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Abstract: We report on the epitaxial lateral overgrowth (ELO) of high-quality AlN on stripe-patterned Si(111) substrates with various trench widths. By narrowing down the trench and ridge widths of patterned Si substrates, crack-free, 6-micrometer-thick, high-quality AlN films on Si substrates were produced. The full-width-at-half-maximum values of the X-ray-diffraction rocking curves for the AlN (0002) and (1012) planes were as low as 260 and 374 arcsec, respectively, corresponding to a record low dislocation density of $1.3 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Through the combination of a micro-Raman study and the X-ray diffraction analysis, it was found that narrowing the stripe width from 5 $\mu$m to 3 $\mu$m can reduce the vertical growth thickness before coalescence, resulting in a large decrease in the internal tensile stress and tilt angle, and, therefore, better suppression in the cracks and dislocations of the ELO–AlN. This work paves the way for the fabrication of high-performance Al(Ga)N-based thin-film devices such as ultraviolet light-emitting diodes and AlN bulk acoustic resonators grown on Si.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, AlN has attracted significant attention due to its excellent properties and potential applications, such as thin-film ultraviolet light-emitting diodes (UV LEDs) and thin-film bulk acoustic resonators [1–4]. Because of the limited size and extremely high cost of bulk AlN substrates, AlN crystals are always grown hetero-epitaxially on foreign substrates, such as sapphire and silicon (Si) [5–8]. The partial or full removal of the substrate is an essential step in the fabrication of bulk acoustic-wave devices, as well as thin-film flip-chip-structure UV LEDs, which have much higher light-extraction efficiency than typical UV LEDs [9–11]. However, the separation of sapphire substrates with AlN epilayers by the laser-lift-off process remains very challenging due to the laser-induced crystal damage [12,13]. In contrast, Si substrates can be easily removed by wet-chemical etching without damage. Moreover, Si substrates have advantages such as their low cost, large scale, high thermal conductivity, and potential for integration with electronics [14–16]. Therefore, the utilization of Si substrates to grow AlN has become one of the most promising approaches to the fabrication of AlN- and AlGaN-based thin-film devices.

However, the growth of high-quality AlN on Si substrates is highly challenging. Firstly, the large lattice mismatch (~19%) between AlN and Si(111) usually leads to a high threading-dislocation density (TDD, $10^{10}$–$10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) and initial tensile stress. Secondly, the huge thermal-expansion-coefficient mismatch (~43%) between AlN and Si results in appreciable tensile stress, which induces crack networks during cooling down to room temperature [17–19]. Therefore, the thickness of AlN films grown on Si was always limited...
to less than 1 µm to prevent cracks, which was insufficient to prevent dislocation and improve the AlN quality [20–22].

Epitaxial lateral overgrowth (ELO) has been demonstrated as an effective way to reduce cracks and TDD for AlN growth on Si [23–25]. Pioneering work by Zhang et al. demonstrated a 7-micrometer-thick, fully coalesced AlN layer on Si(111) by ELO, and, therefore, a thin-film flip-chip UV LED [26]. Moreover, Tran et al., from Riken, reported an 8-micrometer-thick ELO–AlN on a patterned Si substrate [27]. Nonetheless, the reported full width at half maxima (FWHM) of the X-ray diffraction (XRD) rocking curves for AlN (10\textsubscript{12}) planes are as high as 800 arcsec, which is far from the demands of device-quality AlN on Si [28–31].

In this work, we carefully studied the ELO of AlN on stripe-period patterned Si(111) substrates with various trench widths. By narrowing down the period width of the patterned Si substrates, crack-free, 6-micrometer-thick, high-quality AlN films on Si substrates was obtained. The FWHM values of the XRD rocking curves for the AlN (0002) and (10\textsubscript{12}) planes were reduced to a record low of 260 and 374 arcsec, respectively. This work paves the way for the fabrication of high-performance thin-film UV LEDs and bulk acoustic-wave devices grown on Si.

