



Article Inhibition of Human Cathepsins B and L by Caffeic Acid and Its Derivatives

Liza Ulčakar and Marko Novinec *D

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, University of Ljubljana, Večna pot 113, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; liza.ulcakar@gmail.com * Correspondence: marko.novinec@fkkt.uni-lj.si; Tel.: +386-1-479-8547

Abstract: Caffeic acid (CA) and its derivatives caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE) and chlorogenic acid (CGA) are phenolic compounds of plant origin with a wide range of biological activities. Here, we identify and characterize their inhibitory properties against human cathepsins B and L, potent, ubiquitously expressed cysteine peptidases involved in protein turnover and homeostasis, as well as pathological conditions, such as cancer. We show that CAPE and CGA inhibit both peptidases, while CA shows a preference for cathepsin B, resulting in the strongest inhibition among these combinations. All compounds are linear (complete) inhibitors acting via mixed or catalytic mechanisms. Cathepsin B is more strongly inhibited at pH 7.4 than at 5.5, and CA inhibits its endopeptidase activity preferentially over its peptidyl-dipeptidase activity. Altogether, the results identify the CA scaffold as a promising candidate for the development of cathepsin B inhibitors, specifically targeting its endopeptidase activity associated with pathological proteolysis of extracellular substrates.

Keywords: enzyme inhibition; proteolysis; peptidase; catalytic inhibition; mixed inhibition; chlorogenic acid; caffeic acid phenethyl ester



Citation: Ulčakar, L.; Novinec, M. Inhibition of Human Cathepsins B and L by Caffeic Acid and Its Derivatives. *Biomolecules* **2021**, *11*, 31. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biom11010031

Received: 30 November 2020 Accepted: 25 December 2020 Published: 29 December 2020

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Plants are a rich source of biologically active phenolic compounds. Many of these are known not only for their antioxidant properties [1] but also for their beneficial health effects in traditional medicine and as food supplements, as well as potential application in standard medicine. An important group of such compounds is cinnamic acid and its derivatives, which have antimicrobial, antitumor, anti-inflammatory and other biological activities [2–5]. At the molecular level, this is due to their well-known antioxidative properties [1,6] but also specific interactions with protein targets. For example, caffeic acid (CA; 3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid) and its phenethyl ester (CAPE) exert their antitumor activities by inhibiting nuclear factor kappa B [7,8] and matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) -2 and -9 [9]. Both also inhibit other enzymes, including lipoxygenases [10–12], cyclooxygenases [13], xanthine oxidase [14], glutathione S-transferase [15], and tyrosine phosphatases [16].

Adding to this list, we have recently characterized CA and chlorogenic acid (CGA, an ester of CA and quinic acid) as inhibitors of dipeptidyl-peptidase I (DPPI) [17]. This enzyme is a member of the papain-like family of cysteine peptidases, which comprises eleven homologs in humans. In view of this, we expanded our investigation to cathepsins B and L, which, like DPPI, are ubiquitously and abundantly expressed in humans. Cathepsin B is unique within the family for being an endopeptidase or a peptidyl-dipeptidase, depending on the position of a structural insertion called the occluding loop, which changes conformation in a pH-dependent manner. At low pH (below 6), the occluding loop is bound into the active site and the enzyme functions as a peptidyl-dipeptidase. Above pH 6, the loop moves away from the active site and the enzyme functions as an endopeptidase [18]. The physiological functions of cathepsin B include non-specific protein turnover, antigen presentation, and thyroid hormone processing (reviewed in [19]). It was also shown to trigger apoptosis upon its release into the cytosol [20]. Cathepsin B is well known and has

also been investigated for its involvement in pathological conditions, including its multiple roles in cancer [21], arthritis [22] and Alzheimer's disease [23]. At the cellular level, altered trafficking of the peptidase is observed in both arthritis and cancer, leading to its secretion from the cell, where it can associate with the cell surface in caveolae and degrade various components of the extracellular matrix [24]. Cathepsin L is a predominantly lysosomal enzyme that plays a role in both non-specific protein turnover and specific proteolytic processing, e.g., activation of heparanase [25]. It is associated with numerous physiological and pathological processes, including adipogenesis and glucose tolerance, as well as obesity, diabetes, and cancer [26,27]. Together with cathepsin B, it facilitates the entry of certain viruses into the cell [28,29] and has recently attracted much attention for its role in the infection of cells by SARS coronavirus 2 [30]. Cathepsin L can also be secreted into the extracellular space from certain cells, e.g., macrophages and tumor cells. It exhibits elastinolytic activity [31,32], low collagenolytic activity [33], and proteolytic activity against other structural proteins of the extracellular matrix such as laminin and fibronectin [34].

