Chrysanthemum: A Comprehensive Review on Recent Developments on In Vitro Regeneration
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Simple Summary: Chrysanthemums are the second most important floricultural (cut-flower) crop after roses. A very well-studied topic, in vitro propagation of Chrysanthemums is making significant strides every year. An overview of in vitro propagation of Chrysanthemum in the wider plant science literature is presented in this review. The review presents a comprehensive understanding of the recently described methods of sterilization, plant growth regulators, hormonal combinations, acclimation efficiency, and light conditions for the rapid and cost-effective in vitro regeneration of Chrysanthemum in the last decade.

Abstract: Chrysanthemum is a flowering plant grown worldwide and is one of the most popular ornamental plants. Chrysanthemums are usually cultivated using root suckers and shoot cuttings. This conventional technique is relatively slow. In addition, cuttings are gained regularly from mother plants, there is a chance of viral infection and degeneration, which raises the production cost. The hurdles mentioned above have been managed by applying in vitro propagation techniques, which can enhance reproduction rates through in vitro culture and use very small explants, which are impossible with the conventional approach. Usually, it is difficult to get true-to-type plants as the parents with good quality, but clonal propagation of a designated elite species makes it possible. Hence, this review highlights recent studies of the in vitro propagation of Chrysanthemum included; the appropriate explant sources, medium compositions, alternative disinfection of culture media, plant growth regulators (PGRs), different mutagenesis applications, acclimatization efficiency, and alternative light sources to overcome the shortcomings of conventional propagation techniques.
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1. Introduction

The floriculture industry is considered one of the most important and rapidly growing commercial trades in the agriculture industry. Many cut flowers and potted plants are being sold worldwide, for instance (Alstroemeria, Anthurium, carnation, Chrysanthemum, Gerbera, Gladiolus, Lilium, Lisanthus, and roses) on a daily basis [1]. The world’s top countries with value-wise production and export of cut plants are the Netherlands, the United
States, Japan, Italy, Germany, and Canada. Germany, the United States, France, and the United Kingdom are the leading consumer countries [2].

The term ‘chrysanthemum’ comes from the Greek ‘krus anthemon’, which means gold flower, and was initially used in China. Chrysanthemum morifolium (Ramat), belonging to the Asteraceae family [3], is considered the second most important floricultural crop worldwide after roses [4]. This is a culturally significant flower with an annual sale of billions of branches. It is propagated in diverse colors, sizes, and forms of composite Chrysanthemum flowers by collecting several combinations, concentrations, and types of anthocyanins (purple), carotenoids (yellow), and chlorophyll (green) (Figure 1).

Chrysanthemum is one of the most utilized plants in traditional medicine. The flower reduces inflammation and treats bruises, sprains, bites from snakes and centipedes, rhinitis, diphtheria, cholera, and malaria [5]. It also has antipyretic and antihypertensive features [6]. Chrysanthemum petals have been used to treat various diseases, such as fever and wind-heat syndrome [7]. Chinese people eat flowers as a salad, and dried petals are used to make tea (tisane) [8].

Most Chrysanthemum species belong to East Asia. China and Japan have the largest covered areas for production, with 8475 ha (2013) and 5230 ha (2009), respectively. Thailand and India are particularly prominent for domestic market sellers, with 19,000 ha and 2199 ha, respectively. A 2365 ha open production area in Mexico was mentioned in 2012 [9]. There is a constant demand and need for new varieties in the modern horticulture industry, specifically from the cut flower industry. Introducing innovative qualities in plant appearances, such as flower color/inflorescences, flower shape, plant architecture, and foliage variation, is the main goal of ornamental breeding [10].
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**Figure 1.** (A) The wild-type *Chrysanthemum* flower shape; (B) the double flower type, in which all of the disc florets have turned into the ray florets; (C) a spoon type is produced by partially united ray floret petals; (D) in combination with the double type, fully united ray florets produce a spider type; (E) a form of anemone with colorful and large disc floret petals.; (F) An arrangement of totally joined ray floret petals and sizable disc floret petals is known as a spider-anemone. Modified after, Spaargaren and Geest, [4].

Plant tissue culture is an in vitro aseptic cultivation of plant cells, tissues, organs, embryos, protoplasts, or seeds on a nutritional medium in a controlled environment in
which humidity, temperature, light, photoperiod, and the nutrient medium are the contributing factors for an optimum growing environment. Micropropagation of healthy plants gives faster reproduction rates in a short time [11].

Popularity and demand have made Chrysanthemum one of the first commercial targets for micropropagation, allowing the use of tissue culture in the mass production of this flower. The primary method of Chrysanthemum propagation is generally done vegetatively with shoot cuttings and root suckers. This conventional process is simple, economical, and can be done in vitro. However, there are limitations to this strategy, such as a low reproduction rate, poor quality of seedlings, higher reproducible time, seasonal constraints, inadequate gene pool, and the inability to avoid cross-incompatibility; additionally, cuttings obtained frequently from mother plants may become infected with viruses and degenerate, which would increase production expenses [12]. These limitations may be modified easily with the available methods of induced mutagenesis and regeneration, in addition to an appropriate, mutable mother plant [13,14]. Hence, there is a requirement for a more effective propagation system. Micropropagation is a rapid and productive way to generate plants on a larger scale to obtain flowers for pyrethrum extraction. In a study by Catalano et al. [15], which presented the results of a 9-year field comparison between pyrethrum plants from conventional and micro-propagated methods, which were characterized by similar field performance, in both cases allowing long-lasting stands with satisfactory yields. However, reduced technical inputs were applied [4]. However, multiple factors, including medium composition, the interaction between growth regulators, explant type, plant genotype, and explant stage of development, influence the success of Chrysanthemum in vitro propagation [16]. Various literature studies have mentioned using tissue culture to experiment with the large-scale propagation of C. morifolium by utilizing various novel regeneration pathways [14,17–24]. Furthermore, establishing strategies to inhibit microbial contamination in culture media is the optimum procedure. Physical sterilization by autoclave can be substituted by applying chemicals, nanoparticles, or plant extracts alone or by combining autoclaving [25]. Moreover, explants in vitro are an extraordinarily suitable irradiation material. Thanks to the application of in vitro cultures on a small area, in a disease- and pest-free environment, a large number of irradiated explants could be placed, which ensures a more effective regeneration than in vivo conditions, increases the probability of obtaining mutated plants, and permits a significant acceleration of all stages of the breeding program [26]. In earlier studies [26,27], a physical mutagen (gamma rays) was used to induce mutations in Chrysanthemum in vitro and modifications in inflorescence and cultivar output were noticed. The accomplishment of successful acclimation in nursery circumstances is of critical importance to the accomplishment of successful micropropagation procedures. Plants’ survival rates are significantly lower in the acclimatization stage than in the in vitro growth stage due to exposure to several environmental stressors, such as light quality and microbial contamination, which poses a substantial challenge for micropropagation, resulting in poor plant quality and the loss of valuable plant stocks. On the other hand, artificial light in the greenhouse and in vitro could be used to regulate plant development. Compared to conventional fluorescent lamps, light-emitting diodes (LEDs), LED-Uni-Pack (LP), and wireless power transmission (WPT-LP) have emerged as alternate light sources for the optimal growth and development of Chrysanthemum in vitro and ex vitro [28,29]. Therefore, the current review aims to observe the recent methods in the last decade on sterilization methods, plant growth regulators (PGRs), and the best hormonal combination, acclimation efficiency, and light conditions to establish a technique for the in vitro regeneration of Chrysanthemum as a rapid and cost-effective approach.

2. In Vitro Plantlet Propagation

Several parts of plants, such as seeds, cuttings, tubers, roots, anthers, pollen, and even leaves, can be used to propagate Chrysanthemum in vitro. Leaves could be used as starting materials and seeds to propagate the plant. But vegetative propagation (cuttings, suckers)
is favored due to its high heterozygosity and for commercial purposes. However, reproduction is too slow to be commercially viable by this approach [30].

In the micropropagation strategy, “cloning” refers to replicating huge numbers of selected plants with the same genotype as their parent plant through culture [31]. Anderson, in 1980, ref. [32], performed a study wherein he described the five steps of micropropagation: selecting a stock plant, establishing, reproducing, pretransplant/rooting, and finally, transplantation.

