Abstract: The paper introduces a novel two-dimensional fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population. The model’s nonlinear dynamics are explored using various numerical techniques, including phase portraits, bifurcations and maximum Lyapunov exponent, with consideration given to both commensurate and incommensurate fractional orders. These techniques reveal that the fractional-order predator–prey Leslie–Gower model exhibits intricate and diverse dynamical characteristics, including stable trajectories, periodic motion, and chaotic attractors, which are affected by the variance of the system parameters, the commensurate fractional order, and the incommensurate fractional order. Finally, we employ the 0–1 method, the approximate entropy test and the $C_0$ algorithm to measure complexity and confirm chaos in the proposed system.

Keywords: chaotic system; discrete predator–prey model; commensurate order; incommensurate order; chaos; complexity
in notable advances in various fields such as mathematics, biology, engineering, ecology and others.

Discrete fractional calculus is an area of mathematics that looks at discrete fractional calculus analogs. Fractional calculus is an extension of classical calculus that works with derivatives and integrals of non-integer orders. It has many uses in different domains including engineering, physics, and economics, among others. Over the last several years, it has garnered significant considerable interest as a result of its potential applications in various fields such as image processing, signal processing, and data analysis. The study of discrete fractional calculus involves the development of new mathematical tools and techniques for analyzing and manipulating discrete fractional operators, and the investigation of their properties and applications. In recent times, there has been a surge of publications addressing the topic of discrete-time fractional calculus. These publications put forth numerous discrete-time fractional operators, stability analyses, and theoretical results [1–5]. As a consequence of these works, there has been an increase in the development of commensurate and incommensurate fractional discrete chaotic systems, as seen in [6–13] and references therein. Additionally, various control strategies and synchronization schemes have been proposed to synchronize different fractional chaotic maps [14–18].

Recently, a considerable number of researchers have investigated the dynamical behaviors of discrete models. However, only a limited amount of literature exists that focuses on analyzing the dynamics of discrete-time predator–prey systems [19–21]. For instance, the bifurcation analysis and hybrid control of discrete predator–prey model have been studied by Yuan and Yang in [22]. The chaos control of the discrete modified predator–prey Leslie–Gower harvesting system has been analyzed by Ajaz et al. in [23]. In [24], the author has explored the chaos and complexity in a discrete-time prey-predator model. Khan et al. in [25] discussed the Neimark–Sacker bifurcations and global dynamics in a discrete prey-predator Leslie model, while Vinoth et al. [26] investigated the dynamic behaviors of a new Leslie–Gower discrete-time system with the Allee impact in predator populations. The Allee effect is a biological phenomenon that has been named after Allee, who produced a comprehensive account of it. This statement indicates the existence of a direct correlation between the size of a population and its per capita growth rate [27]. Most of the research above has focused on classical integer-order models. As far as current literature indicates, there have been no research contributions that specifically analyze the behaviours of a fractional-order discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower system with the influence of the Allee effect in predator population. Therefore, the chaotic dynamical behaviours of such a system that utilizes fractional difference operators possessing both commensurate and incommensurate orders is an appealing field for further study.

Based on the discussion presented earlier, the objective of this paper is to investigate and analyze the rich chaotic dynamics of a newly proposed commensurate fractional-order fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower system and incommensurate fractional-order fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower system by taking the Allee parameter as a bifurcation parameter. The basic properties of this fractional model will be studied using certain theoretical and numerical analyses. Furthermore, we will use the approximate entropy test and $C_0$ algorithm to measure the complexity and validate the presence of chaos in the proposed system. Finally, we will conclude the study by summarizing the most significant findings obtained in the article.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide the mathematical formulation of the model utilizing the Caputo-like operator. In Section 3, we delve the fundamental properties of the model through numerical theoretical and analyses. In Section 4, we employ the 0–1 method, the approximate entropy test and $C_0$ algorithm to measure complexity and confirm the existence of chaos within the suggested model. Finally, the paper concludes by summarizing the most noteworthy outcomes obtained throughout the study.
2. Model Description of the Fractional Discrete System

