

Article

# The Representation of $D$ -Invariant Polynomial Subspaces Based on Symmetric Cartesian Tensors

Xue Jiang and Kai Cui \*

School of Mathematics and Systematic Sciences, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang 110034, China; littledonna@163.com

\* Correspondence: jluck5686@163.com

**Abstract:** Multivariate polynomial interpolation plays a crucial role both in scientific computation and engineering application. Exploring the structure of the  $D$ -invariant (closed under differentiation) polynomial subspaces has significant meaning for multivariate Hermite-type interpolation (especially ideal interpolation). We analyze the structure of a  $D$ -invariant polynomial subspace  $P_n$  in terms of Cartesian tensors, where  $P_n$  is a subspace with a maximal total degree equal to  $n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . For an arbitrary homogeneous polynomial  $p^{(k)}$  of total degree  $k$  in  $P_n$ ,  $p^{(k)}$  can be rewritten as the inner products of a  $k$ th order symmetric Cartesian tensor and  $k$  column vectors of indeterminates. We show that  $p^{(k)}$  can be determined by all polynomials of a total degree one in  $P_n$ . Namely, if we treat all linear polynomials on the basis of  $P_n$  as a column vector, then this vector can be written as a product of a coefficient matrix  $A^{(1)}$  and a column vector of indeterminates; our main result shows that the  $k$ th order symmetric Cartesian tensor corresponds to  $p^{(k)}$  is a product of some so-called relational matrices and  $A^{(1)}$ .

**Keywords:** multivariate polynomial interpolation;  $D$ -invariant polynomial subspace; Cartesian tensor



**Citation:** Jiang, X.; Cui, K. The Representation of  $D$ -Invariant Polynomial Subspaces Based on Symmetric Cartesian Tensors. *Axioms* **2021**, *10*, 193. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10030193>

Academic Editor: Chong Wang

Received: 10 June 2021

Accepted: 16 August 2021

Published: 19 August 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Multivariate polynomial interpolation is widely used in many application domains, such as image processing, electronic communication, control theory, etc. The theory of multivariate polynomial interpolation is far from perfect since the interpolation conditions are too complicated, and the multiplicity structure of each interpolation site has many different expressions. Note that most related practical problems can be converted to ideal interpolation problems, whose interpolation conditions is determined by a  $D$ -invariant polynomial subspace. Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{F}[X] := \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  denotes the polynomial ring in  $d$  variables over  $\mathbb{F}$ . For simplicity, we will work with the ground field  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Ideal interpolation, a special class of polynomial interpolation problems, can be defined by a linear projector (idempotent operator) of finite rank on  $\mathbb{F}[X]$ . The kernel of the projector forms a polynomial ideal. Carl de Boor has conducted a great deal of important work for ideal interpolation [1].

The interpolation conditions of an ideal interpolant correspond to a  $D$ -invariant subspace [1,2]. Lagrange interpolation is a standard example of which the interpolation conditions consist of only evaluation functionals. In the one variable case, every ideal projector is the pointwise limit of Lagrange projectors when  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ . However, this is not true in the multivariate case [3,4]. Thus, it is natural to ask what kind of ideal interpolation problem can be written as a limit of Lagrange interpolation problems; we call this the discrete approximation problem for ideal interpolation [5]. This is equal to considering how to discretize the differential operators in the  $D$ -invariant subspace of each interpolation site. In [5], by analyzing the structure of the second-order  $D$ -invariant subspaces, we give a sufficient condition to solve the discrete approximation problem for this case. This indicates that analyzing the structure of the  $D$ -invariant subspaces will help us know more about multivariate polynomial interpolation.

In polynomial system solving, the multiplicity structure at an isolated zero  $\hat{X}$  is identified with the dual space consisting of all linear functionals supported at  $\hat{X}$  that vanish on the entire polynomial ideal generated by the given polynomial system [2]. Here, all the functionals correspond to a vector space, which is  $D$ -invariant (some references would use the term “closed”). Dayton and Zeng used the dual space theory to derive special-case polynomial system solving algorithms in 2005 [6]. After that, Zeng presented a new algorithm that substantially reduces the calculation by means of the closedness subspace strategy in his paper [7]. In [8], Li and Zhi demonstrated the explicit structure of the  $D$ -invariant subspace in the case of breadth one, which helps to compute the multiplicity structure of an isolated singular solution more efficiently.

