Effects of High Boron on the Nutrients Uptake of Aegilops Genotypes Differing in Their B Tolerance Level †
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Abstract: Boron (B) toxicity is a damaging abiotic stress condition that significantly affects wheat production, especially in the dry regions of the world. Other than having several detrimental effects on the metabolic and physiological activities of wheat plants, high B may hinder the uptake of elements from the soil, developing a nutrient imbalance in plants. Focusing on this issue, in this experiment, we used 19 Aegilops genotypes differing in their boron (B) toxicity tolerance level along with a B toxicity tolerant cultivar, Bolal, and estimated their root–shoot nutrient concentrations under B toxic growth condition. Furthermore, the association between their root–shoot nutrient concentrations and level of B toxicity tolerance was evaluated. The experimental genotypes were grown under three different B growth conditions in hydroponic system including 3.1 µM B (Control); 1 mM B (toxic), and 10 mM B (highly toxic) treatment. The macro and micronutrient concentrations in the shoots and roots of the genotypes showed large variations and were observed to be differentially influenced by high B stress.
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1. Introduction

Wheat wild relatives are considered an important source of tolerance to several abiotic stress conditions [1–3]. Their genetic diversity can be effectively utilized to develop breeding lines and modern wheat cultivars with greater stress tolerances. Despite their great potential, Aegilops species have not been completely explored for such tolerances and mechanisms involved in making them tolerant. Boron (B) toxicity is one of the crucial abiotic stress conditions that negatively affect the wheat productivity, especially in water-stressed areas of the world. Other than several symptoms, high B is known to impede the uptake and translocation of macro and micronutrients in plants [4]. Thus, we hypothesized that the plants showing less effect of B toxic growth conditions on nutrient uptake and translocation can be more tolerant to B toxicity. To test this hypothesis, an elemental analysis of root and shoot samples of 19 Aegilops genotypes (Figure 1) grown under different B concentrations in hydroponic system was undertaken. The goal of this experiment was to explore the effect of high B on the macro and micronutrient content in roots and shoots of experimental genotypes. The results may provide an answer to the question of whether the accumulation of different nutrients can be associated with the B toxicity tolerance of the Aegilops genotypes. Further, research can be conducted to see the effect of additional supply of those nutrients towards increasing the tolerance level of less tolerant genotypes.
2. Materials and Methods

The study comprised 19 Aegilops genotypes supplied by the Turkish Seed Gene Bank (TSGB), Ankara, and AARI National Gene Bank, Izmir, Turkey, as well as Turkish hexaploid cultivar Bolal 2973 which is well-known for its B toxicity tolerance [5]. The B tolerance level of these genotypes has already been identified in one of our previous studies [3].

2.1. B Treatment and Harvest

The genotypes were grown in a hydroponic system with conditions adjusted to 22 ± 10 °C, 16,000 Lx/day light intensity, 16/8 h light/dark photoperiod, and 45–55% humidity. Initially, germinated seeds were transferred to the hydroponic system, then following three days of growth plants were given different treatments. Three replicates of all the genotypes with five plants each were grown in three different B concentrations including 1/5th Hoagland solution containing 3.1 µM B (Control), 1 mM B (toxic B), and 10 mM B (highly toxic B) for 7 days. After 7 days, root and shoot samples were harvested for conducting ICP-AES analysis.
2.2. ICP-AES Analysis

After washing with 0.1 N HCl and double distilled water, the harvested root–shoot samples were left in an oven at 70 °C for drying. This was followed by the crushing of the dried samples and dissolving 0.15–0.20 g of the ground sample in 2 mL of 35% H2O2 and 5 mL of 65% HNO3. The mixture was processed in a closed microwave accelerating reaction system (CemMarsxpress, Matthews, NC, USA). Further, ICP-AES (Varian, Vista) was employed to identify the nutrient amount in the stock solution [6]. MS Excel 2010 was used to estimate the percentage changes in each of the studied macro and micronutrient under two different B treatments as compared to Control.

3. Results

The purpose of this experiment was to determine the variation in 19 Aegilops genotypes in terms of nutrient accumulation in roots and shoots under different B toxic growth environments. Moreover, it aimed to identify whether the accumulation of nutrients is associated with the tolerance level of experimental genotypes which were already identified by Khan et al. [3].

