Spatial and Temporal Assessment of Remotely Sensed Land Surface Temperature Variability in Afghanistan during 2000–2021
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Abstract: The dynamics of land surface temperature (LST) in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021 were investigated, and the impact of the factors such as soil moisture, precipitation, and vegetation coverage on LST was assessed. The remotely sensed soil moisture data from Land Data Assimilation System (FLDAS), precipitation data from Climate Hazards Group Infra-Red Precipitation with Station (CHIRPS), and NDVI and LST from Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) were used. The correlations between these data were analyzed using the regression method. The result shows that the LST in Afghanistan has a slightly decreasing but insignificant trend during the study period (R = 0.2, p-value = 0.25), while vegetation coverage, precipitation, and soil moisture had an increasing trend. It was revealed that soil moisture has the highest impact on LST (R = −0.71, p-value = 0.0007), and the soil moisture, precipitation, and vegetation coverage explain almost 80% of spring (R² = 0.73) and summer (R² = 0.76) LST variability in Afghanistan. The LST variability analysis performed separately for Afghanistan’s river subbasins shows that the LST of the Amu Darya subbasin had an upward trend in the study period, while for the Kabul subbasin, the trend was downward.

Keywords: land surface temperature; LST; Afghanistan; remote sensing; FLDAS; CHIRPS; MODIS; multiple regression; standardized anomaly analysis

1. Introduction

The temperature of the land surface is one of the most fundamental parameters of the Earth in geophysical research on both local and global scales [1]. It was recognized as one of the key climate parameters by the World Meteorological Organization [2,3]. Land Surface Temperature (LST) is one of the key variables in the Earth’s energy exchange, and it plays an important role in assessing the surface energy level, being the interface between turbulent heat fluxes and Earth heat fluxes. LST is seriously affected by the effect of intensification of global warming connected to the day-by-day increase in the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Nowadays, a large part of the scientific community focuses on mitigation and adaptation to the effects of global warming, as the associated rise in average air temperatures has a severe impact on the global climate [4,5]. Air temperature changes influence not only LST but also soil moisture and nutrient contents, which, in turn, affect the physiological characteristics, community structure, and population dynamics of
plants [6–8]. Such abnormal climate change resulting from the negative effects of human activities over the past few decades is the main reason for the series of environmental and ecological problems, such as soil degradation, air pollution, surface temperature changes, biodiversity loss, and ecosystem degradation [9–11]. Albedo changes resulting from the intensification of global warming additionally and significantly impact local weather conditions, especially in the case of the snow-covered ground at mid-latitudes and high latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere [12–15].

LST is a very useful variable, which found its application in many different fields of science, including hydrology, climatology, geophysics, and specifically, in the assessment of the surface urban heat island [16,17]. LST is also recognized as complementary to near-surface air and spatial temperature data in nature, thus helping to achieve the sustainable development of climate action goals [18,19]. One of the characteristics of the LST is that it shows very high spatial and temporal variation, mostly because of the heterogeneity of factors influencing its value, such as characteristics of the vegetation cover, precipitation, soil moisture, area topography, and geology [20–23]. Because of this, accurate measurements of LST in larger spatial and temporal scales are more and more desired. LST can be obtained via ground measurements or analysis of remote sensing data based on estimates of the Earth’s energy balance model. Although terrestrial measurements are more accurate than satellite imagery, point observations and the dispersion of meteorological stations are the main limitations of their research and application on regional and global scales [24]. Because of that, obtaining LST on an extensive terrain or global scale was not possible until satellite thermal sensors were developed, yet it was difficult to obtain spatial, temporal, and spectral high-resolution satellite imagery because of the relationships between these resolutions [25]. Nowadays, thermal infrared satellites can be used to detect LST changes at various temporal and spatial scales due to the benefits of their coverage, reproducibility, and low cost needed to download and process the data [26]. The temperature calculated by remote sensing usually corresponds to the radiometric temperature of the surface measured in the direction of the sensor, meaning that the temperature is obtained from the radiative energy balance of the surface [27–29]. LST variability and its long-term dynamics have been assessed in many studies at various scales, both local and global; however, only limited studies investigated the influence of vegetation and meteorological parameters, such as precipitation and soil moisture, on LST [30–33].

Due to a combination of political, geographical, and social factors, Afghanistan is one of the countries in the world most vulnerable to the effects of climate change, and it is ranked 176th out of 181 countries in the ND-GAIN Index 2019 list [34]. Afghanistan is a mountainous country with a dry and continental climate. Mountainous areas are commonly known as fragile and unfounded environments, very sensitive to global climate change, earlier and to a greater extent affected by its effects than lowland areas [20]. Afghanistan’s mountainous regions experience annual LST below zero, while the arid southern regions regularly experience LST above 35 °C [30]. LST increase in Afghanistan can affect various sectors, with agriculture and water resources being the most vulnerable ones. Agriculture is one of the largest and most important sectors of the economy in Afghanistan, with about 85% of the country’s population earnings coming directly and indirectly from agriculture. The vulnerability of the agricultural sector to LST increase is considered high, as it leads to drought, which, in turn, will increase the water pressure on Afghanistan [31]. Despite the existing problems, the Afghan government has made no effort to prepare for the effects of climate change and remedy them. Because of that, the study of spatial and temporal LST variability in Afghanistan and assessment of LST affecting factors has been undertaken to cover the information gaps.

