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Abstract: In this study, new organic-inorganic g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were prepared and introduced to fabricate photocatalytic cement mortars by internal mixing, coating, and spraying. The photocatalytic depollution of both g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH and cement mortars was assessed by NOx degradation reaction under UV-visible light irradiation. The study results suggested that the degradation efficiency of g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites improved with an increase in g-C3N4 content. The g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.5 nanocomposite displayed the highest NOx degradation capacity, which was about 1.23 and 3.21 times that of pure g-C3N4 and CoAl-LDH, respectively. The photocatalytic cement mortars which were all fabricated using different approaches could effectively degrade the target pollutants and exhibited significant compatibility between g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH and cementitious substrate. Among them, the coated mortars showed strong resistance to laboratory-simulated wearing and abrasion with a small decrease in degradation rate.
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1. Introduction

Air pollution has always been a significant concern for human health and ecological environment. Efficient strategies for pollution prevention and control are imminent. Nitrogen oxides NOx (NO + NO2) as one of the major pollutants can cause a series of problems, such as photochemical smog, acid rains, and serious respiratory diseases for human [1,2]. In recent years, one of the most promising methods, i.e., photocatalytic technique has played an increasingly essential role in the field of environmental pollution control and received increased attention. For the feasibility of practical applications that introduce photocatalysts into building materials such as cementitious composites [3,4], it is desirable that a photocatalyst can be activated by solar energy for oxidative degradation of air pollutants, providing an efficient way for air purification. So far, TiO2-based photocatalytic cementitious materials have most commonly been studied in many fields including air purification, sewage treatment, as well as antibacterial and self-cleaning applications. However, there are some inherent disadvantages and problems associating with their practical application: (1) TiO2 has a wide band gap (3.2 eV) which can only be activated by UV light and has a low utilization rate of solar light [5], (2) TiO2 does not have satisfactory compatibility with agglomerated cementitious material, which could have a negative effect on the photocatalytic performance [6,7], and (3) the high alkaline environment of cementitious materials probably adversely affect the capacity of photocatalyst [8]. Therefore, endeavors to identify a new stable photocatalytic cementitious material are imperative.
Graphite carbon nitride (g-C$_3$N$_4$) with the graphite-like 2D structure has aroused widespread interest in the field of photocatalysis because of its unique performances, such as a UV-visible light driven band gap (2.7 eV), good thermal stability, low cost, and nontoxicity [9,10]. In recent years, studies have shown that g-C$_3$N$_4$ can be excited by UV-visible light and have found application in hydrogen production, organic pollutant degradation, and air pollution control [9,11,12]. However, due to the short lifetime of photo-induced carriers and inadequate absorption of UV-visible light, pristine g-C$_3$N$_4$ displays relatively low photocatalytic efficiency, which greatly limits its practical application. To improve the properties of g-C$_3$N$_4$, a variety of methods have been proposed, including doping modification [13], precious metal deposition [14], template introduction [15], and semiconductor recombination [16]. Among them, combinations of g-C$_3$N$_4$ with other semiconductors such as TiO$_2$ [17], SnO$_2$ [18], ZnCr-LDH [19] have been recognized as the most effective methods to enhance the photocatalytic efficiency.

Layered double hydroxides (LDH) are hydrotalcite-like compounds that contain the main body laminates with metal oxides (M$^{2+}$ and M$^{3+}$) and adjustable interlayer anions. Due to its unique structure and adjustable band gap, LDH has been widely applied to various photocatalytic applications, such as CO$_2$ reduction [20], hydrogen generation [21], and photodegradation [22]. In addition, the molecular structure of LDH shares the same characteristics with some cement hydration products and, thus, exhibits good compatibility with cement-based materials as well as chemical stability in its alkaline environment [23–25]. The unique layered structure of LDH can also act as an effective supporting substrate for photocatalysts to avoid direct contact with cementitious materials. This can mitigate the adverse impact of cementitious materials on the photocatalyst and, thus, improve the photocatalytic efficiency [18]. Up to now, many researchers have reported that LDH could act as a co-photocatalyst to improve the inherent shortcomings of other semiconductors due to LDH’s suitable potential and narrow band gap [26,27].

The appropriate construction of a g-C$_3$N$_4$/LDH nanocomposite has the potential to contribute synergistic benefits over that of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and LDH in terms of photocatalytic performances. Research has found that because of the interfacial contact between NiAl-LDH and g-C$_3$N$_4$, the photogenerated charges can separate more efficiently with a low recombination rate [28]. It has been reported that 2D/2D g-C$_3$N$_4$-C(N)/ZnCr-LDH with a higher surface area can increase light harvesting ability and response capacity, and therefore, present a superior photocatalytic performance than individual pure phase [29]. In addition, the chemical properties of g-C$_3$N$_4$/LDH can be tailored, which makes it suitable for photocatalytic applications in various fields [30,31]. Moreover, according to our investigation, no study has reported for introducing g-C$_3$N$_4$/LDH into building materials with regard to photocatalytic depollution.

