Comprehensive Review of Direct and Indirect Pozzolanic Reactivity Testing Methods
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Abstract: The production of portland cement is among the major contributors to greenhouse gas emissions that adversely affect the environment. Identifying sustainable materials to partially replace portland cement in concrete, such as pozzolanic materials, is crucial in addressing this issue. These materials mainly consist of silica and alumina that react with the available calcium hydroxide to form strength-bearing phases such as calcium silicate hydrates. Understanding the degree of pozzolanic reactivity of materials using efficient reactivity test methods is an important consideration. The paper thoroughly reviews the available literature related to direct and indirect pozzolanic reactivity test methods that have been utilized over the years. Direct methods quantify the amount of consumed calcium hydroxide, whereas indirect methods assess changes in the physical properties of the specimen due to pozzolanic reactions. The aim of this paper is to identify affordable, time-saving, and effective direct and indirect methods. Based on this study, the Frattini, electrical conductivity, and pH tests are considered the most time-efficient methods to assess pozzolanic materials. Electrical conductivity and pH tests are also easy to perform. In contrast, other methods are more time-consuming.
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1. Introduction

Approximately 7% of the anthropogenic emissions worldwide (i.e., CO₂) are related to the production of ordinary portland cement [1] To reduce the economic and environmental costs of portland cement production and to improve the durability of concrete, supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) are commonly used to partially replace cement in concrete. According to Suraneni et al. [2] SCMs are classified into three types: inert, hydraulic, pozzolanic, and highly pozzolanic materials.

Pozzolans are primarily siliceous in nature and contribute to the densification of the microstructure of concrete by reacting with water and hydrated cement paste to form additional strength-bearing phases [3]. According to ASTM C618 [4], the sum of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃ shall be 70% for the for a raw pozzolan, and 50% for Class F and C fly ashes, to be considered as pozzolan. Pozzolans stabilize portlandite (Ca(OH)₂), which is produced during cement hydration reactions, to form calcium silicate hydrates (C–S–H), calcium aluminate hydrates (C–A–H), or calcium aluminosilicate hydrates (C–A–S–H). Pozzolanic reactivity can be directly measured based on Ca(OH)₂ reduction and the formation of hydrated phases [5]. Figure 1 demonstrates the schematic mechanism of the strength development caused by pozzolanic reaction products [6].
Three stages express the progression of a pozzolanic reaction. The first stage is the dissolution of lime in water (Equation (1)), which provides a strongly basic medium (pH > 12). Typically, Ca(OH)$_2$ forms during the hydration of the main cement phases (alite and belite). The second stage is the dissolution of amorphous silica from the pozzolan (Equation (2)), and the third stage is the reaction of H$_2$SiO$_4^{2-}$ with calcium ions to form C–S–H (CaO.SiO$_2$.H$_2$O) (Equation (3)) [7].

\[
\begin{align*}
Ca(OH)_2 & \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + 2OH^- \\
SiO_2 + 2OH^- & \rightleftharpoons H_2SiO_4^{2-} \\
H_2SiO_4^{2-} + Ca^{2+} & \rightleftharpoons CaO.SiO_2.H_2O
\end{align*}
\]

The cement hydration process predominates over the pozzolanic reaction in the early stages of concrete production. However, at later stages the pozzolanic reaction outweighs the cement hydration with a gradual reduction in the portlandite content within the paste and the formation of additional C–S–H [7].

It is important to note that different methods are utilized to evaluate the reactivity of SCMs, and using only one method may lead to misleading results [8]. The pozzolanic reactivity tests consist of direct and indirect methods. In general, direct methods (e.g., Frattini and thermogravimetric analysis) employ analytical means to assess the ability of pozzolans to consume free lime and form hydration compounds [9]. Direct methods provide direct insight into the amount of portlandite consumed for the formation of the hydrates [10]. The Frattini test employs chemical titration to determine calcium and hydroxyl ion concentrations and evaluate the reduction in portlandite caused by pozzolanic reactions [11,12]. Thermal gravimetric and differential thermal analyses (TG/DTA) compare the weight loss of the sample containing pozzolan with that of a control sample at certain temperatures to evaluate pozzolanic reactivity [10]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) provides another direct method that can not only detect and characterize the main phases in hydrated samples, but can also quantify the Ca(OH)$_2$ consumption [13,14].

In contrast to direct methods, indirect methods demonstrate the extent of pozzolanic reactivity by measuring the physical property of a test specimen [10,11,13]. For instance, the strength activity index (SAI) is an indirect indication of the effect of pozzolanic reactivity on compressive strength. Calorimetry is another indirect method for assessing pozzolanic reactivity by measuring the heat of hydration in samples containing pozzolanic materials [15–18]. In addition, scanning electron microscopy- energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS) can be used as an indirect method to estimate the Ca/Si ratio of the C–S–H gel and identify a reactive pozzolana. The Ca/Si ratio of the C–S–H gel for
the pozzolanic reaction is lower than the Ca/Si ratio of C–S–H from the hydration of cement [19–21]. Electrical conductivity and pH are also other indirect methods for assessing pozzolanic reactivity. The pozzolanic reactivity can be assessed through measurement of changes in electrical conductivity and pH of a lime-pozzolan suspension in a certain time [9,11,13,22–24]. Ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) is another method that can be used to indirectly examine the interaction between portlandite and pozzolanic materials in concrete composites [25] As a result of the pozzolanic reaction and the formation of C–S–H gel, the pores are filled and refined leading to an increase in UPV [26–28]. Therefore, in a similar manner to SAI, the ultrasonic pulse velocity index (UPVI) can be used indirectly to assess pozzolanic reactivity [29].

In 2016, an indirect test method called Rapid, Relevant, and Reliable (R³) was introduced to assess the pozzolanic reactivity of calcined clays and to identify other pozzolanic materials [30,31]. The R³ test offers two methods for the analysis of a paste of pozzolanic material and lime (2:1 mixture of pozzolan and lime and a water-to-solid ratio of 1.2). The first R³ method involves measuring the heat released during exothermal hydration reactions through isothermal calorimetry. The second R³ method is centered on determining the bound water content, achieved using the thermogravimetric approach within the temperature range of 110 to 400 degrees [30]. The ASTM C1897 standard offers a choice of the two R³ options (Method A or B) [32].

This paper reviews several common direct and indirect test methods that have been used for evaluating the reactivity of pozzolanic materials in concrete, including Frattini, TG/DTA, calorimetry, SAI, R³, electrical conductivity, and pH. This paper also reviews the XRD, SEM/EDS, and UPVI techniques for the first time. The pozzolanic reactivity methods are reviewed in this paper to identify efficient, affordable, and rapid methods. Various methods are comparatively assessed with respect to cost of the major equipment needed to perform the tests and the time it takes to perform them.

