



Proceeding Paper

Effect of CaCl_2 Enrichment on Fatty Acid Profile in Rocha Pears [†]

Cláudia Campos Pessoa ^{1,2,*} , Inês Carmo Luís ^{1,2} , Ana Coelho Marques ^{1,2} , Ana Rita F. Coelho ^{1,2} , Diana Daccak ^{1,2} , Paula Scotti-Campos ^{2,3} , Isabel P. Pais ^{2,3} , Rita Moreira ³ , José N. Semedo ^{2,3} , José C. Ramalho ^{2,4,5} , Paulo Legoinha ^{1,2} , Maria Manuela Silva ^{1,2} , Manuela Simões ^{1,2} , Fernando H. Reboredo ^{1,2} and Fernando C. Lidon ^{1,2}

¹ Departamento de Ciências da Terra, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Campus da Caparica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; idc.rodrigues@campus.fct.unl.pt (I.C.L.); amc.marques@campus.fct.unl.pt (A.C.M.); arf.coelho@campus.fct.unl.pt (A.R.F.C.); d.daccak@campus.fct.unl.pt (D.D.); pal@fct.unl.pt (P.L.); mma.silva@fct.unl.pt (M.M.S.); mmsr@fct.unl.pt (M.S.); fhr@fct.unl.pt (F.H.R.); fjl@fct.unl.pt (F.C.L.)

² Centro de Investigação de Geobiociências, Geoengenharias e Geotecnologias (GeoBioTec), Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Campus da Caparica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; paula.scotti@iniav.pt (P.S.-C.); isabel.pais@iniav.pt (I.P.P.); jose.semedo@iniav.pt (J.N.S.); cochichor@mail.telepac.pt (J.C.R.)

³ Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária, I.P. (INIAV), Quinta do Marquês, Avenida da República, 2780-157 Oeiras, Portugal; rita.moreira@iniav.pt

⁴ Plant Stress & Biodiversity Lab, Centro de Estudos Florestais (CEF), Laboratório Associado TERRA, Instituto Superior Agronomia (ISA), Universidade de Lisboa (ULisboa), Quinta do Marquês, Avenida da República, 2784-505 Oeiras, Portugal

⁵ Plant Stress & Biodiversity Lab, Centro de Estudos Florestais (CEF), Laboratório Associado TERRA, Instituto Superior Agronomia (ISA), Universidade de Lisboa (ULisboa), Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal

* Correspondence: c.pessoa@campus.fct.unl.pt

[†] Presented at the 3rd International Electronic Conference on Agronomy, 15–30 October 2023; Available online: <https://iecag2023.sciforum.net/>.



Citation: Pessoa, C.C.; Luís, I.C.; Marques, A.C.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Daccak, D.; Scotti-Campos, P.; Pais, I.P.; Moreira, R.; Semedo, J.N.; Ramalho, J.C.; et al. Effect of CaCl_2 Enrichment on Fatty Acid Profile in Rocha Pears. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2023**, *27*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/IECAG2023-15758>

Academic Editor: Gianni Bellocchi

Published: 1 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Human malnourishment is a current problem of society, and agronomic biofortification is a procedure that wishes to tackle these mineral deficits in human diets by increasing a specific nutrient in the edible part of food crops. Calcium is an important mineral element that performs structural functions and thus can help prevent the development of pathologies such as osteoporosis. Thereby, this work aims to study the impact of calcium enrichment on fatty acid (FA) content in Rocha pears. Thus, an agronomic enrichment workflow with seven foliar sprays of CaCl_2 (with concentrations between 4–8 kg/ha) was performed in an orchard located in the western region of Portugal. Besides Ca enrichment assessment in fruits (with a portable X-ray fluorescence analyzer) at harvest, fatty acids quantification and FA profile (acquired with a gas–liquid chromatograph, coupled to a flame ionization detector (GC-FID)), double bond index (DBI), and lipoperoxidation values (with a spectrophotometer) were also attained. Increases of Ca in sprayed fruits reached 7.6% to 44.3%. For FA-related parameters, no significant differences were observed, suggesting that Ca sprays did not impact these parameters. Total fatty acids (TFA), DBI, and lipoperoxidation values varied between 0.72–0.74 g/100 g FW, 8.13–9.83 and 2.23–3.18 $\mu\text{M/g}$ FW, respectively. The following FA profile was attained: C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:3 > C18:0 > C18:1 > <C16:0. No significant differences were observed. In summary, CaCl_2 can be used to increase Ca levels in fruits, allowing the production of fruits with prophylactic characteristics, while the concentrations from this study did not impact their FA content. Overall, this suggests that cell compartmentation and membranes' regular functioning were maintained, suggesting the absence of lipid decay and avoiding a potential increase in storage losses.