In this work, a group of g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were prepared with different contents of g-C$_3$N$_4$. The photocatalytic property and depollution mechanism of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites toward the degradation of NO$_x$ were investigated, while the morphology, microstructure, and photoelectrical properties were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR), field emission scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS), UV-VIS diffuse reflectance spectrum (UV-VIS DRS), photoluminescence (PL), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), as well as current-time (I-T), and Mott–Schottky (M-S) plots. Furthermore, a series of cement mortars were fabricated with the introduction of g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH by means of internal mixing, spraying, and coating. The photocatalytic property of the cement mortars was measured by NO$_x$ degradation under UV-visible light, while the durability of the coated mortar was assessed under the laboratory-simulated wearing and abrasion conditions.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Characterization of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH Nanocomposites

The crystalline structures of pure Co-Al-LDH, g-C$_3$N$_4$, and a series of g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were characterized by XRD. As Figure 1 shows, two basic diffraction peaks of g-C$_3$N$_4$ located at 13.1° and 27.5° can be indexed to (100) and (002) planes of the g-C$_3$N$_4$ (PDF#50-1250) [32], corresponding to the typical in-planar ordered structure and the interlayer structural stacking of aromatic systems, respectively. The diffractogram of pure Co-Al-LDH (PDF# 51-0045) with a typical hydrotalcite-like layer structure exhibits the characteristic diffraction reflections at 11.53°, 23.21°, 34.60°, 38.78°, 45.55°, 60.02°, and 60.90° corresponding to the crystal planes of (003), (006), (012), (015), (018), (110), and (0015), respectively. For the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites, all of the characteristic diffraction peaks of CoAl-LDH can be observed, while it is hard to monitor the typical peaks of g-C$_3$N$_4$ in the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{0.75}$ nanocomposite. However, the characteristic peak intensity of g-C$_3$N$_4$ increases with an increase in the g-C$_3$N$_4$ content of the nanocomposite. It is worth mentioning that the crystal peak (003) which is assigned to CoAl-LDH decreases with the enhanced peak (002) of g-C$_3$N$_4$. Based on (003) and (110) reflections, the lattice parameters of a and c can be calculated. The parameter a is the average distance between two cations in the layers, where a = 2d$_{110}$, and c is three times the distance between the two main body layers, where c = 3d$_{003}$. According to the Debye–Scherrer equation ($D = 0.89\lambda/\beta\cos\theta$, where D is the crystallite size, $\theta$ is the Bragg diffraction angle, $\lambda$ is the radiation wavelength ($\lambda = 1.54$ nm), $\beta$ represents (003) full width at half maximum), the crystallite size of composites can be obtained [33] and the results are listed in Table 1. As clarified by Table 1, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites with various g-C$_3$N$_4$ contents give similar values of a and c indicating that the combination of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and LDH does not change the main laminate structure of CoAl-LDH. Among them, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite displays the narrowest line widths and strongest intense reflections with a stable baseline, indicating the highest crystallinity and the best-crystallized laminated structure.

The morphology and microstructure of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were explored by SEM. As Figure 2a shows, the g-C$_3$N$_4$ has an irregular two-dimensional (2D) layered structure, and some layers bend to form a tube-like structure with an open end due to its small thickness. Moreover, owing to the large amount of CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ produced during the preparation, many pores are formed on the g-C$_3$N$_4$ sheet which, in turn, provide more active sites for the photocatalytic reaction. The CoAl-LDH (Figure 2b) displays irregular lamellae with uniform micron size, but serious layer-by-layer agglomeration is also found with CoAl-LDH sheets, and cannot be effectively dispersed. The g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites with various contents of g-C$_3$N$_4$ (Figure 2c–e) display obviously different morphologies as compared with those of the CoAl-LDH and g-C$_3$N$_4$. During the process of coprecipitation, a large amount of metal cations is deposited on the surfaces of g-C$_3$N$_4$, which promotes the intercalation growth of CoAl-LDH nanoflakes on g-C$_3$N$_4$, contributing to mitigation of the self-aggregation of LDH sheets. Upon combination with g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH exhibits a uniform and regular 3D flower-like microsphere structure, which provides a large number of active sites with a larger specific surface area for photocatalytic reactions. In addition, the structure constructed between g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH provides a suitable electronic heterojunction which can greatly shorten the migration distance of carriers and, thus, promote the photocatalytic reaction. Particularly, more flower-like microspheres with uniform shape and size are formed with the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite as compared with g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{0.75}$ and g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.25}$ nanocomposites, due to the highest crystallinity.
Table 1. The crystallite size and unit cell parameters of the g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
<th>a (nm)</th>
<th>c (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH0.75</td>
<td>3.034</td>
<td>3.079</td>
<td>22.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.25</td>
<td>2.930</td>
<td>3.079</td>
<td>22.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.5</td>
<td>2.615</td>
<td>3.076</td>
<td>22.907</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. XRD patterns of CoAl-LDH, g-C3N4, and the g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites.

