Abstract: As clean energy, methane has huge reserves and great development potential in the future. Copper zeolites are efficient in the oxidation of methane to methanol. Water has been confirmed as a source of oxygen to regenerate the copper-zeolite active sites to enable selective anaerobic oxidation of methane to methanol. In this work, we report that the methanol yield increased from 36 µmol/g (Cu-MOR) to 92 µmol/g (Cu-MOR-water) as a result of water enhancing the activity of copper ion-exchange mordenite catalyst. We show for the first time that water could convert inactive copper species into active copper species during catalyst activation. A combination of the XPS, FTIR, and NMR results indicates that water dissociates and then converts ZCu\textsuperscript{II}Z into ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) (where Z indicates framework O (O\textsubscript{fw}) bonded to one isolated Al in a framework T-site, i.e., 1Al) and simultaneously produces a Brønsted acid site during catalyst activation. This finding can be used to tune the state of copper species and design highly active copper-zeolite catalysts for methane oxidation to methanol.
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1. Introduction

Methane is the main component of natural gas and natural gas hydrate, being clean and easy to distribute, and the reserves of natural gas hydrate are huge [1,2]. In recent years, the development of shale gas technology has made methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) inexpensive and accessible.

Currently, the mainly utilization of methane is combustion for power generation and heating [3]. There are limited industrial routes for converting methane into fuels and chemicals. Methane could be converted to chemicals via both direct and indirect routes. To date, methane is mainly converted to chemicals and fuels through indirect processes. For the indirect process, methane is first converted to syngas (H\textsubscript{2} and CO) through a steam reforming process and then the syngas is converted to chemicals such as methanol and gasoline. The steam reforming process operates at high temperatures (700–1000 °C) and pressures (15–40 atm) and is an energy-intensive process, making direct conversion an attractive alternative [3]. The direct conversion of methane to chemicals has been a very active topic in the past few decades. Studies on the direct conversion of methane to chemicals have mainly focused on three processes: oxidative coupling of methane to C\textsubscript{2+} carbon species, nonoxidative conversion of methane to aromatics, and partial oxidation of methane to methanol [4–10]. Oxidative coupling of methane usually requires activation of methane at high temperatures. However, high temperature favors the complete oxidation of methane and C\textsubscript{2} (C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}). The process currently faces two important challenges.
The first is catalyst selectivity and the second is catalyst deactivation. To date, despite extensive research, no specific catalyst has fully met the practical industrial and economic requirements. The advantage of the nonoxidative conversion of methane to aromatics is that it prevents irreversible overoxidation, which leads to thermodynamically stable undesired products, such as CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. The nonoxidative conversion of methane to aromatics faces similar challenges to the oxidative coupling of methane. The reaction process requires high temperatures, but the catalyst will deactivate rapidly at high temperatures. Unlike the oxidative coupling of the methane process and the anaerobic conversion of the methane to aromatics process, the methane oxidation to methanol process does not require high temperature. The peroxidation of the product is inhibited at low temperatures, and the product selectivity is higher than that of the high-temperature process.

The direct, low-temperature conversion of methane to transportable liquid methanol is an ideal alternative pathway for the transportation and utilization of methane. Fe, Ni ion-exchanged zeolites have been shown to convert methane to methanol, but are less active than copper ion-exchanged zeolites [11,12]. Copper ion-exchanged zeolites have shown excellent performance in the selective oxidation of methane to methanol [13], at relatively mild temperatures (typically 125–200 $^\circ$C) using O$_2$ as an oxygen source.