In this work, we evaluated a series of cinnamic acids, including CA and CGA, as potential inhibitors of human cathepsins B and L. We demonstrated that these compounds inhibited both peptidases, but with very different affinities, and characterized the kinetic mechanisms of inhibition. Based on our results, we determined the structure–function relationship for the inhibition of both peptidases by these compounds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

All fluorogenic substrates were from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland). Dithiothreitol (DTT) was from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The detailed list of tested compounds and their commercial sources is provided as Supplementary Table S1. Recombinant human cathepsins B and L were produced in-house according to procedures described in the following references [33,35].

2.2. Kinetic Measurements

All kinetic measurements were performed fluorimetrically using a PerkinElmer LS50B Fluorimeter (PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Assays were performed at 25 ± 1 °C in 1×1 cm² single-use acrylic cuvettes with magnetic stirring using two different buffers: 50 mM Na-acetate pH 5.5, 1 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT and 20 mM Na-phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, and 2.5 mM DTT. The substrates used were Z-Phe-Arg-AMC for cathepsin B and Z-Leu-Arg-AMC for cathepsin L. We avoided Z-Phe-Arg-AMC for cathepsin L due to its minimal K_m value (below 1 μ M), which makes it difficult to perform measurements at substrate concentrations at or below this value. AMC's release was followed by an excitation wavelength $\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm and an emission wavelength $\lambda_{em} = 455$ nm.

In addition, the peptidyl-dipeptidase activity of cathepsin B was measured with the internally quenched fluorigenic substrate Abz-Gly-Ile-Val-Arg-Ala-Lys(Dnp)-OH. Cleavage of this substrate was assessed at $\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm and $\lambda_{em} = 430$ nm. The former deviated from the usual 320 nm due to strong absorption of most compounds at 320 nm, which was significantly lower at 370 nm. Nevertheless, appropriate correction of the inner filter effect was performed for all compounds according to the following reference [36].

The K_m values for the enzymes/substrate pairs used in this work were 2 μ M for cathepsin L/Z-Leu-Arg-AMC, 23 μ M for cathepsin B/Z-Phe-Arg-AMC at pH 5.5, 33 μ M for cathepsin B/Z-Phe-Arg-AMC at pH 7.4, and 4 μ M for cathepsin B/Abz-Gly-Ile-Val-Arg-Ala-Lys(Dnp)-OH, respectively.

2.3. Kinetic Data Analyses

All kinetic analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The logistic equation adapted to enzyme activity [37] was used to determine the IC_{50} values of the tested compounds (X)

$$v_{\rm X} = v_0 - \frac{(v_0 - v_\infty) \times [{\rm X}]}{{\rm IC}_{50} + [{\rm X}]} \tag{1}$$

where v_X and v_0 are reaction rates in the presence and absence of inhibitor X and v_{∞} is the reaction rate at saturation with inhibitor. For linear (complete) inhibitors, $v_{\infty} = 0$.

The kinetic models used for determination of kinetic mechanisms were the models for linear catalytic (uncompetitive) and linear mixed inhibition, as defined by Equations (2) and (3), respectively:

$$v_{\rm X} = \frac{v_0 \times (1+\sigma)}{1+\sigma \times \left(1+\frac{[{\rm X}]}{K_{\rm Ca}}\right)} \tag{2}$$

$$v_{\rm X} = \frac{v_0 \times (1+\sigma)}{1 + \frac{[{\rm X}]}{K_{\rm Sp}} + \sigma \times \left(1 + \frac{[{\rm X}]}{K_{\rm Ca}}\right)} \tag{3}$$

where K_{Sp} and K_{Ca} are inhibition constants for the specific (competitive) and catalytic (uncompetitive) components of the inhibitor, respectively, and $\sigma = [S]/K_{\text{m}}$.

For the qualitative diagnosis of kinetic mechanisms, we used the specific velocity plot [38] defined by Equation (4)

$$\frac{v_0}{v_X} = \frac{[X] \times \left(\frac{1}{\alpha \times K_X} - \frac{1}{K_X}\right)}{1 + \beta \times \frac{[X]}{\alpha \times K_X}} \frac{\sigma}{1 + \sigma} + \frac{1 + \frac{[X]}{K_X}}{1 + \beta \times \frac{[X]}{\alpha \times K_X}}$$
(4)

where the ratio v_0/v_X represents specific velocity, K_X is the equilibrium dissociation constant of the EX complex, and α and β are dimensionless coefficients that define the effect of the modifier on the substrate binding affinity and catalytic rate of the enzyme, respectively. For linear inhibition mechanisms, $\beta = 0$. In relation to Equations (2) and (3), $K_X = K_{Sp}$ and $\alpha \times K_X = K_{Ca}$.