3.1. Calcium (Ca)

The percentage change in shoot Ca (SCa) accumulation in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −145% to 38% in comparison to Control. While At3 and At4 showed a 145% and 71% decrease, respectively, in the SCa content in 10 mM B treatment in comparison to Control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Ploidy</th>
<th>SCa</th>
<th>RCa</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>RP</th>
<th>SNa</th>
<th>RNA</th>
<th>SMg</th>
<th>RMg</th>
<th>SMn</th>
<th>RMn</th>
<th>SCu</th>
<th>RCu</th>
<th>SFe</th>
<th>RFe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ab1</td>
<td>Aegilops biuncialis</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−167</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab2</td>
<td>Aegilops biuncialis</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>−37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>−95</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−104</td>
<td>−124</td>
<td>−123</td>
<td>−81</td>
<td>−152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab3</td>
<td>Aegilops biuncialis</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−35</td>
<td>−392</td>
<td>−192</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>−104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac1</td>
<td>Aegilops columnaris</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>−251</td>
<td>−78</td>
<td>−300</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>−212</td>
<td>−49</td>
<td>−395</td>
<td>−103</td>
<td>−65</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>−173</td>
<td>−71</td>
<td>−211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac2</td>
<td>Aegilops columnaris</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>−287</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−313</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−27</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−404</td>
<td>−55</td>
<td>−393</td>
<td>−78</td>
<td>−492</td>
<td>−115</td>
<td>−456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac3</td>
<td>Aegilops columnaris</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>−62</td>
<td>−303</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>−204</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−76</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>−156</td>
<td>−229</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−79</td>
<td>−98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac4</td>
<td>Aegilops columnaris</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−38</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−102</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−131</td>
<td>−68</td>
<td>−92</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac5</td>
<td>Aegilops columnaris</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−57</td>
<td>−74</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>−195</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−71</td>
<td>−49</td>
<td>−188</td>
<td>−75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>−37</td>
<td>−148</td>
<td>−210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As1</td>
<td>Aegilops speltoides</td>
<td>2×</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−242</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−158</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−183</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>−300</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As2</td>
<td>Aegilops speltoides</td>
<td>2×</td>
<td>−69</td>
<td>−131</td>
<td>−170</td>
<td>−457</td>
<td>−47</td>
<td>−236</td>
<td>−117</td>
<td>−210</td>
<td>−213</td>
<td>−241</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>−89</td>
<td>−211</td>
<td>−177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At1</td>
<td>Aegilops ligustica</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>−35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At2</td>
<td>Aegilops ligustica</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−45</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−120</td>
<td>−222</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−130</td>
<td>−91</td>
<td>−156</td>
<td>−107</td>
<td>−152</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−116</td>
<td>−72</td>
<td>−156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At3</td>
<td>Aegilops ligustica</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>−53</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>−37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>−135</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At4</td>
<td>Aegilops ligustica</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−37</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−83</td>
<td>−31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au1</td>
<td>Aegilops umbellulata</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−27</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>−27</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>−54</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−51</td>
<td>−75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au2</td>
<td>Aegilops umbellulata</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−87</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−109</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>−131</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>−186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au3</td>
<td>Aegilops umbellulata</td>
<td>4×</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−100</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−45</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>−35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolal</td>
<td>Triticum aestivum</td>
<td>6×</td>
<td>−38</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−76</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>−91</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>−39</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In root Ca (RCa) accumulation, the percentage change in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −287% to 60%. A maximum reduction (287%) was observed in Ac2 followed by Ac1 (251%). However, the Aegilops accessions, At1 (60%) and Au1 (56%), revealed the highest increases in RCa content in highly toxic B treatment in comparison to Control.

3.2. Phosphorus (P)

The percentage change in shoot P (SP) accumulation in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −181% to 50% in comparison to Control. While At3 and As2 showed 181% and 170% decrement in the SP content in 10 mM B treatment, respectively, Ac4 and Au2 showed the maximum upsurge of 50% and 35%, respectively, in SP content in comparison to Control (Table 1).

