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Abstract: In this paper, the traveling wave protection issue of a hybrid high-voltage direct-current transmission line based on the line-commutated converter and modular multilevel converter is investigated. Generally, traveling wave protection based on voltage variation criterion, voltage variation rate criterion and current variation rate criterion is applied on hybrid high-voltage direct-current transmission lines as primary protection. There are two issues that should be addressed: (i) it has no fault direction identification capability which may cause wrong operation regarding external faults; and (ii) it does not consider the difference between line-commutated converter based rectifier station topology and modular multilevel converter based inverter station topology. Therefore, a novel traveling wave directional pilot protection principle for the hybrid high-voltage direct-current transmission line is proposed based on the S-transform. Firstly, the data processing capability of S-transform is described. Secondly, the typical traveling wave propagation process on a hybrid high-voltage direct-current transmission line is studied. Thirdly, a novel traveling wave fault direction identification principle is proposed. Eventually, based on PSCAD/EMTDC, a typical ±400 kV hybrid high-voltage direct-current transmission system is used for a case study to verify its robustness against fault location, fault resistance and fault type.
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1. Introduction

Line-commutated converter (LCC) based high-voltage direct-current (HVDC) transmission systems have a number of advantages: large transmission capacity, low transmission loss and long transmission distance. However, the inverter converter has suffered from commutation failure issues for a long time [1–4]. Due to the working characteristics of IGBT and PWM control strategy, the modular multilevel converter (MMC) based HVDC converter has no commutation failure issue [5,6]. However, the construction cost of MMC-HVDC converters is much higher than that of LCC-HVDC converters. Therefore, to acquire the advantages of LCC-HVDC converters and MMC-HVDC converters, hybrid line-commutated converter and modular multilevel converter high-voltage direct-current (LCC-MMC-HVDC) technology is utilized in actual power systems. Generally, rectifier and inverter sides adopt LCC-HVDC and MMC-HVDC converters, respectively.

Currently, traveling wave (TW) protection is widely utilized on hybrid LCC-MMC-HVDC transmission lines and based on voltage variation, voltage variation rate and current variation rate criteria [7,8]. In fact, the utilized TW protection is based on transient quantity rather than true high frequency TW quantity. There are two issues: (1) no direction identification capability. Based on single-ended transient quantity, it has no ability to identify forward or backward directions correctly. (2) Threshold issue. Threshold values are highly related to TW protection’s reliability and sensitivity.

In order to overcome these issues, a number of scholars have proposed novel protection methods. Wang et al. [9] propose a TW directional pilot protection which is suitable for...

Aiming to solve these issues, this paper proposes a novel S-transform based TW directional pilot protection principle for hybrid LCC-MMC-HVDC transmission lines, which contributes in three different ways: (i) proposing a novel TW propagation characteristic analysis method of hybrid transmission systems; (ii) proposing a novel S-transform based TW directional pilot protection principle; (iii) using the whole bandwidth of the TW signal.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the principle of S-transform is introduced. In Section 3, the TW propagation process on LCC-MMC-HVDC transmission lines is studied. In Section 4, a novel S-transform based TW directional pilot protection principle is proposed. In Section 5, according to a ±400 kV hybrid LCC-MMC-HVDC simulation case based on PSCAD/EMTDC, the proposed TW protection principle’s robustness against fault type, fault resistance and fault location is proved. In Section 6, a concise summary is given.

2. S-Transform Principle

S-transform is a kind of time–frequency transformation principle which has advantages over CWT and SFT algorithms [17]. For a signal \( x(t) \), the result of S-transform is illustrated by

\[
W(\tau, d) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t) \omega(\tau - t, d) dt
\]

(1)

where \( d \) and \( \tau \) denote the flexible parameter and the time-shift coefficient, respectively; \( \omega(\tau - t, d) \) is a flexible time-shift function of the base wavelet. Since S-transform is a kind of CWT after phase angle correction, the transformation of \( x(t) \) can be described as the product of CWT itself and a phase angle modification parameter in the following:

\[
S(\tau, f) = e^{2\pi if} W(\tau, d)
\]

