionic impurities, which ultimately becomes repulsive and reads:

$$F_x = \frac{(\Delta \tilde{N})^2}{N}. \quad (35)$$

Despite intense efforts, experiments on Fermi–Fermi mixtures have so far proved unable to unambiguously detect the presence of induced polaron–polaron interactions. Indeed, reported measurements remain compatible within the experimental uncertainties with a description in terms of uncorrelated quasiparticles up to sizable concentrations of impurities [14,23]. Somewhat surprisingly, the contribution $F n_{\downarrow}^2/2$ due to induced interactions between quasiparticles disappears from the equation of state when one switches from the canonical description used above to a grand-canonical formulation [124]. In the latter case, the equation of state for the mixture gives a pressure which is the sum of the pressures of an ideal gas of fermions and an ideal gas of polarons, with no interaction terms. The apparent contradiction is resolved by realizing that the polaron energy is actually a function of the majority chemical potential $\mu_{\uparrow}$, so that the two pressures are effectively coupled. A careful calculation shows that the correct interaction term $\propto F n_{\downarrow}^2$ is recovered when switching back to the canonical ensemble. A grand-canonical description is the appropriate one when extracting thermodynamic quantities from in-situ measurements on trapped gases, as performed for example in Ref. [125] following the proposal of Ref. [126], but a canonical description is generally needed to describe RF experiments or QMC calculations, where a controlled number of impurities is present in the system. The induced interaction term between polarons was indeed retained and shown to be important when analyzing state-of-the-art QMC calculations, and a complete phase diagram featuring the various possible phases arising in zero temperature Fermi–Fermi mixtures was derived in Ref. [127].

5.1.3. Bosonic Media

A bosonic bath is highly compressible, due to the absence of the large Fermi pressure. As a consequence, all bath particles condense in the same physical state at low temperatures,
and the kinetic energy is negligible. On the other hand, the bath bosons experience a mutual direct (mean field) interaction. The energy density of the mixture may therefore be written as:

$$E(n_{\uparrow}, n_{\downarrow}) = \frac{2\pi a_B}{m} n_{\uparrow}^2 + E_{\text{kin,} n_{\downarrow}} + E_{n_{\downarrow}} + \frac{1}{2} E n_{\downarrow}^2,$$

irrespective of the impurity statistics, where $E_{\text{kin,} n_{\downarrow}} = \frac{3}{5} E_F n_{\downarrow}$ in the case of fermionic impurities, while it vanishes for bosonic impurities. Even though the fundamental excitations in the bath are Bogoliubov modes, rather than particle-hole excitations, a calculation similar to that developed above leads to an identical result [119,128],

$$F_x = \mp g^2 x \frac{\partial n_{\uparrow}}{\partial \mu_{\uparrow}} = \mp \frac{(\Delta N)^2}{N}$$

(with $-$ for bosonic impurities and $+$ for fermionic ones), the only difference being that here $N = \partial n_{\uparrow}/\partial \mu_{\uparrow} = m_{\text{med}}/(4\pi a_B)$.

### 5.2. Ferromagnetic and Pairing Instabilities in Fermi–Fermi Mixtures

As mentioned in the introduction, a major motivation for studying the impurity problem is the fact that understanding quasiparticle properties of the polarized system provides important insight into the more challenging phase diagram of its population-balanced counterpart [47]. This holds true especially for the repulsive Fermi polaron, that was first considered [49,50] in connection with the physics of itinerant ferromagnetism of a repulsive Fermi gas, originally introduced by E. Stoner in his textbook model [48]. Repulsive polarons indeed constitute the building blocks of a repulsive Fermi liquid, which in turn represents the paramagnetic state of a two-component Fermi mixture with short-ranged inter-species repulsion.