Considering the fact that successful procedures developed for one cultivar are not simply adapted to another cultivar, breeders of chrysanthemums face a difficult challenge each year: coming up with several novel and marketable cultivars as rapidly as possible. To effectively improve Chrysanthemum cultivars for crop production, it is necessary to create regeneration methods. Thrope [33] compiled a list of cultivar traits thought critical for the success of the morphogenesis process in vitro regeneration. The following factors were considered: (a) the source organ chosen to be utilized for tissue culture, (b) the physiological and ontogenetic age of the chosen organ, (c) the optimum season for acquiring explants, (d) explant size, and (e) the overall quality of the plant from which explants were obtained. From past studies [17,18,34], it was found that many factors that influenced Chrysanthemum shoot regeneration in vitro consisted of interacting with the genetic structure of the plant, type of explant, gelling agents, ethylene inhibitors, darkness period, and regulatory factors for plant growth.

The 15 years of research on reproduction in stem segment culture [35] showed that field experiments on clonal fidelity are cultivar-specific for Chrysanthemum cultivars. The clonal fidelity of flowers was higher in spider-type Chrysanthemums than in daisy-type cultivars. These results have economic implications since they allow for continuous micropropagation via the long-term tissue culture multiplication of the investigated cultivars of the spider type without evident changes to flower morphology. On the contrary, our findings suggested that Chrysanthemum cultivars may be propagated long-term to develop economically viable cultivars.

Waseem et al. [36] have researched different explant types impacting callus induction and organogenesis. The explant types include leaf bits, stem discs [37], leaf [38,39], pedicle [40], protoplast [41], shoot buds, top buds and axillary buds [42], stems [43], and stems with axillary buds [44]. Further, Khan [45] also stated that leaf, petiole, and stem Chrysanthemum cv. nankingense could be utilized to design plant regeneration technology.

2.1. Propagation from Axillary Buds

The ability to regenerate a large number of shoots from cultured tissues is important for the success of most in vitro propagation techniques. The capacity of Chrysanthemum’s shoot apex and nodal explants to regenerate in vitro is overall documented. The culture of nodal segments containing axillary buds involves the exploitation of buds already existing on the parent stock plant, hence providing an efficient method of rapid clonal proliferation enabling the creation of genetically stable and true-to-type progeny [46]. According to [47], the nodal segments of Chrysanthemum morifolium L. have been used to design an effective plant regeneration method [48]. Single nodal cuttings can be considered possible propagules for the generation of Chrysanthemum plants on a larger scale from tissue culture. A similar study on single nodal cuttings was done wherein it was with an intact leaf and dipped in Hormex solution for 10–15 min, showing excellent survival (93 to 100%) at four weeks, irrespective of treatment, and 100% root growth was also seen, but the number of roots increased in control and decreased with longer dipping times. On the contrary, cuttings without an intact leaf did not respond well to treatments because of low survivability (50%), and only 7–13% of roots could survive. An earlier study by Zalewska et al. [49] performed an experiment wherein five cultivars of Chrysanthemum were cultivated on MS media, having three shoot zones: distal, middle, and proximal. Two single-node explants were extracted from each zone and grown on MS media without any growth regulator supplements. After 10 weeks of cultivation, axillary buds were
found to have 50% shoot growth, while the remaining shoots could be grown in rooting media with 0.2 mg/L of IBA.

2.2. Propagation from Adventitious Shoots or Embryos

Direct and Indirect Morphogenesis

Direct morphogenesis: New cultivars with unique traits can be regenerated from disc or ray florets by forming adventitious shoots or somatic embryogenesis, a chimeric (or mutant) form in regenerated plants [50,51]. There is a vast potential for commercial Chrysanthemum floriculture on an industrial scale in direct floret regeneration methodology [52]. A direct regeneration strategy is preferred to preserve genetic fidelity, as demonstrated by Chrysanthemum cv.’s disc and ray florets. For commercial use, ‘Kargil 99’ and other mini variations have been standardized for large-scale direct organogenesis multiplication [30]. Cultures of regenerating ligulate florets increased the number of regenerating shoots and encouraged their elongation after a seven-week transition from a solid to a liquid medium [53,54].

Indirect morphogenesis: Meanwhile, in the case of indirect morphogenesis, the callus tissue is genetically unstable. The callus formed can help enhance Chrysanthemum species’ genetics by showing helpful genes or by incorporating new cultivars [53,54]. In addition, the in vitro shoot regeneration of Chrysanthemum cv. appears to depend on selecting a donor plant age that produces the most shoots per explant. For instance, leaf disk explants from 6-week-old donor plants (Chrysanthemum cv. Shinma) formed shoot buds 1 week earlier (than other donor plants). They produced the highest number of shoots per explant (7.2) in the medium, implying that donor plants that were either too young or too old had reduced regeneration efficiency [34].

3. Basal Medium for Regeneration

Tissue-cultured plants are grown on a synthetic medium containing all the necessary nutrients for rapid growth. Murashige and Skoog’s formulation (MS) can be used to grow various plants, resulting in enhanced growth [55]. According to Rahmy et al. [22], MS medium may be substituted with an artificial media, Grow More and a varied concentration of coconut water, to initiate the shoot regeneration of Chrysanthemum in vitro. Plant tissue cultures can also be done in a liquid or semisolid media with a solidifier. Teixeira da Silva and Kulus, [51] developed a cost-effective method for the large-scale production of chrysanthemums cv. ‘Shuhou-no-Chikara’ in vitro, using various additions to a liquid-based medium. He also discovered that several alternative additions to the liquid-based medium are available such as coffee, Darjeeling tea, Japanese matcha, low and full-fat milk, Coca-Cola, and oolong tea, which inhibits plant development and reduces the concentration of leaf chlorophyll. Lee et al. [56] stated that agarose outperforms agar in shoot regenerability promotion. However, Gelrite is the best gelling agent for accelerating shoot regeneration in Chrysanthemum cv. Borami and Chrysanthemum cv. Vivid Scarlet leaf explants than agar, agarose, or Phytagel [17]. Furthermore, psyllium husk can be used as a gelling agent in a culture medium because it is a sticky and mucilaginous substance [57,58]. In addition, [57,58] concerns the formation, proliferation, and long-term survival of in vitro shoots [18,46,59]. Earlier studies have also mentioned that all gelling agents tested had produced fewer shoots and roots than gellan gum and agar (bacto agar, oatmeal agar, Phytagel, potato dextrose agar, corn starch, and barley starch) [60]. Similarly, Gelrite produced more shoots per explant than agar, agarose, or Phytagel, but silver nitrate prevented the induction of shoots [51]. Similar results were also obtained when plants were cultured on media containing refined sucrose or table sugar, while extracts from Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni), which is used as a substitute sweetener in food products, gave poorer results. Photoautotrophic micropropagation increased shoot mass, and the aeration of the culture vessel enhanced plantlet growth, which resulted in double plant density [51]. The physical state of other solidifier media with poor diffusion properties
can impede nutrient flow, resulting in fewer shoots per explant, which is a plausible explanation for these observations [18,20]. Gelling agents and plant growth regulators may work together to boost shoot regeneration [17,18]. Plant tissue culture medium frequently uses sucrose as a carbon source to substitute the carbon, which plants usually fix via photosynthesis but cannot perform in vitro. Occasionally, organic substances such as amino acids and vitamins are added [4]. Market sugar was used instead of sucrose due to its similarity to sucrose, which contains 99.98% sucrose and 0.01% reducing sugar (compared to 96–97% sucrose and 0.7–1% reducing sugar in sucrose) [46,61]. Pant et al. [46] developed a modified culture media technique consisting of a full-strength MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/L BAP, a solidifying agent. Psyllium husk (Isabgol) also substituted the agar as a solidifying agent. In addition, market sugar (as a carbon source) and double distilled water were substituted by RO (reserve osmosis) water for making culture medium, resulting in a 6-fold reduction in production costs. Furthermore, it did not compromise on in vitro shoot development and was a cost-effective option. The starting material selection should be followed by a suitable sterilization process to successfully disinfect the explants without causing harm to them [60].

