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Abstract: In the last few decades, plasmonic colorimetric biosensors raised increasing interest in bioanalytics thanks to their cost-effectiveness, responsiveness, and simplicity as compared to conventional laboratory techniques. Potential high-throughput screening and easy-to-use assay procedures make them also suitable for realizing point of care devices. Nevertheless, several challenges such as fabrication complexity, laborious biofunctionalization, and poor sensitivity compromise their technological transfer from research laboratories to industry and, hence, still hamper their adoption on large-scale. However, newly-developing plasmonic colorimetric biosensors boast impressive sensing performance in terms of sensitivity, dynamic range, limit of detection, reliability, and specificity thereby continuously encouraging further researches. In this review, recently reported plasmonic colorimetric biosensors are discussed with a focus on the following categories: (i) on-platform-based (localized surface plasmon resonance, coupled plasmon resonance and surface lattice resonance); (ii) colloid aggregation-based (label-based and label free); (iii) colloid non-aggregation-based (nanozyme, etching-based and growth-based).
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1. Introduction

In the last few decades, outstanding progresses in nanotechnology has allowed nanomaterials to make a breakthrough in several fields of applications (to cite a few, integrated circuitry [1], cancer treatment [2], photovoltaics [3], ultrasensitive molecule detection [4], optoelectronics [5], and quantum optics [6]). In particular, plasmonic nanomaterials are of great interest in applications that would benefit from a strong enhancement and confinement of the electromagnetic fields at subwavelength scale [7]. For instance, plasmonic nanomaterials are already conveniently adopted in Raman and fluorescence spectroscopy to achieve signal amplifications up to several orders of magnitude [8–14].

Recently, plasmonic nanomaterials are also overwhelmingly established in diagnostics for the realization of colorimetric biosensors [15–19]. Indeed, the uncontrolled spreading of the current SARS-CoV-2 pandemics definitely persuaded researchers to conceive alternative diagnostic tools to flank the conventional laboratory techniques [20,21]. Although enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) still remain the gold standards in diagnostics due to their high sensitivity and accuracy, their ineffective capability in providing an easy-to-use and fast assessment of the health status reveals the urgent need for rapid, reliable, and point of care (POC) tests [22–25]. In this regards, colorimetric biosensors offer a smart and affordable approach for realizing simple and user friendly POC tests.
Generally, when designing a biosensor, sensing parameters such as linear range (LR), resolution, limit of detection (LOD), and recovery are crucial since they characterize the sensing performance. Briefly, LR is defined as the range in which the sensor response changes linearly with the variation of the physical quantity of interest (e.g., analyte concentration/mass, pH, medium refractive index). Resolution represents the minimum variation of the physical quantity that entails a measurable variation of the device response. According to IUPAC, LOD is the smallest value of the physical quantity that produces a signal statistically larger than the background and it is defined as LOD = $X_{bg} + 3\sigma_{bg}$, where $X_{bg}$ is the mean background signal and $\sigma_{bg}$ is the associated standard deviation. Recovery is defined as the ratio between the theoretical value of the physical quantity provided by the calibration curve and the corresponding nominal value. Conventionally, a biosensor is considered reliable if it owns a recovery of 95–105%.

A multitude of colorimetric biosensors were developed in the last two years aimed at establishing themselves as valid diagnostic tools [15–19]. Although most of them exhibit remarkable performance in terms of sensitivity, recovery, and rapidity, only a tiny fraction is actually available on the market [26]. Possible reasons are a challenging technology transfer from the academic research to the industry [26], lower sensitivities as compared to ELISA and RT-PCR, and the difficulty involved when being used as tools for assessing quantitatively the target concentration. Thus, constant efforts are required to improve the scalability and feasibility of these devices.

Commonly, biosensors rely upon label-based or label-free detection depending on whether a specific target-directed bioreceptor is adopted or not. Label-based biosensors are the most widely used thanks to their versatile and straightforward approach that virtually allows one to detect any target with high specificity by simply changing the bioreceptor. On the other hand, the use of bioreceptors (e.g., antibodies, enzymes, and aptamers) introduces technical issues related to the transducer biofunctionalization and logistic issues such as the inherent bioreceptor shelf-life and the need for a cold-chain for device transportation and storage. Label-free biosensors usually provide a simpler detection strategy since being not inherently affected by the aforementioned issues for the lack of bioreceptors. Indeed, in this case, the response results from physical, biological, or chemical processes triggered by a direct target-transducer interaction. However, such a detection mechanism requires the design of transducer that specifically and freely interact with targets. Thus, the range of detectable targets is far shorter than that borne by bioreceptor-mediated methods.

In general, plasmonic colorimetric biosensors rely on plasmon-related effects exhibited by subwavelength nanomaterials (e.g., metal nanoparticles (NPs)), which can be studied within the Mie’s theory [27]. Plasmonic nanomaterials exhibit unique optical features when resonantly excited by an external electromagnetic radiation. Indeed, the absorption of the impinging light can lead to a resonant collective oscillation of the conduction electrons—the so-called localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR)—that entails an electromagnetic enhancement of the near-field surrounding the nanostructure [27]. Shape, size and material of a nanostructure as well as the surrounding environment significantly affect its optical response [28]. In addition, plasmonic coupled modes, such as coupled-LSPR (c-LSPR) and surface lattice resonance (SLR), can be activated in nano-assemblies (e.g., two-dimensional periodic arrays) by properly tailoring the pattern architecture [12,29]. Therefore, nanotechnologists and material scientists are continuously spurred to explore new nanofabrication techniques aimed at widely tuning the nanomaterial response in such a way as to virtually meet any demand in biosensing.

Colorimetric biosensors based on plasmonic nanomaterials take advantage of predictable modifications in their optical properties that generally entail a visual colour change [28,30]. These modifications can arise as a result of either a variation in the refractive index (RI) of the surrounding environment [28] or a plasmon coupling among the nanostructures [12,31]. In the former case, the RI variations lead to a shift of the LSPR wavelength that can be conveniently exploited for both molecular sensing and bulk RI sensing [32,33].
Given the large variety of newly-developing plasmonic colorimetric biosensors, a systematic and clever classification of working principles, sensing performance, pattern designs, and applications is highly desirable. In this work, we reviewed recently reported plasmonic colorimetric biosensors relying on either nanostructured platforms or colloidal solutions. Specifically, three major categories were considered (Scheme 1): (i) on-platform colorimetric biosensors; (ii) aggregation-based colorimetric biosensors; and (iii) non-aggregation-based colorimetric biosensors. In particular, on-platform devices exploit variations in plasmonic modes (LSPR, c-LSPR, and SLR) exhibited by given pattern architectures when interacting with the targets. On the contrary, colloidal colorimetric biosensors (aggregation-, non-aggregation-based) take advantage of the nanomaterial-target interaction that induces variations of the colloid plasmonic response due to changes in the refractive index of the surrounding environment or in nanomaterial conformation and morphology.

Scheme 1. Classification of on-platform-based and colloid-based (aggregation-based and non-aggregation-based) plasmonic colorimetric biosensors. The illustration for on-platform biosensors was adapted from Ref. [12]. Copyright (2021) The Authors. Advanced Materials Interfaces published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license. The illustration for nanozyme biosensors was adapted from [34] Copyright (2019), with permission from Elsevier B.V. The illustration for etching-based biosensors was adapted from Ref. [35] Copyright (2019), with permission from Elsevier B.V.
2. Platform-Based Colorimetric Biosensors

Nanostructured platforms can be employed for both bulk RI and molecular sensing since variations in the refractive index of the surrounding medium can trigger shifts of the plasmon resonances [28], that can be thus employed as sensing parameters. We classified the platform-based colorimetric biosensors according to the plasmonic phenomena they are based on: (i) LSPR-based, (ii) c-LSPR-based, and (iii) SLR-based. Such a classification has not to be meant as strict since even a slight variation in the nanostructure geometry may lead to different plasmonic effects. However, we believe it can provide a logic and clear picture of such a complex landscape.

2.1. LSPR-Based Biosensing

Electromagnetic waves can interact with sub-wavelength metal nanoparticles to excite standing oscillations of the conduction electrons against the metal ion core. These excited modes are called localized surface plasmons (LSPs) (Figure 1a) and entail a strong field enhancement and confinement all around the metal nanostructure as soon as they oscillate resonantly with the external perturbation [27,36]. Absorption and scattering of the incident radiation by a metal NP increase very much on resonance, too [30]. The quasi-static approximation of Mie theory predicts that the LSPR wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{LSPR}}$) of a spherical NP is approximately linear in the RI ($n$) of the surrounding medium over small ranges of optical frequencies [28]

$$\lambda_{\text{LSPR}} = \lambda_p \sqrt{2n^2 + 1}$$  

(1)

Here, $\lambda_p$ is the plasma wavelength associated to the metal nanoparticle and strongly depends on both its shape and size [37].

As an example, the $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}}$ of a Au nanosphere can be finely tuned over 60 nm as its diameter is modified over the range of 10–100 nm (Figure 1b) [38], with the transition from the absorption-dominated to the scattering-dominated optical response phase occurring at ~50 nm in diameter [39]. It is worth mentioning that higher order plasmon modes generally contribute to broaden the plasmon resonance line width as the nanoparticle size increases [38]. Hence, both the $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}}$ and the plasmon resonance line width strongly depend on the nanoparticle geometry (Figure 1b).

Figure 1. (a) Schematic illustration of the LSP excitation. (b) Extinction efficiency as a function of NP diameter. (c) Sketch of the visual colour change of a nanostructured substrate as a consequence of the LSPR redshift. Adapted from Refs. [12,40] Copyright (2021) The Authors. Advanced Materials Interfaces published by Wiley-VCH GmbH and (2018) The Authors, published by IOP Publishing Ltd. These articles are distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license.
The LSPR peak shift provides a visual measure of the RI variations occurring inside a nanoscale volume around the nanoparticle since the $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}}$ depends on the local RI variations (Figure 1c) [28]. Usually, the RI sensitivity $S$ is defined as

$$S = \frac{\Delta \lambda_{\text{LSPR}}}{\Delta n} \quad (2)$$

that is the nanometers of peak shift per RI unit (RIU).

In the quasi-static approximation, Equation (2) can be rewritten as [41]

$$S = \frac{2}{n} \left( \lambda_{\text{LSPR}} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{m} \right) \quad (3)$$

here $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity of the vacuum and $m = (d \varepsilon' / d \lambda)|_{\lambda_{\text{LSPR}}}$ with $\varepsilon'$ the real part of the nanoparticle dielectric function $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon''$. In order to better characterize the sensing performance of a given nanostructure, the plasmon resonance line width is taken into account alongside the sensitivity by the figure of merit (FOM) parameter [42]

$$\text{FOM} = \frac{S}{\text{FWHM}} \quad (4)$$

where FWHM is the plasmon resonance full width at half maximum. It follows that larger nanoparticles are unsuitable for high-sensitive LSPR-based biosensors despite the higher sensitivity since their resonance peaks are consistently broadened by higher order plasmon modes as well as radiative damping [28].

The sensitivity strongly depends on the nanoparticle shape and material, too. For example, 50–60 nm diameter gold nanospheres have $S = 60 \text{ nm/RIU}$ at $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}} = 530 \text{ nm}$ [43], while silver nanospheres of the same size have $S = 160 \text{ nm/RIU}$ at $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}} = 435 \text{ nm}$ [44]. Gold nanocubes of size 30–50 nm have $S = 83 \text{ nm/RIU}$ at $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}} = 538 \text{ nm}$ [45], while silver nanocubes of the same size have $S = 146 \text{ nm/RIU}$ at $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}} = 510 \text{ nm}$ [46].

Thanks to its surface chemistry, high biocompatibility and resistance to oxidation, gold is usually the first choice for the design of biosensors nanostructures. However, silver is sometimes preferred for its higher RI sensitivity as well as its sharper resonances [47]. This is the case of Austin Suthanthiraraj and Sen’s work [48]. They employed electron beam evaporation and a subsequent thermal annealing to realize a random array of spheroidal AgNPs on a silicon substrate. The resulting nanostructure exhibited a $\lambda_{\text{LSPR}}$ at 430 nm and was the transducer element of a membrane-integrated device for the detection of NS1 dengue antigen in human whole blood (Figure 2a). The biosensor showed a spectral sensitivity of 9.2 nm/($\mu$g/mL) and reached a LOD of 0.06 $\mu$g/mL (Figure 2a). Some issues have still to be addressed as low reproducibility; narrow LR (0.25–2 $\mu$g/mL); and optimization of the fabrication process (the AgNPs diameters lye in a range as wide as 20–80 nm). However, the proposed device made the detection of NS1 dengue antigen very fast, within 30 min, and worked with small volumes of blood (10 $\mu$L) which required no pre-treatments thanks to the integrated blood-plasma separation membrane.

An interesting comparison between Au hemispheres and their complementary shapes (i.e., Au nanocups) can be addressed by the works of Park et al. [49] and Focsan et al. [50]. In fact, both the structures proved to be better than spheroidal AuNPs for biosensing applications. Park et al. [49] used block copolymer chemistry and electron beam evaporation to realize a hexagonal array of Au nano-hemispheres integrated into a nano-optoelectronic device (Figure 2b). The nanostructure LSPR peak was at 650 nm and its shift modified the underlying MoS$_2$ channel photocconductivity, whose variations represented the biosensor response (Figure 2b). The device demonstrated a sensitivity of 300 nm/RIU and was used for the label-free detection of citrullinated histone H3 (CitH3) (an early-stage sepsis biomarker) in serum. It displayed a large LR over 5 decades, from 0.1 to $10^4$ pg/mL (Figure 2b) and reached a LOD of 0.87 pg/mL ($56 \times 10^{-15}$ M), that is a remarkable result since it is 250 times lower than the LOD obtained by ELISA assay.
Figure 2. (a) Schematic of membrane-integrated device used for the detection of NS1 dengue antigen in human whole blood; calibration curve. Adapted from Ref. [48] Copyright (2019), with permission from Elsevier B.V. (b) Perspective and cross-sectional views of the device architecture (scale bar: 40 nm); LSPR wavelength shifts and drain-source current of the MoS$_2$ channel (when $V_{\text{drain-source}} = 1.0$ V) as functions of the bulk RI; calibration curves for CitH3. Adapted from Ref. [49] Copyright (2019), with permission from Wiley-VCH. (c) SEM image of the Au nanocup array onto PDMS; scheme used for Anti-Human IgG detection; simulated relationship between reflectance minima and RI; experimental reflectance response after Human IgG functionalization and Anti-Human IgG detection. Adapted from Ref. [50] Copyright (2017), Springer Nature. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license.

Instead, Focsan et al. [50] employed colloidal and soft lithography techniques to realize a hexagonal array of Au nanocups onto a polydimethylsiloxane matrix (PDMS), thus obtaining a flexible device (Figure 2c). The authors first simulated the sensing performance of arrays with 527, 600, and 719 nm nanocup diameters, retrieving $S$ values of 211, 201, and 195 nm/RIU, respectively; secondly, they selected the latter one for a proof-of-concept experiment as the detection of anti-human immunoglobulin G (IgG) in buffer serum (Figure 2c). Implemented as a surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)-based assay, the biosensor reached a LOD of 1.5 μg/mL.

