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Abstract: Herein, an eco-friendly palladium-catalyzed tandem reaction for the one-pot synthesis of carbazoles under microwave irradiation is reported. This approach involves an amination and a direct arylation from available and inexpensive anilines and 1,2-dihaloarenes. For the development of this purpose, a novel recoverable palladium nanocatalyst supported on a green biochar under ligand-free conditions is used. Compared with other existing palladium-based protocols, the present synthetic methodology shows a drastic reduction in reaction times and excellent compatibility with different functional groups, allowing to obtain a small library of carbazoles with high yields and regioselectivity. The novel heterogeneous palladium nanocatalyst can be recycled and reused up to five times without significant loss activity.
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1. Introduction

9H-carbazole constitutes a predominant nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compound present in both synthetic and naturally occurring alkaloids. Their planar structure is broadly distributed in natural bioactive products with significant biological properties including antibiotic, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, neuroprotective, and antitumoral [1,2]. In addition, carbazole scaffolds are useful π-extending building blocks for organic materials, such as polymeric light-emitting diodes (PLEDs) and organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs) (Figure 1) [3].

Owing to the relevance of the carbazole framework, it has emerged as an important molecular target in certain areas such as medicinal chemistry, science of materials, and industry. An extensive amount of research has been dedicated to introducing structural modifications to natural occurring carbazoles, as well as the synthesis of brand-new derivatives and finding new and efficient ways to obtain them from readily available, low-cost materials. In this context, direct-arylation of arenes is an outstanding strategy from an economic and environmental point of view in cross-coupling reactions, using stoichiometric quantities of organometallic reactants. Among the aryl halides, the most interesting would be the chlorides, owing to their low costs and wide diversity [4].
Figure 1. Relevance of carbazole motif in active alkaloids and organic materials. OLED, organic light-emitting device.

Manifold synthetic approaches for the construction of the central pyrrole ring have been extensively reported in the last decade. This aim has been achieved by transition-metal catalyzed intramolecular C-H amination of substituted biaryls and intramolecular coupling of N,N-diarylamines through C−C bond formation (Figure 2) [5].

**General approaches**
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**This work**
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Figure 2. Approaches towards the synthesis of carbazole derivatives.

On the other hand, direct synthesis of carbazoles through sequential palladium catalyzed cross-coupling C-N/C-C bond formation has emerged as an attractive synthetic approach in the last decade.

In 2009, Ohno and co-workers reported an interesting N-arylation and oxidative biaryl coupling for the carbazole synthesis from anilines and aryl triflates under homogeneous palladium (II) acetate as catalyst [6].
In 2016, Woo and co-workers reported the one-pot synthesis of carbazoles via Suzuki cross-coupling and consecutive reductive Cadogan cyclization employing ortho-halonitroarenes and arylboronic acids as starting materials [7]. Despite these considerable advances, they require complex precursors, drastic reaction conditions, expensive non-recoverable catalysts, and the presence of phosphine ligands and/or additives.

These drawbacks could be addressed by heterogeneous nanocatalysis based on transition metals, which represent enormous progress in both industry and academic areas, owing to their advantages related to atomic economy, low toxicity, atomic efficiency, and eco-friendliness [8].

In this context, microwave-assisted organic synthesis (MAOS) denotes a mighty and useful tool in heterocyclic chemistry that allows to reduce reaction time and the generation of by-products, leading to an improvement in the overall yields. Therefore, the combination of MAOS and heterogeneous catalysis represents an attractive eco-friendly approach for the synthesis of functionalized carbazoles [9].

Ackerman and co-workers reported an elegant palladium-catalyzed N-arylation and C-H activation, leading to the synthesis of carbazoles from easily attainable anilines and 1,2-dihaloarenes [10]. The cited protocol is limited because of the need for activated anilines, long reaction times, the presence of phosphine as a ligand, and unrecoverable palladium catalysts.