Keywords: agronomic Ca enrichment; Ca content in fruit; DBI; fatty acids profile; *Pyrus communis* L.; lipoperoxidation; TFA

1. Introduction

Mineral deficits in human diets are a current problem that can promote health issues [1]. Among the different minerals, Ca deficits can lead to bone deformations or lower mass density, affecting both growth and locomotion ability, which ultimately can increase the occurrence of fractures [2]. In this regard, agronomic biofortification can be a strategy to acquire foods with higher contents of a selected mineral after the application of fertilizers (directly to the soil or to the aerial part of plants via foliar sprays) [3].

In Portugal, Rocha pear is a valuable fruit that contributes to the country's economy since over half of its production is exported [4]. In 2021, over 225,000 tons were produced from a little over 10,000 ha [5]. Although lipids are present in low quantities on some fruits such as pears [6], they can not only act as energy storage molecules but also contribute to the maintenance of cellular compartmentalization [7], and the modification of these structures can be related to the development of diseases in post-harvest [8].

Thus, since agronomic biofortification with CaCl_2 has increased Ca levels in another pear variety [9], this study aimed to test the efficiency of this fertilizer application on the Rocha pear variety while simultaneously monitoring any impact on the fatty acids (FA) content of sprayed fruits.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Enrichment Workflow

In an orchard located in the West region of Portugal, a total of three tree rows were selected. One was kept as the control, while the remaining two were sprayed with CaCl_2 . One row was sprayed seven times with 4 kg/ha (T1), while the second row was initially sprayed three times with 4 kg/ha, followed by four sprays with double the concentration (8 kg/ha) (T2).

2.2. Calcium Assessment in Fruits

The calcium content of fruits at harvest was assessed using an X-ray fluorescence system as described in [10]. For sample preparation, fruits were firstly washed and then cut, being later put to dry (60 °C) until constant weight.

2.3. Fatty Acids Content and Lipoperoxidation Assessment

Total fatty acids (TFA), FA profile, and double bond index (DBI) of fruits at harvest were attained as described in Pessoa et al. (2023) [11].

Membrane lipoperoxidation was also determined by quantifying the production of malondialdehyde (MDA). Thus, lipid oxidation was estimated based on Hodges et al. (1999) [12], with some modifications. Rocha pear samples previously peeled were weighted (400 mg, $n = 3$) and macerated in 3000 μL of 0.1% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). After centrifugation (13,000 $\times g$, 10 min, 4 °C), the supernatant (750 μL) was withdrawn into a test tube, followed by the addition of 0.5% thiobarbituric acid (2250 μL). For the blank, the supernatant amount was replaced by 0.1% TCA. All samples were transferred to a water bath (20 min, 90 °C) and then placed on ice. A spectrophotometer (SPECORD 50 PLUS, Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany) and WinASPECT PLUS software (version 4.2) were used to obtain the spectrum between wavelengths 450–620 nm, and the absorbance was recorded at 532 nm. The determination of MDA was performed considering the molar extinction coefficient of $\epsilon_{532} = 155 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

A One-way ANOVA ($p \leq 0.05$) was performed to compare tree rows, and a Tukey test was conducted, considering a 95% confidence level.

3. Results

At harvest, lower Ca contents were reported in the control (Table 1), while T2 presented significantly higher values than the other treatments. Within this framework, the

biofortification index of Ca ranged from 7.6% to 44.3%. For the remaining parameters (TFA, DBI, and MDA), no significant differences were reported. Total fatty acids (Table 1) varied between 0.72 and 0.74 g/100 g FW, DBI (Table 1) between 8.13 and 9.83, and MDA values (Table 1) ranged between 2.23 and 3.18 $\mu\text{M/g}$ FW.

