Preliminary Studies of Bio-Fortification of Yoghurt with Chromium
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Abstract: Yoghurt is a delectable fermented milk product suitable for all ages. Chromium (Cr), a trace mineral is found in two forms: trivalent and hexavalent. Recent studies have shown that the Cr (III), or chromium picolinate (Cri), is beneficial for carbohydrate metabolism. Thus, Cr supplements are used to treat diabetes and manage blood sugar. However, the effect of the incorporation of Cri on starter growth and the quality of yoghurt still needs to be determined. In this study, we aim to produce Cr (CrPi)-fortified yoghurt to fulfil the proposed recommended daily allowance (RDA) of Cr (35–50 µg/day for adults). Additionally, it might act as a nutraceutical for persons with special medical conditions, such as patients with obesity or type 2 diabetes mellitus disease. In this respect, the effect of different concentrations of CrPi, (1, 2, 5, 10, 20 ppm) chromium chloride [Cr (III)] (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 ppm), and potassium chromate [Cr (VI)] (1, 2 ppm) on the activity of yoghurt starter culture were investigated in vitro on de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) media. Compared to the control (without Cr), the obtained data revealed significant inhibition of the yoghurt starter culture by Cr (VI) at 2 ppm compared to Cr (III), which did not affect the bacterial growth up to 5 ppm and was comparable with CrPi [Cr (III)]. We also produced yoghurt supplemented with two doses of Cr (0.25 and 0.5 ppm). We did not observe any significant differences in the physicochemical, rheological, microbiological, and sensory properties of the Cr-fortified yoghurt and the control up to 2 weeks in cold storage. These results clearly indicate that CrPi (0.25 and 0.5 ppm) can be used to manufacture yoghurt with the RDA for intact Cr without affecting its quality.
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1. Introduction

In its trivalent state, chromium (Cr) is a primary nutrient necessary for fat and sugar metabolism. In 2001, chromium was listed as an essential nutrient by the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine [1] based on its effect on insulin function. Chromodulin, which contains Cr, facilitates the functions of insulin in the body [2,3]; however, the European Food Safety Authority Panel [4] concluded that as there is insufficient data to determine that Cr is a necessary micronutrient and that it is inappropriate to establish its consumption recommendations. For adult males and females, the recommended daily allowances (RDA) of Cr are 35 and 25 µg, respectively, which can be increased to 45 µg during lactation [1,5]. Cr is generally included in multivitamin/mineral supplements at doses of 35–120 mcg. Additional Cr-only supplements typically deliver 200–500 mcg, or even up to 1000 mcg of Cr [5,6].
Between 0.4% and 2.5% of Cr (III) is estimated to be absorbed from food, depending on the chemical makeup of the food and the presence of other dietary ingredients. Reports have shown that the absorption efficiency of supplementary Cr (III) ranges from 0.1% to 5.2% depending on the Cr complex consumed [4]. As the Cr (VI) found in water and food is completely converted to Cr (III), the analytical results for the total Cr in food represent the Cr (III) content. This was also applicable to meals that included water [7]. Cr is found in many food types, including meat, grains, fruits, vegetables, nuts, spices, brewer’s yeast, beer, and wine. However, Cr level in these meals vary significantly based on the regional soil and water conditions, as well as the farming and industrial practices used to produce them [8,9]. Nearly all dairy products and high-sugar diets (containing sucrose and fructose) are poor in Cr [10]. Supplements contain several forms of Cr, including Cr picolinate, Cr nicotinate, Cr polynicotinate, Cr chloride, and Cr histidinate [3]. Trivalent Cr [Cr (III)], a highly stable form, is used in dietary supplements [5]. However, epidemiological studies have shown that workers exposed to Cr via inhalation are predisposed to lung cancer. Although workers who were exposed to Cr were in contact with both Cr (III) and Cr (VI) compounds, only Cr (VI) has been linked to cancer in animal studies, which led the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to declare that only Cr (VI) should be categorized as a human carcinogen [12,13]. As it is not yet known if Cr (III) compounds only can be carcinogenic [12,14], the EPA has categorized Cr (III) as Group D (not classifiable as a human carcinogen) [14]. Cr picolinate (CrPi), a trivalent Cr complex, is frequently used to treat people with carbohydrate metabolism disorders, such as insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus. It is more bioavailable and less toxic than Cr (VI) [15,16]. Interestingly, Cr absorption is deficient in the intestines, with less than 2.5% of ingested Cr being absorbed [4,17]. However, CrPi shows better absorption [3,18] and hence, is commonly found in dietary supplements. Picolinic acid is an amino acid metabolite produced in the liver, kidney, and pancreas of animals, which acts as a physiological carrier that transports zinc, copper, and chromium ions from the small intestine into the bloodstream [19,20]. Different studies used trivalent chromium (CrCl₃) for dairy and non-dairy food fortification. Different levels of dietary chromium (Cr) supplements in casein or yoghurt-based diets were fed to genetically obese C57BL/6-OB (ob/ob) mice. The trivalent Cr had a significant effect on preventing lipid accumulation in the liver of obese mice. The mean plasma glucose, insulin and insulin/glucose (I/G) ratio of those obese mice suggest that Cr supplementation might beneficially affect glucose tolerance and improve insulin sensitivity [21]. The hypoglycemic and antioxidative effects of chromium-fortified parboiled rice were investigated on diabetic rats [22,23]. The use of Cr³⁺ at a level of 1.55 mg kg⁻¹ in a diet containing 30% fermented yellow corn meal and 42.79% total carbohydrate was also suggested for the best growth and feed efficiency of jelawat fish [24].