2. Research Significance

Pozzolanic materials can contribute to reducing the adverse effects of carbon and greenhouse emissions on the environment as a replacement for hazardous materials such as ordinary Portland cement. In this context, thorough evaluations of the direct and indirect pozzolanic reactivity methods to discover suitable pozzolanic materials are imperative. The key objective of this study is to offer a profound review of direct and indirect pozzolanic reactivity test methods and identify efficient methods that can be used to evaluate these materials in a reliable, affordable, and time-saving manner. The results of this study will also enable engineers, researchers, members of the construction industry, and the academic community to further recognize and effectively utilize pozzolanic materials in concrete formulations. As a result, such an approach will contribute to reducing the carbon footprint of construction projects, which is very significant for the environment.

3. Direct Methods

3.1. The Frattini Chemical Titration Method

This test was first developed by N. Frattini and was later adopted by the EN 196-5 standard [33]. Using this standard procedure, it is possible to quantify the lime consumed during the pozzolanic reaction in an aqueous solution containing a sample at a temperature of 40 °C [34]. The pozzolanic reactivity can be measured by quantifying the number of calcium ions (Ca²⁺) in the aqueous solution in contact with the hydrated cement with time (e.g., 8 or 15 days) [35]. Figure 2 shows a diagram for assessing the pozzolanic activity provided in EN 169-5. Results that fall below the lime solubility curve show pozzolanic reactivity, as indicated by the removal of Ca²⁺ from the solution [36]. Decreasing the hydroxyl content results in increasing C–S–H and C–A–S–H nuclei [37]. The lime solubility curve represents the maximum Ca²⁺ concentration as a function of OH⁻ at 40 °C using Equation (4) [11], where Ca²⁺, equivalent to CaO in mmol/l, is linked to OH⁻ in mmol/l [35].
Studies have shown that there is a good correlation between the Frattini test results and some other pozzolanic activity test methods. For instance, Donatello et al. [11] compared three different pozzolanic activity test methods, including the Frattini, SAI, and the saturated lime test. Using these methods, they evaluated the reactivity of metakaolin, silica fume, coal fly ash, incinerated sewage sludge ash (ISSA), and sand (as an inert material). The authors concluded that the Frattini test and the SAI were significantly correlated and were closely controlled methods. In contrast, the saturated lime test results did not correlate with the other results. Also, the saturated lime test showed positive pozzolanic reactivity for sand and ISSA. In the Frattini test, however, these materials did not exhibit pozzolanic reactivity. Likewise, a study by Kramar and Ducman [10] indicated that the Frattini and SAI results indicated similar trends for the various ash samples tested. Several other pozzolan assessment studies have been performed using the Frattini test. Figure 3 represents the Frattini test results for different materials (with 80% portland cement and 20% pozzolan) collected from various studies [10,11,33,38–40]. The Frattini test can distinguish pozzolans from non-pozzolanic materials such as portland cement and sand.

There is an indication that some pozzolans show reactivity at a later stage. For example, in a study by Alayish and Çelik [33], sewage sludge did not show reactivity at 8 days, but it showed reactivity at 15 days. In addition, for some pozzolans (like coal ash), the rate of reactivity was higher at a later stage [10]. This is because Frattini is a time-sensitive test for detecting pozzolanic reactivity. Trusilewicz et al. [34] observed that higher metakaolin showed higher pozzolanic reactivity at later stages as more Ca$^{2+}$ and OH$^{-}$ ions were consumed. Similarly, Rahhal et al. [41] observed that the pozzolanic reactivity of waste

\[
\text{Max } [\text{CaO}] = \frac{350}{[\text{OH}^-] - 15}
\]

Figure 2. Pozzolanic assessment diagram adapted from [35].
brick powder as partial cement replacement improved with age and a higher cement replacement level [42,43].

![Frattini test results for different pozzolanic materials in different studies](image)

Figure 3. Frattini test results for different pozzolanic materials in different studies [10,11,33,38–40].

In another study using the Frattini test [36], the pozzolanic reactivity of volcanic tuffs and fly ash was investigated at the age of 15 days with different cement replacement ratios. All tested materials demonstrated pozzolanic reactivity [39]. The authors reported that lime consumption increased with increasing pozzolan replacement ratios, which is consistent with the findings of other studies [34,41]. In an earlier study on the pozzolanic reactivity of rice husk ash, the amorphous silica content and particle fineness were noted as the main factors affecting reactivity in an alkaline environment [44]. Kramar and Ducman [10] also observed that ashes with a higher proportion of amorphous phase showed more reactivity by means of higher free lime removal.

In general, the Frattini test can help assess the ability of a pozzolan (that is, partially replacing cement) with respect to reducing portlandite content in a solution. However, it does not provide any information on the potential presence of portlandite in hardened pastes [45].

3.2. X-ray Diffraction Spectroscopy

X-ray diffraction spectroscopy, or XRD, is a powerful technique for the quantification of crystalline and amorphous phases [46]. This technique can not only detect and characterize the main phases such as quartz, muscovite, albite, chlorite, and calcite in pozzolan powders [13], but is also a direct method of quantifying consumption of Ca(OH)$_2$ in hydrated samples [14]. Figure 4 demonstrates XRD peaks of the hydrated products, CH and C–A–S–H, for a hydrated cement sample partially replaced with brick powder.

Belie et al. [47] noted that the coexistence of an amorphous SCM and the C–S–H phase in hydrated blended cement presents an issue, since the C–S–H contribution may overlap with the SCM signal either partially (e.g., metakaolin) or completely (e.g., blast furnace slag). Thus, for correct quantification, the proper selection and calibration of a fingerprint model of C–S–H are required [47]. Du and Tan [48] used XRD patterns for cement paste with 30% and 60% glass powder replacement at curing stages of 7, 28, and 91 days. Their results showed that the principal peaks associated with Ca(OH)$_2$ were weakened in samples with higher glass powder and later curing stage. For example, the Ca(OH)$_2$ peak was relatively
small for the paste with 60% glass powder at 91 days, indicating that most Ca(OH)$_2$ had been consumed to form an additional C–S–H phase. At later stages, lime was not sufficiently available to fully react with the dissolved silicates of the glass powder [48]. In a study by Snellings et al. [17], it was observed that the XRD peaks associated with the main clinker phases (e.g., alite, belite, and celite) decreased for the blended cement pastes with partial fly ash replacement. On the other hand, the number of peaks associated with the hydration products increased [17]. The reduction in the number of the main clinker phases is related to an increase in the formation of hydration products such as ettringite (AFt), portlandite, and C–S–H during the hydration process [7]. In a study by Tognoni et al. [7], hydrated lime samples containing 70% glass powder showed high carbonation (calcite peaks) at ages up to 28 days. However, samples that were cured for 91 days showed an absence of calcite and the appearance of C–S–H peaks because of the pozzolanic reaction of the glass powder with lime over time [7]. In another study [49], the effect of quartz powder and silica fume was investigated using XRD. Results showed that after 28 days, portlandite was only found in the portland cement reference sample and the sample with 20% cement replacement with quartz powder. In contrast, in the blended silica fume–cement sample, no portlandite was detected due to the consumption of portlandite and enhancement of C–S–H nuclei. That is an indication of the high pozzolanic reactivity of silica fume and the low pozzolanic reactivity of quartz powder [49].

