Electroanalytical Detection of Indigo Carmine in Presence of Tartrazine Using a Poly(dl-phenylalanine) Modified Carbon Nanotube Paste Electrode

Kanthappa Bhimaraya ¹, Jamballi G. Manjunatha ¹*, Hareesha Nagarajappa ¹, Ammar M. Tighezza ², Munirah D. Albaqami ² and Mika Sillanpää ³

¹ Department of Chemistry, FMKMC College, Madikeri, Mangalore University Constituent College, Karnataka 571201, India
² Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia
³ Department of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Aarhus University, Norrebrogade 44, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark
* Correspondence: manju1853@gmail.com; Tel.: +91-08272-228334

Abstract: Certain dyes are deleterious to the biological system, including animals and plants living in the water sources, soil sources, and so on. Thus, the analysis of these dyes requires a potent, quick, and cost-effective approach to the environmental samples. The present research work shows a modest, low-cost, and eco-friendly electrochemical device based on poly(dl-phenylalanine)-layered carbon nanotube paste electrode (P(PAN)LCNTPE) material for indigo carmine (ICN) detection in the presence of tartrazine. The cyclic voltammetric, field emission scanning electron microscopy, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopic methods were operated for the detection of the redox nature of ICN and electrode material surface activities, respectively. In better operational circumstances, P(PAN)LCNTPE provided better catalytic activity for the redox action of ICN than the bare carbon nanotube paste electrode. The P(PAN)LCNTPE showed good electrochemical activity during the variation of ICN concentrations ranging from 0.2 µM to 10.0 µM with improved peak current, and the limit of detection was about 0.0216 µM. Moreover, the P(PAN)LCNTPE material was performed as a sensor of ICN in a tap water sample and shows adequate stability, repeatability, and reproducibility.
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1. Introduction

Dyes or colorants are important organic compounds, used as artificial dyeing mediators in various industries including food, pharmaceutical, paper, photographic, paint, leather, and electronic industries. Numerous assessments reported that over 10,000 of various dyes are operated in several industrial products and over 700,000 tons of synthetic dyes are industrialized in the global market. Regrettably, 10.0–50.0% of colorants are wasted in the dyeing process and that massive quantity of colorants is directly liberated to environmental sources like water, soil, and so on. Here, most of the dyes are toxic, which formulates some harmful effects on nature such as decreasing photosynthesis action, oxygen lack, dissimilarity in BOD, the salinity of the soil, chemical oxygen demand, and so on. Additionally, less than 1 mg of colorant in 1 L of water is harmful to the plants and animals living in water resources [1].

Indigo carmine (ICN) is a water-soluble hydrophilic coloring agent (dye), naturally obtained during the indigo sulfonation process. ICN exhibits some significant applications during the detection of superoxide and ozone, redox reactions as a pH indicator, in the formulation of pharmaceutical pills, and coloring of food products, fabric materials, and beverages [2]. Likewise, ICN is extensively utilized during the treatments of gastric cancer...
and vesicoureteral reflux, transurethral resection, chemotherapy of hepatic tumors, obstetric surgery, and so on [3–6]. Nevertheless, the anomaly of ICN concentration in water samples promotes some side effects in living species, such as hereditary problems, invariable blood pressure, hypertension, urticaria, eye problems, bronchospasm, and cancer-related tumor growth [7–10]. Therefore, the detection and protection of ICN in water resources are most crucial. Both can be performed using a simple, sensitive, and eco-friendly methodology.

Numerous methods were described for ICN detection, including high-performance liquid chromatography [11], thin-layer chromatography [12], chemiluminescence [13], flow amperometry [14], tandem mass spectrometry [15], and spectrophotometry [16]. Here, almost all methods are tedious and laborious and need costly instruments, well-trained analysts, and sample pre-treatment procedures. Nevertheless, the electrochemical approaches are the best practical approaches for the detection of electroactive compounds due to their higher steadiness, sensitivity, selectivity, rapid response, low-priced, simplicity of optimization, comfort of handling, and lesser analysis time [17–26].