2. Materials and Methods

The ELO–AlN samples were grown on a Si(111) substrate by an AIXTRON close-coupled-showerhead metalorganic chemical-vapor-deposition reactor. Trimethylaluminum and ammonia were used as precursors for aluminum and nitrogen, respectively. Firstly, a 200-nanometer-thick AlN buffer layer was grown on the Si substrate. The growth temperature and V/III ratio were 1200 °C and 4000, respectively. The AlN templates were then removed from the reactor and patterned into period stripes by conventional lithography and inductively coupled plasma etching. For template A, 5-micrometer-depth stripes with a nominally 3-micrometer-width ridge/trench (6-micrometer period) were defined along the AlN <10\textsubscript{10}> direction. The reason why the stripe direction was defined along the AlN<10\textsubscript{10}> direction was to encourage the coalescence of ELO and AlN, since AlN is likely to grow laterally along the AlN<11\textsubscript{20}> direction [23]. For template B, the width of ridge and trench were changed to 5 µm with the same strip directions. The schematic fabrication steps for the AlN/Si template are shown in Figure 1a. The perspective and plan views from the scanning electron microscope (SEM) for template A are shown in Figure 1b,c, respectively. The plan view of SEM for template B is shown in Figure 1d.

Subsequently, both templates A and B were loaded back into the reactor together to regrow 5.8-micrometer-thick AlN film. The regrown samples designed to be 3 µm and 5 µm wide were labeled as sample A and sample B, respectively. Therefore, the total thicknesses of AlN epilayers for both samples were 6 µm. The growth temperature and V/III ratio during the ELO were 1360 °C and 40, respectively, to encourage the lateral growth of AlN by enhancing the Al adatom migration [32,33]. In order to study the coalescence process of ELO–AlN, a control sample (named sample B1) with a regrown AlN thickness of only 3 µm was also grown on another AlN template B.

The surface morphologies of samples were studied by SEM (FEI Quanta 400 FEG) and atomic-force microscopy (AFM, Bruker Dimension Icon). The FWHM values of the X-ray-diffraction scan’s rocking curves were measured by high-resolution XRD (Bruker D8 Discover). The Raman spectra were collected by Horriba-JY LABRAM HR confocal micro-Raman system with 532-nanometer laser. Cross-sectional high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images and weak-beam transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a 200-kilovolt Talos F200X STEM. The TEM samples were prepared by focused-ion-beam milling. Before milling, 20 nm Au, 20 nm carbon, and 300 nm Pt were deposited on the surfaces of samples in order to improve the conductivity of the material, as well as to prevent surface damage induced by ion beam. The cathodoluminescence (CL) image and spectra were recorded under 10 kV using a Gatan MonoCL3+ system at room temperature.
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Figure 1. (a) The fabrication process for the stripe-patterned AlN/Si templates. (b) The SEM perspective-view image for template A. The SEM plan-view images for (c) template A and (d) template B.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2a,b shows the SEM micrographs of samples A and B, respectively. The smooth surfaces without noticeable cracks and stripe patterns of both samples indicate that the ELO–AlN layer was fully coalesced. The cross-sectional STEM images provide further information on the coalescence behavior. As shown in Figure 2c, the vertical regrowth of the ELO–AlN for sample A was 2.8 μm when the coalescence is completed, while the lateral growth width was only 1.5 μm. This corresponded to a lateral-to-vertical-growth ratio (LTVGR) of around 1:1.86. For sample B, with a larger strip pattern (5-micrometer period), the ELO–AlN reached complete coalescence after 4.7 μm of vertical growth and 2.5 μm of lateral growth, as shown in Figure 2d, which gives a LTVGR of around 1:1.88, indicating a significantly faster lateral growth rate than that in previous reports [23–26,30]. The quick coalescence can be attributed to the high growth temperature (1360 °C) and ultralow V/III ratio (40), which enhanced the Al adatom migration [34]. It was noticed that air voids were formed in the trench areas during the AlN–ELO as shown in the cross-sectional STEM. These voids play a key role in the relaxation of tensile stress to suppress crack formation and reduce the TDD of top AlN.

