Effect of the Different Crystallinity of Ionic Liquid Based Solid Polymer Electrolyte on the Performance of Amperometric Gas Sensor †
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Abstract: Solid polymer electrolytes (SPE) based on ionic liquid, poly-(vinylidene fluoride) and solvent N-methyl-pyrrolidone represent an effective component in electrochemical sensors. The advantage lies in their composition, which offers an opportunity to prepare SPE layers with a different porosity and microstructure. The study shows how the SPEs of different crystallinities affect the performance of an amperometric gas sensor from the point of view of current response (sensitivity), limit of detection and current fluctuations. The morphology of SPE has an impact not only on its conductivity but also on sensor sensitivity due to the morphology of the interface SPE/working electrode (WE).
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1. Introduction

The disadvantages of classical liquid electrolytes are overcome by the usage of a solid polymer electrolyte (SPE), which is usually based on an organic ionic liquid immobilized in the polymer matrix. Ionic liquids are currently widely used in various fields of electrochemistry and chemistry because of their unique properties, which are partially implemented in SPE [1]. SPEs are considered as a suitable type of material for printed or flexible electronics [2,3]. Notably, SPE-based gas sensors may exhibit a systematic drawback such as poor stability, low selectivity or short lifetime [4–6]. Thus, researchers are well-motivated in the development of SPEs for sensing applications.

The study focuses on the SPE which consists of three basic components: (i) ionic liquid, (ii) poly-(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF), (iii) N-methyl-pyrrolidone (NMP) as a solvent. The great advantage of this type of SPE is the fact that its composition offers an opportunity to prepare SPE layers with a different porosity and microstructure. The temperature has a strong impact on the type of crystalline phase of the polymer (PVDF) in the solvent (NMP) [7]. Further, a specific crystalline phase (α phase or β phase) of the PVDF in the NMP of the SPE layer has an evident impact on sensor characteristics [8,9]. The sensor sensitivity depends not only on the type of working electrode (WE) material, but also on the morphology of the electrochemical active SPE/WE interface, and therefore on the thermal treatment conditions of the deposited SPE layer [8]. Our study shows how an SPE of different
crystallinity affects the performance of an amperometric gas sensor from the point of view of current response (sensitivity), limit of detection and current fluctuations. This work follows up on our previous paper [10], where we studied the influence of three different technologies on current fluctuations in the amperometric gas sensor.

2. Sensor Preparation and Experimental Setup

Figure 1a presents the semi-planar three electrode topology on which the experimental study was carried out. The ceramic substrate with platinum electrodes was customized in TESLA Blatná Company (Czech Republic). Before preparation, the sensor elements were properly sonicated in a cleaning bath and subsequently thoroughly washed in deionized water. Thereafter, the layer of solid polymer electrolyte (SPE) consisting of 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide[BMPYR][N(Tf)2], poly(vinylidene fluoride), and 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone was deposited by drop casting technique. After the deposition, the alumina substrate with the SPE layer was placed on a hot plate, where the sample was kept at an appropriate temperature for a specific time in order to achieve different crystalline forms of the polymer in the solvent. The conditions for preparation of SPE were (i) 90 °C 1.5 min, (ii) 120 °C 1.5 min, (iii) 120 °C 3.5 min and (iv) 160 °C 10 min. The working electrode was deposited by airbrushing of spherical glassy carbon powder. Figure 1b–d illustrates the morphology of the interface between a (glassy carbon) working electrode and an SPE at three different magnifications. Further details about the sensor platform and SPE preparation can be found in [9,11,12], respectively.

![Figure 1. (a) Sensor topology, (b–d) the morphology of the working electrode/solid polymer electrolyte at three different magnifications.](image)

Each type of sensor was exposed to NO2 concentration ranging from 0 to 10 ppm in the conditions of flow rate 1 L/min, 298 K, 40%RH in a test chamber. The exact concentrations were set by the apparatus (described in [13]), which consisted of PC-controlled mass flow controllers, gas cylinders with synthetic air and a reference calibration mixture (100 ppm NO2 balanced in nitrogen). The particular sensor was a part of potentiostat circuit based on a rail-to-rail operational amplifier (OPA2144-Texas Instruments), in a grounded-WE configuration. The potentiostat circuit and low noise transimpedance amplifier were implemented into one device [13], which gives us the possibility of measuring current fluctuation at the same time as DC current. The AC voltage output was led to an amplifier with highly selective filters AM22 (3S Sedlak, s.r.o.), and was obtained by a 12-bit AD convertor HS6 (TiePie engineering) as well as DC voltage output.