We have seen that analyzing the structure of the  $D$ -invariant subspaces helps us to study a class of interpolation problems and to improve computational efficiency in polynomial system solving. It is the aim of this paper to describe the structure of the  $D$ -invariant subspaces by Cartesian tensors.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some basic definitions in symbolic computation [9] and the concept of tensors in multilinear algebra [10].

A *monomial order* on  $\mathbb{F}[X]$  is any relation  $\succ$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  satisfying the following:

- (i)  $\succ$  is a total (or linear) order on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ .
- (ii) If  $\alpha \succ \beta$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ , then  $\alpha + \gamma \succ \beta + \gamma$ .
- (iii)  $\succ$  is a well-order on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ .

Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}[X]$  be a nonzero polynomial and  $\succ$  be a monomial order. The *multidegree* of  $f$  is the following:

$$\text{multideg}(f) = \max_{\succ} \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d : a_{\alpha} \neq 0 \}.$$

The *leading monomial* of  $f$  is the following:

$$\text{LM}(f) = X^{\text{multideg}(f)}.$$

The *total degree* of  $f$ , denoted by  $\text{deg}(f)$ , is the maximum  $|\alpha|$  such that the coefficient  $a_{\alpha}$  is nonzero.

**Definition 1.** A polynomial subspace  $P \subset \mathbb{F}[X]$  is said to be  $D$ -invariant if it is closed under differentiation, i.e.,  $\forall p \in P$ ,

$$D_j p \in P, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, d,$$

in which  $D_j$  is the partial derivative of  $p$  with respect to the  $j$ th argument.

In this paper, we denote by  $P_n, n \geq 1$ , a  $D$ -invariant polynomial subspace of degree  $n$ , i.e.,  $P_n$  satisfies the following:

- (i)  $P_n \subset \{ f \in \mathbb{F}[X] : \text{deg}(f) \leq n \}$ .
- (ii) There exists at least one polynomial of total degree  $n$  in  $P_n$ .
- (iii)  $\forall p \in P_n, D_j p \in P_n, \forall j = 1, \dots, d$ .

For any given subspace  $P_n$ , we also define  $P_{<n} := \{ f \in P_n : \text{deg}(f) < n \}$ .

Since  $P_n$  is a linear space, we only need to study a special basis of  $P_n$  by doing the following: to fix a term order (for example, graded reverse lexicographic order,  $1 \prec x_d \prec x_{d-1} \prec \dots \prec x_1 \prec x_d^2 \prec x_d x_{d-1} \prec \dots$ ), we first write the polynomials in a given basis of  $P_n$  in matrix form, where the columns are indexed by the monomials in increasing order and the rows are indexed by the basis of  $P_n$ ; then, with Gauss–Jordan elimination, we can obtain the reduced row echelon form of this matrix, which gives another basis for  $P_n$ . We call this new basis the “reduced basis” of  $P_n$ . In the discussion that follows, by a basis of some subspace, we always mean the reduced basis.

Einstein Convention. Einstein introduced a convention whereby if a particular subscript (e.g.,  $i$ ) appears twice in a single term of an expression, then it is implicitly summed, and  $i$  is called a *dummy index*. For example, in traditional notation, we have the following:

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \cdot (b_1, b_2, b_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i b_i,$$

and using summation convention, we can simply write the following:

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = a_i b_i.$$

Let  $\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Recall that a tensor of real numbers is simply an element in the tensor product of the vector spaces  $\mathbb{R}^{k_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{k_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{R}^{k_n}$  [11]. Let

$$\{\mathbf{e}_{i_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_n}^{(n)} \mid 1 \leq i_1 \leq k_1, 1 \leq i_2 \leq k_2, \dots, 1 \leq i_n \leq k_n\}$$

be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{k_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{k_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{R}^{k_n}$ , where  $\{\mathbf{e}_1^{(l)}, \mathbf{e}_2^{(l)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k_l}^{(l)}\}$  forms a standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{k_l}, l = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that  $\mathbf{e}_i^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_j^{(l)} = \delta_{ij}$ , with  $\delta$ , the Kronecker delta.