![XRD patterns](https://example.com/xrd-patterns.png)

**Figure 4.** XRD patterns for the hydrated cement sample replaced with brick powder by 20% after 28 curing days [29].

Martins Torres et al. [14] measured the amount of portlandite in the calcined and non-calcined sugarcane bagasse ash (SBA) pastes with lime (CH–pozzolan) through the assessment of the relative decrease in peak intensities (RDPIs), relative intensity ratio (RIR) and Rietveld refinement. Relative estimation is performed by comparing the CH peaks of the control (only CH) and CH–pozzolan samples. The CH consumption from the pozzolanic reaction for the CH–pozzolan paste was quantified by studying the main CH peak intensities using the RDPI method (Equation (5)).

$$\text{Relative consumed CH} = \frac{\text{CH peak intensity of (CH – pozzolan) paste}}{\text{CH peak intensity of control}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

XRD analyses demonstrated that the portlandite peak intensity decreased due to the pozzolanic reactivity of fine SBA. Moreover, SBA with a finer particle size and the calcined SBA demonstrated an additional reduction in the peak intensity of portlandite [14].

### 3.3. Thermogravimetric and Differential Thermal Analyses

Thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermal analyses (DTA) have been widely used for the characterization of pozzolanic reactivity [10]. TG/DTA is one of the direct
methods used to measure the amount of portlandite consumption [13,39,50]. TG analysis (TGA) is an analytical method that records the relationship between mass and temperature (TGA curve) on a thermal balance [51]. A TGA test measures the change in mass of a sample as a function of temperature or heating time [39]. The tangent method is used to calculate the percent weight change or mass loss of calcium hydroxide in the samples [51]. An indicator of pozzolanic reactivity is the amount of consumed portlandite in the lime–pozzolan (or cement–pozzolan) pastes measured with TG/DTA [52]. Typically, the portlandite content increases with age in samples that consist of plain portland cement due to the formation of additional hydration products with time. In contrast, the amount of portlandite in pastes containing SCMs is less than in plain cement samples because of a combination of the dilution effect and pozzolanic reaction [53]. Therefore, by determining the consumption of portlandite, the extent of reactivity of SCMs in the cement pastes can be evaluated [2]. The four main weight loss ranges typically identified by the TGA curve are as follows (Figure 5):

I. Evaporable water decomposition is related to the loss of free water between 25 °C and 105 °C.

II. Dehydroxylation of portlandite between 400 °C and 500 °C.

III. Decarbonation corresponds to calcite (CaCO₃) decomposition between 600 °C and 800 °C [54].

![TGA and DTA curve](image)

Figure 5. TG/DTA of cement paste containing 10% limestone filler at 28 days, adapted from [54].

Proper sample preparation and interpretation of the TG/DTA are required for accurate determination of Ca(OH)₂ [55]. The Ca(OH)₂ content can be overestimated due to the carbonation effect when using traditional methods that only measure weight changes resulting from Ca(OH)₂ decomposition at a fixed range of temperature. The temperature range for the decomposition of Ca(OH)₂ depends on several factors, including particle fineness and the amount of sample, the degree of crystallinity of the material, and gas pressure in the thermogravimetry analysis instrument [55].

The remaining Ca(OH)₂ can be calculated by considering the effect of carbonation using Equation (6) [13].

\[
CH_r = 4.11(m_{CH}) + 1.68 (m_{CC})
\]

where \(CH_r\) is the mass of remaining Ca(OH)₂ in the sample, 4.11 is the molar mass ratio of Ca(OH)₂/H₂O, and 1.68 is the molar mass ratio of Ca(OH)₂/CO₂. \(m_{CH}\) is the mass loss due to the decomposition of Ca(OH)₂ (dehydroxylation), and \(m_{CC}\) is the mass loss due to the decomposition of CaCO₃ (decarbonation) [13].

The percentage of the consumed or fixed lime of the blended samples with respect to the control sample can also be calculated using Equation (7) [23].
Fixed lime (%) = \left[ \frac{(CH_{r,c} \times C) - (CH_{r,b})}{(CH_{r,c} \times C)} \right] \times 100 \quad (7)

where \( CH_{r,c} \) and \( CH_{r,b} \) are the mass of remaining \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) in the control and blended cement samples, respectively. \( C \) is the proportion of cement in the blended samples (e.g., for a blended cement sample with 80% cement and 20% pozzolan, \( C \) is 0.8).

Various methods have been developed for preparing TGA mixtures. For example, Uzal et al. [50] prepared different mixtures of lime–pozzolan pastes to monitor lime depletion using TGA. They used \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) with a 1:1 lime:pozzolan ratio and a water-to-solid ratio of 0.55. Fresh pastes were kept in plastic syringes to avoid moisture loss and carbonation, and the samples were held at 50 ± 1 °C to accelerate the pozzolanic reaction. Then, the amount of consumed lime in the hardened pastes for each lime–pozzolan paste was calculated by performing the TGA test at 3, 7, and 28 days. Results were reported as a percentage of the total weight of the components. The authors studied the pozzolanic activity of a natural zeolite (clinoptilolite) in comparison with silica fume, fly ash, and a non-zeolitic natural pozzolan. From the TGA results, the portlandite depletion of silica fume was higher than all other tested pozzolans for all tested ages [50].

In other studies by Pacewska et al. [56] and Kramar and Ducman [10], samples were prepared by mixing 30% ash (fly ash and pulverized combusted brown coal) and 70% \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \). Pastes were enclosed in hermetic plastic bags and stored at room temperature for the given time periods. Samples were pulverized, and powders were tested through TG/DTA after 7, 28, and 90 days. [10,56].

Kramar and Ducman [10] reported that samples containing ash with a higher surface area showed considerable portlandite consumption. On the other hand, Lima et al. [13] tested slate cutting waste (SCW) as a partial (25%) replacement of portland cement with water-to-binder ratio of 0.55. Pastes were cast in plastic molds to prevent carbonation, and were kept in sealed plastic bags in a wet chamber at 40 °C. After 28 and 56 days, dried pastes were ground and sieved using a No. 200 sieve and tested using TG/DTA. SCW showed no pozzolanic reactivity since it did not significantly reduce \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \). Its filler effect and specific surface area reportedly played a significant role in enhancing the degree of hydration and compressive strength [13,57].

In some cases, TGA may not be able to detect pozzolanic reactivity at shorter reaction times, since the reaction typically does not produce a measurable weight change related to the total remained portlandite in the sample. For instance, Kramar and Ducman [10] tested a paper mill ash and reported that this pozzolan showed a slow rate of reactivity at seven days of hydration, as there was no significant weight change related to the total remaining portlandite in the sample, while significant mass loss was observed after 90 days [10].

