CdS Nanoparticles Decorated 1D CeO\textsubscript{2} Nanorods for Enhanced Photocatalytic Desulfurization Performance
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Abstract: CdS nanoparticles were constructed onto one-dimensional (1D) CeO\textsubscript{2} nanorods by a two-step hydrothermal method. The X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Raman spectra, X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) and UV-Vis diffuse reflection spectroscopy (DRS) techniques were used to characterize these CdS/CeO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites. It was concluded that when the molar ratio of CdS and CeO\textsubscript{2} was 1:1, the nanocomposites exhibited the best photocatalytic desulfurization activity, reaching 92% in 3 h. Meanwhile, transient photocurrent (PT) measurement, photoluminescence (PL) spectra and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement indicated that the modification of CeO\textsubscript{2} nanorods by CdS nanoparticles could significantly inhibit the recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes. In addition, the possible mechanism of photocatalytic oxidation desulfurization of the nanocomposites was proposed. This study may provide an effective CeO\textsubscript{2}-based photocatalyst for photocatalytic desulfurization applications.
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1. Introduction

Sulfur oxides (SO\textsubscript{x}) emitted from vehicle fuel combustion have been shown to cause acid rain and haze, which has become a serious environmental pollution problem. Therefore, it is urgent to remove sulfur-containing organic compounds from fuel to reduce sulfur content [1,2]. Subsequently, a series of desulfurization technologies have been developed, such as hydrodesulfurization [3], oxidative desulfurization [4], adsorptive desulfurization [5] and biodesulfurization [6]. Among them, hydrodesulfurization is the predominant technology currently used in industry, which requires hydrogen consumption to achieve a high pressure and high temperature. In addition, it is difficult to remove dibenzothiophene (DBT) and its derivatives from fuel. Alternatively, photocatalytic desulfurization is a new desulfurization technology using a semiconductor photocatalyst for catalytic oxidation desulfurization, which has the advantages of mild reaction conditions, low energy consumption and environmental friendliness. In the process of photocatalytic desulfurization, DBT and its derivatives can be oxidized to corresponding sulfones, which have polarity and can be easily removed by solvent extraction [7,8]. In recent years, a series of photocatalytic desulfurization catalysts have been developed, such as a TiO\textsubscript{2}-based photocatalyst [9,10], bismuth series material [11,12], g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} framework material [13,14], metal organic framework material [15], etc.

CeO\textsubscript{2} is an important rare earth oxide semiconductor material, which is commonly used as a photocatalyst for pollutant degradation and energy conversion. However, CeO\textsubscript{2} is a wide band gap semiconductor, which usually responds in the ultraviolet region, and ultraviolet light only accounts for 5% of the sunlight, which greatly hinders its application [16–18]. Therefore, the heterojunction of CeO\textsubscript{2} and another narrow band gap semiconductor is expected to improve the photocatalytic performance. Moreover, one-dimensional (1D) nanomaterials have attracted much attention in recent years due to their...
high length-to-diameter ratio, which facilitates the rapid charge transfer along the axial direction [19,20].

CdS is a narrow band gap semiconductor, which can effectively use visible light and is a promising material. Nevertheless, the rapid recombination of photogenerated carriers and severe photocorrosion hinder the further application of CdS [21,22]. Thus, the effective combination of CdS and CeO$_2$ to form a heterojunction is expected to overcome these disadvantages.

Herein, in this work, we used CdS nanoparticles to decorate 1D CeO$_2$ nanorods to prepare a well-defined interfacial heterojunction composite. Then the photocatalytic performance of the prepared photocatalysts was investigated by the photocatalytic degradation of dibenzothiophene (DBT) under visible irradiation. Finally, the enhancement mechanism of photocatalytic desulfurization was studied.

2. Results
2.1. Preparation of Samples
2.1.1. Synthesis of CeO$_2$ Nanorods
A total of 0.02 mol Ce (NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O was dissolved in 5 mL deionized water, and then 35 mL 10 M NaOH solution was added. After being fully stirred, the mixture was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon hydrothermal reactor and heated to 110 °C for 10 h; the obtained products were centrifugally washed and dried, and then calcined at 400 °C for 2 h to obtain CeO$_2$ nanorods.