We denote by

$$T = T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \mathbf{e}_{i_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_n}^{(n)}$$

an  $n$ th order *Cartesian tensor*. Here, the sum over  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$  is implicit, and  $T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the weight for  $\mathbf{e}_{i_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  in the sum. Throughout the paper,  $d$  denotes the number of indeterminates, so if there is no confusion, we will simply write  $\mathbf{e}_i$  ( $i$  is a subscript) if  $\mathbf{e}_i^{(l)}$  is in the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and write  $\mathbf{e}^i$  ( $i$  is a superscript) if  $\mathbf{e}_i^{(l)}$  is in the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , where  $q$  need not be equal to  $d$ . Particularly, for a second order tensor  $T = T_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d, d = 3$ , representing each basis tensor as a matrix:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots$$

then  $T$  can be written in the form of a square matrix, i.e.,  $T = (T_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$ .

**Definition 2 ([11]).** A tensor  $T = T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_n} \in \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$  is called *symmetric* if it is invariant under any permutation  $\sigma$  of its  $n$  indices, i.e., the following:

$$T_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_{\sigma(2)} \dots i_{\sigma(n)}} = T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}.$$

The space of symmetric tensors of order  $n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is naturally isomorphic to the space of homogeneous polynomials of total degree  $n$  in  $d$  variables [11]. We will use this fact to represent a homogeneous polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ .

**Definition 3 ([12]).** The inner product (also known as contraction) of two Cartesian tensors is defined as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot B &= (a_{i \dots j k} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot (b_{l m \dots n} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_n) \\ &\doteq a_{i \dots j k} b_{l m \dots n} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes (\mathbf{e}_k \cdot \mathbf{e}_l) \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_n \\ &= a_{i \dots j l} b_{l m \dots n} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_n. \end{aligned}$$

For example, if  $A$  and  $B$  are matrices, we obtain the following matrix multiplication:

$$(a_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j) \cdot (b_{sk} \mathbf{e}^s \otimes \mathbf{e}_k) \doteq a_{ij} b_{sk} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes (\mathbf{e}^j \cdot \mathbf{e}^s) \otimes \mathbf{e}_k = a_{is} b_{sk} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k,$$

etc. The critical request here is that the vectors in the inner product must be of the same dimension.

### 3. The Structure of the D-Invariant Subspace $P_2$

The structure of  $P_2$  was discussed in our paper [5]; we list the results in this section for completeness.

We first consider a special second-degree  $D$ -invariant subspace as follows:

$$\tilde{P}_2 := \text{span}\{1, p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{m_1}^{(1)}, p^{(2)}\}, \tag{1}$$

in which  $m_1 \leq d$  and the superscript indicate the total degree of the polynomial. Without loss of generality, the polynomials of total degree one can be rewritten as the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1^{(1)} \\ p_2^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ p_{m_1}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{1,m_1+1} & \cdots & a_{1,d} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & a_{2,m_1+1} & \cdots & a_{2,d} \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{m_1,m_1+1} & \cdots & a_{m_1,d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} \\ \doteq (I_{m_1} \quad A)X. \tag{2}$$

With all  $p_i^{(1)}, i = 1, \dots, m_1$ , given, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1 ([5]).** *With the above notation,  $p^{(2)}$  in  $\tilde{P}_2$  has the following form:*

$$p^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}X^T \begin{pmatrix} E & EA \\ A^T E & A^T EA \end{pmatrix} X + L^T X, \tag{3}$$

where  $E$  is an  $m_1 \times m_1$  symmetric matrix,  $L = (0, \dots, 0, l_{m_1+1}, \dots, l_d)^T$  is a 1-column matrix.

Note that  $E$  has  $\frac{1}{2}m_1(m_1 + 1)$  free parameters, and  $L$  has  $d - m_1$  free parameters. The proof of Theorem 1 also establishes the following result.

**Corollary 1 ([5]).** *Suppose that  $P_2 = \text{span}\{1, p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{m_1}^{(1)}, p_1^{(2)}, p_2^{(2)}, \dots, p_{m_2}^{(2)}\}$ , where  $m_1 \leq d, m_2 \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$ . Then, each  $p_j^{(2)}, j = 1, \dots, m_2$ , has the following form:*

$$p_j^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}X^T \begin{pmatrix} E_j & E_j A \\ A^T E_j & A^T E_j A \end{pmatrix} X + L_j^T X, \tag{4}$$

where  $E_j$  is an  $m_1 \times m_1$  symmetric matrix,  $L_j = (0, \dots, 0, l_{m_1+1}^{(j)}, \dots, l_d^{(j)})^T$  is a 1-column matrix.