To study the coalescence process of the AlN–ELO, a cross-sectional SEM was performed for the control sample B1, which was not fully coalesced, as shown in Figure 3a. This revealed an interesting feature of the ELO’s coalescence: two asymmetrical facets were formed during the AlN’s lateral growth. The upper facet had an angle of ±73° with respect to the c-plane, corresponding to the AlN {11\overline{2}1} plane. The bottom facet had an angle of ±58° with respect to the c-plane, corresponding to the AlN {11\overline{2}2} plane.
In order to explain why the two asymmetrical facets were formed, an atomic configuration of the ELO–AlN over the trench area was modeled, and it is shown in Figure 3b. The [1121] facet, which corresponded to the +c direction, was mixed with Al and N termination. It appears that the Al atoms exhibited only one dangling bond, while the N atoms exhibited two dangling bonds, corresponding to an Al–N dangling-bond ratio of 0.5. However, the [1122] facet had an Al–N dangling-bond ratio equal to 2, which was much higher than that of the [1121] facet. We conclude that the crystallographic surfaces with a low
Al–N dangling bond ratio tended to be more stable during the ELO under ultra-low V/III (Ga-rich conditions) [35], which would explain the asymmetrical facets shown in Figure 3a. Moreover, in view of growth kinetics, the growth velocity of the {1121} plane was higher than that of the {1122} plane, as evidenced by the kinetic Wulff plots [36]. Therefore, the lateral growth and coalescence was dominated by the AlN {1121} plane and the AlN (0001) plane until the ELO and AlN merged.

Figure 4 shows the XRD measurements for samples A and B. For sample B, with a 5-micrometer-wide trench, the FWHM values of the XRD rocking curves for the AlN (0002) and (1012) planes were 272 and 533 arcsec, respectively. For sample A, with a narrowed trench width of 3 µm, the FWHM values of the AlN (0002) and (1012) planes were largely reduced to 260 and 374 arcsec, respectively. The TDD of the ELO–AlN films can be estimated using the classical formulas below [37].

\[
N_{\text{screw}} = \frac{\beta_{(0002)}^2}{4.35b_c^2}
\]

\[
\beta_{(1012)}^2 = (\beta_{(0002)} \cos \phi)^2 + (\beta_{\text{edge}} \sin \phi)^2
\]

\[
N_{\text{edge}} = \frac{\beta_{\text{edge}}^2}{4.35b_a^2}
\]

