Glucose Oxidase Captured into Electropolymerized $p$-Coumaric Acid towards the Development of a Glucose Biosensor
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Abstract: An electrochemical biosensor based on the immobilization of glucose oxidase into an electropolymerized $p$-coumaric acid membrane on a Pt electrode has been developed and evaluated for glucose detection in the range of 1 to 30 mM. The glucose biosensor exhibits a sensitivity of 36.96 mA/mMcm$^2$, a LOD of 0.66 mM, and a LOQ of 2.18 mM. The biosensing membrane was electropolymerized by cyclic voltammetry in 100 mM phosphates pH 7.00 and 3% ethanol containing glucose oxidase and $p$-coumaric acid. The glucose biosensors' stability, repeatability, reproducibility, and selectivity were estimated. The biosensing membrane shows permselective properties and anti-fouling effects. The applicability of the developed glucose biosensor was evaluated in the presence of 20 mg/mL proteins, and any signal associated with biofouling was observed. The glucose biosensors were employed for the determination of the glucose concentration in three commercial beverages.
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1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a disease produced by several factors involving genetic, as well as lifestyle, factors. It can cause body dysfunction, such as renal failure and neuropathy, as well as cardiovascular diseases [1,2]. The International Diabetes Federation (DIF) has estimated that in 2021, around 10.5% of the world’s population lived with diabetes, and by 2045, the population with the disease will be 12.2% [3]. Nowadays, diabetes mellitus cannot be cure with medical treatment; however, it could be controlled, reducing the medical complications. The treatment includes diabetes education, diet, medication, and glucose monitoring [4]. The glucose monitoring provides information to the physician to evaluate human body conditions and provide the proper treatment. Currently, glucose monitoring is achieved by fingertip blood sampling utilizing a self-monitoring blood glucometer or by the use of implantable continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) biosensors. The biomedical devices employ a miniaturized potentiostat and a glucose biosensor. In the biomedical field, the expansion of biosensors is the objective of several investigations; the main goal is the development of biosensors with high sensitivity, selectivity, repeatability, reproducibility, storage stability, and biocompatibility. To improve the current glucose biosensors, the use of different materials as transducers, redox mediators, and immobilization methods had been explored.

For the immobilization of recognition elements, different methods have been used. One of these methods is the use of polymers, which possess the capability of providing stable biosensing membranes that retain macromolecules, maintaining their biological properties [5]. The use of polymers for immobilization includes different techniques, such as deep coating, drop casting, and spin coating. Usually, once the polymer covers the electrode surface, the enzymes or biorecognition elements are immobilized by adsorption onto the polymeric membrane, covalent binding, encapsulation, or entrapment [6–10].
Another polymer formation and deposition technique is the electropolymerization of conductive or non-conductive polymers. Electropolymerization has the advantage of covering electrodes of any shape and size. The thickness of the polymer can be controlled either by the number of cycles at the proper scan rate or by the time of the suitable potential or proper current applied for the electropolymerization. In the case of conductive polymers, such as poly-pyrrole (PPy), poly-aniline (PANI), and poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS), the foundation of conductive membranes helps with the electron movements during the charge transfer in the oxidation or reduction of the analyte at the detection step [9,11–14]. In the case of non-conductive polymers, the polymeric films present high resistivity. The membranes are typically thinner due to the self-limited growth of these materials, compared to conductive polymeric membranes. Usually, the films based on non-conductive polymers are permselective, which is a useful property in the construction of biosensors, preventing interfering species that could contaminate biosensors' response to a specific analyte [15]. The non-conductive polymers phenol and its derivates, as well as phenylenediamine and overoxidized polypyrrole, had been used for the development of biosensors [16–20]; due to their thickness, the analytes reach the electrode surface fast, producing a quick biosensor response, which is a requirement for the batch, as well as implantable biosensors (CGMs) [4,21]. The electropolymerization allows the incorporation of the recognition agent (enzymes) at the same time that the polymer layer is forming; thus, the biosensing membranes can be achieved in one step, maintaining the polymer properties [8,11,17,22,23].

