

Article

An Extended Hilbert-Type Inequality with Two Internal Variables Involving One Partial Sums

Aizhen Wang and Bicheng Yang *

School of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou 510303, China

* Correspondence: bcyang818@163.com

Abstract: By the use of the techniques of analysis and some useful formulas, we give a new extension of Hilbert-type inequality with two internal variables involving one partial sums, which is a refinement of a published inequality. We provide a few equivalent conditions of the best possible constant related to multi parameters. We obtain the equivalent inequalities, the operator expressions as well as a few inequalities with the particular parameters as applications.

Keywords: weight coefficient; parameter; partial sums; equivalent form; mid-value theorem

MSC: 26D15; 47A05

1. Introduction

Assuming that $p > 1 (q > 1)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, we have the well known Hardy-Hilbert's inequality as follows (ref. [1], Theorem 315):

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (1)$$

where, the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible.

In 2006, by putting $\lambda_i \in (0, 2] (i = 1, 2)$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda \in (0, 4]$, Krnic et al. [2] gave a generalization of Inequality (1) as follows:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (2)$$

with the best possible constant factor $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) (B(u, v) := \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{u-1}}{(1+t)^{u+v}} dt (u, v > 0)$ is the Beta function). For $p = q = 2$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ in Inequality (2), it deduces to the inequality in Yang's paper [3].

Inequalities (1) and (2) with their integral analogues played an important role in analysis and its applications (ref. [4–14]). By using the weight functions in 2016, Hong et al. [15] obtained a few equivalent conditions of the extension of Inequality (1) with the best constant factor related to multi parameters. Some further results were provided by [16–20].

In 2019, by using Inequality (2), Adiyasuren et. al. [21] gave an extension of Inequality (2) involving partial sums: if $\lambda_i \in (0, 1] \cap (0, \lambda) (\lambda \in (0, 2]; i = 1, 2)$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, then it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < \lambda_1 \lambda_2 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\lambda_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-q\lambda_2-1} B_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (3)$$



Citation: Wang, A.; Yang, B. An Extended Hilbert-Type Inequality with Two Internal Variables Involving One Partial Sums. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 871. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12090871>

Academic Editor: Genni Fragnelli

Received: 26 July 2023

Revised: 17 August 2023

Accepted: 22 August 2023

Published: 10 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

with the best constant $\lambda_1\lambda_2B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, involving two partial sums $A_m := \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$ and $B_n := \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ ($m, n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$), $A_m = o(e^{tm})$, $B_n = o(e^{tn})$ ($t > 0; m, n \rightarrow \infty$), such that

$$0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\lambda_1-1} A_m^p < \infty \text{ and } 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-q\lambda_2-1} B_n^q < \infty. \tag{4}$$

In 2021, Liao et al. [22] gave an extension of Inequality (3) with the kernel as $\frac{1}{(m^\alpha+n^\beta)^\lambda}$ ($\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$) involving one partial sums. But the constant factor in this inequality seems not to be the best possible unless at $\alpha = \beta = 1$. In 2023, by using the mid-value theorem, Hong et al. [23,24] gave a new inequality as well as the reverse with the same kernel as [22] involving two partial sums, and proved that the constant factor is the best possible in some conditions.

In this article, by applying the methods in [23], and using the techniques of introduced parameters, some useful formulas and the mid-value theorem, we give a new extension of Hardy-Hilbert’s inequality with the internal variables involving one partial sums, which is a refinement of the inequality in [22]. We also provide a few equivalent statements of the best possible constant factor related to several parameters. As applications, we obtain the equivalent inequalities, the operator expressions as well as a few inequalities with the particular parameters. The lemmas and theorems provide an extensive account of this type of inequalities.

2. Some Lemmas

In what follows of this article, we assume that $p > 1(q > 1)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda \in (0, 5]$, $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$, $\lambda_1 \in (-1, \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1] \cap (-1, \lambda)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}] \cap (0, \lambda + 1)$, $\hat{\lambda}_1 := \frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}$, $\hat{\lambda}_2 := \frac{\lambda-\lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p}$. We still suppose that $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $A_m := \sum_{j=1}^m a_j$, ($m, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$), such that $A_m = o(e^{tm^\alpha})$ ($t > 0; m \rightarrow \infty$), and

$$0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\hat{\lambda}_1-1} A_m^p < \infty, \quad 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\hat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q < \infty. \tag{5}$$

For showing the main results, we give the following key lemma by using the mid-value theorem:

Lemma 1. *If $t > 0$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-tm^\alpha} a_m \leq t\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-tm^\alpha} m^{\alpha-1} A_m. \tag{6}$$

Proof. For $A_m e^{-tm^\alpha} = o(1)(m \rightarrow \infty)$, in view of Abel’s summation formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-tm^\alpha} a_m &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m e^{-tm^\alpha} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m [e^{-tm^\alpha} - e^{-t(m+1)^\alpha}] \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m [e^{-tm^\alpha} - e^{-t(m+1)^\alpha}]. \end{aligned}$$