In this study, the dynamics of LST over the whole of Afghanistan and separately for its main river basins were assessed for the period from 2000 to 2021. The main objectives of this research are (a) to investigate the trend of spatial and temporal changes of LST by using MODIS product; and (b) to investigate the relationship between LST and such factors as vegetation, precipitation, and soil moisture by using regression methods. In addi-
tion, this study provides important information for understanding surface–atmospheric energy exchanges.

The text is organized in the following order: the materials and methods section presents the description of the study area (Section 2.1), the sources of the data used (Section 2.2), and the methods of data analysis (Section 2.3). In the results section, the seasonal and annual variations of LST are assessed for the whole region of Afghanistan and taking into account the rivers’ subbasins, annual variations of precipitation, and NDVI are studied, and the correlation of LST with other variables is analyzed using the linear and multiple regression methods. The fourth section is dedicated to discussing the obtained results, whereas the conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Afghanistan is located in the central zone of Asia, approximately between 29 and 38° N and from 61 to 74° E. It has a border with Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan in the north, Iran in the west, Pakistan in the southeast, and China in the northeast. Part of Afghanistan is located in the Hindu Kush region of the Himalayas, with an area of 652,000 km². The altitude of the country is from 230 to 7471 m, and it has a complex topography [35]. Afghanistan is a mountainous country with an arid and semi-arid climate, cold winters, and hot summers. The vast plains of southern Afghanistan experience extreme seasonal temperature changes, with summer temperatures exceeding 33 °C and average temperatures around 10 °C. The average temperature in the highlands of Afghanistan does not exceed 15 °C, and in the winter season (from December to February), the average value is below zero [32,36]. Afghanistan has large areas with scarce precipitation. The peak in the precipitation usually occurs in February and March, mainly over the northern highlands [36]. The precipitation between April and November mostly occurs in the form of snow in the high mountains due to storms of Mediterranean origin.

In Afghanistan, five main river basins can be distinguished: Amu Darya, Northern, Harirod-Murghab, Helmand, and Kabul (Indus) (Figure 1). The Helmand river basin (HRB) is the largest basin in the country, with an area of 327,661 km². The Amu Darya basin (ADB) is the second-largest basin in Afghanistan, with an area of about 90,941 km². The Northern basin (NB) is the only closed basin area in Afghanistan, with an area of about 70,000 km² [37,38]. The Kabul river basin (KRB) is the eastern basin in Afghanistan, which covers approximately 12% of the country’s area (71,266 km²) [38]. The Harirod-Murghab basin (HMB) is located in the western part of the country and covers around 13% of the country (78,060 km²) [38,39].

2.2. Data

In this study, LST-Day variability in Afghanistan was investigated for the period 2000–2021, and the impact of such factors as soil moisture, precipitation, and vegetation coverage on LST was assessed using regression methods. The summary of the sources of the remote sensing data used in this study is provided in Table 1, while the flowchart of data processing is presented in Figure 2. All satellite-born statistics of the surfaces belong to the bright days (hours) only.

2.2.1. Land Surface Temperature (LST) Data

In the study, the MODIS-LST-Day MOD11A2 product with a spatial resolution of 1 km and temporal resolution of 8 days data were used. The data from 2000 to 2021 were downloaded using the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform. The seasonal and annual LST were calculated using the equations:

$$\text{Winter LST} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12} \text{LST}_i}{12},$$  

(1)
Spring LST \(= \frac{\sum_{i=13}^{24} LST_i}{12} \),

Summer LST \(= \frac{\sum_{i=25}^{36} LST_i}{12} \),

Fall LST \(= \frac{\sum_{i=37}^{46} LST_i}{10} \),

Yearly LST \(= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{46} LST_i}{46} \),

where the index \(i\) is numbering images for each year chronologically by dates.

Figure 1. The map of Afghanistan, including an elevation profile.