In the development of polymeric membranes for biosensor construction, the evaluation of other monomers that comply with the biosensing requirements needs to be explored. In this context, the electropolymerization of natural phenolic antioxidants is currently being investigated [24–27]. Our research group explores the electropolymerization of 4-hydroxycinnamic acid, commonly known as p-coumaric acid (p-CA), in developing electrochemical sensors onto carbon paste electrodes [26].

The p-CA is a derivate of cinnamic acid, which can be found in fruits, vegetables, and cereals, and thus is regularly present in the human diet. The p-CA possesses anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, and antioxidant properties; hence, it has been used in the pharmaceutical, alimentary, and chemical industries [28–31]. It was found that p-CA can be electropolymerized, its oxidation is pH-dependent, and it can form a polymeric film covering the electrode surface [32]. The p-CA polymer has been used for electrode (glassy carbon and carbon paste) modification for heavy metals determination and the amino acid (L-cysteine) [25,26,33].

Considering the current requirements in the growth of the glucose biosensors field and the advantages of the use of non-conductive polymers, we present the construction and evaluation of glucose biosensors based on a polymeric membrane constructed in one step by electropolymerization through cyclic voltammetry of p-coumaric acid and glucose oxidase (GOx) onto a Pt electrode.

2. Materials and Methods

Mono-basic sodium phosphate, mono-acid potassium phosphate, sodium chloride, glucose oxidase (GOx) from Aspergillus Niger type X-S, protein standard (80 mg/mL), D-glucose, uric acid, acetaminophen, ascorbic acid, p-coumaric acid, bovine serum albumin (BSA), hydrogen peroxide 30%, sulfuric acid, and sodium hydroxide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Absolute ethanol was acquired from J.T. Baker. Real samples (juice and milk samples) were purchased from a local supermarket. All chemicals were analytical grade, and all chemicals, as well as real samples, were used without further purification. Aqueous solutions throughout the experiments were prepared with ultra-pure deionized water (DI) 18.2 MΩ cm\(^{-1}\).

Electrochemical measurements were performed in a potentiostat BAS 100B employing a 3-electrode electrochemical cell. The working electrode was a Pt disk 1.6 mm in diameter,
the used reference electrode was a saturated Ag/AgCl, and a Pt wire was used as an auxiliary electrode.

2.1. Biosensor Membrane Fabrication

Before bio-membrane electropolymerization, the Pt disk was electrochemically cleaned through cyclic voltammetry (CV), and the electrodes were cycled from $-325$ to $1250$ mV vs. Ag/AgCl in $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ 100 mM until a stable and well-defined poly-crystalline Pt signal was obtained. The Pt electroactive area was calculated from the voltammogram signal according to [34,35].

Once the Pt electrode was electrochemically clean, it was washed with DI water. Subsequently, the biosensing membrane was fabricated in a 2.5 mM $p$-CA prepared in 97% phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and 3% of ethanol solution (PB/et) containing GOx (50 U/mL). Cyclic voltammetry was performed from $-325$ to $1250$ mV vs. Ag/AgCl at a scan rate of 20 mV/s during 20 cycles. The following step was to wash the biosensor with DI water to remove the monomer and enzyme that were not attached to the biosensing membrane. To stabilize the biosensor membrane, a constant potential (600 mV vs. Ag/AgCl) for 15 min was applied to the glucose biosensor in PB solution. After biosensor stabilization, glucose calibrations were performed by amperometry at 600 mV, with glucose additions in the range from 1 to 30 mM covering the hypo- and hyperglycemia levels.

2.2. Biosensor Electro-Analytical Evaluation and Characterization

The potential for detecting the oxidation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ produced by the enzymatic reaction was the same used for additions of hydrogen peroxide employing an electrode coated only with a membrane of poly-$p$-coumaric acid electropolymerized under similar conditions to the biosensing membrane lacking the GOx enzyme.

The analytical parameters of the biosensors were obtained from glucose calibrations performed at the constant potential of 600 mV vs. Ag/AgCl. Biosensor sensitivity was obtained from calibrations, glucose additions were carried out in the range from 1 to 30 mM, and the current density was obtained from the current and Pt area for each prepared biosensor. The Pt area for each prepared glucose biosensor was obtained as described by [34,35].

