"TYC" Reaction between Alkynes and Catechol-Thiol Derivatives Prompted by Metal Nanocatalysis: Mechanism Study by DFT Calculation †
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Abstract: A recently published article by our research group demonstrated that the hydrothiolation of activated alkynes is a successful way to functionalize thiols bearing catechols. The reaction was promoted by the CuNPs/TiO2 nanocatalyst and was regio- and stereoselective towards the anti-Markovnikov Z-vinyl sulfide with good to excellent yields (47–97%). The scope of the reaction was evaluated and, based on experimental observations, the reaction mechanism was investigated through DFT studies. Theoretical results and experimental data were consistent with a reaction mechanism based on a copper-catalyzed anti-Markovnikov hydrothiolation process that favors the formation of the Z-vinyl sulfide.
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1. Introduction

Alkenyl sulfides are recognized constituents of the sulfur-containing organic compounds and they are of great interest because they can be used as versatile building blocks in organic synthesis [1,2]. The alkyne hydrothiolation is a simple approach to produce alkenyl sulfides from thiols and alkynes. This reaction can be promoted by free radicals [3], strong acids [4] or bases [5], and can lead to one of the regio- and stereoisomeric alkenyl sulfides through a Markovnikov orientation (product A, Scheme 1), or give mixtures of E- and Z-isomers through an anti-Markovnikov orientation (products B and C, Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1. Schematic representation of alkyne hydrothiolation.](image-url)

Alternatively, metal catalysts have the potential to offer a high degree of regio and stereoselectivity in alkyne hydrothiolation reactions. In a recent publication [6], we informed the direct synthesis of vinyl sulfides via the Thiol-yne click reaction with activated alkynes (alkynes with electron withdrawing groups) to functionalize thiols bearing catechols. The reaction was promoted by a heterogeneous catalyst composed of copper nanoparticles supported on TiO2 (CuNPs/TiO2) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCE) under heating at 80 °C. This reaction was regio- and stereoselective towards anti-Markovnikov Z-vinyl sulfide in most cases studied. However, when the reaction was carried out with alkynes with an electron donating group, it did not occur. Likewise, when the catalyst based on CuNPs...
was replaced by FeNPs, no vinyl sulfide or low conversions to the product were observed. With the aim to explain these experimental results and obtain a close understanding of the system, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed.

2. Methods

2.1. Computational Methods

Calculations were performed in ORCA version 4.2.1 [7,8]. All geometries were optimized in the gas phase using the PBE density functional theory method [9] with D3BJ dispersion correction [10,11] and the double-ζ def2-SVP basis set for all atoms [12]. For open-shell systems, the unconstrained formalism was adopted. Harmonic frequency calculations were carried out at the same level of theory in order to identify each stationary point. All charges shown are from CHELPG calculations [13].

The electronic energies were then improved through single point calculations with triple-ζ def2-TZVP basis set [12] and solvent effects introduced through the implicit solvation model CPCM [14] for the experimental solvent dichloromethane (DCM) with a dielectric constant of 9.08 as provided in the library of solvents of ORCA 4.2.1.

2.2. Experimental Methods

All moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl. All starting materials were of the best available grade (Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA, USA)) and were used without further purification. Commercially available copper(II) chloride dihydrate and iron(III) chloride hexahydrate were dehydrated upon heating in oven (150 °C, 45 min) prior to use. Column chromatography was performed with Merck silica gel 60 (0.040–0.063 µm, 240–400 mesh) and hexane/EtOAc as eluent. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography on silica gel plates (60F-254) visualized under UV light and/or using FeCl₃ in water as stain. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX-300 spectrometer using CDCl₃ or CD₃OD as solvents.

Experimental details for the reactions and preparation of the copper-nanocatalysts and reagents are reported in our previous work [5], except for the preparation of the FeNPs/TiO₂ catalyst detailed below.

Preparation of FeNPs/TiO₂ catalyst

Anhydrous iron(III) chloride (127 mg, 1 mmol) was added to a suspension of lithium (21 mg, 3 mmol) and 4,4′-di-tert-butylbiphenyl (DTBB, 27 mg, 1 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. This suspension was diluted with THF (3 mL) followed by the addition of the TiO₂ (800 mg). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solid was successively washed with EtOH and diethyl ether and dried under vacuum.

3. Results and Discussion

The alkyne hydrothiolation reaction was tested using propiolamide and 3-((4-mercaptopentylthio)benzene-1,2-diol, as model substrates, in the presence of CuNPs/TiO₂ catalyst, giving a conversion to the vinyl sulfide of 87% and a stereo-selectivity to Z-vinylsulfide (Z/E ratio = 86/14) (Scheme 2).