Inspired by these results, we herein propose an alternative, efficient, and straightforward palladium-catalyzed intermolecular C-N bond formation and intramolecular C-H arylation between anilines and 1,2-dihaloarenes, which allows access to carbazole derivatives through a one-pot process. For this purpose, a novel palladium heterogeneous catalyst and microwave irradiation were employed. The nanoparticles of palladium are supported on biochar (henceforth referred to as PdNPs/BC)—the solid residue of the pyrolysis of sunflower seed hulls, a plentiful and regional agricultural waste.

Moreover, through the application of microwave irradiation, the severe reaction conditions used by other known protocols have been improved and reaction times have been shortened from up to 18 h to barely 25 min.

2. Results and Discussion

We began our investigations using aniline 1a and 1,2-dichlorobenzene 2a as starting materials in the presence of a set of palladium-based catalysts, both homogeneous and heterogeneous (Table 1). For this purpose, the reaction conditions proposed by Ackermann were used as a starting point (\([\text{Pd}] (5 \text{ mol\%}), \text{N,N-dimethylpyrrolidine (NMP)}, \text{K}_3\text{PO}_4, 130 ^\circ\text{C}, 18 \text{ h}\) (Table 1)). During control experiments, the tested homogeneous catalysts showed low activity and efficiency in the generation of our desired product. To improve these results, we made use of a powerful and versatile tool to decrease reaction times and limit the generation of by-products; that is, microwave-assisted organic synthesis. No reaction progress was detected when the reaction was performed without catalyst (entry 1). To our satisfaction, the corresponding carbazole 3 was obtained in 19% yield when our novel PdNPs/BC was used under microwave irradiation (entry 7). In contrast, the thermal conditions at both 130 °C and 180 °C for 18 h led to carbazole in low yields (entries 7 and 10). An improvement of the yield (43%) was accomplished when the reaction was subjected at 200 W and 180 °C for 25 min. Among the commercial homogeneous catalysts tested, \(\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2\) and \(\text{PdCl}_2(\text{MeCN})_2\) led to 3 in low yields (entry 2 and 3), whereas \(\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\) and \(\text{Pd}_2(\text{dbau})_2\) showed less or no activity (entry 2 and 4). By increasing the temperature using the homogeneous \(\text{PdCl}_2(\text{MeCN})_2\), no reaction progress was detected (entry 6). Additionally, other nanopalladium heterogeneous catalysts were tested. \(\text{PdNPs/Al}_2\text{O}_3\) led to 3 in low yields in both thermal and microwave conditions at 130 °C and 180 °C, whereas \(\text{PdNPs/PbO}\) were inactive in the same reaction conditions (entries 8 and 9).
The low reactivity of both PdNPs supported on Al₂O₃ and PbO, respectively, by comparison with PdNPs/BC strongly suggests that BC is not an innocent support for the reaction. The reason for BC promoting Pd catalytic features would be related the peculiar surface chemistry of BC, which is a highly functionalized material. Unveiling the exact nature of BC surface and its role in reaction is beyond the scope of the present study, and will be discussed in future studies of our group.

Table 1. Preliminary screening of Pd catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>[Pd]</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal a,c</td>
<td>MW b,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pd₂(dba)₃</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PdCl₂(MeCN)₂</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)₄</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PdCl₂(MeCN)₂</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PdNPs/BC</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PdNPs/PbO</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PdNPs/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PdNPs/BC</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PdNPs/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Standard reaction conditions:** [a] Aniline (1a) 1.2 mmol, 1,2-dichlorobenzene (2a) (1 mmol), K₃PO₄ (3 mmol.). Palladium catalyst supported on biochar (PdNPs/BC) (5 mol%), N,N-dimethylpyrroli-dine (NMP) (10.0 mL), 18 h. [b] Reaction was conducted at 200 W for 25 min. Time reaction monitored by TLC and GC-MS. [c] Isolated yield.