Selectivity was performed for ascorbic acid, uric acid, and acetaminophen at different concentrations, including the low, normal, and high levels of these analytes in blood. The electrochemical response was compared with the biosensor response to 5 mM glucose. The selectivity was calculated as the percentage of the current generated by 5 mM glucose plus the endogenous spice and compared to the glucose response.

The stability of the glucose biosensors was evaluated under four different criteria: (a) stability upon consecutive glucose calibration (stability of the biosensor sensitivity–repeatability), (b) stability of a glucose response for a given concentration during a given time, (c) stability of the biosensor sensitivity at different days, (d) stability of the biosensor membrane for a given storage period.

The glucose biosensors' reproducibility was evaluated by preparing and testing biosensors under the same experimental conditions (independent experiments). The sensitivity of the glucose biosensors is estimated.

Glucose biosensors were calibrated in the presence of proteins at similar conditions in interstitial fluid (20 mg/mL) [36]. The biosensors also were employed to determine the glucose concentration in commercial juices and milk samples.

3. Results and Discussion

Before each biosensor preparation, the Pt disk electrode was electrochemically cleaned by cyclic voltammetry in a 100 mM $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution; a typical voltammogram for Pt in $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ is shown in Figure 1A. The Pt, real surface area, was determined as suggested by [34,35]. After the cleaning process, the electropolymerization of $p$-CA was performed in 2.5 mM $p$-coumaric acid prepared in a PB/et solution. The potential was cycled from
−325 mV to 1250 mV vs. Ag/AgCl, during 20 cycles at a scan rate of 20 mV/s. Afterward, the electrode was thoroughly washed with DI water to remove the unattached monomer. To confirm the presence of the polymeric membrane on the top of the Pt electrode surface, cyclic voltammetry in PB at a scan rate of 50 mV/s (one cycle) was performed; a typical voltammogram for a Pt electrode before and after polymerization in PB solutions is shown in Figure 1B. Employing the same electrochemical system, cyclic voltammetry for H₂O₂ at different concentrations was carried out. The cyclic voltammograms showed that the H₂O₂ oxidation starts to take place at 350 mV, where the current starts to increase and remains constant from 400 mV and up to 900 mV; thus, the H₂O₂ can be detected at 350 mV. However, at this potential, the sensitivity, as well as linear range, is low. An option to extend the sensitivity and linear range is to apply a more positive potential. Under the experimental conditions, a potential from 500 to 800 mV could be applied. Nevertheless, endogenous species can easily be oxidized as the applied potential increases. Considering this, the electro-analytical evaluation of the biosensor was performed at 600 mV vs. Ag/AgCl. Figure 1C shows the voltammograms for different H₂O₂ concentrations. As is observed in Figure 1C, H₂O₂ can diffuse through the polymeric membrane, reach the Pt electrode surface, and be electrochemically oxidized. The glucose electrochemical detection at the biosensor is carried out by the H₂O₂ oxidation at the Pt electrode surface. The hydrogen peroxide is generated by the enzymatic reaction, as is shown in Scheme 1.
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**Figure 1.** (A) Typical cyclic voltammogram for Pt electrode cleaning process in H₂SO₄ (scan rate 100 mV/s). (B) Cyclic voltammogram of Pt electrode in PB before and after p-CA electropolymerization (scan rate 50 mV/s). (C) Voltammograms for different H₂O₂ concentrations, the inset shows a zoom of the mentioned signal (scan rate 50 mV/s).

\[
\beta - D - \text{glucose} \xrightarrow{\text{GOx}} \text{gluconic acid} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2
\]

