Enhanced Fe(III)/Fe(II) Redox Cycle for Persulfate Activation by Reducing Sulfur Species
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Abstract: The activation of persulfate (PS) by Fe(III) for the removal of environmental organic pollutants was severely limited by the low reduction rate from Fe(III) to Fe(II). In present study, we reported that reducing sulfur species (i.e., SO$_3^{2-}$, HSO$_3^-$, S$_2^-$, and HS$^-$) under low concentration could significantly accelerate the Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle in the Fe(III)/PS system. Under the condition of 1.0 mM Fe(III) and 4.0 mM PS, the removal performance of Fe(III)/PS system was poor, and only 21.6% of BPA was removed within 40 min. However, the degradation efficiency of BPA increased to 66.0%, 65.5%, 72.9% and 82.7% with the addition of 1.0 mM SO$_3^{2-}$, HSO$_3^-$, S$_2^-$, and HS$^-$, respectively. The degradation efficiency of BPA was highly dependent on solution pH and the concentration of reducing sulfur species. When the reductant was excessive, the removal efficiency would be significantly inhibited due to the elimination of reactive species. This study provided some valuable insights for the treatment of organic wastewater containing these inorganic reducing ions.
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1. Introduction

Advanced oxidation processes (AOP), usually including Fenton oxidation, persulfate oxidation, photocatalytic oxidation, electrochemical oxidation, chlorine oxidation, ozone oxidation etc [1–4]. Among them, iron-based peroxide activation process, including H$_2$O$_2$ and persulfate (PS), have attracted extensive investigation interests because of their excellent performance and environmental friendliness. Similar to the traditional Fenton process, Fe(II) is one of the most commonly used PS activators to produce effective reactive oxidant species (ROS) [5]. However, the slow reduction rate from Fe(III) to Fe(II) seriously limited the application of Fe(III)/PS systems in the removal of environmental pollutants [6]. Thus, in recent years, Fe(II) regeneration in iron-based catalysts/PS systems has attracted more and more attention [7–9].

Interestingly, a large number of studies have confirmed that the use of reducing agents can significantly accelerate the Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle and enhance the removal of organic pollutants during the activation of Fenton and iron-based persulfate [10–12]. However, the use of reducing agents in the iron-based persulfate reaction system will also lead to inevitable quenching effects of reactive species. Therefore, the use of reducing agents in the peroxide activation processes is actually a trade-off between the generation of reactive species and the elimination of reactive species [13]. Thus, it is of great importance to clarify the influence of above factors to control the production and elimination of reactive species.
Reductant and iron chelator are commonly used promoters of Fe(III) reduction. Reductants such as hydroxylamine [10,11,14], ascorbic acid [15,16], thiosulfate [17], and cysteine [18] can directly reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II), which is conducive to the reaction between Fe (II) and PS. To increase the concentration of dissolved iron species and regulating the redox potential of iron chelator complexes to accelerate the cycle of Fe(III)/Fe(II), iron chelating agents including citric acid [19], ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA) [20] and ethylene diamine disuccinic acid (EDDS) [21] have been introduced into the system to enhance the degradation of organic pollutants. Meanwhile, many organic substances, such as gallic acid, hydroquinone, epigallocatechin-3-gallate and benzoquinone, can also provide electrons to Fe(III) through electron rich groups or their degradation by-products, which promotes the Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle systems [22]. Although the addition of reducing agents can promote the regeneration of Fe(II) and improve the activation performance of PS, it may also lead to secondary pollution risk or increase the total organic carbon of the reaction system [12].