Subsequently, various reaction conditions such as solvents, bases, and PdNPs/BC loading were evaluated (Table 2). Upon decreasing the catalyst load to 2.5 mol%, a low yield of 3 (16%) was obtained (entry 1). Surprisingly, when the reaction was carried out using 15 mol% of the PdNPs/BC, the carbazole was obtained in 76% yield (entry 3). A moderate yield (57%) of carbazole was obtained when an intermediate load of catalyst (10 mol%) was used (entry 2). Next, several polar solvents with elevated boiling points were evaluated. Among the solvents tested, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 180 °C demonstrated the best efficiency (entry 6), whereas N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) showed no significant changes in comparison with N-Me-thyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) (entries 4 and 5). Finally, different bases were examined. The inorganic base KOAc showed the best performance (entry 9), whereas different carbonates and organic bases such as NaOt-Bu did not improve yields (entries 8–11).

Table 2. Optimization of the reaction conditions for the one-pot synthesis of 9H-carbazole. [a]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>PdNPs/BC (mol%)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Yield (%) b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>NMP</td>
<td>K₃PO₄</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 10 NMP K$_3$PO$_4$ 57
3 15 NMP K$_3$PO$_4$ 76
4 15 DMF K$_3$PO$_4$ 71
5 15 DMAc K$_3$PO$_4$ 73
6 15 DMSO K$_3$PO$_4$ 85
7 15 DMSO KOAc 88
8 15 DMSO K$_2$CO$_3$ 84
9 15 DMSO Cs$_2$CO$_3$ 82
10 15 DMSO Na$_2$CO$_3$ 81
11 15 DMSO NaO$_t$-Bu 61

**Reaction conditions:**

$^{[a]}$ Aniline (1a) 1.2 mmol, 1,2-dichlorobenzene (1 mmol), (2a) (2.1 mmol), base (3 mmol.), PdNPs/BC (mol%), solvent (5 mL) at 180 °C under microwave irradiation at 200 W for 25 min. Time reaction monitored by TLC and GC-MS. $^{[b]}$ Isolated yield. DMSO; DMF; DMAc.

Additionally, the effect of irradiation time and power were also evaluated. When the reaction was subjected to 200 W for 25 min, a complete conversion of starting materials was observed, whereas prolonged times led to an increase in the formation of by-products. Performing the reaction at 100 W led to no reaction progress both at reaction times of 25 and 60 min. Irradiation power at 300 W for more than 25 min led to the carbazole in a lower yield. Therefore, the use of irradiation power at 200 W for 25 min was determined as the optimal condition.

Under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 2, entry 9), we carried out the scope and limitations to our methodology by employing different 1,2-dihaloarenes and aniline (1a) (Table 3). When the reaction was carried out using 1,2-dibromobenzene (2c), carbazole 3 was obtained in an excellent yield (90%). Besides, using 1-bromo-2-chlorobenzene (2c) as substrate, the desired product 3 was obtained in 81% yield. As shown in Table 3, the formation of carbazole was not limited while resorting to 1,2-dihaloarenes bearing good leaving groups, such as bromine. In this context, it is important to note that the present methodology enables to obtain carbazole using inexpensive aryl chlorides as electrophilic reagent. In addition, neither formation of homocoupling by-products nor significant differences in term of yields were observed using aryl chlorides instead of aryl bromides.

**Table 3.** Substrate scope for the synthesis of 9H-carbazole. $^{[a]}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Aniline</th>
<th>1,2-Dihalobenzene</th>
<th>9H-Carbazole</th>
<th>Yield (%) $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>ClCl</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>ClBr</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>BrBr</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90 $^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Reaction diagram](image)
Reaction conditions: Aniline (1.2 mmol), ortho-dihalobenzene (1 mmol), PdNPs/BC (15 mol%), KOAc (3 mmol) in DMSO (5 mL) at 180 °C under MW (200 W) for 25 min. \( \text{[b]} \) Isolated yield. \( \text{[c]} \) Homocoupling products were detected (<5%).