Table 1 is a summary of studies using the TGA method to assess the pozzolanic reactivity of common pozzolans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessed Pozzolans</th>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>w/b or l/s *</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Five types of fly ash</td>
<td>30% ash + 70% lime</td>
<td>0.5, 0.41, 0.35, 0.60, and 0.81</td>
<td>7, 28, and 90 days</td>
<td>Two ash types with a high surface area showed considerable consumption of portlandite</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth of Milos (a natural pozzolan) ceramic powder from handmade ground brick, metakaolin</td>
<td>Lime/pozzolan with ratios of 1/0.5, 1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/2</td>
<td>0.54-1</td>
<td>0, 3, 7, 14, and 28 days</td>
<td>Lime/metaakolin pastes presented the highest activity with higher portlandite consumption</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclaimed fly ash types and natural pozzolan</td>
<td>20% fly ash + 80% portland cement type I</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>7, 28, and 90 days</td>
<td>All pozzolans showed a reduction in portlandite</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste glass powder (WGP)</td>
<td>30% glass powder + 70% portland cement type I</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3 and 28 days</td>
<td>The total molar amount of portlandite decreased from 3 to 28 days because of active silicas in WGP mortars</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessed Pozzolans</th>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>w/b or l/s *</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slate-cutting waste (SCW)</td>
<td>25% SCW + 75% portland cement type III</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>28 and 56</td>
<td>The total molar amount of portlandite was not significantly reduced through partial replacement of SCW</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four types of fly ashes, four slags, two calcined clays, two silica fumes, a quartz, a limestone</td>
<td>Calcium hydroxide and SCMs in a mass ratio of 3:1 + 0.5 M potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>240 h</td>
<td>The order of calcium hydroxide consumption from the greatest to the lowest: silica fume &gt; calcined clay &gt; fly ash &gt; quartz &gt; slag &gt; limestone</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste glass powder</td>
<td>Glass powder with 30% and 60% replacement of ordinary portland cement type I</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>7, 28, and 91 days</td>
<td>The content of consumed Ca(OH)$_2$ decreased through increasing glass powder content and curing age.</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densified silica fume, nano silica, and metakaolin</td>
<td>Calcium hydroxide–pozzolan blended samples with a solid-to-water ratio of 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1, 3, 7, 14, and 28 days</td>
<td>There was more lime fixation at the late stage. Order of reduction in calcium hydroxide from greatest to the lowest: nano silica &gt; metakaolin &gt; silica fume</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* w/b: water-to-binder ratio, l/s: liquid-to-solid ratio.

4. Indirect Methods

4.1. Isothermal Calorimetry

Due to cement’s exothermic hydration reaction, the hydration kinetics of the clinker could be evaluated by measuring its heat of hydration. It is possible to characterize the effect of different additives and admixtures on the mixture’s hydration kinetics through measurement of the heat of hydration versus time [59]. The most widely used equipment for studying cement hydration is isothermal and semi-adiabatic calorimeters. Isothermal calorimeters directly measure the heat of hydration (heat evolution) of small samples of cement paste or mortars. A semi-adiabatic calorimeter measures the temperature change in the mortar or concrete samples that are insulated [60].

In general, heat generation at an early stage of hydration is related to highly reactive cement phases such as alite, ferrite, and brownmillerite. Belite is less reactive, but contributes to the hydration products and strength gain at later stages, approximately after ten days [61].

Typically, an isothermal calorimetry curve consists of four peaks and stages [61] (Figure 6).

Figure 6. A typical calorimetry plot for cement’s heat of hydration at 25 °C adapted from [62].
1. Stage I involves rapid dissolution and the early reaction period. Rapid and overall exothermic dissolution of free lime, alkalis, gypsum, ferrite, and brownmillerite with some alites occurs in this stage, which lasts only a few minutes. Ions such as Ca\(^{2+}\), SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), Al(OH)\(_4\), SiO\(_4\)\(^{4-}\), K\(^+\), Na\(^+\), and OH\(^-\) are released into the solution.

2. Stage II is an induction period that happens after the initial highly exothermic reactions. This stage is a period of deceleration of cement hydration, in which heat evolution is relatively low.

3. Stage III is an acceleration period that is due to nucleation and growth of the C–S–H.

4. Stage IV is a deceleration period with a slow and continued reaction. This is a result of diffusion control and space-filling. Diffusion control is a mechanism in which a thick layer of C–S–H slows the diffusion of water ions, resulting in a slower reaction. Also, space-filling occurs when there is a reduction in the available surface area and pore volume to produce C–S–H [61].

Several studies have assessed pozzolanic reactivity using calorimetry [15–18]. Bobrowicz and Chylinski [63] compared the pozzolanic activity of R-Mud waste with other pozzolans, such as silica fume, fly ash, and trass, using an isothermal calorimeter. The calorimetry tests were conducted using 8 g of cement–pozzolan mixtures and 4 g of water inserted in syringes at 20 °C and monitored for a minimum of 140 h in customized measurement equipment. Each tested pozzolan replaced 15% of Type I portland cement. The R-Mud waste generated the highest heat after the control sample, demonstrating that R-Mud was a highly reactive pozzolan after 24 h of hydration. The higher specific surface area and very fine grains of colloidal silica present in R-Mud were mentioned as the main reasons for its high pozzolanic reactivity. In contrast, samples containing fly ash and trass showed the lowest heat of hydration compared to R-Mud and silica fume (Figure 7).

![Figure 7. The heat of hydration of blended cement–pozzolan samples adapted from Bobrowicz and Chylinski [63].](image-url)

In an earlier study by Siler et al. [64], the effect of silica fume, granulated blast furnace slag, and fly ash on cement hydration (with 10% and 50% replacement levels) was assessed at seven days. The authors found that the maximum heat for the fly ash sample was lower than the heat from the plain cement paste. A dilution effect due to the replacement of reactive cement with a less reactive additive (fly ash) and a slower reaction rate (pozzolanic reaction) were noted as the reasons for the observed reduction in the heat of hydration.

Suraneni and Weiss [2] proposed a slightly modified method for determining the pozzolanic reactivity through isothermal calorimetry. They examined the heat evolution of fly ash, slag, calcined clay, silica fume, quartz, and limestone mixed with calcium hydroxide (3-to-1 mass ratio of calcium hydroxide to SCM mixed with a solution of 0.5 molar potassium hydroxide with a liquid-to-solid ratio of 0.9). The initial temperature of the calorimeter was set at 50 °C to shorten the time of the test from 12 to 10 days and to improve
calorimetric stability. After ten days, the heat release was in the following order, from highest to lowest: calcined clay > silica fume > slag > fly ash > quartz > limestone.

4.2. Strength Activity Index

SAI is the most widely used indirect approach for evaluating pozzolanic reactivity. This method assesses how pozzolanic reaction affects the cementitious matrix densification and packing effect, which results in the enhancement of compressive strength [65,66]. In other words, the SAI test establishes the actual contribution of microstructure densification brought on by the pozzolanic reaction [67]. The SAI approach demonstrates the impact of the pozzolanic activity on the mixture’s mechanical properties [68]. To determine SAI, two sets of test specimens are made using a standard mix design, one with 100% portland cement (control sample) and the other with a portland cement–pozzolan blend, seen in Table 2. Both sets of specimens are examined for compressive strength after a specific number of curing days [69]. The applicable US standard is ASTM C311, which compares the compressive strength of mortar with pozzolan, replacing 20% of the portland cement binder with the control mixture [70].

