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Abstract: Aiming to investigate the rotation effect on the natural convection and heat transfer of nanofluid, which has an important application in the control of heat transfer, the velocity field and temperature distribution inside the square cylinder with the rotating heat source in the center were numerically studied and presented in detail at different Hartman numbers and aspect ratios using the immersed boundary-lattice Boltzmann method. Then, the average Nusselt number on the surface of the heat source was calculated to compare the heat transfer rate in different cases. The results showed that the rotation would reduce the effect of gravity on the flow and suppress the heat transfer between the rotating heat source and nanofluid, while the external magnetic field would reduce the rotation effect on the flow and suppress or promote the heat transfer depending on the rotational speed and aspect ratio. Moreover, the smaller aspect ratio of the heat source to the square cylinder would enhance the heat transfer rate and make the retarding effect of magnetic field on rotation more apparent. In addition, the dimensionless rotational speed was proposed in this work, by which much computational time could be saved during the calculation of the immersed-boundary lattice Boltzmann method for the problem of rotation.
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1. Introduction

In the past few decades, nanofluids have attracted the attention of many researchers due to their important industrial applications, including solar collectors, heat exchangers, high-performance boilers, chemical catalytic reactors, the polymer industry and metallurgy [1–5], which benefit from the fact that it is convenient to change the thermohydraulic characteristics of nanofluids and then control the heat transfer rate [6–9].

From this point, Hu et al. [10] numerically investigated the natural convection flows in an eccentric annulus filled by Cu–water nanofluid with a constant heat flux wall and found that the eccentricity and radial ratio have a significant effect on the average Nusselt number. Mahmoudi et al. [11] analyzed the effects of a magnetic field on nanofluid flow in a cavity with a linear boundary condition and noted that the heat transfer and fluid flow depend strongly upon the direction of the magnetic field. Sathiyamoorthy and Chamkha [12] studied the MHD (Magnetohydrodynamics) natural convection flow of liquid gallium in a square cavity and concluded that the magnetic field with an inclined angle would affect the flow and heat transfer rates in the cavity. Izadi et al. [13] reviewed the published studies about mixed convection of nanofluids in enclosures and concluded that the influences of the nanoparticles’ volume concentration, Richardson and Reynolds numbers on heat transfer and thermal entropy generation.

At the same time, the study of fluid flowing over the rotational structure has received considerable attention because of its important role in controlling the mixed convection heat transfer in cavities [14] and numerous applications in engineering and industrial fields such...
as thermal-power systems, aeronautical systems, medical equipment, gas turbine rotors, storage devices in computers, air cleaning machines, crystal growth processes and food-processing technology [15]. Jeyabalan et al. [16] numerically explored the magnetic dynamo action of thermal space-periodic convection in a horizontal plane layer of electrically conducting fluid rotating about the vertical axis. Chertovskih et al. [17] numerically simulated the magnetohydrodynamic convective attractors in a plane layer of conducting fluid with square periodicity cells and then explored the dependence of magnetic field generation on the rotation rate. Rashidi et al. [18] analyzed the entropy generation in steady MHD flow over a rotating disk in the presence of a uniform magnetic field, and the results showed that this model had an important application prospect in heat transfer enhancement inside thermal-power systems, novel nuclear space propulsion engines and renewable energy systems. Sheikholeslami et al. [19] investigated the MHD natural convection of nanofluid between a cold outer square cylinder and a heated inner circular cylinder and analyzed the different effects of Hartmann number, nanoparticle volume fraction, types of nanofluid, Rayleigh numbers and aspect ratios on the flow and heat transfer characteristics.

On the other hand, the new computational method, i.e., the immersed boundary-lattice Boltzmann method (IB-LBM), which combines and utilizes the most desirable features of the lattice Boltzmann and the immersed boundary methods, has constantly been developed to deal with the complex or moving solid-fluid boundary conditions [20]. Wu and Shu [21] proposed an implicit velocity correction-based IB-LBM that not only keeps the advantages of conventional IB-LBM, such as simplicity and smooth solutions, but also avoids the disadvantage of not accurately satisfying the non-slip boundary conditions. Hu et al. [22] adopted IB-LBM to treat the curved velocity and thermal boundary conditions in the simulation of natural convection inside a square enclosure with a heated cylinder covered by a porous layer. Similarly, Li et al. [23] applied IB-LBM to the curved velocity and thermal boundary conditions and then numerically studied the natural convection of Al₂O₃-H₂O nanofluids in a square enclosure with a stationary circular cylinder.