Table 1. Mean values ($n = 4$) and standard error of Ca content, TFA, DBI, and MDA of Rocha pear fruits at harvest. Letters a and b represent significant differences between treatments for each parameter ($p \leq 0.05$).

Treatment	Ca (%)	TFA (g/100 g FW)	DBI	MDA ($\mu\text{M/g}$ FW)
Control	0.131 b \pm 0.017	0.72 a \pm 0.10	9.09 a \pm 0.88	2.91 a \pm 0.11
T1	0.141 b \pm 0.002	0.74 a \pm 0.05	8.13 a \pm 0.29	3.18 a \pm 0.05
T2	0.189 a \pm 0.002	0.74 a \pm 0.10	9.83 a \pm 1.47	2.39 a \pm 0.33

The profile of FA (Table 2) was characterized by the highest abundance of linoleic acid (C18:2), followed by palmitic acid (C16:0) and linolenic acid (C18:3). Stearic (C18:0) and oleic (C18:1) acids were the least abundant, while there was also a small percentage of FA with C chains lower than C16 (<C16:0). No significant differences were observed.

Table 2. Mean values ($n = 4$) and standard error of FA profile of Rocha pear at harvest. Letter a indicates the absence of significant differences between treatments in the different parameters ($p \leq 0.05$).

Treatment	mol %					
	<C16:0	C16:0	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2	C18:3
Control	1.76 a \pm 0.27	13.13 a \pm 1.25	5.37 a \pm 1.31	3.60 a \pm 0.89	66.76 a \pm 1.33	9.31 a \pm 1.68
T1	1.21 a \pm 0.07	15.97 a \pm 0.49	4.07 a \pm 0.19	2.34 a \pm 0.12	67.47 a \pm 1.24	8.75 a \pm 0.83
T2	1.76 a \pm 0.23	14.87 a \pm 1.75	2.96 a \pm 0.54	2.82 a \pm 0.22	69.08 a \pm 1.99	8.34 a \pm 0.62

4. Discussion

Similarly, in another study [9], Ca levels in Rocha pears at harvest increased with the applied concentration of CaCl_2 . Higher concentrations at later stages of development provided better results. However, the use of higher concentrations should be carefully monitored since a study reported damage in leaves [9]. Since pear trees are a permanent culture, in order to maintain yields during the following years, toxicity levels must thus be avoided to prevent damage to photosynthetic systems.

Lipids constitute only 0.4 g/100 g of edible portion of pears [13]. In agreement, our TFA values were similar to this reference, although slightly higher. This can be due to a variety of variability or edaphoclimatic characteristics since changes in FA composition can be related to geographical parameters [14]. Nevertheless, pears are considered fruits with low lipid content (<10%) [6].

The FA profile was identical in all fruits (C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:3 > C18:0 > C18:1). Similar profiles were observed in pears [15] and apples [6]. Indeed, in two different pear varieties, the predominant FAs were C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:1 > C18:3 [15]. In turn, in apple pulp, the following profile was attained: C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:3 > C18:1 > C18:0 [6]. In comparison to these other studies, only oleic acid (C18:1) was present in a different proportion, namely with inferior values. This may be related not only to variety variability but also possibly to adaptative responses to environmental stresses (such as temperature, rainfall, or hours of sun exposure), which can lead to modifications in the proportions of unsaturated FAs [16]. Our data are also in agreement with PortFIR [13] when it mentions a predominance of linoleic acid (0.1 g/100 g of edible portion) and consequent higher content of unsaturated

FAs over saturated ones. Furthermore, fruits that are more resistant to low temperatures tend to show a higher presence of unsaturated FAs than saturated ones [17], being in accordance with the storage temperatures (-0.5 to 1 °C) sustained using Rocha pear when in conservation chambers [18].

Regarding the impact of foliar sprays, Ca did not appear to impact the TFA and FA profile at harvest due to the absence of significant differences. In fact, part of the Ca present in plant tissues is located in the cell wall, providing strength and rigidity to the structure [19]. In addition, Ca can help to maintain membrane integrity by acting on the binding of anionic groups of lipids and proteins and can promote membrane fusion [19]. Thus, in plants subjected to stress and deficient in Ca, solute leakage and disintegration of the cell structure/compartmentalization are expected. In fact, enzyme activity can lead to changes in the lipid composition of cell membranes and cause irreversible membrane damage [20,21].