**Scheme 1.** Enzymatic reaction of glucose by the generation of hydrogen peroxide.

### 3.1. Biosensing Membrane Electropolymerization

The glucose biosensors were prepared by the electropolymerization of p-CA in the presence of GOX (poly(p-CA-GOx)) in PB/et, onto a Pt disk electrode. Under this experimental condition (pH = 7.00), the p-CA molecule (pKa1 = 4.65 and pKa2 = 9.92) [37] is partially protonated. The electropolymerization was performed through cyclic voltammetry. The process starts from cathodic to anodic potential, and the typical electropolymerization results are shown in Figure 2A. As can be observed, at the forward scan in the first cycle, an oxidation signal is detected at 750 mV (I⁺OX). The signal is attributed to the oxidation of the phenolic group of the semi-protonated p-CA molecule, and at the backward scan, a reduction signal around 200 mV (I⁻RED) is observed. The electrochemical signal can be attributed to the reduction of the olefinic group, which is favored by the presence of ethanol and the Pt surface, as is mentioned at [32,38]. At the second and successive scans, the first oxidation
peak disappeared, while the reduction signal ($I_{\text{RED}}$) was preserved. At the second cycle, 2 new oxidation signals appeared around 450 mV ($I'_{\text{OX}}$). The signal is due to the reversible redox process from the olefinic group in the $p$-CA, and 900 mV ($I'_{\text{OX}}$) of the signal is due to the shift to a more positive potential of the oxidation of the phenolic group in the $p$-CA, in which the molecule is partially protonated. The $p$-CA molecule is incorporated in the surface of the electrode to form the polymeric membrane. The phenolic oxidation originates phenolic free radicals that form the dimers, trimers, and tetramers that are coupled to form a structure as crown ether. As mentioned by Janeiro [32], the GOx can be incorporated into the polymeric membrane into the crown structure. The currents for both oxidation signals rise as the number of cycles increases. The behavior found is different from the performance described by [25,26]. In those cases, the first oxidation signal is maintained, with a decrease of the current; at the second cycle, two new oxidation signals were observed at a more negative potential. In those cases, the current increases until it remains constant after several cycles. The difference in the electropolymerization behavior could be due to the employed substrate (Pt electrode) and pH solutions, and the presence of ethanol in the supporting electrolyte (PB/et), but not due to the GOx presence. This is confirmed by the electropolymerization behavior found over the Pt electrode, which was similar with and without enzyme in the employed electropolymerization solution. Figure 2B shows the results described above. The fact of preparing the electropolymerization solution in 3% of ethanol could help with the incorporation of more monomer and GOx units into the biosensing membrane. Figure 2C shows the electropolymerization of $p$-CA in the presence of GOx in phosphate buffer media without ethanol. To verify the presence of the biosensing membrane on the surface of the Pt electrode (Pt/poly($p$-CA-GOx)), cyclic voltammetry in phosphate buffer was recorded. The results in Figure 2D show the voltammogram for the Pt electrode before (bare) and after electropolymerization (Pt/poly($p$-CA-GOx)) of the biosensing membrane.

3.2. Glucose Biosensor Evaluation

After the electropolymerization step, the biosensor was carefully washed with DI water to remove the unattached enzyme and monomer. To stabilize the biosensing membrane, amperometry in PB solution at 600 mV was performed until a stable current was obtained (15 min approximately). Once the current remained constant, the buffer solution was replaced with new solutions, and amperometry was carried out under the same parameters (600 mV vs. Ag/AgCl). Once the current was stable, glucose was added into the electrochemical cell from the range from 1 to 30 mM; the rise in the current is due to electrochemical oxidation of $H_2O_2$ produced by the enzymatic reaction, and it is directly correlated to the glucose concentrations in the testing sample. Figure 3A shows the glucose calibration plot for five successive glucose calibrations at one glucose biosensor exhibiting stability of the sensitivity signal. From the data of the calibration plot, two linear zones can be observed, the first zone at low glucose concentrations from 1 to 5 mM and the second zone for 5 mM to 30 mM, covering most of the clinical range of interest in blood samples (2.2 to 38.9 mM) [39]. In this regard, the biosensors can be utilized for glucose detection in blood or interstitial fluid at normal glucose levels or samples in the hypo- and a wide range of hyperglycemic levels; they likewise could be used in other real samples. As can be observed, the sensitivity of the glucose biosensor remains constant for successive glucose calibrations, showing acceptable repeatability for the same biosensing system. The glucose biosensors sensitivity for concentrations lower than 5 mM exhibit a sensitivity of 54.06 mA/mMcm$^2$ and 33.86 mA/mMcm$^2$ in the linear range from 5 to 30 mM glucose. In both cases, the determination coefficient $R^2$ is 0.99. If the whole tested glucose range is considered, the sensitivity is 36.96 mA/mMcm$^2$ with an $R^2 = 0.986$. The limit of detection (LOD) is 0.66 mM, and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) is 2.18 mM; these were calculated as $LOD = \frac{3\sigma}{m}$ and $LOQ = \frac{10\sigma}{m}$ respectively. In Figure 3B, the current stability for continuous monitoring of two different glucose concentrations is presented. As can be seen, the current remains practically constant for about 10 min (100.21 ± 4.79 mA/cm$^2$).
concentration 1 and 168.51 ± 4.91 mA/cm² glucose concentration 2). The current rises as the glucose concentration increases. The signal stability is one of the most important analytical parameters of implantable biosensing systems. Thus, the biosensing membrane shows potential applications in continuous monitoring electrochemical biosensors, such as CGM’s.

