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Abstract: Blended electrodes are becoming increasingly more popular in lithium-ion batteries, yet most modeling approaches are still lacking the ability to separate the blend components. This is problematic because the different components are unlikely to degrade at the same pace. This work investigated a new approach towards the simulation of blended electrodes by replicating the complex current distributions within the electrodes using a paralleling model rather than the traditional constant-current method. In addition, a blending model was used to generate three publicly available datasets with more than 260,000 unique degradations for three exemplary blended cells. These datasets allowed us to showcase the necessity of considering all active components of the blend separately for diagnosis and prognosis.
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1. Introduction

Since their commercialization in the early 1990s, lithium-ion batteries have used different electrode materials both at the positive and negative electrodes (PE and NE, respectively) with the material choice dictated by cost, availability, performance, and safety. A concept that has gained considerable interest in the industry is the use of blended electrodes, which involve a physical mixture of two or more different materials. Performance-wise, blending was shown to take advantage of the best features of each material and several commercial cells started to adopt this concept [1–3]. One typical PE blend is to mix either lithium nickel aluminum oxide (NCA) or lithium nickel manganese oxide (NMC) with lithium manganese oxide (LMO) to take advantage of the high energy of layered oxides and of the rate capability of the spinel structure [2,4]. Other configurations are possible, and blends of lithium iron phosphate (LFP) with a layered oxide such as lithium nickel manganese oxide have been developed to provide additional capacity at high voltage [1]. On the NE side, most state-of-the-art cells now use a blend of graphite with high-capacity silicon [5,6].

On the experimental side, studies on blended electrodes were pioneered by Ma et al. and Numata et al. [7,8] among others [4,9–11], in the late 1990s and 2000s with work on LMO with different layered oxides. Work on blends with LMO and NCA or NMC continued in the 2010s with several works by us [12,13], Smith et al. [14], Schmidt et al. [15], and others [16–21]. In addition, other types of PE blends were investigated with lithium manganese iron phosphate and nickel cobalt manganese aluminum oxide [22] or LMO [23], NMC 622 and 111 [24], NMC and LCO [25–27], LCO and NCA [28], or NMC and LFP [29]. On the negative side, Wang et al. investigated graphite and lithium titanate blends [30] and Chen et al. investigated graphite and hard carbon [31], but the most significant work came with the introduction of a blended NE containing silicon [5,6,32–34]. Most notably, Anečn et al. were the first to showcase the different impact of the loss of graphite or silicon upon aging on the voltage response of the full cell [32].

On the modeling side, Newman’s group started modeling blends’ electrochemical responses in the late 2000s [35] using physics-based models, while we and Schmidt et al.
started investigating the aging of blends using the mechanistic degradation mode modeling approach [15,36] in the early 2010s. Most of the following work used physics-based models [37–46] with some exceptions using the degradation mode approach [25,47–52]. Overall, while physics-based models offer better insights into the processes occurring within the cells, their parameterization is always complex, especially when considering aging. Degradation mode models, on the other hand, are much simpler to parameterize, are much less calculation-intensive, and offer a higher fidelity in replicating the voltage response upon aging. However, despite the increased availability and popularity of cells using blended electrodes, the literature on their modeling upon aging is still scarce, with few studies attempting to investigate how degradation could impact the different components of the blends [6,12,27,28,46,48–51]. Even at the experimental level, most aging studies do not separate components when investigating the loss of active material (LAM), whereas it was shown that different components might not age at the same pace [47].

Early versions of the degradation mode modeling approach offered to simulate blends by adding up and then integrating the incremental capacity (IC) signature of each component [14,15,36]. While this seems to work well without being computationally expensive, recent work by Heubner et al. [1,53–55] showcased that this constant-current approach is not realistic and that the current distribution within the electrode should probably be considered for accurate modeling. In response to their studies, we proposed the use of a paralleling model to simulate the current distribution in each component of the blend instead of the IC method [56]. This could be achieved by simulating a wide array of different rates for each state of health to enable the use of a paralleling model adapted from the literature [57,58]. Preliminary results showcased that the complex, experimentally observed current distributions [1,53–55] could be reproduced [56], but that the calculation cost was increased by close to two orders of magnitude, as many simulations at different rates needed to be performed to limit the noise in the simulations. While this is not a problem for punctual simulations, this could prove to be a limiting factor for the generation of large synthetic datasets [49,59–62] that will likely be necessary to train algorithms for the accurate diagnosis of blended electrodes.