![Scheme 2. Hydrothiolation reaction with the model substrates.](image-url)
When the nanocatalyst was changed to FeNPs/TiO₂, no product was obtained, recovering the starting substrates. In line with our previous studies [15], we modeled three different structures for the iron nanocatalyst, considering in this case the three possible spin states of the zero-valent iron (Figure 1).

All calculated structures were stable and the formation of all of them occurred exothermically. As can be seen in Figure 1, no significant changes were observed in the optimized geometries of the iron with regard to the copper nanocatalysts. The formation of the copper nanocatalysts was less exothermic than the iron ones. Between the simulated geometries for iron nanocatalysts, the low spin S = 0 (m = 1) state was approximately 35–40 kcal/mol more stable than the high-spin ones (m = 3 and m = 5). Besides, II-Fe and III-Fe geometries, which contained two TiO₂ units, were almost 100 kcal/mol more stable than the I-Fe structure. As the same with copper nanocatalysts, the structure II was also the most stable in the case of the iron nanocatalyst.

In the hydrothiolation of propiolamide with the copper nanocatalyst, we assumed that the reaction could start when the propiolamide was activated by the catalyst, so it was necessary to establish how this ligand attached to the metal. As can be seen from Figure 2, the plane monomer (I-Cu) and the most stable dimer structure (II-Cu) were considered in the formation of the π-complex with propiolamide. The formation of both complexes was thermodynamically favorable, with I-Cu-Amide the most exothermic. In both cases a H-bond interaction was observed between the HN- and one of the oxygen atoms of the TiO₂, with the interaction being stronger in the complex II-Cu-Amide.

Regarding the charge distribution on the π-complex, the charge in the carbons of the triple bond were different. The carbon attached to the C=O became more negative, going from −0.20 in the free amide to −0.32 in I-Cu-Amide and −0.22 in II-Cu-Amide (Figure 2). On the other hand, the terminal carbon became more positive, going from −0.30 in the free
amide to $-0.10$ and $-0.25$ in the complexes, respectively. This charge distribution would favor the nucleophilic attack of the thiol.

Besides the metal, the catalyst support has a non-innocent participation in the mechanism since no vinyl sulfide was obtained when the reaction was carried out only with CuCl$_2$ [5]. Catechol fragments can coordinate with the surface titanium atoms of support, facilitating its encounter with the alkyne [16]. Due to the oxygen atoms of TiO$_2$ support, a significant negative charge in both complexes is developed ($-0.78$ and $-0.83$, Figure 2), and they can act as a base to deprotonate the thiol to thiolate, which would act as a strong nucleophile on the C-sp atom.

When the same reaction was tested with propargylamine (a deactivated alkyne) in the same conditions, no product was obtained. To explain this result, as can be seen from Figure 3, we modeled in the first instance the $\pi$-complexes between the amine and the copper nanocatalysts. As above, the formation of both complexes was thermodynamically favorable, with the complex I-Cu-Amine more exothermic than the II-Cu-Amine. The H-bond interactions were also observed between the $\pi$N- and one of the oxygen atoms of the TiO$_2$ for both complexes, although these interactions were weaker than the same interactions for the amide-complexes (Figure 2). Regarding the charge distribution, it was observed that beyond the terminal Csp of propargylamine became less negative after formation of complexes I-Cu-Amine and II-Cu-Amine ($-0.31$ and $-0.40$, Figure 3); this value was still very negative compared to the amide complexes (Cu-I-Amide and Cu-II-Amide). This fact would hinder the subsequent nucleophilic attack by the activated thiol on these C-sp atoms, and could possibly explain why this reaction did not experimentally take place.

As mentioned above, when the alkyne hydrothiolation was carried out with FeNPs/TiO$_2$ instead of CuNPs/TiO$_2$ nanocatalyst, no vinyl sulfide was obtained. To explain this result, we modeled the complexes between the propiolamide and the iron nanocatalysts, taking as reference the most stable structures that we had already found. As can be seen from Figure 4, formation of both complexes was thermodynamically favorable, with I-Fe-Amide being approximately 15 kcal/mol more favorable than the formation of the same complex with the copper catalyst (I-Cu-Amide). In the case of the II-Fe-Amide complex, it was only 1 kcal/mol more stable than its pair with copper (II-Cu-Amide). With the aim to find an explanation in the reactivity differences between copper and iron nanocatalysts, we proceeded to explore the Fe-Amide complexes in more detail. The structure I-Fe-Amide showed a $90^\circ$ rotation of the nanocatalyst compared to the I-Cu-Amide complex. Moreover, the charge distribution on C-sp atoms was distinctively different from Cu-Amide complexes, because the less negative C-sp atom was the internal one, for both I-Fe-Amide and II-Fe-Amide ($-0.05$ and $-0.01$ respectively). From this charge distribution, considering a nucleophilic attack as the next step in the mechanism, it would not be possible to obtain an anti-Markovnikov product from the Fe/TiO$_2$ nanocatalyst.
E would give rise to the formation of the nucleophilic thiolate. Then, we considered that the next step could be the nucleophilic attack of the thiol on the terminal C-sp atom (π-complex), which became at the rate-determining step. As can be seen from Figure 5, this attack would take place from outside or from inside to the π-complex, giving rise to two different reaction mechanisms, which would lead to the formation of the Z- and/or the E-vinyl sulfide, respectively. In an external nucleophilic attack, the triple bond of the alkyne would be coordinated with the copper atom, while the basic centers of the support (oxygen atoms) would activate the thiol, giving a more nucleophilic thiolate.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4.** Geometries of propiolamide and its π-complexes with iron nanocatalysts. Formation energies, CHELPG atomic charges and some important interatomic distances are indicated (PBE-D3BJ/Def2-TZVP/CPCM = DCM).