We set function $g(x) = e^{-tx^\alpha}$, $x \in [m, m + 1]$. Then we find that $g'(x) = -t\alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-tx^\alpha}$, and for $x \in [m, m + 1]$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the function $h(x) := x^{\alpha-1} e^{-tx^\alpha}$ is decreasing. In view of the mid-value theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-tm^\alpha} a_m &= - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m (g(m+1) - g(m)) \\ &= - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m g'(m+\theta) = t\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h(m+\theta) A_m \\ &\leq t\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h(m) A_m = t\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha-1} e^{-tm^\alpha} A_m (\theta \in (0, 1)), \end{aligned}$$

namely, Equation (6) follows.

The lemma is proved. \square

For showing the inequalities in Lemma 3, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2. (ref. [4], (2.2.3)). (i) Assuming that $(-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} g(t) > 0, t \in [m, \infty), g^{(k)}(\infty) = 0$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, 3$), $P_j(t), B_j(j \in \mathbb{N})$ are Bernoulli functions and Bernoulli numbers of j -order, it follows that

$$\int_m^\infty P_{2q-1}(t)g(t)dt = -\varepsilon_q \frac{B_{2q}}{2q} g(m) (0 < \varepsilon_q < 1; q = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{7}$$

In particular, for $q = 1, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, we have

$$-\frac{1}{12}g(m) < \int_m^\infty P_1(t)g(t)dt < 0; \tag{8}$$

for $q = 2, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$, we have

$$0 < \int_m^\infty P_3(t)g(t)dt < \frac{1}{120}g(m). \tag{9}$$

(ii) (ref. [4], (2.3.2)) If $f(t)(> 0) \in C^3[m, \infty), f^{(k)}(\infty) = 0(k = 0, 1, 2, 3)$, then the following Euler-Maclaurin summation formula holds:

$$\sum_{k=m}^\infty f(k) = \int_m^\infty f(t)dt + \frac{1}{2}f(m) + \int_m^\infty P_1(t)f'(t)dt, \tag{10}$$

$$\int_m^\infty P_1(t)f'(t)dt = -\frac{1}{12}f'(m) + \frac{1}{6}\int_m^\infty P_3(t)f'''(t)dt. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 3. For $s \in (0, 6], s_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}] \cap (0, s), k_s(s_2) := B(s_2, s - s_2)$, indicate the weight coefficient as follows:

$$\omega_s(s_2, m) := m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\beta n^{\beta s_2 - 1}}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^s} (m \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{12}$$

We have

$$0 < k_s(s_2)(1 - O(\frac{1}{m^{\alpha s_2}})) < \omega_s(s_2, m) < k_s(s_2) (m \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{13}$$

where, we indicate $O(\frac{1}{m^{\alpha s_2}}) := \frac{1}{k_s(s_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{m^{\alpha s_2}}} \frac{u^{s_2-1}}{(1+u)^s} du > 0$.

Proof. For fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $g(m, t)$ as follows:

$$g(m, t) := \frac{\beta t^{\beta s_2 - 1}}{(m^\alpha + t^\beta)^s} (t > 0).$$

In view of Inequality (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty g(m, n) &= \int_1^\infty g(m, t)dt + \frac{1}{2}g(m, 1) + \int_1^\infty P_1(t)g'(m, t)dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty g(m, t)dt - h(m), \\ h(m) &:= \int_0^1 g(m, t)dt - \frac{1}{2}g(m, 1) - \int_1^\infty P_1(t)g'(m, t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $-\frac{1}{2}g(m, 1) = \frac{-\beta}{2(m^\alpha+1)^s}$ and find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g(m, t) dt &= \beta \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\beta s_2-1}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^s} dt \stackrel{u=t^\beta}{=} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{s_2-1}}{(m^\alpha+u)^s} du \\ &= \frac{1}{s_2} \int_0^1 \frac{du^{s_2}}{(m^\alpha+u)^s} = \frac{1}{s_2} \frac{u^{s_2}}{(m^\alpha+u)^s} \Big|_0^1 + \frac{s}{s_2} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{s_2}}{(m^\alpha+u)^{s+1}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{s_2} \frac{1}{(m^\alpha+1)^s} + \frac{s}{s_2(s_2+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{du^{s_2+1}}{(m^\alpha+u)^{s+1}} \\ &> \frac{1}{s_2} \frac{1}{(m^\alpha+1)^s} + \frac{s}{s_2(s_2+1)} \left[\frac{u^{s_2+1}}{(m^\alpha+u)^{s+1}} \right]_0^1 + \frac{s(s+1)}{s_2(s_2+1)(m^\alpha+1)^{s+2}} \int_0^1 u^{s_2+1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{s_2} \frac{1}{(m^\alpha+1)^s} + \frac{\lambda}{s_2(s_2+1)} \frac{1}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} + \frac{s(s+1)}{s_2(s_2+1)(s_2+2)} \frac{1}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+2}}, \\ -g'(m, t) &= -\frac{\beta(\beta s_2-1)t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^s} + \frac{\beta^2 s t^{\beta+\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^{s+1}} \\ &= -\frac{\beta(\beta s_2-1)t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^s} + \frac{\beta^2 s(m^\alpha+t^\beta-m^\alpha)t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^{s+1}} = \frac{\beta(\beta s-\beta s_2+1)t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^s} - \frac{\beta^2 s m^\alpha t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < s_2 \leq \frac{2}{\beta}, 0 < \beta \leq 1, s_2 < s \leq 6$, it follows that