In view of the above problem, using reducing agents originally existing in the water to regenerate Fe(II) may be a good idea to improve PS activation efficiency and reduce external pollution. Sulfite and sulfide, as common inorganic reducing agent, widely exist in various industrial wastewaters, such as food processing, petroleum refining, tanneries, paper, and pulp manufacturing wastewater [22,23]. In consideration of the fact that sulfite and sulfide may be easy to obtain, and there are also many organic pollutants in these wastewaters, it is very important to look for S(IV)(SO$_3^{2-}$/or HSO$_3^-$) and S(-II)(S$_2^{2-}$/or HS$^-$) inorganic anions to promote the Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle in the iron-based AOP and enhance the removal of organic pollutants in the wastewater. Because Fe(III) can oxidize S(IV)(SO$_3^{2-}$/HSO$_3^-$) to SO$_4^{2-}$ [24], and S(-II)(S$_2^{2-}$/or HS$^-$) to S$^0$ [22], interestingly, in this process, Fe(III) is also reduced to Fe(II), which may be an attractive strategy to promote the Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle in AOPs.

Therefore, the main purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of S(IV) and S(-II) on the activation performance in the PS/Fe(III) system. Bisphenol A (BPA), typical environmental hormone, usually used as a chemical material in various industries [25] and widely distributed in the water environment, was selected as the representative pollutant to investigate the effect of S(IV) and S(-II) on the activation efficiency of PS in the Fe(III)/PS process. Various parameters affecting the degradation efficiency of BPA were also investigated, including S(IV) and S(-II) dose, PS dose and pH value. This study will contribute to the construction of S(IV)/Fe(III)/PS and S(-II)/Fe(III)/PS systems, and provide some valuable insights for the treatment of organic wastewater containing these inorganic reducing ions.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Chemicals and Materials

The chemicals used in the experiments, including Na$_2$S·9H$_2$O, NaHS, Na$_2$SO$_3$, Na$_2$HSO$_3$, FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O, NaCl, Na$_2$S$_2$O$_8$ (PS), BPA, 1,10-phenanthroline, NaOH, H$_2$SO$_4$, and glacial acetic acid (HAc), were all purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Methyl phenyl sulfoxide (PMSO) and methyl phenyl sulfone (PMSO$_2$) were purchased from Macklin Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Methanol (MeOH), ethanol (EtOH) and tert-butanol (TBA) were supplied by Aladdin Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Unless otherwise specified, all chemicals used were of analytical grade without further purification. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (resistance > 18.2 MO) from the Millipore Milli-Q water system.

2.2. Experimental Procedure

All experiments were performed in 150 mL conical flasks containing appropriate concentrations of BPA, SO$_3^{2-}$/HSO$_3^-$ or S$_2^{2-}$/HS$^-$, PS and Fe(III), with a constant stirring rate at 25 °C, and the reaction was performed on the thermostat water bath. The pH of the reaction solutions was adjusted to the desired values with 0.1 M NaOH or H$_2$SO$_4$. 1.0 mL solution was withdrawn at predetermined time intervals, and quenched immediately
with 0.2 mL MeOH. Finally, the samples were filtered with 0.22-µm PTFE membranes (Jinteng, China) before analysis with high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). All experiments were conducted at least in duplicate, and the mean and average deviations were reported.

2.3. Analytical Methods

The concentration of BPA was analyzed on DIONEX UltiMate 3000 HPLC with a C-18 column (Agilent, 5 µm, 250 × 4.6 mm) and a UV detector. The mobile phase for the detection of BPA was consisted of 70% MeOH and 30% water (0.2% HAc) (v/v) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹, the retention time of BPA is 4.2 min. The column temperature and injection volume were set at 25 °C and 20 µL, respectively. The concentration of regenerative Fe(II) was measured on HACH DR6000 UV–vis spectrophotometer by 1,10-Phenanthroline method [26]. The pH values of the solution were measured with a pH meter (FE28-Standard, Mettler Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Degradation Efficiency of BPA in Different Systems

