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1. Introduction

Gauss [1] defined his famous infinite series as follows

$$1 + \frac{ab}{c} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)(b+1)}{c(c+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \cdots$$

(1)

This infinite series (1) is usually denoted by the notation $2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ or simply $F$ and is commonly known as the Gauss’s function or the hypergeometric series. Gauss’s function or the hypergeometric series is a solution of a second order differential equation.

The convergence conditions of $2F_1$ are as follows,

1. $0 \leq \Re(a + b - c) < 1$, the series converges throughout the entire unit circle, except at the point $z = 1$,
2. $\Re(a + b - c) < 0$, the series converges (absolutely) throughout the entire unit circle,
3. $\Re(a + b - c) \geq 1$, the series diverges on the entire unit circle.

It is well-known that the Kummer quadratic transformation formula

$$2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z) = (1 - \frac{z}{2})^{-\beta} 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{z}{2 - z}\right)$$

(2)

is valid provided that $(2\alpha + 1, \alpha + \frac{3}{2})$ are not natural numbers and $\alpha - \beta$ is not an integer (see Gradshteyn, Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and Products, 9.130, 9.134.1). Very recently, one of us established a new identity for an isolated case where $\alpha - \beta$ is an integer.
by letting $\alpha$ to be a negative integer and $\beta$ to be an even positive integer which we extended here into any even integer not necessarily positive and where we gave explicitly the expressions $u^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ such that [2]

$$2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z) = (1 - \frac{z}{2})^{-\beta} 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{z}{2-z}\right) + u^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z).$$

In this paper, we aim to discuss another isolated case with $\alpha$ to be a negative integer and $\beta$ to be an odd integer where we gave explicitly the expressions $v^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ such that

$$2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z) = (1 - \frac{z}{2})^{-\beta} 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{z}{2-z}\right) + v^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z).$$

The results for $u^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ and for $v^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ are completely different. The expressions for $u^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ and $v^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ are given in the paper. Moreover, in the end, we mention two interesting consequences of our main result involving a symmetric role for $u^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ with an odd $\beta$ and $v^{(\beta)}_{\alpha}(z)$ with an even $\beta$.

In this paper, we deal with Gauss’s function and exactly with its connection with the following interesting and useful Kummer’s quadratic transformation [2] for the hypergeometric function $2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z)$. This quadratic transformation is valid for $2(\alpha + 1, \beta + \frac{3}{2})$ are not natural numbers and $\alpha - \beta$ is NOT an integer. In this paper, we consider the case when $\alpha - \beta$ is an integer.


As usual, $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of all natural number $\{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ the set of all integer $\{\cdots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{-}$ the set of all integer $\{\cdots, -2, -1, 0\}$. In a previous paper we considered the case where $\alpha - \beta$ is an integer by taking $\beta$ an even integer (i.e., $\beta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$) and $\alpha$ is a negative integer and we gave the right identity [7]. In this contribution, we consider the case where $\alpha - \beta$ is an integer by taking $\beta \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$ (i.e., $\beta$ is an odd integer) and $\alpha$ is a negative integer and we give and prove the new identity. The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** For the isolated cases ($\alpha$ is a negative integer and $\beta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ or $\beta \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$), the Kummer’s hypergeometric quadratic transformations become

$$2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{z}{2-z}\right) = (1 - \frac{z}{2})^{\beta} 2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z)$$

$$+ 2\sqrt{\pi(\frac{\beta}{2})_{\alpha+1}} (4z - 4)^{(\alpha+\beta)(2-z)^{1+\beta}} \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-\alpha - \frac{1}{2})} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{z}{2-z}\right), \quad (3)$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{-}$, $\beta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, which we wrote under the form

$$2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta+1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{z}{2-z}\right) = (1 - \frac{z}{2})^{\beta} 2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z) + u^{(\beta,\text{even})}_{\alpha}(z), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{-}, \beta \in 2\mathbb{Z},$$