Additionally, working electrode materials are key tools for the operation of electrochemical approaches. Currently, CNTs are the finest material in the sensor field for the detection of electro/bioactive compounds due to the presence of various special characteristics including good bio-compatibility and conductivity, high electroactive surface area, higher mechanical, chemical, and thermal stability, good electronic activities, low-priced, easy preparation approach, and low background current [27,28]. These applications make CNTs-based materials a key sensing tool for the detection of ICN with high sensitivity and selectivity in the present research.

Here, the base electrode material needs a surface activation for improved electrocatalytic activity; hence, we used amino acid as a surface activator. Presently, electrochemically polymerized amino acid-based electrodes attain a huge interest in the sensor field for the detection of various bio/electroactive molecules. Particularly, electrochemically polymerized dl-phenylalanine P(PAN) displays raised stability, sensitivity, and bio-compatibility, non-hazardous character, therapeutic activities, robust activity with the analyte and electrode surface with more active sites and conducting channels [29].

As a result of limited literature information, no described research works were found on the sensitive and selective electrochemical analysis of ICN alone in the real sample (tap water) and concurrent ICN and tartrazine (TN) detection at the surface of poly(dl-phenylalanine)-layered carbon nanotube paste electrodes (P(PAN)LCNTPE) using cyclic voltammetric (CV) operation. Correspondingly, this article confirms the great analytical applications and authentications for the examination of the quality of water samples.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

ICN (electroactive compound under study), dl-phenylalanine (PAN) (surface activator), CNTs (electrode base material), and silicone oil (binder) were bought from Molychem, Mumbai, India. TN and potassium chloride (supporting electrolyte) were bought from Molychem, Mumbai, India. Sodium salts (supporting electrolytes: Na2HPO4·2H2O and NaH2PO4·H2O) and potassium ferrocyanide (electroactive compound) were procured from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Maharashtra, India. These chemical compounds are analytical reagents graded and operated without extra purification. The solutions of known concentration were made by dissolving an estimated amount of chemical compound in a known amount of distilled water. The complete ICN analysis in the present work was done at the lab temperature of 25 °C.

2.2. Instrumentation

The CV and electrochemical impedance spectroscopic (EIS) methods were operated using CHI-6038E instrument. The CHI-6038E (CHI Instrument, Austin, TX, USA) was used as a potentiostat for ICN in phosphate buffer (PB). Here, the mentioned potentiostat was connected to the electrochemical cell with three electrodes (three-electrode system),
the P(PAN)LCNTPE and bare carbon nanotube paste electrode (BCNTPE) were used as a working electrode, the platinum wire was operated as a counter-electrode, and the saturated calomel electrode is used as a reference electrode. The field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) characterization of the bare and modified electrode materials was conducted at DST-PURSE Laboratory, Mangalore University, Mangalore, India.

2.3. Preparation of BCNTPE

The preparation of the BCNTPE was performed with the optimum composition like 60% CNTs and 40% silicone oil based on the previous literature [19]. Here, the powder of CNTs (60%) and silicone oil (40%) were mixed well for about 15 to 20 min in an agate mortar using a pestle to accomplish a homogeneous paste of CNT and silicon oil. A bit part of the resulting CNTP was filled into the void (3.0 mm width) of the Teflon tube and a copper wire was inserted to provide an electrical connection. The surface of the electrode was smoothened attentively utilizing soft paper and rinsed with distilled water. The finally obtained material is called BCNTPE.

2.4. Preparation of P(PAN)LCNTPE

The P(PAN)LCNTPE was prepared using the electrochemical polymerization of PAN (1.0 mm) in PB (0.2 M & 7.0 pH) at the surface of fresh CNTPE through cycling 10 CV cycles at the scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$ and the potential window of $-1.0$ V to 1.5 V. After the completion of 10 CV cycles the modified electrode surface was rinsed with distilled water to achieve a fresh sensitive electrode surface called P(PAN)LCNTPE.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. FE-SEM and EDX Analysis of BCNTPE and P(PAN)LCNTPE