However, to our knowledge, the literature related to the effect of rotating the solid-fluid boundary on nanofluid natural convection and heat transfer is still scarce, which may be owed to the large amount of computational time for the fluid velocity corrections at all Lagrangian boundary points in every time step during the calculation of IB-LBM [24]. Therefore, the dimensionless rotational speed is introduced in the present work to save computational time, and then the velocity field and temperature distribution inside the square cylinder with the rotating heat source in the center were numerically studied and presented in detail at different Hartman numbers and aspect ratios, which may contribute to many applications such as hydrodynamic and convective nonlinear dynamos [16].

2. Problem Statement and Mathematical Model
2.1. Problem Statement

The schematic diagram of the problem studied in this work is shown in Figure 1. The heated circular cylinder with temperature \(T_h\) is rotating anticlockwise at a certain angular velocity \(\omega\) in the center of the cold square cylinder with temperature \(T_c\) (\(T_c < T_h\)). Between the circular cylinder and the square cylinder is TiO₂-H₂O nanofluid with the TiO₂ volume fraction \(\varphi = 5\%\). In addition, the uniform magnetic field \(B\) and gravity field \(g\) are under consideration, where the orientation of the magnetic field forms an angle \(\theta\) with the horizontal line, and the magnetic Reynolds number is assumed to be small so that the induced magnetic field and the Hall effect can be neglected [25–27]. Moreover, \(\lambda = 2R / L\) denotes the aspect ratio, and the Hartman number \(Ha\) [28] denotes the intensity of the magnetic field (\(Ha = 0\) means there is no magnetic field). The purpose of the present work is to investigate the effect of the angular velocity \(\omega\) on the velocity field, temperature distribution and Nusselt number of nanofluid natural convection at different aspect ratios \(\lambda\) and magnetic field \(B\).
where the subscript of "nf", "s" and "f" denote the nanofluids, TiO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O, respectively.

2.3. Immersed Boundary-Lattice Boltzmann Method (IB-LBM)

In this work, the following lattice Boltzmann equation [31] was adopted:

\[
f_i(\hat{x} + \vec{c}_i \cdot \Delta t, t + \Delta t) - f_i(\hat{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{\tau} (f_i(\hat{x}, t) - f_{i,eq}^{(eq)}(\hat{x}, t)) + \Delta t \cdot F_i \tag{5}
\]

where \( f_i \) is the density distribution function; \( j_{i,eq}^{(eq)} \) is the equilibrium distribution function; \( \hat{x} \) is the position vector; \( \vec{c}_i \) is the discrete lattice velocity in direction \( i \); \( \Delta t \) is the lattice timestep; \( \tau \) is the dimensionless lattice relaxation time for velocity; \( F_i \) is the force term determined by