Subject to the continuous predator–prey model of modified Leslie–Gower given in [28], Vinoth et al. [26] describes the following fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower system with the influence of the Allee effect in Predator Population:

\[
\begin{align*}
    z_1(r+1) &= z_1(r)\exp\left[\delta_1 - a z_1(r) - \frac{h_1 z_2(r)}{z_1(r) + b}\right], \\
    z_2(r+1) &= z_2(r)\exp\left[\frac{\delta_2 z_2(r)}{z_2(r) + m} - \frac{h_2 z_2(r)}{z_1(r) + c}\right],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( z_1 \leq 0 \) and \( z_2 \leq 0 \) denote the population sizes. \( \frac{z_2(r)}{m+z_2(r)} \) is the Allee effect term and \( m > 0 \) represents the severity of Allee effect in the predator population. \( \delta_1, \delta_2, a, h_1, h_2, b, c \) are positive constant parameters. In our work, we intend to use the Caputo-like operator to obtain a new fractional discrete predator–prey model. First, we formulate the first-order differences of the fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \Delta z_1(r) &= z_1(r)\exp\left[\delta_1 - a z_1(r) - \frac{h_1 z_2(r)}{z_1(r) + b}\right] - z_1(r), \\
    \Delta z_2(r) &= z_2(r)\exp\left[\frac{\delta_2 z_2(r)}{z_2(r) + m} - \frac{h_2 z_2(r)}{z_1(r) + c}\right] - z_2(r),
\end{align*}
\]

Next, we use the difference operator \( ^c\Delta_a^\Delta \) to produce a novel fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with the Allee effect in predator population. The formulation of the mathematical form of the system is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    ^c\Delta_a^\Delta z_1(r) &= z_1(r + \theta - 1)\exp\left[\delta_1 - a z_1(r + \theta - 1) - \frac{h_1 z_2(r + \theta - 1)}{z_1(r + \theta - 1) + b}\right] - z_1(r + \theta - 1), \\
    ^c\Delta_a^\Delta z_2(r) &= z_2(r + \theta - 1)\exp\left[\frac{\delta_2 z_2(r + \theta - 1)}{z_2(r + \theta - 1) + m} - \frac{h_2 z_2(r + \theta - 1)}{z_1(r + \theta - 1) + c}\right] - z_2(r + \theta - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

The Caputo-like difference operator \( ^C\Delta_a^\Delta \) can be given by \[4\]

\[
^C\Delta_a^\Delta \chi(r) = \Delta_a^{(m-\theta)} \Delta^m \chi(r).
\]

\( r \in (N)_{a-\theta+m} \) and \( m = \lceil \theta \rceil + 1 \). \( \Delta_a^{-\theta} \) is fractional sum which is defined as \[2\]

\[
\Delta_a^{-\theta} \chi(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \sum_{k=\theta}^{r-\theta} (r-k-1)^{(\theta-1)} \chi(k), \quad r \in (N)_{a+\theta}, \quad \theta > 0
\]

Now, the following theorem will be presented, which will help us to gain the derivation of the numerical expression for the fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower system (3):

**Theorem 1** ([29]). The solution of the following system

\[
\begin{align*}
    \left\{ ^C\Delta_a^\Delta \chi(r) &= g(r - 1 + \theta, \chi(r - 1 + \theta)) \\
    \Delta^m \chi(a) &= \chi_j, \quad m = \lceil \theta \rceil + 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1
\end{align*}
\]

is given by

\[
\chi(r) = \chi_0(a) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \sum_{k=a+m-\theta}^{r-\theta} (r-k-1)^{(\theta-1)} g(k + \theta - 1, \chi(k + \theta - 1)), \quad r \in N_{a+m},
\]

where
\[
\chi_0(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(r-a)^j}{\Gamma(n+1)} \Delta^j \chi(a). \tag{8}
\]

**Remark 1.** Take \(a = 0, m = 1, j = k + \theta - 1\) and \((r - k - 1)^{\theta-1} = \frac{\Gamma(r-k)}{\Gamma(r-k+\theta)}\), the numerical expression (7) can be written for \(\theta \in (0,1)\) as follows:
\[
\chi(r) = \chi(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta) \Gamma(r-n-\theta+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \Gamma(r-n-\theta+1) S(j, \chi(j)). \tag{9}
\]

### 3. Nonlinear Dynamics of the Fractional Discrete-Time Predator–Prey Leslie–Gower Model

This section will analyze the behaviour of the fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population. The analysis will be conducted in two cases: commensurate, incommensurate. Various numerical analysis techniques, including the display of phase portraits, the plot of bifurcations, and the estimations of maximum Lyapunov exponents, will be utilized. To calculate the maximum Lyapunov exponents of the model’s attractors, we will use the Jacobian matrix algorithm introduced in [30].

