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Abstract: In this article, we analyzed the time fractional higher-dimensional nonlinear modified model of wave propagation, namely the (3 + 1)-dimensional Benjamin–Bona–Mahony-type equation. The fractional sense was defined by the classical Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative. We derived firstly the existence of symmetry of the time fractional higher-dimensional equation. Next, we constructed the one-dimensional optimal system to the time fractional higher-dimensional nonlinear modified model of wave propagation. Subsequently, it was reduced into the lower-dimensional fractional differential equation. Meanwhile, on the basis of the reduced equation, we obtained its similarity solution. Through a series of analyses of the time fractional high-dimensional model and the results of the above obtained, we can gain a further understanding of its essence.
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1. Introduction

It is known that differential equations originated from the real natural life, such as the RLC circuit model [1], population model [2], realistic ecological model [3], KdV model [4], diffusion type model [5], etc. By analyzing these models, we can better understand, comprehend, and utilize them. Meanwhile, since the higher-dimensional nonlinear models contain more spatial dimensions x, y, and z, they contain more information for the natural world. As a result, the nonlinear higher-dimensional models is one of the important topics in the field of mathematical physics. On the basis of the above idea, A.M. Wazwaz [6] derived five categories of Benjamin–Bona–Mahony-type equations of wave propagation and fluids, and obtained their exact solutions, such as the soliton, kink, and periodic solutions. At the same time, there is another type of fractional derivative that appears at the same time as integer order. We known that the fractional derivative is used to describe the complex nonlinear mechanism. There exist many different fractional derivative definitions, such as the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative [7,8], Caputo fractional derivative [7,8], general fractional derivative [9], He’s fractional derivative [10], etc. They have been widely used in diffusion processes in solids, biological media, and fractal media [11]. Here, we considered one of the five categories of the following form:

\[ D^\alpha_t u + u_x + u^2 u_y - u_{xx} = 0, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \]  

(1)

where:

\[ D^\alpha_t u(x, y, z, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{d\tau^n} \int_0^t \frac{u(x, y, \tau) - u(x, y, \tau - \tau)}{(\tau - \tau)^{n-\alpha}} d\tau, & n - 1 < \alpha < n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{d^n}{d\tau^n} u(x, y, \tau), & \alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \]

Due to the more detailed characterization of real life by fractional derivatives and the fact that high-dimensional nonlinear models contain more information, the main motivation of this paper is to explore the mechanism of water wave propagation by studying the high-dimensional model. The research results will fill the gap in the water wave theory in this regard. The aims of this article are to apply the symmetry scheme to investigate the time fractional higher-dimensional nonlinear modified equation of wave propagation (1).

As a direct result, a series of new results were obtained. The fractional symmetry analysis scheme is an effective tool to deal with fractional differential equations, specifically the higher-dimensional nonlinear models [17–24]. For example, Adeyemo et al. [17] studied the time fractional (3 + 1)-dimensional generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation type I, Liu et al. [18–21] researched the higher-dimensional KdV-type equation, dissipation Burgers equation, and diffusion equation, Sahoo et al. [22] discussed the (3 + 1)-dimensional time-fractional mKdV-ZK equation, and Zhuo et al. [23] analyzed the generalized (4 + 1)-dimensional time-fractional Fokas equation, Zhu et al. [24] considered the time-fractional (2 + 1)-dimensional Hirota-Satsuma-Ito equations. Therefore, we hope to find more novel results through this effective tool, laying the foundation for our deeper understanding of this model.

The compositions of this article as follows: the symmetries of Equation (1) through the symmetry scheme are derived in Section 2. In Sections 3 and 4, we discuss, respectively, the one-dimensional optimal system and one-parameter Lie transformation group of Equation (1). With the help of the Erdélyi–Kober fractional operators, Equation (1) is reduced into the lower fractional differential equation in Section 5. Then, the similarity solution of the reduced equation is found. The conclusions and discussions of the entire text and future prospects are presented in Section 6.