### 4. The Structure of the D-Invariant Subspace $P_3$

We first assume that there is only 1 polynomial of total degree  $n$  in  $P_n$ . In the general case, since we are considering reduced bases, then all polynomials of total degree  $n$  in the basis have this form.

#### 4.1. The Structure of the Homogeneous D-Invariant Subspace $P_3$

We will discuss the structure of  $p^{(3)}$  in the  $D$ -invariant subspace as follows:

$$P_3 = \text{span}\{1, p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{m_1}^{(1)}, p_1^{(2)}, p_2^{(2)}, \dots, p_{m_2}^{(2)}, p^{(3)}\}, \quad m_2 \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$$

with  $P_{<3}$  given in this part. The partial derivative of the highest homogeneous part of  $p^{(3)}$  can be represented as a linear combination of the quadratic homogeneous part

of  $p_i^{(2)}, i = 1, \dots, m_2$ ; hence, it is natural to begin with the case when  $p^{(3)}$  and  $p_i^{(2)}$  are all homogeneous polynomials. The general situation of  $P_3$  is covered at the end of the next section.

An arbitrary homogeneous polynomial  $p^{(3)}$  has the following form:

$$p^{(3)} = b_{ijk}x_i x_j x_k = (b_{ijk} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot (x_s \mathbf{e}_s) \cdot (x_t \mathbf{e}_t) \cdot (x_l \mathbf{e}_l) \doteq B^{(3)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdot X, \tag{5}$$

where  $i, j, k$  are dummy indices and

$$b_{ijk} = b_{\sigma(ijk)}. \tag{6}$$

Here,  $\sigma(ijk)$  denotes any permutation of  $(i, j, k)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that  $p^{(3)}$  is of the form (5), then  $\nabla p^{(3)} = 3B^{(3)} \cdot X \cdot X$ .

**Proof.**  $\forall l = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\frac{\partial p^{(3)}}{\partial x_l} = b_{ijk}x_i x_j \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_l} + b_{ijk}x_i \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_l} x_k + b_{ijk} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_l} x_j x_k = 3b_{lij}x_i x_j.$$

Thus,

$$\nabla p^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p^{(3)}}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial p^{(3)}}{\partial x_d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3b_{1ij}x_i x_j \\ \vdots \\ 3b_{dij}x_i x_j \end{pmatrix} = 3b_{kij}x_i x_j \mathbf{e}_k = 3B^{(3)} \cdot X \cdot X. \tag{7}$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** Suppose that  $p^{(3)}$  is of the form (5). Let  $\mathbf{p}^{(2)} := (p_1^{(2)}, \dots, p_{m_2}^{(2)})^T$  where  $p_k^{(2)} = p_{kij}x_i x_j$  with  $p_{kij} = p_{kji} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall k = 1, \dots, m_2$ . Let  $c_{sk}^{(3)}$  be the coefficients satisfying the following:

$$\nabla p^{(3)} = (c_{sk}^{(3)})_{d \times m_2} \mathbf{p}^{(2)} \doteq C^{(3)} \mathbf{p}^{(2)},$$

namely,  $C^{(3)}$  is the relational matrix between  $p^{(3)}$  and all  $p_k^{(2)}$ . Then

$$b_{sij} = \frac{1}{3} c_{sk}^{(3)} p_{kij}, \quad \forall s, i, j = 1, \dots, d, \tag{8}$$

where the right side is a sum w.r.t.  $k$  from 1 to  $m_2$ .

**Proof.** Since

$$\nabla p^{(3)} = C^{(3)} \mathbf{p}^{(2)} = c_{sk}^{(3)} p_{kij}x_i x_j \mathbf{e}_s = (c_{sk}^{(3)} p_{kij} \mathbf{e}_s \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j) \cdot X \cdot X,$$

comparing the above equation with (7), we have the following:

$$3B^{(3)} = 3b_{sij} \mathbf{e}_s \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j = c_{sk}^{(3)} p_{kij} \mathbf{e}_s \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j, \tag{9}$$

which is equivalent to the following:

$$3b_{sij} = c_{sk}^{(3)} p_{kij}, \quad \forall s, i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Thus, the proposition is proved.  $\square$

Next, we focus on formulating the relational expression between  $p^{(3)}$  and all  $p_k^{(2)}$  in “matrix form”.