Table 2. SAI mixture designation [70].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>Water (mL)</th>
<th>Portland Cement (g)</th>
<th>Tested Material (g)</th>
<th>Graded Standard Sand (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control mix</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blended mix</td>
<td>Required for flow ±5 of control mix</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SAI is a measure of the pozzolanic material’s impact, which is calculated using Equation (8) [69]:

$$ SAI = \frac{S_1}{S_2} \quad (8) $$

$S_1$ and $S_2$ denote the compressive strengths of the sample with pozzolan replacement and the control sample, respectively [69]. According to ASTM C618, fly ash and natural pozzolans must have an SAI greater than 0.75 at 7 and 28 days (at a 20% cement substitution) to be considered a pozzolan [4]. Figure 8 shows the 28-day SAI results for different pozzolans (with 20% cement replacement) obtained from several studies [11,71–75]. Each single bar represents an average value of SAI related to each tested pozzolan in different studies. The dashed line demonstrates the requirement of ASTM C618 for the evaluation of a pozzolanic material by SAI test. All pozzolans except bagasse ash showed SAI above 0.75 according to the ASTM C618 requirement.

Pozzolanic materials can fill the micropore structure of cement mortar and concrete. In cement-based materials, highly reactive pozzolans such as metakaolin can contribute to early hydration and also promote secondary hydration processes, which improves mechanical properties such as compressive strength [76]. By replacing cement with pozzolanic materials, extra C–S–H is generated, which increases the strength at later stages. Due to the slower activity rate of some pozzolanic materials and the absence of significant amounts of portlandite at the early stages of cement hydration, the strength gain in pozzolanic mixes is usually slower than in the control samples [68].

Another factor that influences compressive strength is the condition of the interfacial transition zone (ITZ). Partially replacing cement with reactive pozzolans such as metakaolin, silica fume, and slag improves the ITZ, pore structure, and pore size distribution of concrete, which can increase SAI [77]. Other factors that affect the SAI are the fineness and active silica content of the pozzolan. Typically, the silica fume particles have a high surface area and contain high amounts of active silica [78]. Consequently, the finer silica fume is highly reactive and produces strong concrete and mortar. It should be noted that Ca(OH)$_2$ regulates the pozzolanic process, so additional silica fume beyond the optimum level only serves as an inert filler in the mixture [78].
Thus, changes in electrical conductivity and pH are related to the removal of calcium permeability, porosity, and hydration reaction kinetics [11]. Therefore, results from an analysis of the pozzolan content is low, the filler effect would likely dominate the reactivity effect [3,81].

Figure 8. SAI results for different pozzolans; OPC (only portland cement), SF (silica fume), MK (metakaolin), FA (fly ash), SL (slag), RHA (rice husk ash), BA (bagasse ash), ZE (zeolite), GP (glass powder) [11,71–75].

A major disadvantage of the SAI test is that it may not be able to differentiate between an active pozzolan and an inert filler in some cases [4,9,79]. First, according to ASTM C618, a target mortar flow requirement must be met for the mix rather than a fixed water-to-binder requirement. Consequently, fluctuation in the water-to-binder ratio to meet the flow requirement may result in a false impression of pozollanic reactivity [80]. Second, when the pozzolan content is low, the filler effect would likely dominate the reactivity effect [3,81]. Third, in most cases, class F fly ash may exhibit a minor degree of reactivity at seven days, and frequently, pozollanic materials could not be distinguished from inert fillers at this stage [82,83].

There is also a criticism of the SAI in that the threshold value of 75% may be too low. If the additive did not contribute at all, the strength could be approximately 80% of the control (with a 20% replacement of cement) at the same water-to-binder ratio [84,85]. In other words, if the tested pozzolans were fully inert, the dilution effect may cause a 20% decrease in strength development. The cement content, however, is not the only factor that affects strength. There are other factors that influence strength development, including permeability, porosity, and hydration reaction kinetics [11]. Therefore, results from an indirect pozollanic activity test are commonly confirmed through direct methods to ensure that pozollanic reactions are truly happening [86,87].

4.3. Electrical Conductivity and pH

Electrical conductivity and pH measurements are relatively easy and rapid tests to assess pozollanic reactivity in comparison to the common reactivity tests, which are time-demanding and slow [24]. In these methods, a chemical reaction occurs between the pozzolan and calcium hydroxide that is dissolved in water [23]. Through the reaction of silica and alumina from the pozzolan with dissolved Ca$^{2+}$ and OH$^{-}$ ions in an aqueous suspension, insoluble and non-conductive products (e.g., C–S–H) form, which decrease the solution’s conductivity [23,24,88]. The decrease in the number of these ions in an unsaturated lime solution leads to declining electrical conductivity. A decrease in pH also occurs, since the concentration of OH$^{-}$ ions decreases due to the pozollanic reaction [23]. Thus, changes in electrical conductivity and pH are related to the removal of calcium hydroxide due to pozollanic reactivity. Several researchers have referred to these tests as indirect methods for the pozollanic reactivity assessment [9,22,23].
Luxán et al. [89] first proposed an electrical conductivity method for assessing natural pozzolans, such as opaline rocks and volcanic tuff. Later, other researchers used these methods for the evaluation of the reactivity of artificial pozzolans, such as sewage sludge ash [33]. According to Luxán et al. [89], a material’s pozzolanic reactivity can be determined based on the measurement of the electrical conductivity of a blended material with a lime-saturated solution at a minimum time (e.g., 2 min) (Table 3). The authors monitored the loss of electrical conductivity of 100 different pozzolans using 5 g of pozzolan in 200 mL of saturated calcium hydroxide at 40 ± 1 °C for 120 s [90].

**Table 3. Reduction in the electrical conductivity for determination of pozzolanic reactivity [89].**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Pozzolanic</th>
<th>Variable Pozzolanic Reactivity</th>
<th>Good Pozzolanic Reactivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.4 mS/cm</td>
<td>Between 0.4 and 1.2 mS/cm</td>
<td>&gt;1.2 mS/cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In other studies, Uzal et al. [50] and Payá et al. [91] determined the contribution of pozzolanic materials to conductivity and then subtracted the results from the measured conductivity of the lime–pozzolan water suspension. Pozzolanic materials such as fly ash could contain several soluble ions that may contribute to conductivity in the aqueous solution [50]. Thus, the adjusted loss of electrical conductivity is calculated using Equations (9) and (10) [24].

\[
LC(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_{t,\text{pozzolan}}}{C_0} \times 100 \quad (9)
\]

\[
C_{t,\text{pozzolan}} = C_t - C_{t,\text{pozzolan}} \quad (10)
\]

where \( LC \) is the relative loss of electrical conductivity of lime–pozzolan suspensions at time \( t \), \( C_0 \) is the electrical conductivity of lime suspension before adding pozzolanic material, \( C_t \) is the measured electrical conductivity of lime–pozzolan suspensions at time \( t \), \( C_{t,\text{pozzolan}} \) is the measured electrical conductivity of pozzolan–deionized water suspension at time \( t \), and \( C_{t,\text{pozzolan}} \) is the corrected electrical conductivity at time \( t \) [24].