where the \( \beta_{(0002)} \) and \( \beta_{(1012)} \) are the FWHM values of the (0002) and (1012) planes, respectively. The \( b_c \) and \( b_a \) are the Burgers vectors of the c-type and a-type TDs, respectively, and the magnitude of the Burgers vectors for the a-type TDs is equal to the lattice parameter (\( a = 0.3112 \) nm) along the <11–20> a-axis, while the magnitude of the Burgers vectors for the c-type TDs is equal to the lattice parameter (\( c = 0.4980 \) nm) along the <0001> c-axis. The \( \phi \) is the lattice plane’s inclination angle (\( \phi = 42.757^\circ \)). The calculated screw- and edge-type dislocation densities for sample A were \( 1.4 \times 10^8 \) cm\(^{-2} \) and \( 1.2 \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-2} \), respectively, corresponding to a total TDD of \( 1.3 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-2} \). It is worth noting that the TDD of the ELO–AlN grown on the Si for sample A was lower than the previously reported values, as shown in Table 1.
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**Figure 4.** The typical XRD rocking curves of (a) AlN (0002) plane and (b) AlN (10\( \bar{1} \)2) plane for samples A and B. The \( \Phi \)-scan-dependent XRD rocking curves of the AlN (0002) plane for (c) sample A and (d) sample B. The inset is the schematic diagram illustrating the rotation angle \( \Phi \). The \( K \) is the scattering vector.
Table 1. The thickness and XRD FWHM of the ELO–AlN film grown on patterned Si substrates reported in the past few years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Substrate Pattern</th>
<th>Thickness (µm)</th>
<th>AlN (0002) (Arcsec)</th>
<th>AlN (1012) (Arcsec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mino et al. [23]</td>
<td>micro-stripe</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [26]</td>
<td>micro-stripe</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tran et al. [27]</td>
<td>micro-circle</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demir et al. [28]</td>
<td>nano-stripe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin et al. [30]</td>
<td>micro-stripe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shen et al. [31]</td>
<td>nano-circle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>micro-stripe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to further study the crystalline quality of the two samples, the XRD rocking curves of the AlN (0002) were examined along with the increase in the Φ angle every 15 degrees. The Φ angle is defined as the angle between the X-ray-diffraction plane and the stripe direction, as shown in the inset of Figure 4c. For sample A, the rocking curves of the AlN (0002) scans showed a slight broadening of 56 arcsec, revealing a negligible wing-tilt angle. In stark contrast, the rocking curves for sample B presented major broadening, along with several satellite peaks as the Φ angle increased, indicating a large wing tilt of 0.44° in the coalescence area of the ELO–AlN with 5-micrometer-wide trenches. The wing tilt is believed to be the primary origin of the high-density TDD during coalescence, which degrades the crystalline quality of ELO–AlN [34,38,39].

Micro-Raman spectra were then performed for sample B from the surface along the AlN [1120] direction to study the origin of the wing tilt in the ELO–AlN, as shown in Figure 5. It was observed that only the Si O(Γ) and AlN E2(h) peaks appeared in the Raman spectra, as shown in Figure 5a. Detailed Raman shifts of the AlN E2(h) along the trench/ridge can be found in Figure 5b. It is worth mentioning that the Raman spectra were calibrated by aligning the Si O(Γ) peaks before analyzing the E2(h) peak positions of the AlN. In fact, the E2(h) shift of AlN is sensitive to the biaxial strain along the c-plane of AlN, which is often used to evaluate the stress in AlN films [16]. Obviously, most of the AlN E2(h) peaks shifted to low wavenumbers compared to those of the bulk AlN value of 657 cm⁻¹, indicating residual tensile stress in the ELO–AlN film. The shift in the AlN E2(h) peak varied along the AlN [1120] direction from the ridge to the trench area, demonstrating a non-homogenous strain across the trench region. According to the relationship between the residual stress (σ) and the Raman shift (Δω) of E2(h),

\[(Δω) = K \cdot σ\]

where the value of the stress coefficient K is 4.5 cm⁻¹ GPa⁻¹ for the AlN films grown on the Si [3]. The stress across the trench region can therefore be calculated as shown in Figure 5c. As the tests moved from the ridge center (Position a/h) to the trench center (Position c/f), the thickness of the AlN gradually decreased, with the tensile stress gradually decreasing from 0.27 GPa to almost zero [40]. However, it is worth noting that the residual tensile stress dramatically increased to 0.23 GPa in the coalescence region (Position d/e), further revealing a large wing tilt in sample B. Since the residual stress results from the mismatch in the thermal expansion coefficient and crystal lattice between AlN and Si [41–43], the increase in the vertical growth thickness increased the tensile stress in the ELO–AlN films. Therefore, for sample B, with a larger trench width of 5 µm, a longer vertical growth time with a greater thickness would arise before the ELO–AlN coalescence, leading to greater tensile stress and, therefore, a significant wing tilt. Therefore, it can be further concluded that the better crystal quality of sample A (with a narrow trench width of 3 µm) resulted from a smaller wing-tilt angle in the trench area of the ELO–AlN. It is worth highlighting that the tensile stress of the ELO–AlN samples in this work was within 0.27 GPa, which was much lower than the other reported value of 1 GPa for the AlN on Si. The low tensile
stress of our ELO–AlN on Si can be attributed to the formation of air voids, which helps to increase the thickness of crack-free AlN and to reduce the TDD.