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the problem in this work.](image-url)
the magnetic field, gravity and solid-fluid boundary together. The equilibrium distribution function \( f_i^{(eq)} \) can be calculated by [32]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad f_i^{(eq)} & = \omega_i \rho \left[ 1 + \frac{\vec{c}_i \cdot \vec{u}}{c^2} + \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{c}_i^2 - c^2 I)}{2c^4} \right] \\
\quad \text{where } \omega_i \text{ is the weighting factor; } \rho \text{ is the lattice fluid density;} \quad \text{\( c \)} \text{ the lattice sound speed;} \quad \text{\( I \)} \text{ the unit tensor. Moreover, the force term } F_i \text{ can be calculated by [33]:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad F_i & = \omega_i \rho (1 - \frac{1}{2\tau}) \left[ \frac{\vec{c}_i \cdot \vec{F}}{c^2} + \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{c}_i^2 - c^2 I)}{c^4} \right] \\
\quad \text{where } \vec{F} \text{ is the net force of Lorentz force } \vec{F}_L \text{ caused by magnetic field, gravity } \vec{F}_G \text{ and force correction } \vec{F}_C \text{ caused by the solid-fluid boundary:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad \vec{F} & = \vec{F}_L + \vec{F}_G + \vec{F}_C \\
\quad \text{Lorentz force } \vec{F}_L(\vec{F}_L = F_{Lx} \cdot \hat{i} + F_{Ly} \cdot \hat{j}) \text{ can be calculated by [34]:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
F_{Lx} = 3\omega_i \rho A (u_y \sin \theta \cos \theta - u_x \sin^2 \theta) \\
F_{Ly} = 3\omega_i \rho A (u_y \sin \theta \cos \theta - u_y \cos^2 \theta)
\end{array} \right. \\
\quad \text{where } A \text{ is corresponded to } H \alpha^2 v / (\rho L^2) \text{ and } v \text{ is the kinetic viscosity of fluid. The force correction } \vec{F}_C \text{ can be calculated by [21]:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad \vec{F}_C & = \frac{2\rho \delta \vec{u}}{\delta t} \\
\quad \text{where } \delta \vec{u} \text{ is the fluid velocity correction, which can be obtained from the boundary velocity correction } \delta \vec{u}_B. \text{ The formula for } \delta \vec{u}_B \text{ is [35]:}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad AX = B \\
\quad \text{where}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad \begin{pmatrix}
\delta u_{B1}^1 \\
\delta u_{B2}^1 \\
\vdots \\
\delta u_{Bm}^1
\end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{11}^B & \delta_{12}^B & \cdots & \delta_{1n}^B \\
\delta_{21}^B & \delta_{22}^B & \cdots & \delta_{2n}^B \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\delta_{m1}^B & \delta_{m2}^B & \cdots & \delta_{mn}^B
\end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} \\
\quad B &= \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
U_{B1}^1 \\
U_{B2}^1 \\
\vdots \\
U_{Bm}^1
\end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad \text{Here, } m \text{ denotes the number of Lagrangian boundary points and } n \text{ denotes the number of surrounding Eulerian points; } \delta u_{B}^l (l = 1, 2, \cdots, m) \text{ is the unknown velocity correction}
\end{align*}
\]
vector at the Lagrangian boundary points; $\delta_{ij}$ is equal to $D_{ij}(x_{ij} - x_B^l) \Delta x \Delta y$ and $\delta_{ij}^B$ is equal to $D_{ij}(x_{ij} - x_B^l) \Delta s_l$ with the delta function $D_{ij}(x_{ij} - x_B^l)$ expressed as:

$$D_{ij}(x_{ij} - x_B^l) = \delta(x_{ij} - x_B^l) \delta(y_{ij} - y_B^l)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$\delta(r) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \cos \left( \frac{\pi |r|}{2} \right) \right], & |r| \leq 2 \\
0, & |r| > 2
\end{array} \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

In addition, $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ both denote the lattice spacing; $\Delta s_l$ denotes the arc length of the boundary element; $\vec{U}_l^B (l = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ is the given velocity at Lagrangian boundary points; $\vec{u}^*$ is the intermediate fluid velocity, which can be calculated by:

$$\vec{u}^* = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_i \vec{c}_i f_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

After the boundary velocity correction $\delta \vec{u}_l^B$ is obtained from Equations (11)–(17), the fluid velocity correction $\delta \vec{u}$ needed in Equation (10) can be calculated by:

$$\delta \vec{u} = \sum_{l=1}^m \delta \vec{u}_l^B D_{ij}(x_{ij} - x_B^l) \Delta s_l$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

In the present work, D2Q9 model was used and then the discrete lattice velocity $\vec{c}_i$ in Equation (5) can be expressed as follows [36,37]:

$$\vec{c}_i = \begin{cases} 
(0, 0) & k = 0 \\
 c(\cos[(k - 1)\pi/2], \sin[(k - 1)\pi/2]) & k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\
 \sqrt{2}c(\cos[(2k - 1)\pi/4], \sin[(2k - 1)\pi/4]) & k = 5, 6, 7, 8 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where the streaming speed is defined as $c = \Delta x / \Delta t$. Moreover, the weighting factor $\omega_i$ can be expressed as [38]:

$$\omega_i = \begin{cases} 
4/9 & i = 0 \\
1/9 & i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\
1/36 & i = 5, 6, 7, 8 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Furthermore, $c_s = c / \sqrt{3}$ is the lattice sound speed in D2Q9 model, and the correctional bounce-back scheme was adopted in the boundary conditions, with the unknown distribution function in the boundary determined by:

$$\begin{align}
& f_{L}^{1,5,8} = f_{L}^{3,7,6} \\
& f_{R}^{3,6,7} = f_{R}^{1,8,5} \\
& f_{T}^{4,7,8} = f_{T}^{2,5,6} \\
& f_{B}^{2,5,6} = f_{B}^{4,7,8}
\end{align}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where the superscript “L”, “R”, “T” and “B”, respectively, denote the left, right, top and bottom wall.