3. Results

3.1. Evaluation of Cinnamic Acid Derivatives as Cathepsin Inhibitors

CA and CGA were previously characterized as linear, mixed balanced, and linear catalytic inhibitors of DPPI, respectively [17]. Herein, a series of compounds based on the cinnamic acid scaffold (Figure 1a), including CA and CGA, were tested for their inhibitory activity against human cathepsins B and L. An initial screening was performed at pH 5.5, which is optimal for the activity and stability of papain-like cysteine peptidases. We first determined that all active compounds acted as linear inhibitors and then determined their IC₅₀ values at [S] = K_m in the case of cathepsin B and [S] = $2 \times K_m$ in the case of cathepsin L. For linear inhibition mechanisms, the IC₅₀ values at these substrate concentrations range from $1 \times K_X$ to $3 \times K_X$, where K_X is the appropriate inhibition constant (either K_{Sp} or K_{Ca} ; see Section 2.3 for details). The IC₅₀ values are listed in Table 1, and the structures of the best compounds are shown in Figure 1b.



Figure 1. (a) The cinnamic acid scaffold; (b) chemical structures of cinnamic acid derivatives that acted as inhibitors of cathepsins B and/or L.

Table 1. Determined IC₅₀ values of all tested compounds: The activity of cathepsin B was measured using the substrate Z-Phe-Arg-AMC at [S] = K_{m} , and the activity of cathepsin L was measured using the substrate Z-Leu-Arg-AMC at [S] = $2 \times K_m$. All experiments were performed at 25 °C in 50 mM Na-acetate buffer pH 5.5 with 1 mM EDTA and 2.5 mM DTT.

| Common Name | R ¹ | R ² | Cathepsin B IC ₅₀ (µM) | Cathepsin L IC ₅₀ (μ M) |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Cinnamic acid | None | H- | >1000 | >1000 |
| o-Coumaric acid | 2-hydroxy- | H- | >1000 | >1000 |
| <i>m</i> -Coumaric acid | 3-hydroxy- | H- | >1000 | >1000 |
| <i>p</i> -Coumaric acid | 4-hydroxy- | H- | >1000 | >1000 |
| 2,4-Dihydroxy- cinnamic acid | 2,4-dihydroxy- | H- | 910 ± 30 | 930 ± 150 |
| Caffeic acid ² | 3,4-dihydroxy- | H- | 110 ± 10 | >1000 |
| Hydrocaffeic acid ¹ | 3,4-dihydroxy- | H- | >1000 | >1000 |
| Ferulic acid | 3-methoxy-, 4-hydroxy- | H- | >1000 | >1000 |
| Sinapinic acid | 3,3'-dimethoxy-, 4-hydroxy- | H- | >1000 | >1000 |
| Caffeic acid phenethyl ester ² | 3,4-dihydroxy- | 2-phenylethyl- | 470 ± 30 | 190 ± 30 |
| Chlorogenic acid ² | 3,4-dihydroxy- | 3-O-quinic acid | 340 ± 20 | 480 ± 20 |

¹ Contains reduced alkene group (IUPAC name 3-(3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl) propionic acid). ² Chemical structures shown in Figure 1b.

Among the compounds, CA stood out for its inhibitory effect against cathepsin B and the lack of inhibition of cathepsin L, while CAPE and CGA weakly inhibited both enzymes. These compounds were selected for further characterization. In addition to these results, 2,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid showed some activity against both peptidases. However, since its effect was significantly weaker, it was not further characterized. The remaining compounds had very weak or no effect on enzyme activity, with estimated IC_{50} values of more than 1 mM. Despite the limited number of tested compounds, this selection was sufficient to identify the basic structural features that contribute to the inhibition of

cathepsins B and L. The comparison of structures and IC_{50} values shows that the presence of two hydroxyl groups in the m- and p-positions at site R^1 is optimal for efficient inhibition of cathepsin B. Removal of these groups or their replacement by methoxy groups abolished the affinity for cathepsin B. The presence of bulky groups (phenylethyl group or quinic acid) at site R^2 reduced the affinity for cathepsin B, while reduction of the alkene group abolished it completely. In contrast, inhibition of cathepsin L required the presence of additional functional groups at site R^2 . Thus, site R^2 determines the selectivity of the compounds for individual papain-like peptidases.

