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Abstract: In order to prevent the lead-bismuth eutectic (LBE) corrosion of stainless-steel components used in nuclear reactors, the \( \text{FeCrAlTiC-xY}_2\text{O}_3 \) coatings were prepared on 304 stainless steel (304SS) by laser cladding. After adding \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \), \( \text{Y}_2\text{TiO}_5 \) and \( \text{Y}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) formed, which have a combined strengthening effect on improving hardness. The 0.2 wt.% \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \) coating showed the highest hardness as ~489 HV. In the 400 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) wear test, the weight loss of coating samples was less than ~5.2 mg, while the weight loss of 304SS samples was ~35.5 mg. The 0 wt.% \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \) coating showed the highest wear resistance, indicating that adding \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \) could result in the decrease of wear resistance. The LBE corrosion behaviors of coatings at 500 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) were investigated. The results showed that a uniform and dense oxide scale with a low growth rate was obtained on the coating surface, and no penetration of LBE into the coating was observed. After 1000 h of corrosion, the oxide scale of coatings grew to merely a ~0.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) thickness. The corrosion resistance mechanism of the coating in oxygen-saturated LBE at 500 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) was proposed based on experimental results along with a thermodynamic and kinetic analysis.
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1. Introduction

Lead-bismuth eutectic (LBE) alloy is viewed as a primary expecting material for coolant in an accelerator driven sub-critical system (ADS) [1]. The commonly used structural materials, such as F/M steel and austenite steel, could be easily corroded by the LBE, leading to the failure of reactor system [2–4]. According to previous studies, liquid metal corrosion (LMC) mainly includes dissolution corrosion, oxidation corrosion, and cavitation erosion in liquid LBE [5,6]. Apart from the LMC, liquid metal embrittlement (LME) would lead to the failure of materials [7,8]. Therefore, it still remains a great challenge in finding effective protective methods.

To improve the LBE corrosion resistance of structural steels, a general strategy is to fabricate a protective coating on the steel surface. Coatings made of refractory metals, such as W, Mo, and their alloys, could increase the resistance to the dissolution corrosion because of their low solubilities in liquid LBE [9]. However, these refractory metals form unstable and even volatile oxides for their poor resistance to oxidation corrosion in liquid LBE [10,11]. It makes the deposition of refractory metal coating a challenge. Candidate ceramic coating materials comprise oxides, carbides, and Max phases. Research has demonstrated the excellent resistance to oxidation corrosion in liquid LBE [12–15]. However, ceramic coatings are generally brittle, resulting in cracking and spallation under certainly applied loads [16]. Ceramic coatings lack self-healing after cracking somehow. Another method is to add oxide formation elements such as Al and Ti into the structural materials. Recent works reported that the functional composition (alloying elements, Al, etc.) would exert obvious influences on the density of state distribution, bonding states, and surface separation energy, which determine the interaction between coatings and substrate [17,18]. Additionally, Ti may affect the composition and distribution of Cu-based solid solutions in some corresponding
coating materials, enhancing the mechanical performance of substrate [19]. In an environment with a certain amount of oxygen, Al-containing coatings can generate dense, thin, and self-healing oxide scales on the surface. Several FeAl or FeCrAl alloy coatings were deposited on the steel surface, showing an impressive resistance to the LBE oxidation corrosion [20–23]. However, it remains a challenge to develop a novel coating that could serve under aggressive environments and provide protection for the structural materials applied in ADS. For instance, there are significantly different mechanical or thermophysical properties between oxide and alloy coatings. In flowing LBE, the oxide/coating interface is easy to fracture and fail under the thermal-mechanical coupled effect, reducing the equipment’s lifetime [24,25]. Thus, improving the hardness and toughness of coatings is a main issue to be solved.

To strengthen LBE corrosion resistance, a general way is adding carbides to coatings, e.g., TiC or WC [26–28]. However, this may lead to a serious segregation of carbides in the coatings, resulting in an increase of crack sensitivity [29]. In order to deal with such problems, synthesizing in situ TiC during laser processing was proposed. The distribution of TiC would be relatively homogeneous and effectively strengthen the wear resistance [30,31]. Another way is adding rare earth oxide, such as Y₂O₃ and Ce₂O₃. Recent research demonstrated that the coatings would show impressive improvement on toughness after adding such rare earth oxides [32–34]. Moreover, some research indicated that Y₂O₃ may react with Ti into Y-, Ti-, O- enriched clusters such as Y₂Ti₅O₁₁ and Y₂Ti₂O₇ [35–37].