Table 1. Remote sensing data used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution</th>
<th>Temporal Resolution</th>
<th>File Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MODIS Land Surface Temperature (MOD11A2)</td>
<td>MODIS packages in GEE</td>
<td>1 km</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>Geo tif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (MOD13Q1)</td>
<td>MODIS packages in GEE</td>
<td>250 m</td>
<td>16 days</td>
<td>Geo tif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Climate Hazards Group Infra-Red Precipitation with Station data (CHIRPS)</td>
<td>CHIRPS packages in GEE</td>
<td>0.05° (~5 km)</td>
<td>pentade</td>
<td>Geo tif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Monthly Soil moisture</td>
<td>FLDAS packages in GEE</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>NC file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.2. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) Data

NDVI is one of the most common indicators for measuring crop health in the agricultural sector. In recent years, NDVI has been used by many scientists in various studies such as vegetation classification, land cover changes, vegetation phenology, continental cover mapping, and vegetation dynamics \[40,41\]. This index provides information on green and healthy leaf pigments that strongly reflect infrared radiation, at the same time absorbing a large portion of the visible spectrum \[42\]. NDVI is calculated using the formula

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{R_{\text{NIR}} - R_{\text{RED}}}{R_{\text{NIR}} + R_{\text{RED}}},
\]

where \(R_{\text{RED}}\) is the reflectance in the red visible range (0.670–0.620 µm), and \(R_{\text{NIR}}\) is the infrared reflectance (0.876–0.841 µm). The range of NDVI values is between −1 and 1, with vegetation usually having NDVI in the range of 0.2–0.8, corresponding to the areas with greenness or with plants. NDVI can be divided into eight classes (<0.2, 0.2–0.3, 0.3–0.4, 0.4–0.5, 0.5–0.6, 0.6–0.7, 0.7–0.8, and >0.8). Values lesser than 0.2 indicate areas with no vegetation and are barren, or covered with rocks, snow, water, ice, or urban areas. Values between 0.2 and 0.3 indicate areas with shrubs and pastures, 0.3–0.4 indicate areas with scattered vegetation, 0.5–0.6 indicate areas with moderate vegetation, 0.6–0.7 can be interpreted as areas with dense vegetation, values between 0.7 and 0.8 indicate areas with very dense vegetation, and a value greater than 0.8 is the indicator of the area with extremely dense and green vegetation \[43,44\]. In this study, the NDVI vegetation index was examined by downloading MOD13Q1 products with a spatial resolution of 250 m and a temporal resolution of 16 days for the period 2000–2021 using the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform.

2.2.3. Precipitation Data

Climate Hazards Group Infra-Red Precipitation with Station (CHIRPS) is a product developed by the US Geological Survey Earth Resources Observation and science center in association with the Santa Barbara Climate Risk Group at the University of California.
The data spans from 1981 up to the present and is updated almost in real-time. CHIRPS covers the area from 50° S to 50° N and from 180° E to 180° W, and delivers information on precipitation with a spatial resolution of 0.05° (approximately 5 km) with daily, pentad, and monthly temporal resolution [45]. This product is designed to monitor drought conditions in areas with a complex topography and deep precipitation system [46]. In this research, the CHIRPS data product (precipitation data) was downloaded for the whole Afghanistan area and the period from 2000 to 2021 using the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform.

2.2.4. Soil Moisture Data

The Land Data Assimilation System (FLDAS) satellite has been developed with the help and cooperation of several reputable organizations (NASA, USGS, EROS, GSFC, UCSB) and produces various parameters such as soil moisture, temperature, evapotranspiration, and runoff [47]. FLDAS from version 3.6.1 introduced global monthly products with 1° spatial resolution, which have been available for the period from January 1982 up to the present. In this study, the soil moisture of the topsoil (0–10 cm) product has been downloaded for the period 2000–2021 using the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform.

2.3. Standardized Anomaly Calculation

The standardized anomaly, also known as the Z-score, shows the deviations of the quantity under consideration from the mean. The average standardized anomaly (Z-score) is always 0, and the deviation ranges from −3 to 3 for almost all of the results (in fact, 99.7% of them) [20,22]. The standardized anomaly is calculated using the formula

\[ Z_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij} - U}{\sigma_{ij}}, \]  

where \( Z_{ij} \) is a standardized anomaly, \( i \) stands for the assessed period, and \( j \) represents the time scale. \( X_{ij} \) is an analyzed parameter in a given year (i.e., LST, precipitation, vegetation, or soil moisture), \( U \) represents the mean statistical period, and \( \sigma_{ij} \) indicates the standard deviation. Positive values of the standardized anomaly indicate that the values under consideration are larger than the mean, while negative values of the standardized anomaly indicate that the values are smaller than the mean [8].

2.4. Margin of Error Calculation

The errors were estimated using the margin of error formula, in which the standardized anomaly (Z-score) and standard deviation are used to calculate errors as:

\[ \text{Margin of error} = Z_{ij} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \]  

where \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation, and \( n \) is the sample size.