2.1.2. Synthesis of CdS/CeO$_2$ Composite
A certain amount of prepared CeO$_2$ nanorod powders were ultrasonically dispersed in 20 mL of deionized water, and then 20 mL of 0.1 M CdCl$_2$·2.5H$_2$O solution was added. After full stirring, 20 mL of 0.1 M Na$_2$S solution was slowly added, and then the mixture was transferred to a Teflon hydrothermal reactor and heated to 160 °C for 24 h; then the suspension was filtered, washed and dried to finally obtain the CdS/CeO$_2$ composite. The molar ratios of CdS to CeO$_2$ in the prepared samples were 1:2, 1:1 and 2:1, respectively.

2.2. Characterization
X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was performed on an X-ray diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation (Rigaku, D/max-RB, λ = 0.15406 nm); Raman spectra were measured by a Thermo Fisher Scientific DXR Raman spectrophotometer and the excitation laser wave length was 532 nm. The morphology was observed by a JEOL JEM-2100 transmission election microscope (TEM) equipped with Gatan 832 CCD operating at a voltage of 200 kV; X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was carried out using a Thermo Fisher Scientific ESCALAB 250 spectrometer with the mono Al Kα radiation (1486.6 eV). Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were collected on a PerkinElmer LS45 fluorescence spectrometer with an excitation wavelength of 400 nm; ultraviolet–visible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis DRS) were measured by a Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere.

2.3. Photoelectrochemical Measurements
The photoelectrochemical tests were carried out on an LK5600 photoelectrochemical workstation. For the photocurrent measurement, the prepared sample was deposited on the surface of FTO glass as the working electrode, the Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode, the Pt plate as the counter electrode and the 0.1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ solution as the supporting electrolyte, and the 300 W xenon lamp as the light source. For electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements, the three electrodes system was also used. The electrolyte solution was 0.5 M KCl solution containing 0.01 M K$_3$Fe(CN)$_6$/K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$ (molar ratio 1:1), performed at bias voltages 0.5 V, in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz–100 kHz with oscillation potential amplitudes of 0.01 V at room temperature.
2.4. Photocatalytic Desulfurization Measurement

A total of 0.08 g DBT was dissolved in 200 mL n-octane as model oil; subsequently, a 0.1 g photocatalyst was added under constant stirring, and then appropriate H2O2 was added (molar ratio of O/S = 4:1). After dark adsorption for 30 min, the photocatalytic desulfurization process was carried out in a photochemical reaction instrument with a xenon lamp (300 W, with ultraviolet cut-off filter (λ > 420 nm)). The dispersion was collected every 30 min and extracted with acetonitrile, and the sulfur content was determined on a UV fluorescent sulfur analyzer. The desulfurization rate D (%) was obtained according to the following formula: $D = \left(1 - \frac{C_t}{C_0}\right) \times 100\%$, where $C_0$ is the initial sulfur content and $C_t$ is the sulfur content of the solution at reaction time $t$.

3. Discussion

3.1. XRD Patterns Analysis

The structures of the samples are confirmed by XRD analysis in Figure 1. The characteristic diffraction peaks at 28.6°, 33.1°, 47.4° and 56.2° correspond to the (111), (200), (220) and (311) different crystal planes of cubic fluorite CeO2, respectively (JCPDS 34-0394). And the diffraction peaks at 24.6°, 26.4°, 28.0°, 36.5°, 43.6°, 47.7° and 51.7° are indexed to the (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103) and (112) crystal planes of the hexagonal structure CdS, respectively (JCPDS:41–1049). In addition, when CdS nanoparticles are deposited on CeO2 nanorods, the characteristic peaks of both cubic fluorite CeO2 and hexagonal CdS are observed in the composites. It is worth noting that by increasing the molar ratio of CdS to CeO2, the intensity of the characteristic peaks of CdS are enhanced in the composites. The results demonstrate that the CdS is successfully loaded on the surface of CeO2.

![Figure 1. XRD patterns of CdS, CeO2 and the various CdS/CeO2 composites.](image)

3.2. Raman Spectroscopy Analysis

Raman spectroscopy with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm was used to measure the structure and electronic properties of the materials. As shown in Figure 2, for pure CeO2, the peak at 465 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the F2g Raman active interior phonon mode of the fluorite cubic structure [23]. As for pure CdS, the two dominant peaks at 300 cm$^{-1}$ and 600 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the first-order longitudinal optical (1LO) and the second-order longitudinal optical (2LO) phonon modes of CdS, respectively [24]. Moreover, it can be observed that all the composites have peaks corresponding to both CeO2 and CdS with varying intensities. The Raman peak intensity of the CdS/CeO2 composites is lower than that of pure CeO2 or pure CdS. This may be attributed to the scattering loss caused by defects in the heterojunction [25,26]. With the increase in CeO2 content, the characteristic peak intensity of CeO2 in the CdS/CeO2 composite gradually increases.
Therefore, the Raman measurement results further demonstrate the existence of CdS and CeO₂ in the composite.