where $u^{(\beta,\text{even})}_{\alpha}(z)$ is an even integer (i.e., $\beta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$)
and
\[ 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \left(\frac{z}{2-z}\right)^2\right) = (1 - \frac{z}{2})^{\beta} 2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z) - \frac{\sqrt{\pi} (\beta + 1)^{-\alpha} (4z - 4)^{2\alpha - \beta} (2z - 2)^{\beta}}{\Gamma(-\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}; \alpha - \frac{\beta}{2}; \left(\frac{2}{z} - 1\right)^2\right), \tag{4} \]
\[ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \beta \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}, \text{ which we wrote under the form} \]
\[ 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \left(\frac{z}{2-z}\right)^2\right) = (1 - \frac{z}{2})^{\beta} 2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 2\alpha; z) + v^{(\beta, odd)}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \beta \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \]
and as a consequence we prove that
\[ 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \left(\frac{z}{2-z}\right)^2\right) = -v^{(\beta, even)}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \beta \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \]
and
\[ 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \left(\frac{z}{2-z}\right)^2\right) = u^{(\beta, odd)}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^-, \beta \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}. \]

Please note here that when \( \beta \) is either an even or odd non-positive integer then the series \( 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \left(\frac{z}{2-z}\right)^2\right) \) is well-defined with finitely many terms and the series \( 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}; \alpha - \frac{\beta}{2}; \left(\frac{2}{z} - 1\right)^2\right) \) is a series with infinitely many terms and when \( \beta \) is either an even or odd positive integer then the series \( 2F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \left(\frac{z}{2-z}\right)^2\right) \) is well-defined with infinitely many terms and the series \( 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}; \alpha - \frac{\beta}{2}; \left(\frac{2}{z} - 1\right)^2\right) \) is a series with finitely many terms thus the restriction due to the their convergence should be satisfied once, i.e., either \( \frac{2}{z} - 2 \) or \( \frac{2}{z} - 2 \) (not simultaneously).

Let us first give the Maple instructions in order to assure the readers that the results we are giving are true and right.

```
restart; F1 := proc (alpha, beta, z) options operator, arrow;
hypergeom([(1/2)*beta, (1/2)*beta+1/2], [alpha+1/2], z^2/(2-z)^2) end proc;
F2 := proc (alpha, beta, z) options operator, arrow;
(1-(1/2)*z)^beta*hypergeom([alpha, beta], [2*alpha], z) end proc;
u := proc (alpha, beta, z) options operator, arrow;
2*(z/(2-z))^(beta-1-2*alpha)*sqrt(Pi)*pochhammer((1/2)*beta, -alpha+1)
*hypergeom([1-(1/2)*beta, 1/2+alpha-(1/2)*beta], [3/2], (2-z)^2/z^2)
*((4*z-4)/(2-z)^2)^((alpha-beta)/GAMMA(-alpha+1/2)) end proc;
end proc;
u := proc (alpha, beta, z) options operator, arrow;
-(z/(2-z))^(beta-2*alpha)*sqrt(Pi)*pochhammer((1/2)*beta+1/2, -alpha)
*hypergeom([alpha-(1/2)*beta, 1/2-(1/2)*beta], [1/2], (2-z)^2/z^2)
*(((4*z-4)/(2-z)^2)^((alpha-beta)/GAMMA(-alpha+1/2)) end proc;
simplify(F1(-8, 4, z)-F2(-8, 4, z)-u(-8, 4, z));
0
simplify(F1(-8, -5, z)-F2(-8, -5, z)-v(-8, -5, z));
0
simplify(F1(-8, 4, z)+v(-8, 4, z));
0
simplify(F1(-8, -5, z)+u(-8, -5, z));
0
```
We change the notations to be much more convenient. The letter \( n \) denotes, in general, the integers so let us denote by \( \alpha := -n + 1 \), \( n \) is an integer greater than 0. The expression \( \alpha - \beta \) should be an integer then we take \( \beta = 2a \) with
\[
a \in \{ \cdots, -\frac{5}{2}, -2, -\frac{3}{2}, -1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots \}
\]
Taking into account the quantity \((\frac{z}{2-z})^2\), if we replace \( \pm \frac{z}{2-z} \) by \( z \) (2) becomes
\[
_2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^2a} \left( 2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z} \right)
\]
Here we have two situations for the integer \( 2a \):