3. Results

3.1. Seasonal and Annual Variations of LST

3.1.1. Seasonal and Annual Variations of LST over the Whole Afghanistan Area

Figure 3 shows the seasonal variations of Afghanistan’s LST during the study period. The warmest season was summer, whereas the coldest season was winter. In the spring and fall, the LST was moderate. In the winter, 44% of the area (276,824.14 ± 38,814 km²) had an LST lower than 10 °C, and 56% of the area (351,962 ± 82,195 km²) had an LST between 10 and 50 °C. In the spring, 10% of the area (65,965 ± 58,683 km²) had an LST lesser than 10 °C, 79% of the area (522,384 ± 107,829 km²) had an LST between 10 and 50 °C, and 11% of the area (70,361 ± 56,145 km²) had an LST above 50 °C. In the summer, 0.9% (5890 ± 50,921 km²) of the study area had an LST less than 10 °C, 75% (482,343 ± 174,833 km²) of the study area had LST between 10 and 50 °C, and about 24.1% (154,923 ± 68,754 km²) of the study area had an LST higher than 50 °C. In the fall, 8.1% of the area (55,858.96 ± 60,718 km²)
had an LST lower than 10 °C, 87.1% of the area (601,843 ± 154,506 km²) had an LST between 10 and 50 °C, and 4.8% (33,220 ± 63,788 km²) of the area had an LST higher than 50 °C.

![Figure 3](image-url) **Figure 3.** The mean seasonal histograms for the period 2000–2021 showing the areas of Afghanistan with specific values of LST.

In Figure 4, the time series of mean annual LST variations in Afghanistan during the study period are presented. The year 2000 was the warmest, with an LST equal to 32.92 °C (±0.62), followed by 2001, 2004, 2010, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2021 having almost the same temperatures, with LSTs of 28.84 (±0.14), 28.31 (±0.15), 27.96 (±0.23), 28.88 (±0.13), 28.11 (±0.14), 28.43 (±0.13), and 29.05 (±0.11) °C, while 2005, 2009, 2012, and 2019 were the coldest years during the study period, with LSTs equal to 26.39 (±0.56), 27.96 (±0.63), 25.58 (±0.43), and 26.49 (±0.54) °C, respectively. The trend line fitted to the LST data indicates a slow decrease in the LST in Afghanistan (~0.05 °C/year).

![Figure 4](image-url) **Figure 4.** Time series of yearly LST and standardized LST anomaly in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.

In Figure 5, the mean seasonal variations of LST during the study period are presented. In the winter, the highest LST was observed in 2018 (12.91 ± 0.73 °C), while the lowest was in 2008 (4.7 ± 0.79 °C). In the spring, the highest LST values were in 2000 and 2001 (34.37 ± 0.94 and 34.12 ± 0.88 °C, respectively), and the lowest values were observed in
2003, 2005, 2014, 2019, and 2020 (27.87 ± 0.44, 27.68 ± 0.48, 27.27 ± 0.57, 27.54 ± 0.51, 27.60 ± 0.5 °C, respectively). In the summer, the highest LST was in 2000 (43.74 ± 1.04 °C). However, the errors in the LST estimation indicate that it should be treated the same as the values for 2001, 2006, 2008, 2011, 2013, 2016, 2017, and 2021. The lowest summer LST was in 2009 (39.1 ± 1.03 °C), but it is indistinguishable from the values for 2003, 2005, 2010, 2012, 2014, and 2019. In the fall, the highest LSTs were in 2002, 2016, and 2017 (32.97 ± 0.27, 33.03 ± 0.28, 33.05 ± 0.29 °C, respectively) and the lowest in 2000 and 2001 (29.28 ± 0.5, and 29.55 ± 0.4 °C, respectively). The trend lines fitted to the seasonal time series of LST indicate that the LST went up by 0.063 °C/year in the winter and by 0.035 °C/year in the fall, while it decreased by 0.14 °C/year in the spring and 0.03 °C/year in the summer.

Figure 5. Time series of seasonal LST in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.

Figure 6 shows the maps of seasonal mean LST in the period 2000–2021. The highest LST was observed in the summer, and the lowest LST was observed in winter. The HRB was the warmest basin, and ADB was the coldest basin in Afghanistan during the study period.

3.1.2. Seasonal and Annual Variations of LST over the Afghanistan River Basins

Figure 7 shows the mean, minimum, and maximum LST of Afghanistan’s basins during all seasons. In the winter, the maximum LST of 30.14 °C (±4.06) was observed in the HRB, and the minimum LST was equal to −25°C (±2.9) in the ADB. In the spring, the maximum LST of HRB was 51.75 °C (±3.52), and the highest mean LST of HRB was 34.3 °C (±4.01), while the minimum LST was observed in the ADB (−10.5 ± 4.42 °C) and KRB (−4.17 ± 4.27 °C). In the summer, the maximum LST was 58.7°C (±2.06) in the HRB, and 56.8°C (±1.9) in the NB, and the minimum LST was 2.58°C (±6.99) in the ADB. The highest mean LST of HRB was 46.2°C (±2.88). During the fall, the highest mean LST was 32.55 °C (±3.39) in HRB.
Figure 6 shows the maps of seasonal mean LST in the period 2000–2021. The highest LST was observed in the summer, and the lowest LST was observed in winter. The HRB was the warmest basin, and ADB was the coldest basin in Afghanistan during the study period.