(2)

where \( f \) and \( i \) respectively represent the time frequency and the imaginary unit. Taking Equation (1) into Equation (2), therefore,

\[
\begin{aligned}
S(\tau, f) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t) \omega(\tau - t, f) e^{-2\pi if t} dt \\
\omega(\tau - t, f) &= \frac{|f|}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-f^2(\tau-t)^2/2}
\end{aligned}
\]

(3)

where \( \omega(\tau - t, f) \) is a Gaussian window function. According to the above analysis, compared with the SFT algorithm, the Gaussian window’s height and width of the S-transform algorithm vary with frequency. Therefore, the disadvantage of a fixed Gaussian window of the SFT algorithm is overcome. Defining \( X(f) \) as the Fourier transformation result of original signal \( x(t) \),

\[
S(\tau, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} X(v + f) e^{-2\pi i v^2/f^2 + i2\pi \tau v} dv.
\]

(4)
Then, the discrete S-transform is computed by

$$ S \left[ jT, \frac{n}{NT} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X \left[ \frac{k + n}{NT} \right] e^{-2\pi^2 k^2 / n^2 + 2\pi kj / N} $$

(5)

where \( f \rightarrow n / NT; \tau \rightarrow jT; T \) denotes sampling time step; \( j, k, n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \).

The result of S-transform is an \( m \times n \) order complex matrix \( S_{m \times n} \). The phase angle and amplitude of \( x(t) \) can be described as:

$$ \begin{cases} S_{Am} = \text{angle}(S_{m \times n}) \\ S_{Am} = \text{abs}(S_{m \times n}) \end{cases} $$

(6)

where \( S_{Am} \) and \( S_{Am} \) represent phase angle matrix and amplitude matrix, respectively.

3. Typical Transmission System

3.1. Reflection and Refraction of TW

Figure 1 presents the basic TW reflection and refraction phenomena [18]. As can be seen, the incident VTW \((u_1, i_1)\) and CTW \((i_1)\) propagate along line 1, and refraction and reflection happen at an impedance discontinuity point (generally busbar or fault point). In addition, the TW impedances at line 1 and line 2 are \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \), respectively. The reflection VTW and refraction VTW are \( u_2 \) and \( u_3 \), respectively. The reflection CTW and refraction CTW are \( i_2 \) and \( i_3 \), respectively. The forward direction of VTW is defined from transmission line to earth. The forward direction of CTW is its actual propagation direction. Hence, the reflection and refraction coefficients are obtained as

$$ \begin{cases} \lambda_{u} = \frac{Z_2 - Z_1}{Z_2 + Z_1} \\ \lambda_{i} = \frac{Z_2 - Z_1}{Z_2 + Z_1} \\ \beta_{u} = \frac{2Z_2}{Z_2 + Z_1} \\ \beta_{i} = \frac{2Z_1}{Z_2 + Z_1} \end{cases} $$

(7)

where \( \lambda_{u} \) and \( \beta_{u} \) are VTW reflection and refraction coefficients, respectively; \( \lambda_{i} \) and \( \beta_{i} \) are CTW reflection and refraction coefficients, respectively.

![Figure 1. TW reflection and refraction.](image)

3.2. LCC-HVDC Rectifier Station

Generally, an LCC-HVDC station is applied at the rectifier side to obtain higher power transmission capacity, of which the typical topology is illustrated in Figure 2. The rectifier side includes a thyristor based converter, power transformer, relay, AC filter and DC filter.
It generally adopts a constant DC current control strategy. Additionally, a large smoothing reactor is installed at the terminal of the transmission line. The wave impedances of the smoothing reactor and transmission line are described as $Z_{SR}$ and $Z_{TL}$, respectively. Therefore, according to Equation (7), the reflection and refraction coefficients are

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{Ru} &= \frac{Z_{SR} - Z_{TL}}{Z_{SR} + Z_{TL}} \\
\lambda_{Ri} &= \frac{Z_{SR} - Z_{TL}}{Z_{SR} + Z_{TL}} \\
\beta_{Ru} &= \frac{2Z_{SR}}{Z_{SR} + Z_{TL}} \\
\beta_{Ri} &= \frac{2Z_{TL}}{Z_{SR} + Z_{TL}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{Ru}$ and $\lambda_{Ri}$ are VTW and CTW reflection coefficients at the rectifier station, respectively; $\beta_{Ru}$ and $\beta_{Ri}$ are VTW and CTW refraction coefficients at the rectifier station, respectively.