Figure 2. SEM images of: (a) g-C3N4; (b) CoAl-LDH; (c) g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH0.75; (d) g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.25; (e) g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.5; (f) EDS of g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.5.
The elemental composition of the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁₊₅ nanocomposite was investigated by EDS spectrum. The results are shown in Figure 2f, where the Au comes from spraying gold in the process of test sample preparation. It was found that the EDS spectra mainly consisted of C, O, N, Al, and Co element, indicating the existence of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH. In addition, the relative elemental content of Co to Al in EDS spectrum (5.76 wt% vs. 2.59 wt%) was similar to that of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁⁺₅ (5.21 wt% vs. 2.23 wt%), indicating the consistency of the Co and Al contents in initial precursor and final product.

The N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of CoAl-LDH, g-C₃N₄, and g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were examined to estimate the specific surface area and pore size distribution; the curves are shown in Figure 3. The N₂ adsorption/desorption curves, presented in Figure 3a,b, belong to the typical type IV according to the BDDT classification [34]. The adsorbed quantity increases rapidly in the low-pressure region, followed by a relatively gentle increase trend until the relative pressure (P/P₀) attains 0.8. A drastic increase in adsorption takes place in the high relative pressure level (0.8–1) owing to capillary condensation. A loop appears in the adsorption/desorption curve of the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites at the P/P₀ above 0.5, and there is no obvious saturated adsorption platform, indicating a mesoporous (2–50 nm) structure due to the orderly stacking of the lamellar structure. According to Figure 3c,d, the pore widths of both g-C₃N₄ and CoAl-LDH are mainly distributed between 2 and 30 nm. After compounding, the pore sizes of the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites are basically maintained.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms (a,b) and BJH pore size distribution (c,d) of g-C₃N₄, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites.

The BET surface areas of g-C₃N₄, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₀.⁷₅, g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁⁺₂₅, and g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁⁺₅ nanocomposites are calculated from isotherms to be 71, 57, 66, 63, and 70 m²/g, respectively. As compared with g-C₃N₄, the reduction in the pore volume and specific surface area of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites can be ascribed to the blockage of the pores by the incorporation of g-C₃N₄. Among the
nanocomposites with different g-C$_3$N$_4$ contents, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite shows the highest pore volume and specific surface area, indicating the incorporation of g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets can effectively improve the dispersion of LDH, which agrees with the result observed from SEM in Figure 2.

The infrared spectra of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{0.75}$, g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.25}$, and g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposites are shown in Figure 4. Obviously, the pure g-C$_3$N$_4$ shows an intense band at 808 cm$^{-1}$, which is attributed to the heptazine ring out-of-plane bending vibration mode. The peaks in the range of 1200–1650 cm$^{-1}$, such as the characteristic peaks at 1226, 1311, 1453, and 1625 cm$^{-1}$, are determined to be the stretching vibration of C=N double bond and C-N single bond of aromatic heterocycles. The broad band for N-H stretching vibration is observed from 3000 to 3500 cm$^{-1}$ [35]. For CoAl-LDH, the bands at 750 and 1355 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the vibration modes of interlayer anion CO$_3^{2-}$, of which 750 cm$^{-1}$ also refers to the infrared absorption caused by metal-oxygen bond (M-O) [36]. The peak at 3450 cm$^{-1}$ indicates the tensile vibration of the O-H bond in water molecules and the hydrogen bonding of -OH in hydroxides, while the bending vibration of interlayer water molecules causes the characteristic peak at 1629 cm$^{-1}$.

![Figure 4. FT-IR spectra of the g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites.](image)

The infrared spectrum of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites basically retain the original vibration modes of g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets and CoAl-LDH. The peaks at 1355 and 1629 cm$^{-1}$ of CoAl-LDH cannot be clearly observed, likely due to overlapping of the characteristic peaks of g-C$_3$N$_4$ in the range of 1200–1650 cm$^{-1}$. In addition, the absorption peaks of g-C$_3$N$_4$ at 808 cm$^{-1}$ and in the region of 3000–3500 cm$^{-1}$ show different degrees of red shifting of the -C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites, resulting in a higher peak wavelength than that of pure g-C$_3$N$_4$. Additionally, the change in the peak positions of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites indicate that LDH interacts with the N-H group in the g-C$_3$N$_4$ and C-N bonds in the aromatic rings. It should be highlighted that the interface interactions between monomers are beneficial to the transfer of e$^-$, thus, improving their photocatalytic efficiency. Furthermore, with an increase in g-C$_3$N$_4$ content from 0.75 to 1.5 g/L, an increased peak intensity at 808 cm$^{-1}$ and in the range of 1200–1650 cm$^{-1}$, bands can be observed, indicating the existence of aromatic rings from g-C$_3$N$_4$ in the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites.