For a hypothetical, genuine two-body repulsive potential, a knowledge of the energy $E$ of repulsive polarons as a function of the interaction strength suffices to determine the emergence of a ferromagnetic instability in the $(N + 1)$-particle system [3,49,50]. This can be understood if one considers a bath of spin-$\uparrow$ electrons, interacting with a spin-$\downarrow$ electron impurity via a screened, short-range Coulomb repulsion. As long as the polaron energy does not exceed the Fermi energy $E_F$ of the surrounding bath, the spin-down quasiparticle embedded in the fermionic medium is energetically favored, and the system is in the Fermi liquid, paramagnetic state. Instead, for $E > E_F$, the system becomes unstable towards a fully ferromagnetic phase, as it is now energetically convenient for the impurity electron to flip its spin, resulting in a polarized Fermi gas of $N_\uparrow + 1$ spin-$\uparrow$ particles: in this latter case, the energy cost of adding one spin-$\uparrow$ electron to the medium is lower than the energy cost associated with a strong impurity-bath repulsion. In contrast with the case of electrons in solids, where only the total electron population is fixed, in ultracold gases the two pseudospin numbers, $N_\uparrow$ and $N_\downarrow$, are generally fixed separately. As a result, the total “magnetization” $N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow$ is constrained by the two initial spin populations at which the gas is prepared. Yet, the same energetic argument applies [3], and for $E > E_F$ ferromagnetism appears in this case as an instability of the repulsive Fermi liquid towards the formation of spatially separated, polarized domains of spin-$\uparrow$ or spin-$\downarrow$ particles (see Figure 10).
Figure 10. Ferromagnetic instability of a repulsive balanced Fermi mixture. (a) The energy of the upper (purple) and lower (green) many-body branches is illustrated, corresponding to a net repulsive and attractive interaction, respectively. The ground state is a paired phase, featuring a mean energy per particle $E = \frac{3}{5}E_F$ at $1/k_Fa = 0$, where $\xi \approx 0.37$ is the Bertsch parameter [129]. The repulsive Fermi liquid may undergo a ferromagnetic phase transition beyond a critical interaction strength along the upper branch, where a spin-segregated state is energetically favored. For an equal-mass, balanced mixture at $T = 0$, this occurs when the mean energy per particle of the mixed, paramagnetic state exceeds that in a non-interacting Fermi gas, namely $E = \frac{3}{5}E_F$, by a factor $2^{2/3}$. (b) Illustration of the stability condition for spin-polarized domains. A spin-$\uparrow$ fermion can tunnel across a spin domain wall, thereby becoming a repulsive quasiparticle within the spin-$\uparrow$ domain. On the right, the energy levels available to the fermion are represented. If a well defined repulsive polaron exists and its energy overcomes the Fermi energy, i.e., $E > E_F$, tunneling through the interface is suppressed and the domain wall is energetically stable. Panel (b) is adapted with permission from Ref. [130].

Such a seemingly simple scenario becomes richer and more complex once one considers the short-ranged attractive potentials relevant for a realistic description of the interaction between two ultracold atoms. As discussed in the previous sections, the presence of a lower-lying energy branch, connected with the existence of a weakly-bound molecular level below the two-body scattering threshold [41], makes repulsive polarons acquire a quasiparticle decay rate even at $T = 0$. As a consequence, ferromagnetism inherently competes with the tendency of the system to relax into the many-body ground state. Around the interaction strength $k_Fa \sim 1$ relevant for ferromagnetism to develop, the spin-imbalanced ground state corresponds to phase separation between a superfluid of dimers and a spin-polarized Fermi gas [127,131]. Therefore, here the attractive branch can be safely regarded as a paired state where all impurity particles are bound to a partner of the host gas [14].

In this framework, the importance of a proper interpretation of the polaron spectral width $\Gamma$ thus becomes clear: If $\Gamma$ was exclusively linked to the population decay rate of the repulsive Fermi liquid [3], one would expect the repulsive branch to become ill-defined at strong repulsion, and the physics of Stoner’s model to be inaccessible with such systems, since ferromagnetic correlations would be completely forestalled by attractive ones (such as pairing) [132]. Instead, in agreement with the recent theoretical analysis [28] outlined in Section 4, experimental pursuits have provided convincing evidence for the metastability of the repulsive branch even at strong coupling [16,23,26]. In particular, a short but finite time window was identified within which the instability of the repulsive Fermi gas towards a magnetically correlated state could be observed. Spectroscopic studies on $^6$Li mixtures in the impurity limit indeed revealed well-defined quasiparticles even at very large repulsion, where $E$ exceeds the Fermi energy of the bath while $m^*$ diverges, indicating both an energetic and thermodynamic instability of the repulsive Fermi liquid state beyond critical interactions [23].

