Screen-Printed Electrodes: Fabrication, Modification, and Biosensing Applications
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Abstract: As electrochemical measuring instruments, screen-printed electrodes (SPEs) are constructed via a technology called thick film deposition onto plastic or ceramic substrates, allowing for simple, inexpensive, and rapid on-site analysis with high reproducibility, sensitivity, and accuracy. Numerous substances such as gold, silver, platinum, and carbon are applied for electrode construction, enabling the analyst to design the best device based on its purpose to determine an analyte’s selectivity and sensitivity. Thus, in the current review, we report the latest results and analyses conducted over the past eight years (2015–2022) on the expansion of SPE electrochemical biosensors, including aptasensors, immunosensors, DNA sensors, and enzymatic biosensors. Such expansion has resulted in new possibilities for the identification, distinction, and quantification of biocompounds, drugs, enzymes, etc. Therefore, in this paper, we review the role of different nanomaterials in manufacturing on-screen electrode methods as well as strategies for the future stable diagnosis of biorecognition elements.
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1. Introduction

Analytical chemists are typically challenged with devising methods capable of accomplishing rapid in situ analyses due to the fact that such methods are mainly expected to be accurate and sensitive while being able to detect and measure different materials with various attributes in “real-life” samples. The existing commercial laboratory tests have been reported as being complex and costly, and thus are used less for in situ and point-of-care solutions intended for quality control, environmental surveillance, and healthcare monitoring [1,2]. Over the years, sensors based on screen-printed electrodes (SPEs) have emerged as one of the main branches of electrochemical research for rapid, specific, portable, sensitive, low-cost, and precise analyses, and have been claimed as having innovative applications [1,3,4]. A key driving force behind these historic developments was the realization that screen printing can be much cheaper than traditional manufacturing methods [5]. In the microelectronics industry, for the past three decades screen printing has been proposed for the mass production of reproducible, cheap, reliable, single-use sensors as an on-site monitoring technique [1]. On the one hand, SPEs allow the fabrication of large numbers of carbon electrodes in reproducible, low-cost, and disposable formats, also combining functionalized chemicals. On the other hand, SPEs are used in many electrochemistry fields, especially in measurements of chemical and biochemical compounds and microelectronics, as well as in the conversion and storage of energy. Moreover, these electrodes are applied in flexible electronics, a rapidly evolving technology for printing electronic devices directly on flexible plastic materials such as polycarbonate, polyamide, and polyetheretherketone. All the carbon forms, especially graphite, activated carbon,
and carbon black, have the broadest applications for deposition onto these electrodes. In comparison with other electrode fabrication methods, SPEs have the advantage of easy control of the electrode’s thickness, surface, and composition, and catalysts can be added to the printing ink for easy combination. Moreover, they offer the possibility of experimental statistical validation of the results notwithstanding the existence of duplicate electrodes. Their most noticeable disadvantage is that they are limited to flatbeds [5].

SPEs allow a plurality of tests to be accomplished with low volumes of samples and reagents without pretreatment or keeping of the electrode. These electrodes are often employed for analyses in fields such as agriculture, pharmacy, medicine, the food industry, and the environment [4].

While it is known that SPEs can vary in terms of shape, they can also be made on demand for analysis. Moreover, they come in various forms such as a disc, a ring, or a band. By employing SPEs, not only can calibration be performed, but they are also used for simultaneously and promptly analyzing numerous unknown samples. However, despite the mentioned advantages associated with SPEs, they are incompatible with nonplanar substrates, which remains a disadvantage in terms of limiting the fabrication methodology. As a result, SPEs, which are printed directly onto several flexible and inflexible substrates, must be further developed [6].

In the current research, we compare SPEs’ application and performance from 2015 to 2022 as electrochemical biosensors, taking into consideration DNA sensors, aptasensors, immunosensors, and enzymatic biosensors (Figure 1). It is acknowledged that analytical methods for in-field screening and monitoring solutions can be substituted by electrochemical biosensors as long as they are combined with SPEs. This has applications in various fields from the food industry to environmental uses, in addition to forensics and cancer biomarker analysis [2,7]. Bearing this in mind, the latest advancements in SPE-based biosensors are summarized in this paper, along with a discussion of future favorable developments.

![Figure 1. Classification of SPE electrochemical biosensors.](image_url)

2. SPEs: A Brief Overview

At present, the screen-printing system is a well-established method for electroanalytical instruments’ conception with various uses in the biomedical field [8–10], food measurement [11,12], and environmental pollutant detection [6,13,14]. SPEs are often
composed of an electrochemical cell that consists of three electrodes, namely the pseudo reference electrode (RE), counter electrode (CE), and working electrode (WE), which are printed on a solid substrate, as shown in Figure 2 [4].

![Figure 2. The most common scheme form of an SPE (adapted and reprinted with permission from [4])](image)

SPE devices appeared in the 1990s and since then they have been increasingly used due to their low price, reproducibility, reliability, and capacity for mass production. It has been shown that SPEs are flexible instruments, appropriate for various configurations, constructed from various substances, and can be modified by different biological substances including synthetic diagnosis elements, DNA, enzymes, and antibodies [9]. As previously mentioned, SPEs as planar devices consist of three electrodes, namely WE, CE, and RE, which are placed on the same substrate such as plastic, ceramic, or textile [8,15,16]. These electrodes have several desirable properties, including simplicity, low cost, the possibility of being made by various substances with flexible selectivity, the consumption of minimal sample volumes of analyte, and minimal waste production. These attractive properties have made these devices applicable to the development of analytical procedures as well as analyte detection, including in clinical [9], pharmaceutical [8,15], environmental [6,17], and microbiology fields [18], in addition to heavy metal ions [19] and liquid (bio) fuel [20] samples. SPEs can be employed as disposable tools owing to their low price and feasibility of mass production. Hence, the usual difficulties of the classical solid electrodes, including surface contamination, passivation of surface, and tedious cleaning procedures, are not present in these electrodes. Despite this, there are some limitations of this method: (1) the reproducibility of these devices is close to or greater than 5%; (2) the high cost of devices due to the application of specific substances for SPE production or analysis of several samples; (3) the device is not changed after each analysis and nonproductive analysis after using automated or mechanized procedures with on-site sample preparation steps and the ones followed by the analysis [21].

2.1. Construction of SPEs

SPEs are constructed by popular industrial printers by the deposition of composite layers onto a flat substrate [2]. Figure 3 exhibits the manufacturing process of these electrodes [22].

Although the screen-printing process was withdrawn from microelectronics manufacturing, the process is applied for the production of SPEs, among other applications. These electrodes suggest the original attributes needed to acquire electrochemical detection platforms in on-site analysis [23].

The published papers have recently acknowledged that most SPEs are constructed with materials from Metrohm DropSens (Oviedo, Spain) or Gamry Instruments (Warminster, PA,
USA). However, there are some producers in China and Europe that are also specialized in the design of tools for electrochemistry evaluations [4].

The construction process of the SPE is rapid and permits the exhaustive and highly reproducible production of single-use electrodes with a small size and low price [23]. Carbon and metallic inks, which are the most common of various inks or pastes (viscous fluid) to print the electrodes [24], are compressed by means of a blade (3–10 Pa at a sheer rate of 230 s\(^{-1}\)) using a mesh screen on the substrate (which is often ceramic or plastic such as polyvinylchloride and polycarbonate) [16,25,26]. The mesh screen has a specific template that describes the dimensional attributes of the electrodes [27].

The paste formulation is mostly a commercial mystery because of the overall analytical operation and trading value of manufacturing sensors [28]. The most common inks used in WEs are carbon-containing graphite, fullerene, graphene (Gr), carbon nanotubes (CNTs), etc., and this is due to their favorable properties in electrochemical evaluations, which include their low prices, chemical stability, higher conductivity, facility of modification, broad potential ranges, and lower background currents [8,29–31]. Conductive metallic inks have also been extensively used along with carbon inks. Moreover, thanks to its resemblance to thiol moieties, Au ink is the most common as it permits easy surface modification with proteins using a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) formation. It is of note that there are other SPEs available, which have a WE crafted using other metallic inks such as silver (Ag), platinum (Pt), or palladium (Pd); nevertheless, their use is uncommon and extended only to particular applications. Indeed, it is documented that silver or silver/silver chloride inks are usually applied for RE construction, which is considered as quasi or pseudo RE due to the lack of stability of its potential, contrary to an ideal RE. Hence, as opposed to ideal REs such as the Ag/AgCl electrode, the realistic potential has not been considered to be as precise and reproducible. The ink chemical structure towards the electrochemical aims is significant. The ink formula and structure of SPEs are patented by several companies and are not revealed to users. The composition of the ink can be changed by altering the number of particles loaded, which forcefully affect the electron transfer process and the designed SPEs’ performance [7,32–35].

This can be difficult for electrochemical evaluations in which potential control is needed; however, it is not often a problem for detection utilizations. For making CEs, the same inks as for WEs are usually employed. SPEs are diverse because the ink configuration determines the electrode’s electrochemical properties. Therefore, SPEs are versatile because various inks are employed and the WE is easily modified. Such alterations are undertaken not only to boost the electroanalytical properties of the SPEs, but also to enhance the recognition element’s immobilization. These recognition elements could not only be biological such as DNA, proteins, and the like, but they could be synthetic as well, such as molecularly imprinted polymers [23]. To increase SPEs’ conductivity, ink slurries are often pulverized in ball mills. This process produces tiny particles of a conductive carbon called fines with diameters between 1 and 100 nm, which fill electron tunneling gaps between larger particles after printing [36]. Over several years, countless binder–solvent compounds have been tested in screen printing formulas, but only a few have been prosperous and have gained a commercial value. Two different types of thermoplastic and thermosetting polymer binders are widely used, including poly (vinylidene fluoride). The most popular method among electrochemists is to dissolve a thermoplastic polymer in a high-boiling solvent [5].

Adhesives such as ethylene glycol, cellulose acetate, resin, or cyclohexanone are added for attaching the paste to the substrate. Blending the additives may be accomplished to enhance the sensitivity, specificity, and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). Occasionally, it is documented that with the purpose of augmenting the electrochemical signal for physical or biochemical interactions, the ink possesses silver, platinum powder, or even gold [37].

To summarize, SPE construction involves several steps: (1) the mesh or screen design to define the SPE size and geometry; (2) the choice and provision of the conductive inks and the proper substances for the substrate; (3) thin film fabrication by layer-by-layer (LBL)
deposition for selecting the inks on the substrate; (4) drying with hot air and IR radiation, in addition to curing to solidify the ink. Having covered the electrical circuits by means of an insulating substance, which is executed by adding a distinct drop of the sample (analyte) solution on the SPE surface, the analytical evaluations can be accomplished [6,8].

The pretreatment of SPEs is important to overcome the restricted electron transfer kinetics in it when having an interface with the electrolyte. This happens because the electrodes contain insulator additives to ameliorate the carbon ink adhesion. For activating the SPE edge planes, although several methods have been evaluated to increase the electroactivity of the carbon, electrochemical methods are the most frequently applied. The method of 10 cyclic voltammetry (CV) cycles in phosphate buffer solution (0.05 M) remaining between −0.5 and 2.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl was suggested by Sundaresan’s group [38]. Pan’s group applied a constant potential (−1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl) within 20 s so that an electrode would possess a drop of NaOH (0.1 M) [39].