### 2.2. Optical and Photoelectric Properties of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH Nanocomposites

A UV-VIS spectrophotometer was used to characterize the light-response range and light absorption properties of the g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH
nanocomposites. As displayed in Figure 5a, the absorption edge of g-C$_3$N$_4$ is around 443 nm, reflecting its photocatalytic ability under the UV-visible light irradiation. In addition, three broad absorption peaks located at 460, 490, and 526 nm, the absorption band of CoAl-LDH in the ultraviolet region of 200–300 nm is mainly due to the interlayer ligand-to-metal charge transfer (O$^2-$→Mn$^+$) [37]. Obviously, owing to the synergistic effect of CoAl-LDH and g-C$_3$N$_4$, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites exhibit typical light absorption bands of CoAl-LDH in the UV-visible light absorption range. The improvement of UV-visible light absorption in the case of g-C$_3$N$_4$ is conducive to light utilization rate and generation of photo-induced carriers under illumination, and thus, can strengthen their photocatalytic activity. Moreover, a slight red shift of the absorption edge ranging from 443 to 454 nm is observed for the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites. Among the three nanocomposites with different amounts of g-C$_3$N$_4$, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite shows the best light absorption performance and highest absorption peak intensity. According to the Tauc plot [38], the band gap energies of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite were calculated to be 2.66, 2.09, and 2.48 eV, respectively, and are plotted in Figure 5b. Apparently, the embedding of g-C$_3$N$_4$ by the CoAl-LDH realizes an intensive UV-visible light absorption due to the change in the band structure and the narrowing of the band gap as compared with pure g-C$_3$N$_4$.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** (a) UV-VIS absorption spectra of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites; (b) Tauc plot of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite.

It is widely acknowledged that the lower PL emission intensity implies a lower rate for charge carrier recombination and higher photocatalytic activity [39]. As depicted in Figure 6, pure g-C$_3$N$_4$ displays an intense PL peak at 450 nm indicating a rapid recombination of photoexcited carriers, which is in accordance with the results presented in Figure 5a. In contrast to g-C$_3$N$_4$, the PL emission intensities of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites are decreased obviously and show a blue shift. This suggests that CoAl-LDH can accelerate electron–hole separation and the transfer process owing to the formation of heterojunction with g-C$_3$N$_4$, and therefore, impedes the electron–hole recombination. The smallest PL emission intensity is found for the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite, which indicates that higher recombination of carriers can be hindered with a higher content of g-C$_3$N$_4$. This could ascribe to the fact that the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite has the highest crystallinity and can provide an efficient transfer of excited carriers between the interfaces of LDH and g-C$_3$N$_4$. 
To further explore the electron–hole separation and transfer behavior of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite, EIS and photocurrent transient were performed, and the obtained plots are exhibited in Figure 7. Figure 7a gives the EIS Nyquist plots of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite. Note that the radius of circular arc in Nyquist plot reflects the resistance of carrier immigration on the surface of photocatalytic materials [40]. The small arc radius at high frequency suggests a more effective separation and a faster interfacial transfer of excited carrier [41]. Among all the samples, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite displays the smallest arc radius, suggesting the lowest resistance to electron transfer. This demonstrates that the heterojunction constructed between g-C$_3$N$_4$ and LDH indeed has a positive effect on the efficiency of excited carrier separation and transfer.

**Figure 6.** PL spectra of the g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites.

**Figure 7.** (a) EIS Nyquist plots; (b) I-T plots of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite. Mott–Schottky plots of: (c) g-C$_3$N$_4$; (d) CoAl-LDH.
The photocurrent transient response of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite film electrodes were investigated through four light-dark cycles, and the I-T plots are shown in Figure 7b. Due to the narrow band gap (2.09 eV), CoAl-LDH can only produce weak photocurrent with irradiation, indicating that the photo-generated carriers have a short lifetime, and can recombine easily in the bulk phase of the material. In contrast to the pure LDH and g-C$_3$N$_4$, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite shows a higher photocurrent intensity, which indicates that the heterojunction structure constructed can accelerate the transfer of photo-induced electrons and prolong their lifetimes, and consequently inhibit the recombination of photo-induced carriers. It can be extracted that the light current intensity of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite is the highest, which is about 2.4 and 3.2 times that of CoAl-LDH and g-C$_3$N$_4$, respectively.

The M-S measurement was investigated to examine the electronic potentials of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH. The obtained M-S plots are displayed in Figure 7c,d. By extending the linear plots to the X axis, corresponding to Y = 0, the positive slopes in M-S plots indicates n-type semiconductor characteristics for both g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH [42]. The flat band potentials (vs. SCE) of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH are −1.39 and −0.7 eV, respectively, which is approximately equal to their conduction band (CB) potential. Furthermore, according to the formula $E_g = E_{VB} − E_{CB}$, the valence band (VB) values (vs. SCE) of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH are calculated as 1.27 and 1.39 eV, respectively. Therefore, it can be expected that the staggered energy band structure of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH can form a type II heterojunction electric field at the interface between g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH, which greatly improves the separation and transfer of photo-induced electron–hole pairs.