3.2. Kinetic Characterization of Selected Compounds

The kinetic characterization of CA, CAPE, and CGA was initially performed under the same conditions as the inhibition screening (pH 5.5). All compounds acted as fast, linear inhibitors of cathepsin L, as observed for DPPI [17]. Kinetic mechanisms and inhibition parameters were determined by a combination of titration curves (plots of the residual enzyme activity (v_X/v_0) against the inhibitor concentration) and replots of the experimental data as specific velocity plots [38]. The analysis of CGA is shown as an example in Figure 2, and all calculated kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 2. The remaining titration curves were collected in Supplementary Figure S1. All compounds acted as linear, mixed inhibitors with a dominant specific component ($K_{Sp} < K_{Ca}$). All calculated inhibition constants were in the range of 10^{-4} M and were therefore comparable to those determined for inhibitor, with a K_{Sp} value of $120 \pm 70 \ \mu$ M. However, a more precise characterization of its effect was made difficult by its limited solubility of only up to about 200 μ M under the experimental conditions used.



Figure 2. Kinetic characterization of the inhibition of cathepsin L by chlorogenic acid (CGA). (**a**) Titration curves produced at three different substrate concentrations. Decreasing inhibitor efficiency with increasing substrate concentration indicates predominantly specific inhibition; (**b**) replot of the data in panel (**a**) in the form of the specific velocity plot. Straight lines (corresponding to individual inhibitor concentrations) intersect the y = 1 axis at x values larger than 1, indicating a mixed, predominantly specific mechanism. σ equals [S]/ K_m . Experiments were performed at 25 °C, in 50 mM Na-acetate buffer pH 5.5, with 1 mM EDTA and 2.5 mM DTT.

Cathepsin B was inhibited by CAPE and CGA with similar affinities as cathepsin L (Table 2), except that CAPE acted as a catalytic inhibitor. In contrast to cathepsin L, CA was the most potent inhibitor of cathepsin B and acted as a mixed inhibitor with a dominant catalytic component ($K_{Ca} < K_{Sp}$). To account for the reversible pH-dependent binding of the occluding loop to the active site, we performed the kinetic characterization of the inhibition of cathepsin B by the above-mentioned compounds at pH 7.4. The characterization of CA is shown in Figure 3, and the other results are collected in Supplementary Figure S1. The calculated kinetic parameters (Table 2) show that all compounds inhibited cathepsin B more strongly at pH 7.4 than at pH 5.5. All inhibition constants were in the 10^{-5} M

range, with CA retaining the highest affinity for cathepsin B. For cathepsin L, no such trend was observed (results not shown), indicating that the pH-dependent increase in affinity is specific for cathepsin B. The inhibition by CA was satisfactorily described by pure catalytic inhibition, and CAPE and CGA acted as mixed inhibitors. We also determined the inhibition of the peptidyl-peptidase activity of cathepsin B using the substrate Abz-Gly-Ile-Val-Arg-Ala-Lys(Dnp)-OH at pH 5.5 and [S] = K_m . The determined IC₅₀ value for CA was > 1 mM, indicating that CA specifically inhibits the endoproteolytic activity of cathepsin B. Conversely, IC₅₀ values for CAPE and CGA were 100 ± 20 μ M and 360 ± 60 μ M, respectively, which is comparable to the values obtained with Z-Phe-Arg-AMC (see Table 1). CAPE and CGA thus indiscriminately inhibit both the endoproteolytic and exoproteolytic activity of cathepsin B.

Table 2. Kinetic parameters for the inhibition of cathepsins B and L by caffeic acid (CA), caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE), and chlorogenic acid (CGA). Parameters were determined by non-linear regression analysis of titration curves recorded at three different substrate concentrations using Equations (2) and (3).

| Enzyme/Inhibitor | pН | Mechanism | K_{Sp} ($\mu\mathrm{M}$) | <i>K</i> _{Ca} (μM) |
|------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cathepsin L | | | | |
| CA | 5.5 | Mixed | 740 ± 70 | 1270 ± 120 |
| CAPE | 5.5 | Mixed | 120 ± 70 | 170 ± 30 |
| CGA | 5.5 | Mixed | 330 ± 20 | 670 ± 60 |
| Cathepsin B | | | | |
| CA | 5.5 | Mixed | 270 ± 140 | 82 ± 16 |
| | 7.4 | Catalytic | n.a. ¹ | 46 ± 4 |
| CAPE | 5.5 | Catalytic | n.a. ¹ | 140 ± 10 |
| | 7.4 | Mixed | 107 ± 38 | 70 ± 19 |
| CGA | 5.5 | Mixed | 340 ± 80 | 1200 ± 1100 |
| | 7.4 | Mixed balanced | 92 ± 15 | 92 ± 15 |

¹ n.a.—not applicable, i.e., K_{Sp} approaches ∞ for a pure linear catalytic mechanism.