Nanoparticles with more complex morphologies such as nanorods, nanotriangles, and nanoshells are still challenging for applications but may offer higher RI sensitivities [51]. In the case of elongated nanoparticles, this is a consequence of a high aspect ratio. For instance, the sensitivity of Au bipyramids passes from 150 to 540 nm RIU$^{-1}$ as the aspect ratio increases from 1.5 to 4.7 [45]. Similarly, if the aspect ratio of 10 nm radius Au nanorods increases from 1.0 to 3.4, then an increase in sensitivity from 157 to 497 nm/RIU takes place [52].
Additionally, thanks to a surface plasmon with a longer oscillation length, Au stripes can provide a higher RI sensitivity than Au nanorods [41,53]. Na et al. [54] took full advantage of this plasmonic property to design a high sensitive and specific micro-RNA biosensor. In fact, they used nanoimprint lithography (NIL) to make a flexible nanograting whose top and side faces were then covered by inverted L-shaped Au strips (Figure 3a). Hairpin probes containing immobilized nucleic acids were placed atop the strips: such probes could detect single base mismatches, so that a high specificity was guaranteed (Figure 3a). Furthermore, binding of target micro-RNAs to the probes triggered the enzymatic conversion of a soluble solute into an insoluble precipitate and this process increased the RI of the Au stripes surrounding medium: as a consequence, the nanostructure LSPR peak red-shifted (Figure 3a). The large LSPR redshift provided by the 3D Au stripe arrays—up to 37 nm—allowed the authors to obtain a LOD of $13 \times 10^{-15}$ M for micro-RNA let-7a in saline buffer. The sensor demonstrated to detect targets in biological samples such as cancer cell extracts, therefore it is also candidate as a POC device.

The work of Lednický and Bonyár [55] provides a further example of 3D nanostructure. They presented a novel template-assisted technology for the synthesis of Au nanodisks and their transfer on a hexagonal array of epoxy nanopillars (Figure 3b). The proposed method allowed a precise control over both the nanodisks sizes and distances, and thus a fine-tuning of the nanostructure LSPR in the range of 535–625 nm (Figure 3b). Moreover, the nanostructure bulk RI sensitivity was between 83 and 108 nm/RIU. The device was able to label-free detect a 20 bp long DNA specific sequence from the *Giardia lamblia* (*β*-giardin gene) in buffer, with a LOD of 5 nM (Figure 3b). It is worth to note that the reported biosensor was one of the first ever AuNP/polymer nanocomposites-based sensor that successfully achieved label-free detection of DNA.

Nanoparticles with sharp tips or cavities show RI sensitivities much higher than those expected from their geometrical aspect ratio since higher-order plasmonic modes occur [41,56]. For instance, Au nanoshells of 50 nm diameter and 4.5 nm thickness have RI sensitivities of 409 nm/RIU while Au nanospheres of 50 nm diameter only 60 nm/RIU [43]; or, Ag nanotriangles of 55–120 nm side show a RI sensitivity of 350 nm/RIU whereas Ag nanospheres of 40–90 nm diameter only 160 nm/RIU [44]. Moreover, pairs of nanotriangles arranged as bowtie-like nanoantennas provide even higher sensitivities thanks to an enhanced field in between the gap. In this regard, Wang et al. [57] numerically designed and investigated an array of Al bowtie nanoantennas with a metal-insulator-metal configuration. By varying the nanostructure geometry as well as the light source features, they found out a LSPR peak broadband tuneable from UV to NIR region (from 200 to 2000 nm), with a maximum field enhancement of $10^2$ at $\lambda = 1064$ nm (Figure 3c). Eventually, for the optimized array with 300 nm long and 60 nm thick antennas, and tip-tip gap of 10 nm, they found out a RI sensitivity of 500 nm/RIU (Figure 3c).
Figure 3. (a) Working scheme of the 3D Au stripe arrays for the detection of micro-RNA let-7a in saline buffer; typical LSPR shift of device response, dose-response curve, nanostructure’s SEM images (inset scale bar: 500 nm) after enzyme precipitation when target micro-RNAs are absent or present. Reused from Ref. [54] Copyright (2018), Elsevier B.V. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY-NC-ND) license. (b) 3D model and 45°-tilted SEM image of an array of epoxy nanopillars with Au nanodisks atop; normalized absorbance spectra measured in different environments; calibration curve for the target DNA sequence from Giardia lamblia; control experiments. Adapted from Ref. [55] Copyright (2020), American Chemical Society. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license. (c) Schematic of the bowtie-like nanoantenna array with metal-insulator-metal structure; electric field intensity enhancements at 1064 nm for arrays with different geometries; simulated calibration curve for superstrate RI in the case of an optimized platform. Adapted from Ref. [57] Copyright (2019), with permission from Springer Nature.

Table 1 shows a more comprehensive list of LSPR-based colorimetric biosensors.
Table 1. An overview on recently reported LSPR-based colorimetric biosensors and some commercial ELISA kits for comparison (when available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer Matrix</th>
<th>Analytes</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs whole human blood</td>
<td>dengue NS1</td>
<td>0.5–50 µg/mL</td>
<td>9 nm/(µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.06 µg/mL</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Eagle Bioscience) serum, plasma, cell culture supernatants</td>
<td>dengue NS1</td>
<td>1.56–100 ng/mL</td>
<td>1.56 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au hemispheres human serum, cell culture supernatants</td>
<td>CitH3</td>
<td>10^{-4}–10 ng/mL</td>
<td>300 nm/RIU</td>
<td>0.87 pg/mL</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Cayman Chemical)</td>
<td>CitH3</td>
<td>0.15–10 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.1 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au hemispheres buffer serum</td>
<td>anti-human IgG</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>211 nm/RIU</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-shape Au stripes buffer solution</td>
<td>miRNA-let-7a</td>
<td>1 fM–100 pM</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>13 fm</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (BioVendor) whole blood, cell culture lysates</td>
<td>hsa-miR-let-7a-5p</td>
<td>1.25–40 pM</td>
<td>0.42 pM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au nanomushrooms buffer solution</td>
<td>β-giardin gene</td>
<td>10 nM–1µM</td>
<td>83–108 nm/RIU</td>
<td>5 nM</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au bowtie aqueous solution</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>500 nm/RIU</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au nanodisks buffer solution</td>
<td>ssDNA</td>
<td>10–170 nM *</td>
<td>210 nm/RIU</td>
<td>10 nM</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au nanodisks water</td>
<td>ARC DNA</td>
<td>10–10^11 fM **</td>
<td>227 nm/RIU</td>
<td>10 fM</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Langmuir isotherm behaviour. ** Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations.

2.2. c-LSPR-Based On-Platform Colorimetric Biosensors

Nanoparticles placed close to each other offer novel optical responses when the near-field couplings among their LSPs occur. The new coupled modes are called coupled-LSPs (c-LSPs) and can offer a strong electric field enhancement and confinement at the interparticle gaps whenever they resonate with the external perturbation [60]. Generally, the resonant conditions are associated to c-LSPR wavelengths that are red-shifted or blue-shifted with respect to the corresponding (single-particle) LSPR wavelengths. These novel phenomena have been described applying the so-called plasmon hybridization method to dimers of nanoparticles [61,62]. In fact, ab initio (i.e., fully quantum mechanical) calculations predicted a scenario analogous to molecular hybridization: whenever the interparticle gap is of few nanometres, the single particle LSPs mix and give rise to bonding and antibonding hybrid plasmon modes depending on whether the induced charge distribution corresponds to a low-energy or a high-energy configuration, respectively [63].

When the external radiation is linearly polarized along the dimer axis (longitudinal polarization), a lower energy (or bonding) mode σ results from the in-phase coupling of the single particle dipoles, whereas a higher energy (or antibonding) mode σ* results from the out-of-phase dipolar coupling [64]. It is easily understood that the σ and σ* modes occur at c-LSPR wavelengths that are, respectively, red-shifted and blue-shifted if compared to the single particle LSPR [64]. Furthermore, the σ mode exhibits an electric field strongly enhanced and confined (i.e., hot spots) at the dimer gap while the σ* mode exhibits a field localized at the dimer edges. Conversely, if the polarization is orthogonal to the dimer axis (transverse polarization), a lower energy (or bonding) mode π (red-shifted) is associated to the out-of-phase dipolar coupling, whereas a higher energy (or antibonding) mode π* (blue-shifted) is associated to the in-phase dipolar coupling [64].

Figure 4a shows a graphical representation of the plasmon hybridization model in the case of a homodimer (i.e., two equivalent nanoparticles) as well as a heterodimer (i.e., two non-equivalent nanoparticles). It is worth noting that a linearly polarized light cannot excite the out-of-phase modes σ* and π in a homodimer (dark modes), but specific illuminations such as a focused cylindrical vector beam can [65]. However, σ* and π modes are allowed by the heterodimer configuration (under linearly polarized light) and, in this case, they are...
characterized by weaker intensities than $\sigma$ and $\pi^*$ in-phase modes. These facts can readily
be addressed by the dipole-dipole model (in the quasistatic approximation): the oppositely
oriented dipole moments neutralize each other (dark modes) in the case of homodimers
while an incomplete cancellation takes place for heterodimer (Figure 4a) [63].

\[
\Delta \lambda / \lambda_{c-LSPR} = k e^{-d/D}
\]

where $k$ is the maximum $c$-LSPR shift for the dimer and $l_d$ is the decay length of the $c$-LSP.
By varying the separation distance between two metal nanoparticles in an extremely fine
manner through lithographic techniques, it turned out a $c$-LSP decay length $l_d \sim D/5$ in all
of the cases [67].

Many on-platform colorimetric biosensors can benefit from the fine-tuning of the
nanostructure geometry since it leads to a very fine control over the optical response of
the device. This is particularly true for $c$-LSPR-based platforms and makes them very appealing
for biosensing purposes. However, the quest for cost-effective and scalable biosensors does
not match with the fabrication cost and effort of such high-tech arrays of nanoparticles
with arbitrary patterns and shapes. In fact, almost any conceivable nanostructured pattern
can be designed by high-precision techniques as, e.g., electron-beam lithography (EBL) or
focus ion beam lithography (FIB), but high costs, time consumption, and high complexity
limit their actual adoption. On the other hand, these techniques often allow one to
make breakthroughs.

For instance, EBL was employed by Sadeghi and Gutha [68] to realize templates
(rectangular lattices) of rectangular unit regions holding Au nanoislands. The optical
response of such hierarchical lattices was investigated for samples with many nanoisland
morphologies. Here, Figure 5a shows two architectures distinct from the others: the former

Figure 4. (a) Schematic representation of the plasmon hybridization model. (b) Sketch of the
near-field coupling among two neighbouring nanoparticles in a two-dimensional hexagonal lattice.
(c) Schematic illustration of the electric field enhancement in the case of LSPR mode and $c$-LSPR mode
for a homodimer configuration. Adapted from Ref. [12]. Copyright (2021) The Authors. Advanced
Materials Interfaces published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This article is distributed under a Creative
Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license.

When dealing with 2D arrays of nanoparticles (Figure 4b), in a first approximation the
plasmonic features depend on the ratio $R = D/d$, where $D$ is the nanoparticle diameter
and $d$ is the interparticle distance. If $R > 2/3$, i.e., when nanoparticles are relatively close to
their nearest neighbours, their LSPs do couple through near-field interactions and give rise
to $c$-LSP modes [31] (Figure 4c). Instead, if $R < 2/3$ the couplings are negligible and the
array acts as a system of decoupled LSPs [31] (Figure 3c). These properties can be recovered
from the following semiempirical law for the fractional plasmon shift $\Delta \lambda / \lambda_{c-LSPR}$ of a
dimer of nanoparticles [66]
has unit regions containing small and tightly packed nanoislands (left side) while the latter has large and loosely packed nanoislands (right side). The Au nanoislands had diameters in the range of 50–100 nm. When x-polarized light hit such lattices (blue lines of the graphs), resonance peaks were observed at 706 nm (left graph) and 780 nm (right graph), respectively. As suggested by field-enhancement simulations (Figure 5a), those peaks resulted from c-LSP modes (near-field couplings among the nanoislands within a unit region) that further coupled with lattice diffractive modes. It is also worth noting that the architecture with loosely packed but larger Au nanoislands supported stronger c-LSPs modes due to a higher $R$ ratio.

Helium FIB milling technique was recommended by Das et al. [69] for the realization of a novel plasmonic nanostructure for both bulk and localized RI ultrasensitive sensing. The proposed platform consists of a periodic array of Au crossed-bowtie nanoantennas interspaced with Au nanocross walls onto a SiO$_2$ substrate (Figure 5b). Its plasmonic features were investigated by finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations: two main plasmonic resonances were found in the near-IR (NIR) region, whose wavelengths could be tuned by simply varying the nanostructure geometry. These resonances are c-LSPRs resulting from the (resonant) coupling between the Au crossed-bowtie nanoantennas LSPs and the interspacing Au walls LSPs [70]. The same can be also said about the higher-order resonances at shorter wavelengths [70]. Although the fabrication of such a plasmonic platform would be laborious and unpractical due to the need for FIB technique, it would offer the highest sensitivities reported so far. In fact, for the optimized platforms, simulations yielded a $S = 1753$ nm/RIU (with a FOM of 3.65 RIU$^{-1}$) in the case of bulk RI sensing, whereas and 70 nm/RIU (with a FOM of 0.33 nm$^{-1}$) in the case of localized RI sensing (Figure 5b).

NIL represents a promising alternative for the design of nanometre scale patterns since it is a simple technique with low cost, high throughput, and high resolution. It can create arbitrary patterns through the mechanical deformation of a soft imprint resist by a hard mould [71,72]. However, the fabrication of such moulds may represent a drawback of NIL as well, especially if they are obtained by EBL. Nevertheless, EBL (and nickel electrocasting) was used by Su et al. [73] to fabricate master moulds for Au finger-like hexagonal arrays onto flexible copolymer films. Figure 5c clearly shows the high quality and regularity of the array; furthermore, it is readily understood that the optical response of the array could be finely tuned by simply engineering the master mould geometry. In this specific case, a c-LSPR was observed at $\sim 605$ nm when the finger-like protrusions had diameters of 300 nm and were 150 nm far from each other ($R = 2$). The platform was employed just for a proof-of-concept experiment, i.e., the label-free detection of DNA sequences related to Alzheimer’s disease (Figure 5c). Moreover, the authors did not report the device performance. Nevertheless, their work paves the way to miniaturized and wearable biosensors suitable for diagnostics thanks to the extremely high reliability and reproducibility guaranteed by NIL technique.

In addition to hard moulds, polymer moulds are commercially available. A moth-eye structured cycloolefin polymer film was employed by Kawasaki et al. [33] as mould to make (via NIL technique) hexagonal lattices of dielectric-core/Au-shell structured nanocones (Figure 6a). The optical response of such arrays strongly depended on the gold layer thickness, thus allowing the tuning of the c-LSPR peak over the visible range without any changes in the mould (in fact, without changing the mould). The platform achieved remarkable results in both bulk RI and molecular sensing: the optimized array with 80 nm Au layer thickness exhibited a RI sensitivity $S$ of 417.5 nm/RIU and was used for label-free detection of DNA hybridization in the range of 1 pM–100 nM, reaching a LOD of 161 fM and single-base mismatch detection (Figure 6a).
Figure 5. (a) SEM images associated extinction spectra (when the superstrate RI is 1.33) and x-polarized field enhancement profiles (at 797 nm) of two Au nanobar arrays with different morphologies. Adapted from Ref. [68] Copyright (2021), with permission from American Physical Society. (b) Schematic of the array of Au crossed-bowtie nanoantennas and Au nanocross walls; bulk RI calibration curves for arrays with different values W of the Au walls width (the inset shows the bulk RI sensing scheme); typical reflectance spectra before (solid line) and after (dotted line) the addition of a 2 nm thick layer with RI of 1.53 over the nanostructure (the inset shows the localized RI sensing scheme). Adapted from Ref. [69] Copyright (2021), Royal Society of Chemistry. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license. (c) Absorption spectra of the Au finger-like hexagonal array before and after immobilization of different probes and targets; associated c-LSPR peak wavelengths and intensities. Tilted SEM image of the substrate. Adapted from Ref. [73] Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier B.V.