### 2.3. Photocatalytic Depollution Activity of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH Nanocomposites

The photocatalytic activities of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoAl-LDH and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were investigated in view of NO$_x$ degradation. As shown in Figure 8, after irradiation with UV-visible light for 30 min, the degradation efficiencies of NO$_x$ by g-C$_3$N$_4$ and LDH were 72.87% and 27.92%, respectively. For the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites, the photocatalytic depollution efficiency of NO$_x$ gradually improved with an increase in g-C$_3$N$_4$ content, which was in accordance with the results from other measurements, such as PL, EIS, UV–VIS, and I-T. In particular, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite had the highest NO$_x$ degradation efficiency (89.62%), which was around 1.23 and 3.21 times that of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and LDH, respectively. The higher NO$_x$ degradation efficiency achieved by the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites can be ascribed to the formation of a type II heterojunction between g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoAl-LDH. In addition, the NO$_x$ degradation performance of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite was compared with other g-C$_3$N$_4$-based photocatalytic materials (Table 2). As can be seen from Table 2, the NO$_x$ degradation rate of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite is outstanding as compared with other g-C$_3$N$_4$-based materials.

**Table 2.** Comparison of NO$_x$ degradation performances of selected g-C$_3$N$_4$-based photocatalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
<th>NO (ppm)</th>
<th>Amount (mg)</th>
<th>Degradation Rate $\eta$ (%)</th>
<th>Incident Light (nm)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g-C$_3$N$<em>4$/CoAl-LDH$</em>{1.5}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>89.62</td>
<td>320–780 nm</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C$_3$N$_4$/SnO</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>44.17</td>
<td>&gt;325 nm</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi$_2$O$_3$/g-C$_3$N$_4$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>\</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W$<em>{18}$O$</em>{49}$/g-C$_3$N$_4$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>83.55</td>
<td>Simulated sunlight</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNC–0.3</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>&gt;400 nm</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Na–Ca co-doped g-C$_3$N$_4$)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt;420 nm</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-type g-C$_3$N$_4$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C$_3$N$_4$ QDs/InVO$_4$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb$_2$WO$_6$/g-C$_3$N$_4$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&gt;420 nm</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
when a photocatalyst is mixed with cement. In the process of cement hydration, plenty of calcium hydroxide is commonly produced resulting in an alkaline environment. Such a high alkalinity could be harmful to the photocatalytic capacity of some photocatalysts, for instance, affecting energy band structure, increasing electron–hole pair recombination efficiency, and decreasing service life [51]. Therefore, it is necessary to protect a photocatalyst from the cement matrix. In order to evaluate the alkaline impact on photocatalytic capacity, the powders of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite were immersed in simulated concrete pore solution for 10 min, and thereafter, washed and dried at 60 °C. Figure 9 shows the PL spectra of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite before and after immersing treatment by simulated concrete pore solution (0.6 mol/L KOH, 0.2 mol/L NaOH, 0.001 mol/L Ca(OH)$_2$, and 0.8 mol/L NaHCO$_3$). According to Figure 9, the PL intensity becomes stronger after the treatment for both g-C$_3$N$_4$ and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite, suggesting that the two treated photocatalysts have a higher recombination probability of photo-induced carriers. This pH-dependent PL behavior can be attributed to the existence of free zigzag sites, as previously reported graphene quantum dots (GOD) for a similar structure between graphene and g-C$_3$N$_4$ [52]. However, it is worth mentioning that the alkaline effect on the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite is smaller than that on g-C$_3$N$_4$, since only a slight increase in PL intensity could be observed with the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite after the alkaline treatment. As mentioned above, the molecular structure of LDH shares the same molecular characteristics with some cement hydration products and, thus, exhibits good compatibility with cement-based materials as well as chemical stability in an alkaline environment [23–25]. From this point of view, the incorporation of g-C$_3$N$_4$ into CoAl-LDH has indeed effectively mitigated the possible adverse effect of high alkalinity of a cement-based system on the photocatalytic capacity.

### 2.4. Photocatalytic Activity of the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH Nanocomposites in Cement Mortars

#### 2.4.1. Effect of High Alkalinity of the Cementitious Materials on Photocatalytic Ability

The compatibility between cement and a photocatalytic material should be considered when a photocatalyst is mixed with cement. In the process of cement hydration, plenty of calcium hydroxide is commonly produced resulting in an alkaline environment. Such a high alkalinity could be harmful to the photocatalytic capacity of some photocatalysts, for instance, affecting energy band structure, increasing electron–hole pair recombination efficiency, and decreasing service life [51]. Therefore, it is necessary to protect a photocatalyst from the cement matrix. In order to evaluate the alkaline impact on photocatalytic capacity, the powders of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite were immersed in simulated concrete pore solution for 10 min, and thereafter, washed and dried at 60 °C. Figure 9 shows the PL spectra of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite before and after immersing treatment by simulated concrete pore solution (0.6 mol/L KOH, 0.2 mol/L NaOH, 0.001 mol/L Ca(OH)$_2$, and 0.8 mol/L NaHCO$_3$). According to Figure 9, the PL intensity becomes stronger after the treatment for both g-C$_3$N$_4$ and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite, suggesting that the two treated photocatalysts have a higher recombination probability of photo-induced carriers. This pH-dependent PL behavior can be attributed to the existence of free zigzag sites, as previously reported graphene quantum dots (GOD) for a similar structure between graphene and g-C$_3$N$_4$ [52]. However, it is worth mentioning that the alkaline effect on the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite is smaller than that on g-C$_3$N$_4$, since only a slight increase in PL intensity could be observed with the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite after the alkaline treatment. As mentioned above, the molecular structure of LDH shares the same molecular characteristics with some cement hydration products and, thus, exhibits good compatibility with cement-based materials as well as chemical stability in an alkaline environment [23–25]. From this point of view, the incorporation of g-C$_3$N$_4$ into CoAl-LDH has indeed effectively mitigated the possible adverse effect of high alkalinity of a cement-based system on the photocatalytic capacity.
Figure 9. PL spectra of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoAl-LDH$_{1.5}$ nanocomposite before and after immersing treatment by simulated concrete pore solution.