Studies of spin-dynamics in a repulsive Fermi gas initialized in two spin domains separated by a sharp domain wall [133] further allowed the characterization of the ferromagnetic behavior of the system, including the experimental determination of the metastable region in the temperature-interaction plane. This was linked to the softening of the spin-dipole
mode, in quantitative agreement with theory models [134,135] that completely neglect pairing correlations. Finally, later works based on time-resolved pump-probe spectroscopic techniques succeeded in tracing the out-of-equilibrium dynamics of two-component balanced spin mixtures [56]. For this, fermions were selectively brought to strong repulsion along the upper branch of a Feshbach resonance, quickly enough to avoid undergoing substantial dynamics during the preparation itself, starting essentially from the paramagnetic Fermi liquid state. The rapid growth of short-range anti-correlations between repulsive quasiparticles was observed beyond critical interactions, demonstrating that concurrent pairing processes could be initially overcome. These studies [56], paralleled also by spin-density noise correlation measurements and monitoring of other macroscopic observables [136], led to the discovery of an unpredicted, emergent heterogeneous phase: a quantum emulsion where paired and unpaired fermions macroscopically coexist, while featuring microscale phase separation. In turn, this observation links the physics of the repulsive Fermi gas to certain strongly correlated electron materials, where competing order parameters coexist in nanoscale phase separation [137,138].

6. Concluding Remarks

Repulsive mixtures of ultracold atoms, both within and beyond the impurity limit, feature a very rich and intriguing phenomenology. In particular, owing to the metastability of the upper many-body branch, quantum mixtures are ideally suited to investigate the competition between exotic metastable quantum phases arising from repulsive (anti-)correlations and pairing phenomena. Indeed, in the case of Fermi–Fermi mixtures, the upper branch population decays on a timescale significantly slower than that set by quasiparticle decoherence, which has enabled experiments to gain important insights into aspects of Stoner’s model [48] and the magnetic properties of itinerant fermionic particles [56,133,136]. Similarly, dilute Bose mixtures allow one to investigate the interplay between attractive and repulsive inter- and intra-species interactions, potentially leading to the emergence of quantum droplets [139] as one increases the minority density from the impurity limit. The presence of the concurrent pairing instability further enriches these scenarios, triggering non-trivial dynamics over longer timescales. In the Fermi case, this led to the emergence of unpredicted heterogeneous many-body states [56,136].

In future experiments, it would be interesting to explore the transport properties of such spatially inhomogeneous, slowly relaxing states, and to probe their emergence in box-like potentials [140], weak optical lattices [141,142] or lower dimensions [143,144]. For instance, quantum gas microscopes [145] could uniquely explore the competition between antiferromagnetic ordering, favored by the underlying lattice structure, and quantum emulsions of itinerant fermions. Further, the realization of such rich scenarios opens up exciting possibilities to dynamically create elusive phases of magnetized superfluidity [146,147] and to spontaneously attain mesoscopic magnetic impurities within strongly interacting superfluids [148].

The study of imbalanced quantum mixtures also paves the way for the observation of a crucially missing milestone in the experimental demonstration of the Fermi liquid paradigm in ultracold atoms, namely, polaron-polaron interactions. This can, for instance, be achieved by embedding highly-compressible bosonic impurities in a large Fermi sea as realized in a recent experiment [29]. The interactions are predicted to be even stronger between Bose polarons, since a dilute bosonic bath is highly compressible and can more easily convey excitations [128].