Polymer-based moulds can have macroscopic surface areas; indeed, in the previous work [33] nanostructured platforms with 5 mm² areas were obtained by means of such moulds. However, the most widely used technique for patterning large areas (cm²) is colloidal lithography (CL) [74], whose success is due to the high quality and low cost of self-assembly arrays of colloidal nanoparticles. Monolayers of closely packed polystyrene nanospheres onto a substrate are generally employed as template masks, which can then be further transferred by etching or lift-off [75,76].

In this regard, Misbah et al. [77] used a polystyrene beads template to design a hexagonal lattice (460 nm pitch) of optically coupled Au-Ag alloy nanodisks (350 nm diameter, 80 nm thickness). The use of a 30–70% Au-Ag alloy rather than pure gold opened up a higher energy c-LSPR at 540 nm (Figure 6b), otherwise largely suppressed by pure gold.
interband damping [78]. On the one hand, this additional resonance allowed the colorimetric detection even by low-cost RGB cameras and, on the other hand, it entailed a higher local RI sensitivity thanks to a stronger field coupling all over the array. Furthermore, the platform exhibited a lower energy c-LSPR at 750 nm which could be used for multiplexing measurements. The device offered the highest RI sensitivity so far reported for the green band, being $S = 344 \text{ nm/RIU}$ with a FOM of 3 RIU$^{-1}$ (Figure 6b). Finally, the well-known biotin-streptavidin complex was employed to study the feasibility of the higher energy mode for RGB colorimetric biosensing: a LOD of 0.1 nM was obtained by using a simple smartphone camera and a white lamp (Figure 6b). Visual colorimetric detection, low-cost and high-yield fabrication process candidate this biosensor as a potential POC diagnostic device under resource-limited conditions.

A similar approach was adopted by Kasani et al. [79] to fabricate a hexagonal array of Au nanorings. The plasmonic features of such array could be tuned over hundreds of nanometres in the NIR region by simply varying the lattice as well as the nanoring geometry [80] (Figure 6c): in particular, a strong field enhancement up to $4.3 \times 10^4$ was calculated for a nanoring gap as narrow as 2 nm. It can be also noted in Figure 6c that a lower energy (bonding) mode as well as a higher energy (antibonding) mode became more and more manifest while decreasing the nanoring gap. This feature could make the device appealing for signal redundancy (i.e., the possibility to measure multiple independent observables at the same time for detecting a given target). Thus, redundant biosensors maximize analytical reliability and repeatability.

Table 2 reports examples of c-LSPR-based on-platform colorimetric biosensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Analytes</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core–shell Au nanocones</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^5 \text{ pM}$</td>
<td>417.5 nm/RIU</td>
<td>161 fM</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au crossed-bowties</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1753 nm/RIU</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au–Ag alloy nanodisks</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>streptavidin-biotin</td>
<td>0.1–10 nM</td>
<td>344 nm/RIU</td>
<td>0.1 nM</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au film on nanopillars</td>
<td>ultrapure water</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>$0.1 \times 10^4 \text{ pM}$</td>
<td>382–442 nm/RIU</td>
<td>70 fM</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNP multilayer</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>T-DNA</td>
<td>0.1–100 nM</td>
<td>1251.44 nm/RIU</td>
<td>0.1 nM</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. SLR-Based On-Platform Colorimetric Biosensors

Metal nanoparticles arranged in a periodic lattice can diffract light whenever the lattice constant is comparable with the wavelength of the incident radiation (Figure 7a). Such diffractive waves may couple with the single-particle LSPs to give rise to hybrid plasmonic-photonic modes delocalized all over the array, called lattice plasmon modes [29]. According to the coupled dipole model, as soon as the single-particle LSPR wavelength and the lattice constant match (i.e., under resonant condition) a strong and sharp surface lattice resonance (SLR) may occur (Figure 7b). In fact, single-particle LSP modes offer a set of scattered wavelengths out of which the lattice periodicity selects (through the Bragg condition) the one undergoing constructive interference [29]. Generally, the SLR exhibited by a lattice of metal nanoparticles is red-shifted if compared to the LSPR of the nanoparticles forming that same lattice [29].
Figure 6. (a) Conceptual illustration for the label-free DNA hybridization detection by the hexagonal core–shell-structured Au nanocone array, together with a simulation of the electric field enhancement around a nanocone (once irradiated by white light); calibration curve for target DNA; peak wavelength shifts due to hybridization of noncomplementary DNA (Mis.), 1-base mismatch DNA (1-Mis.), and fully complementary DNA (Comp.). Adapted from Ref. [33] Copyright (2019), with permission from American Chemical Society. (b) Schematic of the hexagonal Au–Ag alloy nanodisk array and its working scheme; LSPR position of the green band peak as a function of the bulk RI; green band peak shifts (black line) and red/green ratio reduction (blue line) induced at different concentrations of streptavidin, with the inset showing smartphone images of bare sample (top), thiol-PEG-biotin modified sample (middle), and 10⁻⁶ M streptavidin incubated sample (bottom). Adapted from Ref. [77] Copyright (2018), with permission from American Chemical Society. (c) SEM image of a Au nanoring array; simulated reflectance spectra for a 500 nm periodic nanoring array at reducing nanoring gap distance (the dashed green lines underline the occurrence of bonding and antibonding c-LSPs modes while reducing the gap); experimental reflectance spectra for a 600 nm periodic nanoring array as a function of the nanoring gap distance. Adapted from Ref. [79] Copyright (2017), with permission from American Chemical Society.
When dealing with the excitation of lattice plasmon modes, the external illumination geometry and the RI in the nanoparticles surroundings are both crucial. For instance, a radiation normal to the lattice can excite SLRs only if a uniform environment completely surrounds the nanoparticles [83]. Such a set-up has no utility in biosensing field since the RIs of substrate and superstrate are generally quite different. Oblique light incidence could overcome this issue since such illumination geometry can excite lattice plasmons even in asymmetric environments [84,85]. In this case, lattice plasmon modes occur at the Rayleigh cut-off wavelengths for substrate and superstrate and so they split into substrate-related and medium-related modes, respectively. However, the latter as well as the former geometries are not fully suitable for biosensing since they require light to travel through a superstrate whose RI fluctuations certainly affect the SLR-related sensing parameters. An illumination geometry that can address all of the above-mentioned issues is the attenuated total reflection (ATR) geometry. Here, the nanoparticle array is illuminated from the (transparent) substrate side resulting in the excitation of high-quality SLRs [84].

The quality factor $Q$ of an SLR can be estimated as [29]

$$\frac{\lambda_{\text{SLR}}}{\text{FWHM}}$$

where $\lambda_{\text{SLR}}$ is the SLR wavelength, and FWHM is its full width at half maximum.

The work by Danilov et al. [86] provided examples of the above-mentioned general facts. The authors used EBL to fabricate square lattices of single and double 320 nm diameter Au nanodots on glass substrates and evaluated the RI sensitivities in both direct oblique and ATR illumination geometries (by means of ethanol-water solutions at different concentrations). In the former case, the measurements returned a $S$ value of for medium-related modes while 190–200 nm/RIU for substrate-related modes (Figure 8a). Instead, in the latter case, an $S$ value as low as 50–55 nm/RIU was recorded for substrate-related modes whereas the medium-related modes exhibited different $S$ values according to the incident light angle. If it was below ~63°, then the $S$ value was 300–340 nm RIU$^{-1}$. Instead, for incident light angles above ~63°, $S$ was 400–420 nm RIU$^{-1}$ (Figure 8a). Recall that ~63° is the total internal reflection angle for a glass/water interface.
In-plane diffraction is commonly matched to single-particle LSPR by choosing specific unit cells and lattice constants. Measurable SLRs occur only if the lattice constants lie within the single-particle LSPR linewidth. Moreover, intensity, linewidth, and spectral position of a SLR can be tuned by varying the nanoparticles sizes and shapes as well as the lattice total length. For instance, Ag spheres smaller than 30 nm produce SLRs that are too weak to be measured in most of the cases [87,88]. Conversely, rectangular lattices (lattice constants of 500 nm and 300 nm) of 120 nm diameter and 50 nm height Au nanodisks provide SLRs with a high-quality factor \( Q \) that increases with the array size (saturation reached for array with few hundreds of nanodisks) [89]. In fact, SLRs need multiple scattered waves to be excited, a request satisfied by larger nanoparticles and arrays, which then provide stronger and narrower SLRs.

The quest for proper geometries is thus essential to get narrow SLRs. For instance, Ponomareva et al. [90] demonstrated that even tiny changes of the lattice periodicity can have a big impact on the optical response of the array. They employed a colloidal self-assembly approach to produce hexagonal arrays of core@shell NPs with wavelength-scale lattice constants. In particular, the authors chose Au cores and hydrogel shells to gain full control over the NP size and the lattice constant (Figure 8b). In fact, this was carried out by tuning the gold cores overgrown and the hydrogel shells polymerization, respectively. The high versatility offered by such approach allowed them to finely tune the plasmonic features of the arrays. When dealing with bulk RI sensing, the device was most sensitive when substrate and superstrate had similar RI values (Figure 8b). Although the biosensing potential of such a device was not studied, it exhibited SLRs with high \( Q \)-factors (20) (Figure 8b) that make it suitable for ultra-sensitive molecular detection.

The work by Li et al. [91] further showed the crucial role played by geometrical parameters. Indeed, the nanostructured lattice they proposed exhibited two broad plasmonic resonances instead of sharp SLRs despite the lattice periodicity was apt to support diffractive modes. In particular, they fabricated a hexagonal lattice (500 nm pitch) of Au nanospheres (185 nm size) on quartz substrate with an additional Ag coating all over the structure (i.e., covering both the NPs and the underlying substrate (Figure 8c)). Such a nanostructure was used as an \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) sensor relying on the following mechanism: in a humid atmosphere containing oxygen, \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) reacts with Ag to give out Ag\(_2\text{S}\). This meant a partial conversion of the original Ag coating to an Ag\(_2\text{S}\) coating and, in turn, an increase of the RIs around both the nanospheres and the substrate (both covered by Ag). A sensible redshift of the SLR peak from 669 to 707 nm was found for \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) concentrations in the range of 0–30 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Figure 8c). The device was used for the detection of \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) in 10-fold diluted plasma samples with addition of Na\(_2\text{S} \) donors, obtaining a LOD of 0.79 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Figure 8c).

When the external radiation is normal to the nanostructured platform, the single-particle LSPs can oscillate in phase with each other and, in this case, the SLRs—resulting from their further coupling with in-plane diffractive modes—can exhibit as narrow linewidths as 1 nm and even narrower [87,92,93]. Therefore, the \( Q \)-factor of a SLR (usually \( \sim 10^2 \)) is much higher if compared to a LSPR \( Q \)-factor (usually \( \sim 10 \)). The extremely narrow linewidths also imply that the effective detection sensitivity associated with SLRs (i.e., their FOM) is increased of a factor of \( 10^2 \) with respect to LSPRs, even though the sensitivity \( S \) of a SLR is constrained by diffraction phenomena to be not higher than the lattice constants (200–450 nm RIU\(^{-1} \)) [86]. Thanks to higher \( Q \)-factors, FOMs, and local electric field enhancements, SLR-based on-platform colorimetric biosensors can thus achieve lower LODs and higher sensitivities with respect to LSPR-based ones.

\( Q \)-factors strongly depend on the specific arrangements of the nanoparticles. In fact, nanoparticles arranged as honeycomb lattices show better performance than rectangular and hexagonal ones [94]. In this regard, Li et al. [95] employed soft lithography to realize honeycomb lattices of Al nanodisks on silica substrate that sustained two high-quality SLRs in the visible and NIR ranges at the same time (Figure 8d). It is worth noting that such a feature lacks, e.g., in similar hexagonal lattices and is ascribable to the non-Bravais nature of the honeycomb lattice. This unique feature was obtained by the following choice
of geometrical parameters: 110 nm diameter and 100 nm height nanodisks; 400 nm lattice constant. The NIR SLR ($\Gamma_1$) appeared at 873 nm and had a FWHM of $\sim$9 nm, while the visible SLR ($\Gamma_2$) was at 506 nm with a FWHM of $\sim$5 nm (Figure 8d). The former resonance resulted from the coupling of a diffractive mode with a mixture of in-phase dipole and out-of-phase quadrupole LSP modes; instead, the latter resonance corresponded to the coupling between a first-order diffractive mode and an out-of-plane quadrupole LSP mode (Figure 8d). The platform was not employed in a biosensing experiment. However, it paves the way for the use of non-Bravais lattices, especially when multiplexing is needed.

The SLR-based on-platform colorimetric biosensors discussed so far are resumed in Table 3.

**Table 3.** An overview on recently reported SLR-based colorimetric biosensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Analytes</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rectangular lattice of AuNPs</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>streptavidin–biotin</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>190–200 *</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexagonal lattice of AuNPs</td>
<td>human blood plasma</td>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>0–12 µM ***</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.79 µM</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular lattice of Au cubes placed on quartz pillars</td>
<td>refractive index sensing</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexagonal lattice dual-core photonic crystal fiber</td>
<td>refractive index sensing</td>
<td>1.33–1.40</td>
<td>16,000 nm/RIU</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[97]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-coated side-polished hexagonal structure photonic crystal fiber</td>
<td>refractive index sensing</td>
<td>1.36–1.44</td>
<td>21,700 nm/RIU</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[98]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au nanoring/nanowire array</td>
<td>refractive index sensing</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>900 nm/RIU</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Direct illumination geometry. ** ATR geometry. *** Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations.

---

**Figure 8.** Cont.
3. Nanoparticle Aggregation-Based Colorimetric Biosensing

Although platform-based colorimetric biosensors usually exhibit high tunability and impressive sensing performance, their implementation as POC tests is often hampered by nanofabrication complexity and poor scalability. On the contrary, colorimetric assays based on colloidal solutions of nanoparticles are generally realized through chemical synthesis and, hence, boast high scalability, fabrication simplicity and cost-effectiveness.

Clearly, colloidal solutions do not offer the same degree of plasmonic tunability as nanostructured platforms since, on the one hand, they cannot support lattice modes at all, and, on the other hand, possible coupled modes arise from nanoparticle aggregation processes (i.e., processes inherently not controllable). Indeed, the optical response of a
colloidal solution mainly arise from the LSPR modes of isolated nanoparticles and, hence, strongly depends on their morphology (size and shape), material and medium refractive index. However, when practicality and responsiveness are desirable—as it is the case of POC devices—colloidal solutions of nanoparticles represent a convenient strategy.

Generally, colorimetric biosensor whose optical response arise from nanoparticle aggregation/dispersion—the so-called nanoparticle aggregation-based colorimetric biosensors—rely upon two different detection methods: labelled and label-free. The labelled method directly attaches ligands—usually bioreceptors such as antibodies and aptamers—onto the nanoparticles through chemical bonds. Ligand-modified nanoparticles exhibit higher steric hindrance, stronger interparticle repulsion, and are more stable at high ionic strength conditions than bare nanoparticles [100].

3.1. Label-Based Colorimetric Biosensors

The analyte detection in label-based colorimetric approaches can be achieved by (i) cross-linking, (ii) non-cross-linking, or (iii) destabilization-induced aggregation. In cross-linking method, nanoparticle aggregation is induced by the assembly of ligand-functionalized nanoparticles (f-NPs) through intermolecular bonds between ligands and analytes, which overpower the interparticle electrostatic repulsion (Figure 9a). In such a case, the analytes can act either as linkers among f-NPs inducing their aggregation (Figure 9b) or as anchoring sites for multiple f-NPs (Figure 9c). In both the cases the LSPR shifts arise from the close-packing of f-NPs.