2.4.2. Photocatalytic Performance Investigation in Cement Mortars

NO$_x$ degradation of the M, MC, ML, MM, and CNMM mortar samples was examined to evaluate their photocatalytic performance and the results are shown in Figure 10. Obviously, the degradation efficiency of the MM sample is greater than that of the CNMM sample under the UV-visible light irradiation. This implies that CoAl-LDH can protect g-C$_3$N$_4$ from the alkaline effect of cementitious materials. Hence, it can be concluded that the presence of CoAl-LDH can prevent the destruction of g-C$_3$N$_4$ in alkaline cement mortar, and also significantly improve the photocatalytic capacity by its synergistic effect.

Figure 10. Photocatalytic NO$_x$ degradation efficiency of different cement mortars.
To further analyze the influence of different manufacturing methods on photocatalytic degradation, a specified test was carried out on various types of mortars. For the reference mortar, a certain degradation rate (5%) is observed in the first 30 min, which is mainly due to its absorption. In contrast, the mortar coated with the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁₅ nanocomposite (MC sample) shows the highest photocatalytic performance with a degradation efficiency up to 71.29% after 30 min of UV-visible light irradiation. After that, the degradation efficiency reaches a steady-state platform of 74.21% at 45 min. As compared with the first 20 min, the slowing down of the photocatalytic degradation rate of the MC sample can be ascribed to the shielding effect of reaction products on the active site of photocatalyst. Among all the mortars, the ML and MM samples exhibit a middle-level photocatalytic performance with degradation efficiencies up to 61.4% and 58.97%, respectively, after 45 min irradiation, but no steady-state plateau is observed. In summary, all the mortar samples fabricated by internal mixing, coating, and spraying can effectively degrade the NOₓ pollutant in a relatively short period under UV-visible light.

2.4.3. Effect of Wearing on NOₓ Degradation Efficiency

The NOₓ degradation efficiencies for both the original and wearing samples after the rotary abrasion process are shown in Figure 11. It can be seen that the degradation efficiencies of the ML and MC mortar samples are decreased to a certain extent after the wearing operation. In particular, the photocatalytic degradation efficiency of the MC sample decreases by 17.21% after wearing for 10 min. As for the ML sample, the wearing action causes a significant reduction in the photocatalytic degradation efficiency, dropping from 62.4% to 33.8%. For the control sample (M), wearing has little influence of wearing on its removal rate of NOₓ. Nevertheless, the photocatalytic efficiencies of the MM and CNMM samples were slightly higher than those before wearing, which can be ascribed to the exposure of photocatalysts on the specimen surface under abrasion. In general, the photocatalytic degradation efficiency of mortar incorporated with the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁₅ nanocomposite presents abrasive resistance, although it is decreased after wearing.

![Figure 11. Photocatalytic NOₓ degradation efficiency of different cement mortars after wearing.](image)

The microstructure and morphology of the coated mortars before and after the wearing tests were investigated by SEM-EDS. As shown in Figure 12, thin layers of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH-based coatings can be observed, and are loading uniformly on the raw surface of the mortars’ substrates. Obviously, the porous microstructures of both the MC (Figure 12a) and
ML (Figure 12b) mortar substrates provide good anchorages for the photocatalytic coatings. In addition, a lot of particulate matter was found distributed on the surface of the ML sample (Figure 12d) without adequate adhesion. For the MC sample (Figure 12c), due to the adhesion of cement binder, a smooth surface with a photocatalytic coating is observed without obvious particles and cracks. Figure 12e,f show the surface morphologies of the MC and ML samples, respectively, after the rotary abrasion process. For the MC sample, the wearing action results in a partial exfoliation of the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁.₅-based coating and a relatively rough surface. In case of the ML sample, a rougher surface morphology is observed after the wearing, due to the loss of paste layer from the mortar substrate.

Figure 13 shows the EDS spectra of the coated mortars before and after wearing. Except for the Au coming from spraying gold in the process of test sample preparation, the Co signal is regarded as coming from the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁.₅ nanocomposite which is attached on the surface of the coated mortars. The concentration of Co on the mortar surface after the wearing process is obviously declined. Particularly, the MC sample shows a reduction in Co content from 11.77% to 6.77% because of the wearing. As compared with the MC sample, the ML sample exhibits a lower decrease in Co content after wearing. The results of the SEM-EDS reveal that both of the MC and ML samples display a certain resistance to abrasive action, a finding similar to the results of NOₓ degradation tests.
3. Experimental

3.1. Materials

Ammonium fluoride (NH₄F), urea (CO(NH₂)₂), aluminum nitrate nonahydrate (Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O), citric acid monohydrate (C₆H₅O₆), and cobalt nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) were purchased from National Medicine Group Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. The Portland cement (42.5R-grade) was produced from the Fujian Cement Co., Ltd., Fuzhou, China was used.