The DBI is an indicator of the level of unsaturation since it refers to the average number of double bonds in the FAs. Thus, the absence of significant differences in this parameter in comparison to the control suggests that membrane fluidity was not affected by Ca, indicating no ion losses or compromises in cell compartmentalization [22]. This is in agreement with the impact of Ca on cell membranes mentioned by Deng (2008) [23], namely on their integrity, which may be related to the stabilizing effect of Ca on the lipid bilayer via its binding to phospholipids.

Malondialdehyde is an aldehyde resulting from lipid oxidation, a process associated with changes in sensory attributes (such as color, texture, and flavor) and nutritional attributes (affecting not only vitamins but also essential FAs) [24]. The absence of significant differences in this analysis can be related to the same absence of differences in the former lipid parameters. This suggests that the concentrations of CaCl_2 did not affect the FA content of fruits, indicating lipid membrane well-functioning and good prospects for less storage losses.

5. Conclusions

The concentrations of CaCl_2 used in this study led to increases in Ca content in Rocha pear fruits, namely after increased spray concentration at later stages of the production cycle. Foliar applied concentrations did not affect the FA content of fruits, suggesting that the membrane was well-functioning and cell compartmentation was well-kept, indicating fewer prospects for storage losses.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.C.L.; methodology, P.S.-C. and F.C.L.; formal analysis, C.C.P., I.C.L., A.C.M., A.R.F.C., D.D., P.S.-C., I.P.P. and R.M.; investigation, C.C.P., I.C.L., A.C.M., A.R.F.C., D.D., P.S.-C., I.P.P. and R.M.; resources, J.N.S., J.C.R., P.L., M.M.S., M.S. and F.H.R.; writing—original draft preparation, C.C.P.; writing—review and editing, C.C.P. and F.C.L.; supervision, F.C.L.; project administration, F.C.L.; funding acquisition. F.C.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by PDR2020, grant number 101-030734. Funding from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) UI/BD/150718/2020 is also greatly acknowledged.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank José Henriques (HBio Lda.) for technical assistance on the orchard. We also thank the research centers (GeoBioTec) UIDB/04035/2020, (CEF) UIDB/00239/2020 and Associate Laboratory TERRA (LA/P/0092/2020) for support facilities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. FAO—Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *The Future of Food and Agriculture—Trends and Challenges*; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2017; ISBN 978-92-5-109551-5.
2. EFSA Panel on NDA. Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for calcium. *EFSA J.* **2015**, *13*, 4101. [CrossRef]
3. Ofori, K.F.; Antoniello, S.; English, M.M.; Aryee, A.N.A. Improving nutrition through biofortification—A systematic review. *Front. Nutr.* **2022**, *9*, 1043655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. GPP—Gabinete de Planeamento, Políticas e Administração Geral. Available online: <http://www.gpp.pt/index.php/produtos/produtos> (accessed on 15 June 2023).
5. INE—Instituto Nacional de Estatística. Available online: <https://www.ine.pt/xurl/pub/31589846> (accessed on 15 June 2023).
6. Domínguez-Avila, J.A.; González-Aguilar, G.A. Chapter 13—Lipids. In *Postharvest Physiology and Biochemistry of Fruits and Vegetables*; Yahia, E.M., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 273–292. [CrossRef]
7. Baeza-Jiménez, R.; López-Martínez, L.X.; Garcia-Varela, R.; Gracia, H.S. Lipids in fruits and vegetables: Chemistry and human health. In *Fruit and Vegetable Phytochemicals: Chemistry and Human Health*, 2nd ed.; Yahia, E.M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 2017; pp. 423–449. [CrossRef]
8. Sun, Y.; Sun, H.; Luo, M.; Zhou, X.; Zhou, Q.; Wei, B.; Cheng, S.; Ji, S. Membrane lipid metabolism in relation to core browning during ambient storage of ‘Nanguo’ pears. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **2020**, *169*, 111288. [CrossRef]