A very simple approach for cross-linking-based colorimetric biosensors was presented by Iarossi et al. [101], who realized an antibody-functionalized AuNPs (f-AuNPs) for the detection of human IgG. Anti-human IgGs were immobilized onto the surface of AuNPs by an innovative UV light-induced functionalization technique known as photochemical immobilization technique (PIT) [102,103]. Such a technique allows the covalent tether of antibodies

![Figure 9. Detection scheme of a colorimetric immunosensor based on a colloidal solution of antibody-functionalized nanoparticles. (a) No optical change is measured if the analytes are not recognized by functionalized nanoparticles. (b) Small analytes act as linkers due to multiple binding sites allowing the functionalized nanoparticles to aggregate. (c) Large analytes are surrounded by functionalized nanoparticles promoting their plasmon coupling.](image-url)
to the surface of noble metals in an oriented way, thereby significantly improving the robustness and effectiveness as compared to more complex functionalization techniques [104]. Human IgGs acted as linker among nanoparticles, facilitating their aggregation visually detected by a change of colour suspension from red to purple (Figure 10a). The size of aggregates depended on the concentration of human IgG, reaching the maximum for a concentration of 350 ng/mL. To be noted that the increase of the concentration beyond this value (hook point) prevented the nanoparticle aggregation due to the saturation of the binding antibody sites (hook effect). The proposed immunosensor provided a LR of 50–500 ng/mL with a LOD < 100 ng/mL. Although the assay is an “on–off” type, the cost effectiveness, the ease of use and the fast response make it suitable for a wide range of medical diagnostic applications.

Benefiting from the simple approach and robust functionalization technique, PIT-functionalized AuNPs were subsequently employed by Minopoli et al. [105] in an attempt of developing a POC assay for the detection of small molecules (a few hundred of Da). As a case study, 17β-oestradiol (E2) (272.4 Da) was considered since of relevance for environment safety and human health. As an oestrogen, E2 is involved in several hormonal (e.g., menopause, gynecomastia, pregnancy, infertility) [106] and carcinogenesis processes (e.g., ovary and breast cancers) [107]. Its bioaccumulation in the environment and abundance in food caused by its massive use in livestock industry may entail serious risks for aquatic fauna (e.g., fish feminization [108]) and human health. Thus, a rapid and effective strategy to monitor the oestrogen abundance in matrices such as wastewater and river water is highly attractive. The proposed cross-linking-based approach mediated by PIT-functionalized AuNPs and E2 molecules allowed one to achieve a remarkable LOD of 3 pg/mL (11 pM) (Figure 10b). Although the colorimetric response extended over five decades (up to 10^5 pg/mL), the extremely narrow LR (4–10 pg/mL) is detrimental from practical applications in which a quantitative response is required. However, the measurable signal even at higher oestradiol concentrations (10–10^5 pg/mL) (Figure 10b) would allow the biosensor to be used as an alert system for routinely oestrogen monitoring in the environment.

Figure 10. Cont.
Although plenty of innovative and outstanding strategies were proposed in the last two years, only few of them managed to be commercialised due to the poor scalability and the lack of complete pilot tests. In this regard, the colorimetric cross-linking strategy proposed by Della Ventura et al. [109] inherently paved the way to mass production and commercialisation thanks to its immediate scalability, simple and effective antibody functionalization technique (PITT), and reliability evaluated with real infected patents. In this approach, the colorimetric response arose from the interparticle plasmonic coupling among f-AuNPs that recognized virus proteins (Figure 10c). Envelope-, membrane-, and spike-directed antibodies were employed to functionalize AuNPs in order to optimise the virus covering and, hence, the plasmonic response. In comparison with PCR cycle threshold (Ct), impressive results were achieved in terms of LOD (Ct = 36.5), LR over seven decades of virus concentrations (Ct = 30–7), specificity (94–100%), and sensitivity (94–96%) (Figure 10c). Numerical simulations confirmed that such remarkable results were achieved thanks to the...
concurrency of two ingredients [110] (Figure 10d): (i) Adoption of different virus protein-directed antibodies that maximize the plasmonic response, and (ii) PIT-functionalization that allowed antibodies to lie onto nanoparticle surface in oriented configuration in such a way that the average nanoparticle-target distance was approximately 5 nm, the latter being of extremely importance to avoid the undesired hook effect. Indeed, AuNPs experienced the relatively high refractive index of the virus so to bear a strong plasmonic response even at high virus concentrations (i.e., when the number of AuNPs was much lower that the number of viruses and, hence, no interparticle plasmonic coupling occurred) (Figure 10d).

The feasibility and simplicity of such an approach was also demonstrated by Liu et al. [111], who employed an AuNPs-based immunosensor for the colorimetric detection of H3N2 influenza A virus (IAV). In particular, anti-hemagglutinin f-AuNPs were used as virus probes directed against the multiple hemagglutinin (HA) glycoproteins surrounding the IAV surface (Figure 11a). After the virus recognition, a plasmonic mode at 700 nm arose due to the optical coupling among the tightly packed f-AuNPs around the virus surface. As a side effect, the depletion of isolated f-AuNPs led to a decrease of the LSPR peak at 525 nm. As a consequence, the colour of the solution moved from red to purple. The ratiometric response $A_{700}/A_{525}$ provided a LR of 40–320 hemagglutination units (HAU)/mL with a LOD of 31.2 HAU/mL. A comparison with a clinically available HA inhibition test revealed relative standard deviation (RSD) values within 3.4%, thereby confirming the clinical suitability of the proposed assay.

![Figure 11](https://example.com/image.png)

**Figure 11.** (a) Scheme of IAV detection by anti-hemagglutinin f-AuNPs; TEM images of AuNPs (in black) around IAV; absorption spectra of AuNPs colloidal solutions with IAV concentrations in the range of 0–400 HAU (see inset for solution colour changes); calibration curve with inset showing the LR. Adapted from Ref. [111] Copyright (2015), with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry. (b) Detection scheme for ZIKV-E and salivary proteins of vector mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* and *a. albopictus* by aptamer-conjugated AuNPs; photograph showing visual colour changes of AuNPs conjugated with different concentrations of aptamers when ZIKV-E concentrations lied in the range of 0.4–100 nM; photograph showing the degree of precipitation induced by different amounts of ZIKV at three time points. Adapted from Ref. [112] Copyright (2019) The Royal Society of Chemistry. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY-NC) license.
An alternative cross-linking-based approach for detecting viruses by using aptamers instead of antibodies as bioreceptor was proposed by Bosak et al. [112]. Specifically, they reported a novel colorimetric aptasensor based on CFA0335 aptamer-conjugated AuNPs for detecting salivary proteins of vector mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* and *albopictus* and the Zika virus envelope protein (ZIKV-E). All of the experiments were conducted in a diagnostic buffer solution containing sucrose suitable for mosquitoes. Sucrose-capped AuNPs were preferred to citrate capped AuNPs for their lower non-specific aggregation. A solution of aptamers at an optimal concentration of 2 µM was used to functionalise sucrose-capped AuNPs (Figure 11b). The presence of the analyte (salivary mosquito proteins or ZIKV-E) caused the aggregation of f-AuNPs that entailed a visual colour change from red to blue. The measured LR for proteins in *Aedes aegypti* salivary gland extracts was 10–250 ng while the lowest detectable amount was 10 ng by UV-vis spectroscopy and 50 ng by naked-eye (Figure 11b). As it concerns the ZIKV-E protein, the lowest measurable concentration was 0.4 nM with a visual colour change from 4 nM to 100 nM. A colorimetric detection of the Zika virus (ZIKV) through the recognition of the ZIKV-E proteins was not accomplished. Indeed, despite the presence of 105 plaque-forming units (PFU) of ZIKV (in 10 µL of buffer solution) caused the aggregation of f-AuNPs, no visible colour change arose due to the sudden precipitation of ZIKV-f-AuNPs complexes.

A more comprehensive list of cross-linking-based colorimetric biosensors are reported in Table 4.

Table 4. An overview on recently reported cross-linking label-based colorimetric biosensors and some commercial ELISA kits and RT-PCR for comparison (when available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anti-human IgG f-AuNPs</td>
<td>human IgG</td>
<td>ultrapure water</td>
<td>50–500 ng/mL</td>
<td>&lt;100 ng/mL</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-E2 f-AuNPs</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>tap water</td>
<td>4–10 pg/mL</td>
<td>3 pg/mL</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Cayman Chemical)</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>cit plasma, EDTA plasma, hep plasma, serum, urine</td>
<td>0.61–10^4 pg/mL</td>
<td>6 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glutathione f-AuNPs</td>
<td>aminotriazole buffer solution</td>
<td>0.39–21.40 µM</td>
<td>0.2/ µM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 anti-envelope-, anti-membrane-, and anti-spike-f-AuNPs</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2</td>
<td>Universal Transport Medium</td>
<td>30–7 Ct</td>
<td>36.5 Ct</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2</td>
<td>nasopharyngeal swab</td>
<td>16–10^6 copies/mL</td>
<td>16 copies/mL</td>
<td>[114,115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-hemagglutinin f-AuNPs</td>
<td>IAV</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>40–320 HAU/mL</td>
<td>31.2 HAU/mL</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Sino Biological)</td>
<td>influenza H1N1 hemagglutinin</td>
<td>supernatant</td>
<td>31.25–2000 pg/mL</td>
<td>31.25 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA0335 aptamer-conjugated AuNPs</td>
<td>salivary mosquito proteins</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>10–250 ng</td>
<td>10 ng</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA0335 aptamer-conjugated AuNPs</td>
<td>ZIKV-E</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.4 nM</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Sino Biological)</td>
<td>ZIKV-E</td>
<td>serum, supernatant</td>
<td>187.5–12,000 pg/mL</td>
<td>99.72 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-spike-f-AuNPs</td>
<td>COVID-19 spike recombinant antigen, pseudo-SARS-CoV-2</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>1–10 ng/mL **</td>
<td>1 ng/mL</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data not given in the reference; the value was inferred from dose-response curve. ** Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations.

Although cross-linking aggregation is a convenient colorimetric assay method, its sensitivity is usually limited to the nanomolar levels due to the lack of feasible amplification steps. Moreover, the LR offered by these colorimetric strategy usually spans only...
few decades over the analyte concentration due to the occurrence of the hook effect (i.e., the saturation of ligand binding sites that prevents the nanoparticle aggregation at higher analyte concentrations) \[28,100\]. In non-cross-linking (NCL) method, the aggregation of ligand-functionalized nanoparticles is induced by increasing the ionic strength of the aqueous solution. It has been demonstrated that only specific ligands allow nanoparticles to aggregate in a medium of high ionic strength since the interparticle electrostatic and steric repulsion between ligand-modified nanoparticles can hinder their aggregation (Figure 12) \[117,118\].

This is the case of the NCL-based colorimetric assay proposed by Deng et al. \[119\] for real-time monitoring of the inorganic pyrophosphatase (PPase) activity (Figure 13a). PPase is an enzyme involved in the hydrolysis of inorganic pyrophosphate ion (PPi) whose transformation into orthophosphate (Pi) plays an essential role in many metabolic events \[119\]. Thus, the need of real-time monitoring of the PPase activity required to find a better alternative to the current irreversibility of the aggregation/dispersion state of conventional cross-linking methods. Indeed, it was demonstrated that a reversible aggregation/dispersion state could be triggered into a suspension of cysteine-stabilized AuNPs by tuning the concentration of Cu\(^{2+}\) ions and PPi. While Cu\(^{2+}\) entailed the aggregation of AuNPs due to the binding affinity between Cu\(^{2+}\) and cysteine, the addition of PPi counteracted the aggregation due to the higher binding affinity between PPi and Cu\(^{2+}\) (Figure 13a). This simple aggregation/dispersion-triggered method relying on the PPi/Cu\(^{2+}\) balance was adopted for real-time monitoring the PPase activity. The presence of PPase into the

**Figure 12.** Example of colloidal behaviours exhibited by DNA-modified nanostructures in a medium of high ionic strength. While single-stranded, mismatched and overhang DNA increase electrostatic and steric repulsion and, hence, sustain a colloidal dispersion state, fully matched DNA entail the aggregation of ligand-modified nanostructures. Reproduced from Ref. \[118\] Copyright (2016), with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
nanoparticle suspension catalysed PPi into Pi entailing a Cu^{2+}-triggered aggregation of AuNPs. Further addition of PPi restored the PPi-triggered dispersion state. The assay provided a linear response of the PPase activity in water in the range of 0.054–0.86 U/mL with a LOD of 0.02 U/mL (Figure 13a). Eventually, this approach was also employed to evaluate the PPase inhibition by using sodium fluoride (NaF) obtaining a half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) of 7.1 µM with a PPase activity of 0.38 U/mL.

Figure 13. (a) Schematic representation of the detection mechanisms for PPase activity and NaF inhibition efficiency; kinetic plots of A_{650}/A_{522} values and initial rate of enzymatic reaction (v_0) as a function of PPase activity (the inset shows the visual colour change of the suspension); absorption spectra and kinetic plots of A_{650}/A_{522} as a function of the NaF concentration (the inset shows the visual colour change of the suspension). Adapted from Ref. [119] Copyright (2013), with permission from American Chemical Society. (b) Scheme of the end-to-end self-assembly mechanism (the insets show SEM micrographs of synthesised AuNRs and their branch patterning after analyte addition); absorption spectra and calibration curve as a function of cholinesterase (ChE) concentration; absorption spectra and calibration curve as a function of parathion concentration. Adapted from Ref. [120] Copyright (2015), with permission from American Chemical Society.

A smart strategy to remarkably enhance the sensitivity of NCL-based colorimetric biosensors was proposed by Lu et al. [120]. Indeed, they demonstrated that the adoption of Au nanorods (AuNRs)—rather than spherical nanoparticles—introduced a significant
plasmonic enhancement due to their capability to end-to-end self-assembly in presence of cysteine (Figure 13b). Such elongated patterns enriched the plasmonic coupled-modes along the longitudinal axis of AuNRs giving rise to a strong increase of the absorbance at 1030 nm while a decrease at 742 nm (Figure 13b). Thus, an ultrasensitive ratiometric biosensor was developed for detecting cholinesterase and organophosphate pesticides in human blood. Specifically, acetylthiocholine was used as substrate so that it was catalysed by cholinesterase into thiocholine and acetate acid. The resulting thiocholine bound the ends of AuNRs due to the higher affinity of S—Au conjunction as compared to cysteine—Au thereby preventing the cysteine-triggered aggregation of AuNRs. The addition of organophosphate pesticides inhibited the enzymatic activity of cholinesterase counteracting the AuNR aggregation. The sensing performance of cholinesterase activity were evaluated in buffer solution obtaining a LR of 0.042–8.4 µU/mL with a LOD of 0.018 µU/mL (Figure 13b), whereas recovery test carried out in diluted human serum samples achieved values in the range of 89–96%. As it concerns the organophosphate pesticide efficiency, the amount required to inhibit 30% of cholinesterase activity (IC₃₀) turned out to be 1.2 pM. Importantly, the cholinesterase is an essential glycoprotein involved in several disease including Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases [120]. Thus, its detection at ultralow concentrations may play a crucial role in the prognosis of these diseases.

Outstanding studies demonstrated that telomerase can be explored as a valuable cancer biomarker since its over-expression in ~85% of human cancers [121,122]. This spurred the researchers to devise POC diagnostic tools based on colorimetric methods apt to be implemented in high-throughput screening devices. In this regard, two NCL-based colorimetric assays were developed by Wang et al. [123,124] with unique sensing features. The first approach they developed employed primer-modified AuNPs [123]. Specifically, telomerase substrate oligonucleotides (TS primer) were conjugated to the nanoparticle surface (TS-AuNPs) in such a way that they elongated in presence of telomerase (extracted from HeLa cells) and protected AuNPs from aggregation in highly ionic environment (Figure 14a). Without oligonucleotide elongation, TS primers were not able to fold in a protective G-quadruplex shell and, hence, AuNPs underwent ionic-induced aggregation. Although this method turned out to be extremely sensitive (LOD = 1 cell/µL), the limited LR (1–8 cells/µL) compromised its applicability for clinical purposes.

