Target-Based 6-5 Fused Ring Heterocyclic Scaffolds Display Broad Antiparasitic Potency In Vitro
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Abstract: Malaria, leishmaniasis, and African trypanosomiasis are protozoan diseases that constitute major global health problems, especially in developing countries; however, the development of drug resistance coupled with the toxicity of current treatments has hindered their management. The involvement of certain enzymes (dihydrofolate reductase [DHFR]) or proteins (potassium channels) in the pathogenesis of these protozoan diseases is undeniable. In this study, a series of three DHFR inhibitors (6-5 fused heterocyclic derivatives X, Y, and Z) and one K+ channel blocker (E4031) were screened for their inhibitory effects on Leishmania donovani, Plasmodium falciparum, and Trypanosoma brucei. A resazurin assay was used to assess the antitrypanosomal and antileishmanial activities of the test compounds, whereas the antiplasmodial activity was evaluated through the SYBR Green I test. Moreover, the cytotoxicities of the test compounds were evaluated in Vero, Raw 264.7, and HepG-2 cells using a resazurin-based test, while their pharmacokinetic properties were predicted using the online tool, pkCSM. As a result, compound Y exhibited selective (selectivity index range: from 2.69 to >61.4; Vero, Raw 264.7, and HepG-2 cells) and broad-spectrum antiprotozoal activity against L. donovani promastigotes (IC50: 12.4 µM), amastigotes (IC50: 4.28 µM), P. falciparum (IC50: 0.028 µM), and T. brucei brucei (IC50: 0.81 µM). In addition, compound X inhibited the growth of P. falciparum (IC50: 0.0052 µM) and T. brucei brucei (IC50: 6.49 µM). In silico screening of the active antiprotozoal compounds revealed positive drug likeness scores, as none of the criteria for Lipinski’s rule were violated by these compounds. However, in-depth pharmacokinetic and mechanistic studies are warranted to support the discovery of novel antiprotozoal agents against malaria, leishmaniasis, and African trypanosomiasis by repurposing K+ channel blockers and DHFR inhibitors.
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1. Introduction

Parasitic diseases represent an overwhelming health problem for impoverished populations living in developing countries with poor sanitary conditions. Previous reports have shown that the three most important protozoan diseases have an estimated disability-adjusted life year (DALY) of approximately one million [1]. These diseases include malaria, leishmaniasis, and trypanosomiasis, which are caused by vector-borne protozoan parasites, including Plasmodium, Leishmania, and Trypanosoma species, respectively. In 2022, the World Health Organization (WHO) documented a total of 249 million cases of malaria...
with 608,000 deaths, and with 94% of cases (233 million) and 95% (580,000) of deaths in the African region [2,3]. Core activities for the management of malaria include vector control and treatment of patients with appropriate antimalarial drugs, all of which are impaired by the endless development of mosquito- and Plasmodium spp.-resistant strains, and potential vaccine administration [2,4]. In addition, leishmaniasis and trypanosomiasis are neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) that can be fatal if left untreated [5].

Leishmaniasis is endemic in Africa, Asia, the Americas, and the Mediterranean region [6], where it can develop into three main clinical forms according to the involved Leishmania species. These include cutaneous and mucocutaneous forms (Leishmania major, L. tropica, L. braziliensis, etc.) and the most severe visceral forms (L. donovani, L. infantum, etc.) [7]. Annually, 700,000 to 1 million people are newly infected with leishmaniasis, with 20,000 to 30,000 deaths [7]. The treatment options for leishmaniasis include pentavalent antimonials, sodium stibogluconate (pentostam), and meglumine antimoniate (glucantime) as first-line drugs and pentamidine, amphotericin B, paromomycin, and miltefosine as second-line treatments [8].

African trypanosomiasis, which affects both humans (human African trypanosomiasis) and animals (animal African trypanosomiasis), is a health concern in endemic areas. Human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) threatens millions of people in 36 sub-Saharan African countries, with 55 million people at risk of being infected [9]. With the initiation of multiple control strategies, an important decline in the number of new cases from approximately 40,000 in 1998 to 663 in 2020 was recorded. However, important efforts should be made to completely fulfill the WHO’s initiative toward the eradication of sleeping sickness by 2030 [9]. Trypanosoma brucei gambiense and T. brucei rhodesiense are the main pathogens responsible for HATs. Animal African trypanosomiasis (AAT) is among the most common diseases in cattle and has severe economic consequences [10]. Indeed, the disease was reported to cause 3 million deaths in cattle [11]. AAT is caused by three main species of the genus Trypanosoma, namely T. brucei gambiense, T. vivax, and T. congolense. Pentamidine, eflornithine, nifurtimox, fexinidazole, suramin, and melarsoprol are among the current treatments for HAT, whereas homidium bromide, diminazene aceturate, and suramin are the main drugs for AAT [9]. However, these drugs exhibit poor efficacy, unacceptable toxicity, and drug resistance, which limit their usefulness [10,12]. The currently developed acoziborole drug, which showed a 95% success rate and effectiveness during phase 2 and 3 trials against HAT, is noteworthy; however, recent developments in Trypanosoma resistance to this drug have been identified [13]. Thus, there is a crucial need to search for alternative treatments against Trypanosoma infections.