In order to provide knowledge about the LBE corrosion resistance of composite coating, five kinds of FeCrAlTiC-xY₂O₃ coatings were prepared on a 304SS surface by laser cladding in this work, and their corrosion behaviors in static oxygen-saturated LBE at 500 °C were studied. By investigating the corrosion characteristic of the surface and cross-section, the corrosion mechanism of the as-prepared coating is revealed.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Preparation of Powder and Coating

FeCrAlTiC-xY₂O₃ laser clad powders were blended from the powder of Ti (purity ≥99.99%, Avimetal powder metallurgy technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), FeCr (Cr 60 wt.%, C 7~8 wt.%, Fe bal., Nangong Xindun Alloy Welding Consumables Spraying Co., Ltd., Xingtai, China), FeAl (Al 50 wt.%, Fe 50 wt.%, Nangong Xindun Alloy Welding Consumables Spraying Co., Ltd., Xingtai, China), and nano-Y₂O₃ (purity ≥99.999%, Jinlei Technology Co., Ltd., Ningbo, China). Five groups of powders were prepared, and the detailed compositions are listed in Table 1. The powders were mixed by a high-energy planetary ball milling (Changsha Miqi Instrument Technology Co., Ltd., Changsha, China) operated at 180 rpm using 304SS vials (vacuum atmosphere) and 304SS balls (the ball-to-powder weight ratio of 1:1) for up to a cumulative period of 20 h (with intermittent intervals of 5 min per 30 min). Before laser cladding, the powders were dried in a vacuum stove at 120 °C for 2 h. Then, the powders compacted by an automatic table press were preplaced on the 304SS surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Y₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An Afs-R6000 laser material processing system was employed to fabricate the coating with argon protection, as shown in Figure 1. The laser cladding parameters determined by pre-orthogonal experiments were as follows: a laser power of 2200 W, a scanning velocity of 5 mm/s, and an overlapping rate of 50%.
An Afs-R6000 laser material processing system was employed to fabricate the coating prepared coating; (a) The laser cladding equipment and the laser cladding parameters determined by pre-orthogonal experiments were as follows: a laser power of 2200 W, a scanning velocity of 5 mm/s, and an overlapping rate of 50%.

2.2. Micro-Hardness and 400 °C Wear Test

A Vickers hardness tester (FM-ARS900, SURTTZ Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was employed to test the microhardness of samples at intervals of 0.07 mm. The wear tests were carried out using a friction and wear tester (MMU-5GA, Jinan Yihua Tribology Testing Technology Co., Ltd., Jinan, China). The test method determined the wear of materials during sliding using a pin-on-disk apparatus. Five kinds of the coatings with different Y_2O_3 additions and 304SS were machined into pin specimens with dimensions of Ø 4.64 mm × 10.50 mm. The size of the grinding disk was Ø 53.6 mm × 7.9 mm, and the hardness was 60–62 HRC. Figure 2 shows the schematic of the wear test. The parameters of the wear test were as follows: load of 150 N, temperature of 400 °C, linear velocity of 0.188 m/s, and wear time of 60 min. For evaluating the wear performance, the weight loss of the pin was measured by an electronic balance with an accuracy of 0.1 mg.

![Figure 1. Diagram and appearance of laser cladding. (a) The laser cladding equipment and the laser cladding parameters determined by pre-orthogonal experiments were as follows: a laser power of 2200 W, a scanning velocity of 5 mm/s, and an overlapping rate of 50%.](image1.png)

![Figure 2. The schematic of the wear test. Where R is the wear track radius, F is the normal force on the pin, and \( \omega \) is the rotation velocity of the disk.](image2.png)

2.3. LBE Corrosion Test

The FeCrAlTiC-xY_2O_3 coatings were fabricated into specimens with dimensions of 20 mm × 10 mm × 10 mm for LBE corrosion. Then, surfaces of the specimens were polished with SiC paper up to 400 grits. Static LBE corrosion tests were conducted in a vacuum resistance furnace (with pressure −0.1 Pa) containing LBE at 500 °C. At 500 °C, the oxygen concentration \( c_0 \) (wt.%) in the oxygen-saturated LBE was calculated to be 6.3 × 10^{-4} wt.% according to Equation (1) [1]:

\[
\log c_0 = 1.2 - 3400/T
\]