FE-SEM is an innovative characterization technique operated to capture and analyze the microstructure picture of the material surfaces. FE-SEM is characteristically operated in a high vacuum, since gas molecules tend to interrupt the electron beam and the emitted secondary and backscattered electrons used for imaging and morphological observations. In this study, FE-SEM and EDX techniques are used for the analysis of surface morphology and elemental analysis of BCNTPE and P(PAN)LCNTPE, and the data are shown in Figure 1. Here, Figure 1a shows an unsystematically distributed tube-like shape with rough exterior, which signifies the presence of CNTs on the surface of BCNTPE material. Nevertheless, Figure 1b shows a surface structure of P(PAN)LCNTPE, here the electrode surface is surrounded by a film of Poly(PAN) on the CNTP surface. In addition, the characteristic elemental analysis was performed using the EDX technique to coincide with the elemental configuration of BCNTPE and P(PAN)LCNTPE. Figure 1c,d display the EDX images of BCNTPE and P(PAN)LCNTPE with different topographies. In Figure 1c, elements such as carbon (C), oxygen (O), and silicon (Si) appeared, and it indicates the material of unmodified electrode (BGPPE). Nonetheless, Figure 1d presents C, nitrogen (N), Si, and O elements. Hence, it indicates the modification of nitrogen-based moiety (amino acid: PAN) on the CNTPE surface.

3.2. EIS Study of BCNTPE and P(PAN)LCNTPE

EIS systems are functioned by computer programs specifically designed for EIS testing. Hence, before conducting EIS experimentation, all components of the arrangement must be accomplished. In this study, three electrodes were used (already explained in Section 2.2). The PB solution of known concentration and volume was prepared and transferred to the electrochemical cell and all three electrodes were connected to the potentiostat. Here, four leads were operated to assign the three electrodes to the EIS analyzer. Once all leads were connected, the EIS system was set up and ready for testing.

EIS is the simple method for the examination of charge transfer resistance (Rct) of the materials (BCNPE & P(PAN)LCNTPE). Here, EIS was performed for K$_4$[Fe(CN)$_6$], and (1.0 mM) was used as a standard analytical sample in KCl (0.1 M) at the surface
of BCNTPE (curve-a) and P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-b). The EIS outcomes are displayed based on the Nyquist plots (Figure 2). The described Nyquist plots show that the surface of BCNTPE material offers a greater semicircle size and the surface of P(PAN)LCNTPE material presents a smaller semicircle size. Also, the fitted equivalent circuit of $R(CR(QR))$ shows the parameters such as $R_{ct}$, $Q$ represents the constant phase element, $C_{dl}$ represents the double layer capacitance, $R$ represents the internal resistance, and $R_s$ represents the solution resistance. The data relating to $R_s$, $R_{ct}$, $Q$, and $C_{dl}$ of bare and modified electrodes are tabulated in Table 1. These outcomes agree that the $R_{ct}$ of P(PAN)LCNTPE is lesser with a high charge transfer character than BCNTPE [27].

![Figure 1. FE-SEM image of (a) BCNTPE and (b) P(PAN)LCNTPE. EDX image of (c) BCNTPE and (d) P(PAN)LCNTPE.](image)

Table 1. EIS results for BCNTPE and P(PAN)LCNTPE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Electrode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCNTPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$ ($\Omega$)</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{ct}$ ($\Omega$)</td>
<td>177.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{dl}$ (F)</td>
<td>$1.08 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$ ($S. sec^n$)</td>
<td>$6.456 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. EIS curves for BCNTPE (curve-a) and P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-b).

3.3. Active Surface Area of BCNTPE and P(PAN)LCNTPE

The study of the electrochemically active surface area supports the clarification of the conductivity and sensitivity of the electrode materials. Figure 3 displays the cyclic voltammograms for a standard analytical sample K₄[Fe(CN)₆] (1.0 mm) in KCl (0.1 M) at the surface of BCNTPE (curve-a) and P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-b) having a potential window of −0.3 V to 0.6 V and a scan rate of 0.1Vs⁻¹. The P(PAN)LCNTPE shows improved electrocatalytic activity for the redox action of K₄[Fe(CN)₆] with enhanced peak current and reduced peak potential in contrast to BCNTPE. These results are dependant on the electrochemically active surface area of the electrode materials. The active surface area of P(PAN)LCNTPE and BCNTPE was calculated using the following Randles–Sevcik equation [23,27,28],