Figure 7 shows the mean, minimum, and maximum LST of Afghanistan’s basins during all seasons.

In winter, the maximum LST of 30.14°C (±4.06) was observed in the HRB, and the minimum LST was equal to –25°C (±2.9) in the ADB.

In spring, the maximum LST of HRB was 51.75°C (±3.52), and the highest mean LST of HRB was 34.3°C (±4.01), while the minimum LST was observed in the ADB (–10.5 ± 4.42°C) and KRB (–4.17 ± 4.27°C).

In summer, the maximum LST was 58.7°C (±2.06) in the HRB, and 56.8°C (±1.9) in the NB, and the minimum LST was 2.58°C (±6.99) in the ADB. The highest mean LST of HRB was 46.2°C (±2.88).

During the fall, the highest mean LST was 32.55°C (±3.39) in HRB.

Figure 8 shows the seasonal variations of LST, precipitation, vegetation coverage, and soil moisture in Afghanistan’s basins. In the summer, being the hottest season in Afghanistan, all basins had high LST. The HRB, NB, and HMB were the warmest basins, with the LSTs equal to 46.14 (±2.8), 44.5 (±2.8), and 42.1°C (±2.7), respectively, and ADB and KRB were the coldest basins, with LSTs equal to 31 (±3.0), and 34.9°C (±2.9), respectively.

In spring, HRB and NB had higher LSTs; however, during the fall, they had low LSTs. In the fall, KBR, HMR, and ADB had high LSTs, but in the spring, they had low LSTs.

Winter was the coldest season in Afghanistan, and during this season, the warmest basin was HRB, and the coldest basin was ADB, with LSTs in these basins equal to 14 (±4.0) and –4.25°C (±3.1), respectively.

Precipitation was the highest in Afghanistan in the spring. In this season, ADB and KRD had the highest precipitation sums, equal to 188 (±21.6) and 167 (±20.4) mm, and HRB had the lowest precipitation sum, equal to 72 (±20.5) mm. In the summer in Afghanistan, the lowest precipitation sums were observed, except for KRB (with the lowest precipitation sum during the fall). In this season, the highest precipitation sum was observed in KRB and ADB, with 45 (±12) and 38.7 (±11.2) mm, respectively, whereas the lowest was in HMB, NB, and HRB, with 1.6 (±7.5), 2.3 (±7.8) and 3.4 (±7.6) mm, respectively. Such precipitation sum values were indicators of drought conditions occurring in Afghanistan in the summer.

The highest soil moisture values were observed during spring in ADB, HMB, and NB (0.286 ± 0.009, 0.29 ± 0.0075, and 0.32 ± 0.014 m³ m⁻³, respectively), and the lowest in the spring and fall in the same basins. In ADR and KRB basins, the highest soil moisture value occurred in the summer (0.303 ± 0.009 and 0.301 ± 0.008 m³ m⁻³), and the lowest was recorded in the winter and the fall.

From the analysis of the seasonal vegetation coverage variability, the fall and winter seasons were the least green seasons in Afghanistan, with the HMB and NB having the least vegetation in the fall season and KRB, HRB, and ADB having the least vegetation during the winter season.

Figure 6. The maps of the seasonal mean LST in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.

Figure 7. The maximum, minimum, and mean seasonal LST of Afghanistan’s basins in the period 2000–2021.
Figure 8 shows the seasonal variations of LST, precipitation, vegetation coverage, and soil moisture in Afghanistan’s basins. In the summer, being the hottest season in Afghanistan, all basins had high LST. The HRB, NB, and HMB were the warmest basins, with the LSTs equal to 46.14 (±2.8), 44.5 (±2.8), and 42.1 °C (±2.7), respectively, and ADB and KRB were the coldest basins, with LST’s equal to 31 (±3.0), and 34.9 °C (±2.9), respectively. In spring, HRB and NB had higher LSTs; however, during the fall, they had low LSTs. In the fall, KBR, HMR, and ADB had high LSTs, but in the spring, they had low LSTs. Winter was the coldest season in Afghanistan, and during this season, the warmest basin was HRB, and the coldest basin was ADB, with LSTs in these basins equal to 14 (±4.0) and −4.25 °C (±3.1), respectively. Precipitation was the highest in Afghanistan in the spring. In this season, ADB and KRD had the highest precipitation sums, equal to 188 (±21.6) and 167 (±20.4) mm, and HRB had the lowest precipitation sum, equal to 72 (±20.5) mm. In the summer in Afghanistan, the lowest precipitation sums were observed, except for KRB (with the lowest precipitation sum during the fall). In this season, the highest precipitation sum was observed in KRB and ADB, with 45 (±12) and 38.7 (±11.2) mm, respectively, whereas the lowest was in HMB, NB, and HRB, with 1.6 (±7.5), 2.3 (±7.8) and 3.4 (±7.6) mm, respectively. Such precipitation sum values were indicators of drought conditions occurring in Afghanistan in the summer. The highest soil moisture values were observed during spring in ADB, HMB, and NB (0.286 ± 0.009, 0.29 ± 0.0075, and 0.32 ± 0.014 m³ m⁻³, respectively), and the lowest in the spring and fall in the same basins. In ADR and KRB basins, the highest soil moisture value occurred in the summer (0.303 ± 0.009 and 0.301 ± 0.008 m³ m⁻³), and the lowest was recorded in the winter and the fall. From the analysis of the seasonal vegetation coverage variability, the fall and winter seasons were the least green seasons in Afghanistan, with the HMB and NB having the least vegetation in the fall season and KRB, HRB, and ADB having the least vegetation during the winter season.