Supposing that  $C_k = c_{kij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j, k = 1, \dots, m$ , is a sequence of matrices of the same size, the following notation is useful:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ \vdots \\ C_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j \\ c_{2ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j \\ \vdots \\ c_{mij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j \end{pmatrix} \doteq c_{kij} \mathbf{e}^k \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j. \tag{10}$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $C_k^{(2)} = c_{kij}^{(2)} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j, k = 1, \dots, m_2$ , be the relational matrices between  $p_k^{(2)}$  and all linear polynomials in the graded basis of  $P_3$  (i.e.,  $p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{m_1}^{(1)}$ ). With the above notation,

$$B^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3!} C^{(3)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} \\ C_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (I_{m_1} \ A). \tag{11}$$

**Proof.** For simplicity, let  $(I_{m_1} \ A) = A^{(1)} = a_{ij}^{(1)} \mathbf{e}^i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j$ . Since  $p_k^{(2)} = p_{kij} x_i x_j$ , and  $p_{kij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix, using Corollary 1, we obtain the following:

$$p_{kij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j = \frac{1}{2} C_k^{(2)} (I_{m_1} \ A) = \frac{1}{2} C_k^{(2)} A^{(1)}, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m_2.$$

It follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} 3B^{(3)} &= (c_{sk}^{(3)} \mathbf{e}_s \otimes \mathbf{e}^k) \cdot (p_{lij} \mathbf{e}^l \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j) \\ &= C^{(3)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p_{1ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \\ p_{2ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \\ \vdots \\ p_{m_2ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} C^{(3)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} A^{(1)} \\ C_2^{(2)} A^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} A^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (c_{lq}^{(3)} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}^q) \cdot (c_{sij}^{(2)} a_{jt}^{(1)} \mathbf{e}^s \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_t) = \frac{1}{2} c_{ls}^{(3)} c_{sij}^{(2)} a_{jt}^{(1)} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_t, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the following:

$$B^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3!} c_{ls}^{(3)} c_{sij}^{(2)} a_{jt}^{(1)} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_t. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, the right side of (11) is equal to the following:

$$\frac{1}{3!} (c_{lq}^{(3)} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}^q) \cdot (c_{wij}^{(2)} \mathbf{e}^w \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^j) \cdot (a_{st}^{(1)} \mathbf{e}^s \otimes \mathbf{e}_t) = \frac{1}{3!} c_{lq}^{(3)} c_{qij}^{(2)} a_{jt}^{(1)} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_t,$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4.2. The Degrees of Freedom of $p^{(3)}$

We have proved that  $B^{(3)}$  has the form (11). Corollary 1 shows that each  $C_j^{(2)}$  has  $\frac{1}{2} m_1 (m_1 + 1)$  free parameters with  $P_{<2}$  given. Now we turn to the following question: given the space  $P_{<3}$ , how many degrees of freedom does  $C^{(3)}$  have? According to Equation (8), the constraints on  $C^{(3)}$  are derived from the symmetry of  $B^{(3)}$ , i.e., Equation (6).

**Lemma 2.** The number of equality constraints contained in (6) is the following:

$$d(d - 1) + \frac{d(d - 1)(d - 2)}{3}.$$

**Proof.** There are three cases to consider. First,  $b_{111}, b_{222}, \dots, b_{ddd}$  do not lead to any constraint. Second,  $b_{112}, b_{113}, \dots$  are of the form  $b_{sst}, s \neq t$ , with  $b_{sst} = b_{sts} = b_{tss}$ . Notice that  $b_{sst} = b_{sts}$  holds naturally by (8), so there are  $1 \cdot d(d - 1)$  equality constraints. Third,  $b_{123}, b_{124}, \dots$ , are of the form  $b_{stw}, s \neq t \neq w$ . Each  $b_{stw}$  leads to five equations, for which three pairs naturally hold, so there are  $2 \binom{d}{3} = \frac{d(d - 1)(d - 2)}{3}$  equality constraints in this case.  $\square$