(1)

where \( T \) is the temperature (K).
2.4. Characterization

The constituent phases of the FeCrAlTiC-xY2O3 coatings were investigated by an X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance, Bruker Co., Berlin, Germany). The cross-section of coatings was polished with SiC paper up to 1500 grits and 0.5 µm carbide suspension. After that, all samples were electro-polished by 5% oxalic acid solution at 7.5 V up to 15 s. The cross-section of samples was characterized by a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, NovaNano450, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with an Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). A transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Talos F200X, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was employed to analyze the composition of the coatings.

After LBE corrosion, the cross-section of 304SS and coatings was prepared by the usual metallographic techniques. The cross-section morphologies of 304SS and coatings were studied by SEM. Moreover, an electron probe map analysis (EPMA, EPMA-1720, SHIMADZU Co., Kyoto, Japan) was employed to show the element distribution in the coating after 1000 h of LBE corrosion.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific K-Alpha, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was used in this study with the aim of analyzing the state chemical bonding and elemental composition. The spectrometer was Thermo Scientific K-Alpha. Before the XPS test, the surface residual LBE of the 0.2 wt.% Y2O3 coating after 1000 h corrosion was removed by a mixed solution (acetic acid:ethyl alcohol:hydrogen peroxide = 1:1:1). The sample was not sputter-etched prior to analyses. The excitation source was Al anode (1486.6 eV). The electron emission angle was 60°. The size of the analyzed sample area was 400 µm². The charge neutralizer was used. The base pressure in the analysis chamber during spectra acquisition was lower than 5.0 × 10⁻⁷ mBar. Recent research indicated that the conventional C 1s peak of adventitious carbon could not reflect the results of XPS accurately [38–40]. Thus, the calibration and linearity of the binding energy scale were confirmed by the work function method [41,42]. Work function øSA measurements by ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) were performed in the same instrument with unmonochromatized He I radiation (21.20 eV). A sample bias of −5 V was applied to observe the secondary electron cutoff. Figure 3 shows the valence band spectra as recorded from the 0.2 wt.% Y2O3 coating after 1000 h of corrosion. The work function øSA can be determined as 3.97 eV by the difference between the photon energy and the binding energy of the secondary cutoff edge. Thus, the binding energy of the C 1s peak could be set at 285.61 eV (289.58 eV − øSA), and all other core-levels shifted accordingly.

Work Function øSA = 21.20eV−17.23eV=3.97eV

Figure 3. The valence-band spectra pattern of the 0.2 wt.% Y2O3 coating.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Phase Composition and Microstructures of Composite Coating

The XRD pattern of FeCrAlTiC-xY2O3 coatings is presented in Figure 4. The major phases of the coating without Y2O3 addition are Fe2AlCr (BCC, PDF#54-0387) and TiC.
(FCC, PDF#32-1383). This demonstrates that Fe, Cr, and Al powders generate Fe-Cr-Al compounds, and TiC is synthesized during laser processing. After adding different contents of Y2O3, the XRD result of the coatings showed that the characteristic peaks were similar to the result of the 0 wt.% Y2O3 coating. This indicates that the coatings with Y2O3 mainly consist of Fe2AlCr and TiC. However, owing to the low content of Y2O3, it is difficult to distinguish the corresponding composition in coatings by XRD.

**Figure 4.** The XRD pattern of FeCrAlTiC-xY2O3 coatings.

Figure 5 shows the SEM images and the corresponding EDS analysis of the coatings. In Figure 5a, the coating without Y2O3 comprised a Fe2AlCr phase with TiC distributing at grain boundaries. With the addition of Y2O3, more TiC particles precipitated within the grains (Figure 5b). Figure 5c reveals the microstructure of the 0.5 wt.% Y2O3 coating. Some flower-shaped dark phase was observed, indicating the micro-segregation of TiC. In Figure 5d, TiC segregated at the grain boundary in the 0.8 wt.% Y2O3 coating. When the content of Y2O3 increased to 1.0 wt.%, the segregation of TiC was further serious at the grain boundary (Figure 5e). It is obvious that the addition of Y2O3 influenced the distribution of TiC. However, EDS could not identify element Y because of its low mass fraction in raw materials.