![Figure 2. Typical structure of LCC-HVDC rectifier station.](image)

### 3.3. MMC-HVDC Inverter Station

An MMC-HVDC station is generally applied at the inverter side to avoid commutation failure. Figure 3 shows a typical structure of an MMC-HVDC inverter station, which comprises the IGBT based converter (including a number of SMs and bridge arm inductance), power transformer, relay and filter inductance. It generally adopts the constant DC voltage control and constant reactive power control strategies in the inverter side. After a fault occurs, the incident VTW signals arrive at the relay location. Afterwards, the refraction VTW signals pass through the converter into earth, and reflection VTW signals return to the fault location. Since the TW propagation process period is less than a few ms, the working state of the converters remains approximately unchanged. Therefore, the wave impedance of converters can be computed by

$$
Z_{CO} = \left| -\frac{k_1}{\omega C} - \frac{k_2}{\omega C} + 2j\omega L \right| = \left| 4\pi f L - \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2\pi f C} \right|
$$

where $Z_{CO}$ is wave impedance of the converter; $\omega$ and $f$ respectively denote angular and time frequencies; $k_1$ and $k_2$ respectively represent the numbers of inserted SM capacitances.
of upper and lower bridge arms. Therefore, according to Equation (7), the reflection and refraction coefficients are

\[
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{Lu} &= \frac{Z_{CO} - Z_{TL}}{Z_{CO} + Z_{TL}} \\
\lambda_{Li} &= \frac{Z_{CO} - Z_{TL}}{Z_{CO} + Z_{TL}} \\
\beta_{Lu} &= \frac{2Z_{CO}}{Z_{CO} + Z_{TL}} \\
\beta_{Li} &= \frac{2Z_{TL}}{Z_{CO} + Z_{TL}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}
\]

where \(\lambda_{Lu}\) and \(\lambda_{Li}\) are VTW and CTW reflection coefficients at the inverter station, respectively; \(\beta_{Lu}\) and \(\beta_{Li}\) are VTW and CTW refraction coefficients at the inverter station, respectively.

![Figure 3. Typical structure of MMC-HVDC inverter station.](image)

4. Protection Principle

4.1. TW Propagation Characteristic in Hybrid LCC-MMC-HVDC Transmission System

Figure 4a,b provide the TW’s propagation characteristics with different faults. L and R stand for rectifier side and inverter side, respectively. \(L_1, L_2, L_3\), and \(L_4\) are TW mutations at the rectifier side. \(R_1, R_2\), and \(R_3\) are TW mutations at the inverter side.

![Figure 4. TW propagation process. (a) Internal fault. (b) External fault.](image)

Tables 1 and 2 describe both terminals’ TW mutations under different fault types. \(u(t)\) and \(i(t)\) represent VTW and CTW signals, respectively. \(\lambda_{Lu}\) and \(\lambda_{Li}\) represent the rectifier
side’s VTW reflection coefficient and CTW reflection coefficient, respectively. \( \lambda_{Fu} \) and \( \lambda_{Fi} \) represent the fault location’s VTW reflection coefficient and CTW reflection coefficient, respectively. \( \lambda_{Ru} \) and \( \lambda_{Ri} \) represent the inverter side’s VTW reflection coefficient and CTW reflection coefficient, respectively. \( \beta_{fu} \) and \( \beta_{fi} \) represent the fault location’s VTW refraction coefficient and CTW refraction coefficient, respectively. \( \beta_{Lu} \) and \( \beta_{Li} \) represent the rectifier side’s VTW refraction coefficient and CTW refraction coefficient, respectively. \( u_f \) and \( i_f \) represent the initial VTW and CTW, respectively. \( L_1, L_2 \), and \( L_3 \) represent the different TW mutations at the rectifier side. \( R_1, R_2 \) and \( R_3 \) represent the different TW mutations at the inverter side. \( \alpha_L \) and \( \alpha_R \) represent the angle phase differences of VTW and CTW of the rectifier side and inverter side, respectively. Generally, \(-1 < \lambda_{Lu} = \lambda_{Li} < 1, -1 < \lambda_{Ru} = \lambda_{Ri} < 1, -1 < \lambda_{Fu} = \lambda_{Fi} < 1, \beta_{Lu}, \beta_{Li}, \beta_{Fu}, \beta_{Fi} > 0 \). In addition, the initial VTW \((u_f)\) and initial CTW \((i_f)\) have the same polarities.