3.2. Preparation of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH

Synthesis of g-C₃N₄: As described in a previous study [35], g-C₃N₄ was prepared by condensation polymerization of urea under environmental pressure and self-supporting atmosphere produced by pyrolysis. Briefly, 20 g urea and 25 mg citric acid monohydrate were mixed first, before being put into a covered crucible and calcined at 550 °C for 240 min. After cooling naturally, the powders of g-C₃N₄ were obtained by milling the light-yellow agglomerates.

Synthesis of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH: The g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH was synthesized through a coprecipitation process [53]. Firstly, a 100 mL mixed solution containing Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (0.0075 mol), urea (0.27 mol), Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.0225 mol), and NH₄F (1 g/L) was prepared in a beaker before adding a given content of g-C₃N₄. Then, the mixture was stirred rapidly for 60 min at 25 °C, and continuously, for another 24 h at 110 °C. Finally, the precipitate was washed, and dried in an oven for a day at 60 °C. The resulting products prepared by adding 0.75, 1.25, and 1.5 g/L g-C₃N₄ were named as g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₀.₇₅, g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁.₂₅, and g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH₁.₅, respectively. For comparison, pure CoAl-LDH was also prepared following the same procedure except for the omission of g-C₃N₄. The synthesis ratio of the above photocatalyst materials is listed in Table 3.
Table 3. Proportion of the CoAl-LDH and the g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>g-C3N4 (g/L)</th>
<th>Al(NO3)3·9H2O (mol)</th>
<th>Co(NO3)2·6H2O (mol)</th>
<th>Urea (mol)</th>
<th>NH4F (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoAl-LDH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Preparation of Photocatalytic Cement Mortars

Five groups of mortar specimens were fabricated: reference cement mortar (M), cement mortar with a thin layer of cement paste containing g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH (ML), cement mortar with g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH coating (MC), cement mortar admixed with g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH (MM), and cement mortar admixed g-C3N4 (CNMM). The cement mortar samples were prepared with the water/cement/standard sand at the mass ratio of 0.5:1:3, according to the standard procedure (UNI 11259:2008 standard [54]). The fresh mortars were cast into the cubic mold (40 × 40 × 40 mm³), demolded after one day, and then, cut into two halves, donated as the M sample. For the preparation of the MM sample, g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH powder (0.25% by the weight of cement) was ultrasonically dispersed in water for 10 min before adding into the dry mixture of cement mortar. Then, the mixture was stirred evenly and cast into molds. For the preparation of the CNMM sample, the same procedure was applied but g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH was replaced with g-C3N4. To obtain the ML sample, first, fresh cement paste mixed with 0.25 wt% g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH with a water-to-cement ratio of 0.5 was prepared, and then spread on the cut face of the prepared mortar with a thickness of around 5 mm. To obtain the MC sample, the g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH suspension (10 g/L) with cement as binder (10% by the weight of water) was deposited on the fresh mortar by spraying. The parameters for the spraying operation were as following: spraying pressure is 7.0 MPa; diameter of nozzle is 1.3 mm; distance between the spray device and specimen is 25 cm; spraying angle is 45°, and spray time lasts for 1 min. The samples were all cured in a standard curing room (25 °C and 98% RH) for 28 days. The mix designs of the photocatalytic cement mortars are listed in Table 4. The images of photocatalytic cement mortars MC, ML, MM, and CNMM are presented in Figure 14.

Table 4. Mix designs of the photocatalytic cement mortars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cement (g)</th>
<th>Sand (g)</th>
<th>g-C3N4/CoAl-LDH (g)</th>
<th>g-C3N4 (g)</th>
<th>w/c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNMM</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Characterization and Measurement

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed on a DY5261/Xpert3 with Cu Kα irradiation (λ = 0.154 nm, 45 kV, and 40 mA) at a scanning rate of 10°/min. The morphologies and elemental analysis of the samples were performed on a scanning electron
microscopy (TECNAI G2 F20) combined with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS). The specific area and pore size distribution were determined using a surface area and porosity analyzer (ASAP 2460, Micromeritics Instruments Inc., Norcross, Georgia, USA). Before the specified test, samples were degassed and dried for 12 hrs. The Fourier transform infrared spectra (FTIR) of the samples were recorded using a FTIR spectrometer (AVATAR360) in the scanning range of 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\). The optical absorbance was measured by UV-VIS spectrophotometry with a Cary 7000 UV–VIS spectrometer from 200 to 800 nm using barium sulfate as background. Steady-state photoluminescence spectra were tracked using a Fluorescence Spectrometer (F-7000, Hitachi, Japan). The excitation wavelength of g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) and g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\)/CoAl-LDH is 285 nm, and that of CoAl-LDH is 255 nm.