#### 3.1. Commensurate Order FDNN Model

Here, we will focus on describing the features of a suggested commensurate fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (3). It is worth noting that a commensurate order system consists of equations with the same fractional orders. In light of this, we will now provide the numerical formula, which is derived from Theorem 1, as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
z_1(r+1) &= z_1(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(r-1-k+\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta) \Gamma(r-k)} \left( z_1(k) \exp \left[ \delta_1 - a z_1(k) - \frac{h_1 z_2(k)}{z_1(k)+c} \right] - z_1(k) \right), \\
z_2(r+1) &= z_2(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(r-1-k+\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta) \Gamma(r-k)} \left( z_2(k) \exp \left[ \delta_2 z_2(k) - \frac{h_2 z_1(k)}{z_2(k)+c} \right] - z_2(k) \right), \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{10}
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(z_1(0)\) and \(z_2(0)\) are the initial conditions (I.C). Setting the parameters system \(\delta_1 = 3.3, \delta_2 = 1, a = 3.3, h_1 = 1.2, h_2 = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9\) and I.C \((z_1(0), z_2(0)) = (1, 2)\), The bifurcation diagrams are used to depict the variations in the behaviours of the fractional discrete predator–prey model (3) as the commensurate order \(\theta\) is varied with step size \(\Delta \theta = 0.00003\). Figure 1 depicts the bifurcation and the \((LE_{max})\). The Lyapunov exponents are estimated by means of the jacobian matrix algorithm (see [30]), which are computed in a manner similar to that used to determine the states in the fractional discrete system (3). We define the tangent map \(I\) as:
\[
I_m = \begin{pmatrix}
a_1(m) & a_2(m) \\
b_1(m) & b_2(m)
\end{pmatrix} \tag{11}
\]

where
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\[
\begin{align*}
    a_1(m) &= a_1(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(m-j+1+\vartheta)}{\Gamma(m-j)} \left[ a_1(j) + z_1(j) \left( -a_1(j) - \frac{h_1 b_1(z_1(j) + a_1(j) z_2(j))}{(z_1(j) + b_1)^2} \right) \right] \\
    a_2(m) &= a_2(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(m-j+1+\vartheta)}{\Gamma(m-j)} \left[ a_2(j) + z_1(j) \left( -a_2(j) - \frac{h_1 b_1(z_1(j) + b_1 z_2(j))}{(z_1(j) + b_1)^2} \right) \right] \\
    b_1(m) &= b_1(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(m-j+1+\vartheta)}{\Gamma(m-j)} \left[ b_1(j) + z_2(j) \left( \frac{\delta_2 b_1(j) m}{(z_1(j) + b_1)^2} - \frac{h_1 b_1(z_1(j) + c) - h_1 a_1(j) z_2(j)}{(z_1(j) + c)^2} \right) \right] \\
    b_2(m) &= b_2(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(m-j+1+\vartheta)}{\Gamma(m-j)} \left[ b_2(j) + z_2(j) \left( \frac{\delta_2 b_2(j) m}{(z_1(j) + c)^2} - \frac{h_1 b_2(z_1(j) + c) - h_1 a_2(j) z_2(j)}{(z_1(j) + c)^2} \right) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

with
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    a_1(0) & a_2(0) \\
    b_1(0) & b_2(0)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & 0 \\
    0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then, the Lyapunov exponents can be given by:
\[
LE_k = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \ln |\lambda^{(m)}_k|, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.
\]

where $\lambda^{(m)}_k$ is the eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix $J_m$.