3.2. Annual Variations of Precipitation and NDVI

Figure 9 shows the changes in the mean annual precipitation in the study area over 22 years. The trend line indicates that in the study area, the yearly precipitation sum slightly increased with subsequent years. The highest precipitation was observed for 2008, 2009, 2019, and 2020, with sums of 346.6 (±13.04), 346.4 (±13.02), 411.33 (±16.8), and 351.42 (±14) mm, respectively. The lowest precipitation sums were observed for 2000, 2001, and 2021, with sums of 182 (±22), 210 (±16), and 197 (±18.7) mm, respectively. A brief look at the LST and precipitation anomalies (Figures 4 and 9) suggests that they should be negatively correlated, which indicates that the precipitation parameter was one of the factors affecting the LST.

Figure 10 shows the vegetation coverage changes during the analyzed period. The years 2009, 2019, and 2020 were the greenest, with 87,011 (±2576), 98,380 (±4999), and 102,724 (±5926) km² of the area covered by vegetation, respectively. In turn, 2000, 2001, 2008, and 2021 were the least green, with 52,731 (±4732), 46,701 (±6018), 45,422 (±6290), and 74,116 (±6173) km² of the area covered by vegetation, respectively.

3.3. Correlation of LST with Other Variables

In Figure 11, the variations of annual anomalies of LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage are presented. In 2000, 2001, and 2021 the highest LST and the lowest vegetation coverage, precipitation, and soil moisture were observed simultaneously, while in 2012 and 2019, the lowest LST was followed by the highest vegetation coverage, precipitation, and soil moisture.
Figure 8. The seasonal averages of LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage in Afghanistan’s basins in the period 2000–2021.
Figure 8. The seasonal averages of LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage in Afghanistan’s basins in the period 2000–2021.

3.2. Annual Variations of Precipitation and NDVI

Figure 9 shows the changes in the mean annual precipitation in the study area over 22 years. The trend line indicates that in the study area, the yearly precipitation sum slightly increased with subsequent years. The highest precipitation was observed for 2008, 2009, 2019, and 2020, with sums of 346.6 (±13.04), 346.4 (±13.02), 411.33 (±16.8), and 351.42 (±14) mm, respectively. The lowest precipitation sums were observed for 2000, 2001, and 2021, with sums of 182 (±22), 210 (±16), and 197 (±18.7) mm, respectively. A brief look at the LST and precipitation anomalies (Figures 4 and 9) suggests that they should be negatively correlated, which indicates that the precipitation parameter was one of the factors affecting the LST.

Figure 9. Time series of yearly precipitation sums and standardized precipitation anomaly in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.

Figure 10 shows the vegetation coverage changes during the analyzed period. The years 2009, 2019, and 2020 were the greenest, with 87,011 (±2576), 98,380 (±4999), and 102,724 (±5926) km² of the area covered by vegetation, respectively. In turn, 2000, 2001, 2008, and 2021 were the least green, with 52,731 (±4732), 46,701 (±6018), 45,422 (±6290), and 74,116 (±6173) km² of the area covered by vegetation, respectively.

Figure 10. The relationship between time series of yearly vegetation coverage variations and standardized LST anomaly in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.

3.3. Correlation of LST with Other Variables

In Figure 11, the variations of annual anomalies of LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage are presented. In 2000, 2001, and 2021 the highest LST and the lowest vegetation coverage, precipitation, and soil moisture were observed simultaneously, while in 2012 and 2019, the lowest LST was followed by the highest vegetation coverage, precipitation, and soil moisture.

Figure 11. Time series of the standardized annual anomalies of LST, precipitation, vegetation coverage, and soil moisture in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.
Figure 12 shows the spatiotemporal map of Afghanistan’s LST change over the period from 2000 to 2021. The map was created by calculating the linear trend for chronologically ordered values of the LST for each pixel, taking the value of the slope, and then multiplying it by the length of the study period (22 years). The red color on the map, with values greater than 0 °C, indicates that the LST of those areas has increased during the study period, whereas the green color, with values less than 0 °C, indicates that the LST of those areas has decreased in the study period. The yellow color, with values of 0 °C or close to 0 °C, indicates that the LST changed insignificantly in these areas during 2000–2021. It can be concluded that the eastern parts of Afghanistan (mostly the ADB and some areas of the NB) had an upward LST trend, whereas in the southern parts of Afghanistan (KRB and some parts of HRB) downward LST trend occurred in the study period.