Having established the reaction conditions, we studied the scope of the reaction using several anilines and 1,2-dihaloarenes bearing electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups. As shown in Table 4, the present protocol is compatible with a variety of functional groups, including fluorine, nitro, methoxy, alkyl, and methyl ketones. The presence of moderate or stronger electron-donating groups in position 4, such as methyl or methoxy in aniline, afforded products 4 and 6 in excellent yields. In addition, the scope with anilines bearing electron-withdrawing groups, such as fluorine, nitrile, or methyl ketone groups, led to products 5, 8, and 9 in excellent yields (68–75%).

Table 4. Substrate scope for the direct synthesis of functionalized 9H-carbazoles \( \text{[a]} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Reaction Yield</th>
<th>Isolated Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>89% (88%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>79% (77%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>82% (76%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>74% (71%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>87% (80%)</td>
<td>( \text{[c]} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>75% (68%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>79% (77%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>66% (68%)</td>
<td>( \text{[c]} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>86% (79%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>61% (57%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>64% (61%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reagent and conditions: \( \text{[a]} \) Aniline (1) 1.2 mmol, ortho-dihalobenzene (2) (1 mmol), PdNPs/BC (15 mol%), KOAc (3 mmol), DMSO (5 mL), at 180 °C under microwave irradiation (200 W) for 25 min. Isolated yield after purification (in parentheses). \( \text{[b]} \) The reaction was conducted using 1-bromo-2-chlorobenzene.

Moreover, the chemo- and regioselectivity of the process was evaluated using asymmetrical anilines. When the reaction was carried out using 3-methylaniline and 1,2-dichlorobenzene, products 7 and 7a were detected in the isomeric ratio of 1:1 by \( ^1 \)H NMR, which denotes a low control of the regioselectivity. However, an excellent regioselectivity of
product 7 was observed using 1-bromo-2-chlorobenzene (2c) as ortho-dihalobenzene. Considering the regioselectivity observed, we studied the scope using anilines bearing strong electron-withdrawing groups such as 3-nitroaniline. To our satisfaction, using 3-nitroaniline and 2c, product 10 was obtained in good yield (61%). The 1,2-dichlorobenzenes bearing moderate or strong electron-withdrawing groups in position 4 led to products 12 and 13 with an excellent yield and regioselectivity. Additionally, this protocol enabled access to the a-carboline 11 in excellent yields from 2-aminopyridine and 1-bromo-2-chlorobenzene (2c) as starting materials.

Finally, the recyclability of the PdNPs/BC catalyst was studied using the direct synthesis of carbazole 3 as the reaction model. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the nanocatalyst was easily separated from the reaction mixture by filtration and washed several times with EtOAc, and then dried under vacuum to be used directly for further catalytic reactions. As shown in Figure 3, no significant loss of catalytic efficiency was observed up to five cycles.

Figure 3. Recyclability of the PdNPs/BC catalyst in the direct synthesis of carbazole 3.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a practical, eco-friendly, and selective methodology for the synthesis of carbazoles promoted by a catalytic system based on PdNPs supported on green biochar. The novel catalyst could be recycled up to at least five times without significant loss activity. Additionally, the present methodology enabled us to access a variety of carbazoles using inexpensive aryl chlorides as electrophiles and anilines as starting materials. Moreover, the prolonged reaction times were drastically reduced to minutes using microwave irradiation. Furthermore, the present protocol is compatible with different functional groups and does not require the presence of ligands or additives as the traditional methods reported up to date.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. General Information