2. Symmetry Scheme of Equation (1)

In the first place, the symmetries of the time fractional higher-dimensional nonlinear modified equation of wave propagation (1) were obtained. As a direct conclusion, we have

**Theorem 1.** The time fractional higher-dimensional nonlinear modified equation of wave propagation (1) has the infinitesimal generators of the forms:

\[ X_1 = 2x\partial x + \frac{2}{\alpha}y\partial y - \frac{2}{\alpha}z\partial z + \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha}u\partial u + \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha}t\partial t, \]

\[ X_2 = \partial x, X_3 = \partial y, X_4 = \partial z. \]

**Proof.** It is assumed that Equation (1) is invariant under a one-parameter Lie transformation group as follows:

\[ x^* \rightarrow x + \varepsilon \xi_x(x, y, z, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \]

\[ y^* \rightarrow y + \varepsilon \xi_y(x, y, z, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \]

\[ z^* \rightarrow z + \varepsilon \xi_z(x, y, z, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \]

\[ t^* \rightarrow t + \varepsilon \xi_t(x, y, z, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \]

\[ u^* \rightarrow u + \varepsilon \eta_u(x, y, z, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \]

\[ D^{\alpha}_{\partial t}u^* \rightarrow D^{\alpha}_{\partial t}u + \varepsilon \eta_u^\alpha(x, y, z, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \]

\[ \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial x^*} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \varepsilon \eta_u^\alpha(x, y, z, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \]

\[ \ldots \]

(2)
where $\varepsilon \ll 1$ is an infinitesimal parameter.

In detail:

\[
\eta_u^\alpha = D_x(\eta_u - \xi_x u_x - \xi_y u_y - \xi_z u_z - \xi_t u_t) + \xi_x u_{xx} + \xi_y u_{xy} + \xi_z u_{xz} + \xi_t u_{xt},
\]

\[
\eta_u = D_y(\eta_u - \xi_x u_x - \xi_y u_y - \xi_z u_z - \xi_t u_t) + \xi_x u_{xy} + \xi_y u_{yy} + \xi_z u_{yz} + \xi_t u_{yt},
\]

\[
\eta_u = D_z(\eta_u - \xi_x u_x - \xi_y u_y - \xi_z u_z - \xi_t u_t) + \xi_x u_{xz} + \xi_y u_{yz} + \xi_z u_{zz} + \xi_t u_{zt},
\]

\[
\eta'_u = D_t(\eta_u - \xi_x u_x - \xi_y u_y - \xi_z u_z - \xi_t u_t) + \xi_x u_{xt} + \xi_y u_{yt} + \xi_z u_{zt} + \xi_t u_{tt},
\]

where $D_x, D_y, D_z,$ and $D_t$ are total derivatives [25,26].

At the same time, it is supposed that Equation (1) has the following general infinitesimal generator:

\[
X = \xi_x \partial_x + \xi_y \partial_y + \xi_z \partial_z + \xi_t \partial_t + \eta_u \partial_u.
\]  

(3)

Utilizing the infinitesimal invariance criterion [11–20], one has:

\[
P_r(n,\xi) X|_{\xi = 0} = 0,
\]  

(4)

where $P_r(n,\xi)$ is the prolongation of $X$ and:

\[
\Xi = D^\epsilon_x u + u_x + u^2 u_y - u_{xzt}.
\]

For Equation (1), it can be written as:

\[
\text{pr}^\alpha(n,\xi) X = X + \partial D^n_y u + \eta_u^\alpha \partial_u + \eta_y^\alpha \partial_y u + \eta_z^\alpha \partial_z u + \eta_t^\alpha \partial_t u.
\]  

(5)

Applying the invariance condition (4) to Equation (1), we can obtain the symmetry determining equation as follows:

\[
\eta_u^\alpha + \eta_z^\alpha + 2\eta_y u_y + u^2 \eta_y^\alpha - \eta_z^\alpha = 0,
\]  