Since the inherent complexity of accurate predictions of the system's behaviour using analytical methods is challenging, numerical approximations are often necessary. One such approach involves using MATLAB R2022a software. From Figure 1, we can see that upon changing the commensurate order $\vartheta$, the system (3) exhibits different dynamics involving chaos and periodic motion. In particular, when $\vartheta$ takes larger values, the trajectories are chaotic. Moreover, when the commensurate order decreases, periodic windows with 5-period orbits appear and the model becomes stable at $\vartheta \in [0.9945, 0.9956]$. If $\vartheta < 0.9945$, the trajectories of the model lose their stability and the chaotic motions occur again where $LE_{\max}$ is negative. If $\vartheta$ continues to decrease, the transition states are shown and then the commensurate fractional discrete predator–prey model with an Allee effect on the predator population converges toward infinity. Next, we generated three bifurcations of (3) with respect to the varying values of $m$, as illustrated in Figure 2. The plotted diagrams correspond to the commensurate values $\vartheta = 0.99$, $\vartheta = 0.995$ and $\vartheta = 0.998$. We notice that the states of the commensurate fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model (3) are affected by the system parameter $m$ and the commensurate fractional order $\vartheta$. For instance, when the order $\vartheta$ increases, the chaotic region shrinks and more periodic states are observed. For completeness, to have a comprehensive comprehension of the aforementioned characteristics, Figure 3 portrays the discrete time evolution of the states $z_1$ and $z_2$ of the proposed commensurate system (3) while Figure 4 depicts the results of different phase portraits for $\vartheta = 0.99$, $\vartheta = 0.9947$, $\vartheta = 0.995$, $\vartheta = 0.9957$, $\vartheta = 0.998$ and $\vartheta = 1$. It is clear that the trajectories of the proposed commensurate fractional discrete model change their motion between chaotic motion and periodic ones when the commensurate order $\vartheta$ varied. These numerical computations indicate that the predator–
prey discrete-time Leslie–Gower model with commensurate fractional order (3) has various interesting dynamical characteristics.

Figure 1. (a) Bifurcation of (3) for \( \vartheta \in (0, 1) \). (b) The corresponding \( LE_{\text{MAX}} \).

Figure 2. Bifurcations of (3) where \( m \in (0, 1) \) for (a) \( \vartheta = 0.99 \), (b) \( \vartheta = 0.995 \), (c) \( \vartheta = 0.998 \).
Figure 3. Time evolution of the states of (3) \((z_1(r) \text{ (blue line) and } z_2(r) \text{ (red line)})\) for \(\delta_1 = 3.3, \delta_2 = 1, \alpha = 3.3, h_1 = 1.2, h_2 = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9\) and I.C. \((z_1(0), z_2(0)) = (1, 2)\).

Figure 4. Cont.
3.2. Incommensurate Fractional Discrete System

In this section, the focus is on studying the dynamics of a fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower system with incommensurate fractional orders. An incommensurate order system involves assigning unique fractional values to each equation in the model. Therefore, the discrete system can be expressed as an incommensurate fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\cD\alpha^\theta_1 z_1(r) &= z_1(r + \theta_1 - 1)\exp\left[\delta_1 - az_1(r + \theta_1 - 1) - \frac{h_1 z_2(r + \theta_1 - 1)}{z_1(r + \theta_1 - 1) + b}\right] - z_1(r + \theta_1 - 1), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_{\theta_1+1} \\
\cD\alpha^\theta_2 z_2(r) &= z_2(r + \theta_2 - 1)\exp\left[\delta_2 z_2(r + \theta_2 - 1) - \frac{h_2 z_2(r + \theta_2 - 1)}{z_1(r + \theta_2 - 1) + c}\right] - z_2(r + \theta_2 - 1), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_{\theta_2+1}.
\end{align*}
\]

The numerical formula of the incommensurate fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model (15), using Theorem 1, is described as:

\[
\begin{align*}
z_1(r+1) &= z_1(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(r-k-\theta_1)}{\Gamma(\theta_1)\Gamma(r-k)} \left(z_1(k)\exp\left[\delta_1 - az_1(k) - \frac{h_1 z_2(k)}{z_1(k) + b}\right] - z_1(k)\right), \\
z_2(r+1) &= z_2(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(r-k-\theta_2)}{\Gamma(\theta_2)\Gamma(r-k)} \left(z_2(k)\exp\left[\delta_2 z_2(k) - \frac{h_2 z_2(k)}{z_1(k) + c}\right] - z_2(k)\right), \quad r = 1, 2, \cdots.
\end{align*}
\]