$$(-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} \left[\frac{t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^s} \right] > 0, (-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} \left[\frac{t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^{s+1}} \right] > 0 (i = 0, 1, 2, 3).$$

By Inequalities (8)–(11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta(\beta s-\beta s_2+1) \int_1^\infty P_1(t) \frac{t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^s} dt > -\frac{\beta(\beta s-\beta s_2+1)}{12(m^\alpha+1)^s}, \\ &-\beta^2 m^\alpha s \int_1^\infty P_1(t) \frac{t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^{s+1}} dt \\ &= \frac{\beta^2 m^\alpha s}{12(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} - \frac{\beta^2 m^\alpha s}{6} \int_1^\infty P_3(t) \left[\frac{t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^{s+1}} \right]'' dt \\ &> \frac{\beta^2 m^\alpha s}{12(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} - \frac{\beta^2 m^\alpha s}{720} \left[\frac{t^{\beta s_2-2}}{(m^\alpha+t^\beta)^{s+1}} \right]''_{t=1} \\ &> \frac{\beta^2(m^\alpha+1-1)s}{12(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} - \frac{\beta^2(m^\alpha+1)s}{720} \left[\frac{(s+1)(s+2)\beta^2}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+3}} + \frac{\beta(s+1)(5-\beta-2\beta s_2)}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+2}} + \frac{(2-\beta s_2)(3-\beta s_2)}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta^2 s}{12(m^\alpha+1)^s} - \frac{\beta^2 s}{12(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta^2 s}{720} \left[\frac{(s+1)(s+2)\beta^2}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+2}} + \frac{\beta(s+1)(5-\beta-2\beta s_2)}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} + \frac{(2-\beta s_2)(3-\beta s_2)}{(m^\alpha+1)^s} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that $h(m) > \frac{1}{(m^\alpha+1)^s} h_1 + \frac{\lambda}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+1}} h_2 + \frac{s(s+1)}{(m^\alpha+1)^{s+2}} h_3$, where, we set

$$h_1 := \frac{1}{s_2} - \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\beta-\beta^2 s_2}{12} - \frac{\beta^2 s(2-\beta s_2)(3-\beta s_2)}{720},$$

$$h_2 := \frac{1}{s_2(s_2+1)} - \frac{\beta^2}{12} - \frac{\beta^3(s+1)(5-\beta-2\beta s_2)}{720},$$

and $h_3 := \frac{1}{s_2(s_2+1)(s_2+2)} - \frac{\beta^4(s+2)}{720}$. We find

$$h_1 \geq \frac{1}{s_2} - \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\beta-\beta^2 s_2}{12} - \frac{s\beta^2(2-\beta s_2)(3-\beta s_2)}{720} = \frac{g(s_2)}{720s_2},$$

where, we indicate the function $g(\sigma) (\sigma \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}])$ as follows:

$$g(\sigma) := 720 - (420\beta + 6s\beta^2)\sigma + (60\beta^2 + 5s\beta^3)\sigma^2 - s\beta^4\sigma^3.$$

We obtain that for $\beta \in (0, 1], s \in (0, 6]$,

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\sigma) &= -(420\beta + 6s\beta^2) + 2(60\beta^2 + 5s\beta^3)\sigma - 3\beta^4\sigma^2 \\ &\leq -420\beta - 6s\beta^2 + 2(60\beta^2 + 5s\beta^3)\frac{2}{\beta} = (14s\beta - 180)\beta < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and then it follows that $h_1 \geq \frac{g(s_2)}{720s_2} \geq \frac{g(2/\beta)}{720s_2} = \frac{1}{6s_2} > 0$. We find that for $s_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}]$,

$$h_2 > \frac{\beta^2}{6} - \frac{\beta^2}{12} - \frac{5(s+1)\beta^2}{720} = (\frac{1}{12} - \frac{s+1}{140})\beta^2 > 0,$$

and $h_3 \geq (\frac{1}{24} - \frac{s+2}{720})\beta^3 > 0 (0 < s \leq 6)$.