Table 1. Two terminals’ TW mutations with internal fault.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Rectifier Side</th>
<th>Inverter Side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( u(t) )</td>
<td>( i(t) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_1</td>
<td>((1 + \lambda_{Lu})u_F)</td>
<td>(-1 - \lambda_{Li})i_F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2</td>
<td>(\lambda_{Lu}\lambda_{Fu}(1 + \lambda_{Lu})u_F)</td>
<td>(-\lambda_{Li}\lambda_{Fi}(1 - \lambda_{Li})i_F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_3</td>
<td>(\lambda_{Lu}^2\lambda_{Fu}^2(1 + \lambda_{Lu})u_F)</td>
<td>(-\lambda_{Li}^2\lambda_{Fi}^2(1 - \lambda_{Li})i_F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Two terminals’ TW mutations with external fault.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Rectifier Side</th>
<th>Inverter Side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( u(t) )</td>
<td>( i(t) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_1</td>
<td>(\beta_{Lu}u_F)</td>
<td>(\beta_{Li}i_F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2</td>
<td>(\lambda_{Lu}\lambda_{Fu}\beta_{Lu}u_F)</td>
<td>(\lambda_{Li}\lambda_{Fi}\beta_{Li}i_F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_3</td>
<td>(\lambda_{Lu}^2\lambda_{Fu}^2\beta_{Lu}u_F)</td>
<td>(\lambda_{Li}^2\lambda_{Fi}^2\beta_{Li}i_F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Fault Direction Identification Algorithm

Define the fault direction identification coefficient,

\[
E = - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=10}^{M} P[jT, k f_s] \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{P[jT, k f_s]}{P[jT, k f_s]} \times A \left( jT, k f_s \right) \\
\left| A \left( jT, k f_s \right) \right| - \left| B \left( jT, k f_s \right) \right|
\end{array} \right)
\]

(11)

where \( E \) represents the fault direction identification coefficient; \( jT \), \( N \) and \( T \) represent sampling time, data window length and time sampling step (\( j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1; N = 1000; T = 1 \mu s \) in this paper), respectively; \( k f_s \), \( M \) and \( f_S \) represent sampling frequency, maximum frequency and frequency sampling step (\( k = 10, 11, \ldots, M; M = 500; f_s = 1 \text{kHz} \) in this paper), respectively; \( P \), \( A \) and \( B \) stand for instantaneous power, instantaneous amplitude and instantaneous phase angle, respectively; \( S_n \) and \( S_i \) represent S-transform matrixes of VTW and CTW, respectively. According to Tables 1 and 2, based on Equation (11):

- If it is a forward fault for the relay, the phase angle difference between VTW and CTW is approximately equal to \(-\pi\). In other words, the \( A \left( jT, k f_s \right) = -\pi \). Therefore,

\[
E = - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=10}^{M} P[jT, k f_s] = \pi \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=10}^{M} \left| \frac{A \left( jT, k f_s \right)}{B \left( jT, k f_s \right)} \right| = 0.
\]

(12)
- If it is a backward fault for the relay, the phase angle difference between VTW and CTW is approximately equal to 0. In other words, the \( A_n[jT,kf_3] = 0 \). Therefore,

\[
E = - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=10}^{M} P[jT,kf_3] = -0 \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=10}^{M} A_m[jT,kf_3] \approx 0. \quad (13)
\]

Based on Equations (12) and (13), the fault direction identification algorithm is expressed by:

\[
\begin{cases}
E \geq \Delta & \text{forward fault} \\
E < \Delta & \text{backward fault}
\end{cases}
\quad (14)
\]

where \( \Delta \) is the experience threshold value (\( \Delta = 50 \text{ MVA-rad} \)).