(6)

where the $\alpha$-extended infinitesimal [17–24] is:

\[
\eta_u^\alpha = \frac{\partial^n \eta_u}{\partial u^n} + (\eta_u, u - \alpha D_t(\xi_t)) \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial t^m} - u \frac{\partial^m \eta_u}{\partial t^m} + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ m \end{array} \right) \frac{\partial^m \eta_u}{\partial t^m}
\]

\[
- \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ m+1 \end{array} \right) D_t^{m+1}(\xi_t) D_t^{n-m}(u) - \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ m \end{array} \right) D_t^m(\xi_t) D_t^{n-m}(u_x) - \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ m \end{array} \right) D_t^m(\xi_y) D_t^{n-m}(u_y) - \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ m \end{array} \right) D_t^m(\xi_z) D_t^{n-m}(u_z) + \Delta,
\]  

(7)

with:

\[
\Delta = \sum_{m=2}^\infty \sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{(-i)^m}{j!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+1-\alpha)} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ r \end{array} \right) \frac{\partial^m (u^{j-r})}{\partial u^r} \eta_u^{m+n+j} \eta_u.
\]

Inserting the Equations (7) and (2) into Equation (6) and collecting the coefficients of different powers of $u$ to zero, we have:

\[
\xi_x = 2c_3 x + c_3,
\]

\[
\xi_y = 2c_2 y + c_5,
\]

\[
\xi_z = -2c_2 z + c_4,
\]

\[
\xi_t = 2c_2 t + c_1,
\]

\[
\eta_u = -2c_2 u + 2c_2 u,
\]

where $c_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ are arbitrary parameters.
In addition, the constraint condition \( \xi_t(x, y, z, t, u)|_{t=0} = 0 \) to hold the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative structure. We get \( c_1 = 0 \).

Hence, the infinitesimal generator \( X \) of Equation (1) has:

\[
X = (2c_2x + c_3) \partial x + (2c_2y + c_5) \partial y + (-2c_2z + c_4) \partial z + 2c_2t \partial t + (-c_2u + 2c_2u) \partial u.
\]

(9)

It can be spanned by the following four vector fields:

\[
X_1 = 2x \partial x + \frac{2}{\alpha} y \partial y - \frac{2}{\alpha} z \partial z + \frac{2}{\alpha} u \partial u + \frac{2}{\alpha} t \partial t,
\]

\[
X_2 = \partial x, \quad X_3 = \partial y, \quad X_4 = \partial z.
\]

(10)

This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

The above four vector fields (10) can construct a closed Lie algebra. Their commutation relationships can be found in Table 1.

**Table 1.** The commutation table of Lie algebra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>([X_i, X_j])</th>
<th>(X_1)</th>
<th>(X_2)</th>
<th>(X_3)</th>
<th>(X_4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X_1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2(\alpha)(X_2)</td>
<td>-2(X_3)</td>
<td>2(X_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_2)</td>
<td>2(\alpha)(X_2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_3)</td>
<td>2(X_3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_4)</td>
<td>-2(X_4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Optimal System of Equation (1)

In order to reduce the original equation as much as possible and construct the exact solutions, we need to find the one-dimensional optimal system of Equation (1). With the help of the Lie series [25,26], the action of the adjoint operator can given by:

\[
Ad((\exp(\varepsilon X_i)) \cdot X_j = X_j - \varepsilon [X_i, X_j] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} [X_i, [X_i, X_j]] - \ldots,
\]

(11)

where \([X_i, X_j]\) is Lie bracket and \(\varepsilon\) is a constant.

Specifically:

\[
Ad((\exp(\varepsilon X_1)) \cdot X_2 = X_2 - \varepsilon \cdot [X_1, X_2] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} [X_1, [X_1, X_2]] - \ldots
\]

= \(e^{2\alpha \varepsilon} X_2\),

\[
Ad((\exp(\varepsilon X_1)) \cdot X_3 = X_3 - \varepsilon \cdot [X_1, X_3] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} [X_1, [X_1, X_3]] - \ldots
\]

= 2\(X_3\),

\[
Ad((\exp(\varepsilon X_2)) \cdot X_1 = X_1 - \varepsilon \cdot [X_2, X_1] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} [X_2, [X_2, X_1]] - \ldots
\]

= \(X_1 - e^{2\alpha \varepsilon} X_2\),

\[
Ad((\exp(\varepsilon X_2)) \cdot X_1 = X_1 - \varepsilon \cdot [X_2, X_1] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} [X_2, [X_2, X_1]] - \ldots
\]

= \(X_1 - 2\varepsilon X_3\).