**Figure 5.** SEM microstructures and EDS analysis results of the coatings with different Y2O3 additions: (a) 0 wt.% and EDS of point A1, A2, (b) 0.2 wt.% and EDS of point B1, B2, (c) 0.5 wt.% and EDS of point C1, C2, (d) 0.8 wt.% and EDS of point D1, D2, (e) 1.0 wt.% and EDS of point E1, E2.
Further, to clarify the microstructure, the TEM microstructures and the corresponding SAD patterns of the cross-section microstructure of coatings with 0 wt.% and 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ are shown in Figure 6. Figure 6a shows the microstructure of the coating without Y$_2$O$_3$. SAED patterns in Figure 6b,c confirm the presence of TiC and Fe$_2$AlCr. These indicate that the TiC particles prefer to precipitate at the grain boundaries of the Fe$_2$AlCr matrix and present as bar-shaped. TiC mainly distributes at the grain boundaries of the matrix, which relates to the incomplete or complete wetting of grain boundaries [43]. During solidification, the solid grains are isolated by liquid interlayers. These liquid materials are enriched with TiC, which solidify and remain solid along the first solidifying grain boundaries. Therefore, the TiC partially or fully isolated the grains of Fe$_2$AlCr matrix after solidification. The microstructure of the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating is shown in Figure 6d. The TiC particles precipitate within the grains after the addition of 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ and prefer to present as sphere or short-bar. It could be inferred that adding Y$_2$O$_3$ causes the evolution of TiC. Figure 6e shows the microstructure of a TiC particle in 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating. The EDS result in Figure 6f reveals that element Y exists in TiC particles. Further, in Figure 6g, the corresponding SAED pattern in the same particle includes diffraction spots from Y$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Y$_3$TiO$_5$, along with the pattern of TiC. Moreover, according to the HRTEM image and corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) patterns shown in Figure 6h,i, it could be confirmed that Y$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Y$_3$TiO$_5$ particles distribute in the TiC, respectively. It is reported that Y$_2$TiO$_5$ and Y$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ could be generated by means of the partial substitution of Y with Ti in Y$_2$O$_3$ during laser processing [36,37,44]. These Y-Ti oxides could promote the solidification of materials and lead to the evolution of TiC morphology from bar-shape to short-bar-shape or sphere. Meanwhile, the fraction of the grain boundaries that were occupied by TiC decreased because more intragranular TiC precipitated, as shown in Figure 6d.

![Figure 6. TEM results of coatings (a) microstructure of 0 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating, SAD of (b) point 1, (c) point 2; 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$, (d) microstructure of 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating, (e) TEM image of precipitated TiC, (f) EDS of point 3, (g) SAD of point 3; HRTEM and FFT patterns of (h) Y$_2$TiO$_5$ (i) Y$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$.](image-url)
3.2. Micro-Hardness and 400 °C Wear Test

Figure 7 shows the micro-hardness along the depth direction of the cross-section of the coatings. Every point in Figure 7 is an average value achieved from five indentations. It was found that the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating had the highest hardness of ~489 HV. For the other coatings with different Y$_2$O$_3$ additions, the value of micro-hardness was similar to ~445 HV. The addition of Y$_2$O$_3$ causes the microstructure evolution, resulting in the change of hardness [45]. For the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating, the existence of TiC cause the secondary phase strengthening effectively. After adding 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$, the microstructure and distribution of TiC was refined, as shown in Figures 5 and 6. With more TiC distributing intragranular, the ratio of fully wetted grain boundaries declined, reducing the isolation of the matrix and strengthening the effect of secondary phase strengthening. Moreover, according to the strengthening mechanism revealed by Hu [46], Y$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Y$_2$TiO$_5$ can efficiently purify impurity oxygen at the grain boundaries and coordinate the secondary phases. Thus, the hardness of the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating increases. When more than 0.5 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ was added, the segregation of TiC caused the descending of micro-hardness.

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** The effects of different Y$_2$O$_3$ additions on the micro-hardness of coatings.