Therefore, we have $h(m) > 0$, and then setting $u = m^{-\alpha}t^\beta$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_s(s_2, m) &= m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(m, n) < m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \int_0^{\infty} g(m, t) dt \\ &= \beta m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{\beta s_2 - 1} dt}{(m^\alpha + t^\beta)^s} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{s_2 - 1} du}{(1+u)^s} = B(s_2, s - s_2) = k_s(s_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Inequality (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(m, n) &= \int_1^{\infty} g(m, t) dt + \frac{1}{2}g(m, 1) + \int_1^{\infty} P_1(t)g'(m, t) dt \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} g(m, t) dt + H(m), \\ H(m) &:= \frac{1}{2}g(m, 1) + \int_1^{\infty} P_1(t)g'(m, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have found that $\frac{1}{2}g(m, 1) = \frac{\beta}{2(m^\alpha + 1)^s}$, and

$$g'(m, t) = -\frac{\beta(\beta s - \beta s_2 + 1)t^{\beta s_2 - 2}}{(m^\alpha + t^\beta)^s} + \frac{\beta^2 s m^\alpha t^{\beta s_2 - 2}}{(m^\alpha + t^\beta)^{s+1}}.$$

For $s_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}] \cap (0, s), 0 < s \leq 6$, in view of Inequality (7), it follows that

$$-\beta(\beta s - \beta s_2 + 1) \int_1^{\infty} P_1(t) \frac{t^{\beta s_2 - 2}}{(m^\alpha + t^\beta)^s} dt > 0,$$

and

$$\beta^2 m^\alpha s \int_1^{\infty} P_1(t) \frac{t^{\beta s_2 - 2}}{(m^\alpha + t^\beta)^{s+1}} dt > -\frac{\beta^2 m^\alpha s}{12(m^\alpha + 1)^{s+1}} > -\frac{\beta^2 s}{12(m^\alpha + 1)^s}.$$

Hence, we have

$$H(m) > \frac{\beta}{2(m^\alpha + 1)^s} - \frac{\beta^2 s}{12(m^\alpha + 1)^s} \geq \frac{\beta}{2(m^\alpha + 1)^s} - \frac{6\beta}{12(m^\alpha + 1)^s} = 0,$$

and then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_s(s_2, m) &= m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(m, n) > m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \int_1^{\infty} g(m, t) dt \\ &= m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \int_0^{\infty} g(m, t) dt - m^{\alpha(s-s_2)} \int_0^1 g(m, t) dt \\ &= k_s(s_2) \left[1 - \frac{1}{k_s(s_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{m^\alpha}} \frac{u^{s_2 - 1}}{(1+u)^s} du \right] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where, $O(\frac{1}{m^{\alpha s_2}}) = \frac{1}{k_s(s_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{m^\alpha}} \frac{u^{s_2 - 1}}{(1+u)^s} du$, satisfying

$$0 < \int_0^{\frac{1}{m^\alpha}} \frac{u^{s_2 - 1}}{(1+u)^s} du < \int_0^{\frac{1}{m^\alpha}} u^{s_2 - 1} du = \frac{1}{s_2 m^{\alpha s_2}}.$$

Hence, Inequalities (13) follow.

This proves the lemma. \square

By applying the above lemma, we obtain an extended Hardy-Hilbert’s inequality as follows.

Lemma 4. *The following inequality is valid:*

$$I_{\lambda+1} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\alpha-1}}{(m^{\alpha}+n^{\beta})^{\lambda+1}} A_m b_n < \left(\frac{1}{\beta} k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1} A_m^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. By the symmetry, for $s_1 \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha}] \cap (0, s), s \in (0, 6]$, the inequalities for the next weight coefficient is obtained as follows

$$0 < k_s(s_1)(1 - O(\frac{1}{n^{\beta s_1}})) < \omega_s(s_1, n) := n^{\beta(s-s_1)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha m^{\alpha s_1-1}}{(m^{\alpha}+n^{\beta})^s} < k_s(s_1) := B(s_1, s-s_1) (n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{15}$$

where, we set $O(\frac{1}{n^{\beta s_1}}) := \frac{1}{k_s(s_1)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n^{\beta s_1}}} \frac{u^{s_1-1}}{(1+u)^s} du > 0 (n \in \mathbb{N})$.

By using Hölder’s inequality (ref. [25]), we find

$$I_{\lambda+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^{\alpha}+n^{\beta})^{\lambda+2}} \left[\frac{m^{\alpha(-\lambda_1)/q} (\beta n^{\beta-1})^{1/p} m^{\alpha-1}}{n^{\beta(1-\lambda_2)/p} (\alpha m^{\alpha-1})^{1/q}} A_m \right] \left[\frac{n^{\beta(1-\lambda_2)/p} (\alpha m^{\alpha-1})^{1/q}}{m^{\alpha(-\lambda_1)/q} (\beta n^{\beta-1})^{1/p}} b_n \right] \leq \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta m^{p(\alpha-1)}}{(m^{\alpha}+n^{\beta})^{\lambda+1}} \frac{m^{\alpha(-\lambda_1)(p-1)} n^{\beta-1} A_m^p}{n^{\beta(1-\lambda_2)} (\alpha m^{\alpha-1})^{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{(m^{\alpha}+n^{\beta})^1} \frac{n^{\beta(1-\lambda_2)(q-1)} m^{\alpha-1} b_n^q}{m^{\alpha(-\lambda_1)} (\beta n^{\beta-1})^{q-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2, m) m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1} A_m^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1+1, n) n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then by Inequalities (13) and (15) (for $s = \lambda + 1, s_1 = \lambda_1 + 1, s_2 = \lambda_2$), in view of Inequality (6), we have Inequality (14).