To illustrate the impact of the incommensurate order on the behaviours of the fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model (15), we set the system parameters \(\delta_1 = 3.3, \delta_2 = 1, a = 3.3, h_1 = 1.2, h_2 = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9\) and I.C \((z_1(0), z_2(0)) = (1, 2)\). Figure 5 shows the resulting phase plots for different incommensurate orders. As can be observed in Figure 5, the system (15) displays periodic behaviour for \((\theta_1, \theta_2) = (0.92, 0.15)\) and it displays chaotic behaviour for chosen fractional incommensurate orders. Still, the shape of the attractors differs from one fractional incommensurate order to another and the attractors are extremely different from those obtained in Figure 4.
These results prove that the choice of the fractional incommensurate order influences the shape of the attractors of the system (15).

![Figure 5](image_url)

Figure 5. Phase portraits of (15) for δ₁ = 3.3, δ₂ = 1, α = 3.3, h₁ = 1.2, h₂ = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9 and I.C (z₁(0), z₂(0)) = (1, 2).

Since the phase plots are not definitive to describe the nature of the dynamics of the system, we are going to observe the bifurcation and the maximum Lyapunov exponents plots concerning the parameter m ∈ [0, 1] to give more precise details on the dynamics of the system (15). We draw bifurcations and its \( LE_{\text{max}} \) for three different fractional incommensurate orders \((\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) = (0.96, 0.15)\), \((\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) = (1, 0.15)\), and \((\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) = (1, 0.7)\) and with the values \( \delta_1 = 3.3, \delta_2 = 1, \alpha = 3.3, h_1 = 1.2, h_2 = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9 \) and I.C \((z_1(0), z_2(0)) = (1, 2)\) as illustrated in Figure 6. When looking at Figure 6, it is easy to observe that the shape of the bifurcation diagrams is different for the three proposed incommensurate orders. In addition, when we change the value of the incommensurate orders \( \vartheta_1 \) and \( \vartheta_2 \), the stability region shrink and the chaotic region expand.
Furthermore, to gain a more precise understanding of the impact of incommensurate orders on the dynamics of the discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (15), we have fixed $\vartheta_1 = 1$ and generated a bifurcation diagram along with a plot of its $LE_{\text{max}}$ when $\vartheta_2 \in (0, 1]$ and using the same parameters stated earlier. From Figure 7, we can see that when the incommensurate order $\vartheta_2$ close to 0, the trajectories becomes chaotic and the $LE_{\text{max}}$ values are positive. When $\vartheta_2 \in [0.138, 0.194]$, stable trajectories are obtained with the appearance of the periodic motion with 2, 4 and 8-period orbits. When $\vartheta_2$ increases, the trajectories of the suggested incommensurate fractional discrete-time predator–prey Leslie–Gower model (15) gradually evolve from a stable to a chaotic motion by means of period-doubling bifurcation. In addition, if we continue increases $\vartheta_2$, another small periodic window are show in the interval $\vartheta_2 \in [0.32, 0.332]$ and when $\vartheta_2$ becomes large and close to 1, the incommensurate fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model (15) become totally chaotic and the $LE_{\text{max}}$ takes their highest value.

**Figure 6.** Bifurcation of (15) versus $\delta$ for (a) $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 = (0.96, 0.15)$ (b) $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 = (1, 0.15)$ (c) $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 = (1, 0.7)$.

**Figure 7.** (a) Bifurcation of (15) versus $\vartheta_2$ for $\vartheta_1 = 1$ (b) The corresponding $LE_{\text{max}}$. 