![Figure 3. The construction process of SPEs (adapted and reprinted with permission from [2]).](image)

2.2. Methodologies of Modification

SPEs’ ability for modification and miniaturization was gradually developed, which later attracted more attention in biomedical and clinical fields. So far, different biosensors have been commercially accessible for many applications in clinical, environmental, and food fields [40]. To improve their analytical properties, several alterations have been applied using different nanomaterials and synthetic distinction substances, which almost yielded prosperous outcomes in many of the experimented instances. Therefore, carbonaceous compounds including carbon black (CB), CNTs, Gr, and metallic NPs (Au and Ag as well as magnetic beads (MBs)), in addition to mediator NPs (cobalt phthalocyanine and Prussian blue) have been used for SPE modification. Nanosubstances can possess identical dimensions of biological diagnosis elements, including proteins and DNA, whose composition can produce synergistic impacts that provide unpredicted profits. The emergent and inspiring actions in nanotechnology progress have strongly influenced investigations in the enzyme biosensor field. The nanomaterial’s ability to offer amended electrocatalytic activities and reduce electrode surface deposition makes them beneficial for developing biosensors [41,42]. The electrochemical behavior of nanomodified sensors was evaluated using cyclic voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and amperometry to accurately communicate the electrochemical behavior of the sensor by the nature of nanomaterials, and to perceive the impacts of the nanomaterials on the analytical properties [43]. In effect, by making use of nanomaterials, it is highly feasible to enhance the analytical properties such as the sensitivity and selectivity, as well as increase the stability while decreasing the limit of detection (LOD). In addition to these nanomaterials, a plethora of other materials can also be employed. These include polymers and metal oxides in addition to redox mediators with complexing agents and so forth. The easiest method for modification of the SPEs is based on the modifying agent deposition on the WE, which is
supported by the planar nature of the SPE. Accordingly, this could be accomplished with
an automated dispenser in a mass production method. Furthermore, the SPEs such as the
WE can be altered prior to printing by appending the modifier to the ink. This is fulfilled
by means of electrochemical or chemical deposition [44–46].

The electrode surface modification of these tools is often performed by three well-
known procedures, as shown in Figure 4. These procedures consist of mixing the ink by
the modifier agent, a metallic progressive electrochemical deposition, or nanomaterial drop
casting. Prior to ink curing, the initial procedure is performed, which contains further
critical parameters. Such parameters mainly include the temperature of the curing in
addition to the instructions for mixing. In practice, these must be monitored so that batch
reproducibility will be attained [47]. To develop the assay, ink mixing was referred to as
the primary method and as appropriate for yielding metalized SPEs. Nonetheless, such
a procedure is disadvantaged by NP accumulation, multifaceted ink instructions, and
weak reproducibility between batches. The other two procedures are accomplished on
their surfaces after electrode procurement, which are thus more appropriate when using
commercial SPEs. Drop casting methods provide an appropriate way for SPE modification
because modifications are undertaken, having prepared the ink. In practice, the NPs which
are deposited onto the surface of the WEs show a highly active surface for the analyte,
but aggregation occurs as well. NPs with precisely tailored shapes and sizes can only be
obtained using electrodeposition. Potentiostatic methods are more widely used thanks
to their applications in traditional three-electrode cells having a well-controlled RE, and
their use has been common for several years. However, when working with SPEs, the
galvanostatic methods are more effective. Besides, possible changes in the quasi-RE exert
no impact on the deposition, which is undertaken under a controlled current, and this
offers abilities akin to the nucleation and growth control of metal NPs as potentiostatic
methods. Nevertheless, the major problem of this procedure is its large-scale synthesis,
since the electron deposition is typically conducted with each sensor individually, while
the deposition step is laborious and consuming when considering the preparation of large
batches [45].

The mediator’s utilization on the electrode surface and the creation of surface oxygen
functionalities are considered as additional fundamental characteristics in understanding
the SPE reactivity [48]. To obtain more information, it would be better to focus on generating
oxygen functionalities as well as edge–plane-like sites via chemical alterations to evaluate
some of the significant recent progress made in SPE design. In this field, the application
of Gr and CNTs, as popular carbon substances, has changed the range of electroanalysis.
The utilization of carbon nanomaterials was illustrated as one of the procedures, both
analytically and economically, that can be employed for the fabrication of modified SPEs.
Concerning the detection of many targets, the increased electrocatalytic attributes are
ascribed to the defect– and edge–plane-like sites on the Gr and CNT surfaces [49,50].

2.3. Applications of SPEs in Electrochemical Biosensing

SP electrochemical biosensors have received attention as analytical devices for agricul-
ture, pharmacy, medicine, and food analysis due to benefits such as their low cost, ease of
use, low volumes, and ability to be moved freely and easily [11–13]. Hence, the SP process
has considerably helped in the transition from the classic unbearable electrochemical cells to
miniaturized and portable electrodes that meet the requirements for on-site analysis [13,51].
However, an SPE, as a typical electrode, such as a glassy carbon electrode or gold disk,
is not a strong electrode and its surface is not as ideal as that of a mirror-like polished
solid electrode. Yet in recent years, SPEs’ benefits in terms of their cost and size led to the
enhancement of their application as transducers in biosensing [23].

SPEs fabricated based on sensitive and selective sensors have been used extensively
for various analytes in clinical and pharmacy fields. The possibility of making attractive
designs for single and multiple analyses even in the absence of biological substances is
one of the notable advantages of these sensors. In the field of physiological evaluation, the
activated sensor surface is exposed to the epidermis (oral mucosa in the mouth, stratum corneum, or skin) to distinguish related biomarkers such as glucose [52] and ethanol [53] in various informative biofluids such as tears, saliva, and sweat. The combination of SPEs with simple paper-based microfluidics shows different benefits of electrochemical biosensors’ procurement in comparison with traditional analytical tools constructed by other substrates such as polymers, silicon, or glass. The fabricated tools are cheap, have simple construction, and are compatible with different chemical or biochemical utilizations [54]. The high surface area in the cellulose papers offers effective substrates along with SPEs for prototyping new point-of-care detection tools such as microfluidic systems in clinical settings.

Figure 4. Schematic of the three main procedures for SPE modification using metal NPs (adapted and reprinted with permission from [45]).

An area where SPEs have shown specific communication is in environmental detection. Various samples of paper presented with proper substances, modified with metal NPs or carbon nanostructures both in the absence or presence of special enzymes, have been employed to measure pollutants, including heavy metals and anions [55–58].

3. Biosensors

Biosensors are useful tools for the detection of chemical and biological substances as well as the quantitative or semi-quantitative analytical information use of sensitive detection substances [59,60]. The sensitive, selective, and fast detection of targets is the main purpose of these instruments, which is the aim of the evolution of sensors. The biosensors have a transducer part that converts the biological treatment into a detectable signal. Different biosensors with various biological and biomimetic elements have been recognized which have various transducer parts whose physiochemical application categorizes the sensor as electrochemical, optical, piezoelectric, etc. Miniaturization of electrochemical biosensors based on SPEs has yielded numerous point-of-care tools in the past and is anticipated to soon change real-time detection. SPEs’ advancement lies in the possibility to modify these devices with tunable nanocomposites as modifiers to increase the sensor selectivity. Glucose biosensors are known as the most extensively used point-of-care SPE tools based on the electrochemical rule. To enhance the SPE validity, the reply time and availability of the modified SPEs are options that are acquiring great popularity. Few papers are published on unmodified SPE-based biosensors. Graphite ink, CNT ink, and Gr ink are commonly used for the construction of SPEs [61]. This review explores the most promising developments in electrochemical biosensors over the past eight years (2015–2022), including DNA sensors, aptasensors, immunosensors, and enzymatic biosensors.
3.1. DNA Sensors

The utilization of single-use electrodes has acquired many applications within electrochemical DNA sensors. As such, the potential to detect specific DNA sequences has recently been taken into consideration because of their use in various areas, from detecting pathogens to diagnosing genetic maladies [62–64]. The mentioned sensors are currently being expanded and enhanced for extensive types of DNA sequences which cause known ranges of diseases (Epstein–Barr virus, herpes simplex virus, and cytomegalovirus), specified pathogens (Salmonella and E. coli), and genetic mutations. Moreover, by making use of specific DNA sequences, it has been possible to undertake electrochemical diagnosis of proteins. These proteins include transcription factors or other DNA-binding proteins. Aptamer targets, together with proteins or small molecules, are also among such proteins. In comparison with other procedures such as optical detection, the electrochemical detection of DNA hybridization is associated with numerous considerable merits, including faster response time and lower costs in addition to their suitability for mass production. In this field, single-use electrodes have grown in significance, and these electrochemical chip types have been extensively applied to the construction of DNA sensors.

Since gold-based ink is costlier than the usual carbon-based ink, many of these single-use electrodes make use of gold-based ink because this allows attaining well-arranged gold thiol by DNA whose end is modified with alkane thiols based on SAM. It has been confirmed that the quality of the SAMs gained on the mentioned electrodes will be superior and contribute to attaining higher reproducibility and impressive electron transfer rates. This is nevertheless the case regardless of the SPEs’ roughness issues or their surface defects because of the fact that these have been reported to be incomparable with typical gold rod electrodes (distinguished with a high smoother surface area) [65].

Recently, numerous instances have been suggested for DNA and RNA sequences based on electrochemical measurements with single-use electrodes. Table 1 gives the analytical properties as well as the chief characteristics of a few of the recent and characteristic procedures used in biological samples [66–82]. Several instances are discussed below.

Heavy metal ion contamination such as mercury (II) ion (Hg^{2+}) might impose threats not only to the environment but also to human health. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in coordinate interaction among Hg^{2+} and bis-thymine of DNA, where Hg^{2+} is likely to bind two thymines, substituting the imino protons while prompting a conformational change, which relies on the base pairs’ sequence. Indeed, such an interaction is distinctive for Hg^{2+}, as revealed in the analysis of other metal ions. Hg^{2+} could be determined with an outstanding degree of selectivity using the DNA biosensors by forming the complex Thymine-Hg-Thymine (T-Hg-T). Tortolini’s group developed a simple but reusable electrochemical sensor for determining mercury content through the “signal on” assay mode, in which a polythymine is typically altered in the 3’ position with methylene blue (MB) as the redox probe. Although MB has been mostly utilized as an intercalative probe with the aim of detecting DNA strands’ hybridization, it has also been considered as an electrochemical probe for DNA-based biosensors. It is of note that the T–Hg–T complex contributes to the “hairpin-like” folding of an oligonucleotide. As a result, the MB proceeds towards the electrode surface, resulting in a better-quality electronic exchange between the MB and the SPGE; this occurs both for the decreased distance and an upsurge in the faradic current [68].

Immobilization of biomolecules such as DNA on a screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE) is a challenge, which is why choosing an easy yet efficient approach to electrode surface modification is important. A unique reactive adhesive polymer is typically applied to coat diverse surfaces. This modifies the SPCE with a dopamine (DA) electropolymerization film, and a layer that adheres tightly to the SPCE, thus providing opportunities for further surface modification.