This proves the lemma. □

3. Main Results

In view of Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we obtain the following main results:

Theorem 1. *We have an inequality as follows:*

$$I := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m^{\alpha}+n^{\beta})^{\lambda}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1+1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1} A_m^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{16}$$

In particular, for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, we have

$$0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1} A_m^p < \infty, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q < \infty, \tag{17}$$

and the following inequality:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2) \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1 - 1} A_m^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2) - 1} b_n^q\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. In view of the following equality relate to the Gamma function:

$$\frac{1}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(m^\alpha + n^\beta)t} dt,$$

and Inequality (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(m^\alpha + n^\beta)t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-m^\alpha t} a_m\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^\beta t} b_n\right) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} \left(t^\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-m^\alpha t} m^{\alpha-1} A_m\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^\beta t} b_n\right) dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha-1} A_m b_n \int_0^\infty t^\lambda e^{-(m^\alpha + n^\beta)t} dt \\ &= \alpha \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\alpha-1}}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^{\lambda+1}} A_m b_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Inequality (14), it follows that Inequality (16) is valid. This proves the theorem. \square

In the following two theorems, we give a few equivalent conditions of the best value related to multi parameters in Inequality (16).

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\lambda \in (0, 5]$, $\lambda_1 \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1] \cap (0, \lambda)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}] \cap (0, \lambda)$. If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then the constant factor $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ is the best possible in Inequality (16).

Proof. Now, we prove that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2) (= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_1 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2))$$

is the best possible constant in Inequality (18).

For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{p\lambda_1, q\lambda_2\})$, we put

$$\tilde{a}_m := m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) - 1}, \tilde{b}_n := n^{\beta(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} (m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Since $0 < \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1, 0 < \alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) \leq 2 - \alpha < 2$, in view of (2.2.24) (ref. [5]), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_m &:= \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{a}_i = \sum_{i=1}^m i^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) - 1} = \int_1^m t^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) - 1} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) - 1} + 1 \right] + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{12} \left[\alpha \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) - 1 \right] \left[m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) - 2} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})} \left(m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})} + c_1 + O_1 \left(m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) - 1} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})}}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})} \left(1 + |c_1| m^{-\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})} + |O_1(m^{-1})| \right) (\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1); m \in \mathbb{N}, m \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

(c_1 is a constant). We observe that $\tilde{A}_m = o(e^{tm^\alpha})$ ($t > 0; m \rightarrow \infty$).

If there exists a positive constant $M(\leq (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2))$, such that Inequality (18) is value as we replace $(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2)$ by M . Then, substitution of $a_m = \tilde{a}_m, b_n = \tilde{b}_n$ and $A_m = \tilde{A}_m$ in Inequality (18), we find

$$\tilde{I} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} < M \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1} \tilde{A}_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2)-1} \tilde{b}_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{19}$$

Setting $a(x) \rightarrow 0(x \rightarrow \infty)$, we find

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + a(x))^p - 1}{a(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(1 + a(x))^{p-1} a'(x)}{a'(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} p(1 + a(x))^{p-1} = p,$$

namely, $(1 + a(x))^p = 1 + O(a(x))(x \rightarrow \infty)$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + |c_1| m^{-\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} + |O_1(m^{-1})|) p = 1 + O(|c_1| m^{-\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} + |O_1(m^{-1})|) \\ & = 1 + O(m^{-\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})}) + \tilde{O}(m^{-1})(m \in \mathbb{N}; m \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1} \tilde{A}_m^p & \leq \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} \right]^p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha\epsilon-1} (1 + |c_1| m^{-\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} + |O_1(m^{-1})|)^p \\ & = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} \right]^p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha\epsilon-1} (1 + O(m^{-\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})}) + \tilde{O}(m^{-1})) \\ & = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} \right]^p \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha\epsilon-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (O(m^{-\alpha(\lambda_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{p})-1}) + \tilde{O}(m^{-\alpha\epsilon-2})) \right] \\ & = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} \right]^p \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha\epsilon-1} + O_1(1) \right) \\ & < \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} \right]^p \left(\int_1^{\infty} x^{-\alpha\epsilon-1} dx + O_1(1) \right) = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} \right]^p \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\epsilon} + O_1(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We still find that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2)-1} \tilde{b}_n^q = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-\beta\epsilon-1} < 1 + \int_1^{\infty} y^{-\beta\epsilon-1} dy = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta\epsilon}.$$

Then, we obtain $\tilde{I} < \frac{M}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \epsilon O_1(1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} + \epsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.