There are two types of Cu$^{II}$ ions in the copper ion-exchange zeolite catalyst: ZCu$^{II}$(OH) and ZCu$^{II}$Z (where Z indicates framework O (O$_{fw}$) bonded to one isolated Al in a framework T-site, i.e., 1Al), but only ZCu$^{II}$(OH) can be converted into active sites [14]. Therefore, maximizing the amount of ZCu$^{II}$(OH) by adjusting the ion exchange pH (5.2–5.7) is essential to the synthesis of highly-active catalysts [14,15]. Several copper-oxygen species have been proposed as active sites for the oxidation of methane to methanol, including ZCu$^{II}$(OH) [16], ZCu$_2$O$_2$Z [13], ZCu$_2$OZ [17,18], ZCu$_3$O$_2$Z [14], and ZCu$_2$AlO$_2$Z [19]. Methane is first activated by forming a complex intermediate with an active Cu$^{II}$ species, followed by a reaction of the intermediate with a water molecule that facilitates methanol formation and desorption [13]. In addition, Bokhoven et al. [20] found that water can be used as an oxygen source to regenerate active sites under anaerobic conditions. Koishybay et al. [21] demonstrate that water is the main source of oxygen present in the methanol produced in the partial oxidation of methane to methanol over Cu-SSZ-13 in a continuous flow reactor.

Water has been confirmed to be involved in the formation of methanol from methane oxidation, but the effect of water on the generation of active sites has not been reported. In this work, we investigated the role of water during the generation of active sites and its effect on the catalyst activity for methane oxidation to methanol.

2. Results and Discussion

The copper-exchanged mordenite catalysts were synthesized via aqueous ion exchange of a parent Na-MOR (SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 8.73 and 15.5). The copper loading was 5.3%, corresponding to 834 $\mu$mol Cu/g on the sample having SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 8.73. The sample with SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 15.5 has a copper loading of 3.39%, corresponding to 533 $\mu$mol Cu/g. Detailed bulk catalyst preparation and evaluation are given in the Section 3. The samples are named Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water according to the pretreatment conditions. Cu-MOR refers to samples that were not subjected to additional treatment after calcination in the air at 550 $^\circ$C for 6 h. Cu-MOR-water refers to samples that adsorbed water at room temperature after the initial calcination in air at 550 $^\circ$C for 6 h; the samples that adsorbed excess water were further dried at 110 $^\circ$C for 24 h. Prior to evaluation, all samples were recalcined in dry air at 450 $^\circ$C for 2 h before cooling to 200 $^\circ$C for the reaction.

Table 1 shows the effect of adsorbed water after the initial calcination on the activity of Cu-MOR samples. The Cu-MOR$_1$ catalyst catalyzed the oxidation of methane with a methanol yield of 36 $\mu$mol/g (Table 1, entry 1), but the Cu-MOR$_2$-water catalyst gave a methanol yield of 92 $\mu$mol/g (Table 1, entry 2), corresponding to an efficiency of 0.17 mol CH$_3$OH/mol Cu. It is noted from the literature that catalysts prepared using copper acetate typically resulted in much higher methanol production efficiency than using copper nitrate [13,14,20]. In this work, Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$ is used. The efficiency reached 0.17 mol
CH$_3$OH/mol Cu which is similar to the previous work. The methanol yields of Cu-MOR$_1$-water reacted at 200 °C for 8 h and 4 h were 92 μmol/g and 90 μmol/g, respectively (Table 1, entry 3, 4). The methanol yields of Cu-MOR$_1$-water reacted at 150 and 175 °C were 26 μmol/g and 46 μmol/g, respectively (Table 1, entry 5, 6). The reaction temperature strongly affects the catalyst activity, probably due to the fact that methane is very inert, so the reaction requires a relatively high temperature. To further confirm this result, another Cu-MOR$_2$ catalyst with SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 8.73 and Cu/Al = 0.38 was prepared. The methanol yield of Cu-MOR$_2$ is 50 μmol/g (Table 1, entry 7), but the Cu-MOR$_2$-water gave a methanol yield of 128 μmol/g (Table 1, entry 8). In addition, the gas is collected using a gas bag after the reaction and analyzed by a GC with a TCD detector. However, none of the samples detected CO and CO$_2$, which may be due to their low levels below the detection limit.