4. The Alternative Disinfection Methods of Culture Media

One of the most serious issues with micropropagation is microbial contamination, which results in the poor quality of plants and the destruction of beneficial stocks. Also, sterilized culture media may reduce the effectiveness of nutrients and growth regulators for plants [62]. Ornamental plants like chrysanthemums are usually cultivated in disinfected soils by cuttings or cultured in a medium to avoid contamination and maintain optimum conditions for homogeneous substances. Autoclaves are utilized to sterilize any cultured substances in many micropropagation laboratories. Typically, sterilizing is done by autoclave for the culture medium and culture vessels (plastic boxes, glass vessels, nylon bags) for 20 to 30 min at 121 °C and 15 psi. However, autoclaving can result in the production of decomposition products like phenolics and 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-furaldehyde at a high cost to several micropropagation laboratories [63], and it can also result in the generation of decomposition products such as phenolics and 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-furaldehyde [64].

Several recent techniques have been used to sterilize in vitro media without needing an autoclave and with a low-cost alternative, such as microponic culture system [20], sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) [39,65,66], hydrogen peroxide [67], and chlorine dioxide [68,69], metal nanoparticles [20,62,70–72]. On the other hand, chemical sterilization can effectively disinfect but is often harmful to explants and reduces propagation efficiency. As a result, nano colloids may be considered an easy and effective alternative to current methods for disinfection [70].

Creating a successful Chrysanthemum regeneration system allows the vital germplasm to be preserved through clonal propagation. This used a simple culture to vigorously multiply shoot tips on MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg dm$^{-3}$ BA + 0.1 mg dm$^{-3}$ NAA to reduce the prevalence of seven viruses and viroids, including the chrysanthemum chlorotic mottle viroid (CChMVd), chrysanthemum stunt viroid (CSV), cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), chrysanthemum virus B (CVB), tobacco mosaic virus (TMV), tomato aspermy virus (TAV), and tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) [73].

4.1. Microponic Systems

Microponic systems are reproduction systems that combine the benefits of micropropagation with hydroponics, which reduces the disadvantages of micropropagation systems of contamination, resource consumption, and the requirement for large spaces [20,74]. The pioneers of this method were [75,76], who used the nutrient film technique and a miniature pump to circulate medium through Rockwool (Figure 2). In addition, the culture conditions such as improved fresh and dry weight, rate of photosynthesis, leaf size and number, and stomatal density (temperature, CO$_2$, humidity, pH, and electrical
conductivity) are controlled. Tung et al. [20,77] concluded with a distinction between the microponic (MO) and micropropagation (MR) systems. In a microponic system, the volume of the container is 16.1 cm×31.8 cm×45.7 cm; the content of the medium = ½ MS, without sugar, pH 5.8; the substrate used is Nylon film, and there is no autoclave. While in the micropropagation system, the volume of the container is a 500 mL glass bottle; the content of the medium = ½ MS, 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose and pH 5.8; the substrate is 8 g L⁻¹ agar, and the method of sterilization is autoclave (121 °C at 1 atm for 30 min) [20]. The length of the chrysanthemum shoots utilized was 3 cm, grown on half-strength sugar-free liquid MS media under a 70/30% red/blue LED setup with 7.5 ppm silver nanoparticles. Chrysanthemums grown in a microponic system began flowering after 15 weeks, which was a week earlier than those grown in vitro, and branches derived from microponic culture may blossom one week earlier than micropropagation-derived branches.

Figure 2. Microponic system diagram adapted from Tung et al., 2018 [20].

4.2. Essential Oils and Chemical Compounds in Tissue Culture Media

Without an autoclave, plant tissue culture material can be sterilized by chemical disinfectants and essential oils (EOs) derived from medicinal plants [25,78]. For example, EOs of trees of betel, cinnamon, clove, holy basil, lemon, lavender, turmeric, and tea (at varying concentrations: 0.9 mL dm⁻³–12.6 mL dm⁻³) might also 100% sterilize the medium, which is equivalent to autoclaving [51]. Similarly, the subsequent compounds can be utilized as a sterilant: 2% iodine + 2% merbromin solution, 2.4% potassium iodide, 10% povidone–iodine, 6% sodium hypochlorite, or 0.1% thimerosal at 1.8 mL dm⁻³; these could also achieve 100% medium sterilization as well. This method offers a less expensive substitute in laboratories that do not have any autoclaves, although contradictions surface if EOs would be less expensive than autoclaving. [79] determined that chemicals like chlorine, calcium hypochlorite, sodium hypochlorite, hydrogen peroxide, methylchloroisothiazolone, magnesium nitrate, magnesium chloride, sodium benzoate, and potassium sorbate ought to be present in the culture medium to avoid contamination. According to Liu et al. [39], the best sterilization approach for Chrysanthemum morifolium ‘ziyan’ was applying...
2% NaClO for 6 min and for *Chrysanthemum morifolium* ‘niu 9722’ was applying 2% NaClO for 8 min. These chemicals frequently harm plant tissue (especially in chimeras, especially those of the “Variegata” type”) or are ineffective at eliminating fungal and endophytic bacterial contamination [51].

4.3. Nanomaterials in Tissue Culture Media

The interactions between nanomaterials and plant growth have recently piqued the interest of experts worldwide [20,62,71,72,80]. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have previously been shown to prevent microbial infection and the effects of ethylene in micropropagation [20,62,71,72,81–83]. Silver and copper nano colloids also have antibacterial, antifungal, and antiviral properties, but they are less toxic and need not be rinsed with sterile water. They can also destroy endophytes by entering the cell through plasmodesmata [84]. In addition, non-autoclaved media can be utilized for cultural purposes due to lower micropropagation costs and lower power consumption (attributed to the absence of autoclaving). Furthermore, AgNPs are critical for improving the growth and development of plants (shoot as well as root length and leaf area), with a better synthesis of chlorophyll and oxidative enzymes, enhancing the carbohydrate and protein content of chrysanthemum. AgNPs, when added to a microponic medium, can enhance plant growth and development while reducing microbial contamination [20,62,70,82,85]. Copper (Cu), gold (Au), and silver (Ag) nano colloids can also be used to eliminate fungal and bacterial contamination in *Chrysanthemum* in vitro cultures [83]. The nano colloids showed encouraging antibacterial and antifungal efficacy, even at lower concentrations for a brief period of disinfection. In addition, there was no apparent injury to plant tissue. According to a study conducted by Tung et al. [20] and Tymoszuk and Miler [83], the probability of microbial infection was determined by adding different AgNP and AuNP concentrations to micropropagation and microponic system. In comparison to silver nanoparticles, gold nanoparticles are less toxic to in vitro isolated plant explants. Adventitious root regeneration in *Chrysanthemum* was restricted after exposure to AgNPs at concentrations of 10 and 30 ppm. The in vitro rhizogenesis of these species should not involve silver nanoparticles. Even so, chrysanthemums exposed to AuNPs (at 10 and 30 ppm) had roots that were significantly larger in diameter. However, silver nanoparticles at concentrations of 50 and 100 ppm restrict the development of adventitious branches in *Chrysanthemum* [83]. The optimum concentration of AgNP was found to be 10 ppm for reducing microbial content among the tested concentrations, but this concentration also inhibited plant growth, causing leaf distortion and, finally, death. Furthermore, the plant roots became brown and eventually perished. In comparison to 5 ppm AgNP, AgNP at a concentration of 7.5 ppm was the most effective at lowering the levels of several bacteria, including Xanthomonas sp., Enterobacter sp., Bacillus sp., *Pseudomonas* sp. (<1 CFU/mL), and appropriately controlled *Alternaria* sp. (diminished 2500-fold). AgNP at 7.5 ppm also reduced the growth of *Corynebacterium* sp., *Agrobacterium* sp., and *Aspergillus niger* by 10-fold compared to controls (3-fold). Some species (*Fusarium* sp. and *Arthrobacter* sp.) were unaffected by AgNP, while there was optimal development of *Chrysanthemum*, and plants cultured in a medium supplemented with 7.5 ppm AgNPs showed higher chlorophyll contents.