Subsequently, to overcome this issue, Wang et al. proposed a different approach for detecting telomerase activity [124]. In this case, AuNPs were modified with telomere complementary oligonucleotides (TC-AuNPs) while TS primers were dispersed in the suspension (Figure 14b). The addition of telomerase caused the elongation of TS primers in such a way that the telomerase reaction products (TRP) can be recognized by TC oligonucleotides. Thus, the hybridization of TC and TRP via sequence-specific bonds prevented the aggregation of AuNPs in highly ionic environment. This alternative strategy allowed one to dynamically extend the LR by tuning the concentration of TRP and AuNPs. In particular, at 2 nM (5 nM) concentration of AuNPs the LR was 100–4000 HeLa cells (500–10,000 HeLa cells) with a LOD of 100 HeLa cells (500 HeLa cells) (Figure 14b). The assay was also employed for measuring the inhibition efficiency (IC₅₀) of curcumin finding out to be 2.8 µM with telomerase extracts from 4000 HeLa cells (Figure 14b).

Another promising enzyme-based assay to detect telomerase activity was proposed by Zhang et al. [125]. Here, the ionicity of the solution was such that it did not induce the aggregation of telomerase primer-modified AuNPs (TP-AuNPs). However, the addition of exonuclease I in the suspension of TP-AuNPs entailed the hydrolysis of the TP primers thereby triggering the nanoparticle aggregation (Figure 14c). On the contrary, in presence of telomerase, TP primers were elongated so to form G-quadruplex surrounding AuNPs that were resistant to the exonuclease I digestion. Thus, a competitive NCL-based colorimetric assay for detecting telomerase activity was developed with impressive sensing performance achieved with telomerase extracted from human leukaemia cells (HL-60) (LR = 0–200 cells/mL, LOD = 29 cells/mL) (Figure 14c). Moreover, the telom-
Chemosensors 2022, 10, x FOR PEER REVIEW 27 of 58 erase inhibition efficiency of BIBR1532 was tested achieving a IC\textsubscript{50} value of 50 nM (with 300 HL-60 cancer cells/mL).

![Figure 14.](image-url) (a) Schematic illustration of the detection mechanism for telomerase activity by using TS-modified AuNPs; visual colour change of the solution from blue to purple and corresponding absorption spectra; Calibration curve (A\textsubscript{520}/A\textsubscript{650} vs. number of HeLa cells) for the telomerase activity (the inset shows the LR). Reproduced from Ref. [123] Copyright (2012), with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. (b) Schematic illustration of the detection mechanism for telomerase activity by using TC-modified AuNPs; ratiometric response A\textsubscript{520}/A\textsubscript{680} as a function of the number of HeLa cells from which telomerase was extracted (the insets show the visual colour change from blue to purple and the LR range); inhibition of telomerase activity by using curcumin (the inset shows the visual colour change of the solution from red to blue). Adapted from Ref. [124] Copyright (2014), with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) Schematic illustration of the detection mechanism for telomerase activity by using TP-modified AuNPs; ratiometric response A\textsubscript{520}/A\textsubscript{680} as a function of the number of HL-60 cells from which telomerase was extracted (the inset shows the visual colour difference between a control sample and a positive sample); Calibration curve (A\textsubscript{520}/A\textsubscript{680} vs. number of HL-60 cells). Adapted from Ref. [125] Copyright (2015), with permission from Elsevier B.V.

The main features of the above-mentioned NCL-based colorimetric biosensors are resumed in Table 5.
Table 5. An overview on recently reported non-cross-linking label-based colorimetric biosensors and some commercial ELISA kits for comparison (when available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (U)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cysteine-stabilized</td>
<td>PPhase NaF</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>0.025–0.4 U</td>
<td>0.010 U</td>
<td>7.1 μM (0.2 U)</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs</td>
<td>cholinesterase</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>0.042–0.8 μM/mL</td>
<td>0.018 μM/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abbexa)</td>
<td>cholinesterase</td>
<td>serum, plasma</td>
<td>0.625–40 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.38 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs</td>
<td>organophosphate pesticides</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>0.12–40 pM</td>
<td>0.039 pM</td>
<td>1.2 pM (42 μU/mL)</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-AuNPs</td>
<td>telomerase extracts from HeLa cells</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>1–8 cells/μL</td>
<td>1 cell/μL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (MyBioSource)</td>
<td>telomerase</td>
<td>serum, plasma, tissue homogenates</td>
<td>0.625–20 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.625 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC-AuNPs</td>
<td>telomerase extracts from HeLa cells</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>100–4000 cells</td>
<td>100 cells (2 nM AuNPs)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (MyBioSource)</td>
<td>telomerase</td>
<td>serum, plasma, tissue homogenates</td>
<td>0.625–20 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.625 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC-AuNPs</td>
<td>Curcumin</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>1–20 μM **</td>
<td>1 μM **</td>
<td>2.8 μM (4000 cells)</td>
<td>[124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP-AuNPs</td>
<td>telomerase extracted from HL-60 cancer cells</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>0–200 cells/mL</td>
<td>29 cells/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (MyBioSource)</td>
<td>telomerase</td>
<td>serum, plasma, tissue homogenates</td>
<td>0.625–20 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.625 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP-AuNPs</td>
<td>BIBR1532</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>20–500 nM **</td>
<td>20 nM **</td>
<td>50 nM (300 cells/mL)</td>
<td>[125]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Enzyme activity or concentration at which the IC<sub>50</sub> value was evaluated. ** Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations. *** The value referred to IC<sub>30</sub>.

The destabilization-induced aggregation (DIA) method relies on reducing the electrostatic repulsion between functionalized nanoparticles by cleaving a part of the ligand (Figure 15). Such a method is widely used for monitoring the enzymatic activity [16].

![Figure 15](image_url) Schematic representation of destabilization-induced aggregation method for measuring enzymatic activity. The aggregation of peptide-capped nanoparticles is induced by cleaving the capping peptides thereby reducing the electrostatic stabilization.

A very simple approach to devise a DIA colorimetric biosensor was proposed by Aldewachi et al. [126] who measured the activity of dipetidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) in human serum. The cleavage of peptide ligands surrounding AuNPs resulted in a reduction of the electrostatic stabilizing forces and, hence, in AuNP aggregation (Figure 16a). Satisfy-
ing LODs of 1.2 U/L and 1.5 U/L were achieved by using Gly-Pro-Asp-Cys (GPDC) and Val-Pro-ethylene diamine-Asp-Cys (VPED-DC) as peptide ligands, respectively (Figure 16a). The assay performance was estimated in buffer solution. However, a recovery of 83.6–114.9% was obtained from serum samples spiked with DPP-IV by using VPEN-DC-modified AuNPs, thus confirming its suitability as diagnostic tool.

Figure 16. (a) Detection mechanism of DPP-IV by using GPDC- or VPED-DC-capped AuNPs;
absorption spectra and calibration curve as a function of DPP-IV activity (referred to GPDC-capped AuNPs) (the inset shows the visual change from red to purple). Adapted from Ref. [126] Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier B.V. (b) Scheme of the working principle for the detection of cathepsin B; absorption spectra at different cathepsin B concentrations acquired after 2 h reaction time (the inset depicts the visual colour change of the solution); evaluation of inhibition efficiencies of leupeptin, antipain, chymostatin. Adapted from Ref. [127] Copyright (2014), with permission from American Chemical Society. (c) Schematic illustration of naked-eye detection mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 RNA mediated by ASO-modified AuNPs; normalized absorption spectra before and after RNA addition (the insets show the visual colour change of the solution: purple with SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA, blue after the addition of RNaseH, colourless due to the precipitation of nanoparticle aggregates); $A_{660}$ values measured at different conditions (control, RNA from non-infected Vero cells, Vero cells infected with MERS-CoV, and Vero cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 virus. Adapted from Ref. [128] Copyright (2020), with permission from American Chemical Society. (d) Schematic illustration of colorimetric detection strategy of AFB1 by using gelatine-modified AuNPs; absorption spectra as a function of AFB1 concentration (the inset shows the visual colour change); calibration curve ($A_{525}$ vs. AFB1 concentration). Adapted from Ref. [129] Copyright (2021), with permission from IOP Publishing Ltd.

A remarkable DIA-based approach was suggested by Kim et al. [127]. Here, the ionic strength among N,N'-diBoc-dityrosine-glycine-phenylalanine-3-(methylthio)-propylamine (DBDY-Gly-Phe-MTPA)-stabilized AuNPs was affected by the balance between cathepsin B and cathepsin B inhibitors (Figure 16b). Specifically, the enzymatic activity of cathepsin B catalysed the hydrolysis of DBDY-Gly-Phe-MTPA (negatively charged) into Phe-MTPA (positively charged) thereby weakening the electrostatic repulsion among AuNPs in a highly ionic environment. On the contrary, the presence of cathepsin B inhibitors (e.g., leupeptin, antipain, chymostatin) prevented the DBDY-Gly-Phe-MTPA hydrolysis and, hence, the nanoparticle aggregation. Cathepsin B is a tumour biomarker whose enzymatic activity is strongly related to many cancer development such as thyroid cancer, gastric cancer, breast cancer, glioma, oesophageal cancer, brain cancer, lung cancer, melanoma, ovarian cancer, and colon cancer [127]. Thus, a sensitive and POC detection of cathepsin B and its inhibitors in body fluids would represent a noteworthy tool in cancer prognosis and diagnosis as well as in anticancer drug delivery. The proposed biosensor was able to detect the cathepsin B activity within a clinically relevant nanomolar range down to 5 nM (Figure 16b). Moreover, the IC$_{50}$ inhibitor efficiency of leupeptin, antipain, chymostatin was measured to be 0.11 µM, 0.48 µM and 1.78 µM, respectively, with 50 nM of cathepsin B.

Among the SARS-CoV-2-directed biosensors recently developed, a promising visual DIA-based colorimetric assay was proposed by Moitra et al. [128]. Their strategy consisted of a two-step approach: (i) viral RNA-mediated nanoparticle aggregation; and (ii) RNaseH-mediated cluster precipitation. This strategy entailed a sensitive and visual response, the latter being a feature highly desirable for realizing a SARS-CoV-2-directed POC test. Particularly, they employed thiol-modified antisense oligonucleotide (ASO)-capped AuNPs that selectively aggregated in presence of nucleocapsid phosphoproteins (N-gene) extracted from SARS-CoV-2 viruses (Figure 16c). Aiming at producing a naked-eye response, additional destabilization-induced agglomeration among the AuNPs was inducing by cleaving the RNA strands from the RNA-DNA hybrids (Figure 16c). To this aim, RNaseH enzymes were added into the solutions. The proposed assay was able to produce a visual response down to 0.18 ng/µL within 10 min from the extracted RNA specimens (Figure 16c).

DIA-based methods were successfully applied also to detect small molecules such as aflatoxin (~300 Da). Indeed, a colorimetric competitive assay was proposed by Shahi et al. [129] to detect aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) (312.3 Da) in food samples. AFB1 is a carcinogenic agent secreted by fungi whose awareness is recently realised. Gelatine functionalized AuNPs were employed to produce a visual response when incubated with bacterial supernatant containing gelatinase enzyme capable of digesting the nanoparticle gelatine coating (Figure 16d). The
colour of the solution moved from red to purple due to the aggregation of unstabilised AuNPs. However, the addition of AFB1 in the bacterial supernatant inhibited the gelatinase activity thereby preventing the nanoparticle aggregation. The proposed assay provided a linear response in the range of 10–140 pg/mL with a LOD of 4 pg/mL (Figure 16d). A recovery of 92.4–95.3% was estimated in real saffron samples.

Table 6 shows a more comprehensive list of DIA-based colorimetric biosensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>IC_{50} (*)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASO-capped AuNPs</td>
<td>N-gene</td>
<td>nasopharyngeal swab</td>
<td>0.2–3 ng/µL</td>
<td>0.18 ng/µL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2</td>
<td>nasopharyngeal swab</td>
<td>16–10⁶ copies/mL</td>
<td>16 copies/mL</td>
<td>[114,115]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPDC-modified AuNPs</td>
<td>DPP-IV</td>
<td>50 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.3)</td>
<td>0–30 U/L</td>
<td>1.2 U/L</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
<td>DPP-IV</td>
<td>plasma, serum, supernatant</td>
<td>0.41–100 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.45 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPED-DC-modified AuNPs</td>
<td>DPP-IV</td>
<td>50 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.3)</td>
<td>0–12 U/L</td>
<td>1.5 U/L</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
<td>DPP-IV</td>
<td>plasma, serum, supernatant</td>
<td>0.41–100 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.45 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs@gelatin</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>bacterial supernatant containing gelatinase enzyme</td>
<td>10–140 pg/mL</td>
<td>4 pg/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Elabscience)</td>
<td>AFB1</td>
<td>cereals, corn skin, wheat bran, edible oil, peanut, biscuits, beer, wine, soy sauce, vinegar</td>
<td>0.03–0.48 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.03 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBDY-Gly-Phe-MTPA-AuNPs</td>
<td>cathepsin B</td>
<td>phosphate buffer</td>
<td>10–50 nM **</td>
<td>10 nM (1 h reaction)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abcam)</td>
<td>cathepsin B</td>
<td>cell culture supernatant, cell lysate, plasma, serum, tissue extracts</td>
<td>156–10,000 pg/mL</td>
<td>156 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBDY-Gly-Phe-MTPA-AuNPs</td>
<td>leupeptin</td>
<td>phosphate buffer</td>
<td>0–0.5 µM **</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.11 µM (50 nM)</td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBDY-Gly-Phe-MTPA-AuNPs</td>
<td>antipain</td>
<td>phosphate buffer</td>
<td>0–2 µM **</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.48 µM (50 nM)</td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBDY-Gly-Phe-MTPA-AuNPs</td>
<td>chymostatin</td>
<td>phosphate buffer</td>
<td>0–5 µM **</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1.78 µM (50 nM)</td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR2EC-functionalized AuNPs</td>
<td>MMP-7</td>
<td>PBS (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>0–2 µg/mL</td>
<td>0.1 µg/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
<td>MMP-7</td>
<td>supernatant</td>
<td>0.15–100 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.15 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (*)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JR2EC-functionalized AuNPs</td>
<td>MMP inhibitor II</td>
<td>PBS (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>30 nM (2 µg/mL)</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin-modified AuNPs (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
<td>MMP2/MMP9</td>
<td>10 mM 6-MCH</td>
<td>1.85–148 ng/mL</td>
<td>1.85 ng/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin-modified AuNPs (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
<td>MMP2</td>
<td>plasma, serum, supernatant</td>
<td>0.78–50 ng/mL</td>
<td>&lt;1 ng/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin-modified AuNPs (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td>plasma, serum, supernatant</td>
<td>0.23–15.0 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.05 ng/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal-Lip-AuNPs</td>
<td>β-galactosidase</td>
<td>50 mM PBS (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>0.02–2.5 µM **</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>9.2 nM (20 min reaction time)</td>
<td>2.9 nM (90 min reaction time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-Lip-AuNPs</td>
<td>β-glucosidase</td>
<td>50 mM PBS (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>0.02–2.5 µM **</td>
<td>22.3 nM (20 min reaction time)</td>
<td>9.8 nM (90 min reaction time)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-Lip-AuNPs</td>
<td>castanospermine</td>
<td>50 mM PBS (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>10–400 µM **</td>
<td>10 µM **</td>
<td>46.4 µM (1.0 µM)</td>
<td>[132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 20-AuNPs</td>
<td>lipase</td>
<td>0.5 M PBS, 2 M NaCl (pH 6.5)</td>
<td>0.15–1.80 mg/mL</td>
<td>0.028 mg/mL</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Enzyme activity or concentration at which the IC<sub>50</sub> value was evaluated. ** Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations.