Figure 3. Kinetic characterization of the inhibition of cathepsin B by CA at pH 7.4: (**a**) Titration curves produced at three different substrate concentrations. Increasing inhibitor efficiency with increasing substrate concentration indicates predominantly catalytic inhibition; (**b**) replot of the data in panel (**a**) in the form of the specific velocity plot. Straight lines (corresponding to individual inhibitor concentrations) intersect the *y* = 1 axis at *x* values near 0, indicating a pure catalytic mechanism. σ equals [S]/ K_m . Experiments were performed at 25 °C in 20 mM Na-phosphate buffer pH 7.4 with 1 mM EDTA and 2.5 mM DTT.

4. Discussion

Caffeic acid and its derivatives have numerous biological activities, including antioxidant, antitumor, and anti-inflammatory. Accordingly, these compounds can act by modulating multiple macromolecular targets, including peptidases. CA and CAPE were identified as inhibitors of gelatinases (MMPs-2 and -9), with IC_{50} values in the micromolar range and good antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo in mice [9]. Weaker inhibition of other MMPs and no inhibition of cathepsin K were observed. The latter observation was also confirmed in our laboratory in the context of this work (results not shown). However, we have recently observed weak inhibition of DPPI (also known as cathepsin C) by CA and CGA [17]. Herein, we extended our analysis to cathepsins B and L. CGA and CAPE inhibited both enzymes, while CA showed a strong preference for cathepsin B. According to our analysis, the presence of an additional group at site R^2 (the alkyl group of the CA ester; see Figure 1) is necessary for efficient inhibition of cathepsin L but negatively affects the affinity for cathepsin B, which explains the selectivity of CA. Kinetically, all compounds were mixed or catalytic inhibitors. This seems to be their preferred mechanism of action not only against peptidases [17] but also against other enzymes. For example, CA was a mixed balanced (non-competitive) inhibitor of 5-lipoxygenase [10], while CAPE was a catalytic (uncompetitive) inhibitor for the same enzyme [11]. In the case of xanthine oxidase, CGA was a mixed inhibitor, but CA and CAPE were specific (competitive) inhibitors [39]. When considering the potential use of these compounds in vivo, it should be taken into account that endogenous esterases hydrolyse both CAPE and CGA to CA and other by-products [40,41]; therefore, CA is the primary biologically active substance in vivo.

The most promising result of this work is that the inhibition of cathepsin B by CA is not only selective over other ubiquitously expressed cysteine cathepsins but CA also preferentially inhibits the endoproteolytic activity of cathepsin B without affecting the peptidyl-dipeptidase activity. Like MMPs -2 and -9, cathepsin B is associated with increased extracellular proteolysis in cancer and arthritis [21,22] and has been considered a potential target for their treatment for decades. Apart from specific inhibitors that occupy the active site in a substrate-like manner, strategies have emerged in recent years that target other sites on cathepsin B. Schenker and colleagues discovered the compound DOFA, which targets the occluding loop to stabilize its binding to the active site, thereby restricting the enzyme to exopeptidase activity [42]. In addition, nitroxoline and a series of its derivatives that bind to the S' sites were described and showed a variety of mixed and uncompetitive effects [43–45]. Recently, a designed ankyrin repeat protein was constructed that binds outside the active centre and acts as a partially mixed inhibitor [46]. Of these, CA's effect is most similar to nitroxoline and we assume that it may also bind to the S' sites. Such a binding mode would be supported by its kinetic mechanism of action. However, this assumption requires experimental confirmation before further conclusions and comparisons can be drawn. The effect that distinguishes CA from nitroxoline is the specific inhibition of the endoproteolytic activity of cathepsin B, which is not observed with the latter. Altogether, the results of this work expand the versatility of the CA scaffold [47] to the synthesis of specific cathepsin B inhibitors targeting only the endopeptidase activity associated with the pathological proteolysis of extracellular substrates.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found at https://www.mdpi.com/2218-273X/11/1/31/s1. Supplementary Materials, Figure S1: Titrations of cathepsins B and L with caffeic acid (CA), caffeic acid phenethyl ester (CAPE) and chlorogenic acid (CGA); Table S1: List of tested compounds and their commercial sources.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.N.; Formal analysis, L.U. and M.N.; Investigation, L.U.; Supervision, M.N.; Writing—Original draft, M.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Authors acknowledge the financial support of the Slovenian research agency (research core funding No. P1-0140).