- either \( a \) is itself an integer, i.e., \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) and in this case we have
  1. either \( a \) is itself a positive integer, i.e., \( a \in \mathbb{N} \), this situation was considered in a previous paper [7] where we proved that (5) remains true for \( n = 0 \) but for \( n = 1 \) (5) becomes
\[
_2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{(1 + z)^{-2a} + (1 - z)^{-2a}}{2a} - \frac{2a(2a - 1)\Gamma(a + 1)}{\Gamma(a)(z^2 - 1)^{2a}} \left( 2F_1(1 - a, -a + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]
and for \( n \geq 2 \) (5) should be written as
\[
_2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^2a} \left( 2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z} \right)
\]
which, using the notation
\[
u_n^{(a)} := \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(n + 1) z^{2n + 2a - 3} \left( 2F_1(1 - a, \frac{3}{2} - n - a; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a-1}}
\]
we wrote it under the form
\[
_2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^2a} \left( 2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z} \right) \pm \nu_n^{(a)},
\]
2. or \( a \) is itself a negative integer, i.e., \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \) and this situation is solved only by using the Pochhammer symbol in the formula of \( \nu_n^{(a)} \):
\[
u_n^{(a)} := \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}(n + 1) z^{2n + 2a - 3} \left( 2F_1(1 - a, \frac{3}{2} - n - a; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a-1}}
\]
and (8) remains true,
or $a$ is not an integer but half of an integer, i.e., $a \in \{ \cdots, \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots \}$ and, in this case, we prove that (5) remains true for $n = 0$ but for $n = 1$ and using the fact that $(-1)^{2a} = -1$ (5) becomes
\[
\binom{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{1}{2} = \frac{(1+z)^{-2a} + (1-z)^{-2a}}{2} = \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2a} + (1-z)^{-2a}},
\]
and for $n \geq 2, a \in \{ \cdots, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots \}$ and $z \neq 0$ we prove that
\[
\binom{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{1}{2} = \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2a} + (1-z)^{-2a}}.
\]
As an interesting consequence of our new expressions $u_n(a)$ and $v_n(a)$ we prove that
\[
\binom{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{1}{2} = -\binom{a}{2}, a \in \{ \cdots, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots \}
\]
(13)

Please note the following that this paper is a continuation of [7].

Many authors dealt with the quadratic transformation (2) recorded in [8–11] but always with the restrictions $\{2a + 1, a + \frac{3}{2}\}$ are not natural numbers and $a - \beta$ is not an integer (see Gradshletyn, Ryzhik, 9.130). This paper deals with some isolated cases related to $a - \beta$ as an integer and will be organized as follows. First we prove promptly that the result published in [7] does not hold for $a \in \{ \cdots, \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \cdots \}$. Second, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we give and prove some relations involving $\binom{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{1}{2}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally we prove (12) and (13).