Figure 2. Raman spectra of CdS, CeO₂ and various CdS/CeO₂ samples.

3.3. Morphological Analysis

Figure 3 shows TEM and HRTEM images of CeO₂ nanorods and the CdS/CeO₂(1:1) composite. It can be seen from Figure 3a that the prepared CeO₂ presents a rod-like structure, with a diameter of 20–30 nm and a length of 50–100 nm. Moreover, the corresponding HRTEM image (Figure 3b) shows that the crystal plane spacing d = 0.31 nm corresponds to the (111) crystal plane of cubic fluorite CeO₂. As shown in Figure 3c, CdS nanoparticles are deposited on the surface of CeO₂ nanorods, and the structure of the CeO₂ nanorods is not destroyed in the composite. It can be seen from Figure 3d that the two different crystal plane spacings are d = 0.315 nm and d = 0.31 nm, which, respectively, correspond to the (101) crystal plane of hexagonal CdS and the (111) crystal plane of cubic fluorite CeO₂. The well-defined heterojunction structure may facilitate the separation of photogenerated electrons and holes, improving the photocatalytic efficiency.

3.4. XPS Spectra Analysis

The surface chemical states of the prepared samples are studied by XPS spectroscopy. The high-resolution XPS spectra of Ce 3d, O 1s, Cd 3d and S 2p are shown in Figure 4a–d, respectively. In Figure 4a, the characteristic peaks of Ce 3d are divided into eight fitting peaks, with the six peaks labeled as v, v₂, v₃, u, u₂ and u₃ representing Ce⁴⁺, and the other two peaks labeled as v₁ and u₁ corresponding to Ce³⁺, which indicates the presence of mixed Ce⁴⁺ and Ce³⁺ states in the samples [27]. According to the charge neutrality condition, the appearance of Ce³⁺ is an indicator of oxygen vacancies in CeO₂. The binding energy peaks labeled at 529.6 eV, 530.6 eV and 531.7 eV correspond to lattice oxygen (OL), oxygen vacancies (V₀) and chemisorbed oxygen (OA) in CeO₂ nanorods, respectively (Figure 4b). Therefore, it indicates that there is a certain amount of V₀ in CeO₂. When CdS is loaded, all Ce 3d and O₁ peaks shift slightly, indicating that there is charge transfer between CeO₂ and CdS [28,29]. As can be seen in Figure 4c, the peaks at 404.7 eV and 411.6 eV correspond to the Cd 3d₅/₂ and Cd 3d₃/₂ of Cd (II) states in the CdS sample. As shown in Figure 4d, the XPS spectrum of S 2p exhibits two peaks at 161.5 and 162.6 eV, which are attributed to S 2p₃/₂ and S 2p₁/₂, respectively [30,31]. It is worth noting that the peaks of Ce 3d, O 1s, Cd 3d and S 2p are shifted slightly in CdS/CeO₂(1:1) compared with pure CeO₂ nanorods and CdS, indicating that a heterojunction is formed between CdS nanoparticles and CeO₂ nanorods, which is conducive to the effective separation of photogenerated electrons and holes.
Figure 3. TEM and HRTEM images of CeO$_2$ (a,b) and CdS/CeO$_2$(1:1) composite (c,d).

Figure 4. High-resolution XPS spectra (a) Ce 3d, (b) O 1s, (c) Cd 3d and (d) S 2p of the samples.
3.5. UV-Vis DRS Analysis

Figure 5 shows the ultraviolet–visible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis DRS) of CeO\(_2\), CdS and the CdS/CeO\(_2\) composites. As shown in Figure 5a, the absorption band edge of CeO\(_2\) is about 400 nm, and that of CdS is about 550 nm. For the CdS/CeO\(_2\) composites, the absorption edge is red shifted with the increase in the molar ratio of CdS. According to the Tauc plot of \((a\nu h)^2\) vs. \((h\nu)\), the band gap energy \((E_g)\) values of CeO\(_2\), CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:2), CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:1), CdS/CeO\(_2\) (2:1) and CdS are estimated to be 3.1 eV, 2.45 eV, 2.4 eV, 2.36 eV and 2.3 eV (Figure 5b), respectively \([32,33]\). Therefore, CeO\(_2\) nanorods can only absorb UV light, and the increase in the CdS nanoparticles would result in the increase in light adsorption in the visible region.