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article or supplementary material.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

- Abz aminobenzoyl
- AMC 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin
- CA caffeic acid
- CAPE caffeic acid phenethyl ester
- CGA chlorogenic acid
- Dnp 2,4-dinitrophenylamino
- DPPI dipeptidyl-peptidase I
- DTT dithiothreitol
- Z benzyloxycarbonyl

References

- 1. Rice-Evans, C.A.; Miller, N.J.; Paganga, G. Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. *Trends Plant Sci.* **1997**, *2*, 152–159. [CrossRef]
- 2. Guzman, J.D. Natural cinnamic acids, synthetic derivatives and hybrids with antimicrobial activity. *Molecules* 2014, 19, 19292–19349. [CrossRef]
- Monteiro Espíndola, K.M.; Ferreira, R.G.; Mosquera Narvaez, L.E.; Rocha Silva Rosario, A.C.; Machado Da Silva, A.H.; Bispo Silva, A.G.; Oliveira Vieira, A.P.; Chagas Monteiro, M. Chemical and pharmacological aspects of caffeic acid and its activity in hepatocarcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 2019, *9*, 541. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Pittalá, V.; Salerno, L.; Romeo, G.; Acquaviva, R.; Di Giacomo, C.; Sorrenti, V. Therapeutic Potential of Caffeic Acid Phenethyl Ester (CAPE) in Diabetes. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2018**, 25, 4827–4836. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miao, M.; Xiang, L. Pharmacological action and potential targets of chlorogenic acid. In *Advances in Pharmacology*; Academic Press Inc.: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; Volume 87, pp. 71–88.
- 6. Kiokias, S.; Proestos, C.; Oreopoulou, V. Phenolic acids of plant origin-a review on their antioxidant activity in vitro (O/W emulsion systems) along with their in vivo health biochemical properties. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Natarajan, K.; Singh, S.; Burke, T.R.; Grunberger, D.; Aggarwal, B.B. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester is a potent and specific inhibitor of activation of nuclear transcription factor NF-κB. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1996, 93, 9090–9095. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Nardini, M.; Leonardi, F.; Scaccini, C.; Virgili, F. Modulation of ceramide-induced NF-κB binding activity and apoptotic response by caffeic acid in U937 cells: Comparison with other antioxidants. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2001**, *30*, 722–733. [CrossRef]
- 9. Chung, T.; Moon, S.; Chang, Y.; Ko, J.; Lee, Y.; Cho, G.; Kim, S.; Kim, J.; Kim, C. Novel and therapeutic effect of caffeic acid and caffeic acid phenyl ester on hepatocarcinoma cells: Complete regression of hepatoma growth and metastasis by dual mechanism. *FASEB J.* **2004**, *18*, 1670–1681. [CrossRef]
- 10. Yasuko, K.; Tomohiro, N.; Sei-Itsu, M.; Ai-Na, L.; Yasuo, F.; Takashi, T. Caffeic acid is a selective inhibitor for leukotriene biosynthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)/Lipids Lipid Metab.* **1984**, *792*, *92*–*97*. [CrossRef]
- 11. Sud'ina, G.F.; Mirzoeva, O.K.; Pushkareva, M.A.; Korshunova, G.A.; Sumbatyan, N.V.; Varfolomeev, S.D.; Belozersky, A.N. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester as a lipoxygenase inhibitor with antioxidant properties. *FEBS Lett.* **1993**, *329*, 21–24. [CrossRef]
- 12. Mirzoeva, O.K.; Yaqoob, P.; Knox, K.A.; Calder, P.C. Inhibition of ICE-family cysteine proteases rescues murine lymphocytes from lipoxygenase inhibitor-induced apoptosis. *FEBS Lett.* **1996**, *396*, *266–270*. [CrossRef]
- 13. Michaluart, P.; Masferrer, J.L.; Carothers, A.M.; Subbaramaiah, K.; Zweifel, B.S.; Koboldt, C.; Mestre, J.R.; Grunberger, D.; Sacks, P.G.; Tanabe, T.; et al. Inhibitory effects of caffeic acid phenethyl ester on the activity and expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human oral epithelial cells and in a rat model of inflammation. *Cancer Res.* **1999**, *59*, 2347–2352. [PubMed]
- 14. Chan, W.S.; Wen, P.C.; Chiang, H.C. Structure-activity relationship of caffeic acid analogues on xanthine oxidase inhibition. *Anticancer Res.* **1995**, *15*, 703–707. [PubMed]