Despite recent advances in research on controlling these infectious diseases, they remain prevalent, supporting the need to identify effective and safe treatments for malaria, leishmaniasis, and trypanosomiasis. The natural origin of antimalarial drugs is undeniable, as quinine and artemisinin are among the foremost examples that were identified from cinchona bark [14] and Artemisia annua, respectively [15]. Moreover, the synthesis and structural modification of natural product scaffolds have provided a number of active principles for antileishmanial (miltefosine) [16,17] and antitrypanosomal (fexinidazole) [9,18] drug development. The mechanistic basis of the antiprotozoal action of these active principals revealed the inhibition of a number of enzymes, such as dihydrofolate reductase (a reported target of anticancer drugs [19,20], which are crucial for the survival and virulence of Plasmodium [21], Leishmania, and Trypanosoma [22,23] species. In fact, dihydrofolate reductase aids in the replication of several microorganisms by reducing dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate for DNA synthesis [24,25]. Furthermore, the two antimalarial drugs, cycloguanil and pyrimethamine, as well as a codified antimalarial compound (P218), were also found to inhibit the DHFR enzyme [26]. Unlike the other most popular antifolate agents, such as trimethoprim, cycloguanil, and pyrimethamine, which exhibit weak inhibition of Leishmania major DHFR, methotrexate inhibits this enzyme (L. major DHFR) in the nanomolar range (IC50: 5 nM). Whole-cell phenotypic screening of methotrexate against L. major revealed an IC50 value of 0.3 µM, confirming that this compound might exert antileishmanial activity.
through DHFR inhibition [27,28]. Recently, Dize et al. revealed the inhibitory potential of MMV675968 derivatives bearing the quinazoline scaffold on Trypanosoma brucei brucei DHFR together with their potent antitrypanosomal activity (IC$_{50}$ range: 45–60 nM) [29].

Furthermore, the implication of potassium (K$^+$) channels in the survival and virulence of these parasites is noteworthy [30–33]. According to previous studies, K$^+$ channels are integral membrane proteins that are intricately involved in the maintenance of vital parameters, including the cellular membrane potential and cell volume, in malaria parasites [34]. Modern pharmacological studies revealed several antiprotozoal (clofazimine derivatives [35]) and antiplasmodial (quinidine, clotrimazole, haloperidol, charybdotoxin, bicusculine methiodide, tubocurarine chloride, trifluoperazine hydrochloride, and verruculogen; IC$_{50}$ values ranging from 0.046 to 187.86 µM; Plasmodium falciparum 3D7) [30] potential hit compounds, which were found to inhibit K$^+$ channels. In addition, the inhibitory effects of glibenclamide [36] and a few halogenated glucose analogs [37] on K$^+$ channels have been reported in Leishmania spp. and Trypanosoma brucei, respectively. Thus, it is not unreasonable to speculate that compounds or drugs that inhibit dihydrofolate reductase or K$^+$ channels can potentially elicit growth inhibition of the malaria, leishmaniasis, and African trypanosomiasis parasites.

Whole-cell phenotypic screening of compounds with known inhibitory effects against validated therapeutic targets is called target-based drug discovery. This approach includes target identification and validation via a number of tests, such as in silico prediction and biochemical and genetic analyses, to identify proteins or enzymes that are crucial for the survival and virulence of parasites [38]. Indeed, target-based drug discovery and drug repositioning can afford potentially active compounds that can serve as scaffolds for drug development, thereby reducing the overall cost and time frame used in the traditional drug discovery process [39]. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the antiprotozoal activity of a series of three heterocyclic potential DHFR inhibitors (compounds X, Y, and Z) (Figure 1) and one potassium channel blocker (E4031) originally designed for anticancer research and provided by Eisai Co., Ltd. (Figure 1, Table 1) against Plasmodium, Leishmania, and Trypanosoma parasites.

![Structures of the 6,5-fused ring heterocyclic antifolates (X, Y, Z) and the potassium channel blocker (E4031).](image)

**Figure 1.** Structures of the 6,5-fused ring heterocyclic antifolates (X, Y, Z) and the potassium channel blocker (E4031).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>* IC$_{50}$ (nM) DHFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bovine Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Median inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) of the antifolates on DHFR from bovine liver, P388 (leukemia cells), and CCRF-CEM (human T-lymphoblastic leukemia cells).
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Parasites and Culture

Three parasites, *P. falciparum* strain 3D7, *L. donovani* 1S (MHOM/SD/62/1S) promastigotes, and *T. brucei* bloodstream trypomastigotes (subsp. *brucei*, Strain Lister 427 VSG 221), which were used in this study, were obtained as kind gifts from the Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository (BEI Resources) (https://www.beiresources.org/, accessed on 1 January 2023).

The axenic promastigote forms of *L. donovani* were cultured at 28 °C in M199 culture medium (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 1% streptomycin/penicillin (Sigma Aldrich) and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma Aldrich).

