

Article

Hybrid near Algebra

Harika Bhurgula ¹, Narasimha Swamy Pasham ¹, Ravikumar Bandaru ^{2,*} and Amal S. Alali ^{3,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, GITAM Deemed to be University, Hyderabad Campus, Sangareddy District 502329, India; harika.bhurgula84@gmail.com (H.B.); swamy.pasham@gmail.com (N.S.P.)

² Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, VIT-AP University, Amaravati 522237, India

³ Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia

* Correspondence: ravimaths83@gmail.com (R.B.); asalali@pnu.edu.sa (A.S.A.)

Abstract: The objective of this paper is to study the hybrid near algebra. It has been summarized with the proper definitions and theorems of hybrid near algebra, hybrid near algebra homomorphism and direct product of hybrid near algebra. It has been proved that a homomorphic image of a hybrid near algebra is a hybrid near algebra. It also investigated the intersection of two hybrid near algebras is a hybrid near algebra.

Keywords: near algebra; hybrid field; hybrid near algebra

MSC: 16Y30; 06D72

Citation: Bhurgula, H.; Pasham, N. S.; Bandaru, R.; Alali, A.S. Hybrid near Algebra. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 877. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12090877>

Academic Editors: Xiaohong Zhang and Eunsuk Yang

Received: 8 August 2023

Revised: 31 August 2023

Accepted: 11 September 2023

Published: 13 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

An algebraic system with two binary operations, that satisfies all of a ring axioms, with the possible exception of one distributive law, is recognized as a near ring. The notion of a near ring was promoted and popularized by G. Pilz [1]. A near ring that admits the field as a right operator domain is referred to as a near algebra. Near algebra was familiarized by H. Brown [2]. P. Narasimha Swamy [3,4] and Rakshitha Deshmukh were developed the concepts in near algebra. The operators form only a near algebra in a quantum mechanical formalism of P. Jordan. In view of this, the study of near algebra is interesting not only as an axiomatic question but also for physical reasons. A fuzzy set is a class of objects with a continuum of grades of membership. Such a set is characterized by a membership function assigning a grade of membership to each object ranging between 0 and 1. The concept of fuzzy set was first established by Zadeh [5] in 1965. S. Nanda [6] and R. Biswas [7] were studied fuzzy field and fuzzy linear space. A hesitant fuzzy set is an excellent tool for expressing people's hesitancy in daily life and for dealing with uncertainty, which can be precisely and perfectly described in terms of decision makers' opinions. An extensive range of existing theories, including the theory of probability, fuzzy sets, vague sets, interval mathematics, rough sets, etc., are used to address a variety of problems in many different domains that require data with uncertainties. The concept of hesitant fuzzy sets was established by V. Torra [8]. All of these theories have their difficulties which are pointed out in soft set theory—first results [9]. To overcome these difficulties, Molodtsov [9] familiarized the soft set theory as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties that are free from problems. He successfully applied the soft set theory in several directions, such as smoothness of functions, game theory, operations research, Riemann integration, Perron integration, probability, theory of measurement, etc.

As a parallel circuit of fuzzy sets, soft sets and hesitant fuzzy sets advocate the notion of hybrid structures in a group of parameters over an initial universe set illustrating

several properties according to Jun, Song and Muhiuddin [10]. Using the idea, they proposed the concept of a hybrid subalgebra, a hybrid field and a hybrid linear space. B. Elavarasan [11] has studied hybrid structures applied to ideals in near-rings. Saima Anis [12] has investigated hybrid ideals in semigroups. M. Himaya Jaleela Begum [13] were investigated has studied hybrid fuzzy bi-ideals in near-rings. Saima Anis [12] has investigated hybrid ideals in semigroups. M. Himaya Jaleela Begum [13] were investigated has studied hybrid fuzzy bi-ideals in near-rings. Srinivas et al.[14] introduced and studied the notion of fuzzy near algebra over a fuzzy field.

In the present study, we introduce the notion of hybrid near algebra over a hybrid field and hybrid structure is used to analyze the structural statements of near algebras.

Throughout this paper, Y means a (right) near algebra over a field L .

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1 ([10]). Let U be a universal set, $P(U)$ be the power set, L be the set of parameters, and I be the unit interval. A mapping $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda := (\tilde{\zeta}, \lambda): L \rightarrow P(U) \times I$, $z \rightarrow (\tilde{\zeta}(z), \lambda(z))$, i.e., the image of z is designated by $(\tilde{\zeta}(z), \lambda(z))$ is entitled a hybrid structure in L over U , where $\tilde{\zeta}: L \rightarrow P(U)$ and $\lambda: L \rightarrow I$ are the mappings.