2. No Concordance with Previous Result

For $a \in \{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \cdots \}$ let us prove first that
\[
\binom{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{1}{2} = \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2a} + (1-z)^{-2a}},
\]
and
\[
\binom{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{1}{2} = \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2a} + (1-z)^{-2a}}.
\]
Proof. In fact, with \( n = 2 \) and \( a = \frac{5}{2} \) and taking into account the "+" sign we get

\[
2F_1\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}; z^2\right) - \frac{1}{(1+z)^5} 2F_1(5, -1; -2; \frac{2z}{1+z}) = -\frac{2(3z^4 + 42z^2 + 35)z^3}{(z^2 - 1)^6}.
\]

and

\[
2F_1\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}; z^2\right) - \frac{1}{(1+z)^5} 2F_1(5, 1; -2; \frac{2z}{1+z}) - u_2^{(\frac{5}{2})} = -\frac{(6z - 1)}{(z - 1)^6}.
\]

One can use the following Maple instructions

```maple
restart; F1 := proc (n, a, z) options operator, arrow; hypergeom([a+1/2, a], [-n+3/2], z^2) end proc; F2 := proc (n, a, z) options operator, arrow; hypergeom([a+1/2, a], [-n+3/2], z^2) end proc; u := proc (n, a, z) options operator, arrow; hypergeom([2*a, -n+1], [-2*n+2], z^2) end proc; F1(2, 5/2, z)-F2(2, 5/2, z)); factor(simplify(F1(2, 5/2, z)-F2(2, 5/2, z)))
```

\( \square \)

Here is another curious counter-example. If we take \( n = 2, a = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( z = -\frac{1}{2} \), we find

\[
2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1; -\frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{4}{9},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{2})} 2F_1(1, -1; -2; \frac{1+\frac{1}{2}}{1+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0
\]

and for \( z = -\frac{1}{2}, u_2^{(\frac{1}{2})} = -\frac{4}{9} \).

3. Relations between These Hypergeometric Series

In order to use all the results of [7], we need to find some relations involving the first sum \( 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) \) and the second sum \( \frac{1}{(1+z)^n} 2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{3}{2}z^2) \).

3.1. Relations Involving the First Sum

In the following lemma, we give three relations involving \( 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) \).

**Lemma 1.** For any positive integer \( n \) and for any \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) we have the following results

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) \right) = -\frac{2a(2a+1)z}{(2n-3)} 2F_1(a+1, a + \frac{3}{2}; -n + \frac{5}{2}; z^2),
\]

(14)

\[
2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) - \frac{(2a+1)z^2}{(2n-3)} 2F_1(a+1, a + \frac{3}{2}; -n + \frac{5}{2}; z^2),
\]

(15)

\[
2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -(n+1) + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) + \frac{(2a)(2a+1)z^2}{(2n-1)(2n-3)} 2F_1(a+1, a + \frac{3}{2}; -n + \frac{5}{2}; z^2).
\]

(16)
Proof. The proof of (14) is a direct consequence of the following formula [6], 15.5.1, [3–5]

\[ \frac{d}{dz} \binom{2F1}{(a,b;c;z)} = \frac{ab}{c} 2F1(a + 1, b + 1; c + 1; z). \]  

(17)

Second, let us prove (15).

\[ 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{2a + 1}{2n - 3} z^2 2F1(a + 1, a + \frac{3}{2}; -n + \frac{5}{2}; z^2) \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k}{k!} z^{2k} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k}{k!} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a + 1)_k (a + \frac{3}{2})_k}{k!} z^{2k+2} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k}{k!} z^{2k} \]

using \((z)_k = z(z+1)_{k-1}\) we get

\[ 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{2a + 1}{2n - 3} z^2 2F1(a + 1, a + 1; -n + \frac{1}{2}; z^2) \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k}{k!} \sum_{k \geq 1} k(a + 1)_{k-1} (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \]

\[ = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(a)_k + k(a + 1)_{k-1}}{(n + \frac{3}{2})_k} (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \]

using \((z)_k + (z+1)_{k-1} = (z+1)_k\) we get

\[ 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{2a + 1}{2n - 3} z^2 2F1(a + 1, a + 1; -n + \frac{1}{2}; z^2) \]

\[ = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} (a + 1)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 0} (a + 1)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \]

using \((z)_k + (z+1)_{k-1} = (z+1)_k\) we get

\[ 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{2a + 1}{2n - 3} z^2 2F1(a + 1, a + 1; -n + \frac{1}{2}; z^2) \]

\[ = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} (a + 1)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 0} (a + 1)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \]

\[ = 2F1(a + 1, a + 1; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) \]