- 15. Ploemen, J.H.T.M.; van Ommen, B.; de Haan, A.; Schefferlie, J.G.; van Bladeren, P.J. In vitro and in vivo reversible and irreversible inhibition of rat glutathione S-transferase isoenzymes by caffeic acid and its 2-S-glutathionyl conjugate. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **1993**, *31*, 475–482. [CrossRef]
- 16. Adisakwattana, S.; Pongsuwan, J.; Wungcharoen, C.; Yibchok-Anun, S. In vitro effects of cinnamic acid derivatives on protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B. *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* **2013**. [CrossRef]
- 17. Rebernik, M.; Snoj, T.; Klemenčič, M.; Novinec, M. Interplay between tetrameric structure, enzymatic activity and allosteric regulation of human dipeptidyl-peptidase I. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2019**, *675*, 108121. [CrossRef]
- Musil, D.; Zucic, D.; Turk, D.; Engh, R.A.; Mayr, I.; Huber, R.; Popovic, T.; Turk, V.; Towatari, T.; Katunuma, N. The refined 2.15 A X-ray crystal structure of human liver cathepsin B: The structural basis for its specificity. *EMBO J.* 1991, 10, 2321–2330. [CrossRef]
- 19. Novinec, M.; Lenarcic, B. Papain-like peptidases: Structure, function, and evolution. *Biomol. Concepts* 2013, 4, 287–308. [CrossRef]
- 20. Michallet, M.-C.; Saltel, F.; Preville, X.; Flacher, M.; Revillard, J.-P.; Genestier, L. Cathepsin-B-dependent apoptosis triggered by antithymocyte globulins: A novel mechanism of T-cell depletion. *Blood* **2003**, *102*, 3719–3726. [CrossRef]
- 21. Aggarwal, N.; Sloane, B.F. Cathepsin B: Multiple roles in cancer. Proteom. Clin. Appl. 2014, 8, 427–437. [CrossRef]
- 22. Baici, A.; Lang, A.; Zwicky, R.; Müntener, K. Cathepsin B in osteoarthritis: Uncontrolled proteolysis in the wrong place. *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* **2004**, *34*, 24–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Andrew, R.J.; Kellett, K.A.B.; Thinakaran, G.; Hooper, N.M. A Greek Tragedy: The Growing Complexity of Alzheimer Amyloid Precursor Protein Proteolysis. J. Biol. Chem. 2016, 291, 19235–19244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cavallo-Medved, D.; Mai, J.; Dosescu, J.; Sameni, M.; Sloane, B.F. Caveolin-1 mediates the expression and localization of cathepsin B, pro-urokinase plasminogen activator and their cell-surface receptors in human colorectal carcinoma cells. *J. Cell Sci.* 2005, 118, 1493–1503. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abboud-Jarrous, G.; Atzmon, R.; Peretz, T.; Palermo, C.; Gadea, B.B.; Joyce, J.A.; Vlodavsky, I. Cathepsin L is responsible for processing and activation of proheparanase through multiple cleavages of a linker segment. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2008, 283, 18167–18176. [CrossRef]
- 26. Sudhan, D.R.; Siemann, D.W. Cathepsin L targeting in cancer treatment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2015, 155, 105–116. [CrossRef]
- 27. Yang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Pan, J.; Sun, J.; Liu, J.; Libby, P.; Sukhova, G.K.; Doria, A.; Katunuma, N.; Peroni, O.D.; et al. Cathepsin L activity controls adipogenesis and glucose tolerance. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2007**, *9*, 970–977. [CrossRef]
- Ebert, D.H.; Deussing, J.; Peters, C.; Dermody, T.S. Cathepsin L and cathepsin B mediate reovirus disassembly in murine fibroblast cells. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 24609–24617. [CrossRef]
- Gnir
 ß, K.; K
 ühl, A.; Karsten, C.; Glowacka, I.; Bertram, S.; Kaup, F.; Hofmann, H.; P
 öhlmann, S. Cathepsins B and L activate Ebola but not Marburg virus glycoproteins for efficient entry into cell lines and macrophages independent of TMPRSS2 expression. *Virology* 2012, 424, 3–10. [CrossRef]
- 30. Ou, X.; Liu, Y.; Lei, X.; Li, P.; Mi, D.; Ren, L.; Guo, L.; Guo, R.; Chen, T.; Hu, J.; et al. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*. [CrossRef]