In this study, the removal efficiencies of BPA in the Fe(III)/PS system with/without inorganic reducing ions were firstly conducted. As shown in Figure 1a, under the condition of 1.0 mM Fe(III) and 4.0 mM PS, the removal performance of Fe(III)/PS system was poor, and only 21.6% of BPA was removed within 40 min. This result might be attributed to the fact that Fe(III) can react with PS to regenerate Fe(II) through Equation (1) [27], the transformation of Fe(III) to Fe(II) is very limited, which is in accordance with the fact that Fe(III) cannot effectively activate PS [28]. When 1.0 mM S(IV) or S(-II) was added into the Fe(III)/PS system, the catalytic oxidation process was enhanced to various degrees. The degradation efficiency of BPA was 66.0%, 65.5%, 72.9% and 82.7% with the addition of 1.0 mM SO₃²⁻, HSO₃⁻, S²⁻, and HS⁻, respectively. These results indicated that the addition of inorganic reducing ions can remarkably improve the removal efficiency in the Fe(III)/PS system, which could be ascribed to the addition of inorganic reducing ions promoted the conversion of Fe(III) to Fe(II) via Equations (2)–(6) [29–32]. The inorganic reducing ions sulfur species could accelerate Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle, and the regenerated Fe(II) significantly accelerated PS activation to enhance BPA degradation. Of note, the degradation of BPA in the Fe(II)/PS system was higher than that in the Fe(III)/PS system (Figure 1a), but lower than that of the Fe(III)/PS system incorporating inorganic reducing ions. These phenomena might be explained by the fact that both PS and the generated radicals could be consumed by the excessive Fe(II) [Equations (7)–(9)] [33,34], thus reducing the utilization efficiency of PS. In order to further confirm that this enhancement might be due to the S(IV) or S(-II) ions can promote the cycle of Fe(III)/Fe(II), accelerate the regeneration of Fe(II), and maintain high catalytic activity of the Fe(II)/PS system, the concentration of generated Fe(II) in S(IV) or S(-II)/Fe(III)/PS system was determined by 1,10-phenanthroline method [9] (Figure 1b). The concentrations of Fe(II) and Fe(III) were in a dynamic cycle within 40 min and remain relatively constant. The concentration of Fe(II) in the S²⁻/Fe(III)/PS system was 0.89–0.96 mM, which was higher than that of the HS⁻/Fe(III)/PS system (0.53–0.54 mM). The excess Fe(II) in the S²⁻/Fe(III)/PS system might act as free radical scavenger, resulting in a lower removal of BPA than the HS⁻/Fe(III)/PS system. The concentration changes of Fe(II) in the SO₃²⁻/Fe(III)/PS and HSO₃⁻/Fe(III)/PS system were comparable, which were 0.55–0.60 mM and 0.40–0.46 mM, respectively. Thus, no significant difference can be observed for the removal efficiency of BPA in the SO₃²⁻/Fe(III)/PS and HSO₃⁻/Fe(III)/PS systems. However, it was noted that the removal efficiencies of BPA (Figure 1a) were in the order of HS⁻/Fe(III)/PS > S²⁻/Fe(III)/PS > SO₃²⁻/Fe(III)/PS > HSO₃⁻/Fe(III)/PS > Fe(II)/PS > Fe(III)/PS, which were slightly different from the order of the concentration changes of Fe(II) in the Figure 1b (S²⁻/Fe(III)/PS > SO₃²⁻/Fe(III)/PS > HS⁻/Fe(III)/PS > HSO₃⁻/Fe(III)/PS > Fe(II)/PS > Fe(III)/PS). On the one hand, in the presence of reducing sulfur species, the removal
efficiency of BPA can indeed be enhanced through the Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox cycle. On the other hand, in addition to the regeneration concentration of Fe(II), the removal efficiency of BPA may also be related to the chemical properties of reducing sulfur itself.