Similar to (2), with the term order  $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_d$ , we can write the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1^{(2)} \\ p_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ p_{m_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = (I_{m_2} \quad \tilde{A})Q \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_1x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

in which  $Q$  is a column permutation matrix. Since the basis is reduced, then  $\text{LM}(p_i^{(2)}) \neq \text{LM}(p_j^{(2)}), \forall i \neq j$ . We denote by  $\text{LM}(P_{=2})$  and  $\mathcal{H}(P_{=2})$  the following sets:

$$\text{LM}(P_{=2}) := \{\text{LM}(p_1^{(2)}), \text{LM}(p_2^{(2)}), \dots, \text{LM}(p_{m_2}^{(2)})\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}(P_{=2}) := \text{span}\{t \text{ is a monomial} : \deg(t) = 3, D_i t \in \text{LM}(P_{=2}), \forall i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\chi$  denote the number of the degrees of freedom of  $C^{(3)}$ . Then, we have the following:

$$\max\{0, dm_2 - (d(d - 1) + \frac{d(d - 1)(d - 2)}{3})\} \leq \chi \leq \dim(\mathcal{H}(P_{=2})). \tag{14}$$

**Proof.** With  $P_{<3}$  given,  $\chi$  is equal to the number of the degrees of freedom of  $B^{(3)}$ , so the second inequality holds obviously. To verify the first one, note that some of the linear equations derived from (6) may not be linearly independent, and by Lemma 2, the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Choose  $d = 3, Q = I, m_2 = 5$  in (13). Then

$$\text{LM}(P_{=2}) = \{x^2, xy, xz, y^2, yz\},$$

which indicates that  $\mathcal{H}(P_{=2}) = \text{span}\{x^3, x^2y, x^2z, xyz, xy^2, y^3, y^2z\}$ . Thus, by the above theorem, the following holds:

$$\max\{0, 15 - 8\} \leq \chi \leq 7,$$

so that  $\chi = 7$ .

Next, let us show that estimation (14) is sharp through the following example.

**Example 2.** Let  $d = 3, m_2 = 4$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^{(2)} &= x^2 + 0 + 0 + 0 + p_{123}yz + p_{133}z^2, \\ p_2^{(2)} &= 0 + xy + 0 + 0 + p_{223}yz + p_{233}z^2, \\ p_3^{(2)} &= 0 + 0 + xz + 0 + p_{323}yz + p_{333}z^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$p_4^{(2)} = 0 + 0 + 0 + y^2 + p_{423}yz + p_{433}z^2.$$

By (14),

$$4 \leq \chi \leq 5.$$

We can verify the following:

$\chi = 4$ , if  $p_{123} = p_{223} = p_{323} = p_{433} = 1, p_{133} = p_{233} = p_{333} = p_{423} = 0$ . The free parameters in  $C^{(3)}$  are  $c_{11}^{(3)}, c_{12}^{(3)}, c_{13}^{(3)}, c_{14}^{(3)}$ .

$\chi = 5$ , if  $p_{k23} = p_{k33} = 0, \forall k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . The free parameters can be chosen as  $c_{11}^{(3)}, c_{12}^{(3)}, c_{13}^{(3)}, c_{14}^{(3)}, c_{24}^{(3)}$ .

### 5. The Structure of the D-Invariant Subspace $P_n$

Consider the following D-invariant subspace:

$$P_n = \text{span}\{1, p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{m_1}^{(1)}, \dots, p_1^{(n-1)}, p_2^{(n-1)}, \dots, p_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}, p_1^{(n)}\}, n \geq 3,$$

in which  $m_k \leq \binom{d+k-1}{k}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . We will discuss the special case where all polynomials in the reduced basis of  $P_n$  are restricted to be homogeneous. Let

$$p_t^{(s)} = b_{ti_1i_2\dots i_s} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_s}, s = 1, \dots, n, t = 1, \dots, m_s,$$

with  $b_{ti_{\sigma(1)}i_{\sigma(2)}\dots i_{\sigma(s)}} = b_{ti_1i_2\dots i_s}, m_n = 1$ .

Similar to the case when  $n = 3$ , let  $B_t^{(s)} = b_{ti_1i_2\dots i_s} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_s}$ , the following can be verified:

$$p_t^{(s)} = B_t^{(s)} \cdot \underbrace{X \cdot X \cdot \dots \cdot X}_{s \text{ copies}}, \nabla p_t^{(s)} = s B_t^{(s)} \cdot \underbrace{X \cdot X \cdot \dots \cdot X}_{s-1 \text{ copies}}. \tag{15}$$

Note that if  $s = 1, B_t^{(1)}$  is a first order tensor which can be written as a vector as in Section 3; if  $s = 2, B_t^{(2)}$  is a second order tensor which we write as a matrix in (3). Namely,  $p_t^{(2)}$  has two equivalent forms:

$$p_t^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} X^T B_t^{(2)} X = \frac{1}{2} B_t^{(2)} \cdot X \cdot X. \tag{16}$$