Due to the increased emphasis on functional foods in recent times [25–35], we aim to evaluate the effect of CrPi on the growth of yoghurt culture grown on de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) media and its application in manufacturing yoghurt.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Chromium chloride (CrCl₃ · 6H₂O) and potassium chromate (K₂CrO₄) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA. Oxoid MRS broth medium was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. Waltham, MA, USA. Chromium picolinate (CrPi) was prepared according to the method described elsewhere [36]. Ultra-high temperature cow’s milk (3% fat and 8.25% SNF) was purchased from the local market in Kafrelsheikh city, Egypt. DVS yoghurt starter culture (YC-XII) consisting Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus was obtained fromChr. Hansen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
2.2. Manufacturing Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of Chromium Picolinate

Chromium picolinate (CrPi) was prepared based on a previous method [36]. Chromium (III) chloride (2.66 g) was dissolved in 25 mL of deionized water at 60 °C. Then, 3.69 g of picolinic acid was added and the solution was heated gently with continuous stirring until it turned purple and crystals started to form. The solution was kept at 4 °C overnight. The crystals were separated using filter paper and then air-dried at room temperature.

2.2.2. Growth of Starter Culture in Different Chromium Forms -Fortified MRS Media

The effect of CrCl$_3$ · 6H$_2$O, K$_2$CrO$_4$, and CrPi on the growth of yoghurt culture in MRS media was monitored by measuring its absorbance at 650 nm [37]. Acidity development was indicated by the reduction in the pH of the media (Crison, Spain). These parameters were monitored at two-hour intervals over a 10 h incubation period at 37 °C in a water bath.

2.2.3. Preparation of Yoghurt

The yoghurt was prepared using the method described by Tamime and Robinson (1999) [38]. The milk was inoculated with the DVS starter culture (0.03%) and divided into three parts. The first part was without CrPi (control), the second was fortified with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi) (T1), and the third was fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi) (T2). All the treatments were incubated at 40 °C until they were completely coagulated, followed by cooling overnight at 5 ± 2 °C. The chemical, rheological, microbiological, and sensory properties of the yoghurt were evaluated when they were fresh and after 7 and 15 days in cold storage.

2.3. Analysis Method

2.3.1. Growth of Yoghurt Culture in CrPi-Fortified Milk

Culture growth was determined by measuring the pH reduction during fermentation at specified intervals until reached a pH of approximately 4.6 [35].

2.3.2. Chemo-Physical Analysis of Yoghurt

The titratable acidity was determined based on A.O.A.C (2000) [39] and recorded as (%) of lactic acid. The pH value was measured electrometrically using a pH meter (Crison pH meter, Spain). Acetaldehyde was detected according to Less and Jago (1969) [40]. The viscosity, expressed as centipoise (cp), was determined (after manually stirring the yoghurt gels) using a digital Brookfield viscometer (LVDV–E, Brookfield Eng. Lab., Middleboro, MA, USA) and spindle No. 63 at a speed of 50 rpm. Whey separation (wheying-off) was determined based on Lucey et al. (1998) [41]. The water holding capacity (WHC) of yoghurt was measured according to Isanga and Zhang, (2009) [42] using a Universal 32R centrifuge, the stirred yoghurt was centrifuged for 15 min at 8000 × g and 4 °C. The WHC was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{WHC\%} = (1 - \frac{W1}{W2}) \times 100
\]

where: W1 = weight of whey after centrifugation, W2 = yoghurt weight. All measurements were performed in triplicate.