Fe(III) + S_2O_8^{2−} → Fe(II) + S_2O_8•−  
(1)

Fe(III) + SO_3^{2−} → Fe(II) + SO_3•−  
(2)

Fe(III) + HSO_3− → FeSO_3+ + H^+  
(3)

FeSO_3+ → Fe(II) + SO_3•−  
(4)

2Fe(III) + HS− → 2Fe(II) + S + H^+  
(5)

2Fe(III) + S^{2−} → 2Fe(II) + S  
(6)

Fe(II) + S_2O_8^{2−} → Fe(III) + SO_4•− + SO_4^{2−}  
(7)

Fe(II) + SO_4•− → Fe(III) + SO_4^{2−}  
(8)

Fe(II) + •OH → Fe(III) + OH−  
(9)

Figure 1. BPA removal in different systems (a); Concentration of regenerated Fe(II) in different systems (b). Reaction conditions: [Fe(III)] = 1.0 mM, [S(−II)] = [S(IV)] = 1.0 mM, [PS] = 4 mM, [BPA] = 10.0 mg/L.

In order to prove the dynamic cycle of Fe(II) and Fe(III), EDTA was used to compete for the Fe species with S species. Previous study have reported that Fe^{2+}-EDTA complex was more stable than Fe^{2+}-EDTA complex [35]. Figure S1 in the Supplementary Materials illustrates that BPA degradation efficiencies were dropped to 20.7%, 48.6%, 36.2%, and 32.6% in the SO_3^{2−}/Fe(III)/PS, HSO_3−/Fe(III)/PS, S^{2−}/Fe(III)/PS, and HS−/Fe(III)/PS systems, respectively. These results indicated that the addition of EDTA significantly inhibited the removal of BPA because the conversion between Fe(II) and Fe(III) is blocked, which further demonstrated the important roles of the dynamic cycle between Fe(II) and Fe(III).

3.2. Effect of SO_3^{2−}/HSO_3−

Figure 2 and Table 1 show that BPA degradation efficiencies with initial concentrations of PS and Fe(III) increased from 25.1 to 82.7% and the rate constants increased remarkably from 0.0016 to 0.0216 min\(^{-1}\) when the reducing sulfur species concentration increased from 0.1 to 1.0 mM. Meanwhile, the initial pH increased from 2.65 to 5.35 and the final pH increased from 2.51 to 3.23. As shown in Figure 2a, when the concentration of SO_3^{2−} increased from 0.1 mM to 1.0 mM and 10.0 mM, the degradation efficiency of BPA increased from 25.1% to 66.0%, and then decreased to 2%, respectively, indicating that low concentration of SO_3^{2−} could promote the degradation of BPA in the Fe(III)/PS system. Previous studies
have shown that the divalent and trivalent forms of iron exhibit reactivity in activating PMS and PS, but Fe(III) cannot activate the oxidants and generate ROS as effectively as Fe(II) [29]. Many researchers found that SO$_3^{2-}$ could effectively promote the conversion from Fe(III) to Fe(II) according to Equation (2) [30]. The generated Fe(II) can catalyze persulfate to produce SO$_4^{•−}$ radicals as expressed by Equation (7) [33], which was conducive to the degradation of BPA. However, when the concentration of SO$_3^{2-}$ was further increased to 10.0 mM, the degradation reaction was nearly terminated, because the excess SO$_3^{2−}$ would react with SO$_4^{•−}$ and HO• radicals according to Equations (10) and (11) [36].

\[
\text{SO}_4^{•−} + \text{SO}_3^{2−} \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2−} + \text{SO}_3^{•−} \quad (10)
\]

\[
\text{HO}• + \text{SO}_3^{2−} \rightarrow \text{SO}_3^{•−} + \text{OH}− \quad (11)
\]

The degradation efficiency of BPA in the HSO$_3$−/Fe(III)/PS system was described in Figure 2b, the degradation efficiency of BPA was 38.8%, 65.5% and 41.8% within 40 min with the addition of 0.1 mM, 1.0 mM and 10.0 mM of HSO$_3$−, respectively. These results indicated that the degradation efficiency of BPA was enhanced when low concentrations of HSO$_3$− ions were added in the Fe(III)/PS system, while high concentration HSO$_3$− exhibited inhibitory effect on BPA removal. It might be attributed to Fe(III) being transformed to Fe(II) through Equations (3) and (4) [30], then PS is activated by Fe(II) ions to generate SO$_4^{•−}$ radicals, which was favorable for the degradation of BPA. However, high-concentration HSO$_3$− disfavored the BPA removal due to excess HSO$_3$− can act as scavenger to react with...
SO₄•⁻ and •OH (Equations (12) and (13)) [37,38], and produce SO₃•⁻, SO₃•⁻ with lower oxidation potential (0.63 V) [39], which cannot efficiently oxidize BPA.