Definition 2 ([10]). Let $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ be a hybrid structure in L over U . Then, the sets

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t] = \{z \in L / \tilde{\zeta}(d) \supseteq \alpha, \lambda(d) \leq t\};$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(\alpha, t) = \{z \in L / \tilde{\zeta}(d) \supsetneq \alpha, \lambda(d) \leq t\};$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t) = \{z \in L / \tilde{\zeta}(d) \supseteq \alpha, \lambda(d) < t\};$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(\alpha, t) = \{z \in L / \tilde{\zeta}(d) \supsetneq \alpha, \lambda(d) < t\};$$

are entitled the $[\alpha, t]$ hybrid cut, $(\alpha, t]$ hybrid cut, $[\alpha, t)$ hybrid cut, and (α, t) hybrid cut of $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$, respectively, where $\alpha \in P(U)$ and $t \in I$. Obviously,

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(\alpha, t) \subseteq \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(\alpha, t) \subseteq \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t] \text{ and } \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda(\alpha, t) \subseteq \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t) \subseteq \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t].$$

Definition 3 ([10]). Let L be a field. A hybrid structure $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ in L over U is entitled a hybrid field of L over U if

$$(i) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(d + a) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{\zeta}(a), \lambda(d + a) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \lambda(a)\}, \forall d, a \in L;$$

$$(ii) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(-d) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d), \lambda(-d) \leq \lambda(d), \forall d \in L;$$

$$(iii) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(da) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{\zeta}(a), \lambda(da) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \lambda(a)\}, \forall d, a \in L;$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{If } d \neq 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\zeta}(d^{-1}) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d), \lambda(d^{-1}) \leq \lambda(d), \forall d \in L.$$

Definition 4. Let $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ be an H F of a field L over U , Z be an algebra over L . A hybrid structure $\tilde{k}_\delta$ in Z over U is entitled an H A over the H F $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$ if the following conditions hold:

$$(i) \quad \tilde{k}(z + w) \supseteq \tilde{k}(z) \cap \tilde{k}(w), \delta(z + w) \leq V\{\delta(z), \delta(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Z;$$

$$(ii) \quad \tilde{k}(dz) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{k}(z), \delta(dz) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \delta(z)\}, \forall z \in Z, d \in L;$$

$$(iii) \quad \tilde{k}(zw) \supseteq \tilde{k}(z) \cap \tilde{k}(w), \delta(zw) \leq V\{\delta(z), \delta(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Z;$$

$$(iv) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{k}(z), \lambda(1) \leq \delta(z), \forall z \in Z.$$

Example 1. Let $L = \{0, 1\}_{\oplus_2, \otimes_2}$ be a field. Then, the hybrid structure $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ in L over $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$, which is given as follows:

L	$\tilde{\zeta}$	λ
0	$\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.3
1	$\{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.4

Therefore, $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ is an H F in L over U .

Let $Z = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be a set with two binary operations " $\oplus_5$ ", " $\otimes_5$ " by

$\oplus_5$	0	1	2	3	4	$\otimes_5$	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	3	4	0	1	0	1	2	3	4
2	2	3	4	0	1	2	0	2	4	1	3
3	3	4	0	1	2	3	0	3	1	4	2
4	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	4	3	2	1

Clearly, Z forms an algebra over L . Then, the hybrid structure $\tilde{k}_\delta$ in Z over $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$ is given by:

Z	$\tilde{k}$	δ
0	$\{u_2, u_3, u_5\}$	0.6
1	$\{u_3, u_4\}$	0.7
2	$\{u_3, u_5\}$	0.8
3	$\{u_1, u_3\}$	0.9
4	$\{u_2, u_3\}$	0.9

Therefore, $(\tilde{k}_\delta, Z)$ is an H A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$.

3. Hybrid near Algebra

In this section, we familiarize hybrid near algebra (H N A) and acquire some of the properties of hybrid near algebra over a hybrid field (H F).

Definition 5. Let $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ be an H F of a field L over U , and let Y be a near algebra over L . A hybrid structure $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ in Y over U is entitled an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$ if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\tilde{q}(z + w) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w), \gamma(z + w) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Y;$
- (ii) $\tilde{q}(dz) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z), \gamma(dz) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z)\}, \forall z \in Y, d \in L;$
- (iii) $\tilde{q}(zw) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w), \gamma(zw) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Y;$
- (iv) $\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y.$

Example 2. Let $L = Z_2 = \{0, 1\}_{\oplus_2, \otimes_2}$ be a field. Then, the hybrid structure $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ in L over $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$ which is given below forms an H F in L over U :

L	$\tilde{\zeta}$	λ
0	$\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.3
1	$\{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.4

Let $Y = \{0, h, p, e\}$ be a set with two binary operations “+” and “.” By

+	0	h	p	e	.	0	h	p	e
0	0	h	p	e	0	0	0	0	0
h	h	0	e	p	h	h	h	h	h
p	p	e	0	h	p	p	p	p	p
e	e	p	h	0	e	e	e	e	e

Clearly, Y forms a near algebra over L .

Then, the hybrid structure $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ in Y over $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$, which is given as follows:

Y	$\tilde{q}$	γ
0	$\{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.4
h	$\{u_2, u_4\}$	0.6

p	$\{u_2, u_3\}$	0.8
e	$\{u_2\}$	0.8

Clearly, $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$.

Theorem 1. If $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$, then $\tilde{\zeta}(0) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(0) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y$.

Proof. By the definition, $\tilde{\zeta}(0) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(1), \lambda(0) \leq \lambda(1)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y$. Hence, $\tilde{\zeta}(0) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(0) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y$.

Theorem 2. If $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$, then $\tilde{q}(0) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \gamma(0) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y$.