\[ I_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 \frac{z^{3/2}}{A} D^{1/2} C \nu^{1/2} \]

where \( A \) (cm²) is the electro-active surface area, \( I_p \) (A) is the peak current, \( z \) is the electron number in redox action of K₄[Fe(CN)₆], \( \nu \) (Vs⁻¹) is the scan rate, \( D \) (7.3 \times 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹) is the diffusion coefficient of K₄[Fe(CN)₆] [28], and \( C \) (M) is the concentration of K₄[Fe(CN)₆].

Primarily, in the bare electrode (before modification) the calculated electroactive surface area (geometric surface area) value was found to be 0.017 cm², but after modification of CNTPE surface by P(PAN), the calculated active surface area was found to be 0.034 cm². These data indicate that the geometric surface area of the bare electrode is lesser than the modified electrode and it is due to the effect of the modification.

The heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant \( k \) is calculated through the data of EIS and active surface area, and the rate constant relation are as follows:

\[ k = \frac{RT}{n^2 F^2 A C Rct} \]
where $n$ represents the number of electrons, and other terms have their traditional denotation. The calculated value of $k$ for P(PAN)LCNTPE was 0.0013 cm/s and for BCNTPE 0.0017 cm/s. These results show that the development of the P(PAN) layer at the surface of CNTPE increases its catalytic activity with a high number of active sites.

3.4. Electrochemical Polymerization of PAN on CNTPE Surface

Inset Figure 4 shows the cyclic voltammograms for the PAN (1.0 mm) in PB (0.2 M and 7.0 pH) at the surface of CNTPE for the electrochemical polymerization and the plot of the number of cycles vs. peak current. Firstly, the effect of film thickness was studied by varying the number of CV cycles from 5 to 20 (Figure 4a). Here, 10 CV cycles show better electrochemical peak current for ICN than 5, 15, and 20 cycles. Hence, 10 CV cycles are selected as optimum for the polymerization of PAN on the surface of CNTPE. Additionally, the cyclic voltammograms were documented through the cycling of ten CV cycles (twenty CV segments) having a potential window of $-1.0 \text{ V}$ to $1.5 \text{ V}$ and a scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$. The achieved PAN cyclic voltammograms exhibited an improved anodic peak current based on each CV cycle. This result authorized the alteration of the monomer film of PAN to the polymer film of PAN on the surface of CNTPE. Furthermore, the developed P(PAN) film probably expands the electrocatalytic activity, electrostatic interface, and sensitive electrochemical behavior. The possible electrochemically polymerized structure of PAN is shown in Scheme 1.

3.5. Electrochemical Nature of ICN

The electrochemical redox action of 0.01 mM ICN on the surface-bare and modified electrodes was analyzed using the CV method. Cyclic voltammograms for the presence and absence (blank: curve-b) of 0.1 mM ICN in 0.2 M PB (pH 6.5) at the surface of P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-c) and BCNTPE (curve-a) with a scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$ (Figure 5). Here, P(PAN)LCNTPE provided higher electrocatalytic activity for the redox action of ICN.
with more improved redox peak current than the BCNTPE. Additionally, the absence of ICN (only PB of 6.5 pH) at P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-b) did not show any electrochemical behavior. From the recorded information, the greater ICN electrochemical redox activity at P(PAN)LCNTPE as compared to BCNTPE was due to the faster electron transfer among electrode and analyte interface, higher electrochemical heterogeneous rate constant, high active surface area, stronger interactions like electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, electronic, covalent, and so on, among the interface of the modified electrode surface and ICN.

**Figure 4.** (a) Plot of \( I_{pa} \) vs. number of cycles. (b) Cyclic voltammograms for the electro polymerization of PAN (1.0 mm) in PB (0.2 M & 7.0 pH) at the surface of CNTPE having the scan rate of 0.1Vs\(^{-1}\).