Table 1. Methanol yields of Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methanol Yield (μmol/g)</th>
<th>Efficiency (mol CH$_3$OH/mol Cu)</th>
<th>CH$_3$OH/(CH$_3$OH + DME)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_1$</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_1$-water</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_1$-water</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_1$-water</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_1$-water</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_1$-water</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_2$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cu-MOR$_2$-water</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Reaction conditions: 0.2 g catalyst, 200 °C, 12 h, 1 MPa CH$_4$. The yield of methanol was calculated based on the total amount of methanol and dimethyl ether, where each dimethyl ether was calculated as two methanol.  
2 Cu-MOR$_1$ (SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 15.5, Cu/Al = 0.37).  
3 Reaction conditions: 0.2 g catalyst, 200 °C, 8 h, 1 MPa CH$_4$.  
4 Reaction conditions: 0.2 g catalyst, 200 °C, 4 h, 1 MPa CH$_4$.  
5 Reaction conditions: 0.2 g catalyst, 150 °C, 12 h, 1 MPa CH$_4$.  
6 Reaction conditions: 0.2 g catalyst, 175 °C, 12 h, 1 MPa CH$_4$.  
7 Cu-MOR$_2$ (SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 8.73, Cu/Al = 0.38).

The states of copper species in Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water were characterized by H$_2$-TPR and TEM. H$_2$-TPR curves typically show three H$_2$ consumption signatures in the temperature range from 100 to 550 °C (Figure S1). The low-temperature peaks at 193 and 199 °C represent the reduction in Cu$^{II}$ to Cu$^{I}$ [22,23]. The peaks at 265 and 251 °C represent the reduction in CuO [24–26]. The high-temperature peaks at 316 and 438 °C represent the reduction in Cu$^{I}$ to Cu$^{0}$ [22,23]. The H$_2$-TPR results are consistent with the TEM results. TEM images showed dense nanoparticles on the outer surface of mordenite (Figure S2), and the size of the nanoparticles was below 3 nm. The TEM and H$_2$-TPR results indicated the presence of various copper species, including CuO and Cu ions in Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water.

To understand the role of water in the treatment, the sample Cu-MOR$_1$ (SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 15.5) was further characterized at various conditions corresponding to the evaluations. XPS was used to compare the Cu oxidation states at various treatment conditions. The peak at 933.5 eV (Figure 1) is assigned to Cu$^{II}$, mostly existing as ZCu$_{III}$Z or CuO [25,27–29]. The peak at 936.3 eV (Figure 1) is assigned to Cu(II) species bound to extra-framework oxygen species active in the methane to methanol reaction [30], including mono(µ-oxo) dicopper, bis(µ-oxo) dicopper, tricopper species, and ZCu$_{III}$ (OH). The ratio of different valence species can be quantified by XPS peak fitting [28,31–33]. The proportion of copper species to total copper species was calculated based on the ratio of the peak areas. The fraction of 936.3 eV species evaluated by the fitting of Figure 1a is 12% in Cu-MOR$_1$. After calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, the proportion in Cu-MOR$_1$ increased from 12% to 15% (Figure 1c). While the fraction of 936.3 eV species evaluated by the fitting of Figure 1b is 13% in Cu-MOR$_1$-water. After calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, the proportion in Cu-MOR$_1$-water increased from 13% to 26% (Figure 1d). After calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, the active copper content of Cu-MOR$_1$-water (Figure 1d) is 11% higher than that of Cu-MOR$_1$ (Figure 1c). This is consistent with
the reaction results that Cu-MOR$_1$-water is more active than Cu-MOR$_1$ after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h. If only ZCu$^{II}$(OH) could be converted into active sites, the proportion of the 936.3 eV species should be consistent before and after calcined at 450 °C for 2 h. After calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, the copper species at 936.3 eV in Cu-MOR$_1$-water increased from 13% (Figure 1b) to 26% (Figure 1d), suggesting that the water has converted the inactive copper species (ZCu$^{II}$Z or CuO) into active copper species (Cu$^{II}$ species bound to extra-framework oxygen species) during the activation process.