It is to be noted that the equilibrium between quinones in polydopamine (PDA) tends to move in the direction of the latter. This makes the biomolecules’ one-step covalent bonding possible, which encompasses amino groups onto PDA-coated substrates via
Michael addition or Schiff base reactions. Meanwhile, the PDA-modified surfaces can resist nonspecific adsorption. In this study, the SPCEs were primarily functionalized with active groups by means of electropolymerization of DA. Afterward, the DNA sensor was acquired through a one-step covalent attachment of the amino-terminated probe DNA onto the PDA-modified SPCE by means of Schiff base reactions which occur in slightly basic pH conditions. Having executed the hybridization of the target DNA to the immobilization probe DNA, the reporter DNA-functionalized gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were introduced onto the sensor surface by means of sandwich hybridization. DNA bases, particularly adenine sequences, maintain a high adsorption affinity with Au substrates [70].

Fast electrochemical detection of trace Hg$^{2+}$ was reported by Zhang’s group, which was based on the “turn-off” reaction between a hairpin DNA probe binding a mismatched target and Hg$^{2+}$ using the T–Hg$^{2+}$–T coordination formation, which depended on the conjugated hairpin DNA probes with water-soluble and carboxyl-functionalized quaternary Zn–Ag–In–S quantum dots (QDs) on SPGE (Figure 5). The hairpin DNA probe’s conformational variation led to a considerable reduction in the electrochemical signal, which could be employed for Hg$^{2+}$ detection. The attained Zn–Ag–In–S QDs depicted high stability in water, illustrating the feasibility of identical electrode surface modification [79].

As a base for a self-powered biosensor, Becker’s group utilized an enzymatic biofuel cell which was employed on an SPE. The EBFCs applied the enzymes as biocatalysts with the aim of accomplishing the catalytic change in the substrates employed as an oxidant, fueling two individual electrodes. In practice, the most forthright choice is to use an analyte conversion as a fuel for the EBFC so that there will be the possibility of discriminatorily oxidizing the analyte via a proper enzyme that has been immobilized at the bioanode. Meanwhile, for closing the circuit, a nonlimiting (bio) cathode will be utilized. A bioanode was integrated here with the aim of converting the glucose with pyrroloquinoline quinone (PQQ)-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) with the BOD-based gas-diffusion bioelectrode on an SPE. Moreover, using a miniaturized agar salt bridge, it was possible to separate the two bioelectrodes. This was undertaken in order to ascertain that assembly occurs optimally in a two-compartment configuration with each electrode. All this demonstrates that the individual electrodes operate optimally, while making it possible to utilize smaller sample quantities [81].

**Table 1.** DNA SPE biosensors for (bio)compound measurements in various targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASV-QD DNA assay</td>
<td>The inserted bismuth citrate was simultaneously transformed in situ to bismuth NPs by Pb electrolytic accumulation on the surface of the sensor</td>
<td>ASV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1 pM–10 nM LOD: 0.03 pM</td>
<td>Pb (II)/N/A</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiNWs/AuNPs-SPGE</td>
<td>SiNWs/AuNPs and MB (redox indicator) were used to increase the SPGE conductivity, as well as to produce a suitable site for immobilization and hybridization of the DNA probe</td>
<td>CV/DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1 pM–100 nM LOD: 1.63 pM</td>
<td>DNA oligomers related to dengue virus/N/A</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/polythymine/MB/SPE</td>
<td>The Hg$^{2+}$ detection was performed with the Thymine–Hg–Thymine (T-Hg–T) complex formation</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.2–100 nM LOD: 0.1 nM</td>
<td>Hg$^{2+}$ ions/Waters and fishes</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNF/SPE</td>
<td>The sequence-selective DNA hybridization was performed following the binding amino miRNA-34a inosine, which substituted the DNA probe at the CNF-SPE surface</td>
<td>EIS/DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 25–100 µg/mL LOD: 10.98 µg/mL</td>
<td>miRNA-34a target RNA/N/A</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDA/SPCE</strong></td>
<td>Covalent immobilization of amino-terminated probe DNA was executed on the surface of the sensor’s Schiff base: reaction of the quinones in PDA and the amino group of the probe DNA was based on the sandwich-type hybridization. Finally, the AuNP-labeled reporter DNA was bound onto the sensor’s surface to increase the signal</td>
<td>EIS (Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴₋)/LSV</td>
<td>L.R.: 1.0–70 pM LOD: 0.3 pM.</td>
<td>Target DNA/N/A</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/SH-ssDNA/MCH/SPGE</td>
<td>The response of this sensor was based on the ion channel mechanism</td>
<td>CV/OSWV</td>
<td>LOD for 280-mer RNA: 1 pM</td>
<td>Specific DNA and RNA sequences derived from Avian Influenza Virus H5N1/N/A</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PMCSPE</strong></td>
<td>MB was employed as the hybridization indicator; the –COOH groups of PBA were reused to immobilize oligonucleotides based on covalent bonding among the –NH₂ groups of oligonucleotides and –COOH groups of PBA</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 1.0 aM–10 nM and 1 aM-0.1 nM LOD: 0.11 and 0.24 aM</td>
<td>M268T mutation of angiotensinogen gene/human blood samples</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SH-probe/SPGE</strong></td>
<td>The high selectivity of this biosensor in detecting the specific target DNA oligo in the real biological environment of unspecified DNA sequences was due to the considerable variation in the signal of the accumulated hematoxylin, between nonspecific oligos and target DNA oligo</td>
<td>EIS (Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴₋)/CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 20 pM–150 nM LOD: 8.5 pM</td>
<td>PAH/N/A</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DNA biosensor</strong></td>
<td>Ebola virus DNA, diagnosable by enzyme-amplified detection</td>
<td>EIS (Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴₋)/DPV</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ebola virus DNA/N/A</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANI/AuNP/avidin/SPCE</strong></td>
<td>The sensing mechanism was based on an enzymatic reaction (interaction between HRP enzyme and TMB/H₂O₂). HRP converted a nonelectroactive substrate into an electroactive substrate</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.001–1000 pM LOD: 0.3 fM</td>
<td>E. coli/Urine sample</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DNA/sgRNA/dCas9/PAMAM/Cys/AuE</strong></td>
<td>A practical, sensitive, and fast impedimetric/capacitive biosensor with CRISPR-dCas9 was modified by sgRNA to assess the most common IDH mutation in glioblastomas</td>
<td>EIS (Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴₋)/CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 100–1000 fM LOD: 33.96 fM</td>
<td>Glioblastoma (target mutant DNA)</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds-DNA/PtNPs/AgNPs/SPE</td>
<td>Interaction between dsDNA and three anthracyclines: EPI, IDA, and DOX by DPV</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.3–1.3 ppm for EPI 0.1–1.0 ppm for IDA/DOX LOD: N/A</td>
<td>Interaction between DNA and three intercalating anthracyclines</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DNA/Gold-plated silver and DNA/SPE</strong></td>
<td>An enzyme-amplified electrochemical assay permitted the PIK3CA point-mutations detection</td>
<td>Chronoamperometric</td>
<td>L.R.: 1–100 nM LOD: 10 pM</td>
<td>PIK3CA point-mutation (H1047R)/Plasma</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA–MnO₂ nanosheets/SPE</td>
<td>cDNA analysis is performed by controlling the adsorption and desorption of DNA strands on MnO₂ nanosheets</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>L.R.: 1 fM–1 nM LOD: 0.1 fM</td>
<td>cDNA/Fetal bovine serum samples</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HP-QDs-SPGE</strong></td>
<td>The “turn-off” reaction of a hairpin DNA probe binds with a mismatched target and Hg²⁺ through the formation of T–Hg²⁺−T coordination</td>
<td>CV/DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 10 pM–1 mM LOD: 0.11 pM</td>
<td>Hg²⁺ ions/Deionized water, tap water, groundwater, and urine samples</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄@SiO₂/DABCO/SPE</td>
<td>The DPV signals of the hemin reduction and the guanine oxidation as an electrochemical indicator with indirect and direct methods, respectively, were applied to detect the hybridization process</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>LOD: 8 pM for guanine oxidation 6.4 pM for hemin reduction</td>
<td>Short-sequence DNA of PCa/N/A</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose/O₂ biofuel cell</td>
<td>The biofuel cell was constructed by coupling a biocathode for O₂ transformation based on a BOD-modified gas diffusion electrode with a bioanode for glucose conversion, made of PQQ–GDH embedded into an Os-complex-modified redox polymer</td>
<td>Chronoamperometry/CV</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Glucose/N/A</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASV: anodic stripping voltammetry; QD: quantum dot; N/A: not available in the study; OSWV: osteryoung square-wave voltammetry; MCH: 6-mercaptohexanol; SH-NC3: mixed monolayer of thiolated DNA probe; SNWs: silicon nanowires; CNFs: carbon nanofibers; PDA: polydopamine; PMCSPE: PBA, MWCNTs, and CS-modified screen-printed electrodes; PANI: polyaniline; AuE: gold screen-printed electrodes; Cys: cysteamine; PAMAM: polyamidoamine; HIV-1, HBV, HCV, Zika Virus, Dengue Virus, and SARS-CoV-2: Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Hepatitis B and C Viruses, Zika Virus, Dengue Virus, and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; PtNPs: platinum nanoparticles; AgNPs: silver nanoparticles; EPI: epirubicin; IDA: idarubicin; DOX: doxorubicin; ctDNA: circulating tumor DNA; MnO₂: manganese dioxide; HP-QDs-SPGE: hairpin DNA probes functionalized quaternary Zn–Ag–In–S quantum dot (QD) on SPGE; PCa: prostate cancer; PQQ: pyrroloquinoline quinone; GDH: glucose dehydrogenase; BOD: bilirubin oxidase.

Figure 5. Schematic representation of the HP–QDs–SPGE electrochemical biosensor (adapted and reprinted with permission from [79]).

3.2. Aptasensors

Evaluating significant analytes while using electrochemical aptasensor-based sensors is important in sensor-based procedures. Of note is that the aptasensor has an enhanced affinity for diverse targets; to name a few, cells, proteins, as well as viruses could be taken into account. Indeed, small molecular substances include 15–90 oligonucleotides having short sequences of single-stranded nucleic acids (DNA or RNA) [83,84]. Since the aptamer was reported to be more sensitive in comparison with the antibodies while it reacts to minor variations through an electrochemical procedure, it is then a distinct design, especially thanks to its high selectivity [85,86]. Aptamers can be used as bio-diagnosis parts to recognize some disease mechanisms and to evaluate and detect their reasons in...
addition to determining the origins. They are also of use in a wide range of scientific fields, from pharmacy to forward-thinking drug delivery systems. They are also employed in order to discover new drugs and appraise their biological activities [87]. Many examples were proposed recently for electrochemical aptamer-based sensors using SPE. Table 2 briefly presents the analytical properties and the major characteristics of some of the latest aptasensors, in addition to illustrative procedures used in biological samples [88–110]. Several chosen instances are explained below.