\[
\text{SO}_4^{\bullet^{-}} + \text{HSO}_3^{-} \rightarrow \text{HSO}_4^{-} + \text{SO}_3^{\bullet^{-}} \tag{12}
\]

\[
\text{•OH} + \text{HSO}_3^{-} \rightarrow \text{SO}_3^{\bullet^{-}} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{13}
\]

3.3. Effect of S²⁻/HS⁻

As depicted in Figure 2c,d, the significant increased removal efficiency of BPA at the concentration of S²⁻/HS⁻ 0.1 mM and 1.0 mM would be obtained due to the S(-II) species have the ability to regenerate Fe(II) from Fe(III) via Equations (14) and (15) [31,32]. However, when the S²⁻/HS⁻ concentration was increased to 10.0 mM, the removal of BPA could be ignored. This phenomenon could be attributed to the fact that PS can be consumed quickly by S(-II) via Equations (16) and (17) [40], and less generation of free radicals, which inhibited BPA degradation. In addition, excess S²⁻/HS⁻ also could react with Fe(II) (Equations (18) and (19)) to produce FeS precipitation [31], which was not conducive to the activation of PS. For these reasons, when the S²⁻/HS⁻ concentration was further increased to 10.0 mM, the degradation of BPA was significantly hampered.

\[
2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HS}^{-} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{S} + \text{H}^{+} \tag{14}
\]

\[
2\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{S}^{2-} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{S} \tag{15}
\]

\[
\text{S}^{2-}/\text{HS}^{-} + \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} \rightarrow \text{S} + 2\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{HSO}_4^{-} \tag{16}
\]

\[
\text{S} + 3\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + 8\text{OH}^{-} \rightarrow 7\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{17}
\]

\[
\text{S}^{2-} + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{FeS} \downarrow \tag{18}
\]

\[
\text{HS}^{-} + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{FeS} \downarrow + \text{H}^{+} \tag{19}
\]

Table 1. The kinetics for BPA removal in 40 min under different S species ions conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Removal (%)</th>
<th>(k_{\text{obs}}) (min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Half-Life ((t_{1/2}), min)</th>
<th>Initial pH</th>
<th>Final pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₃²⁻ concentration (mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>433.22</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>44.72</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSO₃⁻ concentration (mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>111.80</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>41.51</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>315.07</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S²⁻ concentration (mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>57.76</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>45.30</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>10.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS⁻ concentration (mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>50.23</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>0.0216</td>
<td>32.09</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Influence of the Concentration of Fe(III)

The effects of different Fe(III) concentrations on the degradation of BPA were shown in Figure 3. The degradation efficiency of BPA increased from 6.2% to 70.1% and 45.8% to 73.2% for SO₃²⁻/Fe(III)/PS and HSO₃⁻/Fe(III)/PS system, 9.7% to 72.0% and 46.1% to 77.4% for S²⁻/Fe(III)/PS and HS⁻/Fe(III)/PS system, respectively, with the Fe(III) increasing from 0.1 mM to 1.0 mM. The possible reason was that an increase in Fe(III)
concentration resulted in more Fe(II) generation, which enhanced the activation of PS in S(IV)/Fe(III)/PS and S(-II)/Fe(III)/PS system.