In view of Inequality (15) (for $s = \lambda \in (0, 5], s_1 = \lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p} \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1] \cap (0, \lambda)$), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})-1}}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} n^{\beta(\lambda_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{q})-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta\epsilon-1} \left[n^{\beta(\lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{q})} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha m^{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})-1}}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} \right] \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} k_\lambda (\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta\epsilon-1} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p})}}\right) \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{\alpha} k_\lambda (\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta\epsilon-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta(\lambda_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{q})+1}}\right) \right) \\ & > \frac{1}{\alpha} k_\lambda (\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p}) \left(\int_1^{\infty} y^{-\beta\epsilon-1} dy - O_2(1) \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{\alpha\epsilon} B\left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{p}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \epsilon O_2(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Based on the above results, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\alpha} B\left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \varepsilon O_2(1)\right) \\ & < \varepsilon \tilde{I} < M \frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \varepsilon O_1(1)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} + \varepsilon O_2(1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, based on the continuity of the Beta function, we find

$$\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq M \frac{1}{\alpha\lambda_1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

namely,

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_1 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq M.$$

Hence, the constant factor $M = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2)$ in Inequality (18) is the best possible. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3. Assume that $\lambda \in (0, 5]$, $\lambda_1 \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1] \cap (0, \lambda)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}] \cap (0, \lambda)$. If the constant factor $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ in Inequality (16) is the best value, then for

$$\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq \min\left\{p\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1 - \lambda_1\right), q\left(\frac{2}{\beta} - \lambda_2\right)\right\},$$

we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

Proof. For $\hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q} = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{p} + \lambda_1$, $\hat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{q} + \lambda_2$, we find $\hat{\lambda}_1 + \hat{\lambda}_2 = \lambda$, and $0 < \hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2 < \frac{\lambda}{p} + \frac{\lambda}{q} = \lambda$. For $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq p\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1 - \lambda_1\right)$, we have $\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1$; for $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq q\left(\frac{2}{\beta} - \lambda_2\right)$, we find $\hat{\lambda}_2 \leq \frac{2}{\beta}$. For $\lambda_i = \hat{\lambda}_i (i = 1, 2)$ in Inequality (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\hat{\lambda}_1 + 1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \\ & \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\hat{\lambda}_1 - 1} A_m^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1 - \beta\hat{\lambda}_2) - 1} b_n^q\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In view of Hölder’s inequality (ref. [25]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & B(\hat{\lambda}_1 + 1, \hat{\lambda}_2) = k_{\lambda+1} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q} + 1\right) \\ & = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda+1}} u^{\frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}} du = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda+1}} \left(u^{\frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p}}\right) \left(u^{\frac{\lambda_1}{q}}\right) du \\ & \geq \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda+1}} u^{\lambda - \lambda_2} du\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda+1}} u^{\lambda_1} du\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+v)^{\lambda+1}} v^{\lambda_2 - 1} dv\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda+1}} u^{\lambda_1} du\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

If constant factor $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ in Inequality (16) is the best possible, then by Inequalities (16) and (20), it follows that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\hat{\lambda}_1 + 1, \hat{\lambda}_2) (\in \mathbb{R}_+),$$

which means that

$$B(\hat{\lambda}_1 + 1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \geq (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{22}$$

Then by Inequality (21), we have

$$B(\hat{\lambda}_1 + 1, \hat{\lambda}_2) = (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}};$$

Hence, Inequality (21) keeps the form of equality.

This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 1. Since the constant in Inequality (18) is the best value, inequality Inequality (18) is a refinement of the inequalities in [16].

4. Equivalent Inequalities and Operator Expressions

Theorem 4. The following inequality is valid equivalent to Inequality (16):

$$J := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\beta\hat{\lambda}_2-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m^\alpha+n^\beta)^\lambda} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\hat{\lambda}_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{23}$$

For $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, the following inequality is valid, which is equivalent to Inequality (18):

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\beta\lambda_2-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m^\alpha+n^\beta)^\lambda} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{24}$$

Proof. Assuming that Inequality (23) is value, by Hölder’s inequality, we find

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[n^{-\frac{1}{p}+\beta\hat{\lambda}_2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} \right] (n^{\frac{1}{p}-\beta\hat{\lambda}_2} b_n) \leq J \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\hat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{25}$$

By Inequalities (16) and (23) follows. Assuming that Inequality (16) is valid, setting

$$b_n := n^{p\beta\hat{\lambda}_2-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda} \right]^{p-1}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\beta\hat{\lambda}_2)-1} b_n^q = J^p = I. \tag{26}$$