The adjoint actions of the infinitesimal generators (10) are seen as Table 2.
As a result, we obtained Theorem 3. The adjoint representation of infinitesimal generators (10) to Equation (1)

\[ \text{Theorem 2.} \quad \text{An optimal system of one-dimensional Lie subalgebras operators (10) to Equation (1) can be spanned by:} \]
\[ V_1 = X_1, \quad V_2 = k_2X_2 + k_3X_3 + k_4X_4, \]
\[ \text{where } k_i (i = 2, 3, 4) \text{ are not all zero.} \]

Hence, we have the following result of the one-dimensional optimal system of Equation (1).

\[ V_1 = X_1, \quad V_2 = k_2X_2 + k_3X_3 + k_4X_4, \]
\[ \text{where } k_i (i = 2, 3, 4) \text{ are not all zero.} \]

The simple proof process of this Theorem 2 can be found in references [25,26]. Here, we omit it.

**Remark 1.** If making linear operator \( L = a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + a_3X_3 + a_4X_4, a_i \neq 0 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \), then the Killing form is \( 4a_2a_3^2 + 8a_2^3 \). It can be seen that the value \( \alpha = 1 \) is conform to Equation (1) of integer order for the Killing form \( 12a_2^2 \). That is to say, fractional differential equations have a wider research domain.

### 4. One-Parameter Lie Transformation Group of Equation (1)

In this subsection, we derived the one-parameter Lie transformation group [25,26] of Equation (1). It keep the solution set unchanged or transform the solution into a solution to differential equations. Hence, we may obtain new solutions from the known ones of this considered model.

In addition to constructing the one-parameter Lie transformation group, we solved the following initial problems [25,26]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx^*(\epsilon)}{de} &= \xi_x(x^*(\epsilon), y^*(\epsilon), z^*(\epsilon), t^*(\epsilon), u^*(\epsilon)), x^*(0) = x, \\
\frac{dy^*(\epsilon)}{de} &= \xi_y(x^*(\epsilon), y^*(\epsilon), z^*(\epsilon), t^*(\epsilon), u^*(\epsilon)), y^*(0) = y, \\
\frac{dz^*(\epsilon)}{de} &= \xi_z(x^*(\epsilon), y^*(\epsilon), z^*(\epsilon), t^*(\epsilon), u^*(\epsilon)), z^*(0) = z, \\
\frac{dt^*(\epsilon)}{de} &= \xi_t(x^*(\epsilon), y^*(\epsilon), z^*(\epsilon), t^*(\epsilon), u^*(\epsilon)), t^*(0) = t, \\
\frac{du^*(\epsilon)}{de} &= \eta_u(x^*(\epsilon), y^*(\epsilon), z^*(\epsilon), t^*(\epsilon), u^*(\epsilon)), u^*(0) = u,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is a small parameter.

Solving the above system (13) with vector fields (10), we can obtain the one-parameter Lie transformation groups \( g_i(\epsilon), (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \) of the forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(\epsilon) : (x, y, z, t, u) &\rightarrow (e^{2\epsilon}x, e^{\epsilon}y, e^{-\epsilon}z, e^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}t, e^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}u), \\
g_2(\epsilon) : (x, y, z, t, u) &\rightarrow (x + \epsilon, y, z, t, u), \\
g_3(\epsilon) : (x, y, z, t, u) &\rightarrow (x, y + \epsilon, z, t, u), \\
g_4(\epsilon) : (x, y, z, t, u) &\rightarrow (x, y, z + \epsilon, t, u).
\end{align*}
\]

As a result, we obtained Theorem 3.
Theorem 3. If $u = f(x, y, z, t)$ is an exact solution of the time fractional higher-dimensional nonlinear modified equation of wave propagation (1), then:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Case} & \ 1. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ u_1 = e^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2} t} f_1\left(e^{-2x}, e^{-2y}, e^{2z}, e^{-2t}\right), \\
\mathrm{Case} & \ 2. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ u_2 = f_2(x - \epsilon, y, z, t), \\
\mathrm{Case} & \ 3. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ u_3 = f_3(x, y - \epsilon, z, t), \\
\mathrm{Case} & \ 4. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ u_4 = f_4(x, y, z - \epsilon, t),
\end{align*}
$$

are also solutions to Equation (1).