(a) 

(b)
Next, we draw the bifurcation chart and its $LE_{\text{max}}$ of the proposed new incommensurate fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model (15) versus $\vartheta_1 \in (0.92, 1]$ and we chose the incommensurate orders as $\vartheta_2 = 0.15$. From Figure 8, in contrast to the previous cases, we observe that the trajectories of the incommensurate model are stable when the order $\vartheta_1$ takes larger values and approaches 1 in which the values of $LE_{\text{max}}$ approaches 0. In addition, when $\vartheta_1$ decreases, periodic windows disappears and chaos are observed where the values of $LE_{\text{max}}$ are positive. If the incommensurate order $\vartheta_1$ decrease further, a transition states are observed and when the order continues to decrease, the trajectories converge toward infinity. According to these results, varying in the incommensurate order have an effect on the dynamical properties of a fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (15) suggesting that the system’s behaviors can be more precisely depicted by incommensurate order values.

![Figure 8](image_url)  
(a) Bifurcation of (15) versus $\vartheta_1$ for $\vartheta_2 = 0.15$ (b) The corresponding $LE_{\text{max}}$.

4. The 0–1 Test for Chaos and the Complexity Analysis of the Model

This section presents an analysis of the complexity of chaotic behavours as a means of assessing the dynamical characteristics of chaotic models. Specifically, it is observed that as the level of complexity increases, the degree of chaos exhibited by the system also intensifies. The present study assesses the complexity of the proposed predator–prey discrete-time Leslie–Gower model with an Allee impact on the predator population. This evaluation is conducted through the utilization of the approximate entropy test and the $C_0$ complexity algorithm. In addition, we will use the 0–1 method to confirm the chaos within the system.

4.1. The 0–1 Test of the Model

Here, we will employ the 0–1 test approach, which was provided by Gottwald and Melbourne [31], in order to detect the difference between chaotic and regular behaviors in dynamic systems. We take the series data as input, and if the dynamics of the model are chaotic, the output will be close to 1, otherwise, it will be close to 0. Furthermore, we describe the test as follows:

Firstly, by using the time series $(z_1(m))_{m=1,...,N}$, we establish the translation variables as follows:

$$p_\varsigma(k) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} z_1(m)\cos(\varsigma k), \quad q_\varsigma(k) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} z_1(m)\sin(\varsigma k), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N.$$  (17)

The $(p_\varsigma - q_\varsigma)$ chart is used to detect whether or not chaotic behaviours of the proposed FDNN model occur. If the trajectories of $p_\varsigma$ and $q_\varsigma$ are bounded, the dynamical behaviours of the model are regular, but if they indicate Brownian-like behaviour, the system’s dy-
namics are chaotic. Furthermore, we introduce the mean square displacement formula as follows:

\[ M_\varsigma(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left[ (p_\varsigma(m+k) - p_\varsigma(m))^2 + (q_\varsigma(m+k) - q_\varsigma(m))^2 \right], \quad k \leq \frac{N}{10}. \tag{18} \]

Finally, we represent the asymptotic growth by:

\[ K_\varsigma = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log M_\varsigma}{\log k}. \tag{19} \]

The growth rate \( K = \text{median}(K_\varsigma) \) allows the distinction between nonchaotic and chaotic motions in the proposed commensurate FDNN model (3). If \( K \) is closer to 0, this suggests that the model is not chaotic, whereas if \( K \) is closer to 1, the model is chaotic.

To validate the occurrence of chaotic behaviours in the commensurate order fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (3) and the incommensurate fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (15), the results of the \( p - q \) plots for different commensurate and incommensurate values are displayed in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. The bounded trajectories depicted in Figures 9b,c and 10b serve as clear that the system in question is periodic. In contrast, Figures 9a and 10a,c display Brownian-like trajectories, confirming the occurrence of chaotic motions in both the commensurate model (3) and the incommensurate model (15).

**4.2. The ApEn of the Model**

Next, we describe the complexity of the fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (3) by using the approximate entropy (ApEn) algorithm [32]. The ApEn is a measure of the complexity of systems generated by a time series. Note that a time series with a higher value of ApEn are more complex ones. To calculate ApEn, we define first \( n - m + 1 \) vectors as follows:
\( Z(i) = [z(i), \ldots, z(i + m - 1)] \),

for \( i \in [1, n - m + 1] \) where \( z(1), z(2), \ldots, z(n) \) is a set of discrete points. In addition, we describe the following equation:

\[
C^m_i(r) = \frac{K}{n - m + 1},
\]

where \( K \) is the number of \( Z(i) \) having \( d(Z(i), Z(j)) \leq r \). Note that the value of the approximate entropy depends on two important parameters: the similar tolerance \( r \) and the embedding dimension \( m \). Here, we set \( m = 2 \) and \( r = 0.2 \text{std}(Z) \) where \( \text{std}(Z) \) is the standard deviation of the data \( Z \). Theoretically, the ApEn is calculated as:

\[
\text{ApEn} = \phi^m(r) - \phi^{m+1}(r)
\]

where,

\[
\phi^m(r) = \frac{1}{n - m - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} \log C^m_i(r).
\]