If $J = \infty$, then it is impossible that makes Inequality (23) value, namely, $J < \infty$; if $J = 0$, then Inequality (23) is naturally valid. Assume that $0 < J < \infty$. In view of Inequality (16), we find

$$J^p = I < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\hat{\lambda}_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} J^{p-1},$$

$$J < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\alpha\hat{\lambda}_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence, we have Inequality (23), which is equivalent to Inequality (16). This proves the theorem. \square

By the equivalency of Inequalities (16) and (23), we have

Theorem 5. Assume that

$$\lambda \in (0, 5], \lambda_1 \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1] \cap (0, \lambda), \lambda_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}] \cap (0, \lambda).$$

If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then $(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ is the best possible constant factor in Inequality (23). If the same constant factor in Inequality (23) is the best possible, then for $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq \min\{p(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1 - \lambda_1), q(\frac{2}{\beta} - \lambda_2)\}$, we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

Proof. We prove that the following constant factor

$$(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda B(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2) = (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_1 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

in Inequality (24) is the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach a contradiction that the same constant in Inequality (18) is not the best value by using Inequality (25) (for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$).

If the constant in Inequality (23) is the best value, then the same constant in Inequality (16) is still the best value. Otherwise, by Inequality (26) (for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$), we would reach a contradiction that the same constant factor in Inequality (24) is not the best value.

This proves theorem. \square

We indicate the functions as follows: $\phi(m) := m^{-p\alpha\lambda_1-1}, \psi(n) := n^{q(1-\beta\lambda_2)-1}$, wherefrom,

$$\psi^{1-p}(n) := n^{p\beta\lambda_2-1} (m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We still indicate some linear spaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{q,\psi} &:= \left\{ b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty; \|b\|_{q,\psi} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi(n) |b_n|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\}, \\ l_{p,\psi^{1-p}} &:= \left\{ c = \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty; \|c\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi^{1-p}(n) |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \\ l_{p,\phi} &:= \left\{ d = \{d_m\}_{m=1}^\infty; \|d\|_{p,\phi} = \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty \phi(m) |d_m|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \\ B &:= \{a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty; A = \{A_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l_{p,\phi}\} (A_m = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i). \end{aligned}$$

For $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty (> 0) \in B$, setting $c = \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : c_n := \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda}$, Inequality (23) can be rewritten as:

$$\|c\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} < (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \|A\|_{p,\phi} < \infty,$$

namely, $c \in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$.

Definition 1. Define an operator $T : B \rightarrow l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$ as follows: For any $a \in B$, there exists a unique representation $c = Ta \in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$, such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $Ta(n) = c_n$. Define the formal inner product of Ta and $b \in l_{q,\psi}$ and the norm of T as follows:

$$(Ta, b) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{mn}}{(m^\alpha + n^\beta)^\lambda},$$

$$\|T\| := \sup_{a(\neq 0) \in B} \frac{\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}}{\|A\|_{p,\psi}}.$$

By using Theorems 2–4, we have

Theorem 6. Assume that $a(> 0) \in B, b(> 0) \in l_{q,\psi}, \|b\|_{q,\psi} > 0$. We have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(Ta, b) < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \|A\|_{p,\psi} \|b\|_{q,\psi}, \tag{27}$$

$$\|Ta\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1 + 1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \|A\|_{p,\psi}. \tag{28}$$

Moreover, assume that $\lambda \in (0, 5], \lambda_1 \in (0, \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1] \cap (0, \lambda), \lambda_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{\beta}] \cap (0, \lambda)$. If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then the constant factor $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda+1}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ in Inequalities (27) and (28) is the best possible, namely, $\|T\| = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_1 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. On the other hand, if the constant factor in Inequality (26) (or Inequality (27)) is the best possible, then for

$$\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq \min \left\{ p \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1 - \lambda_1 \right), q \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - \lambda_2 \right) \right\},$$

we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

Remark 2. (i) For $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$ in Inequalities (18) and (24), we have the equivalent inequalities as follows:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m^\alpha + n^\beta} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\pi}{q \sin(\pi/p)} \times \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(1-p)\alpha-1} A_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(q-1)(1-\beta)} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{29}$$

$$\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^\alpha + n^\beta} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\pi}{q \sin(\pi/p)} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(1-p)\alpha-1} A_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{30}$$

(ii) For $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{p}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{q}$ in Inequalities (18) and (24), the equivalent inequalities are valid as follows:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m^\alpha + n^\beta} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\pi}{p \sin(\pi/p)} \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-\beta-1} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{31}$$

$$\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(p-1)\beta-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^\alpha + n^\beta} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\pi}{p \sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{32}$$

(iii) when $p = q = 2$, both Inequalities (29) and (31) deduce to

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m^{\alpha} + n^{\beta}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha-1} A_m^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\beta} b_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (33)$$

and both Inequalities (30) and (32) deduce to the following inequality equivalent to Inequality (33):

$$\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^{\alpha} + n^{\beta}} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\alpha-1} A_m^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (34)$$

We observe that the above constants are all the best value.