Unless otherwise noted, reagents were obtained commercially and used without further purification. Solvents were dried and distilled in accordance with standard procedure (ref). Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography on silica gel plates (60F-254) visualized under UV light and/or using 5% phosphomolybdic acid in ethanol. All 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature in CDCl3 or DMSO-d6 on a Bruker Avance ARX-300 spectrophotometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane (TMS) using the residual solvent resonance (CDCl3: 7.26 ppm for 1H NMR, 77.16 ppm for 13C NMR. Multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet; brs = broad signal). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Paragon 1000 FT-IR spectrometer in the ATR mode at
room temp. Melting points were determined using a Büchi 510 apparatus and are not corrected. Mass spectra (EI) were obtained at 70 eV on a Hewlett Packard HP-5890 GC-MS instrument equipped with a HP-5972 selective mass detector. The purity of volatile compounds and the chromatographic analyses (GC) was determined with a GC Shimadzu (GC-14B) with a flame ionization detector equipped with a HP-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) using nitrogen as carrier gas. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on Thermo Fisher LTQ Orbitrap XL (for EI) and a Finnigan MAT 95 (for ESI). Flash column chromatography was performed using Macherey Nagel MN silica gel 60M (0.040–0.063 mm/230–240 mesh ASTM). Microwave reactions were performed using microwave oven CEM Discover in sealed reaction vessels. The palladium loading of the catalyst was determined by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) using Perkin Elmer Analyst 700 equipment. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Philips PW1710 BASED Diffractometer, operating at 45 kV and 30 mA, fitted with a graphite monochromator getting Cu Ka 1 radiation (λ ¼ 0.15406 nm). The samples were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), employing Joel 100 CX2 (Tokyo, Japan) apparatus. Approximately one hundred palladium particles were measured to perform the particle size distribution in order to obtain the mean particle size (d) [11]. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm at 77 K was obtained with a Nova 1200e Quantachrome Instrument. The specific surface areas were measured following the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method.

4.2. Microwave Reactions

All microwave reactions were carried out following the same procedure. Anilines (1.2 mmol), 1,2-dihaloarenes (1 mmol), KOAc (3 mmol), PdNPs/BC (15 mol%), and dry DMSO (5 mL) were added to a vessel tube with a magnetic stirring bar. The resulting mixture was heated at 180 °C under microwave irradiation (200 W) for 25 min. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC and GC-MS. The crude product was treated with EtOAc. The catalyst was recovered by filtration and washed several times with the same solvent. The filtrate was washed twice with a brine and then dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the desired carbazole was purified by column chromatography using silica gel 60 as adsorbent.

9H-Carbazole (3). The title compound was prepared from aniline and 1,2-dichlorobenzene to give a white solid (0.14 g, 0.88 mmol, 88% yield), m.p. 245–246 °C. SiO2 (hexane/EtOAc = 7:3). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [12].

\[\text{1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): } \delta 7.13 (t, J = 7.6 2 H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.6, 2H) 7.48 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.11 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2 H), \delta 11.26 (s, 1 H). \]

\[\text{13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6): } \delta 110.9, 118.5, 120.1, 122.4, 125.5, 139.7. \]

\[\text{IR (KBr) } \nu [\text{cm}^{-1}] = 3392, 3049, 1625, 1449, 1327, 1240. \]

\[\text{HRMS (EI) for C12H9N: calcd. 167.0735; found: 167.0738.} \]

3-methoxy-9H-carbazole (4). The title compound was prepared from 4-methoxyaniline and 1,2-dichlorobenzene to give a white solid (0.15 g, 0.77 mmol, 77% yield), m.p. 139–140 °C. SiO2 (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 10:1). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [13].

\[\text{1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): } \delta 3.93 (s, 3H), 7.05 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4, 1H), 7.19–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.8, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 3.6, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 2.4, 1H), 7.91 (brs, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.0, 1H). \]

\[\text{13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): } \delta 56.2, 103.2, 110.8, 111.4, 115.1, 119.1, 120.3, 123.4, 123.8, 125.9, 134.4, 140.3, 153.9. \]

\[\text{IR (KBr) } \nu [\text{cm}^{-1}] = 3404, 2925, 1612, 1460, 1310, 1255, 1041. \]

\[\text{HRMS (EI) for C13H11NO calcd. 197.0738; found 197.0736.} \]

3-fluoro-9H-carbazole (5). The title compound was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline and 1,2-dichlorobenzene to give a white solid (0.14 g, 0.76 mmol, 76% yield), m.p. 208–209 °C. SiO2 (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 9:1). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [13].