Setting the parameters \( \delta_1 = 3.3, \delta_2 = 1, \alpha = 3.3, h_1 = 1.2, h_2 = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9 \) and I.C \( (z_1(0), z_2(0)) = (1, 2) \), the approximate entropy test results of the commensurate fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (3) and the incommensurate fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population (15) are shown in Figure 11. It is evident that a time series with greater complexity is necessary to achieve higher ApEn values. Consequently, these results align with the maximum Lyapunov exponents (\( \text{LE}_{\text{max}} \)) outcomes that were shown before, which therefore validates the existence of chaos in the model under consideration.

---

**Figure 11.** The ApEn of the fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population for \( \delta_1 = 3.3, \delta_2 = 1, \alpha = 3.3, h_1 = 1.2, h_2 = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9 \) and I.C \( (z_1(0), z_2(0)) = (1, 2) \) (a) versus \( \vartheta \), (b) versus \( \vartheta_1 \) for \( \vartheta_2 = 0.15 \), (c) versus \( \vartheta_2 \) for \( \vartheta_1 = 1 \).
4.3. The $C_0$ Complexity of the Model

In this part, we use the $C_0$ complexity method based on the inverse Fourier transform to figure out the complexity of the suggested fractional discrete system. The following is the description of the algorithm [33,34]:

For a sequence $Z(0), \ldots, Z(N-1)$, the $C_0$ complexity algorithm is given as follows:

1. We compute the Fourier transform of the sequence $x(m)$ by:
   \[
   Z_N(l) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} x(l) \exp^{-2\pi i l \frac{l}{N}}, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1. \tag{24}
   \]

2. We figure out the mean square by:
   \[
   \bar{G}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} |Z_N(l)|^2 \quad \text{and we define}
   \]
   \[
   \bar{Z}_N(l) = \begin{cases} 
   Z_N(l) & \text{if } \|Z_N(l)\|^2 > rG_N, \\
   0 & \text{if } \|Z_N(l)\|^2 \leq rG_N.
   \end{cases} \tag{25}
   \]

3. We determine the inverse Fourier transform using the following formula:
   \[
   \xi(i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \bar{Z}_N(l) \exp^{2\pi i l \frac{i}{N}}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1. \tag{26}
   \]

4. The complexity of $C_0$ is figured out by using the following formula:
   \[
   C_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\xi(i) - x(i)\|}{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|x(i)\|^2}. \tag{27}
   \]

The $C_0$ complexity of the fractional discrete predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population is shown in Figure 12 for $\delta_1 = 3.3, \delta_2 = 1, a = 3.3, h_1 = 1.2, h_2 = 0.525, b = 2.5, c = 0.5, m = 0.9$ and I.C $(z_1(0), z_2(0)) = (1, 2)$ and with different fractional order values, which confirms that the $C_0$ complexity measure the chaos effectively since the obtained results are consistent with the results obtained previously.

![Figure 12](image-url)
5. Conclusions

In this article, we presented a novel two-dimensional fractional predator–prey Leslie–Gower model with an Allee effect on the predator population which is dependent on commensurate and incommensurate orders. The study revealed that the system exhibits intricate and diverse dynamical characteristics. The commensurate and incommensurate fractional order cases are examined using various methods such as plotting phase portraits, creating bifurcation charts, calculating the Lyapunov exponent and using the 0–1 test. Complexity was measured using the ApEn algorithm and C₀ test, which confirmed chaos in the model. The results indicate that the proposed model produces chaotic behaviours with a higher complexity degree and a broader range of chaotic regions when fractional orders are varied. Finally, numerical simulations using MATLAB are conducted to verify the findings.
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