According to Tashima et al. [24], increasing temperature positively affects the reduction in electrical conductivity and pH due to increasing pozzolanic reaction. This finding was also confirmed in research conducted by Sinthaworn and Nimityongskul [92] and Velázquez et al. [23]. Tashima et al. [24] also reported that \( LC > 30\% \) and variation of \( pH > 0.15 \) units are the thresholds for characterization of the pozzolanic reactivity.

In the method proposed by Uzal et al. [50], a lime–water suspension (200 mg Ca(OH)\(_2\) in 250 mL water) was mixed using an electric mixer at a constant temperature of 40 ± 1 °C. The initial pH and conductivity measurements were recorded for the lime–water suspension using digital pH and electrical conductivity meters. After the stabilization of the conductivity and pH, 5 g of pozzolan was added to the suspension, and the conductivity/pH parameters were continuously measured. The authors reported that the pH and electrical conductivity results provided consistent results in their experiment. In addition, the specific surface area and the reactive SiO\(_2\) content of the pozzolan were noted as important factors that affect conductivity and pH [50].

Figure 9 depicts pH and LC (%) variation for four different pozzolans including silica fume, class F fly ash, Gordes zeolite, and a non-zeolitic natural pozzolan [50]. Gordes zeolite and silica fume reduced the pH and electrical conductivity more than the other tested pozzolans due to the higher content of reactive silica and surface area.

Borges et al. [9] used a similar method based on electrical conductivity to evaluate the pozzolanic reactivity of glass powders with particle sizes of 150 µm, 75 µm, and 45 µm. In their approach, the lime solution was first homogenized at 80 °C for 1 h, then the temperature was reduced to 60 °C, and 5 g of glass powder was added to the solution to measure the relative loss of electrical conductivity for about 100,000 s. Their results indicated that the highest percentage of LC was related to the smallest particle size of glass powder (<45 µm) [9].
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where \( H \) is \( \text{H}_{2}(\text{EDS}) \) for the indirect assessment of pozzolanic reactivity [93–97].

Regarding this, several studies evaluated the Ca/Si ratio of Portland cement hydration. Tashima et al. [24] also reported that LC > 30% and variation of pH > 0.15 units are the thresholds for characterization of the pozzolanic reactivity.

Figure 9. pH and LC (%) variation curves with time for pozzolan–water suspensions, adapted from Uzal et al. [50].


Although scanning electron microscopy is a recognized and useful method for analyzing the microstructure and characteristics of hardened concrete, its use for pozzolanic reactivity assessments is not widely understood. However, several researchers have used scanning electron microscopy (SEM) coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) for the indirect assessment of pozzolanic reactivity [93–97].

SEM/EDS is a widely applied analytical technique capable of identifying and quantifying elemental composition [98]. The SEM/EDS procedures involve using a scanning electron microscope to create high-resolution images of solid specimens and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy to analyze the elemental and chemical composition of the sample [99,100].

SEM/EDS offers complete elemental composition that enables the exact separation, easy visual identification, and quantitative study of phases in pozzolans. The microstructure and contents of hydrated pozzolan–cement samples (such as the composition of C–S–H gel formed around the pozzolan particles due to pozzolanic reactivity) can therefore be analyzed using SEM/EDS [21,101–103]. Studies show that the reduction in the Ca/Si ratio increases the stiffness and hardness of the C–S–H [104]. The Ca/Si ratio of C–S–H gel produced as a result of the pozzolanic reaction is lower than the one produced through portland cement hydration. Regarding this, several studies evaluated the Ca/Si ratio of C–S–H that is produced during the pozzolanic reaction [19–21,105,106]. Figure 10 shows an example of an SEM image of a cement paste containing waste glass powder (GP) at 28 days of reaction. It is observed that C–S–H gel is formed around GP particles because of the pozzolanic reaction [96]. In the following step, EDS (which is coupled with an SEM image) evaluates the quality and quantity of pozzolanic reactivity by determining the Ca/Si ratio of C–S–H gel produced through pozzolanic reaction based on the mean atomic weight [101,107–109]. Figure 11 shows an example of an SEM image along with the EDS analysis of a hydrated cement sample that was partially replaced with a natural pozzolan at 20% (MZ20). The EDS analysis of the spot specified on the SEM image demonstrates a low Ca/Si ratio of 1.15 because of the pozzolanic reaction [108].

The C–S–H that is formed during the hydration of alite in plain portland cement has an average Ca/Si ratio of around 1.7–1.8 [94,104,110]. For instance, the alite hydration reaction, which is the main phase of portland cement with a Ca/Si ratio of 1.7, is reported as follows [111]:

\[
\text{C}_3\text{S} + 5.3\text{H} \rightarrow \text{C}_{1.7}\text{SH}_4 + 1.3\text{CH}
\]

where \( H \) is \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), \( \text{CH} \) is \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) and \( \text{C}_{1.7}\text{SH}_4 \) is C–S–H produced in the cement hydration process. In the presence of silica fume, the Ca/Si ratio can reach as low as 1.0 upon the
complete consumption of portlandite [94]. For instance, [112] reported that silica fume undergoes pozzolanic reaction with a Ca/Si ratio of 1.1 as follows:

\[ S + 1.1 \text{CH} + 2.8 \text{H} \rightleftharpoons C_{1.1} \text{SH}_{3.9} \]

where S is SiO₂ and C_{1.1}SH_{3.9} is C–S–H produced through the pozzolanic reaction of silica fume.

![Figure 10. SEM image of the hydrated cement sample containing waste glass powder (GP) at 28 days (10% replacement) [96].](image)

Table 4 shows the Ca/Si ratios of the C–S–H gel for different pozzolans reported in a number of studies. A reactive pozzolan can be distinguished from portland cement by comparing the Ca/Si parameter.

Table 4. Ca/Si ratios of the C–S–H gel for different pozzolans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pozzolan</th>
<th>Testing Age (days)</th>
<th>Ca/Si Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash 30</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash 35</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metakaolin 20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanosilica 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.60–0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pozzolan 20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste glass powder 10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste glass powder 30</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 11. SEM/EDS of MZ20 sample [108].](image)
Table 4. Ca/Si ratio of cement–pozzolan blended samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement–Pozzolan Blended Samples</th>
<th>Replacement Level (%)</th>
<th>Testing Age (Days)</th>
<th>Ca/Si</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste glass powder</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk ash</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metakaolin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanosilica</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.60–0.90</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pozzolan</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5. Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Index (UPVI)

Ultrasonic pulse velocity is a test method used to determine the uniformity and relative quality of concrete [113]. It also serves as an indirect measure of durability and strength [114]. Although UPV is a useful and widely recognized method for analyzing the deterioration/cracking of hardened concrete, several studies have used UPV for the evaluation of pozzolanic reactivity [115–118].

Table 5 summarizes the correlation between the results of UPV and the compressive strength of cement–pozzolan specimens found by several researchers. Results from previous studies illustrate a high correlation between UPV and compressive strength for some common pozzolans, indicating the potential of the UPV method to assess the pozzolanic reactivity indirectly.