Proof. Let $z \in Y$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}(0) &= \tilde{q}(z - z) = \tilde{q}(1.z - 1.z) = \tilde{q}(1.z + (-1).z); \\ &\supseteq \tilde{q}(1.z) \cap \tilde{q}((-1).z); \\ &\supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(-1) \cap \tilde{q}(z)); \\ &\supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(z)); \\ &\supseteq (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(z)); \\ &\supseteq (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) = \tilde{q}(z); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(0) &= \gamma(z - z) = \gamma(1.z - 1.z) = \gamma(1.z + (-1).z) \leq V\{\gamma(1.z), \gamma((-1).z)\}; \\ &\leq V\{V\{\lambda(1), \gamma(z)\}, V\{\lambda(-1), \gamma(z)\}\}; \\ &\leq V\{V\{\lambda(1), \gamma(z)\}, V\{\lambda(1), \gamma(z)\}\}; \\ &\leq V\{V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(z)\}, V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(z)\}\}; \\ &\leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(z)\} = \gamma(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3. Let $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ be an H F of a field L over U and Y be a near algebra over L . A hybrid structure $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ in Y over U is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$ if and only if

- (i) $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w))$
 $\gamma(dz + aw) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Y; d, a \in L;$
- (ii) $\tilde{q}(zw) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w), \gamma(zw) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Y;$
- (iii) $\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y.$

Proof. Let $z, w \in Y$ and $d, a \in L$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}(dz + aw) &\supseteq \tilde{q}(dz) \cap \tilde{q}(aw) \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w)) \text{ and} \\ \gamma(dz + aw) &\leq V\{\gamma(dz), \gamma(aw)\}; \\ &\leq V\{V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z)\}, V\{\lambda(a), \gamma(w)\}\}; \\ &\leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) and (iii) hold directly, as $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ is an H N A of Y over U .

Conversely, presume that the three conditions of the hypothesis hold.

Let $z, w \in Y$ and $d, a \in L$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}(z + w) &= \tilde{q}(1.z + 1.w) \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(w)); \\ &\supseteq (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{q}(w) \cap \tilde{q}(w)); \\ &= \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w); \\ \gamma(z + w) &= \gamma(1.z + 1.w) \leq V\{\lambda(1), \gamma(z), \lambda(1), \gamma(w)\}; \\ &\leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(z), \gamma(w), \gamma(w)\}; \\ &= V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $z \in Y, d \in L,$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{q}(dz) = \tilde{q}(dz + 0.z); \\
& \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(0) \cap \tilde{q}(z)); \\
& \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)); \\
& = \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z); \\
& \gamma(dz) = \gamma(dz + 0.z) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(0), \gamma(z)\}; \\
& \leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(d), \gamma(z)\}; \\
& = V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis, $\tilde{q}(zw) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w), \gamma(zw) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Y$ and $\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y$. Hence, $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$. $\square$

Proposition 1. If $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$, then $\tilde{q}(z - w) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w)$ and $\gamma(z - w) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, \forall z, w \in Y$.

Proof. Given, $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$.

Let $z, w \in Y$ and 1 be the identity element in L .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{q}(z - w) = \tilde{q}(1.z + (-1).w); \\
& \supseteq \tilde{q}(1.z) \cap \tilde{q}((-1).w); \\
& \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(-1) \cap \tilde{q}(w)); \\
& \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(1) \cap \tilde{q}(w)); \\
& \supseteq (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{q}(w) \cap \tilde{q}(w)); \\
& \supseteq (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w));
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(z - w) = \gamma(1.z + (-1).w); \\
& \leq V\{\gamma(1.z), \gamma((-1).w)\}; \\
& \leq V\{V\{\lambda(1), \gamma(z)\}, V\{\lambda(-1), \gamma(w)\}\}; \\
& \leq V\{V\{\lambda(1), \gamma(z)\}, V\{\lambda(1), \gamma(w)\}\}; \\
& \leq V\{V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(z)\}, V\{\gamma(w), \gamma(w)\}\}; \\
& \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Theorem 4. $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over H F $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$ if and only if a nonempty set $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ is a sub near algebra over the field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t] \forall t \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in P(U)$.