Figure 2. (A) Cyclic voltammograms for the electropolymerization of p-CA and GOx onto a Pt disk electrode in PB/et solution. (B) Typical cyclic voltammogram for p-CA electropolymerization in phosphate buffer in 3% ethanol (PB/et) without GOx. (C) Cyclic voltammogram for p-CA and GOx electropolymerization in phosphate buffer (PB) without ethanol. (D) Cyclic voltammograms in phosphate buffer pH 7.0 for a bare Pt electrode and Pt/poly(p-CA-GOx) glucose biosensor. Electropolymerization experiments (A–C) were carried out at a scan rate of 20 mV/s; the biosensing membrane presence on the Pt electrode was confirmed by cyclic voltammetry in PB at a scan rate of 50 mV/s.

The selectivity of the glucose biosensors was tested for the electrochemical response at 5 mM glucose and 5 mM glucose in the presence of three different electroactive spices commonly present in real samples: ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), and acetaminophen (APAP) at low, middle, and high (pathological) levels of the analytes in human blood. The electrochemical response was compared to the current produced by only 5 mM glucose. The results showed that at the high AA level, the electrochemical response rises to 18% relative to the signal for 5 mM of glucose, which could provide a false glucose reading. For lower and middle ascorbic acid levels, the signal also rises; however, the signal increases just 3 and 7%, respectively, relative to the current for 5 mM of glucose. In the case of UA and
APAP, the results showed similar behavior to AA, where at high UA and APAP, the signal increases significantly to the 5 mM glucose signal. The results are shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 3.** (A) Typical glucose calibration for the developed biosensor \((n = 5)\). (B) Stability for the amperometric signal (600 mV vs. Ag/AgCl) for 2 successive glucose concentrations.

**Figure 4.** Glucose biosensor selectivity. The signal percentage for glucose 5 mM; glucose 5 mM + ascorbic acid at 20, 45, and 83 µM; glucose 5 mM + uric acid at 150, 250, and 400 µM; and glucose 5 mM + acetaminophen 0.140, 1.150, and 1.710 mM.

The reproducibility of the methodology for the glucose biosensors fabrication was tested by preparing and evaluating 10 independent biosensors under similar experimental conditions; the results showed a coefficient of variation (CV) of 5.05% in the sensitivity of the biosensors. Figure 5A shows the average calibration plot for the 10 independent sensors. Figure 5B represents the sensitivity of each of the 10 independent glucose biosensors (for this comparison, the sensitivity was calculated in the entire glucose range).
Figure 4. Glucose biosensor selectivity. The signal percentage for glucose 5 mM; glucose 5 mM + ascorbic acid at 20, 45, and 83 µM; glucose 5 mM + uric acid at 150, 250, and 400 µM; and glucose 5 mM + acetaminophen 0.140, 1.150, and 1.710 mM.

The reproducibility of the methodology for the glucose biosensors fabrication was tested by preparing and evaluating 10 independent biosensors under similar experimental conditions; the results showed a coefficient of variation (CV) of 5.05% in the sensitivity of the biosensors. Figure 5A shows the average calibration plot for the 10 independent sensors. Figure 5B represents the sensitivity of each of the 10 independent glucose biosensors (evaluation of the reproducibility of the methodology).