3.2. Label-Free Colorimetric Biosensors

Unlike the label-based detection methods, the label-free colorimetric approaches are mostly regulated by electrostatic stabilization. The analyte-triggered aggregation for chemical and biological sensing applications relies on the affinity of analytes—such as electrostatic or hydrogen-bonding interaction—toward unmodified nanoparticles. In this case, a repulsive electric layer can be generated from the surface charges of nanoparticles to stabilize the colloids. Thus, the neutralization of the surface charges results in the formation of unstable nanoparticles promoting their aggregation [134].

Aptamers are widely used in label-free colorimetric assays since the adsorption of negatively charged aptamers onto the nanoparticle surface leads to well-dispersed negatively charged aptamer-capped nanoparticles in media of moderately high ionic strength. The presence of aptamers promotes the detachment of aptamers from the nanoparticle surface entailing salt-induced aggregation (Figure 17) [135].
Label-free aptasensors were largely adopted to face the issue of 17β-estradiol (E2) detection, a toxic chemical often found in natural waters and wastewaters [136]. As for most long-sequence aptamers, the 76 mer aptamer commonly used for E2 detection yields a poor sensitivity [137]. In order to improve this performance, Liu et al. [135] developed a AuNP-based colorimetric aptasensor in which the 76 mer aptamers were split into two shorter strands (P1 + P2). In presence of E2, the analyte recognition by P1 + P2 aptamers resulted into a visual aggregation of AuNPs in highly saline buffer with a subsequent colour change from red to purple (Figure 18a). Interestingly, the colour change was visually appreciable (by naked-eye) from a concentration of 1 ng/mL of E2. Such a value was 10 times lower that that measured by using the no-split 76 mer aptamer. In order to obtain a quantitative response, the absorption spectra were measured and the OD_{520}/OD_{520} ratio as a function of the E2 concentration was plotted. (Figure 18a). The LOD in the case of P1 + P2 was 0.1 ng/mL with a LR of 0.1–105 ng/mL. To determine whether P1 + P2 still retained the specificity of the original 76 mer aptamer, they tested the assay with the two separate aptamer fragments against similar target molecules (Figure 18a). The result showed no significant differences in specificity between P1 + P2 and the original aptamer.
Aptamer capping prevents nanoparticle salt-induced aggregation if the analytes are not recognized by functionalized nanoparticles. The presence of analytes of interest causes the detachment of aptamers from the nanoparticle surface leading to the salt-induced aggregation of bare nanoparticles. Label-free aptasensors were largely adopted to face the issue of 17β-estradiol (E2) detection, a toxic chemical often found in natural waters and wastewaters [136]. As for most long-sequence aptamers, the 76 mer aptamer commonly used for E2 detection yields poor sensitivity [137]. In order to improve this performance, Liu et al. [135] developed a AuNP-based colorimetric aptasensor in which the 76 mer aptamers were split into two shorter strands (P1 + P2). In presence of E2, the analyte recognition by P1 + P2 aptamers resulted into a visual aggregation of AuNPs in highly saline buffer with a subsequent colour change from red to purple (Figure 18a). Interestingly, the colour change was visually appreciable (by naked-eye) from a concentration of 1 ng/mL of E2. Such a value was 10 times lower than that measured by using the no-split 76 mer aptamer. In order to obtain a quantitative response, the absorption spectra were measured and the OD$_{620}$/OD$_{520}$ ratio as a function of the E2 concentration was plotted. (Figure 18a). The LOD in the case of P1 + P2 was 0.1 ng/mL with a LR of 0.1–10$^5$ ng/mL. To determine whether P1 + P2 retained the specificity of the original 76 mer aptamer, they tested the assay with the two separate aptamer fragments against similar target molecules (Figure 18a). The result showed no significant differences in specificity between P1 + P2 and the original aptamer.
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Figure 18. (a) Detection scheme of E2 by using AuNPs functionalized with split aptamers; absorption spectra as a function of the E2 concentration; calibration curve for E2; specificity assay. Reproduced from Ref. [135] Copyright (2014), Scientific Reports. This article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY-NC-SA) license. (b) Schematic illustration of the aptasensor working principle for detecting E2; Ratiometric response of the aptasensor for E2 in spiked rat urine by using 35 mer and 75-mer aptamers; specificity assay. Adapted from Ref. [138] Copyright (2015), with permission from American Chemical Society. (c) Detection mechanism for revealing E2 by means of aptamer-capped AuNPs; absorption spectra and calibration curve for E2 (the inset shows the colour change from pink to purple); specificity assay (the inset shows the visual response of the aptasensor). Adapted from Ref. [139] Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier Ltd. (d) Detection scheme of the aptasensor; calibration curves for ALP in different concentration regimes (the insets show the visual response of the biosensor). Adapted from Ref. [140] Copyright (2012), with permission from Elsevier B.V.

Similar results were obtained by Alsager et al. [138] who realized a highly sensitive colorimetric aptasensor for detecting E2 in water and urine samples (Figure 18b). Here, the sensing performance were remarkably enhanced by truncating the 75-mer aptamer into 35-mer and 22-mer strands obtaining a 25-fold improvement in sensitivity. The disadvantage of adopting the longer 75 mer aptamer was likely ascribable to the excess flanking sequences not directly related to the target recognition that induced higher non-specific affinity toward AuNPs (Figure 18b). Using a colloidal solution of 35-mer aptamer-conjugated AuNPs, the E2 was detected in water down to 200 pM. The blue colour shift was visible by naked-eye down to 800 pM. A superb sensing performance was also
achieved in spiked rat urine samples, where E2 was detected in the range of 5 nM–1 µM, with a LR of 50–800 nM. Additionally, a high specificity was measured with respect to potentially interfering molecules (Figure 18b).

The adoption of a single truncated E2 aptamer instead of two strands entailed a worsening of the sensor performance as demonstrated by the work of Qiao et al. [139]. Here, the original 76-mer aptamer was truncated at different sites generating a group of truncated aptamer variants to be investigated in highly ionic medium. Among them, 15-mer aptamer showed higher affinity towards E2 and enhanced specificity which allowed to detect E2 in water down to 0.02 µg/mL (Figure 18c). In the LR 0.02–10 µg/mL, the solution changed colour from red to blue. Additionally, the recovery was evaluated in mineral water and milk pre-treated samples obtaining values in the range of 96.15–108.70% and 96.18–114.37% with RSD values of 3.16–11.88% and 2.31–7.50%, respectively.

The possibility of tuning the biosensor response is highly desirable to satisfy clinical and diagnostic expectations. This is the case of the highly sensitive label-free colorimetric biosensor developed by Li et al. [140] to detect alkaline phosphate (ALP). The detection scheme was based on AuNPs capped with cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) and conjugated with adenosine triphosphate (ATP). The latter was chosen as substrate for the phosphorylation reaction of ALP. The ATP induced the aggregation of AuNPs resulting in a colour change from red to grey with a pronounced absorbance redshift at 600 nm. After the ALP addition, the phosphorylation reaction converted the ATP in adenosine that broke the nanoparticle aggregates with a consequent blue-shift to 528 nm and a trend colour reversal to red (Figure 18d). The absorbance ratio $A_{600}/A_{525}$ as function of the ALP concentrations provided a LOD of 10 unit/L with a LR of 100–600 unit/L (Figure 18d). To match the clinically relevant concentrations of ALP (46–190 unit/L for adults and more than 500 unit/L for children and pregnant women), the LR was tuned by introducing metal ions. Specifically, Ca$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ were added into the CTAB-stabilized AuNPs/ATP suspension obtaining a LR of 5.0–100 unit/L and 0.2–20 unit/L, respectively. In the case of Pb$^{2+}$, the measured LOD was 0.1 unit/L. Eventually, the AuNPs/ATP/Pb$^{2+}$ system was also used to evaluate the ALP concentration in real blood samples and the results were compatible with those obtained by clinical methods (Figure 18d).

Table 7 shows a more comprehensive list of DIA-based colorimetric biosensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aptamer-capped AuNPs</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>ultrapure water</td>
<td>0.1–10$^5$ ng/mL</td>
<td>0.1 ng/mL</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Cayman Chemical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aptamer-capped AuNPs</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>cit plasma, EDTA plasma, hep plasma, serum, urine</td>
<td>0.61–10$^4$ pg/mL</td>
<td>6 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Cayman Chemical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aptamer-capped AuNPs</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>filter river water spiked rat urine</td>
<td>200–800 pM</td>
<td>200 pM</td>
<td>[138]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Cayman Chemical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aptamer-capped AuNPs</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>cit plasma, EDTA plasma, hep plasma, serum, urine</td>
<td>0.61–10$^4$ pg/mL</td>
<td>6 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Cayman Chemical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs@CTAB/ATP</td>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>Tris-HCl buffer</td>
<td>100–600 unit/mL</td>
<td>10 unit/mL</td>
<td>[140]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs@CTAB/ATP/Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>Tris-HCl buffer</td>
<td>5.0–100 unit/mL</td>
<td>3.5 unit/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs@CTAB/ATP/Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>Tris-HCl buffer</td>
<td>0.2–20 unit/mL</td>
<td>0.1 unit/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (MyBioSource)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs@Asp-Val-Asp,DEVD</td>
<td>caspase-3</td>
<td>GR-8 solution</td>
<td>0.01–0.15 µg/mL</td>
<td>0.005 µg/mL</td>
<td>[141]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
<td>caspase-3</td>
<td>human serum, plasma, supernatant</td>
<td>0.16–10.0 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.16 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs@dsDNA</td>
<td>Dnase 1</td>
<td>Tris-HCl buffer</td>
<td>0–35 unit/L</td>
<td>7.1 unit/L</td>
<td>[142]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>citrate-capped AuNPs</td>
<td>adenosine deaminase</td>
<td>buffer solution serum, urine, tissue homogenates</td>
<td>0–15 U/L</td>
<td>0.8227 U/L</td>
<td>[143]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (MyBioSource)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>citrate-capped AuNPs</td>
<td>adenosine deaminase</td>
<td>HEPES buffer serum, urine, tissue homogenates</td>
<td>1.56–100 mIU/mL</td>
<td>1.56 mIU/mL</td>
<td>[144]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations.
4. Non-Aggregation-Based Colorimetric Biosensors

Newly-developing strategies being beyond the aggregation-based mode are the so-called non-aggregation colorimetric biosensors [145]. So far, despite a lack of a thorough awareness, this category of biosensors exhibited remarkable sensing performance without suffering from the main constraints of the aggregation-based mode (e.g., lack of amplification steps, auto-aggregation, hook effect, complex biofunctionalization) [145–147]. Generally, their colorimetric response arises from a modification of the size, shape or conformation of the nanostructures or from a change of the refractive index of the solution. Benefiting from such a non-aggregation strategy, practical POC tests may be designed in which nanostructures are immobilized on test strips and mediate the colorimetric response. Additionally, since ligand-mediated aggregation is not required, their chemical stability may be highly enhanced by using surfactants such as CTAB so to extend their applicability to complex matrices such as body fluids, milk, and sea water [148]. Finally, their label-free approach endows them with extremely high simplicity as compared to colorimetric biosensors based on bioreceptor-modified nanostructures.

In this section, three main categories of non-aggregation-based colorimetric biosensors are described: (i) nanozyme-based, (ii) etching-based, and (iii) growth-based.

4.1. Nanozyme-Based Colorimetric Biosensors

Recently, nano-based artificial enzymes, the so-called nanozymes, attracted more and more scientific interest thanks to their far-reaching applications in medicine, biology, and chemistry [149,150]. Unlike natural enzymes that suffer from high cost of synthesis, need for suitable pH and temperature conditions and poor stability, nanozymes boast robust catalytic activity even in extreme conditions of pH and temperature, low cost production, and bulk preparation [150–152]. So far, plenty of nanomaterials were demonstrated to possess inherent enzyme-like activity [152]. To cite a few, Fe$_3$O$_4$ [153], Fe$_2$O$_3$ [154], Fe$_3$S$_4$ [155], Co$_3$O$_4$ [156], MnO$_2$ [157] exhibited excellent peroxidase-activity. Nevertheless, their practical adoption is still hindered by the limited substrate selectivity, single enzyme mimic activity and poor awareness of the reaction mechanism [158].

A label-free colorimetric method with remarkable peroxidase-like activity was proposed by Song et al. [34]. It consisted of FeS$_2$NPs fabricated through one-step sulfidation of Fe$_3$O$_4$NPs (Figure 19a). TMB was used as substrate to entail a visual response in presence of H$_2$O$_2$. Indeed, the peroxidase-like activity of FeS$_2$NPs led to the catalytic oxidation of TMB that generated blue products oxTMB (Figure 19a). Consequently, the colour of the solution turned deep-blue with a pronounced absorbance at 652 nm. Concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ down to 0.91 µM were measured in acetate buffer. Additionally, the proposed method was used to competitively detect glutathione (GSH) in H$_2$O$_2$-TMB solution. Indeed, the antioxidant properties of GSH sustained the reduction of oxTMB [151,159] thereby leading to a trend reversal of the colorimetric properties. The colour of the solution moved from deep-blue to colourless with a striking reduction of the absorbance at 652 nm (Figure 19a). A superb LOD of 0.15 µM was achieved in acetate buffer, whereas recovery values in the range of 98.4–103.4% were measured in diluted (1–3%) human serum samples.
Figure 19. (a) Schematic representation of the fabrication of FeS$_2$NPs and detection mechanisms for H$_2$O$_2$ and GSH; absorption spectra as a function of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration; calibration curve for H$_2$O$_2$ (the inset shows the visual colour change from colourless to deep-blue); absorption spectra as a function of GSH concentration; calibration curve for GSH (the inset shows the visual colour change from deep-blue to colourless). Adapted from Ref. [34] Copyright (2019), with permission from Elsevier B.V. (b) Working principle of aptamer-modified MoS$_2$-NSs for carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) protein detection; absorption spectra at different CEA concentrations; calibration curve for CEA; visual colour change from greenish to colourless as a function of CEA concentrations. Adapted from Ref. [160] Copyright (2020), with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) Working principle of NL-MnCaO$_2$ for detecting glucose; absorption spectra at different glucose concentrations; calibration curve for glucose. Adapted from Ref. [161] Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier B.V.
An interesting approach to significantly enhance the catalytic activity of enzyme mimic nanomaterials was recently reported by Zhao et al. [160]. In particular, it was proven that the peroxidase-like activity of MoS$_2$ nanosheets (NSs) can be enhanced up to 4.3-fold when modified with single-strand DNA (ssDNA) aptamers. Indeed, the higher affinity of DNA/MoS$_2$-NSs toward the TMB (adopted as colorimetric substrate) facilitated the electron transfer from TMB and H$_2$O$_2$. Thus, DNA/MoS$_2$-NSs were employed to develop a portable test for detecting carcinoembryonic antigen in agarose hydrogel. Taking advantage from the weak catalytic activity possessed by MoS$_2$-NSs, a competitive strategy was conceived by using carcinoembryonic antigen aptamers (Figure 19b). The colour suspension from deep-blue (due to the massive presence of TMB products) turned into colourless after the addition of carcinoembryonic antigen proteins. Indeed, the higher affinity of aptamers toward recognizing proteins caused the detachment of aptamers from MoS$_2$-NSs thereby leading to a drastically decrease of the MoS$_2$-NSs catalytic activity (Figure 19b). This simple approach provided a label free detection of carcinoembryonic antigen in acetate buffer solution within a clinically relevant range for gastroenteric tumour diagnosis and prognosis of 50–1000 ng/mL with a LOD of 50 ng/mL (Figure 19b).