Finally, assuming that  $P_{<n}$  is given, we set forth a general form of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** For any fixed  $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}, k \in \{1, \dots, m_j\}$ , let  $C_k^{(j)}$  be the relational matrix between  $p_k^{(j)}$  and all polynomials of total degree  $j - 1$  in the basis of  $P_n, n \geq 3$ . Then,

$$B_1^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!} C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(n-1)} \\ C_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(n-2)} \\ C_2^{(n-2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_{n-2}}^{(n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} \\ C_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A^{(1)}, \tag{17}$$

where  $A^{(1)} = (I_{m_1} A)$ .

Unlike general linear polynomial subspaces, due to the ‘‘closed’’ property, the relation between the polynomial of higher degree (i.e.,  $p_t^{(s)}$ ) and all linear polynomials in  $P_n$  can be observed by the above formula. For the proof of this theorem, we need the following lemmas.



**Lemma 3.**

$$C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{(n-1)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X \\ B_2^{(n-1)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X \\ \vdots \\ B_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X \end{pmatrix} = C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{(n-1)} \\ B_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ B_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X. \tag{18}$$

**Proof.** The left side of (18) is equal to the following:

$$C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_{1ij\dots k} x_i x_j \dots x_k \\ b_{2ij\dots k} x_i x_j \dots x_k \\ \vdots \\ b_{m_{n-1}ij\dots k} x_i x_j \dots x_k \end{pmatrix} = (c_{1st} \mathbf{e}_s \otimes \mathbf{e}^t) \cdot (b_{qij\dots k} x_i x_j \dots x_k \mathbf{e}^q) \\ = c_{1st} b_{tij\dots k} x_i x_j \dots x_k \mathbf{e}_s;$$

and the right side is equal to the following:

$$(c_{1st} \mathbf{e}_s \otimes \mathbf{e}^t) \cdot (b_{qij\dots k} \mathbf{e}^q \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot (x_i \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot (x_j \mathbf{e}_j) \cdots (x_t \mathbf{e}_t) \\ = (c_{1st} b_{tij\dots k} \mathbf{e}_s \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot (x_i \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot (x_j \mathbf{e}_j) \cdots (x_t \mathbf{e}_t) \\ = c_{1st} b_{tij\dots k} x_i x_j \dots x_k \mathbf{e}_s.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In Theorem 2, we have actually proved the following:

$$C^{(3)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} A^{(1)} \\ C_2^{(2)} A^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} A^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = C^{(3)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} \\ C_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A^{(1)},$$

This can be easily generalized to an arbitrary  $n, n > 3$ , as follows.

**Lemma 4.**

$$C^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(n-1)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(n-2)} \\ C_2^{(n-2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_{n-2}}^{(n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} \\ C_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(n-2)} \\ C_2^{(n-2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_{n-2}}^{(n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} \\ C_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = C^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(n-1)} \\ C_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} C_1^{(2)} \\ C_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ C_{m_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A^{(1)}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** We will use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 3$ , the theorem is true by Theorem 2. Now assume that the theorem holds for all  $B_t^{(n-1)}, t = 1, \dots, m_{n-1}, n > 3$ . If we let  $s = n$  in (15), we have the following:

$$\nabla p_1^{(n)} = nB_1^{(n)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X;$$

on the other hand, using Lemma 3, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla p_1^{(n)} &= C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p_1^{(n-1)} \\ p_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ p_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} = C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{(n-1)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X \\ B_2^{(n-1)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X \\ \vdots \\ B_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X \end{pmatrix} \\ &= C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{(n-1)} \\ B_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ B_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot X \cdot X \cdots X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$B_1^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} C_1^{(n)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_1^{(n-1)} \\ B_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ B_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By our inductive assumption and Lemma 4, the theorem is proved.  $\square$