In addition, the copper loading was 3.39%, corresponding to 533 µmol Cu/g on the Cu-MOR$_1$. According to the XPS result, the active copper content of Cu-MOR$_1$-water (Figure 1d) is 11% higher than that of Cu-MOR$_1$ (Figure 1c) after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, which means that the active copper content of Cu-MOR$_1$-water is 59 µmol/g higher than that of Cu-MOR$_1$. The methanol yield of Cu-MOR$_1$-water is 56 µmol/g higher than Cu-MOR$_1$. It means that the addition of 59 µmol/g of active copper species produced 56 µmol/g of methanol, equivalent to each active copper species converting one molecule of methane to one molecule of methanol. The Cu efficiency was larger than the maximum Cu efficiency when the active site was ZCu$_2$O$_2$Z [13], ZCu$_2$OZ [17,18], ZCu$_3$O$_3$Z [14], and ZCu$_2$AlO$_3$Z [19]. However, the Cu efficiency is the same as the theoretical copper efficiency when the active site is ZCu$^{II}$(OH), suggesting that the active site is ZCu$^{II}$(OH).

To further confirm this result, another Cu-MOR catalyst with SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 8.73 and Cu/Al = 0.38 was also characterized by XPS. The fraction of 936.3 eV species, evaluated by the fitting of Figure S3a, is 24% in Cu-MOR$_2$. After calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, the proportion in Cu-MOR$_2$ increased to 32% (Figure S3c). While the fraction of 936.3 eV species, evaluated by the fitting of Figure S3b is 27% in Cu-MOR$_2$-water. After calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, the proportion in Cu-MOR$_2$-water increased to 44% (Figure S3d). Which is much larger than the Cu-MOR$_2$. This result is in agreement with the Cu-MOR$_1$ catalyst, which has a SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ = 15.5.

We hypothesize that the increase in active copper species was due to the hydrolysis of hydrated Cu$^{II}$ ions. During activation ZCu$^{II}$(H$_2$O)$_n$Z gradual dehydration leads to water dissociation to give ZCu$^{II}$(OH) and Brönsted acid site (ZH) [34–36] as follows:

$$2\text{ZCu}^{II}$(H$_2$O)$_n$ $\rightarrow$ ZCu$^{II}$(OH) + ZH + (n − 1)H$_2$O (1)
FTIR has been used to monitor the change of the Brönsted acid site. The results of FTIR characterization are shown in Figure 2. As shown in Figure 2, the characteristic peaks at 3608 and 3594 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to zeolite Brönsted acid (ZH) [14]. The peak at 3608 cm\(^{-1}\) was assigned to the vibration of the O-H bond which is associated with the Brönsted acid located in the main pore of mordenite; the peak at 3594 cm\(^{-1}\) was assigned to the vibration of the O-H bond, which is associated with the Brönsted acid located in the side cage of the mordenite [14]. The characteristic peak at 3650 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to Cu\(^{II}\)(OH) [36,37]. Compared with Cu-MOR\(_1\), the peaks at 3650, 3608, and 3594 cm\(^{-1}\) of Cu-MOR\(_1\)-water were simultaneously significantly increased. FTIR results demonstrate that during the activation process, the water dissociation converts hydrated Cu\(^{II}\) ions to Cu\(^{II}\)(OH) and simultaneously produces the Brönsted acid site, Equation (1). In addition, the FTIR result is consistent with the XPS and reaction results, the amount of active site ZCu\(^{II}\)(OH) in Cu-MOR\(_1\)-water was greater compared with Cu-MOR\(_1\) (Figure 1) after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h.

![Figure 2. In situ diffuse reflectance FTIR spectra: (a) Cu-MOR\(_1\) after calcination at 450 °C; (b) Cu-MOR\(_1\)-water after calcination at 450 °C.](image)

To further confirm this result, another Cu-MOR catalyst with SiO\(_2\)/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) = 8.73 and Cu/Al = 0.38 was also characterized by FTIR (Figure S4). Compared with Cu-MOR\(_2\), the peaks at 3650, 3608, and 3594 cm\(^{-1}\) of Cu-MOR\(_2\)-water were simultaneously significantly increased. The trend is similar to that of Cu-MOR\(_1\) (SiO\(_2\)/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) = 15.5).