Although it is evident that diazinon (DZN) is one of the most extensively used organophosphorus compounds in agricultural and household applications, if its traces continue to stay in the environment, unfavorable impacts will be imposed on living creatures, including humans and animals [111,112]. Bearing this in mind, its acknowledged maximum residue limits (MRLs) were determined by health authorities, limited to 0.04 µg/kg, 0.1 µg/L, and 0.04 µg/g in soil, water, and vegetables, respectively. These determining organizations are the European Union, specifying the mentioned limit for soil and vegetables, while the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/WHO determined the limit for water [113,114]. In line with this, a highly sensitive label-free electrochemical aptasensor was devised by Hassani et al. to detect DZN. By making use of the SPGE which had been modified via thiolated aptamers being immobilized on AuNPs, it was possible to assemble the aptasensor. Nonetheless, the current selective aptasensor, which has been designed by a special method, does not present interferences from other compounds of the real samples [105].

It should be noted that breast cancer significantly leads to mortality among women and constitutes 34% of all cancer cases in women. According to scientific reports, there were more than two million new cases of this cancer in 2018 and roughly 627,000 deaths among women. Thus, it is asserted that there should be a quick diagnosis at the initial stage. Technically, tumor markers contribute considerably to quantitatively recognizing cancer. These are macromolecules in cells, blood, or other biological fluids. By considering their appearance or disparities in their concentrations, it is possible to diagnose both the appearance and the growth of the neoplastic cells. Moreover, by utilizing specific genes, it is typically possible to synthesize breast cancer biomarkers. An illustration of this is Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2). In practice, HER2 is a gene that is accountable for HER2 protein genesis. The HER2 protein is made of three intracellular, transmembrane, and extracellular areas. These work as receptors, contributing to controlling cell growth, repair, and division to a great extent. In this context, the likelihood of survival would greatly increase once highly sensitive detection experiments are employed, ideally, employing those which will result in more rapid signals and lower expenses while being capable of offering prompt diagnoses. In line with this, an electrochemical aptasensor to detect the HER2 protein via SPGE was devised by Ferreira et al. On the one hand, the first principle was fabricated by the SAM attained from the 1-mercapto-6-hexanol (MCH) and thiolated DNA aptamers specific to the HER2 composite; on the other hand, their next principle was a ternary SAM which encompassed 1,6-hexanethiol (HDT) while having the same aptamer. Notably, these mentioned systems were additionally passivated by MCH while being blocked using bovine serum albumin (BSA). The nonspecific association to the surface of the electrode diminished significantly, as exhibited by the ternary SAM architecture, and this was attributed to the HDT antifouling characteristics [106].

Categorized as a metabolic disorder, diabetes stands as a major health issue worldwide. Issues associated with insulin supply and production in the human body are responsible for diabetes. Insulin is a hormone containing a double-chain polypeptide. To determine insulin levels, an electrochemical method was recommended by Amouzadeh’s group, which is based on an aptasensor at femtomolar (fM) concentrations, in which the surface of the SPE is modified by means of the ordered mesoporous carbon, which underwent chemical modification by 1,3,6,8-pyrenetetrasulfonate (TPS) (Figure 6). In this way, with the use of the reactive sulfonyl chloride groups, the aptamer functionalized with amino groups was covalently bonded to the TPS. Afterward, the MB was intercalated with the immobilized
aptamer, which was considered in this context as the redox probe. It was possible for the modified MB to relate to the insulin, resulting in the MB release while minimizing the signal attained by the DPV [107].

Figure 6. Schematic of aptasensor construction. Inset: responses of CSPE/OMC-TPS/aptamer-MB without insulin (a) and with insulin (b) (adapted and reprinted with permission from [107]).

Table 2. SPE aptasensors for (bio)compound detection in some samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apt/AuNPs/SPCE</td>
<td>High affinity between FB1 and its aptamer by a small association constant (Kₐ), calculated by the Langmuir adsorption isotherm</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)₆³⁻/₄⁻)/CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.01–50 ng/mL LOD: 3.4 pg/mL</td>
<td>FB1/Corn</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-MPBA/Au NFs/SPCE</td>
<td>Label-free and quantitative HbA1c electrochemical bioanalysis based on the catalytic property of HbA1c</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 5–1000 µg/mL LOD: N/A</td>
<td>HbA1c/Serum</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CcR/SAM-GNP/PPy/SPCE</td>
<td>Covalent coupling of CcR with SAM–GNP–PPy onto the SPCE</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1–1600 µM LOD: 60 nM</td>
<td>Nitrite/Hypoxia-induced cardiac cell lines</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanomaterial (C, SWCNT, MWCNT and CNF)/SPE</td>
<td>Noncovalent immobilization of aptamers on the nanomaterial electrodes via π–π stacking interactions between the DNA nucleobases and the surface</td>
<td>SWV/CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.0001–1000 ng/mL LOD: 0.03 pg/mL</td>
<td>HbA1c/Human whole blood</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT-specific peptide/SPE</td>
<td>A portable smartphone-based biosensing platform for TNT detection was developed with impedance monitoring on SPE</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)₆³⁻/₄⁻)</td>
<td>L.R.: N/A LOD: N/A</td>
<td>TNT/N/A</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Construction</td>
<td>Technique and Method</td>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Analytical Characteristics</td>
<td>Analyte/Sample</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTiPNPs–NTV/SPCE</td>
<td>Binding free biotin to CdTiPNPs–NTV and preventing their reaction with the sensor surface (Alb–BT)</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>L.R.: 2–40 nM LOD: 1 nM</td>
<td>Biotin/Multivitamin tablets</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptamer/SPE</td>
<td>Label-free aptasensor based on an SPE-specific adsorption to Cd2+ solution because of the key aptamer’s high affinity for Cd2+</td>
<td>CV/DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1–1000 ng/mL LOD: 0.05 ng/mL</td>
<td>Cadmium (II) ions/River water</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS2 NFs/CM/APTES/SPE</td>
<td>Physical and chemical reactions occurred in every step of the device surface modification to provide a higher binding affinity platform for the probe immobilization, which enhances a large number of immobilizations of biotin-linked aptamers on STVD</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)6 3−/4−)</td>
<td>L.R.: 10 fM to 1 nM LOD: 10 fm</td>
<td>AMI biomarker (troponin I)/Human serum</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrazine-modified aptamer/TTCA monomer/AuNPs/SPCE</td>
<td>Sandwich aptamer detection was accomplished via a specific interaction between aptamers and cTnl</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)6 3−/4−)/CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 1–100 pM LOD: 1 pM</td>
<td>cTnl/Human serum</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptamer/AuNCs-Cys/SPGE</td>
<td>A label-free electrochemical aptasensor for selective CAP detection</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)6 3−/4−)/CV/SWV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.03–60 μM LOD: 4.0 nM</td>
<td>CAP/Human blood serum</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptamer/rGO-PAMAM/Au nano/SPGE</td>
<td>Selective interaction of CYC with W1/rGO-PAMAM-FAD/Au nano/Anti-aptamerCYC and VEGF165 with W2/rGO-PAMAM-Th/Au nano/Anti-aptamerVEGF165</td>
<td>CV/DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 2.5–320.0 pM LOD: 1.0 pM for CYC and 0.7 pM for VEGF165</td>
<td>CYC and VEGF165 tumor markers/Human serum</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/Fe3O4@SiO2/DABCO/SPE</td>
<td>Label-free electrochemical aptasensor for the selective detection of epirubicin based on the specific interaction of aptamers with epirubicin and formation of the epirubicin–aptamer complex</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)6 3−/4−)/CV/LSV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.07 μM to 1.0 μM and 1.0 μM to 21.0 μM LOD: 0.04 μM</td>
<td>Epirubicin/Human blood serum</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOF/FeO4(TMC)/AuNCs/SPE</td>
<td>Antibody-labeled Zr-MOF/FeO4(TMC)/AuNCs as the signal amplification unit and rGO/AFPA/SPE as the sensing platform</td>
<td>ECL/CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 2–18% LOD: 0.072%</td>
<td>HbA1c/Human whole blood</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA-SWCNT/SPCE</td>
<td>Competitive interaction with the TBA to thrombin and SWCNT is a key role in this sensor system, which is applicable to label-free faradic impedance detection</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)6 3−/4−)</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.0001–1.0 μM LOD: 0.02 nM</td>
<td>Thrombin</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemin-aptamer/PEG-Au/SPE</td>
<td>Thrombin binding to the aptamer and formation of the DNAzyme—the G4 structure with intercalated hemin—underwent direct electron transfer (ET)</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.5–100 fM LOD: 0.5 fM</td>
<td>Thrombin</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptamer/CNFs-AuNPs/SPCE</td>
<td>After the incubation of SARS-CoV-2-RBD (64 nM) with the immobilized aptamer, the Rct increased due to the mass transfer limiting of Fe (CN)6 3−/4− to the electrode surface that is caused by SARS-CoV-2-RBD (~35 kDa) as a large molecule</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)6 3−/4−)</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.01–64 nM LOD: 7.0 pM</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2-RBD/Human saliva samples</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aptamer-SWCNT-SPEs</td>
<td>Binding-induced folding of the DNA aptamer in the presence of the target S1 protein leads to a concentration-dependent suppression in the registered amperometric signal</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 20–100 nM LOD: 7 nM</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 spike protein S1 subunit/Other proteins</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZN-thiolated aptamer-Au NP-SPGE</td>
<td>Label-free electrochemical nano-aptasensor as portable devices would be a promising approach in the fast and precise detection of DZN</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)$_6^{3-/4-}/CV$</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1–1000 nM LOD: 0.0169 nM</td>
<td>Diazinon/Plasma of male Wistar rat</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM: Aptamer + MCH-SPGEs</td>
<td>Two different aptamer immobilization strategies (SAM and ternary SAM) were demonstrated for the detection of the HER2 protein biomarker in PBS diluted and undiluted serum using SPGEs</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)$_6^{3-/4-}/CV$</td>
<td>L.R.: 1 pg/mL–1000 ng/mL LOD: 0.18 pg/mL</td>
<td>Breast cancer (HER2)/Human serum</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(OH)$_2$ NRs/SPCE</td>
<td>In the presence of SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein, a decrease in Cu(OH)$_2$ NR-associated peak current was observed that can be due to the target–aptamer complex formation and thus the blocking of the electron transfer of Cu(OH)$_2$ NRs</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>L. R.: 0.1 fg/mL–1.2 μg/mL LOD: 0.03 fg/mL</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2/Saliva and VTM samples</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apt-AuNPs/SPE</td>
<td>An amperometric aptasensor with a sandwich-type architecture for the specific detection of CRP through NPs as biorecognition and signaling elements</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 10 pg/mL–1.0 ng/mL LOD: 3.1 pg/mL</td>
<td>CRP/Human serum samples</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT/Au/SPE</td>
<td>Signal switch-based detection was achieved using MB-modified insulin specific aptamer</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>L.R.: 25–150 pM LOD: 18.5 pM</td>
<td>Insulin hormone/Blood samples</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FB1: fumonisin B1; CV: cyclic voltammetry; AuNPs: gold nanoparticles; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; N/A: not available in the study; 4-MPBA: 4-mercaptophenylboronic acid; Au NFs: gold nano-flowers; CeR: cytochrome c reductase; SAM: self-assembled monolayer; GNPs: gold nanoparticles; PPy: polypyrrole; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; DZN: diazinon; SPGE: screen-printed gold electrode; MCH: 1-mercapto-6-hexanol; HDT: 1,6-hexanethiol; OMC-TPS: ordered mesoporous carbon/1,3,6,8-pyrenetetra sulfonic acid; MB: methylene blue; DPV: differential pulse voltamogram; TNT: 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene; CdTiPNPs: cadmium-modified titanium phosphate nanoparticles; SWASV: square-wave anodic stripping voltammetry; CdTiPnPs: cadmium-modified titanium phosphate nanoparticles; NTv: neutralivin; SPCE: screen-printed carbon electrodes; AME: acute myocardial infarction; MoS$_2$ NFs: 3D-flower-like MoS$_2$ nanoflowers; APTES: (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane; CM: complex mixture; STVD: streptavidin; cTnI: cardiac troponin I; TTCA: 5,2′,5′2”-terthiophene-3′-carboxylic acid; CAP: chloramphenicol; AuNCs: gold nanocubes; Cy5: cyanine; CYC: cytochrome c; VEGF165: vascular endothelial growth factor; rGO-PAMAM/Au nano: reduced graphene oxide/gold functionalized with poly(allylamine) dendrimers; Fe$_3$(O$_2$/SiO$_2$/DABCO: magnetic double-charged diazoniacyclo[2,2.2] octane dichloride silica hybrid; Zr-MOF/Fe$_3$O$_4$(TMC)/AuNCs: zirconium metal-organic framework/Fe$_3$O$_4$(trimethyl chitosan)/gold nanocluster; TBA: thrombin binding aptamer; CRP: C-reactive protein; GLU: glutaraldehyde; CNFs-CHIT: carbon nanofiber–chitosan nanocomposite; Cu(OH)$_2$ NRs: copper hydroxide nanorods; VTM: viral transport medium; RBD: receptor-binding domain; CNFs: carbon nanofibers.