Proof. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ be such that $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t] \neq \emptyset$ and $z, w \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]; d \in \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$. Then, $z, w \in Y, d \in L$ and $\tilde{q}(z) \supseteq \alpha, \gamma(z) \leq t, \tilde{q}(w) \supseteq \alpha, \gamma(w) \leq t, \tilde{\zeta}(d) \supseteq \alpha, \lambda(d) \leq t$. Thus, $z - w \in Y, dz \in Y$. Now, $\tilde{q}(z - w) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w) \supseteq \alpha \cap \alpha = \alpha, \gamma(z - w) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\} \leq V\{t, t\} = t, \tilde{q}(dz) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z) \supseteq \alpha \cap \alpha = \alpha, \gamma(dz) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z)\} \leq V\{t, t\} = t$. Thus, $z - w \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ and $dz \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$. Hence, $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ is a subspace of Y . Presume that $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t] \neq \emptyset$. We know that $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$ is a subfield of L . For $z, w \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t], d \in \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$, we have $z, w \in Y, d \in L, \tilde{q}(z) \supseteq \alpha, \gamma(z) \leq t, \tilde{q}(w) \supseteq \alpha, \gamma(w) \leq t, \tilde{\zeta}(d) \supseteq \alpha, \lambda(d) \leq t$. Thus, $z + w, zw, dz \in Y, d(zw) = (dz)w$. Since $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over H F $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$, we get $\tilde{q}(z + w) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w) \supseteq \alpha \cap \alpha = \alpha, \gamma(z + w) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\} \leq V\{t, t\} = t$. And $\tilde{q}(dz) \supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z) \supseteq \alpha \cap \alpha = \alpha, \gamma(dz) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z)\} \leq V\{t, t\} = t, \tilde{q}(zw) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w) \supseteq \alpha \cap \alpha = \alpha, \gamma(zw) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\} \leq V\{t, t\} = t. \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \supseteq \alpha, \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z) \leq t$ implies that $z + w, zw, dz \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ and $d(zw) = (dz)w$. Hence, $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ is a sub near algebra of Y over a field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$.

Conversely, presume that $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t] \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ is a sub near algebra over a field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$. If possible, presume that there exists $z, w \in Y; d, a \in L$ such that $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) \subseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w)), \gamma(dz + aw) \geq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\}$.

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \tilde{q}(dz + aw) + (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w)) \}; \\
v_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma(dz + aw) + V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) < u_0 < (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w))$
and $\gamma(dz + aw) > v_0 > V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\}$.
Hence, $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) < u_0, (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w)) > u_0$
and $\gamma(dz + aw) > v_0, V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\} < v_0$. So that
 $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) < u_0, (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) > u_0$ and $(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) > u_0$
and $\gamma(dz + aw) > v_0, \lambda(d) < v_0, \gamma(z) < v_0, \lambda(a) < v_0, \gamma(w) < v_0$.
Thus, $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) < u_0, \tilde{\zeta}(d) > u_0, \tilde{q}(z) > u_0, \tilde{\zeta}(a) > u_0, \tilde{q}(w) > u_0$
and $\gamma(dz + aw) > v_0, \lambda(d) < v_0, \gamma(z) < v_0, \lambda(a) < v_0, \gamma(w) < v_0$.
Since $z, w \in Y; d, a \in L$, we have $dz + aw \in Y$.
Hence, $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) < u_0, \tilde{\zeta}(d) > u_0, \tilde{q}(z) > u_0, \tilde{\zeta}(a) > u_0, \tilde{q}(w) > u_0$ and
 $\gamma(dz + aw) > v_0, \lambda(d) < v_0, \gamma(z) < v_0, \lambda(a) < v_0, \gamma(w) < v_0$.
Therefore, $dz + aw \notin \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_0, t] \cap \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_0, t], d, a \in \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[u_0, t] \cap \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[v_0, t]$ and
 $z, w \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_0, t] \cap \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_0, t]$. Thus, $dz + aw \notin \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_0, t] \cap \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_0, t]$ and
 $dz, aw \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_0, t] \cap \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_0, t]$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ is a sub near
algebra over a field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$. Hence, $\tilde{q}(dz + aw) \supseteq (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w))$,
 $\gamma(dz + aw) \leq V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\}$. If possible, presume that there exists $z, w \in Y$
such that $\tilde{q}(zw) \subseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w), \gamma(zw) \geq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}$. Put $u_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{q}(zw) + \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w)\}$,
 $v_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma(zw) + V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}\}$. Then, we have $\tilde{q}(zw) < u_1 < \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w)$
and $\gamma(zw) > v_1 > V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}$. Hence, $\tilde{q}(zw) < u_1, \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w) > u_1$, $\gamma(zw) > v_1$,
 $V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\} < v_1$.
So that $\tilde{q}(zw) < u_1, \tilde{q}(z) > u_1$ and $\tilde{q}(w) > u_1$ and $\gamma(zw) > v_1, \gamma(z) < v_1, \gamma(w) < v_1$.
Since $z, w \in Y$, we have $zw \in Y$. Thus, $\tilde{q}(zw) < u_1, \tilde{q}(z) > u_1, \tilde{q}(w) > u_1$ and $\gamma(zw) > v_1$,
 $\gamma(z) < v_1, \gamma(w) < v_1$. Therefore, $zw \notin \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_1, t] \cap \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_1, t], z, w \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_1, t] \cap \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_1, t]$,
which is a contradiction to the fact that $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ is a sub near-algebra over a field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$.
Hence, $\tilde{q}(zw) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w), \gamma(zw) \leq V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}$. If possible, presume that there
exists $z \in Y$ such that $\tilde{\zeta}(1) \subseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(1) \geq \gamma(z)$. Put $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{\zeta}(1) + \tilde{q}(z)\}$ and $v_2 = \frac{1}{2}\{\lambda(1) + \gamma(z)\}$.
Then, $\tilde{\zeta}(1) < u_2 < \tilde{q}(z)$ and $\lambda(1) > v_2 > \gamma(z)$. Hence, $\tilde{\zeta}(1) < u_2, \tilde{q}(z) > u_2$
and $\lambda(1) > v_2, \gamma(z) < v_2$. So that $1 \notin \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[u_2, t], z \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_2, t], 1 \notin \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[v_2, t], z \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_2, t]$.
Therefore, $1 \notin \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[u_2, t] \cap \tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[v_2, t]$ and $z \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[u_2, t] \cap z \in \tilde{q}_\gamma[v_2, t]$, which is a
contradiction to the fact that $\tilde{q}_\gamma[\alpha, t]$ is a sub near algebra over a field $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda[\alpha, t]$. Thus,
 $\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z), \forall z \in Y$. Hence, $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$. $\square$