2.3.3. Microbiological Analysis

Total viable bacterial counts (TBC) were counted on a tryptone glucose extract agar medium using a previous method [43]. Lactobacillus bulgaricus growth was monitored using MRS agar based on a previous method [44]. As previously described, S. thermophilus were cultivated and enumerated on M17 media [45]. Potato dextrose agar was used to determine the yeast and mould counts [46].
2.3.4. Sensory Evaluation

The sensory properties of yoghurt were assessed by 15 staff members from the Kafrelsheikh University, Faculty of Agriculture according to the method given described [47]. The tested attributes were overall acceptability (10 points), firmness (10 points), smoothness (10 points), wheying-off (10 points), and acid flavour (10 points).

2.3.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using the SPSS version 10.0 software [48]. Any significant differences between means were assessed using analysis of variance and Duncan’s test at the significance level of $p = 0.05$. The data were expressed as mean ± standard error (SE) of three replicates.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Growth of Yoghurt Starter in MRS Media Supplemented with Different Forms of Chromium

3.1.1. Effect of Chromium Chloride (CrCl$_3$·6H$_2$O)

Figure 1 illustrates the effect of CrCl$_3$ [Cr (III)] on the activity of yoghurt culture in MRS media as estimated by a reduction in pH (A) and an increase in absorbance (B). We did not notice any significant difference in the activity of the starter culture compared to the control as the pH gradually decreased with a continuous increase in absorbance during the 10 h incubation period. These findings indicate that the starter culture bacteria were not affected by up to 5 ppm of CrCl$_3$.

3.1.2. Effect of Potassium Chromate (K$_2$CrO$_4$)

Figure 2 shows the effect of the hexavalent chromium (K$_2$CrO$_4$) on the growth of the yoghurt starter culture. As expected, the Cr (VI) at 2 ppm completely suppressed the activity of the yoghurt culture, as shown by pH reduction and absorbance development of the MRS media. Due to its high water solubility, mobility, and ease of reduction, Cr (VI) is 100 times more hazardous than Cr (III) in case of acute and chronic exposures [49,50].
Figure 2. Effect of potassium chromate (K$_2$CrO$_4$) on the pH (A) and absorbance (B) progress of MRS broth media inoculated with yoghurt culture and incubated at 40 °C for 10 h. The data are shown as mean ± SE for three replicates.

The effect of 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 ppm of Cr (CrPi) on the growth of yoghurt starter culture in MRS media for 10 h was indicated by the absorbance and acidity development (pH) medium as shown in Figure 3A,B, respectively.

We did not observe any significant effect on the bacterial growth in the media fortified with up to 5 ppm of Cr (CrPi) compared with the control. However, adding 10 or 20 ppm Cr into the media retarded the bacterial growth in the starter culture. Notably, the RDA of Cr does not exceed 35 μg. For manufacturing yoghurt, 0.5 ppm (0.5 μg/mL of milk) of CrPi is added to the milk, making its total amount in 100 mL 50 μg, fulfilling the RDA. Therefore, adding 0.5 ppm or less to the milk is not expected to negatively affect the growth of the starter bacterial culture while manufacturing yoghurt.

3.2. Growth of Yoghurt Culture in CrPi Fortified Milk

Figure 4 shows the reduction in pH during fermentation as an indicator of the yoghurt culture activity during the incubation of CrPi-fortified milk. It indicates that adding Cr (CrPic) at 0.25 μg (T1) or 0.50 μg (T2)/mL of milk did not affect the activity of the bacterial culture compared to the control. Thus, insignificant differences were observed in the measured pH values found among all treatments during fermentation till it reached pH 4.6 after 3.5 h.
Whereas the acidity significantly increased by increasing the storage period of the yoghurt which then decreases during the storage period [53].

The data are shown as mean ± SE for three replicates.