Finally, the fluid density $\rho$ and velocity $\vec{u}$ can be calculated by [39]:

$$\rho = \sum_{i=0}^8 f_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

$$\vec{u} = \vec{u}^* + \delta \vec{u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)
The IB-LBM for energy equation is similar and introduced in detail in the literature [35], which is not described here. It is worth mentioning that the average Nusselt number on the solid-fluid boundary $Nu$ is calculated by:

$$Nu = \frac{L}{\alpha \Delta t (T_h - T_c) L_s} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \delta T^l_B \Delta s_l$$

(24)

where $\alpha$ is the thermal diffusivity of fluid; $L_s$ is the total length of boundary; $\delta T^l_B$ ($l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$) is the temperature correction at the Lagrangian boundary points.

2.4. Minimum Angular Velocity and Dimensionless Rotational Speed

As shown in Figure 2, assuming that the boundary Lagrangian points ($P_{i-1}$, $P_i$, $P_{i+1}$, ...) are distributed at equal spacing at time $t_0$, the minimum angular velocity is defined as the minimum angular velocity, which makes $P_i$ overlap with $P_{i+1}$ at time $t_0 + \Delta t$. According to the definition, the minimum angular velocity $\omega_{\text{min}}$ can be calculated by:

$$\omega_{\text{min}} = \frac{2\pi}{m \Delta t} = \frac{2\pi \nu}{m L^2 \cdot v' \cdot \Delta t'}$$

(25)

where $m$ is the number of Lagrangian boundary points and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of fluid; the superscript means the physical quantities are expressed in physical units instead of lattice units. Since the elements of matrix $A$ in Equation (13) are only related to the Lagrangian boundary points and their neighboring Eulerian points, the advantage of $\omega_{\text{min}}$ is that a lot of computational time could be saved if the angular velocity of the rotating circular cylinder shown in Figure 1 was set to the integral multiple of $\omega_{\text{min}}$ because the overall distribution of Lagrangian boundary points remains unchanged in this case and matrix $A$ could be calculated before time iteration rather than during every time step.

![Figure 2. Schematic diagram of minimum angular velocity.](image)

However, it is inconvenient for $\omega_{\text{min}}$ to apply in the practical computation due to the variables of $L'$, $v'$ and $\Delta t'$ in different initial and boundary conditions. Therefore, the dimensionless rotational speed $N$ is proposed and can be calculated by:

$$N = \frac{\omega}{m \omega_{\text{min}}} \cdot \frac{L^2}{v' \cdot \Delta t'} = \frac{\omega L^2}{2\pi \nu}$$

(26)

where $m \omega_{\text{min}}$ can be interpreted as the minimum angular velocity, which makes $P_i$ rotate for one cycle and overlap with itself at time $t_0 + \Delta t$, while $L^2 / (v' \cdot \Delta t')$ can be regarded as...
the positive and dimensionless factor. Apparently, the dimensionless rotational speed \( N \) not only keeps the advantage of saving much computational time during the calculation of matrix \( A \) but also avoids the variables of \( m, L', \nu' \) and \( \Delta t' \). Moreover, the larger \( N \) is, the larger \( \omega \) is.

3. Code Validation and Grid Independence

In order to verify the reliability of the written computer code, the streamlines and isotherms of the natural convection in the concentric annulus were simulated using IB-LBM, and the comparison between the obtained results and literature [40] is shown in Figure 3. It is obvious that the obtained streamlines and isotherms are consistent with those in literature, so the validity of the present LBM code is guaranteed.

![Figure 3](image_url)

Figure 3. (a) The literature, (b) this work. Comparison of streamlines and isotherms obtained in this work and the literature.

To verify grid independency, different grid sizes of 120 \( \times \) 120, 160 \( \times \) 160, 200 \( \times \) 200, 240 \( \times \) 240 and 280 \( \times \) 280 were tested in the case of \( \lambda = 0.5, Ha = 40 \) and \( N = 0 \), and the calculated average Nusselt numbers on the solid-fluid boundary are shown in Table 2. Clearly, the grid size of 200 \( \times \) 200 would be the optimal choice to balance accuracy and computational time well.

Table 2. Effect of the grid size on the average Nusselt number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>120 ( \times ) 120</th>
<th>160 ( \times ) 160</th>
<th>200 ( \times ) 200</th>
<th>240 ( \times ) 240</th>
<th>280 ( \times ) 280</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nu</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.79%</td>
<td>1.46%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Effect of Rotational Speed on Streamlines and Isothermals

Figures 4 and 5 show the contours of stream function and dimensionless temperature at different \( N \) with \( \lambda = 0.5, Ha = 0 \) and \( Ra = 10^6 \), respectively. In Figure 4, the isolines of the stream function denote the streamlines; the absolute values of the numbers reflect the
relative magnitudes of the velocities; the sign of the numbers represents the flow direction:
the positive value means the fluid is flowing anticlockwise along the isolines, while the
negative value means that the fluid is flowing clockwise along the isolines; the gradient of
the stream function can reflect the magnitude of velocity change.