\[\text{1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): } \delta 7.16 (td, J = 9.2, 2.4, 1H), 7.21–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.34 (dd, J = 8.8, 4.2, 1H), 7.40–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.73 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.4, 1H), 8.01–8.03 (m, 2H). \]

\[\text{13C NMR (75 Hz, CDCl3): } \delta 110.9, 118.5, 120.1, 122.4, 125.5, 139.7. \]

\[\text{IR (KBr) } \nu [\text{cm}^{-1}] = 3392, 3049, 1625, 1449, 1327, 1240. \]

\[\text{HRMS (EI) for C12H9N: calcd. 167.0735; found: 167.0738.} \]
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°C. SiO₂ (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 9:1). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [14].

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (s, 3H), 7.17–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 3.6, 2H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.94 (brs, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.6, 1H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.6, 110.4, 110.7, 119.3, 120.4, 120.51, 120.6, 122.0, 123.5, 126.7, 126.8, 129.4, 140.1, 142.5, 198.1. IR (KBr) ν [cm⁻¹] = 3404, 2921, 1459, 1349, 1217. HRMS (El) for C₅H₇NO: calcd. 181.0841; found: 181.0848.

2-methyl-9H-carbazole (6). The title compound was prepared from 4-methylaniline and 1,2-dichlorobenzene to give a white solid (0.13 g, 0.71 mmol, 71% yield), m.p. 169–171 °C. SiO₂ (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 9:1). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [14].

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (s, 3H), 7.17–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 3.6, 2H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.94 (brs, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.6, 1H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.6, 110.4, 110.7, 119.3, 120.4, 120.51, 120.6, 122.0, 123.5, 126.7, 126.8, 129.4, 140.1, 142.5, 198.1. IR (KBr) ν [cm⁻¹] = 3404, 2921, 1459, 1349, 1217. HRMS (El) for C₅H₇NO: calcd. 181.0841; found: 181.0848.

2-Methyl-9H-carbazole (7). The title compound was prepared from 4-methylaniline and 1-bromo-2-chlorobenzene to give a colorless solid (0.14 g, 0.80 mmol, 80% yield), m.p. 245–246 °C. SiO₂ (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 9:1). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [15].

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (s, 3H), 7.17–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 3.6, 2H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.94 (brs, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.6, 1H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.6, 110.4, 110.7, 119.3, 120.4, 120.51, 120.6, 122.0, 123.5, 126.7, 126.8, 129.4, 140.1, 142.5, 198.1. IR (KBr) ν [cm⁻¹] = 3404, 2921, 1459, 1349, 1217. HRMS (El) for C₅H₇NO: calcd. 181.0841; found: 181.0848.

3-carbonitrile-9H-carbazole (8). The title compound was prepared from 4-aminobenzonitrile and 1,2-dichlorobenzene to give a colorless solid (0.13 g, 0.68 mmol, 68% yield), m.p. 188–189 °C. SiO₂ (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 6:4). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [14].

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (s, 3H), 7.17–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 3.6, 2H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.94 (brs, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.6, 1H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.6, 110.4, 110.7, 119.3, 120.4, 120.51, 120.6, 122.0, 123.5, 126.7, 126.8, 129.4, 140.1, 142.5, 198.1. IR (KBr) ν [cm⁻¹] = 3404, 2921, 1459, 1349, 1217. HRMS (El) for C₅H₇NO: calcd. 181.0841; found: 181.0848.

1-(9H-carbazol-3-yl)ethan-1-one (9). The title compound was prepared from 1-(4-amino-phenyl)ethan-1-one and 1,2-dichlorobenzene to give a yellow solid 177–178 °C (0.16 g, 0.77 mmol, 77% yield). SiO₂ (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 7:3). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [16].