**Scheme 1.** The probable structure of Poly(PAN) on CNTPE surface.
Figure 5. Cyclic voltammograms for the presence and absence (blank: curve-b) of 0.1 mM ICN in 0.2 M PB (pH 6.5) at the surface of P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-c) and BCNTPE (curve-a) with a scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$.

3.6. Effect of pH on ICN Electrochemical Activity

ICN is very sensitive to pH, oxidation, and reduction effects. Under normal conditions the ICN is in its oxidized form since it is always in interaction with oxygen in the air. ICN is pH-sensitive and at strongly basic conditions it has a yellow-greenish color. The ICN is in its blue form that dominates when the pH of the solution is less than about eleven points. Hence, the analysis of the pH effect on the redox activity of ICN at the surface of the modified electrode is essential. The influence of 0.2 M PB solution pH on the redox reaction of 0.1 mM ICN at P(PAN)LCNTPE was inspected using the CV method. Figure 6a shows the cyclic voltammograms recorded for the redox activity of ICN at the surface of P(PAN)LCNTPE in altered 0.2 M PB solution pHs ranging from 5.5–8.0 with the scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$. Figure 6b represents the plot of $E_{pa}$ vs. pH, here the movement of ICN peak potential ($E_{pa}$) towards the negative path as the increase of pH from 5.5 to 8.0 was noticed with an effective linear relationship among $E_{pa}$ and pH ($E_{pa}(V) = 0.716 - 0.054 \text{pH (V/pH)}$ & $R^2 = 0.988$). Here, the slope value of $E_{pa}$ vs. pH was $-0.054 \text{ V/pH}$ was nearer to the hypothetical value of $-0.059$, suggesting that the redox reaction of ICN was conducted through an equal number of protons and electrons (1:1 ratio). Supportive of this, the number of protons in the electro–redox reaction of ICN in P(PAN)LCNTPE was verified using the slope of $E_{pa}$ vs. pH and the Nernst relation: $\Delta E_{p} / \Delta \text{pH} = -2.303 \text{ mRT/nF}$. Here, $m$ is the number of protons, $n$ is the number of electrons, $\Delta E_{p}$ is the change in potential, $F$ is the Faraday constant, $T$ is the temperature, $R$ is the universal gas constant, and $\Delta \text{pH}$ is the change in pH. The calculated value of the number of electrons ($n$) was found to be 2.190 (almost two), signifying that the ICN electro-redox reaction in P(PAN)LCNTPE probably continues through the transmission of two electrons and two protons. Additionally, Figure 6c shows that the 6.5 pH presents the maximum ICN redox peak current in comparison with the remaining pHs (5.5, 6.0, 7.0, 7.5, and 8.0).
maximum electrochemical response of ICN at 6.5 is probably due to the stronger interactions such as electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, electronic, covalent, and so on. Therefore, 6.5 pH was selected as the optimum pH value for the current research.

Figure 6. (a) Cyclic voltammograms for 0.01 mM ICN at the surface of P(PAN)LCNTPE in altered 0.2 M PB solution pHs ranging from 5.5 to 8.0 with the scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$. (b) Plot of $E_{pa}$ vs. pH. (c) Plot of $I_{pa}$ vs. pH.