The sterilizing effects of silver nanoparticles (AgNP) on *Chrysanthemum morifolium* (Ramat.) cv. “Jimba” explants growth and culture media were studied by Tung et al. [62]. Compared to the autoclaved (Au M) system, plantlets from the non-sterilized MS medium (No M) system adapted to the greenhouse faster. *Chrysanthemum* plantlets grown in the non-sterilized media system had higher superoxide dismutase and ascorbate peroxidase efficacies than in the autoclaved medium. The No M1 and No M2 plantlets (in two large plastic containers, each with a different size) had reached their developmental stages one week earlier than the AuM system (flower buds and blooming period).
4.4. Reintroduction of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) in Tissue Culture Media

Reintroducing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) into sterilized areas is an alternative technique to eradicate harmful pathogens and beneficial microbes while reaping the benefits of AMF–plant root symbiosis [51]. Since microbial inoculum is essential for developing a strong root system [86], improved growth [87], increased absorption of nutrients and water [11,88], and improved host root resistance to soil-borne diseases [89] and drought stress [90]. Micropropagated plantlets can have proper growth attributed to AMF if they are inoculated with it. This will be reflected in improved plant survival and development after field transplant. AM fungi are an integral part of *Chrysanthemum* micropropagation, which will enhance the uptake of nutrients whether they are given with macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca, and Mg) or micronutrients (Fe, Cu, Zn, and Mn) in both shoots and roots of plantlets that have been inoculated with a mixture of three strains of AMF including, *Acaulospora laevis*, *Acaulospora scrobiculata*, and *Glomus fasciculatum* [11].

Mycorrhizal inoculation from scarcely soluble sources, such as rock phosphate, is made available for the plant to obtain phosphorous content. The enhanced phosphorus uptake may be due to the increased physical interaction between phosphate particles and the hyphal network between roots and these particles. AMF has been shown to facilitate nodulation and nitrogen fixation in legumes. Mycorrhizal and nodule symbioses often synergistically affect mineral nutrition, infection rates, and plant growth. The enhanced phosphorus uptake by AMF symbionts is beneficial for the nitrogenase enzymatic activity in bacterial symbionts, leading to higher nitrogen fixation and, consequently, stimulating the development of root and mycorrhiza. Further, increased micronutrient uptake may be associated with increased macronutrient cation mobilization in the rhizosphere via secretions of AMF. The blend of specific chelating siderophores by strains of AMF may contribute to enhanced iron absorption [91].

4.5. High-Energy Photons and Electrons

Industrial sterilization, which uses high-energy electrons and photons, is one application of ionizing radiation [92]. According to Miller et al. [14], high-energy electrons are more effective at disinfection than high-energy photons. The effect of radiotherapy on the percentage of sterile *Chrysanthemum* explants was noted, and as the radiation dose increased (gradually, from 55% in 5 Gy photons to 70% in 15 Gy photons), the percentage of sterile ovaries explants also increased. In parallel to the control, the increased level of (complete) infertility was caused by ovaries receiving 10 Gy of high-energy electrons [14].

5. Protocols for Cloning and Large-Scale Plant Production of *Chrysanthemum*

Plant growth regulators or phytohormones, such as cytokinins, gibberellins, auxins, and abscisic acid, as well as their analogs and inhibitors, are essential to controlling the type of growth during the proliferation stage. Growth regulators can have distinct effects on various cultivars due to genotypic changes in their capacity to absorb and metabolize the medium’s growth regulators [93]. Variations in growth conditions and explant source age, genetic variations between the genotypes used, or morphogenetic response variations in vitro can contribute to these variations [35]. A summary of recent studies focused on the optimal cultured medium used for *in vitro* for chrysanthemum proliferation is listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Summary of recent studies focused on the optimal cultured medium in vitro for chrysanthemum proliferation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Sp.</th>
<th>Explant Type</th>
<th>Medium Content</th>
<th>Responding</th>
<th>Survival Response</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum morifolium</em></td>
<td>Segments of nodal nodules with a single axillary bud</td>
<td>Full strength MS basal medium - BAP (0.1 mg/L) + sucrose (30 g/L) + agar (7 g/L w/v).</td>
<td>Bud induction</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS medium + BAP (0.5 mg/L)</td>
<td>Shoot multiplication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS medium + 25 g/L psyllium husk + 20 g/L market sugar + RO market sugar + 0.50 mg/L IBA</td>
<td>Shoot multiplication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/2 strength MS medium + 0.6% agar + 20 g/L market sugar</td>
<td>Root formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum × grandiflorum</em> Ramat. Kitam. cv. Capitola</td>
<td>Ligulate florets</td>
<td>MS (Medium) + calcium and iron by half + 0.4 mg/L thiamine + 10 g/L sucrose, (6 weeks) 3 mg/L KIN+ 0.5 mg/L (IAA) + 0.8% (w/v) agar Then (6 weeks) 1 mg/L KIN+ 0.5 mg/L (IAA) + 0.8% (w/v) agar.</td>
<td>Shoot multiplication</td>
<td>51.26%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum morifolium</em> cv.ziyan</td>
<td>Stems with axillary bud</td>
<td>-MS + 6-BA 2.0 mg·L⁻¹ + NAA 1.0 mg·L⁻¹.</td>
<td>Callus induction 100% and bud differentiation</td>
<td>92.22%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1/2 MS + NAA 0.2 mg·L⁻¹</td>
<td>Rooting 100% and average root number of 15.50</td>
<td>Survival 100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. morifolium</em> cv.niu9722</td>
<td>Stems with axillary bud</td>
<td>-MS + 6-BA 2.0 mg·L⁻¹+ NAA 0.5 mg·L⁻¹ Callus induction 100%+ bud differentiation 45.59%</td>
<td>Stem proliferation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS + 6-BA 2.0 mg·L⁻¹+ NAA 0.5 mg·L⁻¹</td>
<td>Rooting 100%+ average root number of 14.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum morifolium</em> Ramat cv., “Pasopati”</td>
<td>Leaf explants</td>
<td>MS + 1.0 mg·L⁻¹+ 0.5 mg·L⁻¹ BAP</td>
<td>Callus induction (1.55 g biomass weight)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS + NAA (0.5 mg L⁻¹) + BAP (0.5 mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>Shoot initiation (30 days after planting) + N. of shoots (5) + shoot length (2.9 cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS + 2iP (0.5 mg L⁻¹) and BAP (2.0 mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>Shoot initiation after 30 days + shoot length (1.88 cm) + shoot Num. (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS + BAP 0.5 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>Num.shoot (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum Shinma</em></td>
<td>Leaf explant</td>
<td>MS + 0.5 BA mg/L + 0.5 NAA mg/L + 3 g L⁻¹ of Gelrite under the 16 h photoperiod (37 L mol m⁻² s⁻¹) for 45 days</td>
<td>Shoot regeneration 60%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS + 0.2 mg L⁻¹ IBA under the 16 h photoperiod (37 L mol m⁻² s⁻¹) for 45 days</td>
<td>No. of roots/explant (12)+ root length (10.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum morifolium</em> Ramat</td>
<td>Stem explant</td>
<td>SH basal medium + 1 mg/L IBA + 30 g/L sucrose + 3 g/L gelrite</td>
<td>The highest root no. (5.7)</td>
<td>100% survival</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SH basal medium + 1 mg/L IAA+ 30 g/L sucrose + 3 g/L gelrite</td>
<td>The highest root length (36.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS medium + 1.0 mg/L BAP + 0.1 mg/L IAA</td>
<td>90% shoot initiation and 5.5 cm average length of shoot per explant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum morifolium</em></td>
<td>Nodal segments</td>
<td>MS medium + 1.0 mg/L BAP</td>
<td>93% shoot proliferation, 5.7 cm average lengths of shoot per explant and 4.4 nodes per explant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>½ MS medium + 0.2 mg/L IBA</td>
<td>90% rooted micro cuttings; 9 cm length of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chrysanthemum morifolium</strong></td>
<td>Root explant + 11.8 no./explant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal segment</td>
<td>Shoot induction (80.00%) + (days after induction)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>shoot no. 3.00 at 28 DAI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS medium + BA 2.0 mg/L</td>
<td>Callus induction (76.00%) + (shoot no. 3.20) at 40 DAI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>shoot length 3.66 cm at 28 DAI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2MS + IAA 0.5 mg/L</td>
<td>Root induction (80.00%) within 13 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2MS medium + BA 3.0 mg/L + IAA 1.5 mg/L</td>
<td>Root induction (76%) within 12.20 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2MS medium + BA 2.0 mg/L + IAA 1.0 mg/L</td>
<td>Root no 4.20 at 28 DAI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Leaf explants with or without callus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Leaf explants</strong></th>
<th>(Chrysanthemum × grandiflorum/Ramat./Kitam.) 'Alchimist'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irradiation conditions (MW = power of 800 W·cm⁻² and the frequency of 2.45 GHz)</td>
<td>40% adventitious shoot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½MS medium + half-strength macronutrients + (11.42 μM) of IAA for 10 days</td>
<td>Rooting 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS medium + IAA 1.0 mg/L</td>
<td>100% callogenesis rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1/2MS medium) + NAA 0.1 mg/L + sucrose 15 g/L + agar 5.5 g/L</td>
<td>100% embryogenes rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D + 4.65 μM BAP + 20 μM SNP</td>
<td>and the number of somatic embryos per explant (57.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Leaf explants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Leaf explants</strong></th>
<th>(Chrysanthemum × morifolium/Ramat.) Profesor Jerzy/ ‘Karolina’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Induction medium for 12 weeks: 1.0 mg dm⁻³ 33.33% (’Karolina’) induction callus</td>
<td>89.18% survival for Profesor Jerzy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D + 4.65 μM BAP + 20 μM SNP</td>
<td>Shoot induction (66.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MS) medium consisted of (3% sucrose, 0.7% agar, and 100 mg/L Myo-inositol.) + 9.09 μM 2,4-D + 4.65 μM BAP + 20 μM SNP</td>
<td>shoots for (Profesor Jerzy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regeneration medium for 18 weeks: 2.0 mg dm⁻³ kinetin + 1.0 mg dm⁻³ (IAA) + 4.0 mg dm⁻³ glycine + pH 5.8</td>
<td>(57.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ovaries from Irradiated inflorescence)</td>
<td>Callogenesis rate (100%),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooting medium: (MS based, supplemented with 2.0 mg dm⁻³ IAA, pH 5.8)</td>
<td>embryogenes rate (100%),</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Leaves (Four-week-old)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Leaves</strong></th>
<th>Ch. moliforium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 mg L⁻¹ Kin + 0.6 mg L⁻¹ IBA + (MS)</td>
<td>Shoot regeneration 100% after 4 wk of culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 strength MS + 0.1 IBA</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Leaves</strong></th>
<th>Ch. indicum L.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS medium + AgNP(4 PPM), 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose + 8 g L⁻¹ agar + 0.2 mg L⁻¹ (BA)</td>
<td>Shoot regeneration 100% after 4 wk of culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 strength MS + 0.1 IBA</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1. Optimization of Phytohormones on the Shoot, Callus, Somatic Embryo, and Root Induction