Definition 6. Let $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ and $\tilde{h}_\mu$ be hybrid structures in L over U . Then, the hybrid intersection
of $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ and $\tilde{h}_\mu$ is designated by $\tilde{q}_\gamma \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{H}}} \tilde{h}_\mu$ and is demarcated to be a hybrid structure $\tilde{q}_\gamma$
 $\mathbin{\text{\textcircled{H}}} \tilde{h}_\mu: L \rightarrow P(U) \times I, z \rightarrow ((\tilde{q} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{h})(z), (\gamma \vee \mu)(z))$, for all $z \in L$, i.e., the image of z is
 $((\tilde{q} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{h})(z), (\gamma \vee \mu)(z))$, where $\tilde{q} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{h}: L \rightarrow P(U), z \rightarrow \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z), \gamma \vee \mu: L \rightarrow I, z \rightarrow$
 $V\{\gamma(z), \mu(z)\}$.

Theorem 5. Let $\tilde{q}_\gamma, \tilde{h}_\mu$ be two H N As of Y over an H F $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ of L . Then, $\tilde{q}_\gamma \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{H}}} \tilde{h}_\mu$ is an H N A
of Y over an H F $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ of L .

Proof. Let $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ and $\tilde{h}_\mu$ be two H N As of Y over an H F $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ of L . For all $z, w \in Y$ and
 $d, a \in L$,

$$(i) \\ (\tilde{q}_\gamma \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{H}}} \tilde{h}_\mu)(dz + aw) = (\tilde{q} \tilde{\cap} \tilde{h})(dz + aw)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \tilde{q}(dz + aw) \tilde{h}(dz + aw); \\
&\supseteq \left(\left(\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z) \right) \cap \left(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w) \right) \right) \tilde{h} \left(\left(\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{h}(z) \right) \cap \left(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{h}(w) \right) \right); \\
&= \left(\left(\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z) \right) \cap \left(\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{h}(z) \right) \right) \tilde{h} \left(\left(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(w) \right) \cap \left(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{h}(w) \right) \right); \\
&= \left(\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \left(\tilde{q}(z) \tilde{h}(z) \right) \right) \cap \left(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \left(\tilde{q}(w) \tilde{h}(w) \right) \right); \\
&= \left(\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \left(\tilde{q} \tilde{h} \right)(z) \right) \cap \left(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \left(\tilde{q} \tilde{h} \right)(w) \right); \\
&\text{and} \\
&(\gamma \vee \mu)(dz + aw) = V\{\gamma(dz + aw), \mu(dz + aw)\}; \\
&\leq V\{V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(a), \gamma(w)\}, V\{\lambda(d), \mu(z), \lambda(a), \mu(w)\}\}; \\
&= V\{V\{\lambda(d), \gamma(z), \lambda(d), \mu(z)\}, V\{\lambda(a), \gamma(w), \lambda(a), \mu(w)\}\}; \\
&= V\{(\lambda(d), V\{\gamma(z), \mu(z)\}), (\lambda(a), V\{\gamma(w), \mu(w)\})\}; \\
&= V\{(\lambda(d), (\gamma \vee \mu)(z)), (\lambda(a), (\gamma \vee \mu)(w))\}. \\
&\text{(ii)} \\
&(\tilde{q}_\gamma \mathbin{\frown} \tilde{h}_\mu)(zw) = (\tilde{q} \tilde{h})(zw) = \tilde{q}(zw) \tilde{h}(zw) \supseteq (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w)) \tilde{h}(\tilde{h}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(w)); \\
&= (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z)) \tilde{h}(\tilde{q}(w) \cap \tilde{h}(w)) = (\tilde{q} \tilde{h})(z) \cap (\tilde{q} \tilde{h})(w); \\
&\text{and} \\
&(\gamma \vee \mu)(zw) = V\{\gamma(zw), \mu(zw)\} \leq V\{V\{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, V\{\mu(z), \mu(w)\}\}; \\
&= V\{V\{\gamma(z), \mu(z)\}, V\{\gamma(w), \mu(w)\}\}; \\
&= V\{(\gamma \vee \mu)(z), (\gamma \vee \mu)(w)\}. \\
&\text{We have } \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{h}(z). \text{ Hence } \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \tilde{h}(z). \\
&\text{Therefore, } \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq (\tilde{q} \tilde{h})(z), \forall z \in Y \text{ and } \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z), \lambda(1) \leq \mu(z). \\
&\text{Thus, } \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z) \tilde{h}(z) \cap \mu(z). \text{ Hence } \lambda(1) \leq (\gamma \tilde{h} \cap \mu)(z) \forall z \in Y. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3. Let $L = Z_2 = \{0, 1\}_{\oplus_2, \otimes_2}$ be a field. The hybrid structure $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ in L over $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$, which is given as follows:

L	$\tilde{\zeta}$	λ
0	$\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.3
1	$\{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.4

Clearly, $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ is an H F in L over U . Let $Y = \{0, h, p, e\}$ be a set with two binary operations, “+” and “.” By

+	0	h	p	e	.	0	h	p	e
0	0	h	p	e	0	0	0	0	0
h	h	0	e	p	h	h	h	h	h
p	p	e	0	h	p	p	p	p	p
e	e	p	h	0	e	e	e	e	e

Clearly, Y forms a near algebra over L . The hybrid structure $\tilde{q}_\gamma, \tilde{h}_\mu$ in Y over $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$, which is given as follows:

Y	$\tilde{q}$	γ	$\tilde{h}$	μ
0	$\{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$	0.4	$\{u_1, u_3, u_4\}$	0.5
h	$\{u_2, u_4\}$	0.6	$\{u_1, u_4\}$	0.7
p	$\{u_2, u_3\}$	0.8	$\{u_1, u_5\}$	0.9
e	$\{u_2\}$	0.8	$\{u_1\}$	0.9

Hence, $\tilde{q}_\gamma \mathbin{\frown} \tilde{h}_\mu$ is an H N A of Y over an H F $\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda$ of L .

Definition 7. Let Y and Y' be two near algebras. Let $\omega: Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a mapping. Then,

- (i) If $\tilde{h}_\mu$ is a hybrid subset of Y' , then the preimage of $\tilde{h}_\mu$ under ω is the hybrid subset in Y over U defined by

$$\omega^{-1}(\tilde{h}_\mu)(z) = (\omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(z), \omega^{-1}(\mu)(z)) = (\tilde{h}(\omega)(z), \mu(\omega)(z)), \forall z \in Y.$$

- (ii) If $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ is a hybrid subset of Y , then the image of $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ under ω is the hybrid subset in Y' over U defined by

$$\omega(\tilde{q})(w) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{z \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \tilde{q}(z), & \text{if } \omega^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\omega(\gamma)(w) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{z \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \gamma(z), & \text{if } \omega^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for every $w \in Y$.

Theorem 6. Let Y and Y' be two near algebras over a field L and $\omega: Y \rightarrow Y'$ be onto near algebra homomorphism. If $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ is an HNA over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$, then $(\omega(\tilde{q}_\gamma), Y')$ is an HNA over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$.

Proof. Let $z, w \in Y'$ and $d, a \in L$. Then, we have $\{q: q \in \omega^{-1}(dz + aw)\} \supseteq \{ds + at: s \in \omega^{-1}(z) \text{ and } t \in \omega^{-1}(w)\}$ and $\{q: q \in \omega^{-1}(zw)\} \supseteq \{st: s \in \omega^{-1}(z) \text{ and } t \in \omega^{-1}(w)\}$.

If $\omega^{-1}(z) \neq \emptyset, \omega^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset$, then $\omega^{-1}(zw) \neq \emptyset$. Now

- (i) For all $z, w \in Y', \exists s, t \in Y$ such that $z = \omega(s), w = \omega(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\tilde{q})(dz + aw) &= \bigcup_{q \in \omega^{-1}(dz + aw)} \tilde{q}(q); \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \tilde{q}(ds + at); \\ &\supseteq \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(s) \cap \tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{q}(t); \\ &= \left(\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z)} \tilde{q}(s) \right) \cap \left(\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \bigcup_{t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \tilde{q}(t) \right); \\ &= (\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \omega(\tilde{q})(z)) \cap (\tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \omega(\tilde{q})(w)); \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \omega(\tilde{q})(z) \cap \tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \omega(\tilde{q})(w); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\gamma)(dz + aw) &= \bigwedge_{q \in \omega^{-1}(dz + aw)} \gamma(q); \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \gamma(ds + at); \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} (\vee \{\lambda(d), \gamma(s), \lambda(a), \gamma(t)\}); \\ &= \vee \left\{ \left\{ \lambda(d), \bigwedge_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z)} \gamma(s) \right\}, \left\{ \lambda(a), \bigwedge_{t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \gamma(t) \right\} \right\}; \\ &= \vee \{\lambda(a), \omega(\gamma)(z), \lambda(b), \omega(\gamma)(w)\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega(\tilde{q})(zw) &= \bigcup_{q \in \omega^{-1}(zw)} \tilde{q}(q); \\
 &\supseteq \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \tilde{q}(st); \\
 &\supseteq \bigcup_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \tilde{q}(s) \cap \tilde{q}(t); \\
 &= \left(\bigcup_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z)} \tilde{q}(s) \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \tilde{q}(t) \right); \\
 &= \omega(\tilde{q})(z) \cap \omega(\tilde{q})(w);
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega(\gamma)(zw) &= \bigwedge_{q \in \omega^{-1}(zw)} \gamma(q); \\
 &\leq \bigwedge_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \gamma(st); \\
 &\leq \bigwedge_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z), t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} (\vee \{\gamma(s), \gamma(t)\}); \\
 &= \vee \left\{ \bigwedge_{s \in \omega^{-1}(z)} \gamma(s), \bigwedge_{t \in \omega^{-1}(w)} \gamma(t) \right\}; \\
 &= \vee \{\omega(\gamma)(z), \omega(\gamma)(w)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \omega(\tilde{q})(z)$ and $\lambda(1) \leq \omega(\gamma)(z), \forall z \in Y'$.