9. Wójcik, P.; Skorupińska, A.; Filipczak, J. Impacts of preharvest fall sprays of calcium chloride at high rates on quality and “Conference” pear storability. *Sci. Hortic-Amst.* **2014**, *168*, 51–57. [CrossRef]
10. Luís, I.C.; Lidon, F.C.; Pessoa, C.C.; Marques, A.C.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Simões, M.; Patanita, M.; Dôres, J.; Ramalho, J.C.; Silva, M.M.; et al. Zinc enrichment in two contrasting genotypes of *Triticum aestivum* L. grains: Interactions between edaphic conditions and foliar fertilizers. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Pessoa, C.C.; Lidon, F.C.; Daccak, D.; Luís, I.C.; Marques, A.C.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Legoinha, P.; Ramalho, J.C.; Leitão, A.E.; Guerra, M.; et al. Calcium biofortification of Rocha pear fruits: Implications on mineral elements, sugars and fatty acids accumulation in tissues. *Sci* **2022**, *4*, 35. [CrossRef]
12. Hodges, D.M.; DeLong, J.M.; Forney, C.F.; Prange, R.K. Improving the thiobarbituric acid-reactive-substances assay for estimating lipid peroxidation in plant tissues containing anthocyanin and other interfering compounds. *Planta* **1999**, *207*, 604–611. [CrossRef]
13. PortFIR—Plataforma Portuguesa de Informação Alimentar. Available online: <http://portfir.insa.pt/foodcomp/food?23432> (accessed on 19 June 2023).
14. Mostafavi, S.; Asadi-Gharneh, H.A.; Miransari, M. The phytochemical variability of fatty acids in basil seeds (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) affected by genotype and geographical differences. *Food Chem.* **2019**, *276*, 700–706. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Hussain, S.; Masud, T.; Bano, R.; Wang, H.; Ali, S.; Ali, A. Comparative study of two pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) cultivars in terms of nutritional composition. *Food Sci. Qual. Manag.* **2015**, *36*, 48–54.
16. Gao, S.; Wang, B.; Liu, F.; Zhao, J.; Yuan, J.; Xiao, S.; Masabni, J.; Zou, F.; Yuan, D. Variation in fruit morphology and seed oil fatty acid composition of *Camellia oleifera* collected from diverse regions in southern China. *Horticulturae* **2022**, *8*, 818. [CrossRef]
17. Liang, S.; Kuang, J.; Ji, S.; Chen, Q.; Deng, W.; Min, T.; Shan, W.; Chen, J.; Lu, W. The membrane lipid metabolism in horticultural products suffering chilling injury. *Food Qual. Saf.* **2020**, *4*, 9–14. [CrossRef]
18. Pedro, S.I.; Coelho, E.; Peres, F.; Machado, A.; Rodrigues, A.M.; Wessel, D.F.; Coimbra, M.A.; Anjos, O. Physicochemical fingerprint of “Pera Rocha do Oeste”. A PDO pear native from Portugal. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. White, P.J. Chapter 5—Calcium. In *Handbook of Plant Nutrition*, 2nd ed.; Barker, A.V., Pilbeam, D.J., Eds.; Taylor and Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2015; pp. 165–198. [CrossRef]
20. Mao, L.; Pang, H.; Wang, G.; Zhu, C. Phospholipase D and lipoxygenase activity of cucumber fruit in response to chilling stress. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **2007**, *44*, 42–47. [CrossRef]
21. Paliyath, G.; Thompson, J.E. Calcium- and calmodulin-regulated breakdown of phospholipid by microsomal membranes from bean cotyledons. *Plant Physiol.* **1987**, *83*, 63–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Brizzolara, S.; Manganaris, G.A.; Fotopoulos, V.; Watkins, C.B.; Tonutti, P. Primary metabolism in fresh fruits during storage. *Front Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Deng, X. Mechanisms of Calcium-Induced Firmness in Fruits, Vegetables, and Mushrooms. Master’s Thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA, 2008. Available online: https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_gradthes/3647/ (accessed on 3 July 2023).
24. Shahidi, F.; Hossain, A. Role of lipids in food flavor generation. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 5014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.