**Table 1.** The kinetics for BPA removal in 40 min under different S species concentration and Fe(III) concentration (mM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (mM)</th>
<th>Fe(III) (mM)</th>
<th>BPA Removal (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Effect of the concentration of Fe(III) on the removal efficiency of BPA in the SO$_3^{2-}$/Fe(III)/PS (a); HSO$_3^−$/Fe(III)/PS (b); S$^2−$/Fe(III)/PS (c) and HS$^−$/Fe(III)/PS (d) system. Reaction conditions: [SO$_3^{2−}$] = [HSO$_3^−$] = [S$^2−$] = [HS$^−$] = 1.0 mM, [PS] = 4 mM, [BPA] = 10.0 mg/L.

### 3.5. Influence of Initial pH

As we know solution pH normally affects the form of iron. Thus, the effect of initial pH on BPA degradation in the S(IV)/Fe(III)/PS and S(-II)/Fe(III)/PS system was investigated in the absence of buffer, and the results are shown in Figure 4. Due to the strong acid ability of PS, the pH immediately dropped to 2.84 (as the control) when PS was introduced into the solution. Correspondingly, for the control experiments, the degradation efficiency of BPA was 70.1%, 73.2%, 72.0% and 77.4% in the SO$_3^{2−}$/Fe(III)/PS, HSO$_3^−$/Fe(III)/PS, S$^2−$/Fe(III)/PS and HS$^−$/Fe(III)/PS system, respectively. However, similar curves and performances of BPA degradation were obtained at pH 4.08 and 6.03, and significant inhibition of BPA degradation was observed when the initial pH of the solution was 4.08 and 6.03, which probably because Fe species are more readily precipitated in the form of oxyhydroxides at pH above 3.0 [34], and decrease the availability of Fe(II). These results indicated that the performance of S(IV)/Fe(III)/PS and S(-II)/Fe(III)/PS system are initial pH dependent. In addition, higher pH value (3-4) is more favorable for the formation of FeOH$_2^+$ and Fe(OH)$_2$, and its activity is lower than Fe$^{2+}$ [41], which is not conducive to the formation of SO$_4^{−}$ and further BPA degradation [42].
with the addition of 0.1 and 1.0 M TBA, the degradation efficiencies for BPA were dropped whereas TBA reacted with responsible for the degradation of BPA, especially SO$_3$$^-$.

EtOH could be ignored. These results indicated that both than TBA, especially when the concentration of EtOH increased to 1.0 M, the removal of reactivity toward SO$_3$$^-$. Implied that

Effect of the initial pH on the removal efficiency of BPA in the SO$_3$$^-$:

$\text{HSO}_3^-$

Figure 4. Effect of the initial pH on the removal efficiency of BPA in the SO$_3$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS (a); HSO$_3^-$/Fe(III)/PS (b); $\text{S}_2$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS (c) and HS$^-$/Fe(III)/PS (d) system. Reaction conditions: [Fe(III)] = 1.0 mM, [SO$_3$$^-$] = [HSO$_3$] = [S$_2$$^-$] = [HS$^-$] = 1.0 mM, [PS] = 4 mM, [BPA] = 10.0 mg/L.