Remark 2. It is can be seen that the Lie transformation group $g_2, g_3,$ and $g_4$ are invariant on spaces $x, y,$ and $z$. The well known scaling symmetry action is $g_1$.

5. Similarity Reduct and Similarity Solution of Equation (1)

In this section, we reduce Equation (1) into the lower dimensional fractional differential equation with the Erdélyi–Kober fractional integral operators [27]. In addition, we obtained the similarity solution of the reduced equation.

5.1. Similarity Reduction of Equation (1)

**Case 1.** $V_1 = X_1 = 2x\partial x + \frac{2}{\alpha} y\partial y - \frac{2}{\alpha} z\partial z + \frac{2}{\alpha} \xi \partial u + \frac{2}{\alpha} \tau \partial t$

From $V_1$, Equation (1) was reduced into the following form.

\begin{equation}
(P^{2-\alpha, 1, -1}_2) (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) + f f^{2}_\xi - (1 - \frac{3}{2} \alpha) f f^{2}_\xi = 0,
\end{equation}

where $(P^{2-\alpha, 1, -1}_2) (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ represents the three parameters Erdélyi–Kober fractional differential operator [27].

\begin{equation}
(K^{\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, 1, 1, -1}_{1, 2, 2, 3}) (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{(\pi a)^\alpha} \int_0^\infty (\theta - 1)^{\alpha - 1} \theta^{-\tau - \alpha} F(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\theta, & \alpha > 0, \\
F(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3), & \alpha = 0,
\end{array} \right.
\end{equation}

is the three parameters Erdélyi–Kober fractional integral operator [27].

**Proof.** For $V_1$, it has the characteristic equation of the form:

\begin{equation}
\frac{dx}{2x} = \frac{dz}{-\frac{2}{\alpha} z} = \frac{dy}{\alpha y} = \frac{dt}{\tau t} = \frac{du}{\alpha u},
\end{equation}

Solving characteristic Equation (16), we obtained, respectively, the similarity variables and group invariant solution:

\begin{equation}
\xi_1 = xt^{-\alpha}, \ \ \ \xi_2 = yt^{-1}, \ \ \ \xi_3 = zt,
\end{equation}
and
\[ u = t^{1 - \frac{1}{n}} f(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3). \] (18)

Next, for the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative definition, we have:
\[
D^\alpha_t u = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{n-\alpha-1} s^{1-\alpha} f(xs^{-\alpha}, ys^{-1}, zs) ds \right], n - 1 < \alpha < n, (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots).
\] (19)

If considering \( v = \frac{1}{3} \), then expression (19) becomes:
\[
D^\alpha_t u = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_1^\infty (v - 1)^{n-\alpha-1} v^{-(n+2-\frac{1}{3})} f(\xi_1 v^{-\alpha}, \xi_2 v, \xi_3 v^{-1}) dv \right]
\] (20)

Meanwhile, we note the following fact:
\[
t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) = -\alpha \xi_1 \phi \xi_1 - \xi_2 \phi \xi_2 + \xi_3 \phi \xi_3.
\] (21)

Hence, Equation (20) can be further reduced into the following form:
\[
\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_1^\infty (v - 1)^{n-\alpha-1} v^{-(n+2-\frac{1}{3})} f(\xi_1 v^{-\alpha}, \xi_2 v, \xi_3 v^{-1}) dv \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial t^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \left( K^{2-\frac{1}{3}, n-a}_1 \cdot f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \right) \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial t^{n-1}} \left[ t^{n-\frac{1}{3} a} (n + 1 - \frac{3}{2} n - \alpha \zeta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} - \xi_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2} + \xi_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_3}) \cdot (K^{2-\frac{1}{3}, n-a}_1 \cdot f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)) \right]
\]
\[
= \ldots \ldots (n - 1) \text{ times}
\]
\[
= t^{1 - \frac{1}{3} a} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( 2 + \frac{1}{3} a + 1 - \alpha \zeta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1} - \xi_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2} + \xi_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_3} \right) \cdot (K^{2-\frac{1}{3}, n-a}_1 \cdot f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3))
\]
\[
= t^{1 - \frac{1}{3} a} (K^{2-\frac{1}{3}, n-a}_1 \cdot f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)).
\] (22)