Let us prove (16). It is easy to see that

\[ 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -(n + 1) + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) - 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) \]

\[ = \frac{(2a)(2a+1)z^2}{(2n-1)(2n-3)} 2F1((a+1), (a+1) + \frac{1}{2}; -(n+1)+\frac{3}{2}; z^2). \]

In fact

\[ 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -(n + 1) + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) - 2F1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 1} (a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \frac{1}{(-n + \frac{1}{2})_k} - \frac{1}{(n + \frac{3}{2})_k} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 1} (a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \frac{1}{(-n + \frac{1}{2})_k} - \frac{1}{(n + \frac{3}{2})_k} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 1} (a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \frac{1}{(-n + \frac{1}{2})_k} - \frac{1}{(n + \frac{3}{2})_k} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 1} (a)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k} \frac{1}{(-n + \frac{1}{2})_k} - \frac{1}{(n + \frac{3}{2})_k} \]

\[ = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a(a + 1)_k (a + \frac{1}{2})_k z^{2k}}{(n + \frac{1}{2})_k (n + \frac{3}{2})_k (n + \frac{5}{2})_k \cdot \cdot \cdot (n + \frac{2k}{2})_k (k-1)!.} \]
\[3.2. \text{Relations Involving the Second Sum}\]

In the following lemma we give three relations involving \( \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+1}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \pm \frac{2z}{1 \pm z} \right) \).

**Lemma 2.** For any positive integer \( n \) and for any \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) we have the following results

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+1}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \pm \frac{2z}{1 \pm z} \right) \right) = \frac{2a(2a + 1)z}{(2n - 3)} \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+2}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a + 2, -n + 2; -2n + 4; \pm \frac{2z}{1 \pm z} \right), \tag{18}
\]

\[
\frac{2a + 1}{2n - 3 \, (1 \pm z)^{2a+2}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a + 2, -n + 2; -2n + 4; \pm \frac{2z}{1 \pm z} \right), \tag{19}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+1}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a, -(n + 1) + 1; -2(n + 1) + 2; \pm \frac{2z}{1 \pm z} \right) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \pm \frac{2z}{1 \pm z} \right) + \frac{(2a)(2a + 1)}{(2a - 1)(2n - 3)} \frac{z^2}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+2}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a, -(n - 1) + 1; -2(n - 1) + 2; \pm \frac{2z}{1 \pm z} \right). \tag{20}
\]

**Proof.** First, let us prove (18) and let us prove it for the "+" sign,

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+1}} \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{2z}{1 + z} \right) \right) = \frac{2a}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+2}} \left( {}_2F_1 \left( 2a + 1, -n + 2; -2n + 3; \frac{2z}{1 + z} \right) - (z + 1) \, {}_2F_1 \left( 2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{2z}{1 + z} \right) \right),
\]

To prove (19) we begin by considering the following change of variable for the + sign

\[
\frac{1}{y} = \frac{2z}{z + 1}, \tag{21}
\]

whereas for the - sign we assume

\[
\frac{1}{y} = \frac{-2z}{-z + 1}.
\]
For the + sign, we should prove that

\[
2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}) - \frac{(2a + 1)}{4(2n - 3)y^2} 2F_1(2a + 2, -n + 2; -2n + 4; \frac{1}{y})
\]

\[
-\frac{(2y - 1)}{2y} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}) = 0. \tag{22}
\]

Let us prove (22). The LHS of (22) gives

\[
2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}) - \frac{(2a + 1)}{4(2n - 3)y^2} 2F_1(2a + 2, -n + 2; -2n + 4; \frac{1}{y})
\]

\[
- 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}) + \frac{1}{2y} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}).
\]