3.6. Identification of the Primary Reactive Oxidant Species

To elucidate the roles of radicals for BPA degradation in the S(IV)/Fe(III)/PS and S(II)/Fe(III)/PS system process, radical quenching experiments were conducted by using EtOH or TBA reagents. Due to the different kinetic constants, EtOH and TBA can be used to distinguish the contribution of *OH and SO$_4$$^{4-}$ to the degradation of BPA in the reaction system [41]. The previous literature reported that EtOH could react with *OH and SO$_4$$^{4-}$, whereas TBA reacted with *OH [5]. The effect of radical scavengers on the degradation of BPA is presented in Figure 5. The presence of EtOH shows a significant inhibitory effect on BPA removal, in the absence of scavengers, approximately 70.1%, 73.2%, 72.0% and 77.4% of BPA was degraded in the SO$_3$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS, HSO$_3$-/Fe(III)/PS, $\text{S}_2$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS and HS$^-$/Fe(III)/PS system, respectively. However, when different concentrations of TBA or EtOH were added, the degradation of BPA showed different inhibition efficiency, which implied that *OH and SO$_4$$^{4-}$ free radicals may be the main reaction species. With the addition of 0.1 and 1.0 M EtOH, the degradation efficiencies for BPA were dropped to 39.4% and 7.0%, 27.2% and 2.3%, 14.8% and 5.6%, and 16.4% and 7.5% in the SO$_3$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS, HSO$_3$-/Fe(III)/PS, $\text{S}_2$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS and HS$^-$/Fe(III)/PS system, respectively. In addition, with the addition of 0.1 and 1.0 M TBA, the degradation efficiencies for BPA were dropped to 66.2% and 55.3%, 67.2% and 48.4%, 44.2% and 30.6%, and 52.2% and 12.2% in the SO$_3$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS, HSO$_3$-/Fe(III)/PS, $\text{S}_2$$^-$/Fe(III)/PS and HS$^-$/Fe(III)/PS system, respectively. The difference in the quenching effects of EtOH and TBA was due to their different reactivity toward SO$_4$$^{4-}$ and *OH [43]. The inhibition effect of EtOH was always stronger than TBA, especially when the concentration of EtOH increased to 1.0 M, the removal of BPA could be ignored. These results indicated that both *OH and SO$_4$$^{4-}$ were present and responsible for the degradation of BPA, especially SO$_4$$^{4-}$ played a more critical role.
4. Conclusions

In the present study, we found that the presence of SO\(_4^{2-}\)/H\(_2\)O and S\(^2-\)/H\(_2\)O can accelerate the Fe(III)/Fe(II) cycle in PS/Fe(III) system and improved the degradation efficiency of BPA, and good degradation efficiency can be obtained at medium acidity. In addition to \(\cdot\)OH and SO\(_4^{2-}\), Fe(IV) was also involved in this process, and their contribution to the degradation of BPA was highly dependent on solution pH and the concentration of reducing sulfur species. The results indicated that both S(IV) (i.e., SO\(_4^{2-}\) and HSO\(_3^-\)) and

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+}\text{O}^{2+} + 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \tag{20}
\]
S(-II) (i.e., S\(^{2-}\) and HS\(^{-}\)) can reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II), and these reducing sulfur species were mainly responsible for enhancing Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox cycle, accelerating PS activation and pollutant degradation. With the addition of reductants, the removal efficiency of BPA would obviously accelerate. However, due to the quenching effect, the removal efficiency might decrease when the reductant was greatly excessive. This study can provide some valuable insights into the treatment of some organic wastewaters that contain SO\(_{3}\)^{2-}/HSO\(_{3}\)^{-} or S\(^{2-}/HS^{-}\), and the results suggested that reducing agents have the great potential in breaking through the rate limit step and promoting the generation of reactive species. Furthermore, harmless and efficient reductants also should be encouraged to be explored for the application for peroxide activation.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/catal12111435/s1, Figure S1. Effect of EDTA on BPA removal in SO\(_{3}\)^{2-}/Fe(III)/PS and HSO\(_{3}\)^{-}/Fe(III)/PS systems (a) and S\(^{2-}/Fe(III)/PS and HS^{-}/Fe(III)/PS systems (b). Reaction conditions: [EDTA] = 1.0 m mol/L, [Fe(III)] = 1.0 mM, [S(-II)] = [S(IV)] = 1.0 mM, [PS] = 4 mM, [BPA] = 10.0 mg/L. Figure S2. PMSO depletion (a), PMSO generation (b) and the yield of PMSO (c). Reaction conditions: (a) PMSO: 10 mg/L, PS: 4 mmol/L, [Fe\(^{3+}\)] = 1 mmol/L, [SO\(_{3}\)^{2-}] = [HSO\(_{3}\)^{-}] = [S\(^{2-}\)] = [HS\(^{-}\)] = 1 mmol/L and reaction time of 40 min.
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