This work aimed to compare both approaches to decipher whether the increase in calculation cost is mandatory to generate data that is truly representative of real cells. Three case studies were investigated to cover the main behavior of electrodes with blends composed of materials with a completely separated electrochemical response (LFP and LMO), a mostly overlapping response (NMC and NCA), and an only partially overlapping response (NMC and LMO). In addition, we will seize this opportunity to discuss the impact of the composition of the LAM on the electrochemical response of the cells. In blended electrodes, since the total electrode LAM is the sum of the LAM on both components, there exists different voltage curves for the same electrode-level LAM, which can significantly complicate diagnosis. Finally, we will also provide the community with three synthetic datasets for the three exemplary cells mentioned above, each containing more than 260,000 unique degradations [63]. These datasets could be used to develop different diagnosis methods for blended electrodes, enabling the separation of the degradation by component.

2. Materials and Methods

All simulations were conducted with the ‘alawa toolbox version 2.2.2 [36,64] using stock electrode materials. The degradation mode model behind the toolbox [36,56] uses experimental data to link the electrochemical response for both electrodes to the full cell by adjusting six parameters, the loading ratio between the electrodes, their offset, their relative rates (PE and NE), and their relative resistances (PE and NE). The impact of different degradation modes was simulated by adjusting these parameters with the loss of lithium inventory (LLI) impacting the offset, the LAM impacting the loading and offset, and kinetic limitations impacting the rates and resistances. For the sake of simplicity, all cells were simulated with the same blending ratio (50:50), graphite electrode, initial matching parameters (loading ratio = 1.1, offset = 4, all kinetic parameters set to 1), and cutoffs (3 V
and 4.2 V). The lower cutoff voltage of 3 V was chosen to avoid over-discharging issues with LMO [13].

The simulated rate and regime for the results in the main body of this publication was a C/25 charge. Additional experiments were also performed under discharge and at higher rates to ensure the generalization of the results. Results from some of these extra simulations are provided in Appendix A.

The challenge in simulating blended electrodes is to allow each electrode to pass the required capacity at each individual voltage step before proceeding to the next one. If a constant current is assumed, the overall capacity to pass for each voltage step can be calculated by adding each component increment of capacity (dQ) for each increment of voltage (dV), which corresponds to summing IC (dQ/dV = f(V)) curves. If the current is not constant, a paralleling model is needed to determine how much current is going into each component based on the SOC, rate, and polarization to determine the dQ for each dV. Figure 1 presents a schematic representation of both approaches with (a–f) the original constant-current one and (g–j) the one using the paralleling model.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of both approaches for blended electrode simulations with (a–f) the constant-current approach and (g–j) the paralleling approach (adapted from [56]). For the constant-current approach, (a,b) display the voltage vs. capacity response for both components of the blend and (c,d) their associated IC signature; (e) showcases both IC signatures together with their sum with (f) being the integration of the latter. For the paralleling approach, (g) presents the link between the rates in both components for all iterations, (h,i) the impact of rate on each component, and (j) the relationship between rate and voltage in both components at the current SOC.
In the traditional approach [14,15,36], the constant-current response of each component of the blend is calculated at the requested rate (Figure 1a,b) before being derived to obtain the IC response (Figure 1c,d). The IC responses are then weighted with the blend ratio (50:50 here), summed (Figure 1e), and finally integrated to obtain the blended electrode voltage response (Figure 1f). Typical simulations using this approach were performed in around 30 ms each on a laptop with a 12th Gen Intel® Core™ i7-1280P 1.80 GHz processor and 32 GB of RAM. It should be noted that, as proposed in [56], this approach could be easily improved by enabling to simulate the electrode response at a weighted rate to emulate the current density only affecting one component at the time.