4.3. TW Protection Implementation

Figure 5 describes protection implementation, and it can be separated into several steps:

i) Start

ii) Data sampling

iii) Phase-mode transform

iv) Fault direction identification

v) Send/receive

vi) Fault section identification

vii) End

**Figure 5.** TW protection implementation.

(i) Start.

(ii) Data sampling. The VTW and CTW data are sampled by a 1 MHz sampling rate, and the data window in this paper is 1 ms.

(iii) Phase-mode transform. In order to adapt to different fault types, the paper adopts a positive sequence to calculate

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_1 \\
M_0
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
P_+ \\
P_-
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( M_1 \) and \( M_0 \) represent positive and zero sequence signals, respectively; \( P_+ \) and \( P_- \) are positive and negative pole signals, respectively.

(iv) Fault direction identification. Based on Section 4.2, the fault direction identification coefficient can be obtained. Afterwards, the fault direction is identified.

(v) Send/receive. Two terminals exchange fault direction identification results, generally through an optical cable.

(vi) Fault section identification. If the fault direction identification results of two terminals are both forward faults, the internal fault can be determined. Otherwise, an external fault can be determined.

(vii) End.

5. Case Studies

5.1. Simulation Model

According to Figure 6, a typical ±400 kV hybrid LCC-MMC-HVDC simulation case is established via the simulation software PSCAD/EMTDC [19,20]. For the study case, the converter topology of the LCC rectifier side and MMC inverter side are respectively
illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. The rectifier side adopts the constant DC current control strategy. The inverter side adopts the constant DC voltage and constant reactive power control strategies. The HVDC transmission line adopts a frequency dependent model. Meanwhile, the simulation rate is set to 1 MHz. F1, F2 and F3 represent the PG, NG and PN internal fault cases, respectively. F4 represents the PG external fault. In the simulation, the faulty signals are acquired at transmission line terminals (RP, RN, IP and IN), and afterwards the protection algorithms can proceed and respond to the fault. All the simulation parameters are refer to an actual power grid.

![Diagram](image_url)

**Figure 6.** PSCAD simulation case.

### 5.2. Typical Fault Simulation

Figure 7 shows the VTWs and CTWs of both terminals with a typical internal fault (F1). The fault occurs at F1 (30 km away from the LCC rectifier side, PG fault, 0 fault resistance). $u_{RP}$ and $u_{RN}$ are respectively the VTWs of positive and negative transmission lines of the rectifier station. $u_{IP}$ and $u_{IN}$ are respectively the VTWs of positive and negative transmission lines of the inverter station. $i_{RP}$ and $i_{RN}$ are respectively the CTWs of positive and negative transmission lines of the rectifier station. $i_{IP}$ and $i_{IN}$ are respectively the CTWs of positive and negative transmission lines of the inverter station. According to Figure 7, Figure 8 shows the positive sequence mode VTWs and CTWs of both terminals with an internal fault (F1). $u_{R1}$ and $i_{R1}$ are the positive sequence mode VTW and CTW of the rectifier side, respectively. $u_{I1}$ and $i_{I1}$ are the positive sequence mode VTW and CTW of the inverter side, respectively. In order to compare the proposed protection principle with the state-of-the-art work, comparison simulation results are illustrated.

![Graphs](image_url)

**Figure 7.** VTWs and CTWs of both terminals with internal fault (F1). (a) VTWs of both terminals. (b) CTWs of both terminals.
Figure 8. Positive sequence mode VTWs and CTWs of both terminals with internal fault (F1). (a) Positive sequence mode VTW and CTW of rectifier side. (b) Positive sequence mode VTW and CTW of inverter side.