3.3. Immunosensors

Before discussing the components and the working principle of an immunosensor, it is necessary to clarify the immunoassay. An immunosensor refers to the mechanism where either the antibody or antibody fragments are employed as the elements to perform molec-
ular recognition for the antigens as specific analytes to create a steady complex. Yalow and Berson were the pioneering scholars introducing the immunoassay principle in 1959 [115], and they succeeded in developing the extensively employed radioimmunoassay, which was designed for assessing the attributes of insulin-binding antibodies in human serum. This was accomplished by using samples attained from patients cured with insulin. Later, a biosensor notion was presented by Clark and Lyons in 1962 for different applications [116].

The main reason these instruments were considered in food, environmental, and clinical analyses is the fact that electrochemical immunosensors incorporate specificity which is highly characteristic of the interactions between the antigen and the antibody, accomplished by higher sensitivity to electrochemical transduction. A considerable quantity of published works has elaborated on electrochemical immunosensors which have been based on the immobilization of the antibodies on an electrode surface, reacting with free antigens in the face of the labeled antigens [117]. Afterward, the interaction between them is pursued by determining the enzyme activity, including determining the specific enzyme substrate that is added. The label-free immunosensors have recently been able to detect the immune interaction between the antibody and antigen. These biosensors demonstrate some significant benefits with regard to the rate and ease of action [118].

Between these evaluations, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or enzyme immunoassay is a favored method. ELISA is extensively applied as a detection device in clinical trials. The major point in this technique is that an indistinct antigen amount is immobilized onto a surface, and then a known antibody amount is added on the surface to bind with the antigen. This antibody is linked to an enzyme, and finally, a substance is appended so that the enzyme can convert to some recognizable signal, which in a chemical substrate consistently creates optical or electrochemical variations. This method, due to its higher specificity and sensitivity in comparison with other immunoassays, is reliable [26].

SPE immunosensors are mostly engaged in on-site/point-of-care detection. SPEs are mechanically strong electrochemical converters with a low cost which allows the miniaturization of sensors and their manufacturing, which is feasible for merging the WEs and REs in the same chip. They are also single-use tools, making them beneficial in immunosensors’ fabrication [119].

In this section, several examples are reported on the use of immunosensors in the analysis of different biocompounds with SPEs (Table 3) [54,120–144].

J. Fei’s group offered a sandwich-type electrochemical immunoassay for *Salmonella gallinarum* and *Salmonella pullorum* based on a synthesized core shell of Fe$_3$O$_4$/SiO$_2$/AuNPs by anchoring AuNPs on Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles with strong bonding forces between AuNPs and –SH. *S. gallinarum* and *S. pullorum* were used as the target bacteria. The AuNPs operated as the intermediary substances for bonding between Fe$_3$O$_4$/SiO$_2$-SH and the antibody and acquired the immunomagnetic nanocomposites (Ab1/AuMNPs). The HRP-labeled antibody versus *S. gallinarum* and *S. pullorum* (HRP-Ab2) was employed as the signal tag. The *S. gallinarum* and *S. pullorum* bacteria in the sample were obtained with Ab1/AuMNPs and separated from the analyte samples using an external magnetic field. The MNP–*Salmonella* complexes were redispersed in a buffer solution and then subjected to HRP-anti *S. gallinarum* and HRP-anti *S. pullorum*. The final sandwich complexes were attached on the surface of the WEs of a four-channel SPCE (4-SPCE) by an external magnetic field (Figure 7). The SPCE and 4-SPCE reproducibility were compared with CV [122].

As a growth-promoting agent, salbutamol (SAL) is a β$_2$-agonist that is typically utilized illegitimately in livestock. This illegitimate application could cause health risks, including cardiac palpitation, tachycardia, and muscle tremors. C.-H. Lin’s group offered highly sensitive label-free impedimetric immunosensors which functioned on the basis of gold nanostructure (AuNS)-deposited SPCE. It is acknowledged that interactions of antibodies and antigens can be directly detected using label-free electrochemical immunosensors lacking fluorescence- or enzyme-labeled secondary antibodies. This eliminates the labeling and reacting methods while resulting in lower costs and time throughout the measurement procedure.
The AuNS was prepared by a two-step template and low electrodeposition in a sea urchin shape had submicrometer-scale pyramidal structures on micrometer-scale particles. AntiSAL monoclonal antibody was immobilized on the 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylamino-propyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride/N-hydroxysuccinimide-activated 3-mercaptoproponic acid-modified AuNS/SPCEs (Figure 8). The label-free immunosensors based on the EIS method are mostly employed in the detection of high-molecular-weight biomarkers, such as DNA and protein, but are scarcely employed to detect low-molecular-weight compounds with several hundreds of g/mol. This is because chemicals with a lower molecular weight cause fewer spatial changes in the electrochemical characteristics of the interface among the electrolyte and antibody immobilized on the electrode surface, which reduces the electrochemical signal and leads to a high LOD. Thus, conductive NSs such as AuNPs with a large surface area are significant for developing label-free EIS-based immunosensors for the detection of low-molecular-weight chemicals [127].

L. Zhao et al. offered a multiplexed, single-channel, label-free amperometric immunosensor, as shown in Figure 9, for the detection of neuron-specific enolase (NSE), squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCCA), carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125), and fragment antigen 21-1 (Cyfra21-1) as tumor markers. In the multiplexed SPCE immunosensor, composites of WEs on the SPCE surface were separately modified for each target, and the related signals were registered using a multi-channel electrochemical workstation [145,146]. Although these types of safety sensors have made significant progress, they have problems: (1) RE and CE contain precious metals such as Au, Pt, and g printed on single-use SPCEs, which led to increased SPCE costs and pollution with precious metals [147]; (2) due to SPCE use with multiple WEs and only one RE, the area of the RE is not much larger than that of WEs and cannot prevent the current detection interference from the CE, leading to poor immunoassay repeatability; (3) the polymeric binder presence in the carbon ink affected the SPCEs’ conductivity, which seriously influenced the immunoassay sensitivity [26]; (4) a costly multi-channel electrochemical workstation is needed, resulting in a restriction of the immunoassay’s vast utilization. If, by designing SPCEs with a new structure and attaching highly conductive material on WEs, these problems can be overcome, this will widely increase the possible utilizations of this immunosensor type. To improve this case, a new SPCE type with several WEs and one signal output channel but without CEs and REs was constructed. The multifold WEs are able to be separately modified for each analyte of interest, allowing the advanced SPCE to be employed in the multifold label-free immunosensor fabrication. The CEs and REs including expensive metals (Au, Ag, and Pt) were independent of single-use SPCE, which lowers the costs and eliminates the metal contamination of the SPCE. With a platinum network as CE, it was confirmed that the CE area was larger than the WE area and thus enhanced the reproducibility of the SPCE. In addition, a three-dimensional (3D) network hydrogel production method was used on the WE to increase the conductivity of the SPCE [131].