3.7. Scan Rate Impact on Peak Current and Potential

Figure 7 signifies the influence of scan rate on the redox action of 0.01 mM ICN in 0.2 M PB at P(PAN)LCNTPE to understand the reliability of the redox peak current and peak potentials during the variation of scan rate. The cyclic voltammograms were detailed for ICN in 0.2 M PB of pH 6.5 at P(PAN)LCNTPE in the different scan rates ranging from 0.025 Vs$^{-1}$ to 0.3 Vs$^{-1}$ (Figure 7a). Figure 7b,c show the plots of log $I_{pa}$ vs. log $\nu$ and $I_{pa}$ vs. $\nu$, respectively. Here, both the plots show good linear association, and the corresponding linear relations are log ($I_{pa}$, A) = 4.710 + 0.925 log ($\nu$, Vs$^{-1}$) ($R^2$ = 0.997) and $I_{pa}$ (A) = 0.295 + 20.193 $\nu$ (Vs$^{-1}$) ($R^2$ = 0.995). The slope value of 0.925 of log $I_{pa}$ vs. log $\nu$ and the linear regression coefficient value of 0.995 of $I_{pa}$ vs. $\nu$ are near the theoretical value of unity. These data suggest that the redox reaction of ICN at the P(PAN)LCNTPE surface was continued by the adsorption-controlled reaction pathway. The probable electrochemical redox reaction of ICN is shown in Scheme 2 [27].
Figure 7. (a) Cyclic voltammograms for 0.01 mM ICN in 0.2 M PB of pH 6.5 at P(PAN)LCNTPE in the different scan rates ranging from 0.025 Vs\(^{-1}\) to 0.3 Vs\(^{-1}\). (b) Plot of log \(I_{pa}\) vs. log \(\nu\). (c) Plot of \(I_{pa}\) vs. \(\nu\).

Scheme 2. Probable electrochemical redox reaction of ICN at P(PAN)LCNTPE surface.

3.8. Simultaneous and Interference Analysis

The CV method was used for the inspection of 0.01 mM ICN in presence of 0.1 mM TN at the surface of BCNTPE (curve-a) and P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-b) in PB
(0.2 M and 6.5 pH) at 0.1 \text{Vs}^{-1} \text{scan rate}. In Figure 8a, BCNTPE reveals lower electrochemical activity with a low redox peak for ICN and low oxidation peak for TN. Nonetheless, P(PAN)LCNTPE displays a good and well-defined redox peak for ICN and an oxidation peak for TN. These results clarify that the elevated catalytic nature of P(PAN)LCNTPE for the redox action of ICN with TN (presence and absence) is approximately similar. Additionally, the interference effect on the surface of the modified electrode was tested for the electrochemical behavior of ICN in the presence of different organic molecules such as alizarin red (AR), erythromycin (ECN), methyl orange (MO), riboflavin (RF), TN, sucrose (SR), and Congo red (CR). The results are shown in Figure 8b. Here, only less than ±5.0% of signal change in ICN electrochemical oxidation is observed with respect to the base potential of ICN at P(PAN)LCNTPE. Therefore, the proposed P(PAN)LCNTPE shows acceptable anti-interferent and is good for simultaneous analysis even in the presence of different organic interferents.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** (a) Cyclic voltammograms for 0.01 mM ICN in presence of 0.1 mM TN at the surface of BCNTPE (curve-a) and P(PAN)LCNTPE (curve-b) in PB (0.2 M & 6.5 pH) at 0.1 Vs\(^{-1}\) scan rate. (b) % error in signal vs. interferents.

### 3.9. Limit of Detection and Quantification

The electrochemical-based redox nature of ICN was examined by changing its concentration in the range of 0.2 µM to 10.0 µM in PB (0.2 M & 6.5 pH) at the modified electrode surface (P(PAN)LCNTPE) using the CV method (0.1 Vs\(^{-1}\) scan rate) and the recorded cyclic voltammograms are displayed in Figure 9a. Here, the concentration of ICN and \(I_{\text{pa}}\) and \(I_{\text{pc}}\) of ICN are proportional to each other, and they provide a good linear relationship. In this contrast, we considered anodic peak current as an analytical signal to plot a calibration curve and the results are noticed in the plot of \(I_{\text{pa}}\) vs. [ICN] shown in Figure 9b. The linear relation among \(I_{\text{pa}}\) vs. [ICN] is shown as \(I_{\text{pa}}(A) = 9.265 \times 10^{-7} + 0.096 [\text{ICN}] (\text{M})\) & \(R^2 = 0.999\). The ICN-detecting ability of P(PAN)LCNTPE was studied using the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ). The values of LOD and LOQ are calculated using the relations of LOD = 3 (Standard deviation of the blank/Slope of the calibration curve) and LOQ = 10 (Standard deviation of the blank/Slope of the calibration curve). The calculated value of LOD and LOQ were found to be 0.021 µM and 0.072 µM, correspondingly. The attained LOD and prepared electrode were contrasted with the earlier ICN electrochemical sensors, and the assessment data is documented in Table 2 [27,30–33].
3.10. Stability, Repeatability and Reproducibility