*Chrysanthemum* shoot regeneration is induced by the medium’s type and concentration of growth regulators. Lower concentrations fail to promote shoot bud regeneration, while higher concentrations have an inhibitory effect as the plant itself can produce hormones [23]. The residual effects of hormones accumulating in cultured explants and the application of plant growth regulators (PGRs) could explain why the number of shoot buds/explants was reduced at higher combined concentrations. Furthermore, Waseem et al. [36] found that augmenting MS medium with increased benzyl amino purine (BAP) reduced recovery. It is due to endogenous cytokinins such as elevated concentrations of 6-benzyl adenine (BA), thidiazuron (TDZ), and BAP used that may have caused adverse consequences and reversed the growth process [101]. In contrast to the common assumption, high levels of auxin cause rhizogenesis, whereas high levels of cytokinin cause ridge formation. Some strains require higher concentrations of cytokinin than auxin or auxin at a higher concentration than cytokinin and comparable amounts of auxin and cytokinin [102]. Further, cytokinins play an important role in shoot regeneration in plant tissue culture, and BAP is one of the most potent cytokinins for inducing shoot regeneration [103]. For example, high levels of cytokinins induce explant germination (6-benzylamino purine (BA), zeatin, kinetin, and 6-(y,γ-dimethylallylamino) purine) [104]. Cytokinins other than auxin were used for leaf explants to promote efficient direct organogenesis in *Chrysanthemum* [105,106]. Similarly, BAP is more effective than kinetin (Kin) in strengthening shoot amplification in *Chrysanthemum* and other plant species, as mentioned in [107–109]. The utilization of different concentrations of cytokinin and auxin in tissue culture of (Pyrethrum) *Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium* was examined for the first time by Lindiro [110], which investigated nodal explants in MS medium treated with various amounts of cytokinins, 2-isopentyl adenine (2iP), benzylamino purine (BAP), kinetin (Kin), thidiazuron (TDZ), and cysteine. According to these findings, BAP was superior in propagating axillary shoots, with 5 M BAP yielding the highest average shoot length and 40 M BAP yielding the highest average number of shoots. The superiority of BAP for inducing axillary buds in *C. morifolium* was demonstrated by Pant et al. [46]. The ability of low BAP levels itself stimulates shoot growth and proliferation, and callus development in the presence of auxin reflects high endogenous hormone levels in the mother plant [46]. The endogenous content of cytokinins found in leaves of *Chrysanthemum* was much lower to stimulate shoot regeneration. One of the biological functions of KIN, a cytokinin, is to inhibit apical dominance, increase lateral shoot growth, and create vegetative shoots [100]. It also helps discover and enlarge blood vessels that carry phloem and xylem, inhibiting chlorophyll breakdown, promoting cell division, and improving nucleic acid production [111,112]. Additionally, ClO\textsubscript{2} was investigated as a growth stimulant for chrysanthemum tissue culture without using any other known PGRs in a recent study by Tian et al. [69]. It was observed that 10 μg·L\textsuperscript{-1} ClO\textsubscript{2} caused *Chrysanthemum* regeneration in a single step. This shows that a microgram-grade concentration of ClO\textsubscript{2} may stimulate the accumulation of endogenous auxin in *Chrysanthemum*, further encouraging roots and growth. The regenerates formed in a single step and were transplantable within three weeks of culture. The transplantation success rate was 100%.

5.1.1. Shoot Induction

Previous experiments on shoot regeneration have been done in *Chrysanthemum* to study the effects of silver nitrate, which is an ethylene inhibitor [18,34,56,113]. Naing et al. [34] showed that ethylene inhibitors adversely affected shoot growth in the leaf explants of *Chrysanthemum* cultivars. The magnitude of these adverse effects depends on the type and amount of ethylene inhibitor used, such as AVG, silver thiosulfate, and silver nitrate. The addition of 10–20 mM silver nitrate promoted shoot regeneration in *Chrysanthemum* explants grown in an environment richer in cytokinins than auxins. Similarly, the average
number of shoots per explant was not affected by silver nitrate concentrations above optimal levels, indicating a strong interaction between silver nitrate and cytokinins in Chrysanthemums [113]. Adding 1 mM silver nitrate increased the number of shoots per explant when Chrysanthemum explants were cultured in a medium containing equal amounts of cytokinin and auxin, as was observed by Lee et al. [56]. Silver nitrate did not interfere with shoot regeneration, even at 10 mM. In addition, shoot development occurs at silver nitrate concentrations of up to 100 mM. On the other hand, Naing et al. [34] showed that explants cultured in a medium containing 1 mM silver nitrate markedly hampered shoot regeneration, whereas 25 mM silver nitrate completely prevented shoot regeneration. Furthermore, according to Naing [18], shoot regeneration could be accelerated by adding silver nitrate to a medium with high doses of cytokinin, well known for promoting the in vitro production of ethylene. It is thought that silver nitrate increases ethylene absorption and improves shoot regeneration. Furthermore, cytokinins and silver nitrate have a significant interaction in Chrysanthemum, since cytokinins are known to stimulate ethylene synthesis in vitro. In addition, silver nitrate is known to absorb ethylene and enhance shoot regeneration. A possible reason for this discrepancy is the use of higher concentrations of cytokinins in the study conducted by XiaoHan et al. [113]. Differences in endogenous ethylene levels between plant genotypes are the source of the above-mentioned discrepancies [34]. Silver nitrate and other ethylene inhibitors may enhance embryogenesis in species with high endogenous ethylene levels while suppressing development in species with lower endogenous ethylene levels [114]. The stunted and dwarfed in vitro-generated shoots were unsuitable for subculture and in vitro rooting. Consequently, before in vitro roots can be produced, these micro shoots need to grow and develop sufficiently. Previous research by Jerzy et al. [94] solves the issue of Chrysanthemum branches grown from floral explants not growing to the length that makes it easy to separate them from the explant by adding kinetin to the medium. Consequently, the length of the shoots that emerged from ligulate florets on the medium containing 1 mg dm⁻³ KIN was nearly three times greater than the length of the explants present throughout the culture period on the medium with BA and IAA. A study by Jahan et al. [23] experimented on Chrysanthemum morifolium and showed that multiple shoots were sub-cultured in the BAP-enriched MS basal media with 125 mg/L urea, and the length grew to a size that was sufficient in three to four weeks.