1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 7.25 (t, J = 7.6, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.6, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.0, 1H). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (s, 3H), 7.17–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0, 1H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 100.2, 111.6, 112.1, 119.9, 120.1, 121.0, 121.1, 123.2, 123.6, 125.4, 136.1, 139.6, 140.1. IR (KBr) ν [cm⁻¹] = 3397, 3051, 2921, 1652, 1510, 1365, 1244. HRMS (El) for C₁₃H₁₁N: calcd. 181.0814; found: 181.0813.
11.80 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$, 75 MHz): $\delta$ 111.3, 115.0, 115.2, 119.4, 120.4, 121.2, 126.6, 128.4, 138.8, 146.1, 151.9. IR (KBr) $\nu$ [cm$^{-1}$] = 3400, 3048, 1615, 1403, 1328, 1275. HRMS (EI) for calcd. C$_{11}$H$_9$N$_2$: 168.0766; found 168.0769.

**Methyl 9H-carbazole-3-carboxylate (12).** The title compound was prepared from aniline and 4-bromo-3-chlorobenzoate to give a white solid (0.13 g, 0.57 mmol, 57% yield), m.p. 170–172 °C. SiO$_2$ (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 6:4). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [19].

$^1$H NMR (acetone-$d_6$ 300 MHz): $\delta$ 3.92 (s, 3H), 7.25–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.58 (d, $J$ = 8.3, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 8.09 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.82 (s, 1H), 10.9 (br s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz): 51.9, 110.1, 110.9, 120.3, 121.4, 122.9, 123.1, 123.3, 126.5, 127.4, 139.9, 142.3, 167.9. IR (KBr) $\nu$ [cm$^{-1}$] = 3344, 2947, 1710, 1590, 1497, 1327, 1244, 1286, 1119. HRMS (EI) for C$_{14}$H$_{11}$NO$_2$: calcd. 225.0863; found: 225.0865.

**3-Nitro-6-methoxy-9H-carbazole (13).** The title compound was prepared from 3-methoxyaniline and 1,2-dichloro-4-nitrobenzene to give a yellow solid (0.15 g, 0.61 mmol, 61% yield). SiO$_2$ (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 7:3). The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature [19].

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz): $\delta$ 3.93 (s, 3 H), 7.14 (dd, $J$ = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (d, $J$ = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.31 (dd, $J$ = 9.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.96 (d, $J$ = 2.0 Hz, 1 H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz): $\delta$ 56.2, 103.5, 110.5, 112.3, 117.37, 117.6, 121.8, 123.2, 123.9, 135.3, 141.1, 143.4, 155.2. IR (neat) $\nu$ [cm$^{-1}$] = 3420, 2825, 1636, 1483, 1325, 1295, 1171, 1032. HRMS (EI) for C$_{13}$H$_{10}$N$_2$O$_3$: calcd. 241.0613; found: 241.0617.

**4.3. Synthesis and Characterization of the Catalyst**

Palladium catalyst supported on biochar (PdNPs/BC) was prepared following the precipitation-reduction method, employing PdCl$_2$ as the metal precursor and biochar (BC) as the support. BC was obtained as a product of the pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass [20,21].

Firstly, the BC was put in contact with a 10% nitric acid solution at room temperature for 2 h under stirring. The solid was extensively washed with deionized water. Afterwards, approximately 1 g of the sample was put in contact with a HCl aqueous solution of palladium chloride at 80 °C under stirring. A 37% formaldehyde solution and a 30% NaOH solution up to basic pH were added to attain palladium precipitation on support. Afterwards, the resulting solid was filtered and washed exhaustively with deionized water in order to remove the chloride. Finally, the catalyst was dried at 100 °C for 2 h and, just before the reaction, it was calcined in air at 350 °C over 2 h.

A TEM study was carried out in order to determine the palladium particle size. Highly dispersed spherical nanoparticles on BC, with an average particle size of 2.0 nm, were observed.

![Figure 4. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) micrograph of PdNPs/BC.](image-url)
The XRD pattern showed peaks associated with char as well as the ones corresponding to palladium species.

The specific surface area of the PdNPs/BC, as measured by BET methodology, was 65m$^2$/g.
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