Figure 5 shows the effect of the storage period on the acidity development of CrPi-fortified yoghurt. The pH values (Figure 5A) did not differ significantly due to the applied treatments (T1 or T2), whether they were fresh or cold-stored for up to 2 weeks. Meanwhile, the pH values of all groups decreased significantly during storage. Consistent with these results (Figure 5B), all the tested fresh samples had almost the same acidity values (p > 0.05), while those stored in the cold for a week showed a slight increase (p > 0.05) in acidity. Whereas the acidity significantly increased by increasing the storage period of the yoghurt samples to 2 weeks without any significant differences among the treatments. Previous studies have also reported an increase in the acidity of the yoghurt during storage [51,52], which then decreases during the storage period [53].

The data illustrated in Figure 6 represent the changes in the acetaldehyde content of yoghurt during storage after adding different concentrations of Cr (CrPi). While the
addition of CrPi clearly did not affect the acetaldehyde content of fresh and stored samples, it sharply decreased ($p \leq 0.05$) during the storage period and was at its lowest after 2 weeks. This is consistent with Hussein et al. (2011) [54], who stated that the acetaldehyde content of yoghurt decreased progressively during storage, possibly due to the conversion of acetaldehyde to other organic molecules, such as ethanol or diacetyl [55].

![Acetaldehyde of yoghurt during storage period as affected by adding different concentrations of chromium (CrPi). Control: without Cr (CrPi), T1: with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi), T2: fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi). Data are mean ± SE for three replicates. Means with different small letters are significantly different between the treatments ($p \leq 0.05$). Means with different capital letters significantly differ between the storage periods ($p \leq 0.05$).](image1.png)

**Figure 6.** Acetaldehyde of yoghurt during storage period as affected by adding different concentrations of chromium (CrPi). Control: without Cr (CrPi), T1: with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi), T2: fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi). Data are mean ± SE for three replicates. Means with different small letters are significantly different between the treatments ($p \leq 0.05$). Means with different capital letters significantly differ between the storage periods ($p \leq 0.05$).

3.3. Physical Properties of Yoghurt

3.3.1. Yoghurt Viscosity

Figure 7 shows the viscosity of the yoghurt during cold storage. We observed comparable viscosity values among all the treated samples. This was expected as there was no difference in the chemical composition (such as dry matter and fat content) in all the treatments except for the added Cr, which did not affect the acidity development, namely the gel formation and firmness, which are the main factors affecting yoghurt viscosity [56].

![The effect of different concentrations of chromium (CrPi) on the viscosity of yoghurt during storage. Control without Cr (CrPi), T1 with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi), T2 fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi). Data are mean ± SE for three replicates. Means with different small letters are significantly different between the treatments ($p \leq 0.05$). Means with different capital letters significantly differ between the storage periods ($p \leq 0.05$).](image2.png)

**Figure 7.** The effect of different concentrations of chromium (CrPi) on the viscosity of yoghurt during storage. Control without Cr (CrPi), T1 with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi), T2 fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi). Data are mean ± SE for three replicates. Means with different small letters are significantly different between the treatments ($p \leq 0.05$). Means with different capital letters significantly differ between the storage periods ($p \leq 0.05$).
Figure 8A,B show the WHC and wheying-off, respectively, of the yoghurt treated with or without Cr (CrPi). The results revealed no significant difference in the percentage of WHC and wheying-off of the fresh and cold-stored yoghurt in the treated samples. Moreover, the storage period had no significant effect on both properties.

Figure 8. Effect of adding different concentrations of chromium (CrPi) on the wheying-off (%) (A) and water holding capacity (%) (B) of yoghurt during storage. Control: without Cr (CrPi), T1: with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi), T2: fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi). Data are shown as mean ± SE for three replicates. Means with different small letters are significantly different between the treatments (p ≤ 0.05). Means with different capital letters significantly differ between the storage periods (p ≤ 0.05).

3.3.2. Microbiological Analysis

To evaluate the effect of CrPi on the starter culture and microbial composition of the yoghurt, the colony forming units (CFUs)/g of *S. thermophilus* and *L. bulgaricus*, TBC, yeast, and mould counts were determined for all the treatments (Table 1). The results revealed no significant differences in the TBC of the fresh samples. However, increasing the storage period slightly increased the counts, although the difference was still insignificant.