In summary, Equation (1) was reduced into the following form:
\[
(P^{2-\frac{1}{3} a}_1 \cdot f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)) + f_{\xi_1} + f_{\xi_2}^2 - (1 - \frac{3}{2} a) f_{\xi_1 \xi_2} = 0.
\] (23)

Proving the theorem. \( \square \)

**Case 2.** \( V_2 = X_2 = \partial x \)

Similar to **Case 1**, Equation (1) easily was reduced into the following expression:
\[
D^\alpha_t u + u^2 u_y = 0.
\] (24)

For Equation (24), the infinitesimal generators can be obtained through the fractional Lie group scheme:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}_1 &= 2t \partial t + u(\alpha - 1) \partial u + 2y \partial y, \\
\mathcal{X}_2 &= \partial y.
\end{align*}
\] (25)

Similarity, the infinitesimal generators (25) were used to reduce Equation (24) as Theorem 4 processes. Here, we ignore it.
Case 3. \( V_3 = X_3 = \partial y \)
Similar to Case 1, Equation (1) can be reduced into the following form:

\[
D_\alpha^t u + u_x - u_{xzt} = 0. \tag{26}
\]

If Theorem 1 processes are performed, then the infinitesimal generators are as follows:

\[
X_1 = \partial z, \quad X_2 = u \partial u, \quad X_3 = \partial x,
\]

\[
X_4 = \alpha x \partial x - z \partial z + t \partial t. \tag{27}
\]

Similarly, the infinitesimal generators (27) were used to reduce Equation (26). Here, we ignore it.

Case 4. \( V_4 = X_4 = \partial z \)
Similar to Case 1, Equation (1) can be reduced into the following form

\[
D_\alpha^t u + u_x + u^2 y = 0. \tag{28}
\]

If perform Theorem 1 processes, then we have

\[
X_1 = 2t \partial t + u(1 - \alpha) \partial u + 2\alpha x \partial x + 2y \partial y, \quad X_2 = \partial x, \quad X_3 = \partial y. \tag{29}
\]

Similarly, the infinitesimal generators (29) were used to reduce Equation (27) as Theorem 4 processes. Here we ignore it.

Case 5. \( V_5 = \partial x + \partial y \)
In a similar way, Equation (1) was reduced into the following form:

\[
D_\alpha^t u = 0. \tag{30}
\]

It is easy to find an exact solution as follows:

\[
u = \frac{c}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha - 1}, \tag{31}\]

where \( c \) is a free constant.

Taking the values \( c = \pm 1, \alpha = 0.3, \alpha = 0.6, \) and \( \alpha = 0.9 \) into rational solution (31), Figure 1 was plotted.

Case 6. \( V_6 = \partial x + \partial z \)
From \( V_6 \), Equation (1) was reduced into Equation (24).

Case 7. \( V_7 = \partial y + \partial z \)
In a similar way, Equation (1) was reduced into the following form:

\[ D^\alpha_t u + u_x = 0. \]  

(32)

This is known as the one-dimensional linear Burger equation.

**Case 8.** \( V_7 = \partial_x + \partial_y + \partial_z \)

From \( V_8 \), Equation (1) was reduced into Equation (30).

### 5.2. Similarity Solution of Equation (24)

In this subsection, we proved firstly the reduced Equation (24) existence the similarity solution. Then, we presented specific expressions of similar solution.

It is supposed that Equation (24) is invariant under the following scaling transformation:

\[ t = \lambda \tilde{t}, \quad y = \lambda \tilde{y}, \quad u = \lambda ^q \tilde{u}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{t}), \]  

(33)

where \( p \) and \( q \) are arbitrary parameters to be determined later.