Taking into account these considerations, two minimal structures were modeled, a meeting complex (RC-Ext) and the subsequent intermediate (IN-Z). These two structures would occur once the external nucleophilic attack took place and would be the one that finally gives rise to the Z-isomer of the vinyl sulfide (Figure 6). In an internal nucleophilic attack (Figure 6), both the alkyne and the thiol would be activated again by the copper (RC-Int), the basic centers of the support (oxygen atoms) would activate the thiol, and then in the nucleophilic attack, the intermediate (IN-E) would occur to finally give rise to the E-isomer of the vinyl sulfide. The difference between these two mechanisms is that in the external attack, unlike the internal one, the thiol would be deprotonated by a TiO₂ of the support that would not link to the copper atom.

As can be seen in Figure 6, when we modeled both processes, for the external and internal nucleophilic attack on the amide π-complex, we found that both routes were exothermic, favoring the formation of the expected product in Z and E configuration. However, the process that would give rise to the Z-vinyl sulfide took place with an exothermicity of 15.7 kcal/mol, twice the energy that was released for the formation of the intermediate that would give rise to the formation of the E-vinyl sulfide (−8.3 kcal/mol, Figure 6). These results agreed with the experimentally observed stereoselectivity.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5.** Possible side for the nucleophilic attack.
As can be seen in Figure 6, when we modeled both processes, for the external and internal attack, were energetically unfavorable (Figure 7). The step that would lead to the formation of the E-vinyl sulfide was markedly endothermic, requiring a process of more than 20 kcal/mol. We believe that these computationally found results would explain why this reaction did not take place.

When the same analysis was carried out for the nucleophilic attack of the amine π-complex, we found that both processes, internal and external attack, were energetically unfavorable (Figure 7). The step that would lead to the formation of the E-vinyl sulfide was markedly endothermic, requiring a process of more than 20 kcal/mol. We believe that these computationally found results would explain why this reaction did not take place.

**Figure 6.** Potential energy profile for the external nucleophilic attack for the I-Cu-Amide complex (red) and the internal nucleophilic attack for the II-Cu-Amide complex (blue) (PBE-D3BJ/Def2-TZVP/CPCM = DCM).

**Figure 7.** Potential energy profile for the external nucleophilic attack for the I-Cu-Amine complex (red) and the internal nucleophilic attack for the II-Cu-Amine complex (blue) (PBE-D3BJ/Def2-TZVP/CPCM = DCM).

4. Conclusions

We performed a computational modeling of copper and iron nanocatalysts supported on TiO$_2$, with the II-Cu and II-Fe dimer structures the most energetically favored. Furthermore, we studied the activation of both alkynes, propiolamide and propargylamine, with the copper and iron nanocatalysts. In the propiolamide-copper complex, a decrease of the negative charge on the terminal C$_\text{sp}$ atom would favor the subsequent nucleophilic attack of the thiol, and the more negative charge on the propargylamine-copper complex on this atom would hinder the nucleophilic attack. For the propiolamide-iron complex, a more positive charge at the internal carbon of the triple bond could indicate a preference for the Markovnikov attack, but this product was not observed experimentally. In all cases, the oxygen atoms of the TiO$_2$ support develop a significant negative charge and could act as a base to deprotonate the thiol to thiolate, which would act as a strong nucleophile.
The DFT thermochemistry modeling of the rate-determining step of the reaction, i.e., the nucleophilic attack, showed that the calculation methodology was robust and efficient since the results achieved were in agreement with the experimental evidence.

Further mechanistic details are now under study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.D., F.N. and G.R.; methodology, M.C.; writing—original draft preparation, V.D. and M.C.; investigation, writing—review and editing, V.D., F.N., G.R. and M.C.; project administration and funding acquisition, G.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was generously supported by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, PIP No. 11220200101665CO), Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT, PICT-2018-2471) and Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS, PGI 24/Q106) from Argentina.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