![Figure 12. The map of temporal LST changes in Afghanistan in the study period.](image-url)

In Table 2 and Figure 13, the relationships between LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage obtained employing the linear regression are presented. They were obtained for annual country-wide means. It can be concluded that the relationships between LST and soil moisture and precipitation were significant, high, and negative ($R = -0.79$, and $R = -0.635$, respectively), which means that the increase in soil moisture or precipitation caused a decrease in LST. LST was also anticorrelated with vegetation coverage ($R = -0.337$); however, this relation was statistically insignificant. Soil moisture had the highest impact on LST variability, and vegetation coverage had the lowest impact on LST changes.

**Table 2.** The correlation ($R$) and determination ($R^2$) coefficients and $p$-value for relationships between LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021 calculated using the linear regression method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$p$-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LST-precipitation</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>$-0.658$*</td>
<td>0.000867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST-soil moisture</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>$-0.709$*</td>
<td>0.000216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST-NDVI coverage</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>$-0.339$</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* denotes that the correlation was significant ($p$-value = 0.05).
Figure 13. The scatter plots of relationships between annual country-wide means of LST and soil moisture (upper panel), vegetation coverage (middle panel), and precipitation (bottom panel) in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.
For the variations of LST, the multiple regression equations taking into account the relationships between LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021 were obtained for both yearly and seasonal values (Table 3). These equations allow the estimation of the projected value of LST. The obtained multiple regression and determination coefficients indicate that precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage explained about 60% of the yearly LST variation, almost 80% of the spring and summer LST variations, and only around 30% of its variation in winter and 20% of its variation in fall.

Table 3. The yearly and seasonal multiple regression relationships between country-wide means of LST, precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model of LST</th>
<th>R (Regression Coefficient)</th>
<th>( R^2 ) (Determination Coefficient)</th>
<th>Multiple Regression Equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yearly</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>[ \text{LST}<em>{\text{yearly}} = 47.2 - 0.000009 \text{VC}</em>{\text{yearly}} - 80.9 \text{SoilMoisture}<em>{\text{yearly}} - 0.004 \text{Precip}</em>{\text{yearly}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>[ \text{LST}<em>{\text{winter}} = 26.16 + 0.000038 \text{VC}</em>{\text{winter}} - 74.35 \text{SoilMoisture}<em>{\text{winter}} + 0.022 \text{Precip}</em>{\text{winter}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spring</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>[ \text{LST}<em>{\text{spring}} = 49.44 - 0.000009 \text{VC}</em>{\text{spring}} - 66.6 \text{SoilMoisture}<em>{\text{spring}} - 0.0068 \text{Precip}</em>{\text{spring}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>[ \text{LST}<em>{\text{summer}} = 67.41 - 0.000031 \text{VC}</em>{\text{summer}} - 163.2 \text{SoilMoisture}<em>{\text{summer}} + 0.22 \text{Precip}</em>{\text{summer}} ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fall</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>[ \text{LST}<em>{\text{fall}} = 39.6 + 0.000034 \text{VC}</em>{\text{fall}} - 52.08 \text{SoilMoisture}<em>{\text{fall}} - 0.007 \text{Precip}</em>{\text{fall}} ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the spatial and temporal LST variations and the impact of vegetation coverage, soil moisture, and precipitation on these variations in Afghanistan and also for its main river basins. To assess the LST variability in more detail, various statistical parameters such as mean, maximum, and minimum LST for separate watersheds of Afghanistan have been calculated for the period 2000–2021. The LST of each basin was separately investigated, taking into account such factors as climatic parameters, topography, and vegetation cover of the area.

The vegetation coverage had an upward trend in Afghanistan during the analyzed period, with 2009 and 2020 being the greenest and 2001 and 2008 the least vegetated years. The LST in Afghanistan had a decreasing trend, with 2000 having the highest LST, and 2001, 2004, 2010, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2021 having the second-highest LST, and 2005, 2009, 2012, and 2019 having the lowest LST. The trend of precipitation was upward, with 2000, 2001, and 2021 with the lowest precipitation, and 2008, 2009, 2019, and 2020 with the highest precipitation, which is in agreement with other research [32]. It was revealed that the soil moisture impacted the LST to the greatest extent, whereas vegetation coverage had a low and statistically insignificant impact on the LST changes in Afghanistan. The results of the study also indicate that in Afghanistan, the highest LST in summer was observed in HRB and the NB, and the lowest LST was observed in winter in the ADB, also in agreement with other studies [31]. The highest soil moisture was observed in the NB, HMB, and ADB in the spring season, and the lowest soil moisture was observed in HRB, NB, and HMB in the fall. The highest rainfall was observed in the ADB and KRB in the spring, and the lowest precipitation was observed in HRB, NB, and HMB in the summer. The highest vegetation was observed in the northern basin and the ADB in the spring, and the lowest vegetation was observed in HMB, HRB, and NB in the fall.