3. Results and Discussion

All electrical measurements were provided under equilibrium conditions when the sensor was being kept at a particular concentration for the required amount of time to fulfill the memorylessness of current fluctuations. The DC current was measured via a sensor and monitored until it did not change its mean value for 100 s. After this (approximately 300 s from the beginning), we ran the current fluctuation measurement with a DC current measurement at the same time. Figure 2a shows the dependences of DC current on concentration, where the highest DC response corresponds to the SPE of the highest temperature and the longest interval of treatment after deposition. As
concentration increases, the DC component linearly increases for all orientations. According to our investigations [14], the ionic conductivity was found to increase with increasing crystallization temperature. The surface of SPE consists of very small spherical SPE objects whose diameter increases with crystallization temperature, thus, the lower value of this temperature results in a higher porosity of prepared SPE. Notably, we were not able to find any spherical object on surfaces of the SPE samples based on [BMPYR][N(Tf)2], which were prepared at conditions 10 min at 160 °C [14].

Figure 2. (a) dependences of DC current on NO₂ concentrations and (b) limit of detection for four sensors of different SPE processed by different treatment conditions.

The limit of detection (LOD) is introduced as the ratio of the triple standard deviation of the background current noise (at zero concentration) and sensitivity (dc current pre ppm). LOD is a rather theoretical value that can be usually verified with difficulty, but this sensor parameter helps describe the overall performance and is worth determining. Figure 2b illustrates how LOD depends on the conditions of SPE treatment. Notably, the sensor of the highest DC response (sensitivity) exhibits the worst LOD value.

Figure 3 shows the spectral densities of current fluctuations depending on NO₂ concentrations, as the SPEs of sensors were prepared under different treatment conditions. At zero concentration, power spectra exhibit thermal noise, which is associated with all dissipative processes across the sensor and corresponds to the real part of electrical impedance of the sensor, and either the \( f^{-1.25} \) noise component, which should indicate diffusion-dominant electrode–electrolyte interface [13,15–17], or the \( f^{-2.00} \) noise component, which should indicate drift-dominant electrode–electrolyte interface [15]. The differences in these power spectra is given by the different crystallinities of SPE, since SPE conductivity increases with the crystallization temperature [14] and the morphology of the electrode/electrolyte interface develops as SPE porosity decreases with the crystallization temperature. At higher NO₂ concentrations, the spectral densities of current fluctuations contain (i) noise component of Lorentzian-a-like spectra, assumed to be the result of several mutually influencing stochastic processes [13], (ii) the noise component \( f^2 \), indicating adsorption–desorption noise or drift-dominant electrode/electrolyte interface [15], and (iii) thermal noise, which increases due to a change in sensor capacity. The sensor with the SPE of the highest temperature and the longest interval of treatment after deposition showed the highest current fluctuations in the frequency range.
Figure 3. Spectral densities of current fluctuations depending on NO2 concentrations for the frequency range from 0.1 Hz up to 100 Hz for the sensors with SPE prepared at conditions (a) 90 °C 1.5 min, (b) 120 °C 1.5 min, (c) 120 °C 3.5 min, (d) 160 °C 10 min.

4. Conclusions

This contribution showed and discussed the effect of the different crystallinities of an ionic liquid-based solid polymer electrolyte on the performance of an amperometric gas sensor. The results show that thermal treatment for ionic liquid-based SPE impacts the overall performance of the sensor. The higher rate of solvent evaporation due to thermal treatment leads to a morphological change as well as a change in the ionic conductivity of SPEs. This results in the increase in sensor sensitivity and also current noise. The level of noise background is contra-productively high, while the limit of detection is very low in comparison with other variants of SPE treatment that have a lower current response to the concentration of the detected substance.
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