Figure 8 exhibits the weight loss and coefficients of friction of the samples. According to the weight loss shown in Figure 8a, it was found that the values of the weight loss of coatings were much lower than that of 304SS, indicating a higher wear resistance of coatings than 304SS. Figure 8b shows the friction coefficient of the samples. Friction coefficient is a key factor indicating the wear resistance of materials. For the coatings, it was found that weight loss had the same tendency as the friction coefficient, namely higher friction coefficient induces greater weight loss. Compared to the coating without Y$_2$O$_3$, the addition of Y$_2$O$_3$ caused the decrease of wear resistance. Meanwhile, with the increase of Y$_2$O$_3$ content in the coating, the wear resistance was gradually reduced.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** The results of 400 °C wear tests of the coatings and substrate: (a) weight loss; (b) average coefficient of friction.
Figure 9 shows the SEM images of the worn surface morphologies. In Figure 9a, the worn surface of the 0 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating is smooth, and the small powder-like wear debris is dispersed. Additionally, there were numerous shallow grooves. This indicates that the coating without Y$_2$O$_3$ mainly followed a typical abrasive wear pattern. Figure 9b shows an abrasive worn surface with more wear debris, indicating an abrasive wear pattern of the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating. When content of Y$_2$O$_3$ increase to 0.5 wt.%, more cracks and debris appeared due to the micro-segregation in the coating (Figure 9c). In the coating with 0.8 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$, apart from more wear debris and cracks, bulk-like spalls were found (Figure 9d). Since the segregation of TiC became more serious, the coating with 1 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ presented numerous bulk-like spalls with bigger sizes, as shown in Figure 9e. The 304SS showed deeper scratches and had a large amount of materials peeling. Thus, the stress concentration at the wear interface was exacerbated, decreasing the stability of the coating performance. TiC could improve the bonding strength of the coating and prevent peeling. However, for the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating, during the reciprocating sliding process against the grinding disk, more materials of the disk were extruded and rolled into powder-like abrasive particles due to the higher hardness [47]. When the content of Y$_2$O$_3$ increased to more than 0.5 wt.%, the segregation of TiC promoted the crack sensitivity and induced the toughness of coating. Thus, the wear resistance of the coating decreased. Compared with coating samples, a lower value of hardness of 304SS led to more materials being peeled off, indicating its poor wear resistance.

![Figure 9. SEM images after wear test: (a) 0 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$; (b) 0.2wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$; (c) 0.5 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$; (d) 0.8 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$; (e) 1.0 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$; (f) 304SS.](image_url)

3.3. Corrosion Behavior in Static LBE at 500 °C

To investigate the corrosion resistance of the FeCrAlTiC-xY$_2$O$_3$ coating, 304SS and the coating specimens were immersed in the same LBE conditions. Figure 10 illustrates the cross-section of the specimens after corrosion for 500 and 1000 h. The oxide scale on the coatings were estimated to be ~0.2 μm in the first 500 h. After 1000 h of corrosion, the thickness of the oxide scale increased to a merely average ~0.3 μm. This illustrates that the oxide scale on the coating surface could keep stabilization and effectively protect substrate from the LBE corrosion. When comparing the coatings with different Y$_2$O$_3$ additions, no obvious differences of the oxide thickness were observed. This indicates that the Y$_2$O$_3$...
addition showed less influence on the corrosion resistance in static LBE. For the 304SS surface in Figure 10l, an oxide scale grew to ~10.4 µm after 1000 h of exposure in the static LBE, which could be determined as (Fe,Cr)₃O₄ [2,3,48]. After 1000 h of corrosion, the oxide scale of 304SS grew to an average ~10.4 µm. Compared with 304SS, the coating with different Y₂O₃ exhibited better resistance to the LBE corrosion.