The eastern parts of Afghanistan connected to the ADB and the northeastern parts of the KRB, which are situated at high altitudes, have low LST (Figure 14). The southern and southwestern areas of HRB, the northern sides of the NB, the south and southwest of HMB, the southeastern areas of KRB, and the northwestern areas of the ADB have low elevations and high LST. The central regions of Afghanistan, which include the areas southwest of the ADR, west of KRB, northeast of HRB, west of HMB, and south of the NB, are situated at moderate altitudes and have moderate LST. Because of this, HRB is the warmest basin, and ADB is the coldest basin in Afghanistan.
5. Conclusions

This study analyzed the spatial and temporal changes of LST and the impact of parameters affecting these changes by using remote sensing data for the period 2000–2021. The research showed that 2000 was the hottest year in Afghanistan, with an LST of 32.92 °C, and 2009, 2012, and 2019 were the coldest years, with LSTs equal to 26.39, 25.58, and 26.49 °C, respectively. It occurred that the warmest basin was the HRB and NB basin, where more than 437 (±32,538) km² of the study area had an LST less than 0 °C, while during the summer, 29,896 (±29,143) km² had LSTs of more than 29.8 °C.

Thus far, no research assessing the LST changes and quantifying the impact of various parameters on them has been performed for Afghanistan, but such research has been performed for other countries. Among them are the simulations of land cover changes and their effects on LST in Dhaka, Bangladesh [48], analysis of LST changes with the use of MODIS products for the central Himalayas [49], and also quantification of the actual impact of forest cover changes on LST in Guangdong, China [50]. The additional aspect of the present study, besides the investigation of the spatial and temporal changes of LST, is the quantification of the impact of vegetation coverage, precipitation, and soil moisture variations on the LST in Afghanistan during the period 2000–2021. In the manuscript, considerable and significant correlations were found between LST and soil moisture (R = −0.709) and LST and precipitation (R = −0.658), while the correlation between LST and NDVI coverage was small and insignificant (R = −0.339), contrary to several other reports [51–53]. However, it was revealed by Sun and Kefastos [54], who studied the LST-NDVI relation over North America, and Kaufmann et al. [55], that the correlations between LST and vegetation depend on the region, the season of the year, and even the time of day. They found that in the winter (or cold) season, the correlation between LST and NDVI was positive, whereas a strong negative correlation between LST and NDVI was found in the warm months (from May to October). It suggests the correlation coefficient R calculated for the annual period may be close to zero because of the mutual suppression of positive and negative values observed in various seasons, depending on the analyzed region. It can be expected that if the correlation coefficient R calculated for the annual period is close to zero, then also its value calculated for the longer period (21 years) can be close to zero.

Figure 14. The map of mean LST in Afghanistan in the study period.
26.49 °C, respectively. It occurred that the warmest basins were HRB and NB, and the coldest one was ADB during the study period. During the spring, 29,896 (±29,143) km² of the study area had an LST less than 0 °C, while during the summer, 437 (±32,538) km² had an LST less than 0 °C. During the fall, 21,355 (±30,362) km² of Afghanistan had an LST lower than 0 °C, and during the winter, 160,227 (±28,719) km² of the study area had an LST less than 0 °C. It was shown that many parameters affect LST directly and indirectly. The research revealed that soil moisture had the strongest impact on LST, and they were anticorrelated (\( R = -0.79 \) and \( p \)-value = 0.000216). Precipitation also impacted LST (\( R = -0.636 \) and \( p \)-value = 0.00146), while the impact of the vegetation coverage was rather small and statistically insignificant (\( R = -0.339 \) and \( p \)-value = 0.122). It was revealed that precipitation, soil moisture, and vegetation coverage explained about 60% of the yearly LST variation and almost 80% of the spring and summer LST variations in Afghanistan.

The trend of LST changes was downward (\( R = 0.2 \), \( p \)-value = 0.25). The decrease of the LST was around 0.05 degrees each subsequent year, but in the fall and the winter, the LST was slightly increasing by 0.035 and 0.063 °C each year. The trend of soil moisture changes was upward in the study area, with the highest soil moisture of 0.238 m³ m⁻³ observed in 2020 and the lowest soil moisture in 2000, equal to 0.199 m³ m⁻³. The trend of precipitation changes in Afghanistan in the period 2000–2021 was upward, with the highest precipitation observed in 2019 equal to 411.33 mm and the lowest in 2000 and 2021 equal to 182 and 197 mm, respectively. The trend of vegetation changes in the study area between 2000 and 2021 was upward, with 2019 and 2020 being the greenest years, with vegetation covering about 98,260.65 and 102,601.204 km² of the study area, respectively, while the least vegetation was observed in 2008, with vegetation covering about 45,360.703 km² of study Afghanistan.
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