Using the following relation

\[
2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}) - 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y})
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2y} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 2; -2n + 3; \frac{1}{y})
\]

the LHS of (22) becomes

\[
-\frac{(2a + 1)}{4(2n - 3)y^2} 2F_1(2a + 2, -n + 2; -2n + 4; \frac{1}{y})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2y} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}) - \frac{1}{2y} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 2; -2n + 3; \frac{1}{y}).
\]

Using the following relation

\[
\frac{1}{2y} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{1}{y}) - \frac{1}{2y} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 2; -2n + 3; \frac{1}{y})
\]

\[
= \frac{(2a + 1)}{4(2n - 3)y^2} 2F_1(2a + 2, -n + 2; -2n + 4; \frac{1}{y})
\]

the LHS vanishes. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.** In the following lemma, we give these relations involving \(u_n^{(a)}\) and \(v_n^{(a)}\).

\[
u_{n+1}^{(a)} = u_n^{(a)} + \frac{(2a)(2a+1)z^2}{(2n-1)(2n-3)} u_{n-1}^{(a+1)} \tag{23}
\]

as well as

\[
v_{n+1}^{(a)} = v_n^{(a)} + \frac{(2a)(2a+1)z^2}{(2n-1)(2n-3)} v_{n-1}^{(a+1)} \tag{24}
\]

**Proof.** The proof of (23) is a direct consequence of the combination of (8) with (16) and (20), whereas the proof of (24) is a direct consequence of the combination of (12) with (16) and (20). \(\square\)

3.3. Relations between New Added Terms

Using this lemma, (2) and results given in [7] we give the following result

**Theorem 2.** For any \(a \in \mathbb{R}\) we have the following results

\[
v_n^{(a+1)} = u_n^{(a)} - \frac{2a + 1}{2n-3} z^2 u_{n-1}^{(a+1)}
\]
as well as
\[ u_n^{(a+\frac{1}{2})} = v_n^{(a)} - \frac{2a+1}{2n-3} z^2 v_n^{(a+1)}. \]

**Proof.** Using (19) and (2) we have
\[ 2F_1(a + \frac{1}{2}, a + 1; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) - \frac{2a+1}{2n-3} z^2 2F_1(a + 1, a + \frac{3}{2}; -n + \frac{5}{2}; z^2) \]
and
\[ \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+1}} 2F_1(2a + 1, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z}) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a}} 2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z}) \]
\[ - \frac{2a+1}{2n-3} z^2 \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a+2}} 2F_1(2a + 2, -n + 2; -2n + 4; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z}). \]

Using results of [7] we have
\[ 2F_1(a + \frac{1}{2}, a + 1; -0 + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a}} 2F_1(2a, -0 + 1; -0 + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z}), \]
\[ 2F_1(a + \frac{1}{2}, a + 1; -1 + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a}} 2F_1(2a, -1 + 1; -2 + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z}) - u_1^{(a)}, \]
and for \( n \geq 2 \) we have
\[ 2F_1(a + \frac{1}{2}, a + 1; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2) = \frac{1}{(1 \pm z)^{2a}} 2F_1(2a, -n + 1; -2n + 2; \frac{\pm 2z}{1 \pm z}) + u_n^{(a)}. \]

If we combine all these quantities together we obtain
\[ v_n^{(a+\frac{1}{2})} = u_n^{(a)} - \frac{2a+1}{2n-3} z^2 u_n^{(a+1)}. \]
\[ \square \]

In the following proposition, we give the simplified expression of \( v_n^{(a)} \)

**Lemma 4.**
\[ v_n^{(a)} = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}(a + \frac{1}{2})_{n-1} z^{2a+2n-2} 2F_1(\frac{1}{2} - a, -n - a + 1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{z^2})}{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a-1}}. \] (25)