5. Conclusions

In this article, by means of the idea of introduced parameters and the techniques of real analysis, using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula as well as the mid-value theorem, we give a new extended Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with the power functions as the internal variables involving one partial sums in Theorem 1, which is a refinement of a published inequality. We provide a few equivalent conditions of the best possible constant related to multi parameters in Theorems 2 and 3. As applications, the equivalent inequalities are found in Theorems 4 and 5, and the operator expressions as well as a few inequalities with the particular parameters are considered in Theorem 5 and Remark 2.

Author Contributions: B.Y. carried out the mathematical studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. A.W. participated in the design of the study and performed the numerical analysis. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 61772140), and the 2022 Guangdong Provincial Education Science Planning Project (Higher Education Project) (2022GXJK290).

Data Availability Statement: We declare that the data and material in this paper can be used publicly.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the referee for his useful proposal to revise the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Hardy, G.H.; Littlewood, J.E.; Polya, G. *Inequalities*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1934.
- Krnić, M.; Pečarić, J. Extension of Hilbert's inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *324*, 150–160. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yang, B.C. On a generalization of Hilbert double series theorem. *J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biquarterly* **2001**, *18*, 145–152.
- Yang, B.C. *The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities*; Science Press: Beijing, China, 2009.
- Krnić, M.; Pečarić, J. General Hilbert's and Hardy's inequalities. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2005**, *8*, 29–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Perić, I.; Vuković, P. Multiple Hilbert's type inequalities with a homogeneous kernel. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **2011**, *5*, 33–43. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Huang, Q.L. A new extension of Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**, *2015*, 397. [[CrossRef](#)]
- He, B. A multiple Hilbert-type discrete inequality with a new kernel and best possible constant factor. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2015**, *431*, 902–990. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Xu, J.S. Hardy-Hilbert's inequalities with two parameters. *Adv. Math.* **2007**, *36*, 63–76.
- Xie, Z.T.; Zeng, Z.; Sun, Y.F. A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree-2. *Adv. Appl. Math. Sci.* **2013**, *12*, 391–401.
- Zeng, Z.; Gandhi, K.R.R.; Xie, Z.T. A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree-2 and with the integral. *Bull. Math. Sci. Appl.* **2014**, *3*, 11–20.
- Xin, D.M. A Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel of zero degree. *Math. Theory Appl.* **2010**, *30*, 70–74.
- Azar, L.E. The connection between Hilbert and Hardy inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, *2013*, 452. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Adiyasuren, V.; Batbold, T.; Krnić, M. Hilbert-type inequalities involving differential operators, the best constants and applications. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**, *18*, 111–124. [[CrossRef](#)]

15. Hong, Y.; Wen, Y. A necessary and sufficient condition of that Hilbert type series inequality with homogeneous kernel has the best constant factor. *Ann. Math.* **2016**, *37A*, 329–336.
16. Hong, Y. On the structure character of Hilbert's type integral inequality with homogeneous kernel and application. *J. Jilin Univ. (Sci. Ed.)* **2017**, *55*, 189–194.
17. Hong, Y.; Huang, Q.L.; Yang, B.C.; Liao, J.L. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a kind of Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with the non-homogeneous kernel and its applications. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2017**, *2017*, 316. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Xin, D.M.; Yang, B.C.; Wang, A.Z. Equivalent property of a Hilbert-type integral inequality related to the beta function in the whole plane. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, *2018*, 2691816. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Hong, Y.; He, B. The optimal matching parameter of half-discrete Hilbert-type multiple integral inequalities with non-homogeneous kernels and applications. *Chin. Quart. J. Math.* **2021**, *36*, 252–262.
20. Hong, Y.; Chen, Q. Equivalent parameter conditions for the construction of Hilbert-type integral inequalities with a class of non-homogeneous kernels. *J. South China Norm. Univ. (Nat. Sci. Ed.)* **2020**, *52*, 124–128.
21. Adiyasuren, V.; Batbold, T.; Azar, L.E. A new discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving partial sums. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, *2019*, 127. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Liao, J.Q.; Wu, S.H.; Yang, B.C. A multi parameter Hardy–Hilbert-type inequality containing partial sums as the terms of series. *J. Math.* **2021**, *2021*, 5264623. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Yang, B.C.; Wu, S.H. A weighted Generalization of Hardy–Hilbert-type inequality involving two partial sums. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 3212. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Liao, J.Q.; Yang, B.C. A New Reverse Extended Hardy–Hilbert's Inequality with Two Partial Sums and Parameters. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 678. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Kuang, J.C. *Applied Inequalities*; Shangdong Science and Technology Press: Jinan, China, 2004.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.