An intriguing label-free strategy for detecting glucose level in human serum was proposed by Rashbhari et al. [161]. In particular, they reported an innovative nanolayered manganese-calcium oxide (NL-MnCaO$_2$) exhibiting excellent glucose oxidase-like activity (Figure 19c). The presence of glucose non-enzymatically generated gluconic acid and H$_2$O$_2$. Then, the colorimetric response at 452 nm arose from the reaction between H$_2$O$_2$ and ammonium metavanadate added into the solution. The sensing performance were evaluated in glucose-spiked acetate buffer solution and human serum samples yielding remarkable LODs of 23.86 $\mu$M and 6.12 $\mu$M, respectively (Figure 19c). Importantly, RSD and recovery values for serum samples ranged in 0.72–1.68% and 95.4–108.9%, respectively.

Innovative nanocomposites were recently reported exhibiting multiple enzyme mimetic activities. For example, FeCo co-doped carbon sphere (oxidase-like, peroxidase-like) [162], biomass-based hierarchical porous carbon (oxidase-like, peroxidase-like) [163], porous MnO$_x$/MnFe$_2$O$_4$ (oxidase-like, peroxidase-like) [164], Mn$_3$O$_4$ (peroxidase-like, glucose oxidase-like) [165]. Pt nanoparticles coated with a bovine serum albumin scaffold (oxidase-like, peroxidase-like, catalase-like) [166], Au/CeO$_2$ nanocomposite (peroxidase-like, catalase-like and superoxide dismutase-like) [167]. Nevertheless, although these nanozymes possess multiple enzyme mimetic activities, their feasibility in biosensing is still challenging due to the complex fabrication and the harsh reaction conditions (e.g., high temperature, low pH).

In this regard, Wu et al. [162] reported a unique colorimetric biosensor consisting of FeCo co-doped carbon spheres (FeCo@Cs) that possessed dual-enzyme catalytic property. In particular, FeCo@Cs exhibited high oxidase-like activity at pH 3.6 while peroxidase-like activity at pH 4.4 (Figure 20a). In the former case, FeCo@Cs were employed to catalytically oxidize H$_2$O$_2$-3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB). The resulting oxTMB produced a colour change of the solution to deep-blue with an absorption peak at 652 nm. As reducing agent, hydroquinone was added into the solution to trigger a colour fading and, hence, a reduction of the absorption peak. This simple strategy was adopted for measuring hydroquinone concentration in acetate buffer solution (pH 3.6) in the range of 1–30 $\mu$M with a remarkable LOD of 0.8 $\mu$M (Figure 20a). Recovery and RSD assays were evaluated in tap water and river water obtaining values of 97.4–105.3% and within 4.2%, respectively. Additionally, the peroxidase-like activity of FeCo@Cs was tested in acetate buffer solution (pH 4.4) to detect H$_2$O$_2$ within a LR of 1–240 $\mu$M and with a LOD of 1 $\mu$M (Figure 20a).
Figure 20. (a) Schematic illustration of the detection mechanism for hydroquinone (HQ) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) by using FeCo@C; absorption spectra at different concentrations of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration; calibration curve for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \); absorption spectra at different HQ concentrations; calibration curve for HQ. Adapted from Ref. [162] Copyright (2019), with permission from Elsevier B.V. (b) Detection scheme for cholesterol by using cholesterol oxidase and Mo-CQDs; absorption spectra and calibration curve for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and cholesterol (the insets shows the visual colour change from colourless to greenish). Reproduced from Ref. [168] Copyright (2019), with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) Schematic representation of the tetra-enzyme mimetic activities of Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoflowers and working principle for detecting ACP and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \); calibration curve for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \); calibration curve for ACP. Adapted from Ref. [169] Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier B.V.

The unique enzyme mimic features possessed by advanced nanomaterials can be conveniently coupled with specific enzymes not only to develop competitive or cooperative cascade biosensors, but also to significantly enhance the enzyme catalytic activity. This is the case of the enzyme mimic colorimetric biosensor proposed by Zhao et al. [168] based on Mo, S co-doped carbon quantum dots (Mo-CQDs). Indeed, the Mo, S doping in CQDs facilitate the transfer of electrons in redox reactions thereby endowing the CQDs with
The strong peroxidase-like activity of Mo-CQDs was employed alongside cholesterol oxidase (Chox) for detecting cholesterol in human serum (Figure 20b). Specifically, Chox catalysed the oxidation of cholesterol which released H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. Thus, Mo-CQDs triggered the oxidation of TMB in presence of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, thereby leading to the typical colour change of the solution from colourless to blue. The performance of the proposed biosensor was firstly evaluated in acetate buffer solution (pH 4.0) obtaining a clinically relevant LR of 10–600 µM with a LOD of 7 µM (Figure 20b). Moreover, RSD values within 10% obtained with diluted serum specimens safely supported the biosensor potentiality in clinical trials.

Finally, Liu et al. [169] took advantage of the tremendous tetra-enzyme mimetic activities (i.e., peroxidase, oxidase, catalase, and superoxide dismutase-like activity) exhibited by magnetic hierarchical Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoflowers to develop a highly sensitive bifunctional colorimetric sensing platform (Figure 20c). In particular, their oxidase-like activity originated from the oxygen vacancies of Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, whereas the peroxidase-like activity derived from the \(\bullet\)OH radical triggered by H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. The enzymatic behaviour can be selected by modifying the pH or adding specific scavengers. The proposed approach was employed to detect acid phosphatase (ACP) in acetate buffer and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in air with LODs of 0.062 U/L and 2 µM, respectively (Figure 20c). The presence of the ACP or H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} resulted into a reduction of the absorbance at 652 nm. Additionally, recovery studies were performed in human serum and water to assess the biosensor reliability in matrices with highly interfering background. RSD values within 3% for ACP in human serum and a recovery of 98–103% for H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in water confirmed its capability to be used in actual samples.

The main features of the above-mentioned nanozyme-based colorimetric biosensors are listed in Table 8.

4.2. Etching-Based Colorimetric Biosensors

Although noble metals such as Ag and Au exhibit strong resistance to oxidation due to their high redox potential, several techniques were recently developed to increase their capability to be oxidised (etched). Some examples include target-mediated etching [148,170], alloy-promoted etching [171,172], enzyme-mediated etching [173,174]. In target-mediated etching, the nanostructure oxidation is induced by the ligands that decrease the redox potential of noble nanostructures thereby allowing their etching under suitable conditions. However, this strategy is limited to specific targets with relatively high redox potential or that act as complexing agents of metal ions and, hence, it is not generally applicable. In alloy-promoted etching, the nanostructure oxidation is facilitated by the formation of alloys on the nanostructure surface. Indeed, Chen et al. [171] demonstrated that Pb-Au alloys formed onto the surface of AuNPs in detecting Pb\textsuperscript{2+} drastically enhanced the Au etching. On the contrary, when targets do not directly affect the surface chemistry of the nanostructures, intermediate ligands can be adopted to trigger the nanostructure etching. For example, Zhang et al. [175] developed an enzymatic-like etching-based biosensor for detecting molybdate in aqueous media. Indeed, in the presence of I\textsuperscript{-} and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, molybdate catalysed the formation of I\textsubscript{2}, whose moderate oxidase activity etched Au nanorods preferably along the longitudinal direction.

An intriguing approach to tremendously amplify the colorimetric response without inducing nanoparticle aggregation was proposed by Wang et al. [35]. It relied on enzyme-mediated etching of Au nanobipyramids (AuNBPs), which transformed into spherical AuNPs leading to a strong blue-shift of the LSPR peak (Figure 21a). In particular, this strategy was used to measure the telomerase activity in HeLa cells. To this aim, telomerase extracts from HeLa cells were incubated with magnetic bead probes in telomerase extension reaction buffer. The extension of probe endings allowed the magnetic beads to tether horseradish peroxidase-encapsulated liposomes (HRP-Ls). The following addition of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) triggered the peroxidase activity that entails the oxidation of TMB. Thus, AuNBPs etched under the effect of TMB\textsuperscript{2+}. A LOD of 20 HeLa
cells was achieved visually, whereas a quantitative analysis of the optical response yielded an impressive LOD of 1 HeLa (Figure 21a).

Table 8. An overview on recently reported enzyme-mimic colorimetric biosensors and some commercial ELISA kits for comparison (when available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Enzyme-Mimic</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix (pH)</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeS$_2$NPs</td>
<td>peroxidase-like</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.0)</td>
<td>2–80 μM</td>
<td>0.91 μM</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (MyBioSource)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.0)</td>
<td>0.2–35 μM</td>
<td>0.15 μM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/MoS$_2$-NSs</td>
<td>peroxidase-like</td>
<td>carinoembryonic antigen</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.0)</td>
<td>50–1000 ng/mL</td>
<td>50 ng/mL</td>
<td>[160]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abcam)</td>
<td></td>
<td>carinoembryonic antigen</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.0)</td>
<td>0.343–250 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.343 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-MnCaO$_2$</td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>acetate buffer (5.0)</td>
<td>18.3–421 μM</td>
<td>23.86 μM</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oxidase-like</td>
<td></td>
<td>human serum</td>
<td>0–82.3 μM</td>
<td>6.12 μM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCo@C</td>
<td>oxidase-like</td>
<td>hydroquinone</td>
<td>acetate buffer (3.6)</td>
<td>1–30 μM</td>
<td>0.8 μM</td>
<td>[162]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>peroxidase-like</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.4)</td>
<td>1–240 μM</td>
<td>1 μM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo-CQDs</td>
<td>peroxidase-like</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.0)</td>
<td>5–50 μM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.0)</td>
<td>10–600 μM</td>
<td>7 μM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (LifeSpan BioSciences)</td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td>cell culture supernatant, urine, serum, plasma</td>
<td>1–10,000 μM</td>
<td>1 μM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnetic hierarchical Co$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>oxidase-like</td>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.5)</td>
<td>0.1–25 U/L</td>
<td>0.062 U/L</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>peroxidase-like</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>4–400 μM</td>
<td>2 μM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abcam)</td>
<td></td>
<td>cell culture media, cell lysate, plasma, serum, tissue extracts, urine</td>
<td>acetate buffer (4.5)</td>
<td>0.001–1 mM</td>
<td>0.001 mM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An innovative and highly tunable etching-based biosensor exhibiting excellent sensing performance was proposed by Zhang et al. [176] for detecting exosomes in human serum. Exosomes are vesicles of 30–100 nm diameter whose role is to bear specific molecules involved in several biological signal transduction and immune response [177]. Moreover, exosome-associated proteins are strongly expressed in cancer angiogenesis and metastasis [178]. Thus, the concern to detect exosomes at ultralow concentrations would pave the way to facilitate the chronic disease and cancer diagnosis at early stage [179]. However, colorimetric biosensors are usually limited in sensitivity due to the lack of amplification steps. On the contrary, the biosensor proposed by Zhang et al. can boast of enhanced sensitivity due to a signal amplification inherently ensured by its detection procedure (Figure 21b). Schematically, biotinylated CD63 aptamers and streptavidin-functionalized magnetic beads were employed as anchoring site for alkaline phosphatases (ALPs). Magnetic separation of ALP-conjugated magnetic beads allowed one to preconcentrate the sample while drastically reducing interfering background. Then, the addition of exosomes initiated a competitive reaction with the designed placeholder chains which released ALPs. Gold nanobipyramid@MnO$_2$ nanosheets (AuNBP@MnO$_2$NSs) were adopted as etching substrate (Figure 21b). In particular, the released ALPs catalysed the hydrolysis of L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate (conveniently added into the solution) so that the AuNBP@MnO$_2$NSs were etched by the resulting acid ascorbic. The pronounced blue-shift of the absorption arose not only from the morphological change of the nanostructure, but also from the significant variation of the refractive index of the nanostructure surrounding environment. The sensing performance turned out to be aspect ratio (AR)-dependent achieving impressive LODs (down to 135 exosomes/μL) with high-AR AuNBPs (Figure 21b). The reason relied on the greatly enhanced longitudinal LSPR shift provided by elongated and sharp nanostructures.
As a cancer-related protein, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1) activity is of significance in the cancer prognosis and treatment [180]. In this regard, Liu et al. [181] proposed a colorimetric and label-free etching-based biosensor for competitively detecting PARP-1 in human cells. AuNRs were adopted as etching substrate so that their reduction in size entailed a pronounced blue-shift of the LSPR peak, whereas DNA-modified AuNPs constituted the substrates for the PARP-1 activity expression (Figure 22a). Firstly, PARP-1 was incubated with DNA-modified AuNPs to form hyperbranched PAR on the surface of AuNRs. The relatively high amount of PO$_4^{3-}$ contained in PAR branches reacted with MoO$_{2+}$ (conveniently added into the solution) to form PMo$_{12}$O$_{40}^{3-}$. Thus, the depletion of MoO$_{2+}$ prevented the catalysis of H$_2$O$_2$ and KI thereby inhibiting the etching of AuNRs. The assay performance was retrieved in buffer solution achieving a LR of 0.05–2 U with a LOD of 0.02 U (Figure 22a). Additionally, PARP-1 activity was detected in vitro with lysate A2780 ovarian cancer cells and MCF-7 epithelial cancer cells to assess the biosensor reliability. Satisfactory results (RSD $\lesssim$ 6%) corroborated the potential applicability of the proposed competitive assay for cancer diagnosis and treatment purposes.
Figure 22. (a) Schematic illustration of label-free detection of PARP-1 activity with AuNRs; visual colour change and corresponding absorption spectra measured at different PARP-1 activities (0–2 U); calibration curve and LR for PARP-1 activity. Adapted from Ref. [181] Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier B.V. (b) Rationale behind the double signal amplification achieved with Ab–GOx–MBs and AgNPRs as etching substrate for detecting PSA; visual colour change and corresponding absorption spectra measured at different PSA concentrations (0–10^6 fg/mL); calibration curve for PSA. Adapted from Ref. [182] Copyright (2015), with permission from Elsevier B.V.

Superb sensing performance down to femtomolar level (LR = 0.3 – 3 × 10^4 fM, LOD = 0.1 fM) were achieve by the etching-based colorimetric biosensor proposed by Liang et al. [182] in detecting prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in human serum. Specifically, such a remarkable feature was accomplished thanks to the adoption of two amplification rounds (Figure 22b): (i) PSA recognition by magnetic beads coated with glucose oxidase (GOx)-conjugated antibodies (Ab–GOx–MBs); and (ii) substantial blue-shift entailed by H_2O_2-etched triangular silver nanoprisms (AgNPRs). In particular, highly GOx-loaded magnetic beads (76,000 GOx proteins per particle) warranted the first cascade amplification of the PSA signal (1 PSA molecule was converted into 76,000 GOx molecules), whereas the GOx-triggered enzymatic reaction catalysed the glucose (conveniently added into the
solution) to form gluconic acid and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). As strong oxidising agent, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was able to etch AgNPRs. Subsequently, the colour of the solution turned from blue to purple as a consequence of the robust smoothing of the sharp AgNPR morphology (Figure 22b). Quantitative results obtained from patient serum samples were consistently compared with PSA levels measured by the time resolved fluorescence immunoassay (TRFIA) confirming the high robustness and reliability of the proposed assay.

Table 9 shows a more comprehensive list of etching-based colorimetric biosensors.