**Proof.** We have just proved that
\[ v_n^{(a+\frac{1}{2})} = u_n^{(a)} - \frac{2a+1}{2n-3} z^2 u_n^{(a+1)}. \]

Using (7) we get
\[
\psi_n^{(a)} = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}(a)\pi z_{2n+2a-3} 2F_1 \left( 1 - a, \frac{3}{2} - n - a; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{z^2} \right)}{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a-1}} - \frac{(2a + 1)\pi z_{2n+2a-3} 2F_1 \left( -a, \frac{3}{2} - n - a; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{z^2} \right)}{(2n - 3)^2 \Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a}}
\]

\[
= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}(a)\pi z_{2n+2a-3} 2F_1 \left( 1 - a, \frac{3}{2} - n - a; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{z^2} \right)}{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a}} - \frac{\pi z_{2n+2a-3} 2F_1 \left( -a, \frac{3}{2} - n - a; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{z^2} \right)}{(2n - 3)^2 \Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a}}
\]

\[
= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}(a)\pi z_{2n+2a-3}}{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a}} \left( 2a + 2n - 3 \right) 2F_1 \left( 1 - a, \frac{5}{2} - n - a; \frac{5}{2}; \frac{1}{z^2} \right) - \frac{\pi z_{2n+2a-3}}{(2n - 3)^2 \Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - 1)^{n+2a}} \left( 2a + 2n - 3 \right) 2F_1 \left( 1 - a, \frac{3}{2} - n - a; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{z^2} \right)
\]

Notation 1. In the sequel, we denote by

\[
(Fv)^{(a)}_n = 2F_1 \left( a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2 \right) + \psi_n^{(a)} , \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}
\]

and

\[
(Fu)^{(a)}_n = 2F_1 \left( a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2 \right) + u_n^{(a)} , \quad a \in \{ \cdots, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots \}.
\]

Consequence 1. For any \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have the following result

\[
(Fv)^{(a)}_n = 2F_1 \left( a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2 \right) + \psi_n^{(a)} = 0.
\]

For any \( a \in \{ \cdots, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots \} \) we have the following result

\[
(Fu)^{(a)}_n = 2F_1 \left( a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2 \right) + u_n^{(a)} = 0.
\]
Proof. Let us begin by proving that \((Fv)_n^{(a)} = 0, a \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\). Let us compute first \((Fv)_0^{(a)}\) and \((Fv)_1^{(a)}\):

\[
(Fv)_0^{(a)} = 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; z^2) + v_0^{(a)} = \frac{1}{2z(-1 + 2a)}(- (1 + z)^{-2a} + (1 - z)^{-2a}) + \frac{1}{2z(-1 + 2a)}(z^2 - 1)^{-2a}((z - 1)(1 + z)^{2a} + (1 + z)(1 - z)^{2a}) = 0
\]

because \(2a - 1\) is an odd integer (among the conditions of the convergence of the series we should add \(z \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}\)).

The same calculations lead to

\[
(Fv)_1^{(a)} = 2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; z^2) + v_1^{(a)} = \frac{1}{2)((1 + z)^{-2a} + (1 - z)^{-2a}) - \frac{(1 + z)^{2a} + (-1 + z)^{2a}}{2}((1 + z)(-1 + z))^{-2a} = 0
\]

because \(2a\) is an even integer.

Using (24) and (16) we easily get for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(a \in \mathbb{Z}\)

\[
(Fv)_{n+1}^{(a)} = (Fv)_n^{(a)} + \frac{(2a)(2a + 1)z^2}{(2n - 1)(2n - 3)}(Fv)^{(a+1)}_.
\]

(26)

With \(n = 1\) in (26) we get

\[
(Fv)_2^{(a)} = (Fv)_1^{(a)} + (2a)(2a + 1)z^2(Fv)_0^{(a+1)}
\]

which, taking into account \((Fv)_0^{(a)} = 0\) and \((Fv)_1^{(a)} = 0\), gives \((Fv)_2^{(a)} = 0\). Similarly with \(n = 2\) in (26) we get

\[
(Fv)_3^{(a)} = (Fv)_2^{(a)} + \frac{(2a)(2a + 1)z^2}{(3)(1)}z^2(Fv)_0^{(a+1)}
\]

which gives \((Fv)_3^{(a)} = 0\). Then, by recurrence, it is easy to prove that \((Fv)_n^{(a)} = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\).