**Table 3.** Immunosensors for (bio) compound detection in some samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti mAβ/AuNPs/DEP</td>
<td>Label-free impedimetric amyloid beta immunosensor on carbon DEP chip</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻)</td>
<td>L.R.: 1–200 µM LOD: 0.57 nM</td>
<td>Amyloid beta peptide/Human serum albumin</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD-STV/anti-H-IgA-BT/anti-tTG IgA/SPCE</td>
<td>A blocking-free one-step immunosensing strategy using eight-channel screen-printed arrays for the detection of anti-transglutaminase IgA antibodies</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 3–40 U/mL LOD: 2.7 U/mL</td>
<td>Anti-tTG IgA antibodies/Human serum</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/Ab/Fe₃O₄/SiO₂/AuNPs/SPCE</td>
<td>A sandwich electrochemical immunoassay method</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 10²–10⁹ CFU/mL LOD: 32 CFU/mL</td>
<td>S. pullorum and S. gallinarum/Food samples (chickens)</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Construction</td>
<td>Technique and Method</td>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Analytical Characteristics</td>
<td>Analyte/Sample</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HSA/EDC + NHS/COOH-P-SPCE</td>
<td>A simple and sensitive electrochemical immunosensor based on carboxyl-enriched porous SPCE for detecting urinary albumin in the range of microalbuminuria</td>
<td>CV/CA</td>
<td>L.R.: 10–300 µg/mL LOD: 9.7 µg/mL</td>
<td>Microalbuminuria/Urine</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA/HRP/Ab2/ CEA/Ab1/EDC + NHS/AuNPs/ rGO/SPEs</td>
<td>A sandwich type immunosensor to mimic the ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) immunosassay</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.5–2000 ng/mL LOD: 0.28 ng/mL</td>
<td>CEA/N/A</td>
<td>[124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPY/CEA/Ag-SPE</td>
<td>Combination of the novel PCB-based SPEs comprising Ag tracks with the use of an antibody-like biomimetic material as a sensing element</td>
<td>CV/SWV/EIS (Fe (CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻)</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.05–1.25 pg/mL LOD: N/A</td>
<td>CEA/Urine</td>
<td>[125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab/IG/SPE</td>
<td>A convenient graphene SPE platform for nonenzymatic label-free immunosensors</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻)</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1–1000 ng/L LOD: 52 pg/L</td>
<td>Parathion/Tomato and carrot</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody SAL/EDC + NHS-activated MPA/AuNS/SPCEs</td>
<td>The high roughness and conductivity of AuNS allowed the immunosensor to have more immobilized antibodies and a smaller interface impedance, resulting in a lower LOD than the one using flat AuDEs</td>
<td>EIS (Fe (CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻)</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1 pg/ml–1 µg/mL LOD: 4 fg/mL</td>
<td>SAL/Serum samples</td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab/rGO-TEPA/AuNPs/SPE</td>
<td>A disposable sandwich immunosensor for sensitive electrochemical detection of AFP through the combination of SPEs and paper-based microfluidic channels</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.01–100 ng/mL LOD: 0.005 ng/mL</td>
<td>AFP/Serum samples</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab/AgNPs-rGO/SPE</td>
<td>The sandwich-type immunosensor, which yielded a lower LOD than its nonsandwich counterpart</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.05–0.40 µg/mL LOD: 0.042 µg/mL</td>
<td>CEA/N/A</td>
<td>[128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2 Ag/Ab/SPE</td>
<td>Unmodified SPEs fabricated for HER2 detection antigen using the traditional sandwich ELISA protocol without compromising on the accuracy, precision, or sensitivity of the device</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 5–20 ng/mL and 20–200 ng/mL LOD: 4 ng/mL and 5 ng/mL</td>
<td>HER2/Serum samples of invasive and non invasive breast cancer patients</td>
<td>[129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQ-2° Ab/Anti-1° Ab/L-Cys/Au/SPGE</td>
<td>A dual-working electrode was custom-designed to simultaneously compare the presence and absence of CRP to reduce the analysis time</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.01–150 µg/mL LOD: 1.5 ng/mL</td>
<td>CRP/Serum samples</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Ab/PePD-Au/Pd-SA-AuNP/SPE (2) Ab/PMB-Au/Pd-SA-AuNP/SPE (3) Ab/PPPD-Au/Pd-SA-AuNP/SPE (4) Ab/PTMB-Au/Pd-SA AuNP/SPCE</td>
<td>Multiplexed label-free immunosensor, where one signal output channel could make the immunosensor be realized by a common single-channel electrochemical workstation</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.01–100 ng/mL for SCCA 0.01–100 ng/mL for Cyfra21-1 0.01–200 U/mL for CA125 0.01–200 ng/mL for NSE LOD: 5.5 pg/mL for SCCA 4.8 pg/mL for Cyfra21-1 0.0054 U/mL for CA125 2.3 pg/mL for NSE</td>
<td>SCCA, Cyfra21-1, CA125, NSE/Serum samples</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Construction</td>
<td>Technique and Method</td>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Analytical Characteristics</td>
<td>Analyte/Sample</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) HC/BSA/PRF+1/SPCE</td>
<td>The PRF+1 mimetic peptide used as a recognition biological element was successfully immobilized onto the SPCE surface, and a 15-fold increase in the current intensity was observed when compared to the bare electrode</td>
<td>DPV/EIS (Fe((CN)_6^{3-/-4-}))</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>JIA/Serum samples</td>
<td>[132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) JIA/BSA/PRF+1/SPCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) AbEGFR Cd(II)@LP/MIP/DSP-SPE</td>
<td>Development of electrochemical biosensors based on both MIP and antibodies for sandwich assays in the dual detection of EGFR and VEGF</td>
<td>EIS (Fe((CN)_6^{3-/-4-}))</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.05–50,000 pg/mL for EGFR, 0.01–7000 pg/mL for VEGF, LOD: 0.01 pg/mL for EGFR, 0.005 pg/mL for VEGF</td>
<td>EGFR and VEGF</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) AbVEGF-Cu(II) @LP/MIP/DSP-SPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA/Ab2/NR-Au@Pt/rGO/ E.coli O157:H7/BSA/Ab1/ AuNPs/PANI/SPCE</td>
<td>The anti E. coli O157:H7 monoclonal antibody (Ab1) was automatically adsorbed on the AuNPs/PANI/SPCE platform through amino and AuNPs interaction. NR-Au@Pt/rGO as the nonenzyme signal label can enhance the performance of the immunoassay for the catalytic reduction of H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 8.9 × 10$^{3}$–8.9 × 10$^{9}$ CFU/mL, LOD: 2.84 × 10$^{3}$ CFU/mL</td>
<td>E. coli O157:H7/Pork samples</td>
<td>[134]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl/rGO/ P3ABA/SPCE</td>
<td>The biocompatible P3ABA contains an abundance of carboxylic groups, used as the matrix for the immobilization of enzymes (GOx or ChOx) via amide linkages to increase enzyme loading, to enhance the sensitivity and specificity, and to improve the stability of the modified electrode</td>
<td>CV/EIS (Fe((CN)_6^{3-/-4-}))/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.25–6.00 mM for glucose, 0.25–4.00 mM for cholesterol, LOD: 40.5 μM for cholesterol</td>
<td>Glucose and cholesterol/Serum samples</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-Mab-hCG/ hCG/Mab-FSH/ SWCNTs/SPCE</td>
<td>A sandwich-type immunoassay, where the gold-linked second antibody (Au-Mab-hCG) was used as a label and the signal amplification strategy—using AuNPs as bio-trackers and SWCNT enhanced electron transfer nearly double that of bare SPCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: 10–1000 pg/L, LOD: 5 pg/L</td>
<td>hCG/N/A</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA/anti-PSA/ GO/SPCE</td>
<td>The sensing platform comprises a direct-type immunoassay which involves the selective interaction of PSA with anti-PSA</td>
<td>CV/EIS (Fe((CN)_6^{3-/-4-}))</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.75–100 ng/mL, LOD: 0.27 ng/mL</td>
<td>PSA/Human (male) blood serum sample</td>
<td>[137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/Ab/15 nm AuNPs-SPE</td>
<td>The surface modification of carbon SPES with AuNPs could increase the electron transfer rate between the electrolytic solution and the modified electrode compared with that of bare SPE</td>
<td>CV/DPV/EIS (Fe((CN)_6^{3-/-4-}))</td>
<td>L.R.: 10–10$^6$ CFU/mL, LOD: 13 CFU/ml</td>
<td>MRSA/Pathogenic bacteria</td>
<td>[138]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBs/ anti-rabbit IgG-AP/ anti-SARS-CoV antibody/CB/SPCE</td>
<td>The electrochemical assay was conceived for spike (S) protein or nucleocapsid (N) protein detection using magnetic beads as the support of the immunological chain and the secondary antibody with alkaline phosphatase as the immunological label</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>L.R.: N/A, LOD: 19 ng/mL in buffer solution and 8 ng/mL in untreated saliva, SARS-CoV-2/Saliva and nasopharyngeal swab samples</td>
<td></td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Construction</td>
<td>Technique and Method</td>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Analytical Characteristics</td>
<td>Analyte/Sample</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **AuDdrites/SPCE**  | A flexible and label-free immunosensor chip made with tree-like gold dendrites (AuDdrites) was electrochemically formed by selective desorption of L-cysteine (L-cys) on (111) gold planes | SWV       | L.R.: 0.1–900 ng/mL  
LOD: 0.03 ng/mL | 25(OH)D3/Serum samples | [140] |
| **GFAP/BSA/GFAP Ab/Au@ZIF-8@rGO/SPE** | The concept of the immunosensor is to detect the signal perturbation obtained by measuring the changes in the load transfer resistance of the electrode by using Fe(CN)$_{6}^{3-/4-}$ measurements after binding the protein during incubation | CV/EIS (Fe(CN)$_{6}^{3-/4-}$) | L.R.: 50–10,000 fg/mL  
LOD: 50 fg/mL | GFAP/Urine samples | [141] |
| **AFB1-mAb/MB-OVA-AFB1/CB/SPE** | A user-friendly smartphone-based magneto-immunosensor on CB/SPE modified electrodes for point-of-care detection of aflatoxin B1 | CV/EIS (Fe(CN)$_{6}^{3-/4-}$) | L.R.: N/A  
LOD: 15 pg/ml in buffer solutions and 24 pg/ml in corn samples | Aflatoxin B1/Corn samples | [142] |
| **SI-IgG antibody and S1 protein/AuNP/SPE** | A one-step and specific detection of SARS-CoV-2 virus from unprocessed clinical samples | SWV       | L.R.: 0.1 fg/mL–100 pg/mL  
LOD: 7.62 fg/mL | SARS-CoV-2/ Swab and blood samples | [143] |
| **AbD/CYM/Au@MNPs/SPE** | Modifications were set up to maximize the diffusion of the probe on the electrode surface, therefore amplifying the current decrease occurring after the 25(OH)D$_3$ interaction due to both the steric hindrance and the lipophilic nature of molecule | DPV       | L.R.: 7.4–70 ng/mL  
LOD: 2.4 ng/mL | Vitamin D$_3$ (25-OHD$_3$)/Untreated serum samples | [144] |

DEP: disposable electrochemical printed; Anti mAb/ : N-terminal human monoclonal Aβ antibody; tTG: anti-tissue transglutaminase antibodies; QD-STV: Qdot-streptavidin conjugate; S. pullorum: Salmonella pullorum; S. gallinarum: Salmonella gallinarum; CA: chronocamperometric; HAS: human serum albumin; COOH-P-SPCE: carboxyl porous screen-printed carbon electrode; AuNPs/rGO: gold nanoparticles and reduced graphene oxide; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; N/A: not available in the study; Ig: functionalyzed graphene; SAL: salbutamol; MPS: 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid; rGO-TEPA: reduced graphene oxide-tetraethylene pen-tamine; AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; AgNPs: silver nanoparticles; HER2: Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-2; CRP: C-reactive protein; L-Cys: L-cysteine; Anti-1° Ab: unlabeled capture primary antibody; AQ-2° Ab: anthraquinone-labeled signaling secondary; SCCA: squamous cell carcinoma antigen; Cyfra21-1: fragment antigen 21-1; CA125: carbohydrate antigen 125; NSE: neuron-specific enolase; JIA: juvenile idiopathic arthritis; HC: healthy control; PRF+1: PRF+1 mimetic peptide; EGF: epidermal growth factor receptor; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor; MIP: molecularly imprinted polymer; LP: liposomal; DSP: 3,3′-dithiodipropionic acid (N-hydroxysuccinimide ester); E. coli O157:H7: Escherichia coli O157:H7; AbD: vitamin D3 antibody.
Figure 7. Schematic of the modification process of the electrochemical immunoassay and the detection mechanism: (A) the synthesis process of the Fe$_3$O$_4$/SiO$_2$–SH nanoparticles; (B) the synthesis process of Ab$_1$/AuMNPs; (C) the process of *S. pullorum* and *S. gallinarum* being captured from the samples by Ab$_1$/AuMNPs and the formation of HRP-Ab$_2$/Ag/Ab$_1$/AuMNPs; (D) HRP-Ab$_2$/Ag/Ab$_1$/AuMNPs dropped on AuNPs/4-SPCE, which is the principle of electrochemical detection (adapted and reprinted with permission from [122]).
Figure 8. Schematic processes of the immunosensor construction (adapted and reprinted with permission from [127]).