The stability, repeatability, and reproducibility of the proposed electrochemical sensor (P(PAN)LCNTPE) were inspected by recording the cyclic voltammograms for a redox reaction of 0.01 mM ICN in PB (0.2 M & 6.5 pH) at a scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$. The P(PAN)LCNTPE stability was analyzed by recording cyclic voltammograms by driving 25 CV cycles (fifty CV segments) at a scan rate of 0.1 Vs$^{-1}$. Here, the stability of the sensor was calculated using the initial and final electrochemical peak currents and the value was about 92.22%, which proposes acceptable P(PAN)LCNTPE stability. P(PAN)LCNTPE repeatability was verified based on five successive CV cycles for ICN analyte (changed at the end of each cycle) at the surface of constantly fixed P(PAN)LCNTPE. Here, all five cyclic voltammograms show a nearer oxidation peak current for ICN at P(PAN)LCNTPE with the relative standard deviation value of 0.544%, which proposes an adequate P(PAN)LCNTPE repeatability. P(PAN)LCNTPE reproducibility was confirmed with respect to five successive CV cycles.
for a constantly fixed ICN analyte at the surface of P(PAN)LCNTPE (changed at the end of each cycle). Here, all the recorded cyclic voltammograms showed a closer oxidation peak current value for ICN at P(PAN)LCNTPE with a relative standard deviation value of 1.025%, which suggests decent P(PAN)LCNTPE reproducibility. The results related to stability, repeatability, and reproducibility are shown in Figure 10.

3.11. Analysis of Water Sample

To authenticate the resolution of the projected P(PAN)LCNTPE by examining ICN in a water sample (the tap water is used as a real sample and it was collected from the municipality water tank, Madikeri, India). The operated CV method was used for ICN inspection in a tap water sample in PB (0.2 M & 6.5 pH) at a scan rate of 0.1 Vs\(^{-1}\) at the surface of the projected P(PAN)LCNTPE. Here, the tap water sample did not give the voltammetric response for ICN, hence the ICN investigation was completed in the tap water sample using the typical spike recovery method with three trials for each addition. The P(PAN)LCNTPE provided decent recovery for ICN in tap water samples under the standard addition method ranging from 97.80 ± 0.0005% to 100.40 ± 0.001%, and the institute outcomes are tabulated in Table 3.

Table 3. The recovery data of ICN in tap water sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Added (µm)</th>
<th>Found (µm)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>1.0 (n = 3)</td>
<td>1.004 ± 0.001</td>
<td>100.40 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 (n = 3)</td>
<td>1.967 ± 0.003</td>
<td>98.35 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0 (n = 3)</td>
<td>2.982 ± 0.001</td>
<td>99.40 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0 (n = 3)</td>
<td>3.995 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>99.87 ± 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.0 (n = 3)</td>
<td>4.890 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>97.80 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Results for P(PAN)LCNTPE stability, repeatability and reproducibility.
4. Conclusions

In this research, the simple, responsive, and low-priced electrochemical sensors: P(PAN)LCNTPE and BCNTPE were prepared using an eco-friendly procedure for the sensitive and selective ICN electrochemical analysis in presence of TN. The surface of CNTPE was effectively activated by developing an active layer of P(PAN) through a simple electrochemical polymerization approach. The enhanced electrochemical surface area of P(PAN)LCNTPE developed a faster rate of electron transference during the ICN redox reaction with elevated electrocatalytic action and more active spots than the BCNTPE. The surface features of P(PAN)LCNTPE and BCNTPE were confirmed successfully by means of FE-SEM, CV, and EIS approaches. The P(PAN)LCNTPE frames an improved electrochemical response with good linear correlation, lower LOD, higher stability, repeatability, and reproducibility toward the analysis of the redox nature of ICN. Furthermore, the projected P(PAN)LCNTPE and the CV technique retain superb ICN recapture in a tap water sample with fine recovery in the range of 97.80% to 100.40%.
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