The same steps lead to the proof of \((Fv)_n^{(a)} = 0\).

Remark 1. The explanation of the consequence is as follows: for some values of \(a \in \{-\frac{5}{2}, -2, -\frac{3}{2}, -1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots\}\) and for some values of \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\) we have arrived to write a new identity between a well-defined series with infinitely many terms and a well-defined series with finitely many terms as follows

- for \(a \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}\) the series \(2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2)\) is well defined with infinitely many terms is equal to the series

  \[
  \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n
  \]

  which is well defined with finitely many terms.

- for \(a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\) the series \(2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2)\) is well defined with finitely many terms whereas the series

  \[
  \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n
  \]

  is well defined with finitely many terms provided that \(1 - a < n\).

- for \(a \in \{\frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \cdots\}\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\) the series \(2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2)\) is well defined with infinitely many terms is equal to the series

  \[
  \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n \frac{(\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}+1)}z^n
  \]

  which is well defined with finitely many terms. For \(a \in \{\cdots, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\}\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\) the series \(2F_1(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; -n + \frac{3}{2}; z^2)\) is well defined with finitely many terms whereas the
series \( 2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{z^{2n+2a-3} \Gamma(1-a, \frac{1}{2}-n-a; \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n-\frac{1}{2}) (z-1)^{n+2a}} \) is well defined with finitely many terms provided that \( \frac{3}{2} - a < n \).

One can use the following Maple instructions

```maple
restart; F1 := proc (n, a, z) options operator, arrow; hypergeom([a+1/2, a], [-n+3/2], z^2) end proc; F2 := proc (n, a, z) options operator, arrow; hypergeom([2*a, -n+1], [-2*n+2], 2*z/(1+z))/(1+z)^2 end proc; u := proc (n, a, z) options operator, arrow; 2*z^(2*a-3+2*n)*sqrt(Pi)*pochhammer(a, n) *hypergeom([1-a, 3/2-n-a], [3/2], 1/z^2) *(z^2-1)^(-n+1-2*a)/GAMMA(n-1/2) end proc;

v := proc (n, a, z) options operator, arrow; -z^(2*a-2+2*n)*sqrt(Pi)*pochhammer(a+1/2, n-1) *hypergeom([1/2-a, 1-n-a], [1/2], 1/z^2) *(z^2-1)^(-n+1-2*a)/GAMMA(n-1/2) end proc;

factor(simplify(F1(2, -5, z)-F2(2, -5, z)-u(2, -5, z))); 0

factor(simplify(F1(2, -5/2, z)-F2(2, -5/2, z)-v(2, -5/2, z))); 0

factor(simplify(F1(n, a+1/2, z)-F1(n, a, z)+ (2*a+1)*z^2*F1(n-1, a+1, z)/(2*n-3))); 0

factor(simplify(F2(n, a+1/2, z)-F2(n, a, z)+ (2*a+1)*z^2*F2(n-1, a+1, z)/(2*n-3))); 0

factor(simplify(u(n, a+1/2, z)-v(n, a, z) +(2*a+1)*z^2*u(n-1, a+1, z)/(2*n-3))); 0

factor(simplify(v(n, a+1/2, z)-u(n, a, z) +(2*a+1)*z^2*v(n-1, a+1, z)/(2*n-3))); 0

4. Open Problem

By the same technique, we are working on other well-known quadratic transformations available in the literature. The work is under investigation and will form a part of the subsequent paper in this direction.
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