Table 5. Correlation between UPV and compressive strength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested Pozzolan</th>
<th>Cement Rep. Level (%)</th>
<th>w/b</th>
<th>Prediction Eq.</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silica fume</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>$f'_c = 32.565V_c − 101.35$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>$f'_c = 33.42V_c − 104.92$</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metakaolin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>$f'_c = 28.312V_c − 81.228$</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blast furnace slag</td>
<td>50, 60, 70</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>$f'_c = 0.0049V_c + 0.0021V_c$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>$f'_c = 0.0003x^{2.4393}V_c$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metakaolin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>$f'_c = 0.0723V_c − 249.67$</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$f'_c = \text{compressive strength (MPa)}, V_c = \frac{d}{t}$, where $x$ is the propagated path and $t$ is the transmitted time (UPV).

In performing the UPV test, it is important to mark the test locations on the structure to ensure repeatability over time [113]. UPV is calculated using Equation (11).

$$V = \frac{d}{t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $V$ is the pulse velocity, $d$ is the distance between the center of transducer faces, and $t$ is the transit time. Figure 12 illustrates the different approaches to UPV tests. Where $d_1$, $d_2$, $d_3$ demonstrate distance between the transducers in direct, indirect and semi-direct approaches, respectively.

![Figure 12. Schematic view of UPV test: (a) direct, (b) indirect, and (c) semi-direct approach.](image-url)
In general, the UPV is a function of porosity, and it decreases as porosity increases, meaning that UPV increases as the samples’ density increases [122]. Therefore, densification correlates with UPV [123]. UPV values associated with concrete mixtures are strongly influenced by mix proportions, material properties, pore structure, and characteristics of the ITZ [108]. Considering pozzolanic reactivity, UPV can be utilized to monitor the interaction between the pozzolanic material and Ca(OH)$_2$ in cement composites [25]. UPV increases when additional C–S–H gel is formed due to the pozzolanic reaction, filling up the pores and refining them [26–28].

In terms of pozzolanic reactivity, UPV is significantly influenced by the type of pozzolan, replacement level, curing temperature, and curing period [124]. For example, Jiang et al. [125] studied the impact of fly ash replacement levels on pozzolanic reactivity using UPV. Their results showed that replacing portland cement with fly ash by 20% increased UPV by 18% at 28 days in comparison with the control sample. In contrast, by increasing the replacement level to 80%, the UPV decreased by about 41% compared to the control due to insufficient portlandite in the pore solution for pozzolanic reactivity.

The pore structure of the ITZ can have an adverse effect on the UPV measurements. Pozzolanic materials may refine the pores in the ITZ and in the matrix, depending on their fineness and reactivity [28,126]. It is important to note that replacing cement with pozzolanic materials can slow the hydration process, thus delaying the stabilization of the UPV values. Therefore, UPV needs to be measured at different stages over time [125]. For instance, UPV results of fly ash are lower at early stages due to its slow pozzolanic reactivity [28]. Mardani-Aghabaglou et al. [68] replaced cement with silica fume, metakaolin, and fly ash by 10% and conducted UPV after 7, 28, 90, 180, and 300 days after mixing. Silica fume showed the highest UPV at all tested ages due to higher surface area and rate of reactivity.

Finally, the UPV results can be defined as an index (UPVI) in a manner similar to SAI to reflect the effects of pozzolanic reactivity relative to the control. The UPVI can be determined by dividing the average value of UPV of the pozzolan–cement specimens by those of the control specimens (only portland cement). Figure 13 shows the UPVI results for various pozzolan–cement specimens with a 20% cement replacement level using the UPV results that were reported in several studies [115–118]. In comparison to UPV, UPVI values indicate the relative effect of pozzolans like metakaolin and silica fume on the concrete.

![Figure 13. Calculated UPVI values for silica fume (SF), metakaolin (MK), fly ash (FA), slag (SL), and glass powder (GP) [115–118].](image)

5. R$^3$ Method

The R$^3$ reactivity test method stands for “rapid, robust, and relevant,” based on work by Avet et al. [30] and the RILEM Technical Committee 267 [18]. This method was primarily developed to assess calcined clays’ pozzolanic reactivity [127]. It is a method that was established based on good Pearson correlations between strength development and
evolution of heat or bound water for detecting the reactivity of SCMs [127,128]. As this test method is relatively new, it recently underwent some modifications and complement studies were conducted before being standardized in North America as ASTM C1897 for measuring the reactivity of SCMs over a curing time of 7 days [32,128,129]. The two ASTM test options (A and B) are based on cumulative heat release and bound water of the hydrated pastes. The hydrated pastes contain SCM, calcium hydroxide, calcium carbonate and potassium hydroxide, potassium sulfate, and reagent water cured at 40 °C for 3 and 7 days [32]. Thus, the reactivity of SCM and pozzolans can be determined either by measuring heat release or bound water following this new approach.

The cumulative heat release of the paste containing SCM ($H_{SCM}$) is determined by isothermal calorimetry for 168 h (7 days) and can be calculated using Equation (12) [32].

$$H_{SCM} = \frac{H}{m_p \times 0.101}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $H$ is cumulative heat release from 75 min until 3 days and 7 days after start of mixing, $m_p$ is the mass of the paste, and 0.101 is the mass fraction of the SCM in the paste sample.

In method B, the bound water content is measured using a muffle furnace [32]. First, sealed paste specimens are stored in an oven at 40 ± 2 °C for 168 h. Then, they are removed and demolded from the container and cut into three small samples. In the next step, the samples are crushed into particles less than 2 mm in size, transferred in small crucibles, and dried for approximately 24 ± 1 h at 40 °C. Following that, 5 ± 0.5 g of the dried crushed samples will be heated for two hours at 350 ± 10 °C in a pre-heated muffle furnace. Afterward, each sample’s mass value will be weighed and identified. Chemically bound water in g per 100 g of the dried paste at 40 °C will be determined according to Equation (13) [32].

$$H_2O_{bound, dried} (%) = \frac{m_0 - m_h}{m_0 - m_c} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $m_0$ is the total mass of the dried specimen and crucible, $m_c$ is the mass of the cooled, empty crucible, and $m_h$ is the total mass of the heated paste and crucible.

In order to measure corrected chemically bound water related to the presence of SCM in the paste, the same process of drying, heating, and weighing will be conducted on the samples that contain only SCM powder. The corrected chemically bound water will then be calculated by comparing the weight of the original sample to the sample with only SCM powder. The bound water is calculated in g per 100 g of SCM dried at 40 °C using Equation (14) [32].

$$H_2O_{bound, SCM} (%) = \frac{m_{0, SCM} - m_{h, SCM}}{m_{0, SCM} - m_{c, SCM}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $m_{0, SCM}$ is the total mass of dried SCM and crucible, $m_c, SCM$ is the mass of the cooled, empty crucible, and $m_{h, SCM}$ is the total mass of the heated SCM and crucible.

The corrected bound water is then calculated using Equation (15) [32].

$$H_2O_{bound, corrected} (%) = H_2O_{bound, dried} - (0.101 \times H_2O_{bound, SCM})$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $H_2O_{bound, dried}$ is the chemically bound water content in g per 100 g of the dried paste at 40 °C, $H_2O_{bound, SCM}$ is the bound water in g per 100 g of SCM dried at 40 °C, and 0.101 is the mass fraction of the SCM in the paste specimen.