![Figure 10. The SEM and EDS analysis results of the specimen cross-sections after LBE corrosion: (a) 0 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 500 h corrosion; (b) 0 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 1000 h corrosion; (c) 0.2 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 500 h corrosion; (d) 0.2 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 1000 h corrosion; (e) 0.5 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 500 h corrosion; (f) 0.5 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 1000 h corrosion; (g) 0.8 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 500 h corrosion; (h) 0.8 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 1000 h corrosion; (i) 1.0 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 500 h corrosion; (j) 1.0 wt.% Y₂O₃ coating after 1000 h corrosion; (k) 304SS after 500 h corrosion; (l) 304SS after 1000 h corrosion.](image-url)
To clarify the composition of the oxide scale on the coating surface, XPS was adopted on the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating surface, as shown in Figure 11. In Figure 11a, the investigation of XPS spectra revealed typical C 1s, O 1s, Al 2p, Fe 2p, and Ti 2p peaks from 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating surface. Additionally, Bi 4f, Pb 4d, Pb 4p, and Pb 4f peaks from residual LBE were found. The C 1s spectra, as shown in Figure 11b, had peaks at 285.6, 287.0, and 289.2 eV, signifying C-C/C-H, C-O/Al-O, and O-C=O, respectively. The presence of C-C/C-H, O-C=O bonds might result from using a mixed solution for removing residual LBE. The O 1s peak in Figure 11c can be resolved into three peaks with binding energies of 530.1 eV (Ti-O/Fe-O), 531.8 eV (C=O), and 533.0 eV (C-O). The Al 2p spectra in Figure 11d had peaks at 74.4 eV, demonstrating Al-O from Al$_2$O$_3$. In Figure 5c, each Fe 2p region spectrum consisted of a 2p$_{3/2}$–Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ doublet with a difference of 13.2 eV. The peaks at 711.1 and 724.3 eV correspond to the Fe 2p$_{3/2}$–Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ doublet from the Fe$_3$O$_4$. The second set of spin-split peaks of the Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ and Fe 2p$_{1/2}$ components at 709.8 and 723.0 eV presented the formation of FeO. In Figure 11f, the Ti 2p doublet is shown at 458.5 and 464.3 eV with an energy difference of 5.8 eV corresponding to the Ti 2p$_{3/2}$–Ti 2p$_{1/2}$, demonstrating the presence of TiO$_2$. XPS results indicate that the composition of the oxide scale on the coating surface were mainly Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_3$O$_4$, and TiO$_2$.

![Figure 11. XPS results of the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating surface after 1000 h LBE corrosion: (a) XPS survey spectrum; XPS spectra of (b) C1s; (c) O 1s; (d) Al 2p; (e) Fe 2p; (f) Ti 2p.](image-url)

Figure 12 shows the EPMA results of the cross-section of the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating. The SEM images of the tested area are shown in Figure 12a. There is no Pb or Bi penetrating the coating, demonstrating that the coating can strongly prevent LBE penetration by the
oxide scale formed on the surface, as shown in Figure 12b,c. Several Cr enrichments were observed below the oxide scale in Figure 12g. Oxides of Al and Fe were further confirmed to be the main composition of the oxide scale by EPMA (Figure 12d,f,h). EPMA results show Ti enrichments near the interface of the oxide/coating, as seen in Figure 12e. Combined with the XPS results, these zones could be TiO$_2$, which was obtained by the oxidation of TiC exposed to LBE. This TiO$_2$ could inhibit oxidation, as shown in Figure 12.

Figure 12. EPMA results of the 0.2 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$ coating cross-section after 1000 h LBE corrosion: (a) Image of tested area; (b) Bi; (c) Pb; (d) O; (e) Ti; (f) Al; (g) Cr; (h) Fe.

3.4. Corrosion Resistance Mechanism of Coating in Static LBE at 500 °C

According to the analysis results mentioned above, the corrosion mechanism of the coating in static LBE at 500 °C could be concluded as shown in Figure 13.
where ∆ $\text{Al-oxide}$ plays a key role in the protection mechanism [23,49]. To clarify the LBE corrosion (Figure 13d). Therefore, oxide scales on the coating surface mainly contain Al-oxide and TiC.