Figure 4. (a) $N = 0$, (b) $N = 10$, (c) $N = 20$, (d) $N = 50$, (e) $N = 100$, (f) $N = 200$. Contours of stream
function at $\lambda = 0.5$, $Ha = 0$ and $Ra = 10^6$.

It can be learned from Figures 4 and 5 that the streamlines and isothermals are both
generally symmetrical about the vertical center line at $N = 0$, and there exist two opposite
vortexes flowing at relatively high velocity. Moreover, the average velocity and temperature
at the top are much larger than those at the bottom, which is caused by the influence of
gravity on flow and temperature distribution without rotation.

However, when $N$ reaches 50, the anticlockwise vortex at the top left disappears but
the clockwise vortex occurs at the bottom right; the maximum velocity is moving from
the vortexes to the fluid around the rotating heat source due to the drive of rotation; the
gradient of stream function on the right of the heat source is so large that the flow velocity
changes rapidly there; though the average velocity and temperature at the top are still larger
than those at the bottom, the gap between them narrows significantly, which indicates that
the increase in rotational speed will reduce the effect of gravity on flow and temperature
distribution.

Moreover, when $N$ increases to 200, the vortexes at the top right and bottom right still
exist, but the flow velocity is rather low compared to the zone adjacent to heat source; the
gradient of flow velocity around the heat source becomes higher; it seems that the effect
of gravity on the flow and temperature distribution has been removed in this case: the
streamlines and isothermals are both generally symmetrical not only about the vertical
center line but also about the horizontal center line. In other words, when the rotational
speed increases up to a certain value, the effect of gravity on the flow and temperature
distribution can be neglected because the rotation effect is the dominant factor influencing
the velocity field and temperature field in this case.
4.2. Effect of Magnetic Field on Streamlines and Isothermals

Figures 6 and 7 show the contours of stream function and dimensionless temperature at different \( N \) with \( \lambda = 0.5, Ha = 40 \) and \( Ra = 10^6 \), respectively. Clearly, compared to Figures 4 and 5, the flow velocity in the same place (excluding the clockwise vortex at the bottom right) is decreasing more or less at the same \( N \) after the magnetic field is imposed on the nanofluid. In particular, at \( N = 200 \), a new clockwise vortex appears at the top left, and the area of anticlockwise flow driven by the rotating heat source becomes smaller.

Figure 5. (a) \( N = 0 \), (b) \( N = 10 \), (c) \( N = 20 \), (d) \( N = 50 \), (e) \( N = 100 \), (f) \( N = 200 \). Contours of dimensionless temperature at \( \lambda = 0.5, Ha = 0 \) and \( Ra = 10^6 \).
4.3. Effect of Aspect Ratio on Streamlines and Isothermals

Figure 6. (a) $N = 0$, (b) $N = 10$, (c) $N = 20$, (d) $N = 50$, (e) $N = 100$, (f) $N = 200$. Contours of stream function at $\lambda = 0.5$, $Ha = 40$ and $Ra = 10^6$.

What has been mentioned above may be due to the fact that the Lorenz force on the nanofluid generated by the magnetic field would suppress the flow and then have the retarding effect on the velocity field. In consequence, it is inferred that the imposed magnetic field will reduce the influence of rotation on the velocity field and temperature distribution.

4.3. Effect of Aspect Ratio on Streamlines and Isothermals

Figure 7. (a) $N = 0$, (b) $N = 10$, (c) $N = 20$, (d) $N = 50$, (e) $N = 100$, (f) $N = 200$. Contours of dimensionless temperature at $\lambda = 0.5$, $Ha = 40$ and $Ra = 10^6$.

Figures 8 and 9 show the contours of stream function and dimensionless temperature at different $N$ with $\lambda = 0.4$, $Ha = 40$ and $Ra = 10^6$, while Figures 10 and 11 show the contours of stream function and dimensionless temperature at different $N$ with $\lambda = 0.3$, $Ha = 40$ and $Ra = 10^6$. 
Figure 8. (a) $N = 0$, (b) $N = 10$, (c) $N = 20$, (d) $N = 50$, (e) $N = 100$, (f) $N = 200$. Contours of stream function at $\lambda = 0.4$, $Ha = 40$ and $Ra = 10^6$.

