



Statement of Peer Review[†]

Haifa F. Alhasson¹, Shuaa S. Alharbi¹, Shabana Habib^{1,*}, Abdulatif Alabdulatif¹, Rehan Ullah Khan¹, Sulaiman Al Amro² and Ali Mustafa Qamar²

¹ Department of Information Technology, College of Computer, Qassim University, Buraydah 51452, Saudi Arabia; hhson@qu.edu.sa (H.F.A.); shuaa.s.alharbi@qu.edu.sa (S.S.A.); ab.alabdulatif@qu.edu.sa (A.A.); re.khan@qu.edu.sa (R.U.K.)

² Department of Computer Science, College of Computer, Qassim University, Buraydah 51452, Saudi Arabia; samro@qu.edu.sa (S.A.A.); al.khan@qu.edu.sa (A.M.Q.)

* Correspondence: s.habibullah@qu.edu.sa; Tel.: +966-581-173-953

[†] All papers published in this volume are presented at the 1st International Conference on Emerging Tech & Innovation (ICETI), Buraydah, Saudi Arabia, 10 February 2026.

In submitting the conference proceedings to *Computer Sciences & Mathematics Forum*, the Volume Editors hereby certify that all papers included in this volume were subjected to a rigorous peer-review process in accordance with the conference's Tentative Review Policy.

Each manuscript was reviewed by **two to three independent expert reviewers**. The evaluation was conducted based on clearly defined criteria, including:

- Relevance to the conference **scope**;
- **Novelty** and originality of the contribution;
- **Validity** of the research design and methodology;
- Correctness of **data** reporting, analysis, and interpretation;
- **Clarity** and logical presentation of ideas.

Reviewers provided structured reports comprising a summary of the paper, strengths and weaknesses, overall assessment, and detailed major and minor comments. Recommendations were assigned using the EasyChair evaluation categories ranging from *Strong Accept* (3) to *Strong Reject* (−3) as follows:

- 3: Strong Accept.
- 2: Accept.
- 1: Weak Accept.
- 0: Borderline Paper.
- −1: Weak Reject.
- −2: Reject.
- −3: Strong Reject.

The entire review process was supervised by the Conference Committee and the Volume Editors to ensure fairness, transparency, and adherence to the professional and scientific standards required for proceedings published by MDPI. The process fully complied with the peer-review policy and guidelines of *Computer Sciences & Mathematics Forum*. All review reports were verified, documented, and archived by the Editorial Office to ensure quality assurance and publication integrity.



Published: 3 July 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and

conditions of the [Creative Commons](#)

[Attribution \(CC BY\)](#) license.

- Type of peer review: single-blind.
- Conference submission management system: EasyChair.
- Number of submissions received: 45.
- Number of submissions sent for review: 44.
- Number of submissions accepted: 17.

- Acceptance rate (number of submissions accepted/number of submissions received): 38%.
- Average number of reviews per paper: 2.
- Total number of reviewers involved: 25.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.