Table 2. Values of $\Delta_f G_m^\Theta$, $\Delta_f H_m^\Theta$, and $\Delta_f S_m^\Theta$ in the Gibbs formation energy expressions ($T = 773.15$ K).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$\Delta_f H_m^\Theta$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta_f S_m^\Theta$ (J/mol K)</th>
<th>$\Delta_f G_m^\Theta$ (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>$-1115.8$</td>
<td>$-207.6$</td>
<td>$-995.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>$-941.0$</td>
<td>$-178.6$</td>
<td>$-802.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>$-750.4$</td>
<td>$-169.8$</td>
<td>$-619.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>$-547.1$</td>
<td>$-153.1$</td>
<td>$-428.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>$-541.1$</td>
<td>$-168.7$</td>
<td>$-410.6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values in Table 2 indicate that $\Delta_f G_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}^\Theta < \Delta_f G_{\text{TiO}_2}^\Theta < \Delta_f G_{\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3}^\Theta < \Delta_f G_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}^\Theta < \Delta_f G_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}^\Theta$ at 500 °C. Therefore, in this research, it was determined that Al$_2$O$_3$ was priorly formed on the exposed coating, and, following that, Fe-Cr spinel oxides grew (Figure 13a,b). The consequence showed that the oxidation of Cr is thermodynamically preferred compared to that of Fe. Previous research confirmed that the diffusion coefficient of Fe is much higher than that of the Cr in Fe-Cr spinel oxides [50,51]. Thus, a Fe$_3$O$_4$ layer would form and cover the surface of Fe-Cr spinel oxides (Figure 13c). As the corrosion period prolonged, a large amount of Fe would diffuse along the grain boundaries and transfer into Fe$_2$O$_3$. This leads to Cr presenting several Cr enrichments around grain boundaries below the oxide scales (Figure 13d). Therefore, oxide scales on the coating surface mainly contain Al$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$.
When corrosion continues, TiC particles distributed in coatings are exposed to LBE, which leads to a different pattern of the corrosion mechanism (Figure 13a). Shi et al. explained the probable oxidation reaction of TiC in the oxygen-saturated LBE as follows [52]:

\[
\text{TiC} + 2\text{PbO} \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 + 2\text{Pb} + \text{C} \quad (3)
\]

The Gibbs reaction energy for the standard state for Equation (3) was evaluated at \(-343.5\) kJ/mol, indicating that the oxidation of TiC into the TiO\(_2\) was spontaneous under the 500 °C static LBE. Combined with the XPS results, the exposed TiC particles was totally oxidized into TiO\(_2\) (Figure 13b). C produced in oxidation floated away and dissolved in the liquid LBE. Then, the growth of Fe-Cr spinel oxides on the exposed surface was inhibited because of the formation of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/TiO\(_2\) oxide (Figure 13c). As corrosion continued, the Fe-Cr spinel oxides around Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/TiO\(_2\) were entirely oxidized into Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), while the remaining Cr presented as Cr enrichment zones as mentioned above. Then, Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/TiO\(_2\)/Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) mixture oxides were formed on the coating surface (Figure 12d).

4. Conclusions

FeCrAlTiC-xY\(_2\)O\(_3\) laser clad coatings (x = 0 wt.%, 0.2 wt.%, 0.5 wt.%, 0.8 wt.%, and 1.0 wt.%) were fabricated. The micro-hardness, wear resistance, and corrosion resistance in static LBE at 500 °C of the coatings and 304SS were tested and comprehensively compared. The following conclusions were obtained:

1. The main phases of FeCrAlTiC-xY\(_2\)O\(_3\) coatings mainly were Fe\(_2\)AlCr and TiC phases. After adding Y\(_2\)O\(_3\), the Y\(_2\)Ti\(_2\)O\(_7\) and Y\(_2\)TiO\(_5\) were formed and could change the TiC into a sphere shape. When the content of Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) exceeded 0.5 wt.%, serious segregation of TiC occurred.

2. The coatings with 0.2 wt.% Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) addition showed the highest micro-hardness, due to its homogeneous distribution of secondary phases. Compared with the coatings without Y\(_2\)O\(_3\), the hardness of the 0.2 wt.% Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) coating increased by 9.8%.

3. The 0 wt.% Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) coating showed the highest wear resistance. With the addition of 0.2 wt.% Y\(_2\)O\(_3\), the influence of abrasive wear was increased, resulting in the decrease of wear resistance. When the content of Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) was more than 0.5 wt.%, the wear resistance of the coating was induced due to the decrease in toughness caused by the segregation of TiC.

4. The improved LBE corrosion resistance of the coatings could be attributed to the generation of a dense oxide scale on material surface. The oxide scale formed on the coating surface comprised Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), TiO\(_2\), and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). The addition of Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) had no obvious influence on the corrosion resistance of coatings in static LBE.
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