Figure 9. (a) $N = 0$, (b) $N = 10$, (c) $N = 20$, (d) $N = 50$, (e) $N = 100$, (f) $N = 200$. Contours of dimensionless temperature at $\lambda = 0.4$, $Ha = 40$ and $Ra = 10^6$. 
pressed by the Lorenz force. Moreover, there exists a critical point \(\lambda\) dimensionless temperature at Figure 11. (a) \(N = 0\), (b) \(N = 10\), (c) \(N = 20\), (d) \(N = 50\), (e) \(N = 100\), (f) \(N = 200\). Contours of stream function at \(\lambda = 0.3\), \(Ha = 40\) and \(Ra = 10^6\).

\(\text{Figure 10.}\) (a) \(N = 0\), (b) \(N = 10\), (c) \(N = 20\), (d) \(N = 50\), (e) \(N = 100\), (f) \(N = 200\). Contours of stream function at \(\lambda = 0.3\), \(Ha = 40\) and \(Ra = 10^6\).

\(\text{Figure 11.}\) (a) \(N = 0\), (b) \(N = 10\), (c) \(N = 20\), (d) \(N = 50\), (e) \(N = 100\), (f) \(N = 200\). Contours of dimensionless temperature at \(\lambda = 0.3\), \(Ha = 40\) and \(Ra = 10^6\).
It can be found out from Figures 8–11 that the velocity of the fluid around the rotating heat source decreases as $\lambda$ decreases at the same $N$ compared to Figure 6 because the decrease of $\lambda$ at the same $N$ will lead to a decrease in the linear velocity of the interface between fluid and heat source. On the contrary, the velocity of vortexes increases as $\lambda$ decreases at the same $N$.

In addition, the isothermals become more and more asymmetric as $\lambda$ decreases to 0.3 at $N = 200$, which is different from the case of $\lambda = 0.5$, where the isothermals still retain the characteristic of being roughly symmetrical about the horizontal center line after the magnetic field is imposed on the fluid. Thus, it is inferred that the retarding effect of the magnetic field on the rotation becomes more apparent at the smaller $\lambda$.

4.4. Effect of Rotational Speed on Average Nusselt Number

Figure 12 shows the trendlines of the average Nusselt number $Nu$ on the surface of rotating heat source against $N$ at different $\lambda$ and $Ha$ with $Ra = 10^6$. As seen, all the trendlines of $Nu$ will fall off with the increase of $N$ for all the presented cases, which may be owing to the reason that the velocity gradient of the fluid around the heat source will increase with the increase of $N$ as well as the linear velocity of the solid-fluid boundary, leading to the decrease of $Nu$. For the same reason, $Nu$ will decrease with the decrease of $\lambda$ without considering other factors.

![Figure 12. Effects of $N$ on $Nu$ in different cases.](image)

Moreover, the additional magnetic field will reduce $Nu$ at low $N$ because the dominant natural convection will be suppressed by the Lorenz force in this case, while it will enhance $Nu$ at high $N$ because the rotation effect rather than the natural convection is dominant in this case and the velocity of the fluid around the heat source will be suppressed by the Lorenz force. Moreover, there exists a critical point $N_c$ for each $\lambda$ where the solid line and the dotted line in Figure 12 cross together, and the additional magnetic field has no influence on $Nu$ at $N_c$. Clearly, $N_c$ will increase with the decrease of $\lambda$.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the velocity field and temperature field inside the square cylinder with nanofluid and rotating heat source are numerically calculated, and the immersed boundary-lattice Boltzmann method is adopted to deal with the curved and moving solid-fluid boundary. Moreover, the dimensionless rotational speed $N$ is proposed to save much computational time during the calculation. The following conclusions can be obtained by analyzing the results: Firstly, the increasing $N$ will gradually suppress the effect of gravity on the flow and reduce the average Nusselt number $Nu$ on the surface of the rotating heat source. Secondly, the external magnetic field will suppress the effect of rotation on the
flow, and it will reduce $N_u$ at low $N$ while increasing $N_u$ at high $N$. Finally, the smaller $\lambda$ will increase $N_u$ and make the retarding effect of the magnetic field on the rotation more apparent. All the conclusions mentioned above will contribute to future work on expanding the applicability of the proposed techniques to three-dimensional simulations.
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