The photoelectric tests were performed using a CHI760 electrochemical workstation. The electrochemical cell was set up with a platinum electrode, a saturated calomel electrode (SCE), and a working electrode using 0.1 mol/L sodium sulfate solution as the electrolyte. In this work, the photocatalytic film electrode, as the working electrode, was obtained by depositing the photocatalyst suspension on fluorine-doped tin oxide conductive glass. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured under open circuit potential condition. The Mott–Schottky (M-S) plots were measured at 800 Hz and 1200 Hz, respectively. The photocurrent test was conducted with an initial voltage of 0.4 V in the two-electrode system.

3.5. Photocatalytic Measurement

The photocatalytic oxidation of nitric oxide (NO\(_x\)) was carried out using a customized reaction setup as depicted in Figure 15. It consists of a gas supply providing 5 ppmv (parts per million by volume) NO stabilized in nitrogen (N\(_2\)) and synthetic air, a xenon lamp, a reactor cell housing the test samples, and a NO\(_x\) analyzer. The xenon lamp of 300 W worked as the light source (\(\lambda = 320–780\) nm), and the irradiance could be adjusted via the distance between the lamp and sample. The data acquisition of NO\(_x\) concentration was performed using a NO\(_x\) analyzer (Gastiger 6000) in a time interval of 5 s. All the photocatalytic experiments were conducted under stable conditions as listed in Table 5.

![Figure 15. Schematic diagram of the NO\(_x\) degradation reaction device.](image-url)
Table 5. Photocatalytic experimental conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial NO concentration (ppmv)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate of gas mixtures (L/min)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light intensity on the sample surface (mW/cm²)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To evaluate the photocatalytic depollution activity, 25 mg photocatalyst powders were suspended in 5 mL deionized water, poured into a glass dish (Φ = 5 cm), and then dried in an oven at 60 °C. Before irradiation, the prepared photocatalyst sample was put into the reactor cell, and let the gas mixture flow through for a certain time to achieve an initial stable condition. Once a stable NO concentration was reached, the valve was turned off, allowing the absorption of NO on the sample in a dark state until saturation. Then, the sample was exposed to the UV-visible light through quartz glass for 45 min of degradation reaction. The concentration of the NOₓ was continually monitored until switching off the xenon lamp for a few minutes. To evaluate the performance of photocatalytic mortar, the same procedure was applied by using four series of mortar samples as fabricated in Section 2.3.

The photocatalytic activity of each testing sample is characterized by the NOₓ conversion efficiency and calculated as follows [55]:

$$\eta = \frac{[C_{NO_x}]_{in} - [C_{NO_x}]_{out}}{[C_{NO_x}]_{in}} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where \([C_{NO_x}]_{in}\) is the initial concentration of NOₓ (ppm) before irradiation and \([C_{NO_x}]_{out}\) is the outlet concentration of NOₓ (ppm) after switching off the light.

3.6. Laboratory-Simulated Wearing and Abrasion

The abrasion and wear resistance of mortars coated with photocatalyst (ML and MC) were evaluated through a rotary abrasion process. An electric grinding rod was utilized to simulate a scaled wheel passing back and forth on the specimen in wearing condition. The grinding rod was applied for 10 min at a speed of 150 rpm/min under constant loading of 75 N. The photocatalytic behaviors along with the surface morphologies of the mortar samples were examined by SEM-EDS through comparative observations and quantitative analyses of Co element in the samples before and after the wearing.

4. Conclusions

Novel organic-inorganic g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were synthesized in this work. The g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were characterized comprehensively by means of XRD, SEM, BET, FTIR, PL, and photoelectric tests. A series of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH photocatalytic cement mortars were prepared. The photocatalytic activities of g-C₃N₄, CoAl-LDH, and the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites and the corresponding mortars were evaluated based on NOₓ degradation under UV-visible light. The main conclusions are summarized as follows:

(1) A group of g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites with unique flower-like microsphere structures were synthesized through coprecipitation method. The microstructures of the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites were affected by g-C₃N₄ content.

(2) Formation of the heterojunction in the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites was demonstrated. The g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites exhibited overall better photocatalytic performances than pure g-C₃N₄ and CoAl-LDH. A higher content of g-C₃N₄ leads to an increase in crystallinity, specific surface area, light response range, and electron–hole separation rate. The photocatalytic capacity of the g-C₃N₄/CoAl-LDH nanocomposites is enhanced accordingly.
(3) A higher photocatalytic capacity was found in the g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}/CoAl-LDH cement mortar than in the g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} cement mortar in view of NO\textsubscript{x} degradation. A sufficient combination of g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} with LDH can effectively accelerate the separation and transfer of photo-induced carriers, and also prevent the reduction in photocatalytic ability caused by the high alkalinity of cementitious materials.

(4) The g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}/CoAl-LDH photocatalytic cement mortars, including those fabricated by internal mixing, coating, and spraying, can effectively degrade NO\textsubscript{x} pollutant in a relatively short period under UV-visible light, and they all present good wear.
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