The principles of the calculation for the paralleling approach [56–58] are presented in Figure 1g–j. With the requested rate known and at every given state of charge (SOC), the rates on both components are linked, since their sum must equal the requested rate (Figure 1g). By simulating a wide array of rates for each component (between 0 and the maximum current defined as the requested rate divided by blend percentage (Figure 1h,i)), the voltage vs. rate response for each component can then be calculated and related (Figure 1j). Their intersection represents the only rate combination at which the voltages are equal, with the sum of rates also being equal to the requested one. These steps must be repeated for all SOCs until the cutoff is reached. From Figure 1h,i, it is easy to understand where the additional calculation cost is coming from; the more rates simulated, the lesser the noise but the higher the calculation cost. An optimal balance was found by using between 100 and 200 rates with simulations typically requiring in average of 2.5 s using the configuration mentioned above and the Matlab© paralleling toolbox with 12 workers. Alternative approaches for paralleling, such as the one recently proposed [65–67], might allow us to reduce the calculation cost, and this will be investigated at a later time.

In this work, three publicly available synthetic datasets [63], each containing more than 260,000 unique degradations for the three exemplary blended cells, were generated using the method we proposed in [61], which is summarized in Figure 2. A set of 5450 different degradation paths was defined by combining every combination of LLI and LAMs on NE as well as both components of the PE in 1/30th increments. These paths can be visualized as red dots on an LLI/LAMNE/LAMPE ternary diagram representing all their possible combinations at the selected resolution. For each dot, 31 combinations of LAMPE1/LAMPE2 must be considered to account for loss on either component of the PE. Finally, 49 simulations at different levels of degradation (from 0 to 50% with a periodically increasing time step, right of Figure 2) were then performed for each path for a total of 267,050 simulations.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of synthetic data generation process with the different LLI/LAMPE/LAMNE studied triplets as red dots in a ternary diagram (left). Each dot is associated with 31 different LAMPE compositions (insert). All compositions were tested for 49 different levels of degradation between 0 and 50% with incremental spacing (right).
For each dataset, calculations were performed using the constant-current approach and took around 90 s each on an Intel® Core™ i9-10900F 2.80 GHz GPU computer with 64 GB of RAM. A similar generation paralleling approach took approximately 9000 s.

3. Results

Figure 3a–c present more details on the materials used in this work with the IC response of all individual components for a constant-current C/25 charge. The first cell contains a 50:50 LFP and LMO blend. This configuration was chosen to represent cells with completely separate voltage responses. The second cell contains a 50:50 NMC and NCA blend to account for electrodes with overlapping voltage responses. Finally, the last cell contains 50:50 NMC and LMO to investigate cells with partially overlapping voltage response. More details on the significance of the IC peaks are outside the scope of this work and can be found in [68].

Figure 3a–c present more details on the materials used in this work with the IC response of all individual components for a constant-current C/25 charge. The first cell contains a 50:50 LFP and LMO blend. This configuration was chosen to represent cells with completely separate voltage responses. The second cell contains a 50:50 NMC and NCA blend to account for electrodes with overlapping voltage responses. Finally, the last cell contains 50:50 NMC and LMO to investigate cells with partially overlapping voltage response. More details on the significance of the IC peaks are outside the scope of this work and can be found in [68].

Figure 3d–f showcase the associated current distribution once paralleled. For the cell with separate electrochemical responses, the current is either on one material or the other. Therefore, for a 50:50 blend, the local current density on both components is doubled compared to the requested rate (i.e. a rate of C/12.5 for a requested C/25 at the electrode level). For the cell with overlapping responses, the current was first mostly on NCA, because its response started at a slightly lower voltage, and then fluctuated around C/25 until the end of charge. For cells with only partially overlapping responses, the current distribution is more complex and has some parts with the current solely on one electrode at low voltage before the current splits, when both phases become active. In our example, and despite the 50:50 blend, the split is not 50:50 because LMO has a greater capacity than NMC in the considered potential window.

The black curves in Figure 3a–c showcase the calculated electrode response for both the constant-current (dashed curves) and paralleling (dotted curves) approaches. The
Simulations were very similar for the LFP/LMO blend, which was expected, since the responses are separated and since they are both high-power materials with a limited impact of polarization between C/25 and C/12.5. For the NMC/NCA blend, there were clear differences at low voltages; the first NCA peak seemed delayed and more pronounced for the paralleling approach, because the NCA phase received most of the current and thus had a higher local current density than the constant-current one. For the NMC/LMO blend, some minor differences were also visible at low voltages when NMC received all the current.