In root P (RP) accumulation, the percentage change in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −457% to 100%. A maximum reduction (457%) was observed in As2 followed by Ac2.
(313%). However, the Aegilops accession, Ab2 (100%) and Ab3 (48%), revealed the highest increases in RP content in highly toxic B treatment in comparison to Control.

3.3. Sodium (Na)

The percentage change in shoot Na (SNa) accumulation in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −47% to 76% in comparison to Control. While As2 showed a 47% decrement in the SNa content in 10 mM B treatment, Au2 and Au3 showed the maximum upsurge of 76% and 71%, respectively, in SNa content in comparison to Control (Table 1).

In root Na (RNa) accumulation, the percentage change in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −236% to 78%. A maximum reduction (236%) was observed in As2 followed by Ac1 (212%). However, the Aegilops accession, Ab3 (78%) and Ac4 (58%), revealed the highest increases in RNa content in highly toxic B treatment in comparison to Control.

3.4. Magnesium (Mg)

The percentage change in shoot Mg (SMg) accumulation in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −146% to 26% in comparison to Control. While At3 and As2 showed 146% and 117% decrement, respectively, in the SMg content in 10 mM B treatment, Ac4 and Bolal 2973 showed the maximum upsurge of 26% and 24%, respectively, in SMg content in comparison to Control (Table 1).

In root Mg (RMg) accumulation, the percentage change in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −404% to 42%. A maximum reduction (404%) was observed in Ac2 followed by Ac1 (395%). However, the Aegilops accession, At1 (42%) and Ab3 (32%), revealed the highest increases in SMg content in highly toxic B treatment in comparison to Control.

3.5. Manganese (Mn)

The percentage change in shoot Mn (SMn) accumulation in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −350% to 40% in comparison to Control. While At3 and As2 showed 350% and 213% decrement in the SMn content in 10 mM B treatment, respectively, Ac4 and Bolal 2973 showed the maximum upsurge of 40% and 5%, respectively, in SMn content in comparison to Control (Table 1).

In root Mn (RMn) accumulation, the percentage change in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −393% to 52%. A maximum reduction (393%) was observed in Ac2 followed by As1 (300%). However, the Aegilops accession, Au3 (52%) and At1 (41%), revealed the highest increases in RMn content in highly toxic B treatment in comparison to Control.

3.6. Copper (Cu)

The percentage change in shoot Cu (SCu) accumulation in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −229% to 37% in comparison to Control. While Ac3 and Ab3 showed 229% and 192% decrement in the SCu content in 10 mM B treatment, respectively, Au2 and At2 showed the maximum upsurge of 37% and 31%, respectively, in SCu content in comparison to Control (Table 1).

In root Cu (RCu) accumulation, the percentage change in 10 mM B treatment ranged from −492% to 44%. A maximum reduction (492%) was observed in Ac2 followed by Ac1 (173%). However, the Aegilops accession, Al1 (44%) and At1 (38%), revealed the highest increases in RCu content in highly toxic B treatment in comparison to Control.

4. Discussion

A large variability was observed in the root–shoot nutrient content of the studied Aegilops genotypes under B toxic stress condition. The two Aegilops genotypes, Ab2 and Ac4, that were found to be tolerant in our previous study [3] did not show maximum decrement in the uptake and translocation of any of the studied nutrients. In fact, among the two genotypes, Ac4 showed maximum concentration of several nutrients in shoots, including Ca, P, Mg, and Mn. However, no clear association has been found between the tolerance level of the genotypes and uptake or accumulation of any specific nutrient.
The large folds of increment and decrement in the nutrient uptake of different genotypes showed the greater influence of high B stress on the ion equilibrium of plants. The results were in accordance with the results of several previous studies where abiotic stress is known to be affecting nutrient content in roots and shoots [7,8].

5. Conclusions

From the results obtained, it can be concluded that B tolerance of Aegilops genotypes was not found to be associated with the enhanced or decreased accumulation of any specific nutrients in root and shoot samples. Moreover, B toxicity changes the ion balance of plants by influencing the elements uptake from the nutrient media.
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