Inserting transformations (33) into Equation (24), we have:

\[ \lambda ^{q - \alpha} \frac{\partial ^\alpha \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{t}^\alpha} + \lambda ^{3q - p} \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0, \]  

(34)

which implies that:

\[ p - \alpha = 2q \]

has similarity solution.

We can use the following to find traveling wave similarity solution for Equation (24).

\[ \left( \frac{\tilde{t}}{t} \right) = \left( \frac{\tilde{y}}{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \left( \frac{\tilde{u}}{u} \right) = \left( \frac{\tilde{y}}{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \]

(35)

Let the similarity transformation be as follows:

\[ u = y^q U(\xi), \quad \xi = \frac{ct}{y} - 1, \]

(36)

where \( p, q, \) and \( c \) are arbitrary parameters to be determined later.

According to the definition of the Riemann–Liouville, one has:

\[ \frac{\partial ^\alpha u}{\partial \tau ^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \int_0^\tau \frac{y^q \left( \frac{\tau}{y} - 1 \right)}{(t - x)^\alpha} dx. \]  

(37)

Let \( x = \frac{ct}{y} - 1 \), then Equation (37) becomes:

\[ \frac{\partial ^\alpha u}{\partial \tau ^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \frac{c}{y} \frac{d}{d\xi} \int_{-1}^\xi \frac{y^q(x)}{(\xi - x)^{\alpha}(\frac{\xi}{y})^\alpha} \frac{y}{c} dx \]

(38)

Furthermore:

\[ u_y = y^{q-\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \frac{q}{p} U(\xi) - (\xi + 1)U'(\xi) \right]. \]

(39)

Substituting (39) and (38) into Equation (24), we have:

\[ c^\alpha y^{q-\alpha} \frac{d^\alpha U(\xi)}{d\xi} + y^{2q-\alpha} U^2(\xi) T(\xi) = 0, \]

(40)
which yields:
\[
\frac{q}{p} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2},
\]  
where:
\[
T(\xi) = \frac{q}{p} U(\xi) - (\xi + 1) U'(\xi).
\]

Thereby, Equation (40) becomes the following ordinary differential equation of fractional order:
\[
c^\alpha d^\alpha U(\xi) + U^2(\xi) T(\xi) = 0.
\]  
(42)

Now, we consider that Equation (42) has the special solutions of the following form:
\[
U(\xi) = \begin{cases} 
  k \xi^\rho, & \xi \geq 0, \\
  0, & \xi < 0.
\end{cases}
\]  
(43)

Plugging (43) into Equation (42), one has:
\[
c^\alpha k \Gamma(1 + \rho) \xi^{\rho - \alpha} + k^3 \xi^{3\rho - 1} \frac{q}{p} \xi - (\xi + 1) \rho = 0,
\]  
(44)

which derives:
\[
\rho = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}.
\]  
(45)

Inserting the comparison expressions (45) and (41) into Equation (44), we have:
\[
k = \left[ \frac{c^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \alpha)} \right]^\frac{1}{2}.
\]  
(46)

Conversely:
\[
c = \left[ \frac{k^2 \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha)} \right]^\frac{1}{2}.
\]  
(47)

Therefore, we obtained the traveling wave similarity solution of Equation (24) of the form:
\[
u(y, t) = y^\rho k \xi^\rho = k \left( \frac{t^2 \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha)} \right)^\frac{1}{2} (t - y)^{1 - \alpha}.
\]  
(48)

6. Conclusions and Discussion

In this paper, we studied the time fractional higher-dimensional nonlinear modified equation of wave propagation. As a result of obtaining novelty, the symmetries, one-dimensional optimal system, and one-parameter Lie transformation group of this considered model were derived. Next, the original Equation (1) carried out the similarity reduction of the lower differential equation. On the basis of the reduced equation, we constructed a similarity solution. Although the similarity solutions have been obtained for the reduced model, it is still difficult to seek other types of exact solutions, such as the soliton solutions, rational solutions, and Kink solutions. Therefore, how to obtain the exact solutions of the reduced equation is one of our future key tasks. Finally, these beautiful results can help us discover more evolutionary mechanisms of this considered model.
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