- 31. Mason, R.W.; Johnson, D.A.; Barrett, A.J.; Chapman, H.A. Elastinolytic activity of human cathepsin L. *Biochem. J.* **1986**, 233, 925–927. [CrossRef]
- Novinec, M.; Grass, R.N.; Stark, W.J.; Turk, V.; Baici, A.; Lenarčič, B. Interaction between human cathepsins K, L, and S and elastins: Mechanism of elastinolysis and inhibition by macromolecular inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2007, 282, 7893–7902. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Korenč, M.; Lenarčič, B.; Novinec, M. Human cathepsin L, a papain-like collagenase without proline specificity. *FEBS J.* 2015, 282, 4328–4340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Ishidoh, K.; Kominami, E. Procathepsin L degrades extracellular matrix proteins in the presence of glycosaminoglycans in vitro. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1995**, 217, 624–631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Novinec, M.; Pavšič, M.; Lenarčič, B. A simple and efficient protocol for the production of recombinant cathepsin v and other cysteine cathepsins in soluble form in Escherichia coli. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **2012**, *82*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 36. Palmier, M.O.; Van Doren, S.R. Rapid determination of enzyme kinetics from fluorescence: Overcoming the inner filter effect. *Anal. Biochem.* **2007**, *371*, 43–51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Schenker, P.; Baici, A. Paradoxical interactions between modifiers and elastase-2. FEBS J. 2010, 277, 2486–2495. [CrossRef]
- Baici, A. The Specific Velocity Plot: A Graphical Method for Determining Inhibition Parameters for Both Linear and Hyperbolic Enzyme Inhibitors. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 1981, 119, 9–14. [CrossRef]
- 39. Wang, S.H.; Chen, C.S.; Huang, S.H.; Yu, S.H.; Lai, Z.Y.; Huang, S.T.; Lin, C.M. Hydrophilic ester-bearing chlorogenic acid binds to a novel domain to inhibit xanthine oxidase. *Planta Med.* **2009**, *75*, 1237–1240. [CrossRef]
- 40. Celli, N.; Dragani, L.K.; Murzilli, S.; Pagliani, T.; Poggi, A. In vitro and in vivo stability of caffeic acid phenethyl ester, a bioactive compound of propolis. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 3398–3407. [CrossRef]
- Naveed, M.; Hejazi, V.; Abbas, M.; Kamboh, A.A.; Khan, G.J.; Shumzaid, M.; Ahmad, F.; Babazadeh, D.; FangFang, X.; Modarresi-Ghazani, F.; et al. Chlorogenic acid (CGA): A pharmacological review and call for further research. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2018, 97, 67–74. [CrossRef]
- 42. Schenker, P.; Alfarano, P.; Kolb, P.; Caflisch, A.; Baici, A. A double-headed cathepsin B inhibitor devoid of warhead. *Protein Sci.* **2008**, *17*, 2145–2155. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mirković, B.; Renko, M.; Turk, S.; Sosič, I.; Jevnikar, Z.; Obermajer, N.; Turk, D.; Gobec, S.; Kos, J. Novel Mechanism of CathepsinB Inhibition by Antibiotic Nitroxoline and Related Compounds. *ChemMedChem* 2011, *6*, 1351–1356. [CrossRef]
- Sosič, I.; Mitrović, A.; Ćurić, H.; Knez, D.; Brodnik Žugelj, H.; Štefane, B.; Kos, J.; Gobec, S. Cathepsin B inhibitors: Further exploration of the nitroxoline core. *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.* 2018, 28, 1239–1247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sosič, I.; Mirković, B.; Arenz, K.; Štefane, B.; Kos, J.; Gobec, S. Development of new cathepsin b inhibitors: Combining bioisosteric replacements and structure-based design to explore the structure-activity relationships of nitroxoline derivatives. *J. Med. Chem.* 2013, *56*, 521–533. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kramer, L.; Renko, M.; Završnik, J.; Turk, D.; Seeger, M.A.; Vasiljeva, O.; Grütter, M.G.; Turk, V.; Turk, B. Non-invasive in vivo imaging of tumour-associated cathepsin B by a highly selective inhibitory DARPin. *Theranostics* 2017, 7, 2806–2821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Touaibia, M.; Jean-Francois, J.; Doiron, J. Caffeic Acid, A Versatile Pharmacophore: An Overview. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *11*, 695–713. [CrossRef]