Good correlations with the other tests, such as compressive strength, and the utilization of basic concepts, such as the quantification of water bound in materials, are major advantages of this method. However, the technique has also been criticized for not distinguishing pozzolanic materials from latent hydraulic materials. Thus, a further measurement, such as portlandite consumption, could be used if such a distinction is important [130]. This would provide a more accurate assessment of the pozzolanic activity
of the material. Additionally, it would be helpful to measure the amount of portlandite consumed by the pozzolan during the hydration process. Finally, it has been reported that there is a low level of multi-laboratory precision for the heat of hydration, so detailed instructions and a rigid calorimeter calibration procedure must be followed [131].

6. Summary and Statistical Evaluation

Figures 14 and 15 represent a sample of studies with a range of years from 2014 to 2023 in order to demonstrate how many studies involved both direct and indirect pozzolanic reactivity methods. According to the findings, the most commonly used direct methods to assess the reactivity of pozzolans were XRD and TGA, while the most commonly used indirect methods were SAI and calorimetry.

Identifying pozzolans with the highest reactivity by using time-efficient yet simple direct and indirect methods reduces the cost of testing as well as decision-making time. Consequently, it is possible to determine the time-efficient and simple methods for detecting the reactivity of potential pozzolans based on the results of previous research. Among direct methods, Frattini, and indirect methods, electrical conductivity, and pH were found to be the most time-efficient methods. Electrical conductivity and pH, on the other hand, are the fastest and easiest methods. These methods are also relatively easy and affordable to use, and provide reliable results (Table 6).
Table 6. A summary of studied test methods based on the assessment time for the evaluation of pozzolanic reactivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pozzolanic Reactivity Test Method</th>
<th>Studied Pozzolans</th>
<th>Time for Detecting Reactivity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frattini Fly ash</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>[11]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGA Fly ash</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>[10]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD Glass powder</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>[48]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAI Fly ash</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>[11]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorimetry R-Mud</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>[63]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity Fly ash</td>
<td>240 min</td>
<td>[50]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH Silica fume</td>
<td>240 min</td>
<td>[50]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM/EDS Metakaolin</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>[97]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPV(I) Silica fume</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>[68]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R³ Calcined clays</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>[131]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, Table 7 is a summary of the potential of each method to distinguish pozzolanic materials from other types of SCMs, as well as the advantages, disadvantages, required and cost equipment of each test method for the evaluation of pozzolans.

Table 7. Summary of studied pozzolanic reactivity test methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Methods</th>
<th>Potential to Distinguish Pozzolanic Materials from Other SCMs</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantage</th>
<th>Major Equipment</th>
<th>Cost of Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frattini</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>It is a reliable way to distinguish pozzolanic and non-pozzolanic materials.</td>
<td>It does not provide information about the presence of portlandite in the hardened pastes (concrete).</td>
<td>Oven</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>It can reliably assess the consumption of portlandite by a pozzolan in a hydrated sample.</td>
<td>It requires a precise selection of the peaks related to portlandite and hydrated phases in an XRD pattern.</td>
<td>X-ray diffractometer</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG/DTA</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>It accurately measures the consumed portlandite by pozzolan.</td>
<td>Preparation and curing of test samples take a long time.</td>
<td>Thermogravemetric analyser</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorimetry</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>It is an accurate and relatively rapid method.</td>
<td>It requires specific conditions and careful calibration for the different mixtures.</td>
<td>Calorimeter</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAI</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>It provides a comparative strength analysis of the pozzolan-cement and control (portland cement) samples.</td>
<td>It fails to differentiate between filler and pozzolanic reactivity effects at early ages of hydration.</td>
<td>Compression testing machine</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>It is a rapid and easy method.</td>
<td>It is influenced by temperature.</td>
<td>Electrical conductivity meter</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>It is a rapid and easy method.</td>
<td>It is influenced by temperature.</td>
<td>pH meter</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM/EDS</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>It distinguishes C–S–H gels formed during the hydration of cement and during the pozzolanic reaction.</td>
<td>It requires a high-resolution SEM image and a precise selection of C–S–H gel in the hydrated sample.</td>
<td>Scanning electron microscope with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy attachment</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPV(I)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>It is highly correlated with compressive strength. It evaluates the durability of cement-pozzolan samples.</td>
<td>It is affected by the mix proportions, material properties, and pore structure.</td>
<td>Ultrasound pulse velocity tester</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R³</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>It has good correlation with compressive strength and is a less time-consuming method.</td>
<td>It is not capable of measuring the amount of portlandite consumed during pozzolanic reaction. It requires rigid calorimeter calibration procedures for measuring the heat of hydration.</td>
<td>Muffle furnace and an oven/Calorimeter</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn based on this review of prior research on pozzolanic reactivity tests:

1. It is important to assess pozzolanic reaction by a combination of direct and indirect methods to evaluate the effect of pozzolanic reaction in concrete both physically and chemically to find a potential SCM for cement in concrete.

2. The Frattini test is a commonly used direct method to quantify portlandite’s consumption due to pozzolanic reaction. However, this method is based on titration on a liquid suspension and cannot be used to determine the consumption of calcium hydroxide in the hardened paste.

3. It is possible to estimate the relative amount of consumed portlandite using XRD through the identification of proper peaks associated with the portlandite remaining in the hydrated pozzolan-cement sample.

4. Indirect methods (e.g., SAI) are less conclusive, considering the potential of misidentifying the pozzolanic materials due to the filler effect instead of the pozzolanic effect.

5. Test methods such as TGA, Frattini, and SAI may not be suitable for the evaluation of low levels of pozzolanic reactivity at early ages of hydration (e.g., seven days).

6. SEM/EDS provides reliable visual and elemental composition evidence of the formation of C–S–H due to pozzolanic reactivity in the hydrated pozzolan-cement samples.

7. Calorimetry, electrical conductivity, and pH methods provide rapid assessments of pozzolans.

8. UPV provides an evaluation of the mechanical performance of hardened concrete samples containing a pozzolan. In a similar manner to SAI, this review suggests using UPVI instead of UPV for evaluating the relative effects of pozzolan on the physical characteristics of the test sample.

9. The R³ method provides good correlation with the strength test and can evaluate the reactivity of pozzolans in 3 and 7 days. On the other hand, it may be useful to also conduct an additional test, such as a direct method, to differentiate pozzolanic materials from latent hydraulic materials as suggested in previous studies. A direct method would involve measuring the amount of portlandite consumed by each pozzolan during the hydration process. The additional information would provide an accurate assessment of the pozzolanic materials’ behavior and allow for better selection, classification, and use of pozzolans in concrete mixtures.

8. Future Perspectives and Recommendations

This review provides guidance to investigators exploring the reactivity of many new pozzolanic materials using existing and emerging techniques. Expanded use of new and existing pozzolanic materials are key to reducing the environmental impacts of Portland cement. To facilitate widespread future use, the tests should ideally be rapid, relatively easy to perform, and not cost prohibitive. The test or a combination of tests should also be able to distinguish pozzolanic reactivity from filler effects.
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