Figure 3 showcases that, at the electrode level and without any degradation, the signature of the blends was, overall, really similar for both approaches. Figure 4 provides a comparison of the voltage responses for the full cell and upon aging. Each row corresponds to four specific subsets from the synthetic datasets, where there was up to 50% of LAM\textsubscript{PE} on the first component of the blend only in the first column (no LLI, no LAM\textsubscript{PE2}, no LAM\textsubscript{NE}), LAM\textsubscript{PE1} and LLI in the second column (no LAM\textsubscript{PE2}, no LAM\textsubscript{NE}), LAM\textsubscript{PE2} in the third column (no LLI, no LAM\textsubscript{PE1}, no LAM\textsubscript{NE}), and LAM\textsubscript{PE1} and LLI in the fourth column (LAM\textsubscript{PE1}, no LAM\textsubscript{NE}). For the initial state (thick curves), the difference between the two approaches is less evident than on the electrode alone. This is because of the slippage between the PE and NE that prevents the PE from being fully delithiated [36], and, as such, the electrode portion where the most variations were observed was not utilized in the pristine full cell. Not surprisingly, the difference is much more visible for the NMC/NCA blend when delithiated LAM\textsubscript{PE} occurs (columns 1 and 3) because this increases the range of utilization of the PE and thus enables access to the states of charge where the PE simulations were different. For opposite reasons, and when lithium was lost along the PE (columns 2 and 4), the slippage increased and the simulation from both approaches became nearly indistinguishable.
Figure 4. Simulation of up to 50% LAMPE on both components of the blend with or without lithium for the three exemplary cells: rows 1 and 2 for LFP/LMO, rows 3 and 4 for NMC/NCA, and rows 5 and 6 for NMC/LMO. Rows 1, 3, and 5 were simulated using the constant-current approach and rows 2, 4, and 6 with the paralleling one. Thick lines represent the initial state and thin lines the final ones, with the dotted lines representing the progression in between.

4. Discussion

At the full-cell level and for low-rate simulations, and because of the slippage between the PE and NE, the observed differences between the constant-current and paralleling approaches were slim. While the differences increase with high delithiated LAMPEs, this is not a big issue for prognosis, as the signature of a limiting PE at end of discharge is unique and easily identifiable either way. Therefore, the calculation cost increase induced by using the paralleling approach, on top of the noisier data, might not be justifiable for generating
large datasets. Looking at faster rates (0.2 C) (Figures A1 and A2), the differences are more visible because the rate has more impact. For the LFP/LMO blend (Figure A1), the impact of polarization on the LFP results in a miscalculation of the potential of the main peak at 3.4 V using the constant-current approach (Figure A1, second column). However, this could be solved without paralleling by weighting the rates (i.e., summing the IC response at C/2.5, C/5 for a 50:50 blend, Figure A1, third column). For the NMC/NCA blend (Figure A2, columns 1 and 2), the signatures of LAMPE and LLI are still really similar, whereas the one with LAMPE alone might be different enough to justify the use of the paralleling approach. However, this scenario is unlikely as the inevitable growth of the SEI layer in graphite-based cells usually consumes Li ions at a steady pace, thereby increasing the slippage which, as mentioned above, limits the utilization of the PE in a range where both approaches provide similar results.

In addition to allowing us to study the impact of the calculation approach, the synthetic datasets also cover all possible combinations of LAMs and LLI, and thus, a subset covering all different compositions of LAMPE could be extracted and investigated. An example is presented in Figure 5 with the different voltage responses associated with 10% LAMPE for all three exemplary chemistries. For this example, paths with neither LLI nor LAMNE were selected. This filtering led to 21 unique paths with LAMPE on each component of the blend varying from 0 to 20 in 1% increments, with their sum always equal to 20 (20% loss on one component equals 10% loss at the electrode level for a 50:50 blend). The black curve corresponds to the impact of LAMPE if the composition of the electrode is not considered because the loss is similar on both components of the blend (10% each). For the LFP/LMO blend, the composition of the LAMPE was especially visible when looking at the capacity at which the LFP intercalation was completed, which could vary by more than ±5% around the 10% line between 20% LAMLFP and 20% LAMLMO, respectively. More variations are visible on the IC curves, with the area and intensities of all peaks varying up and down the 10% line depending on the LAMPE composition. No significant variations are observable for the NMC/NCA blend, which implies that it will be impossible to determine the true origin of the LAMPE in this particular blend. Finally, with the NMC/LMO blend, the voltage vs. capacity response did not showcase changes as clearly as for the LFP/LMO blend, but the IC curves showcased a lot of up-and-down peak variations around the 10% line.