Figure 9. Schematic illustration of the offered label-free amperometric immunosensor by using the modified SPCE (adapted and reprinted with permission from [131]).
3.4. Enzyme Biosensors

Although there are higher expenses involved in extracting, isolating, and purifying enzymes, they are repeatedly utilized as biological substances to fabricate biosensors. This is because of their fast and clean formation of selective bonds with the substrate. As proteins with polypeptide structures, enzymes catalyze certain chemical reactions in vivo, accelerating the reaction rate. While enzymes were reported to be the first employed in biosensors, they are currently being used widely and extensively. In fact, their specificity has been considered as their main favorable characteristic, enabling their use in biosensor methods. Contrary to chemical catalysts, enzymes yield significantly higher levels of substrate specificity. This occurs due to the restrictions forced onto the substrate molecule by the active site environment, which encompasses factors such as functional groups, stereochemistry, molecular size, polarity, and relative bond energies [1]. The operation of the enzyme biosensors consists of the most selective interaction between the target analyte and the active sites of the enzyme. This results in the formation of a complex that is capable of converting the analyte into one or more products. By appraising the quantity of the obtained product, the analyte could be thus detected. Nevertheless, there is occasionally a need for cofactors or coreagents, which is why they could be likewise used with the aim of presenting the interaction between the enzyme and the analyte [29,148].

G. Hughes et al. scrutinized an SPCE encompassing the electrocatalyst Meldola blue (MB) as the base transducer for a reagentless glutamate biosensor. This was accomplished as the components were deposited sequentially through a layer-by-layer procedure. As such, for encapsulating the enzyme glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH) and the cofactor nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD\(^+\)), the researchers made use of MWCNTs and biopolymer chitosan (CHIT). Poor homogenous dispersion resulted from the slight solubility of the unmodified MWCNTs. Therefore, they were suspended in a solution enclosing CHIT, which is considered to be a natural polysaccharide. This practice originated from crustaceans, revealing brilliant and striking film-forming characteristics, which enhance enzyme stability [149,150]. In opposition to other solvents, MWCNTs’ dispersion in CHIT/HCl yielded the smallest particle size, forming a larger surface area while requiring no functionalization. Such a reagentless device made via the mentioned procedure is advantageous, yielding cost-efficient biosensors. Such biosensors are claimed to be convenient to use because of the fact that there is no need for any additional cofactors to be added to the sample solution [151].

Table 4 briefs the analytical features and the significant characteristics of some of the recent enzyme biosensor-based SPEs which employed the illustrative procedures in biological samples [151–180]. Some examples of these papers are explained below.

The presence of pathogenic bacteria in foods has consistently posed a serious threat to people’s health and the income of food producers. Therefore, it is of great importance to use advanced recognition procedures that can identify these pathogens with high sensitivity and speed. *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) O157:H7 is the most common Shiga toxin-producing variant of *E. coli* in North America that can cause disease with a very low dose: between 10 and 100 cells. The symptoms of this disease consist of bloody diarrhea, intense stomach cramps, vomiting, or even the life-threatening hemolytic uremic syndrome. M. Xu’s group reported an electrochemical biosensor for the fast recognition of *E. coli* O157:H7 using screen-printed interdigitated microelectrodes (SP-IDMEs) which were modified by the combination of bi-functional polydopamine-glucose oxidase (PDA-GOx)-based polymeric nanocomposites (PMNCs) and Prussian blue (PB). First, along with the selfpolymerization of DA (dopamine), the core shell magnetic beads (MBs)-GOx@PDA PMNCs were synthesized. Then, the dispersion of AuNPs was accomplished by biochemical synthesis on the surface of PMNCs to gain greater high-performance adsorption of GOx and antibodies (ABs). The ABs/GOx\(_{ext}/AuNPs/MBs–GOx@PDA PMNCs, as the final product (Figure 10), acted as the carrier to separate target bacteria from food matrices and also as the booster and modifier of the SPE in order to run electrochemical evaluations (Figure 11). The separation of unbending PMNCs was performed using a filtration process, and then, in order to
enable the occurrence of the enzymatic reaction, it was transferred into a glucose solution. The process of filtration assistance was conducted in isolation and with a concentration of free PMNCs; however, the elimination of the bonded PMNCs caused a reduction in the background noise during detection so that the sensitivity of the developed biosensor could be proportionally enhanced [152].

M. Asim Akhtar et al. reported an electrochemical biosensor to glucose analysis with functionalized graphene (f-Gr) that was assembled on the surface of a gold-sputtered SPE. Sputtering of the conducting substances such as gold and carbon on the WE present benefits in increasing the electron transfer and giving a vast spectrum of active sites for extra modifications. In addition, the dispersed AuNPs produced such an environment that the biological activity of biomolecules was maintained during immobilization while it was possible to accelerate the electron transfer between biomolecules and electrode surfaces. The thiolation of hydroxyl and epoxide groups in graphene oxide (GO) was realized by one-pot monothiolation, which was accomplished by hydrobromic acid to reduce GO, followed by the addition of thiourea and hydrolysis by sodium hydroxide to create GO-SH. Owing to the regeneration of the sp² carbon network and the lower electron negativity of sulfur, the electrical conductivity was increased. During immobilization of 1-ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino) propyl) carbodiimide, the carboxylic groups of the Gr backbone increased, which presented further active sites for the distant functional groups in GOx. The increase in the electrochemical performance may be due to the bifunctionality of the Gr backbone and the Au-sputtered morphology. AuNPs were deposited on the SPE interface that imprinted nano-island-like Au structures on the underlying carbon layers. Eventually, Gr functionalized with thiol groups was used in the modification of Au-sputtered SPE for glucose analysis (Figure 12) [153].

In order to design a reagent-free electrochemical NAD⁺-dependent dehydrogenase, J. Pilas et al. presented a facile approach for the modification of SPCE with GO (Figure 13). By modifying the SPEs with the GO in addition to an additional layer of the cellulose acetate, enzymes and cofactors ((NAD⁺) and Fe(CN)₆³⁻) were immobilized. Notably, NAD⁺ has a pivotal role as an oxidizing agent in numerous central metabolic pathways. As such, the cofactor is responsible for the electron transfer of the dehydrogenase-catalyzed reactions. Hence, the mentioned enzymes have recently attracted attention when designing electrochemical biosensors, and the reason is that there is a high degree of availability for NAD⁺-dependent dehydrogenases for diverse analytes.

Generally, research in the construction of reagent-free systems focuses mostly on the advancement of biosensors for single-analyte detection. For environmental, pharmaceutical, and clinical samples, there is greater interest in more simultaneous metabolite detection. In this view, the use of an electrode array for several analytes seems important. Therefore, in this work, a multi-analyte biosensor array for simultaneous and crosstalk-free determination of the metabolites L-lactate, D-lactate, ethanol, and formate was evaluated [154].