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png)

**Figure 5.** (a) UV-Vis DRS of the photocatalysts; (b) plots of \((a\nu h)^2\) vs photon energy \((h\nu)\).

3.6. Performance of Photocatalytic Desulfurization

Figure 6a shows the photocatalytic removal of dibenzothiophene (DBT) from model oil with different photocatalysts. Under dark conditions, all photocatalysts have low desulfurization capacity for DBT. When only H\(_2\)O\(_2\) exits without any catalyst, the desulfurization percentage is only about 7.5%, indicating that H\(_2\)O\(_2\) has a certain oxidation capacity but the efficiency is relatively low. The photocatalytic desulfurization activities of different samples are as follows: CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:1) > CdS/CeO\(_2\) (2:1) > CdS > CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:2) > CeO\(_2\), indicating that the heterojunction formed by CdS and CeO\(_2\) can significantly improve the photocatalytic desulfurization activity. When the molar ratio of CeO\(_2\) and CdS is 1:1, the highest desulfurization efficiency can reach 92% in 3 h. Meanwhile, the corresponding photocatalytic desulfurization kinetic curves over the prepared photocatalysts are shown in Figure 6c. The reaction data are fitted by a first-order model as depicted by the formula \([34]\):

\[
\ln(C_0/C) = kt + b,
\]

where \(k\) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant, and the relationship between \(\ln(C_0/C)\) and catalytic reaction time \(t\) is considered to be linear. The corresponding desulfurization rate constants \((k)\) are estimated to be 0.08109 h\(^{-1}\), 0.27446 h\(^{-1}\), 0.22957 h\(^{-1}\), 0.57659 h\(^{-1}\) and 0.39763 h\(^{-1}\) for the CeO\(_2\), CdS, CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:2), CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:1) and CdS/CeO\(_2\) (2:1). It is clear that the photocatalyst CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:1) displays the best photocatalytic activity among these prepared photocatalysts. The reusability of the CdS/CeO\(_2\) (1:1) composite is also investigated. As shown in Figure 6c, after repeated use for three times, the desulfurization percentage is about 75%, indicating that the prepared composite has relatively good stability. The desulfurization percentage decreases during the cycle may be attributed to the easy filling of the oxygen vacancy in the photocatalytic process \([35]\).
Therefore, the well-defined heterojunction structure is conducive to the transportation and separation of photogenerated electrons–holes, and enhances the photocatalytic activity.
When the composite is irradiated under visible light, CdS can be activated, and the electrons in the full VB of CdS can migrate to the empty CB, leaving a considerable number of positively charged holes at the corresponding position. Due to the CB potential of CdS (1.65 eV) being lower than that of CeO₂ (−0.49 eV), the excited electrons in CdS are transferred to the CB of CeO₂ through the heterojunction interface, which leads to the photogenerated electrons (e⁻) and holes (h⁺) being effectively separated, inhibition of the recombination of photogenerated carriers, and an improvement in the photocatalytic activity. At the same time, the photogenerated electrons react with H₂O₂ to generate hydroxyl radicals (•OH) and hydroxyl (−OH). However, since the VB potential of CdS (1.65 eV) is lower than the redox potential of -OH/•OH (1.99 eV), holes cannot react with hydroxyl (−OH) to form hydroxyl radicals (•OH) [42]. Hydroxyl radicals (•OH) and holes (h⁺) with strong oxidation can be oxidized with DBT into DBTO₂. Finally, due to the strong
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Figure 8. Schematic illustration of photocatalytic desulfurization mechanism of CdS/CeO2 composite.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, one-dimensional CeO2 nanorods were modified by CdS nanoparticles to form a heterojunction photocatalyst. The nanocomposites show great enhanced photocatalytic activity for photocatalytic desulfurization, and CdS/CeO2 (1:1) shows the highest desulfurization rate of 92% in 3 h under visible light. The nanocomposites demonstrate outstanding photocatalytic activity, and the desulfurization rate reaches 92% for DBT in 3 h. The excellent photocatalytic desulfurization performance is attributed to the transfer of visible light excited electrons on CdS nanoparticles to CeO2 nanorods, which effectively inhibits the photocorrosion of CdS with narrow band gap and improves the light trapping ability of CeO2 with a broad band gap. This work may provide a new idea for the design and construction of photocatalytic deep desulfurization materials.
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