In the future, Bose and Fermi polaron studies with ultracold gases could be extended to the case where the motion of the impurity and/or the medium is confined within a lattice potential [53], possibly in reduced dimensions and in the presence of engineered site-resolved disorder [149]. For a comprehensive modeling of such scenarios, an essential preliminary step is to derive ab initio the effective interaction parameters associated with two atoms interacting strongly in an optical lattice potential, extending previous three-dimensional calculations [150]. The probing techniques discussed in this review could also
find potential applications in the investigation of magnetic polarons [151–153], i.e., charge
excitations moving within a magnetic background where they become dressed and acquire
renormalized quasiparticle properties [10,154]. In particular, such excitations emerge in
fermionic Hubbard models from the interplay between charge and spin [155], as observed
by experiments [152,153]. These recent observations have attracted much interest [156–158],
since the dynamics of magnetic polarons in doped Mott insulators may explain the behavior
of certain strongly correlated materials such as high-temperature superconductors [159].

The phenomenology of the 3D polarons described in this review largely carries over
to the case of two-dimensional systems. In this context, a promising new platform for
polaron physics, complementary to cold-atom settings, is that of doped atomically thin
semiconductors [30]. These effectively realize a two-dimensional Bose–Fermi mixture
where excitons (bound electron-hole pairs) play the role of impurities in a Fermi sea of
electrons, and these systems are directly analogous to experiments on 2D Fermi polarons in
cold atomic gases [17,26], and can be modeled in a similar fashion [160]. However, this new
platform introduces a number of new experimental knobs such as direct control of medium
density via external gating, strong Rabi coupling of impurities to light in a microcavity [30],
and strong magnetic fields that Landau-quantize the electronic medium [161,162]. Two-
dimensional semiconductor microcavities also hold promise for realizing Bose polarons
using exciton polaritons as the medium [163]. If the impurities are themselves polaritons
one may obtain enhanced photon-photon correlations, while doped systems may foster
new forms of induced electron pairing [164].

In conclusion, the quantum impurity problem in ultracold quantum gases represents a
rare example of a strongly interacting many-body system for which it has been possible to
achieve a remarkable agreement between theory and experiment, for a wide range of both
static and dynamic properties. All fundamental advances in the topic have been driven by
a close synergy between theory and experiment, leading to the development of approaches
with broad applicability. Yet, as discussed in this review, many open questions still remain,
with the emergence of new platforms further challenging our understanding and making
polaron physics an extremely vital field of research.
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We follow here the sign convention used in Ref. [120], but note that other sources define the Lindhard function with the
\[ \frac{3}{2} \]
Since the derivative of energy with respect to the Bose-Bose scattering length acts on the impurity energy, this term necessarily
plays a relevant role (e.g., if \(|r_e| \gtrsim 1/k_F\) in a many-body problem), while it is termed broad when this may be safely neglected. Broad resonances are accurately described by the single-channel Hamiltonian introduced in this section, while to investigate narrow resonances one needs to employ a more sophisticated two-channel model [3,61].

Since the derivative of energy with respect to the Bose-Bose scattering length acts on the impurity energy, this term necessarily involves the impurity and two bosons.

We follow here the sign convention used in Ref. [120], but note that other sources define the Lindhard function with the opposite sign.

Notes

1. More generally, the s-wave scattering amplitude takes the form \( f(k) = 1/(k \cot(\delta(k)) - ik) \) in terms of the two-body scattering phase shift \( \delta(k) \). For a low-energy collision at relative momentum \( k \), this may be expanded as \( k \cot(\delta(k)) = -a^{-1} + r_e k^2/2 + \ldots \), where \( a \) is the scattering length and \( r_e \) is the effective range. A Feshbach resonance is classified as narrow if the effective range plays a relevant role (e.g., if \(|r_e| \gtrsim 1/k_F\) in a many-body problem), while it is termed broad when this may be safely neglected. Broad resonances are accurately described by the single-channel Hamiltonian introduced in this section, while to investigate narrow resonances one needs to employ a more sophisticated two-channel model [3,61].
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