5.1.2. Callus Induction

In callus induction [60], auxin alone may cause the formation of calluses on explants if the auxin balance in the explant is sufficient or if the cytokine concentration is extremely low or nonexistent. Auxin can enhance adventitious root development, cell elongation, and cell division, according to Pierik [115]. Due to comprehensive and successful interventions in the cell cycle and cell division, both cytokinin and auxin are effective in forming calluses and somatic embryogenesis in Chrysanthemum species [116]. Furthermore, 2,4-D concentrations between 0.1 and 2.0 mg L⁻¹ are required for embryogenic callus development from leaf and nodal explants [117]. It has been demonstrated that 2,4-D at a concentration of 2 mg L⁻¹ is a relevant medium for inducing callus in Chrysanthemum plants [118]. Siregar [119] discovered that, when TDZ was added to the planting media at concentrations greater than 0.25 mg L⁻¹, it prevented leaf callus explants from producing shoots and reducing their length. The flower tissue of Chrysanthemum cv. ‘Shuhou-no-Chikara’ was found to have organogenesis for the first time due to the five PGRs, adenine sulfate (Ads), picloram, N6-[2-isopentenyl] adenine (2iP), phloroglucinol (PG), and coconu

down morphogenesis while accelerating the growth of calluses. The rate of callus formation increased with increasing concentrations of 2,4-D (2–4 mg L\(^{-1}\)), then declined with higher concentrations. It was observed that a combination of 6-BA and NAA in different concentrations was best for callus induction, stem proliferation, and having a 100% survival rate on *Chrysanthemum morifolium* cv. "niu9722" and *C. morifolium* cv. "ziyan" [39]. In contrast, in the culture of chrysanthemum callus, different concentrations of TDZ prevented the formation of shoots [95]. Rivai and Helmanto [122] explored how 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) produced calluses in chrysanthemum explants like leaves, hypocotyls, cotyledons, stems, zygotic embryos, and other plant parts cultured in auxin-rich medium. The ideal treatment for inducing callus from leaves was MS medium enriched with 3 mg/L of 2,4-D, and MS medium enriched with 1 or 2 mg/L of 2,4-D was the best method to induce callus from internodes. The callus became brownish when the 2,4-D amount was increased [123].

5.1.3. Somatic Embryogenesis Induction

The most economically significant *Chrysanthemum* cultivars and plant regeneration can be improved with a different but equally efficient somatic embryogenesis process. This process is a distinct developmental pathway characterized by the dedifferentiation of cells; cell division induction; cell division stimulation; and the reprogramming of cell metabolism, physiology, and gene expression patterns [124]. In tissue cultures of *Chrysanthemum*, somatic embryogenesis can be done either directly from the epidermal cells of explants [53] or indirectly through an intermediary callus [125]. Somatic embryogenesis is a complex process that depends on a wide range of factors, such as plant genotype, culture media composition, the type and age of the explants, and various types and concentrations of phytohormones [98,99]. PGRs of the right type and concentration, coupled in diverse ways, can induce somatic embryogenesis [98,126]. Barakat et al. [50] showed that increased BAP and NAA concentrations in the medium enhanced the somatic embryogenesis of *Chrysanthemum morifolium* to produce somatic embryos. Mani and Senthil established a methodology for the proliferation of *Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium* [127] via somatic embryogenesis, utilizing 100% callus induction from leaf explant on MS media containing 1.5 mg/L of 2,4-D and petal explant on MS medium containing 2.0 mg/L of 2,4-D. For somatic embryos, the strongest friable calli for somatic embryos were tested in MS medium that had BAP (0.1 mg/L) treatment. The regenerated plantlets were elongated and planted on MS media supplemented with 0.1 mg/L of BAP and 2.0 mg/L of KIN. Leaf explants demonstrated the highest response rates of any explant type, with rates of embryogenesis at 97.9% and 35.1%, respectively, for petiole and internode stem explants. A study by Keresa [126] stated that both plant growth regulator combinations (BA and GA3) significantly influenced embryogenesis. Leaf explants demonstrated the most response rates of any explant type, whereas petiole and internode stem explants had the highest rate of embryogenesis, 97.9% and 35.1%, respectively. The highest conversion rate (53.8%) from somatic embryos to plantlets was seen in petiole explants. Therefore, petiole explants were the effective option for *Chrysanthemum* cv. *Palisade White* for plant development through somatic embryogenesis [126]. MS medium was shown to be more effective than half-strength MS medium in enhancing the proliferation of somatic embryos [128]. “Petal” explants from two rare *Chrysanthemum* cultivars, ‘Euro’ and ‘Baeksun’, were cultured in vitro by Naing et al. and Kim and Naing [18,128] to stimulate primary and secondary somatic embryogenesis. For the ‘Euro’ variety (42 embryos/explant following 5 weeks of culture), MS media enhanced with 2 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of 2,4-D and 2 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of KIN was ideal, but for the ‘Baeksun’ variety (56.3 embryos/explant following five weeks of culture), 1 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of 2,4-D and 3 mg dm\(^{-3}\) BA were sufficient. The optimum parameters for the embryo regeneration of the ‘Cool Time’ floral explant were determined by Tymoszuk et al. [129]. The best results were observed when transversely cut-in-half ligulate florets were cultured into MS medium with 1 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of KIN and 4 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of 2,4-D, wherein 5.7 embryos/explant and 85% explants were regenerated. Ray florets of the *Chrysanthemum* cv. ‘Purnima’
has been utilized to standardize an effective direct somatic embryogenesis process. Somatic embryos were produced immediately on the surface of the explant, escaping the callus stage [123]. A modified MS medium containing 15.45 mM NH₄⁺, 38.95 mM NO₃⁻, 25.12 mM K⁺, 7.5 mM Cl, 3.75 mM Ca²⁺, 1.87 mM Mg²⁺, 1.87 mM SO₄²⁻, and 1.62 mM H₂PO₄⁻ allowed for the maximum callogenesis ratio (100%), embryogenesis ratio (100%), and somatic embryo quantity (12.11). In addition, MS media enriched with different combinations of 2,4-D/BAP were used for Chrysanthemum callus generation from the cut end of the leaf disc, and it could generate successfully. In two weeks, the surface of the whole explant was covered in calli. MS medium supplemented with 2 mg L⁻¹ of 2,4-D, and 2 mg L⁻¹ of BAP gave the highest CR, ER, and SEN values [98].

5.1.4. Root Induction

The root initiation stage, also known as in vitro rooting, ensures the survival of cloned micro cuttings and speeds up the rooting process. When auxin is present, the majority of the roots are stimulated. In general, a high percentage of rhizogenesis was enhanced by indole acetic acid (IAA), 6-benzyladenine (IBA), isopentyl adenine (2iP), and α-naphthalenacetic acid (NAA). Treatment with cytokinin may be less effective if apical dominance is present because auxins are naturally produced by apical buds [130]. In general, auxins promote cell division and elongation and encourage root formation. The application of growth regulators, resulting in an excess in the average number of roots while increasing the concentration of growth regulators, has negative effects. Treatment with half the salt content was more effective because the carbohydrate-to-nitrogen ratio was increased. It is generally accepted that increasing the sugar-to-nitrogen ratio improves rooting [131]. Roest and Bokelmann [131] published the first tissue culture study of Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium detailing the explantation of floral discs for the micropropagation of Pyrethrum. Explants were grown in MS medium supplemented with 10 mM (IAA), (NAA), and 0.1 mM (IBA). After eight weeks, the stimulation of these growth regulators produced plantlets, and proper transplantation was performed into non-sterile soil, which allowed the roots to grow. Obukosia et al. [118] reported that NAA was superior to IBA for rooting Pyrethrum micro shoots. The results were inconsistent with the outcomes of Waseem et al. [36], that BAP at 0.2 mg/L was superior to NAA for root induction from Pyrethrum micro shoots. Keresa et al. [126] examined that IBA (0.5 mg/L) produced more roots per shoot, while IAA (2 mg/L) generated longer roots. Lindiro [110] showed that 10 μM of IBA resulted in the highest number of roots per explant, the highest average root length, and the successful establishment of regenerated plantlets in the greenhouse.