Table 4. Enzymatic biosensors for (bio)compound detection in some samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ChOx/SiO₂/AuSPE</td>
<td>ChOx/SiO₂ exhibits the characteristics of the typical Michaelis-Menten kinetic mechanism with the signal saturation upon the addition of high choline concentrations</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.02–0.6 mM LOD: 6 µM</td>
<td>Choline/Baby food samples</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT-CHIT-MB/</td>
<td>A reagentless amperometric glutamate biosensor based on GLDH and NAD⁺ integrated with a disposable SPE</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 7.5–105 µM LOD: 3 µM</td>
<td>Glutamate/Food, serum and clinical samples</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLDH-NAD⁺-CHIT/ SPCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Construction</td>
<td>Technique and Method</td>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Analytical Characteristics</td>
<td>Analyte/Sample</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA-glutaraldehyde-uricase/PPD/SPE</td>
<td>The uricase as an enzyme on an SPE has been integrated onto a mouthguard platform along with anatomically miniaturized instrumentation electronics featuring a potentiostat, microcontroller, and a BLE transceiver</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 100–250 µM LOD: 2.32 µM</td>
<td>SUA/Human saliva samples</td>
<td>[156]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ty-SWCNT-COOH/SPE</td>
<td>The -COOH functionalized SWCNT provides a suitable microenvironment for the immobilization of enzymes retaining the bioactivity of Ty</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 5–180 µM LOD: 0.62 µM</td>
<td>Tyramine/Pickled and smoked fish samples</td>
<td>[157]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx/4-APBA/SPCE</td>
<td>Glucose reacts with oxygen to generate hydrogen peroxide and gluconic acid</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.05–100 mM LOD: 0.86 µM</td>
<td>Glucose/Blood serum, soft drink, sweet tea, and apple juice samples</td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABs/GOxext/AuNPs/MBs-GOx@PDA PMNCs/SPE</td>
<td>The bifunctional PMNCs contain a high load of enzyme and can optimally utilize the binding sites on bacterial cells, which efficiently amplify the signal</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 10^3–10^6 cfu/g LOD: 190 cfu/g</td>
<td>E. coli O157:H7/Foodborne pathogens</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA/ADH/PNRAuNPs/MWCNTs/SPCE</td>
<td>Investigation of changes in conductivity and the electrocatalytic activity of the electrodes upon modifications</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 320.2–1000 µM LOD: 96.1 µM</td>
<td>Ethanol/Commercial alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>[159]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT/FeMe/CS/HROX/BSA/LOX/SPBG</td>
<td>Potentially utilized as a nonintrusive point of care sensor</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 30.4–243.9 µM LOD: 22.6 µM</td>
<td>L-lactate/Embryonic cell culture</td>
<td>[160]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AChE/MWCNTs/DCHP/SPCE</td>
<td>The CV responses were associated with the inhibition of AChE activity based on the amount of the added pesticide</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.05–10^5 µM LOD: 0.05 µM</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos/ Vegetable samples.</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε-FK/FAOx/Ru-complex/SPE</td>
<td>A disposable electrochemical enzyme sensor strip for the measurement of Ga using FAOx, and hexaammineruthenium (III) chloride (Ru complex) as the electron mediator</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.05–10^5 µM LOD: 0.05 µM</td>
<td>GA/Albumin</td>
<td>[162]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx/PBNCs/AgNWs/SPE</td>
<td>The combination of high electrocatalysis of PBNCs and fast conductivity of AgNWs to exhibit the synergic effects in the electrocatalytic detections</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.01–1.3 mM LOD: 5 µM</td>
<td>Glucose/Blood serum sample</td>
<td>[163]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChOx/NiO/SPE-Au</td>
<td>This electrode was assembled with ChOx to develop a first-generation cholesterol biosensor where the enzymatically generated H$_2$O$_2$ was used to sense the cholesterol concentration</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.067–0.6 mM LOD: 20 µM</td>
<td>Cholesterol/N/A</td>
<td>[164]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADH/Ru$_2$O$_2$-GNR/SPCE</td>
<td>This approach allowed increased communication and electron transfer between the electrode surface and redox centers in the ADH</td>
<td>CV/EIS/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 1–1800 µM for ethanol 1 to 1300 µM for NADH LOD: 0.19 µM for ethanol 0.52 µM for NADH</td>
<td>Ethanol and NADH/Commercial alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>[165]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA/ADH/AuNPs/PNRMWCNTs/SPCE for ADH and GA/G/AOx/AuNPs/PNRMWCNTs/SPCE for AOx</td>
<td>The first biosensor based on ADH responds only to ethanol, whereas the second biosensor based on AOx responds to both methanol and ethanol</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 178.5–1000 µM for ethanol 335.9–1000 µM for methanol LOD: 53.5 µM for ethanol 100.8 µM for methanol</td>
<td>Ethanol, methanol and their mixtures/ Commercial alcoholic drink</td>
<td>[166]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOx–Cu-MOF/CS/Pt/SPCE</td>
<td>A LOx-based biosensor to determine lactate in a wide concentration range</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.75 µM–1 mM LOD: 0.75 µM</td>
<td>Lactate/Sweat and saliva</td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr/AuNPs/SPCE</td>
<td>Catechol, phenol, caffeic acid, and tyrosol were analyzed individually, and adequate analytical and kinetic performances were obtained</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 2.5–20 µM LOD: 0.4 nM for catechol and 0.5 µM for phenol</td>
<td>Total phenolic content/Commercial beers</td>
<td>[168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADH/RA/SPCE</td>
<td>RA/SPCE was found to facilitate the electrocatalytic oxidation of NADH by the action of RA as a natural antioxidant mediator</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 23.71–1000 µM LOD: 7.1 µM</td>
<td>Ethanol and NADH/Commercial alcoholic drink</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx/GO-SH/Au/SPE</td>
<td>The enhanced electrochemical performance is originated from sputtered morphology of Au and the bifunctionality of the graphene backbone</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 3–9 mM LOD: 0.3194 mM</td>
<td>Glucose/Various biomolecules such as cholesterol and D-alanine</td>
<td>[153]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA@ChOx/MWCNTox/PB/SPE</td>
<td>Combination of electrocatalytic properties of surfactant-modified PB films and the large high surface-to-volume ratio of CNTs</td>
<td>CV/EIS/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0–400 µM LOD: 11 µM</td>
<td>Cholesterol/Biological matrices</td>
<td>[170]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx/AuNP/PANI/rGO/N2-MWCNTs/SPCE</td>
<td>The electrochemical analysis has been followed at different stages of glucose oxidase coating on modified SPCE using cyclic voltammetry</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 1–10 mM LOD: 64 µM</td>
<td>Glucose/Human blood serum samples</td>
<td>[171]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx/SiO2-ATO/PB/SPE</td>
<td>The used PB pigment is prepared by chemically growing a thin PB layer on the surface of SiO2 particles covered by the thin shell of ATO, which was combined with a Viton® binder system</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1–1 mM LOD: 54.1 µM</td>
<td>Glucose/N/A</td>
<td>[172]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGP/GA/ZnONPs/PiSPE</td>
<td>The PiSPE was modified with less than 5 nm ZnONPs and glutaraldehyde as a linker agent; GGP as a biological recognition element exhibited sufficient catalytic activity towards H2O2 reduction</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: N/A LOD: 84 µM</td>
<td>H2O2/N/A</td>
<td>[173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) DAOx/PVF/GRO/SPCE (2) MAOx/PVF/GRO/SPCE</td>
<td>MAOx/PVF/GRO/SPCE showed higher sensitivity for tyramine determination in comparison with the DAOx/PVF/GRO/SPCE</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.99–120 µM for DAOx 0.99–110 µM for MAOx LOD: 0.41 µM for DAOx 0.61 µM for MAOx</td>
<td>Tyramine/Cheese sample</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB/PBNPs/SPCE</td>
<td>The versatile analysis of different pesticides was carried out by folding and unfolding the filter paper-based structure, without adding any reagents and multiple sample treatment</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 2–100 ppb for paraaxon 100 and 1000 ppb for 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid 10 and 100 ppb for atrazine LOD: 2 ppb for paraaxon 50 ppb for 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid for atrazine 5 ppb for atrazine</td>
<td>Pesticides (paraaxon, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, and atrazine)/Water sample</td>
<td>[175]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA/Enzymes/GO+cofactors/SPCE</td>
<td>NAD⁺ and Fe(CN)₆³⁻ as cofactors for ADH, DIA, FDH, DLDH, and L-lactate dehydrogenase (L-LDH) enzymes</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.25–4 mM for L-lactate, D-lactate and formate 0.05–2 mm for ethanol LOD: N/A</td>
<td>L-lactate, D-lactate, ethanol and formate</td>
<td>[154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uricase/Chi-Gr cry/PB/SPCE</td>
<td>Amperometric detection of UA catalyzed by uricase was based on the change in the cathodic current of PB at a potential of 0.00 V in a flow injection system</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.0025–0.40 mM LOD: 2.5 µM</td>
<td>UA/Human serum samples</td>
<td>[176]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Construction</th>
<th>Technique and Method</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Analytical Characteristics</th>
<th>Analyte/Sample</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e-Lac/CB/SPE</td>
<td>ESD process was exploited for the immobilization of lactase enzyme on CB/SPE</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 2.5–50 μM LOD: 2.0 μM</td>
<td>Phenolic compound/ drinking, surface, and wastewater</td>
<td>[177]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handmade SPE was prepared on oxidized BC substrate and was modified with PBNCs as an electrochemical mediator to facilitate the electron transfer capability and enhance the biosensor sensitivity</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 1–24 mM LOD: 1.31 mM</td>
<td>Lactate/artificial sweat</td>
<td>[178]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOx/PBNcs/SPE-BC</td>
<td>The lactate sensing system features an integrated microfluidic sweat collector fabricated from polydimethylsiloxane</td>
<td>CV/Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.1–100 mM LOD: 0.3 mM</td>
<td>Lactate/Sweat</td>
<td>[179]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/NPs/SPCEs</td>
<td>Acetylthiocholine iodide, serotonin, and β-D-phenolphthalain glucuronide as E gold nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes as NPs</td>
<td>Amperometry</td>
<td>L.R.: 0.18–1.60 μg/L for AB Fubinaca 0.18–2.00 μg/L for AB Pinaca LOD: (0.07–0.10) μg/L for AB Fubinaca (0.08–0.09) μg/L for AB Pinaca</td>
<td>AB-Fubinaca and AB-Pinaca/Water matrixes</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ChOx: choline oxidase; MB-SPCE: Meldola Blue screen-printed carbon electrode; GLDH: enzyme glutamate dehydrogenase; CHIT: chitosan; NAD⁺: co-factor nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; SUA: salivary uric acid; BLE: Bluetooth Low Energy; PPD: polymerized o-phenylenediamine; Ty: tyrosinase; GOx: glucose oxidase; 4-APBA: 4-aminophenylboronic acid; PDA: polydopamine; DA: dopamine; PMNCs: polymeric nanocomposites; PB: Prussian blue; MBs: magnetic beads; PNR: polynuclear red; ADH: alcohol dehydrogenase; GA: glutaraldehyde; CS: chitosan; HRP: horseradish peroxidase; Lox: lactate oxidase; SPBGEs: basal-plane-like screen-printed graphite electrodes; FeMe: ferrocene methanol; DCHP: dicyclohexyl phthalate; AChE: acetylcholinesterase; GA: glycated albumin; FAOx: fructosyl amino acid oxidase; ε-FK: ε-fructosyllysine; PBNcs: Prussian blue nanocubes; AgNWs: silver nanowires; ChOx: cholesterol oxidase; NIO: nanostructured nickel oxide; GNR: graphene nanoribbon; RuO₂: ruthenium dioxide; ADH: alcohol dehydrogenase; AOX: alcohol oxidase; LOx: lactate oxidase; Cu-MOF: copper metallic framework; Pt: platinum coating; Ty: tyrosinase; RA: rosmarinic acid; GO-SH: functionalization of graphene; PANI: polyaniline; PB: Prussian blue; ATO: antimony tin oxide; ZnONPs: zinc oxide nanoparticles; GGP: Guinea grass peroxidase; GRO: graphene oxide; PVF: polyvinylferrocene; DAOx: diamine oxidase; MAOx: monoamine oxidase; CB: carbon black; DIA: diaphorase; FDH: formate dehydrogenase; LLDH: D-lactate dehydrogenase; L-LDH: L-lactate dehydrogenase; UA: uric acid; cry: Cryogel; ESD: electrospray deposition; CB: carbon black; BC: bacterial cellulose; PBNCs: Prussian blue nanocubes; MgOC: MgO-templated mesoporous carbon; GMA on the MgOC surface; E: enzyme; N: nanoparticles.

![Figure 10. Schematic depicting ABs/GOx/eext/AuNPs/MBs–GOx@PDA PMNCs synthesis (adapted and reprinted with permission from [152]).](image-url)
Figure 11. Electrochemical biosensor construction for *E. coli* O157:H7 detection (adapted and reprinted with permission from [152]).

Figure 12. Schematic illustration of the GO-SH synthetic pathway to GOx-GO-SH-Au-SPE interface construction for glucose detection (adapted and reprinted with permission from [153]).
widespread use of biosensors, including for food safety, quality control, as well as for potential for them to be integrated into other procedures. There has recently been a growing application of SPEs as, for example, disposable biosensors, which can be employed for analyzing numerous (bio) compounds. Accordingly, to fulfil this goal, various methods have been utilized to immobilize nucleic acids, enzymes, and antibodies onto the surface of SPEs, especially for the detection of human pathogens.

Salmonella, E. coli, L. monocytogenes, and S. Pneumonia were identified in various matrices with SPE-based biosensors. These electrochemical biosensors were depicted as an impressive alternative to the classical procedures for biocompound detection. In this review paper, we present the design of SPE-based biosensors and their applications for the detection of different (bio) compounds. As well, we summarize the novel SPE-based biosensor designs and their applications published in the past eight years. There has been widespread use of biosensors, including for food safety, quality control, as well as for environmental monitoring, and it is anticipated that their use will further expand in the coming years. As mentioned in this review, a variety of sensors for a wide range of analytes including alcohol, H₂O₂, neurotransmitters, glucose, DNA sensors, and immunosensors have been used for on-site detection.

The analytical applications and potential performances were significantly enhanced due to the full integration of new discoveries in nanotechnology with the new biosensors’ advancements. The design of modified SPEs with the newest findings in nanomaterials, including Gr, CNTs, and AuNPs, make them suitable substrates for immobilizing biological

Figure 13. Schematic representation of the multianalyte SPE biosensor (adapted and reprinted with permission from [154]).

4. Conclusions

In this review, we present the most recent developments in the field of SPE-based biosensors. The modern electrochemical methods in combination with SPEs have offered advancements in micro-electronic systems. Therefore, the on-site and real-time analysis of environmental and biological compounds has significantly improved based on the miniaturization of the sensor process. Due to their cost-effectiveness and easy portability, SPEs have attracted worldwide consideration. The simple and mass production of reproducible SPEs provides the opportunity to employ SPE-based sensors as one-shot tools. SPEs’ ability to be modified for the detection of numerous analytes and their cost-effectiveness have made them advantageous. Furthermore, in order to cater to specific applications, it is possible for them to be integrated into other procedures. There has recently been a growing application of SPEs as, for example, disposable biosensors, which can be employed for analyzing numerous (bio) compounds. Accordingly, to fulfill this goal, various methods have been utilized to immobilize nucleic acids, enzymes, and antibodies onto the surface of SPEs, especially for the detection of human pathogens.
transducer elements. Moreover, such developments have contributed to SPEs’ miniaturization by significantly increasing the sensitivity and selectivity of the sensors. This review has focused on the ways in which SPEs were biologically modified with DNA, enzymes, aptamers, and antibodies together with chemical modifications such as noble metals, enzymes, and NPs, as well as polymeric films to further enhance the performance of the biosensors for potential applications in the analysis of biocompounds.
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