### 6. Conclusions

Li and Zhi demonstrated the structure of the breadth-one  $D$ -invariant polynomial subspace in [8]. We analyzed the structure of a second-degree  $D$ -invariant polynomial subspace  $P_2$  in our previous work [5]. As an application for ideal interpolation, we solved the discrete approximation problem for  $\delta_Z P_2(D)$  under certain conditions. In this work, we discuss the structure of  $P_n$  for a special case, where all polynomials in the reduced basis of  $P_n$  are restricted to be homogeneous. In the future, we will consider a more general case of  $P_n$ . For any fixed  $s$  and  $t$ , we can decompose  $p_t^{(s)}$  into its homogeneous components, and write the following:

$$p_t^{(s)} = B_{t,s}^{(s)} \underbrace{X \cdots X}_{s \text{ copies}} + B_{t,s-1}^{(s)} \underbrace{X \cdots X}_{s-1 \text{ copies}} + \cdots + B_{t,1}^{(s)} \cdot X, \quad s = 1, \dots, n, t = 1, \dots, m_s$$

with  $B_{t,j}^{(s)}$ , a  $j$ th order symmetric Cartesian tensor for  $j = 2, \dots, s$ . Since 1 is always in  $P_n$ , this means that the constant term in  $p_t^{(s)}$  can be omitted with reduction. We can now analyze the structure of the following:

$$p_1^{(n)} = B_{1,n}^{(n)} \underbrace{X \cdots X}_{n \text{ copies}} + B_{1,n-1}^{(n)} \underbrace{X \cdots X}_{n-1 \text{ copies}} + \cdots + B_{1,1}^{(n)} \cdot X$$

with  $P_{<n}$  given. In view of the  $D$ -invariance of  $P_n$ ,  $B_{1,n}^{(n)}$  only relates to the highest homogeneous components of all  $p_j^{(n-1)}, j = 1, \dots, m_{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $B_{j,n-1}^{(n-1)}$ . In addition, note that each  $B_{j,n-1}^{(n-1)}$  can be expressed in the same way; hence,  $B_{1,n}^{(n)}$  has the form (17).  $B_{1,j}^{(n)}, j = 2, \dots, n - 1$  relates to all the homogeneous complements, which have total degree  $j - 1$

of polynomials in  $P_{<n}$ . With linear reduction,  $B_{1,1}^{(n)}$  can be written in the form  $B_{1,1}^{(n)} = (0, \dots, 0, l_{m_1+1}^{(n)}, \dots, l_d^{(n)})$ .

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, X.J.; methodology, X.J. and K.C.; software, X.J. and K.C.; validation, X.J. and K.C.; formal analysis, X.J. and K.C.; investigation, X.J. and K.C.; resources, X.J. and K.C.; data curation, X.J. and K.C.; writing—original draft preparation, X.J.; writing—review and editing, K.C.; visualization, X.J. and K.C.; supervision, X.J. and K.C.; project administration, X.J. and K.C.; funding acquisition, X.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11901402.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. de Boor, C. Ideal interpolation. In *Approximation Theory XI: Gatlinburg*; Nashboro Press, Brentwood TN, US, 2004; pp. 59–91.
2. Marinari, M.G.; Möller, H.M.; Mora, T. Gröbner bases of ideals defined by functionals with an application to ideals of projective points. *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.* **1993**, *4*, 103–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. de Boor, C.; Shekhtman, B. On the pointwise limits of bivariate Lagrange projectors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2008**, *429*, 311–325. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Shekhtman, B. On a conjecture of Carl de Boor regarding the limits of Lagrange interpolants. *Constr. Approx.* **2006**, *24*, 365–370. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Jiang, X.; Zhang, S. The structure of a second-degree D-invariant subspace and its application in ideal interpolation. *J. Approx. Theory* **2016**, *207*, 232–240. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Dayton, B.H.; Zeng, Z. Computing the multiplicity structure in solving polynomial systems. In Proceedings of the 2005 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, Beijing, China, 24–27 July 2005; ACM: New York, NY, USA, 2005; pp. 116–123.
7. Zeng, Z. The Closedness Subspace Method for Computing the Multiplicity Structure of a Polynomial System. 2009. Available online: <http://orion.neiu.edu/~zzeng/Papers/csduel.pdf> (accessed on 1 May 2021).
8. Li, N.; Zhi, L. Computing the multiplicity structure of an isolated singular solution: Case of breadth one. *J. Symb. Comput.* **2012**, *47*, 700–710. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Cox, D.; Little, J.; O’Shea, D. *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1992.
10. Northcott, D.G. *Multilinear Algebra*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1984.
11. Comon, P.; Golub, G.; Lim, L.H.; Mourrain, B. Symmetric tensors and symmetric tensor rank. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **2008**, *30*, 1254–1279. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Zhang, R. *A Brief Tutorial on Tensor Analysis*; Tongji University Press: Shanghai, China, 2010.