Similarly, on MS medium supplemented with IBA (2.0 mg/L), regenerated shoots elongated and developed roots before being adapted and planted in the soil, although quantitative and qualitative features were completely inferior to TDZ [132]. Furthermore, in the previous studies [19], IAA had little effect on root induction. In contrast, IBA had the most positive effects on root induction and elongation. Similarly, Naing et al. and Jahan et al. [23,34] noted that IBA was the best auxin for adventitious root initiation, surpassing IAA and NAA. On the other hand, the most efficient medium for root regeneration medium was SH [133], and the optimal situation for the number of roots per explant (4.3) and root length is half the intensity of SH (1/2 SH) (31.4 mm) [19]. In contrast, Fu-Yun [42] observed that, on half-strength MS medium supplemented with 0.1 mg/L of NAA, the rooting rate for Chrysanthemum nankingense was 100%. The transplanted plants grew properly due to an average rooting coefficient of 15.8 and had a survival rate of 100%. Similarly, Wang et al. [44] reported that the optimum rooting media for ‘Breeze Ivory’ was a composition of (1/2MS + 7.0 g/L of agar + 0.1 mg/L of NAA + 30 g/L of sucrose), with a rooting rate of 100%, and an average of 12.6 roots per plant, along with a 98% survival rate in river sand medium. Imtiaz et al. [134] found that ½ MS medium was optimal for the rooting of Chrysanthemum. Furthermore, Verma’s [135] explants (auxillary buds) of Chrysanthemum morifolium containing well-differentiated micro shoots were placed on MS
medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/L of NAA, and the cultures generated the highest roots (88.66%) in parallel with 1.0 mg/L of NAA.

5.2. Plant Growth Regulators in the Best Combination

The growth regulators’ interaction determines the speed and direction of the development of culture supplemented to the medium and those generated endogenously by plant cells (Gunawan et al. [136]). It has been suggested that two important factors, the chemical base and its side-chain groups, could explain the variations in the effectiveness of plant growth regulators on plant development [137]. Different plant or shoot regeneration responses are produced when different culture medium compositions are used; the developmental stages should be considered when choosing the culture medium with various hormone combinations [42]. A particular cytokine called BAP is frequently combined with NAA (auxin) to enhance the growth of plant shoots. BAP has been found to boost the synthesis of tissues of natural hormones like zeatin and is assimilated by plant tissues more rapidly than other synthetic growth regulators [110,138]. On the contrary, it has also been demonstrated that BAP, in combination with IAA [47] and GA3 [126], causes *C. morifolium* to induce the largest shoots. Auxin and cytokinin, the two plant growth hormones, are commonly used to stimulate morphogenetic plants [95]. According to Ilahi [139], MS media with 0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) of NAA and 0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) of BAP was the most optimum medium for producing calli in *Chrysanthemum* explants taken from internodes. In addition, [42] explored the observation that early bud induction was observed after five days of growth on a half-strength MS medium boosted with BA (0.5 mg/L) and NAA (0.1 mg/L). Furthermore, Waseem et al. [47] reported that adding low concentrations of IAA (0.1 and 0.2 mg/L) and moderate concentrations (1.0 and 2.0 mg/L) of BAP to MS medium improved *Chrysanthemum* plantlet regeneration utilizing nodal segments. Shoot tips from *Dendranthema × grandiflora* (Ramat.) Kitamura cv. Palisade White was cultivated on MS media with combinations of (BA, GA3) or (BA, KIN, and IAA). The combination of BA at 0.1 mg/L and GA3 at 0.5 mg/L led to a proliferation rate of 3.2 new micro shoots per inoculated plant [126]. Furthermore, Wang et al. [44] suggested the ideal breeding medium for *Chrysanthemum* cv. ‘Breeze Ivory’ was a composition of MS medium with 6-BA (1.0 mg/L) + NAA (0.1 mg/L) + sucrose (30 g/L) + agar (7.0 g/L) with a multiplication coefficient of 12.1. In a study by Naing et al. [128], a 5-week culture gave the highest average number of embryos per explant (5.97) when *Chrysanthemum* cv. Euro leaf explants were cultured on MS medium supplemented with 2.0 mg/L of kinetin and 2.0 mg/L of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. Tymoszuk and Zalewska [140] also showed that adventitious buds could be regenerated from “Cool Time” ligulate florets as long as the medium containing appropriate amounts of BA and NAA. Medium supplemented with 2.0 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of BA and 0.3 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of NAA and media with (2.0-3.0) mg dm\(^{-3}\) of BA and 0.5 mg dm-3 of NAA resulted in the majority of shoots per flower explant (8.89–36.09) being regenerative. In vitro studies were performed on cultured petal explants of his cultivars of *Chrysanthemum*, i.e., “Resomee Splendid” and “Reagan Elite Salmon”. In MS medium, combinations of 3.0 mg/L of BAP with 0.5 mg/L of NAA and 4.5 mg/L of BAP with 1.0 mg/L of NAA, the maximal shoot induction of both cultivars (93.33% and 73.33%, respectively) was achieved by [132]. Random shoots were also reported to occur indirectly in ‘Resomee Splendid’ explants, whereas in ‘Reagan Elite Salmon’, either a combination of (3.0 mg/L of BAP + 0.5 mg/L of NAA) or medium supplemented with (4.5 mg/L of BAP + 0.5 mg/L of NAA) directly, shoot formation was observed [132]. In both cultivars, a mix of TDZ and NAA was developed with indirect shoot development. Naing et al. [18] suggested that, for the successful genetic transformation of *Chrysanthemum* cultivars, in the presence of 1 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of BA and 2 mg dm\(^{-3}\) of NAA, high levels of auxin were required for shoot regeneration; leaf segments of ‘Vivid Scarlet’ could produce 12.3 shoots per explant. The suggested medium for chrysanthemums for shoot regeneration of ‘Biarizte’, ‘Yellow Biarizte’, ‘Storika’, ‘Pinkgin’, ‘Linker Pink’, ‘Dark Linker Salmon’, and ‘Bari’ from ligulate florets comprised 1.5 mg/L of BAP and 0.5 mg/L of NAA; ‘PKV Shubhra’ required 2 mg/L of BAP and 1.5
of novel chrysanthemum varieties are submitted to the Community Plant Variety Office.

Hension of gene sequences, structures, and functions of genes genetic engineering degrees. It is also ubiquitous and does not need an in 

advanced molecular laboratories with high tech instruments or expert technicians with genetic engineering degrees. It is also ubiquitous and does not need an in-depth comprehension of gene sequences, structures, and functions of genes [146]. A remarkable number of novel chrysanthemum varieties are submitted to the Community Plant Variety Office.

6. Irradiation Treatment In Vitro

Changing the color of the flowers is one of the most important breeding goals. Classical mutation breeding is viable for commercial plant breeders because it does not require advanced molecular laboratories with high-tech instruments or expert technicians with genetic engineering degrees. It is also ubiquitous and does not need an in-depth comprehension of gene sequences, structures, and functions of genes [146]. A remarkable number of novel chrysanthemum varieties are submitted to the Community Plant Variety Office.
(CPVO) each year. This European organization is equivalent to the parallel office for protecting breeders’ property [147]. Greenhouse tests confirm the nominated varieties’ uniqueness, uniformity, and stability (so-called DUS tests). In the CPVO department, cuttings of a given cultivar are grown at the CPVO department in a certain number of cuttings (20 for chrysanthemums) are grown, and their novelty is verified based on the assessment of their external traits [148].

Many studies have been published on the mutation breeding of *Chrysanthemum*, using physical and chemical mutagens. Among the physical mutagens, heavy-ion beams and X-rays or gamma rays are less harmful to the environment than chemical mutagens due to the production of toxic chemical waste and chemical agents. The most common chemical mutagen is ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS), but pingyangmycin (PYM) has also gained similar interest; it is an antibiotic used in cancer treatment [149]. Furthermore, due to their high availability, microwaves (MW) are a more effective and cheaper alternative to induce plant mutation than using less available gamma or X-ray radiation or user-harmful chemical mutagens in plant mutation breeding works. Water molecules in all living cells can absorb this radiation [150].