Figure 5. Voltage vs. capacity (column 1) and IC signatures (column 2) for simulations of 10% LAMPE from 20% LAMPE1 and 0% LAMPE2 to 0% LAMPE1 and 20% LAMPE2 in 1% increments for the three
exemplary cells: LFP/LMO (row 1), NMC/NCA (row 2), and NMC/LMO (row 3). Unless black, the color indicates which LAM$_{PE}$ is preponderant following the color code from Figure 3 (green: LFP, red: LMO, blue: NMC, magenta: NCA). The arrow on the top right figure indicates that the peak was cropped. The numbers indicate the initial and final intensity of the peak.

The discrepancies induced by the composition of the LAM$_{PE}$ will need to be considered to reach an accurate diagnosis of the degradation, because the observed variation might interfere with the signature of the other degradation modes, both thermodynamic (LLI and LAM$_{NE}$) and kinetic (rate degradation factors (RDFs)) [36]. Figure 6 presents the voltage variations associated with the non-LAM$_{PE}$ degradation modes for the LFP/LMO and NMC/LMO cells. For both cells, the thermodynamic degradation modes also significantly impacted the high-voltage response of the cell. For the kinetic modes, the RDF on the NE seemed to impact the shape of the high-voltage peaks the most before plating started to occur. Therefore, misdiagnosing the peak variations could have serious safety implications, which highlights the necessity to separate the LAM$_{PE}$ quantification.
5. Conclusions

This work first investigated the impact of using a paralleling model within the mechanistic degradation mode modeling approach to replicate the current distribution more accurately within blended electrodes. Using three exemplary chemistries with electrochemical responses that cover all possible scenarios (separated, overlapping, or only partially overlapping), we demonstrated that although the paralleling approach enhances accuracy and better replicates experimentally observed current distributions, the actual effect on the voltage response of the full cell, whether pristine or aged, was close to negligible at low rates, while the computational cost was increased by two orders of magnitude and resulted in noisier results. While it might be better to use the paralleling model for simulation at higher rates or when the loss of active material on the PE is significant, the computational limitations could hinder the application of the paralleling approach in scenarios where simulation speed is crucial because of the two-order-of-magnitude increase.

Recognizing that the constant-current approach is accurate enough to provide data representative of the behavior of the individual components within a blend, three publicly available synthetic datasets covering more than 260,000 different degradations were generated to enable the development of new diagnosis techniques for blended electrodes. Such techniques must enable component-specific diagnosis, since we showcased a significant impact of the composition of the LAM PE on the cell electrochemical response. Because these variations could be confused with the one induced by other degradation modes, overlooking them could lead to false diagnosis, potentially compromising the safety of deployed systems.
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Appendix A

Figure A1. C/5 simulations of up to 50% LAM_{PE} on both components of the blend with or without lithium for the LFP/LMO blend using the paralleling approach (column 1), the constant-current approach (column 2), and the constant-current approach with pondered rates (column 3).
approach (column 2), and the constant-current approach with weighted rates. Thick lines represent
the initial state and thin lines the final ones, with the dotted lines representing the progression
in between.

Figure A2. C/5 simulations of up to 50% LAMPE on both components of the blend with or without
lithium for the NMC/NCA (column 1 and 2) and NMC/LMO (column 3 and 4) blends using the
paralleling approach (column 1 and 3), the constant-current approach (column 2 and 3), and the
constant-current approach with weighted rates. Thick lines represent the initial state and thin lines
the final ones, with the dotted lines representing the progression in between.
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