**Table 9.** An overview on recently reported etching-based colorimetric biosensors and some commercial ELISA kits for comparison (when available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs</td>
<td>molybdate</td>
<td>acetate buffer solution</td>
<td>3–70 nM</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>[175]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNBP@MnO(_2)NSs</td>
<td>telomerase extracts from HeLa cells</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>5–1000 cells</td>
<td>1 cell 20 cells (naked-eye)</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (MyBioSource)</td>
<td>telomerase</td>
<td>serum, plasma, tissue homogenates</td>
<td>0.625–20 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.625 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs</td>
<td>PARP-1</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>0.05–2 U</td>
<td>0.02 U</td>
<td>[181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab-GOx-MBs/AgNPRs</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>foetal bovine serum</td>
<td>10–10(^5) fg/mL</td>
<td>4.1 fg/mL</td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abcam)</td>
<td>human PSA</td>
<td>cell culture supernatant, cit plasma, EDTA plasma, hep plasma, serum, urine</td>
<td>39–2500 pg/mL</td>
<td>39 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAP-AuNPs</td>
<td>dopamine</td>
<td>deionized water</td>
<td>10–100 nM</td>
<td>5 nM</td>
<td>[183]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abcam)</td>
<td>dopamine</td>
<td>cell culture supernatant, plasma, serum, tissue homogenate</td>
<td>1.56–100 ng/mL</td>
<td>1.56 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs</td>
<td>carcinoembryonic antigen</td>
<td>human serum</td>
<td>2.5–60 ng/mL *</td>
<td>2.5 ng/mL</td>
<td>[184]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abcam)</td>
<td>carcinoembryonic antigen</td>
<td>serum, plasma, cell culture supernatant</td>
<td>0.343–250 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.343 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs</td>
<td>prostate specific antigen</td>
<td>human serum</td>
<td>75–1275 pg/mL *</td>
<td>75 pg/mL</td>
<td>[184]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA (Abcam)</td>
<td>human PSA</td>
<td>cell culture supernatant, cit plasma, EDTA plasma, hep plasma, serum, urine</td>
<td>39–2500 pg/mL</td>
<td>39 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations.

### 4.3. Growth-Based Colorimetric Biosensors

Growth-based plasmonic colorimetric biosensors generally rely on the modification of shape, size, and morphology of pre-existing metal seeds. Usually, the growth can be mediated by enzymes or reducing agents which trigger the reduction of metal precursors that induce the sedimentation of fresh metal atoms onto pre-existing seeds via heterogeneous nucleation, resulting in LSPR peak shifts [185,186].

In this regard, Shaban et al. [187] developed an AgNPs-based colorimetric sensor for the detection of \( p \)-aminophenol (\( p \)AP), a nephrotoxic and teratogenic chemical frequently...
found in human urine and paracetamol drugs since used as an intermediate product for the synthesis of paracetamol. The sensor was based on the ability of pAP to reduce Ag\(^+\), thus facilitating the growth of AgNPs seeds with a subsequent solution colour change (Figure 23a). To confirm the seed-mediated growth of AgNPs, changes in the absorption intensity at 407 nm were measured (Figure 23a) obtaining a LR of 0–85 μM with a LOD of 0.32 μM. Colorimetric detection of pAP with the naked-eye was possible above 15 μM. The experiment on real samples was carried out with human urine samples from volunteer and with Panadol tablets (paracetamol derivatives) for evaluating the residual pAP amount in the drug. The pAP level in the initial urine sample and that extracted from paracetamol-based solution was 0 μM and 1.79 μM, respectively. The recovery values ranged from 94.71% to 102.44%, showing high accuracy and applicability of this biosensor in real urine samples. The selectivity of the sensor was evaluated against competitive compounds: there were no colour changes with all of the tested interfering ions, except for p-nitrophenol (pNP) (Figure 23a). However, this drawback was overcome measuring the absorbance at 520 nm instead of 407 nm.

![Detection scheme for pAP by the seed-mediated growth of AgNPs; Spectra at different concentrations of pAP in human urine (left graph) and paracetamol (right graph), with insets showing the standard addition dose-response curves for addition of pAP; example of visual colour changes while increasing pAP concentration. Adapted from Ref. [187], Copyright (2021), with permission from Elsevier B.V.](image)

![Detection scheme for EA by the seed-mediated growth of Au@AgNRs, together with TEM images before (left) and after (right) the Ag growth; (b) normalized extinction spectra of AuNRs (black line) and Au@AgNRs at increasing EA concentrations; calibration curve for EA with inset showing the LR when AgNO\(_3\) concentration was 100 mM, pH 11.4 and the reaction time 20 min. Adapted from Ref. [188], Copyright (2017) with permission from Elsevier B.V.](image)

Elongated nanostructures are particularly suitable for this seed-mediated strategy thanks to the opportunity of fine-tuning their plasmonic features by tailoring the aspect ratio. In this regard, Wang et al. [188] presented a colorimetric immunosensor to detect ellagic acid (EA), a polyphenolic antioxidant used in skin-whitening cosmetics. The assay employed the seed-mediated growth of the Au@Ag core-shell nanoparticles with AuNRs as seeds and AgNO\(_3\) as Ag precursor. In presence of EA, Ag\(^+\) reduced and sedimented onto
the AuNRs (via heterogeneous nucleation) (Figure 23b). The LSPR peak of AuNRs could be largely tuned by engineering the nanorod aspect ratio during the synthesis. For this study, the ratio was chosen as 3.3 (45/13.5 nm) that provided a longitudinal LSPR at 790 nm and a transversal LSPR at 530 nm (Figure 23b). As a result of the silver growth, the longitudinal LSPR largely blue-shifted with a colour change from purple to green (Figure 23b). The calibration curve (LSPR shift as a function of EA concentration) exhibited a LR of 0–20 µM with a LOD of 40 nM.

Many enzymes have been used to mediate the growth process and were applied to detect a large variety of targets (bacteria [189], biomarkers [190], viruses [191]). For instance, Cheng et al. [189] presented a colorimetric assay to detect *Escherichia coli* by monitoring the β-galactosidase (β-gal) activity. *E. coli* cells released β-gal when infected with T7 bacteriophages. Then, β-gal cleaved the p-aminophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside producing p-aminophenol (reducing agent) that triggered the deposition of an Ag shell onto AuNRs seeds.

Sharp nanostructures exhibit enhanced plasmonic properties as compared to smooth nanoparticles [56]. This is the case of gold nanobipyrimids (AuNBs) proposed by Xu et al. [191] who realized an ultrasensitive colorimetric immunoassay for the detection of the H7N1 virus by an enzyme-induced Ag growth onto AuNBs surface. Experiments were carried out in a 96-well plate in which antibody-antigen-antibody sandwiches were first immobilized and then linked to alkaline phosphatase (ALP) enzyme via biotin-avidin complexes (Figure 24a). The hydrolyzation of 4-aminophenyl phosphate in presence of ALP produced 4-aminophenol entailing the reduction of Ag⁺ into Ag, which eventually deposited onto the AuNBs. The silver growth onto the AuNBs entailed a blue-shift of the original AuNBs LSPR peak (750 nm) and a visual colour change of the solution (Figure 24a). The striking visual response was ascribed to the high monodispersity of the AuNBs that guaranteed a narrow LSPR linewidth. The LOD achieved for the detection of the H7N1 virus was 1 pg/mL and the LR was 1 pg/mL–2.5 ng/mL (Figure 24a). As the analyte concentration varied in the LR, the colour of the solution distinctly changed from green to blue (Figure 24a), so that a semiquantitative measure of the analyte concentration could be retrieved. Recovery and RSD in human serum resulted to be 99–102% and 2.07–9.41%, respectively.

An intriguing approach consists in tuning the surface morphology of metal NPs during the crystal growth by means of DNA molecules (e.g., aptamers) [192]. In this regard, Soh et al. [193] reported a colorimetric assay based on an aptamer-controlled growth strategy of AuNPs which was successfully tested to detect three different targets (ochratoxin, cocaine and E2). A colloidal solution of 5 nm diameter AuNPs functionalized with aptamers (ochratoxin A, ochratoxin A aptamer complement, cocaine aptamer, and estradiol aptamer) was incubated with a solution containing the corresponding target in a 96-well plate. The target recognition caused the desorption of the aptamers from the AuNPs surfaces (Figure 24b). When a growth solution containing NH₂OH and HAuCl₄ was added, AuNPs with no aptamers on their surface grew preserving a spherical shape and the absorbance slightly red-shifted (the solution appeared red-purple). Conversely, AuNPs coated with aptamers grew with an irregular and branched morphology, resulting in a blue solution (Figure 24b). According to the target concentration, the colour changed from blue to red-purple, providing a semiquantitative estimation of concentration by naked-eye (Figure 24b). It is worth noticing that the LSPR shift is strongly related to the effective desorption of aptamers from AuNPs, which ultimately depends on both aptamer-target affinity and aptamer-AuNP affinity. Thus, the length of aptamers plays a crucial role in tuning the features of the sensor [138,139]. Remarkably, the assay showed a LOD of 1 nM for both ochratoxin A in water and cocaine in spiked urine samples, while a LOD of 0.2 nM for E2 in spiked synthetic saliva samples (Figure 24b).
The main features of the above-mentioned nanzyme-based colorimetric biosensors are listed in Table 10.

Table 10. An overview on recently reported growth-based colorimetric biosensors and some commercial ELISA kits for comparison (when available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducer</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AgNPs ELISA</td>
<td>pAP</td>
<td>human urine cell culture media, cell culture supernatant, cit plasma, EDTA plasma, hep plasma, serum, urine</td>
<td>0–85 μM</td>
<td>0.32 μM</td>
<td>[187]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs ELISA</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>0–20 μM</td>
<td>0.040 μM</td>
<td>[188]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs ELISA</td>
<td>β-gal</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>0–2 nM</td>
<td>0.128 nM</td>
<td>[189]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNRs ELISA</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>10^4–10^6 CFU/mL *</td>
<td>1 × 10^4 CFU/mL</td>
<td>[189]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNBs ELISA</td>
<td>H5N1</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>1–2.5 × 10^3 pg/mL</td>
<td>1 pg/mL</td>
<td>[191]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs ELISA</td>
<td>ochratoxin</td>
<td>buffer solution</td>
<td>12.5–125 nM *</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>[193]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs ELISA</td>
<td>ochratoxin A</td>
<td>cereal, feed</td>
<td>0.1–8.1 ppb</td>
<td>0.1 ppb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs ELISA</td>
<td>cocaine</td>
<td>synthetic urine sample</td>
<td>0.1–100 nM *</td>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td>[191]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs ELISA</td>
<td>human cocaine-regulated transcript protein</td>
<td>serum, plasma, tissue homogenates</td>
<td>15.6–1000 pg/mL</td>
<td>15.6 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs ELISA</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>synthetic saliva sample</td>
<td>0.01–10^6 nM *</td>
<td>0.2 nM</td>
<td>[193]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs ELISA</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>cit plasma, EDTA plasma, hep plasma, serum, urine</td>
<td>0.61–10^4 pg/mL</td>
<td>6 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data not given in the reference; the values referred to the measured concentrations.
5. Conclusions and Perspectives

In this review, we presented different nanomaterial-based strategies adopted to devise colorimetric biosensors. In particular, recently reported platform-based and colloid-based plasmonic colorimetric biosensors were described alongside their nanomaterial designs, working principles, sensing features, and relevant applications.

Generally, plasmonic colorimetric biosensors boast unique sensing features and are excellent tools for realizing simple and visual detectors which can easily and very quickly signalize presence/absence of desired targets. However, when an accurate quantitative measurement is preferred to an on/off output, most of them suffer from several drawbacks such as operator-dependent results and poor resolutions as compared to the conventional laboratory techniques. Indeed, the recognition by naked-eye of the exact hue/wavelength peak of a certain colour exhibited by the biosensor is inherently subjective (even if a colour chart is provided). Furthermore, the interplay among many complex elements (e.g., nanofabrication, biofunctionalization, fluidics, detection mechanisms) makes unfeasible to control the whole process at the desired extent. This critically reflects on the poor repeatability as inferable from the relatively large statistical errors exhibited by the biosensors generally reported in literature. Thus, competitive resolutions (comparable with ELISA’s and RT-PCR’s) are still far from being achieved. The aforementioned issues are usually addressed by pairing up the colorimetric biosensors with a suitable apparatus (e.g., a camera and an image-processing software). However, this strategy unavoidably complicates the systems and increases drastically the costs, thus effectively nulling their initial advantages and significantly hampering their implementation as simple and fast POC devices.

In addition, aiming at commercializing these devices, several further demands have to be satisfied such as fulfillment of industrial standards, rigorous clinical trials, high scalability. However, these aspects are not always considered within a research framework hindering the technology transfer from research laboratory to industry. Therefore, plasmonic colorimetric biosensors do not still offer an actual alternative to the conventional analytical methods, such as RT-PCR, ELISA, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Thus, researchers are continuously spurred to improve biosensors feasibility and scalability for industrialization and commercialization purposes. In this regard, on-platform based and colloid-based plasmonic colorimetric biosensors are a viable pathway although some challenges have still to be addressed. As it concerns the on-platform devices, the greatest strength is the extremely high tunability of their plasmonic response; indeed, LSPRs, c-LSPRs, and SLRs can be selectively borne by properly engineering the nanostructure morphology and pattern architecture. However, this high tunability generally requires high-tech and expensive nanolithography techniques such as EBL, FIB, and NIL that usually limit their scalability. On the other hand, colloid-based biosensors are preferred when responsiveness and practicality is demanded thanks to the possibilities of realizing POC tests with visual response. In fact, the high versatility and simplicity offered by chemical synthesis represent the major strength of this approach, at the expense of a limited plasmonic tunability and a relatively poor sensitivity.

Additionally, surface biofunctionalization of nanomaterials is another important issue to address when dealing with biosensors since it strongly affects their sensitivity and efficacy. Indeed, expensive, complex and time-consuming biofunctionalization procedures usually hamper the feasibility of the biosensors for POC and high-throughput applications (e.g., mass screening). Therefore, cost-effective, simple, and fast strategies are highly attractive for devising both platform-based and colloid-based plasmonic colorimetric biosensors. This issue is inherently linked to effectiveness of the fluidic system used for either the nanomaterial functionalization or the bioparticle sorting and mixing. Indeed, an unoptimized fluidics may extend the assay time, increase the costs (due to larger volume of specimens required to carry out the assay), and worsen the overall sensing performance.

As futuristic perspectives, several aspects should be more deeply investigated to improve the feasibility of plasmonic colorimetric biosensors. Firstly, simple nanofabrication techniques such as chemical-based synthesis, soft-lithography, block copolymer micelle
nanolithography may be preferred to the more complex EBL, FIB, and NIL in order to enhance the industrial scalability and reduce the production costs. Moreover, tailored nanostructure designs (e.g., sharp nanoparticles, specific materials, and pattern architectures) could be devised to remarkably augment the sensing performance. In this regard, multiresonant nanostructures could also be conceived (e.g., combining nanomaterials exhibiting different plasmonic modes), thus opening up the possibility for multiplexed measurements. Secondly, the experimental methods should be more rigorously described (and, if possible, standardised) to warrant a higher measure reproducibility. Clever strategies bearing signal amplifications (e.g., nanzyme-based or destabilization-induced approaches) may be extensively adopted in future to enhance sensing performance such as LR and LOD. In addition, aptamers could be preferred as bioreceptors to the more expensive antibodies in label-based approaches to drastically reduce the assay costs, extend the assay shelf life and address the need for a cold chain. Eventually, the design of tailored microfluidic systems would lead to a drastic reduction of the assay time and costs as well as a significant improvement of the sensing effectiveness thanks to the fine conveyance of the solution at nanoscale. Thus, nanomaterial design, surface biofunctionalization, fluidics, signal amplification are still flourishing research fields that will likely face these issues in the future.
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