MW is a form of electromagnetic radiation (EM) with frequencies between 300 MHz to 300 GHz and wavelengths between 1 m to 1 mm [151]. Following the use of gamma radiation to induce mutations in a purple-flowering cultivar, *Chrysanthemum grandiflorum* (Ramat./Kitam.) emerged with three new phenotypes: light purple (77B), silver–purple (RHSCC code: 77C) and claret gold (60C), which was already confirmed by Zalewska et al. [26]. Miler and Kulus [97] studied the effect of microwave radiation on in vitro regeneration and the efficacy of acclimatization effectiveness, and genetic and phenotypic differences in *Chrysanthemum* ‘Alchemist’ were also observed. When using an MW radiation source with a power of 800 W·cm⁻² and a frequency of 2.45 GHz, shoot production was adversely affected when exposed to long-term use. However, it did not impact the rooting and acclimation processes, both of which were completed.

Moreover, the propagation of inflorescences with larger diameters (21.5%), different shapes, and flower bud color was extended by four days with the longest treatment of MW. Similarly, comparable results were obtained in *Chrysanthemum* ‘Lalima’ irradiated with 0.5 Gy gamma rays [152]. Increasing the duration between the appearance of buds and the onset of flowering is beneficial, as it may improve the quality of the plant after harvest. These findings can potentially expand the use of microwaves as an inexpensive and widely available source of variation that is accepted by society. Commonly used explants in the mutation breeding of *Chrysanthemum* are: fragments of leaves and internodes, pedicels, nodes, and, rarely, inflorescences [88,153]. Broertjes and colleagues, in the late 1970s, had first published the in vitro regeneration of shoots from explants non-meristem plants as a criterion to generate non-chimeric mutants in chrysanthemums. According to Jo and Kim [154], the frequency of variation is influenced by several parameters. The most important types are the irradiation type and dose, linear energy transfer (LET), and the kind of tissue being irradiated.

Recently, ovaries have been used for breeding mutant *Chrysanthemum* by Miler and Muszczyk, Wang et al., and Miler and Muszczyk [14,155,156]. Ovaries were useful targets for radiation. The characteristics of the ovaries to be used as explants for breeding mutant *Chrysanthemum* include location within the inflorescence, gathering them on one side of the plane, and having a high ability of regeneration [157]. The in vitro regeneration of ovaries of two *Chrysanthemum* cultivars, ‘Profesor Jerzy’ and ‘Karolina,’ were done after radiation with high-energy photons (total doses of 5, 10, and 15 Gy) and high-energy electrons (total dose of 10 Gy) [14]. They demonstrated that irradiated ovaries from the whole inflorescences of *Chrysanthemum morifolium* (Ramat.) could be used efficiently in breeding programs for which the mother variety was regenerated in vitro effectively, having a strong impact on the regeneration efficiency of the genotype. The cultivar ‘Karolina’ formed only seven shoots, while the cultivar ‘Professor Jerzy’ produced 428 shoots. The regeneration rate decreased with the increased irradiation dose. Explants exposed to 10
Gy of high-energy electrons and 15 Gy of high-energy photons showed the lowest response. A total dose of 10 Gy of high-energy photons was administered (beam energy at 6 MeV and dose rate at 3.19 Gy per min), which was most effective in influencing the stable shape and color changes in inflorescences. They did not exhibit any adverse side effects like a delay or increased culture duration due to delayed blooming [14].

7. The Acclimatization Stage

Acclimatization under nursery conditions is highly critical for successful micropropagation techniques, wherein the plants are typically kept in high-humidity environments for a few days before transferring them to the greenhouse [60]. During the acclimatization stage, plants are subjected to various hazardous environmental influences, including microbial infections (mostly fungi and bacteria), temperature fluctuations, low humidity, and inadequate nutrition, all of which significantly reduce plant survival rates. However, there is in vitro control of plant growth [158]. In addition, when plant organs are transferred to ex vivo environments, physiological modulations within the organs result in morphological and anatomical defects. Plant stomata do not function correctly; roots are weak, and the epidermal layer is thin [159]. To develop methods that improve plant survival, growth, and development in greenhouses, it is essential to recognize the physiological and biochemical changes that occur in plants during acclimation [20]. To acclimate, the plants must develop leaf cuticles before being removed from tissue culture. The growing environment’s humidity should be reduced to enable the plants to develop a sturdy cuticle layer [4].

8. Alternative Light Sources in the Greenhouse

Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) can take the place of standard fluorescent lights to cut down on energy costs. In some studies, LEDs are more suitable fluorescent lights for in vitro and ex vitro study [160]. Two biological research areas of photosynthesis [161,162] and morphogenesis [161] utilize LEDs [162,163]. Light intensity, quality, spectrum, photoperiod, lighting direction, and photoperiod are the factors that affect its response [164]. Greenlight—G (565 nm), blue light—B (450 nm), red light—R (660 nm), and yellow light—Y (590 nm) [165] are all considered alternatives to traditional fluorescence lamps (FL) as light sources for micropropagation [166]. In greenhouses and tissue culture, the light sources (B) can control light intensity, CO₂ percentage, relative humidity, temperature, chloroplast aggregation, and open stomata to promote plant growth and development [166,167]. In plants, the synthesis of chlorophyll was also aided by blue light [168]. The growth of greenhouse plant *C. grandiflorum* ‘Coral Charm’ was observed taking blue to red LEDs in various ratios. Plants were stunted in growth when exposed to 40% blue + 60% red light, while plants exposed to 100% red light had the lowest overall biomass. Stomatal conductance was higher in all red + blue LED ratios than in control, even though photosynthesis was unaffected. The levels of flavonoids were lowest when exposed to only red light, but the levels of flavonoids and phenolic acids were higher in treatments that used a high blue light proportion. The morphology of plants may benefit from these discoveries in the future [169]. In addition, *Chrysanthemum* shoots’ necrosis could be seen in the micropropagation (MR) system under blue light and yellow light, as mentioned by Tung et al. [20]. According to Lichtentaler and Wellburn [170], the wavelengths of the Y (590 nm) and G (565 nm) in maximum absorption spectrophotometers do not match those of chlorophyll a (662 nm) and chlorophyll b (645 nm). Blue—B (450 nm) LEDs had the maximum cytochrome and carotenoid absorption. *Chrysanthemum* shoots grown under red light were slender, yellowish, and had fresh weight (0.38 g). In contrast, those grown under B and R had chlorophyll a (15.87 gg1), chlorophyll b (8.97 gg1), and chlorophyll a + b (24.84 gg1) levels that were lower than those grown under B and R (10:90, 20:80, 30:70, 40:60, and 50:50). The combination of B and R at a 30:70 ratio improved plant growth and development and seedling quality. On the other side, uneven light-intensity distribution on the culture shelves is a problem with both conventional lighting systems and several
commercially available LED lighting systems. LEDs are employed in some systems to increase plant quality; among these lighting systems is UNIPACK, which improves space, wavelength, and lighting efficiency. UNIPACK’s usage of several wires to give direct current to LED boards made the system complex [28]. In 2007, MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) tested wireless power transfer without cables [171]. In that study, a new LED system was designed combining 30:70 blue–red LEDs and wireless power transmission. According to Nam et al. [28] and Nhut et al. [29], the considerable improvement in the survival rate of chrysanthemum seedlings achieved under LED lighting systems compared to those grown under fluorescent lighting systems was shown in both the length and dry weight of chrysanthemum seedlings being more significant in the LP and WPT-LP conditions compared to the FL condition. In addition, after 16 weeks in soil, chrysanthemum seedlings continued growing until flower bud formation when exposed to the combination of red and blue LED in three systems; fluorescent LED tube, LP, and WPT-LP.

9. Future Perspectives

Elite varieties can be propagated in vitro to produce sufficient planting materials that are true to type and would otherwise be difficult to come by. New discovered Chrysanthemum varieties could be introduced as soon as possible due to the rapid rate of in vitro propagation. Optimal media use, plant growth regulators used properly, and an appropriate in vitro culture methodology can help in the genetic engineering of Chrysanthemum. This will enhance trading in chrysanthemums, a profitable business, and a valuable scientific endeavor.
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