The formation of Brönsted acid sites was further confirmed by pyridine-IR analysis. Figure S5 shows three peaks at 1544, 1450, and 1490 cm\(^{-1}\), which are assigned the adsorption of pyridine on Brönsted acid sites, Lewis acid sites, and the overlapping of pyridine adsorption on Lewis and Brönsted acid sites [38], respectively. After calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, the amount of Brönsted acid sites in Cu-MOR\(_1\)-water was higher than that of Cu-MOR\(_1\) (Figure S5), indicating that the water promoted the formation of more Brönsted acid sites.

According to Xue et al., the removal of framework aluminum in the main channels (12-MR) would result in a decrease in the intensity of the band at 3610 cm\(^{-1}\) [39]. Meanwhile, the removal of the framework creates extra-framework aluminum. NMR is an efficient technique for distinguishing extra-framework aluminum from framework aluminum. Figure 3 shows \(^{27}\)Al NMR spectra of Cu-MOR\(_0\) and Cu-MOR\(_1\)-water after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h. All spectra contain only one peak at 55 ppm, which is due to tetrahedral coordinated Al sites in the framework. However, no extra framework aluminum associated with the peak around 0 ppm is visible [40]. Based on the NMR results,
we could exclude the possibility of zeolite dealumination. The NMR results further confirm the increase in the Brønsted acid peak (Figure 2) is due to the water dissociation.

![Image of NMR spectra](image)

**Figure 3.** $^{27}$Al NMR spectra: (a) Cu-MOR$_1$; (b) Cu-MOR$_1$-water after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h. (c) Cu-MOR$_1$-water after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h.

The $^{27}$Al NMR results are consistent with the XRD results. Figure 4 shows the XRD patterns of Cu-MOR$_1$ and Cu-MOR$_1$-water. The diffraction peaks of Cu-MOR$_1$ and Cu-MOR$_1$-water before and after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h were identical, indicating that calcination at 450 °C for 2 h did not significantly change the structure of the samples. This feature is expected because the water vapor dealumination of zeolites generally requires high temperatures [41]. There are two kinds of CuO phases (Figure 4): monoclinic CuO (PDF: 00-048-1548) and cubic CuO (PDF: 01-078-0428). Compared with standard XRD of CuO, the Cu-MOR$_1$-water and Cu-MOR$_1$ has no characteristic peak associated with CuO, suggesting that the copper species are highly dispersed in the Cu-MOR$_1$ and Cu-MOR$_1$-water after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h and did not form large CuO nanoparticles.

![Image of XRD patterns](image)

**Figure 4.** XRD patterns: (a) Cu-MOR$_1$; (b) Cu-MOR$_1$-water; (c) Cu-MOR$_1$ after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h; (d) Cu-MOR$_1$-water after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h.
Based on the above experimental results and literature, we proposed the possible mechanism shown in Figure 5. Based on the literature, only ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) has been identified as active copper species, and it was recently identified as an active site for methane oxidation to methanol \cite{16}. All the other active sites including ZCu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}Z \cite{13}, ZCu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{Z} \cite{17,18}, ZCu\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}Z \cite{14}, and ZCu\textsubscript{2}AlO\textsubscript{3}Z \cite{19} were original from ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH).
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**Figure 5.** The proposed mechanism of water increased Cu-MOR activity.