Therefore, $(\omega(\tilde{q}_\gamma), Y')$ is an H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$. $\square$

Theorem 7. Let Y, Y' be two near algebras over a field L and $\omega: Y \rightarrow Y'$ be onto near algebra homomorphism. If $\tilde{h}_\mu$ is a hybrid near algebra in Y' over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$, then $\omega^{-1}(\tilde{h}_\mu) := (\omega^{-1}(\tilde{h}), \omega^{-1}(\mu))$ is an H N A in Y over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$.

Proof. Let $z, w \in Y$ and $d \in L$.

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(dz + aw) &= \tilde{h}(\omega(dz + aw)); \\
 &= \tilde{h}(d\omega(z) + a\omega(w)); \\
 &\supseteq \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{h}(\omega(z)) \cap \tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \tilde{h}(\omega(w)); \\
 &= \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(z) \cap \tilde{\zeta}(a) \cap \omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(w);
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega^{-1}(\mu)(dz + aw) &= \mu(\omega(dz + aw)); \\
 &= \mu(d\omega(z) + a\omega(w)); \\
 &\leq \vee \{\lambda(d), \mu(\omega(z)), \lambda(a), \mu(\omega(w))\}; \\
 &= \vee \{\lambda(d), \omega^{-1}(\mu)(z), \lambda(a), \omega^{-1}(\mu)(w)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(zw) &= \tilde{h}(\omega(zw)) = \tilde{h}(\omega(z)\omega(w)) \supseteq \tilde{h}(\omega(z)) \cap \tilde{h}(\omega(w)); \\
 &= \omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(z) \cap \omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(w);
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega^{-1}(\mu)(zw) &= \mu(\omega(zw)) = \mu(\omega(z)\omega(w)) \leq \vee \{\mu(\omega(z)), \mu(\omega(w))\}; \\
 &= \vee \{\omega^{-1}(\mu)(z), \omega^{-1}(\mu)(w)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \omega^{-1}(\tilde{h})(z) \text{ and } \lambda(1) \leq \omega^{-1}(\mu)(z), \forall z \in Y.$$

Therefore, $\omega^{-1}(\tilde{h}_\mu) = (\omega^{-1}(\tilde{h}), \omega^{-1}(\mu))$ is an H N A in Y over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$. $\square$

Definition 8. Let $\tilde{q}_\gamma, \tilde{h}_\mu$ be two hybrid near algebras of Y, Y' , respectively. Then, the direct product of $\tilde{q}_\gamma$ and $\tilde{h}_\mu$ is denoted by $\tilde{q}X\tilde{h}$ and $\gamma X \mu$ is the function demarcated by

$$(\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(z, z') = \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z') \text{ and } (\gamma X \mu)(z, z') = \vee \{\gamma(z), \mu(z')\} \quad \forall z \in Y \text{ and } z' \in Y'.$$

Theorem 8. Let Y, Y' be two near algebras over a field L and $\tilde{q}_\gamma, \tilde{h}_\mu$ be two hybrid near algebras of Y, Y' , respectively, over an H F $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$. Then, $\tilde{q}_\gamma X \tilde{h}_\mu$ is an H N A of YXY' over an H F $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$.

Proof. We have $(\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(z, z') = \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z'), (\gamma X \mu)(z, z') = \vee \{\gamma(z), \mu(z')\}, \forall z \in Y, z' \in Y'$. Let $(z, z'), (w, w') \in YXY'$ and $d \in L$. Then,