5.2.1. The Proposed Protection Principle

Based on Figure 8, Figure 9 shows the instantaneous power of both terminals with an internal fault. As can be seen, the instantaneous power varies with different sampling times and frequencies. Accordingly, based on Equation (11), the fault direction identification coefficients of both terminals are 8004.4 MVA · rad and 600.3 MVA · rad, respectively. Based on Equation (14) and Section 4.3, the internal fault is determined correctly. Similarly, a typical external fault is simulated. The fault happens at F2 (near the LCC-HVDC rectifier station, PG fault, 50 Ω grounding resistance). The instantaneous power is described in Figure 10. Accordingly, based on Equation (11), the fault direction identification coefficients of both terminals are 9.8 MVA · rad and 365.0 MVA · rad, respectively. Based on Equation (14) and Section 4.3, the external fault is determined correctly.

Figure 9. Instantaneous power of both terminals with internal fault (F1). (a) Instantaneous power of rectifier side. (b) Instantaneous power of inverter side.

5.2.2. The State-of-the-Art Work

We choose [21] as a comparable work, of which the fault direction discrimination parameter can be described as

$$\gamma = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} ku(k)i(k)}{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} i^2(k)}$$

(16)

where k is the sampling point; u(t) and i(t) are respectively the intrinsic mode functions of VTW and CTW which are obtained by an empirical mode decomposition algorithm; k is the VTW amplitude conditioning factor.
Based on Figure 8, Figure 11 illustrates the comparison chart of $k_u$ and $i$ of both terminals with an internal fault (F1). Based on [21], the fault location discrimination parameters of both terminals are $-0.586$ and $0.0089$, respectively. As can be seen, for the relay away from the fault location, the protection principle cannot act correctly.

5.3. Simulations under the Influence of Fault Location Issue

To validate the performances of the proposed TW protection method under the influence of a fault location issue, a number of cases are simulated, of which the results are provided in Figure 12 where Figure 12a–c represent the PG, NG and PN faults, respectively. Additionally, $E_{R1}$ and $E_{I1}$ are fault direction identification coefficients. As shown, under the influence of a fault type issue (PG fault, NG fault and PN fault) and fault location issue (0–300 km away from the converters), the proposed protection can identify fault direction correctly, implying a good reliability.
5.4. Simulations Under the Influence of Fault Resistance Issue

To validate the performances of the proposed TW protection method under the influence of a fault resistance issue, a number of cases are simulated, of which the results are provided in Figure 13 where Figure 13a–c represent the PG, NG and PN faults, respectively. In addition, $E_{R1}$ and $E_{I1}$ are fault direction identification coefficients. As shown, under the influence of a fault type issue (PG fault, NG fault and PN fault) and fault resistance issue (0 to 300 Ω), the proposed protection can identify fault direction correctly, implying a good reliability.

![Figure 13. Fault direction identification coefficients with different fault resistances. (a) PG fault. (b) NG fault. (c) PN fault.](image)

6. Conclusions

Based on S-transform, this paper proposes a novel TW directional pilot protection algorithm for a hybrid LCC-MMC-HVDC transmission line including a fault direction identification algorithm and complete implementation method. Compared with the existing literature, the performances of the proposed method have three contributions:

(i) The novel protection algorithm has good adaptability to a hybrid LCC-MMC-HVDC transmission line.

(ii) The novel protection algorithm has the ability to identify fault section information (internal and external faults) correctly. Additionally, it is not affected by factors such as fault location, fault resistance and fault type.

(iii) Light data exchange burden and no time synchronization pressure. Only fault direction identification coefficients are required to be transmitted via a communication channel (e.g., optical cable). In addition, no time synchronization system is required.

However, to obtain high protection reliability, it requires a data transmission channel such as an optical cable. Additionally, the adaptability of the proposed method in a hybrid HVDC system with a full bridge MMC-HVDC converter or multiterminal power grids still needs further research.
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Abbreviations
The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

- LCC: Line commutated converter
- MMC: Modular multilevel converter
- VSC: Voltage source converter
- HVDC: High-voltage direct current
- TW: Traveling wave
- IGBT: Insulated gate bipolar transistor
- PWM: Pulse width modulation
- VTW: Voltage traveling wave
- CTW: Current traveling wave
- PG: Positive pole to ground
- NG: Negative pole to ground
- PN: Positive pole to negative pole
- CWT: Continuous wavelet transform
- SFT: Short-time Fourier transform
- SM: Submodule
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