The amount of ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) in copper-zeolite determined the activity for oxidation of methane to methanol. Maximizing the amount of ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) by adjusting the ion exchange pH (5.2–5.7) during catalyst synthesis could maximize the catalyst activity. In summary, the catalyst synthesis condition determined the amount of ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) in the catalyst. Herein, we report a new ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) formation road. The ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{n}Z gradual dehydration leads to water dissociation to give ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) and Brønsted acid site (ZH) during the activation process \cite{34–36}, then ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH) could convert methane to methanol. Water converts inactive ZCu\textsuperscript{II}Z into active site ZCu\textsuperscript{II}(OH). This process was different from the work of Bokhoven et al. \cite{20}, who found that water can be used as an oxygen source to regenerate active sites under anaerobic conditions, the mechanism of it is water oxidation of two adjacent ZCu\textsuperscript{I} ions to regeneration ZCuOCuZ active site. Following the work of Bokhoven et al. \cite{20}, Koishybay et al. reported the oxidation of methane to methanol using water as the sole oxidant in the absence of molecular oxygen \cite{21}. In this work, the increased active sites come from ZCu\textsuperscript{II}Z and water. Water converts ZCu\textsuperscript{II}Z to active sites, thereby increasing the activity of the catalyst for methane oxidation to methanol. In addition, Figure 6 also shows that Cu-MOR\textsubscript{1}-water is a stable methane oxidation catalyst, and the yield of methanol remains unchanged for three consecutive utilisations.
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**Figure 6.** Reusability studies of Cu-MOR\textsubscript{1}-water. Reaction conditions: 0.2 g catalyst, 200 °C, 12 h, 1 Mpa CH\textsubscript{4}. 


3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

NaOH, NaAlO₂, and copper nitrate trihydrate (Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O, 99.0–102.0%) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Methanol and n-propanol were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. CH₄ was purchased from Dalian special gases Co., Ltd. (Dalian, China). Silica sol was purchased from Dalian Snowchemical S&T Co., Ltd. (Dalian, China). Na-Mordenite (SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 15.5) was purchased from Nankai University Catalyst Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Na-mordenite (SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 8.73) was synthesized from an aluminosilicate mixture of composition 2.25 Na₂O:1 Al₂O₃:10 SiO₂:219 H₂O and digested in a stainless steel autoclave reactor at 160 °C for 36 h. The product was isolated by centrifugation, washed three times with deionized water, and dried at 110 °C for 24 h.

3.2. Catalyst Preparation

Copper-exchanged mordenite catalysts were prepared by the aqueous ion-exchange method. Typically, 10 g Na-MOR (SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 15.5) was ion-exchanged 3 times with 500 mL of 0.05 M Cu(NO₃)₂ solution at 50 °C. After each ion exchange process, the sample was filtered, washed with plenty of deionized water, and then calcined in air at 550 °C for 6 h. The samples were named Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water according to the drying conditions. Cu-MOR indicates that the samples were not subjected to any other treatment after calcination in the air at 550 °C for 6 h. Cu-MOR-water means that after calcined in air at 550 °C for 6h, the sample adsorbed excess water at room temperature, and then dried at 110 °C for 24 h.

3.3. Characterization of Catalysts

Phase identification was realized by XRD patterns, which were carried out on a PANalytical powder diffractometer (PANalytical B.V., Almelo, The Netherland) with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). The XRD patterns were recorded from 5° to 40° with a scan rate of 15 °/min.

The SiO₂/Al₂O₃ molar ratio was analyzed using X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (PANalytical B.V., Almelo, The Netherland) on a PANalytical instrument. Cu content was determined by ICP-OES (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

TEM analysis was performed on a Titan Themis ETEM G3 microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

In situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) was used to study the difference between Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water after activation. The scanning range was 4000–650 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and a total of 64 scans/spectrum using MCT detector. The DRIFTS spectrum of Cu-MOR was collected after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h, followed by the Cu-MOR sample, it was cooled to room temperature and adsorption excess water before being dried at 110 °C for 1 h in situ to generate a Cu-MOR-water sample. Finally, the DRIFTS spectrum of Cu-MOR-water was collected after calcination at 450 °C for 2 h.

In situ pyridine-IR spectra were collected on a Nicolet™ iS50 FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Firstly, Cu-MOR was calcined at 450 °C for 2 h, then the Cu-MOR sample was cooled to room temperature and excess pyridine was adsorbed under vacuum conditions. Finally, the physically adsorbed pyridine was desorbed under vacuum at 150 °C for 30 min before collecting the spectra. After collecting the spectrum of Cu-MOR, the Cu-MOR adsorbed excess water at room temperature before drying at 110 °C for 1 h in situ to generate a Cu-MOR-water sample. The pyridine-IR characterization process of Cu-MOR-water is the same as that of Cu-MOR.

Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) profiles were obtained using a micromeritics autochem II instrument (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA) fitted with a TCD detector. A total of 100 mg of the sample was heated to 650 °C (10 °C/min) in a flow of 10% H₂/Ar mixture.
Al NMR spectra of Cu-MOR activated Cu-MOR and activated Cu-MOR-water were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE NEO WB 600 M spectrometer (Bruker corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). The Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water were activated at 450 °C for 2 h in a flow of air to prepare samples for NMR analysis. In all cases, spectra were taken after sample equilibration with ambient water vapor to relax the lattice strain and create a more symmetric environment around the Al nucleus.

The copper species in samples were characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using a Thermofisher Escalab 250 Xi+ spectrometer (Miami, OK, USA) equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source. The binding energy (BE) was calibrated by setting the measured BE of C1s to 284.8 eV. The Cu-MOR and Cu-MOR-water were first activated at 450 °C for 2 h and then transferred to a glove box to prepare samples for XPS analysis without being exposed to air.

### 3.4. Testing of Activity for Selective Oxidation of Methane

The activity of the sample was tested for oxidation of methane to methanol. The process of methane oxidation to methanol consists of 3 steps: (1) catalyst activation, (2) methane reaction, and (3) product extraction and quantification. The sample was activated in a quartz tube (8 mm inner diameter). The temperature of the sample was controlled by a thermocouple mounted in the center of the catalyst bed. Trace moisture in the air was removed using a dehydrator.

**Typical experiment process:**

**Step (1)** A total of 0.2 g Cu-MOR was heated from ambient temperature to 450 °C at 5 °C/min and activated at 450 °C for 2 h in a flow of air (20 mL/min). The activated catalyst was cooled to room temperature at 5 °C/min in dry air.

**Step (2)** The activated catalyst was transferred to an autoclave. The autoclave was first purged several times with CH₄ and charged with 1 MPa CH₄, and then heated to 200 °C for 12 h.

**Step (3)** After the reaction, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature and excess CH₄ was vented. The gas was collected using a gas bag and analyzed by a GC with a TCD detector. The catalyst was then extracted with a solution of 5% H₂O/CH₃CN for 2 h. Internal standard n-propanol was added to the extraction solution and the product was quantified by gas chromatography (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) on an Agilent 7890A (HP-5 column, 30 m, 0.32 mm inner diameter). The yield of methanol was calculated based on the total amount of methanol and dimethyl ether, where each dimethyl ether was calculated as two methanol.

### 4. Conclusions

In summary, we investigated the effect of water on the activity of Cu-MOR for the oxidation of methane to methanol. Compared with Cu-MOR₁, the methanol yield of Cu-MOR₁-water increased from 36 µmol/g to 92 µmol/g. The reaction results show that water can strongly affect the activity of Cu-MOR catalysts. The XPS and FTIR results indicated that the active site is ZCu^{II}(OH). The XPS and FTIR results indicated that during the activation process, water can dissociate and then convert ZCu^{II}Z ions into ZCu^{II}(OH). NMR and XRD results ruled out the dealumination of the zeolite during activation. This finding can be used to tune the state of copper species and design highly active copper-zeolite catalysts.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/catal13071066/s1, Figure S1: H₂-TPR profiles: (a) Cu-MOR₁; (b) Cu-MOR₁-water; Figure S2: TEM images: (a) Cu-MOR₁; (b) Cu-MOR₁-water; Figure S3: Cu₂p₃/₂ photoelectron spectra of (a) Cu-MOR₂, (b) Cu-MOR₂-water, (c) Cu-MOR₂ after calcined at 450 °C for 2 h, and (d) Cu-MOR₂-water after calcined at 450 °C for 2 h; Figure S4: In-situ diffuse reflectance FTIR spectra: (a) Cu-MOR₂ after calcination at 450 °C; (b) Cu-MOR₂-water after calcination at 450 °C; Figure S5: In-situ pyridine-IR spectra: (a) Cu-MOR₁; (b) Cu-MOR₁-water.
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