The stability of the biosensors was tested by performing glucose calibrations every day for 30 days; after carrying out the calibration, the biosensors were washed with DI water and kept dry at room temperature until their use the following day. Figure 6A shows the typical calibration plots every 5 days. It can be seen that in the first 10 days, the sensitivity remains at 95%. During the following 5 days, the sensitivity decreases to 85%, and at the end of 30 days, the sensitivity decreases to 57%; the results are shown in Figure 6B. Considering that there were any special storage conditions and that the average room temperature was 20 ± 2 °C, the lifetime could be improved by maintaining the sensor under a lower temperature.

Figure 5. (A) Average glucose calibration for 10 independent biosensors prepared under similar conditions. (B) Sensitivity for each of the 10 evaluated glucose biosensors (evaluation of the reproducibility of the methodology).

Figure 6. Glucose biosensor stability evaluation. (A) Glucose biosensor calibrations performed on a different day. (B) Sensitivity percentage for glucose biosensors evaluation carried out on a different day; comparison of the sensitivity is relative to the sensitivity on the first day.

Storage stability was tested for the sensitivity of the glucose biosensors at day 1 and at day 30; during this period, the biosensor was kept at room temperature 20 ± 2 °C. Considering the whole glucose range, at day 30, it was observed that the sensitivity...
decreased 28% relative to day 1. However, the major diminution in the sensitivity was at glucose higher than 5 mM, where the sensitivity decreased 67%, while at concentrations lower than 5 mM, the sensitivity decreased only 15% relative to day 1. The results are shown in Figure 7A,B.

Figure 7. Storage stability evaluation. (A) Glucose biosensor calibration for storage evaluation on day 1 and day 30. (B) Sensitivity percentage for glucose biosensor evaluation.

3.3. Glucose Biosensors Applications

The glucose biosensors were used in a solution containing 20 mg/mL of proteins to emulate the conditions that can be found in blood or interstitial fluid. The results in Figure 8A,B show that the sensitivity of the sensor in the presence of proteins in the whole range decreases 12% relative to the sensitivity obtained before biosensor calibration in the presence of proteins. The following step was to calibrate the glucose biosensors in phosphate buffer. The sensitivity increases by 1% compared to the first calibration. Nevertheless, this increment could be only a regular variation between consecutive calibrations. As can be observed from data in Figure 8A, the calibration plot in the presence of proteins starts at a more positive current; this is attributed to the protein solution matrix, although the testing matrix, the sensors, preserve a good glucose sensitivity. By performing the second calibration in a phosphate buffer, where practically the same response as at first calibration was obtained, it is possible to conclude that the biosensing membrane could present an anti-fouling effect, which could be favorable for continuous monitoring, and the biosensors could be utilized in different sorts of testing media.

Glucose biosensors were utilized for glucose determination in beverage samples (semiskimmed flavored milk, flavored soy milk, and pasteurized apple juice) purchased from a local supermarket. It should be mentioned that due to the amount of glucose in each sample, which is higher than the linear range of the biosensor, the glucose determination was carried out by multipoint standard addition, and the matrix effect of these samples was diminished by the phosphate buffer required for the test. The glucose determinations are summarized in Table 1. As can be seen, the glucose determinations provide acceptable results for each of the three samples, making the biosensor suitable for application in these kinds of liquid samples.

The analytical parameters of the glucose biosensors developed in this work were compared with some of the latest electrochemical glucose sensors, which include enzymatic and non-enzymatic sensors [40–46]; the results are summarized in Table 2.
Figure 7. Storage stability evaluation. (A) Glucose biosensor calibration for storage evaluation on day 1 and day 30. (B) Sensitivity percentage for glucose biosensor evaluation.

3.3. Glucose Biosensors Applications
The glucose biosensors were used in a solution containing 20 mg/mL of proteins to emulate the conditions that can be found in blood or interstitial fluid. The results in Figure 8A,B show that the sensitivity of the sensor in the presence of proteins in the whole range decreases 12% relative to the sensitivity obtained before biosensor calibration in the presence of proteins. The following step was to calibrate the glucose biosensors in phosphate buffer. The sensitivity increases by 1% compared to the first calibration. Nevertheless, this increment could be only a regular variation between consecutive calibrations. As can be observed from data in Figure 8A, the calibration plot in the presence of proteins starts at a more positive current; this is attributed to the protein solution matrix, although the testing matrix, the sensors, preserve a good glucose sensitivity. By performing the second calibration in a phosphate buffer, where practically the same response as at first calibration was obtained, it is possible to conclude that the biosensing membrane could present an anti-fouling effect, which could be favorable for continuous monitoring, and the biosensors could be utilized in different sorts of testing media.