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})[(z, z') + (w, w')] &= (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(z + w, z' + w'); \\ &= \tilde{q}(z + w) \cap \tilde{h}(z' + w'); \\ &\supseteq [\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w)] \cap [\tilde{h}(z') \cap \tilde{h}(w')]; \\ &= [\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z')] \cap [\tilde{q}(w) \cap \tilde{h}(w')]; \\ &= (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(z, z') \cap (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(w, w'); \\ (\gamma X \mu)[(z, z') + (w, w')] &= (\gamma X \mu)(z + w, z' + w'); \\ &= \vee \{\gamma(z + w), \mu(z' + w')\}; \\ &\leq \vee \{\vee \{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, \vee \{\mu(z'), \mu(w')\}\}; \\ &\leq \vee \{\vee \{\gamma(z), \mu(z')\}, \vee \{\gamma(w), \mu(w')\}\}; \\ &= \vee \{(\gamma X \mu)(z, z'), (\gamma X \mu)(w, w')\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(d(z, z')) &= (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(dz, dz'); \\ &= \tilde{q}(dz) \cap \tilde{h}(dz'); \\ &\supseteq [\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{q}(z)] \cap [\tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap \tilde{h}(z')]; \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap (\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z')); \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}(d) \cap (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(z, z'); \\ (\gamma X \mu)[d(z, z')] &= (\gamma X \mu)(dz, dz'); \\ &= \vee \{\gamma(dz), \mu(dz')\}; \\ &\leq \vee \{\vee \{\lambda(d), \gamma(z)\}, \vee \{\lambda(d), \mu(z')\}\}; \\ &\leq \vee \{\lambda(d), \vee \{\gamma(z), \mu(z')\}\}; \\ &= \vee \{\lambda(d), (\gamma X \mu)(z, z')\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})[(z, z')(w, w')] &= (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(zw, z'w'); \\ &= \tilde{q}(zw) \cap \tilde{h}(z'w'); \\ &\supseteq [\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{q}(w)] \cap [\tilde{h}(z') \cap \tilde{h}(w')]; \\ &= [\tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z')] \cap [\tilde{q}(w) \cap \tilde{h}(w')]; \\ &= (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(z, z') \cap (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(w, w'); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma X \mu)[(z, z')(w, w')] &= (\gamma X \mu)(zw, z'w'); \\ &= \vee \{\gamma(zw), \mu(z'w')\}; \\ &\leq \vee \{\vee \{\gamma(z), \gamma(w)\}, \vee \{\mu(z'), \mu(w')\}\}; \\ &\leq \vee \{\vee \{\gamma(z), \mu(z')\}, \vee \{\gamma(w), \mu(w')\}\}; \\ &= \vee \{(\gamma X \mu)(z, z'), (\gamma X \mu)(w, w')\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let '1' be the unity in L . Since $(\tilde{q}_\gamma, Y)$ and $(\tilde{h}_\mu, Y')$ are H N A over $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$,

$$\tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z), \forall z \in Y, \lambda(1) \leq \gamma(z), \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{h}(z'), \forall z' \in Y' \text{ and } \lambda(1) \leq \mu(z').$$

$$\text{Then, } \tilde{\zeta}(1) \supseteq \tilde{q}(z) \cap \tilde{h}(z') = (\tilde{q}X\tilde{h})(z, z') \text{ and } \lambda(1) \leq \vee \{\gamma(z), \mu(z')\} = (\gamma X \mu)(z, z').$$

Hence, $\tilde{q}_\gamma X \tilde{h}_\mu$ is an H N A of YXY' over an H F $(\tilde{\zeta}_\lambda, L)$. $\square$

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we introduced the notion of HNA over an HF and investigated several properties. Using these notions, we introduced the concepts of HNA homomorphism and the direct product of HNA . Research can be extended to the ideal of an HNA and hybrid gamma near algebra.

Author Contributions: The material is the result of the joint efforts of H.B., N.S.P., R.B. and A.S.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Data Availability Statement: No data were used to support this study.

Acknowledgments: The authors are greatly indebted to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions, which immensely improved the article. The authors extend their appreciation to Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University for funding this research under Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2023R231), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Pilz, G. *Near-Ring*; North Holland Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1983.
2. Brown, H. Near algebras. *Ill. J. Math.* **1968**, *12*, 215–227.
3. Swamy, P.N.; Kumar, K.V.; Saraswathi, C.; Srinivas, T. Bipolar fuzzy near algebra over bipolar fuzzy field. *Adv. Fuzzy Math.* **2017**, *12*, 945–955.
4. Swamy, P.N.; Deshmukh, R.; Rao, M.M.K.; Jyothi, B. Tripolar Fuzzy Near algebra. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2020**, *2246*, 020098.
5. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control* **1965**, *8*, 338–353. Available online: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S001995586590241X> (accessed on 8 September 2023).
6. Nanda, S. Fuzzy fields and fuzzy linear spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1986**, *19*, 89–94.
7. Biswas, R. Fuzzy fields and fuzzy linear spaces redefined. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1989**, *33*, 257–259.
8. Torra, V. Hesitant fuzzy sets. *Int. J. Intell. Syst.* **2010**, *25*, 529–539. <https://doi.org/10.1002/int.20418>.
9. Molodtsov, D. Soft set theory—First results. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **1999**, *37*, 19–31.
10. Jun, Y.B.; Song, S.Z.; Muhiuddin, G.G. Hybrid structures and applications. *Ann. Commun. Math.* **2018**, *1*, 11–25.
11. Elavarasan, B.; Muhiuddin, G.; Porselvi, K.; Jun, Y.B. Hybrid structures applied to ideals in near-rings. *Complex Intell. Syst.* **2021**, *7*, 1489–1498. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-021-00271-7>.
12. Anis, S.; Khan, M.; Jun, Y.B. Hybrid ideals in semigroups. *Cogent Math.* **2017**, *4*, 1352117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311835.2017.1352117>.
13. Begum, M.H.J.; Rama, G. Hybrid fuzzy bi-ideals in near rings. *Turk. J. Comput. Math. Educ.* **2021**, *12*, 3291–3295. <https://turcomat.org/index.php/turkbilmat/article/view/4407/3749>
14. Srinivas, T.; Swamy, P.N. A note on fuzzy near algebra. *Int. J. Algebra* **2011**, *5*, 1085–1098. Available online: <http://www.m-hikari.com/ija/ija-2011/ija-21-24-2011/index.html> (accessed on 8 September 2023).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.