We found a similar trend in the *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus* counts in the fresh and stored samples from all treatments, indicating no effect on the bacterial count. While the fresh samples were free of yeast and mould, their counts increased significantly after a week of cold storage and reached 3.72, 4.02, and 3.47 for control, T1, and T2, respectively, which remained stable till the end of the storage period. Çon et al. (1996) reported that the storage time did not significantly affect the total plate count of the yoghurt. Another previous study [57] reported that the refrigerated storage of yoghurt inhibited the growth of lactic acid bacteria; however, Ibrahim et al. (1989) [58] observed elevated yeast and mould counts, at approximately 3 log CFU/g, after 7 days of storage. Therefore, the in vitro effect of CrPi observed on the yoghurt starter culture using MRS media (Figure 1) is much lower than that seen in other in vitro experiments, possibly due to the amount of Cr used. Several studies reported that some lactic acid bacterial strains, such as *Pediococcus acidilactici*, *Lactobacillus plantarum* MF042018, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* can tolerate Cr [59–61].

3.3.3. Sensory Evaluation

Figure 9 shows the sensory properties of fresh (A) and after one (B) and two weeks (C) of cold storage. All the measured attributes were almost similar among all treatments without any deleterious effect during storage. As the concentration of CrPi added (0.25–0.5 ppm) to the milk for yoghurt production is extremely low, it did not affect the taste of the final product. Expectedly, we did not notice any abnormal or off-flavours in the CrPi-fortified yoghurt compared to the control. Unfortunately, no data are available regarding using CrPi as a supplement in yoghurt or other dairy products.
Table 1. The effect of adding different concentrations of chromium (CrPi) on the microbial composition of yoghurt during storage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fresh</th>
<th>1 Week</th>
<th>2 Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Bacterial Count (log CFU/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.19 ± 0.26 Aa</td>
<td>8.38 ± 0.29 Aa</td>
<td>9.00 ± 0.24 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>8.25 ± 0.24 Aa</td>
<td>8.37 ± 0.30 Aa</td>
<td>8.79 ± 0.14 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>8.61 ± 0.27 Aa</td>
<td>8.65 ± 0.27 Aa</td>
<td>8.96 ± 0.17 Aa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S. thermophilus bacterial count (log CFU/g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fresh</th>
<th>1 Week</th>
<th>2 Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>9.41 ± 0.13 Aa</td>
<td>9.84 ± 0.28 Aa</td>
<td>10.02 ± 0.24 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>9.43 ± 0.16 Aa</td>
<td>9.75 ± 0.23 Aa</td>
<td>10.18 ± 0.14 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>9.66 ± 0.20 Aa</td>
<td>9.96 ± 0.21 Aa</td>
<td>10.01 ± 0.17 Aa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L. bulgaricus bacterial count (log CFU/g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fresh</th>
<th>1 Week</th>
<th>2 Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.11 Aa</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.18 Aa</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.13 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>7.11 ± 0.15 Aa</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.18 Aa</td>
<td>6.87 ± 0.12 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>7.15 ± 0.19 Aa</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.17 Aa</td>
<td>6.74 ± 0.16 Aa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yeast and moulds count (log CFU/g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fresh</th>
<th>1 Week</th>
<th>2 Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.61 Aa</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.32 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.34 Aa</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.24 Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.47 ± 0.31 Aa</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.14 Aa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not detected. Control: without Cr (CrPi), T1: with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi), T2: fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi). Data are mean ± SE for three replicates. Means with different small letters are significantly different between the treatments ($p \leq 0.05$). Means with different capital letters are significantly different between the storage periods ($p \leq 0.05$).

Figure 9. Effect of chromium (CrPic) on the sensory properties of fortified yoghurt when fresh (A) and after cold storage for a week (B) and 2 weeks (C). Control: without Cr (CrPi), T1: with 0.25 ppm Cr (CrPi), T2: fortified with 0.5 ppm Cr (CrPi). Data are shown as the mean of three replicates.
4. Conclusions

The obtained results confirm that CrPi can be potentially used as a Cr source to fortify yoghurt at a dose of 0.25–0.5 ppm. At this concentration, CrPi did not affect the activity of the used culture and the chemo-physical, microbiological, and sensory properties of the treated samples, which were similar to the control (untreated sample). Therefore, Cr-fortified yoghurt might be used as an alternative to the commercially available pharmaceutical Cr supplements. This will facilitate the expansion of therapeutic nutrition either for special cases or cover the daily needs of healthy individuals.
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