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Figure 5.** (a) Surface Raman spectra and (b) details of peak shift of AlN E$_2$(h) mode from ridge to trench areas of sample B. (c) Residual stress as a function of the distance from ridge center of sample B.

The TEM characterization for sample A, which had better crystal quality, was taken to study the dislocation evolution in the ELO–AlN film. Figure 6a,b shows the weak-beam dark-field TEM images with $g = 0002$ and $g = 11\bar{2}0$, respectively. In the ridge area, a high TDD at the AlN/Si interface caused by the lattice mismatch can be clearly observed. Next, the TDs bent and were annihilated with each other within about 2 μm of thickness. Furthermore, TD bending induced by the lateral growth facet was also found. Moreover, there were only a few newly generated TDs at the coalescence interface due to the small wing tilt (less than 0.2°, as discussed above) between the two adjacent islands.

The surface morphology and TD distribution on the coalesced AlN surface of sample A was further investigated by AFM and CL measurement. As shown in Figure 7a, the coalesced ELO–AlN surface presented well-ordered atomic steps on the AFM scan, and the root-mean-square roughness was only 0.18 nm. Moreover, the density of the TDs that pinned the atom steps was about $3 \times 10^{9}$ cm$^{-2}$, which was much lower than that obtained from the XRD results. One of the plausible reasons for this is that the XRD rocking curve was broadened by the tilt and twist between the adjacent ELO–AlN. Figure 7b shows the panchromatic CL images of sample A. The CL image presented obvious bright and dark stripes, which were correlated with the trench and ridge areas, respectively. The AlN over the trench area had a stronger CL luminescence than the ridge area, indicating a better AlN quality at the trench area. Typically, the dark spots, which represented low CL intensity, were due to the non-radiative TD defects. It is obvious that the dark spots in the ridge area gathered, almost forming a dark band, while the trench area had only a few scattered dark spots, indicating that the AlN over the trench area had a lower TDD than the ridge area, which was consistent with the TEM and AFM results.
Figure 6. Cross-sectional TEM images of sample A taken with the diffraction vectors of (a) g = 0002 and (b) g = 1120.

Figure 7. (a) AFM image and (b) CL image of sample A with 3-micrometer-wide trench/ridge.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the ELO of the high-quality AlN on the stripe-patterned Si(111) substrate with two trench widths, of 3 μm and 5 μm, was studied. The LTVGRs for the samples patterned with 3-micrometer- and 5-micrometer-wide trenches were 1:1.86 and 1:1.88, respectively, indicating a significantly faster lateral growth rate. The two asymmetrical facets of the AlN (11$\bar{2}1$) and AlN (11$\bar{2}2$) planes were formed during the lateral growth, and the lateral growth and coalescence were finally dominated by the AlN (11$\bar{2}1$) plane and the AlN (0001) plane during the ELO–AlN merger. By narrowing down the stripe width from 5 μm to 3 μm, the vertical growth thickness before the AlN coalescence was reduced from 4.7 μm to 2.8 μm, resulting in a large decrease in the internal tensile stress and tilt angle, thereby contributing to the formation of crack-free, 6-micrometer-thick, high-quality AlN films on the Si substrates. The FWHMs of the XRD curves were as low as 260 and 374 arcsec for the AlN (0002) and (10$\bar{1}2$) planes, respectively, corresponding to a record-low TDD of $1.3 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-2}$. This work paves the way for the fabrication of high-performance Al(Ga)N-based thin-film devices, such as UV LEDs and AlN bulk acoustic resonators grown on Si.
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