Table 1. Glucose determination at three commercial beverages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Total Sugar g/100 mL Concentration (Label) *</th>
<th>Total Sugar g/100 mL Concentration Utilizing This Glucose Biosensor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavored soy milk</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-skimmed flavored milk</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.26 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit juice</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.41 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Information provided by the manufacturer.

Table 2. Comparison of analytical parameters for the latest electrochemical glucose sensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Structure</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Linear Range</th>
<th>Applied Potential</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOx/CTs/GS/PB (GCGP)</td>
<td>sweat</td>
<td>1.79 nA/mMcm²</td>
<td>2.45 µM</td>
<td>8.17–100 µM</td>
<td>0.075 V vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂O—coated CF</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.39 mA/mMcm²</td>
<td>97.08 µM</td>
<td>0–500 µM</td>
<td>0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx—gel—rGO—Au/SPGE</td>
<td>sweat</td>
<td>27.4 µA/mMcm²</td>
<td>53.7 µA/mMcm²</td>
<td>1.25 µM</td>
<td>1.25–850 µM</td>
<td>0.85–7.72 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOxEPC-DenAu/CC (FeOH)</td>
<td>serum</td>
<td>72.45 µA/mMcm²</td>
<td>6.7 µM</td>
<td>0.02–31.7 mM</td>
<td>0.28 V vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPE/Pt/HEC/GOx</td>
<td>sweat</td>
<td>0.1326 µA/mMcm²</td>
<td>0.034 mM</td>
<td>2.97 µM–2.087 mM</td>
<td>−0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx/Pt-HEC/LSG</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.64 µA/mMcm²</td>
<td>0.23 µM</td>
<td>5–3000 µM</td>
<td>0.60 V vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOx-AuNPs-PEDOT/PSS/PB-G</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15 µM</td>
<td>0.5–500 µM</td>
<td>0.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/poly(p-CA-GOs)</td>
<td>Proteins and commercial beverages</td>
<td>54.06 mA/mMcm²</td>
<td>0.66 mM</td>
<td>1.5 mM</td>
<td>5–30 mM</td>
<td>0.60 V vs. Ag/AgCl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be observed, the glucose biosensor developed in this work excels in sensitivity and linearity, besides the potential applications in the biomedical and food industries.

4. Conclusions
This study shows the possibility of using p-coumaric acid to prepare a polymeric biosensing membrane by cyclic voltammetry and, in one step, incorporate recognizing agents, such as enzymes, in the present research, glucose oxidase fabricating a glucose biosensor. The fabricated biosensors show good reproducibility, repeatability, stability, and sensitivity. The glucose biosensor exhibits 2 linear ranges, the first from 1 to 5 mM and the second from 5 to 30 mM, allowing it to be used for glucose detection in biofluids in the hypo- and hyperglycemic ranges and its potential applications in other liquid samples. The biosensors have been used in the presence of proteins, maintaining the biosensor
sensitivity. The biosensors have also been employed in the glucose determinations in other complex matrices (milk and fruit juice), demonstrating that, in the case of proteins, the polymeric membrane presents an anti-biofouling effect. The polymeric membrane allows the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ diffusion to the Pt electrode surface, followed by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ electrochemical oxidation. However, other electroactive species, such as ascorbic acid, uric acid, and acetaminophen, cannot easily reach the electrode surface; thus, the polymeric membrane gives a grade of selectivity to the biosensor towards some electroactive compounds that can be present in real samples. The results show the potential applications of the biosensing membrane for biomedical applications (CGMs) and the food industry. The reproducibility of the methodology for glucose biosensor fabrication of 10 independent sensors prepared under similar conditions showed a coefficient of variation (CV) of 5.05%, making it a promising platform for mass production and for methodology applications with other recognition elements for different analytes.
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