*Plasmodium falciparum* parasites were continuously maintained in Petri dishes containing complete RPMI 1640 medium [RPMI 1640 (Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 0.5% Albumax II (Gibco), 0.2% sodium bicarbonate (Sigma Aldrich), 1% hypoxanthine 100X (Gibco), 25 mM HEPES, and 0.04% gentamicin (Sigma Aldrich)] with fresh O+ erythrocytes obtained from healthy human volunteers and suspended in 4% hematocrit (v/v), followed by incubation at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere [43]. The culture medium was renewed daily, and parasitemia was monitored via microscopy through 10% Giemsa-stained thin blood smears. Two days prior to the assay, parasites were synchronized at the ring stage via serial treatment with 5% sorbitol.

The bloodstream form of *T. brucei* subsp. *brucei* was grown and maintained in vented flasks containing standard HMI-9 (Hirumi’s modified Iscove’s medium 9) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS. Parasites were subsequently incubated at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere and examined by inverted microscopy every 72 h to monitor parasite density. Next, the cells were passaged by transferring 250 µL of the medium containing parasites into 4.750 mL of fresh medium in a new sterile vented flask [44].

2.2. Mammalian Cell Line Culture

Three cell lines were used to evaluate the cytotoxicity of the test compounds: the African green monkey kidney cell line (Vero; ATCC CRL-1586), the macrophage murine leukemia cell line (RAW 264.7) (obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA, USA), and the human hepatoma cell line HepG-2 (Acc 85011430) (procured from Sigma Aldrich). Vero and Raw264.7 cells were maintained in T-25 vented cap culture flasks containing complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) [10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HIFBS), 1% (v/v) nonessential amino acid mixture, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin], followed by incubation at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere. Vero and Raw cells were passaged with trypsin-EDTA (ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid) (Gibco, 0.25%) up to approximately 80–90% confluence. HepG-2 cells were grown and maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) HIFBS, 2.2 g/mL sodium bicarbonate, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% sodium pyruvate at 37 °C under the same conditions. The cells were passaged every 72 h to maintain a cell density of between 1 × 10^5 and 3 × 10^5 cells/mL in a 25 cm^2 culture flask.

2.3. Antiparasitic Assays

2.3.1. Antikinetoplastid Activity

Assays for *Trypanosoma brucei* Bloodstream and *Leishmania donovani* Promastigote Inhibition

A resazurin assay was used to determine the antitrypanosomal and antileishmanial activities of the compounds in a 96-well microplate using modified protocols, as reported by Bowling et al. [45] and Siqueira-Neto et al. [46], respectively. Either 2 × 10^5 trypanosomes or 4 × 10^5 *Leishmania* promastigotes per mL were seeded with various compounds (concentrations ranging from 10 to 0.016 μM). The final concentration of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was kept at 0.1%, and a vehicle control was used in all assays. Pentamidine (1–0.0016 μM) and amphotericin B (10–0.16 μg/mL) were used as positive controls for *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania*, respectively. After a 24 h incubation of the *Leishmania* plates, 1 mg/mL resazurin dye (prepared in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline) was added, followed by incubation
for 48 h in the dark. Following a 68 h incubation period for trypanosomes at 37 °C and 5% CO₂, 10 µL of resazurin solution (0.15 mg/mL) was added to each well, and the plates were subsequently incubated for an additional 4 h. For both tests, the fluorescence was then read using a Tecan Infinite F200 reader using excitation and emission wavelengths of 530 and 590 nm, respectively.

**Antiamastigote Assay**

Compounds that displayed activity against the promastigote forms were further screened against the intracellular amastigotes of *L. donovani* as reported by Jain et al. [47] with slight modifications (using Raw 264.7 macrophages as host cells in lieu of THP-1 human acute monocytic leukemia cells). In brief, exponentially growing Raw 264.7 macrophages (4 × 10^5 cells/well) were seeded in sterile flat-bottomed 96-well plates and then incubated for 6 h at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ to allow adhesion. Next, the plates were washed with sterile PBS to remove nonadherent cells and further incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere with M199 medium containing *Leishmania* metacyclic promastigotes (4 × 10^5 cells) at a 1:10 macrophage/promastigote ratio. Thereafter, 3–4 successive washes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were applied to carefully remove the noninternalized promastigote forms. A solution containing 10% FBS, fresh M199 medium, and test compounds (final assay concentrations ranging from 10 to 0.016 µM) was prepared and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Next, 0.05% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) was added to the plates, which were incubated for 30 s for controlled lysis, followed by the addition of complete M199 (10% FBS) to stop macrophage lysis. Afterwards, resazurin (250 µg/mL) was added to each well, followed by incubation for 24 h. Then, the fluorescence of the preparation was read using a microplate reader (TECAN-Infinite M200, Tecan Austria GmbH, Grödig Flachgau, Austria) at λ excitation and λ emission wavelengths of 530 and 590 nm, respectively.

**2.3.2. Antiplasmodial Assay**

The SYBR Green I-based fluorescence method was used to assess the antiplasmodial activity of the selected compounds [48]. Briefly, 10 µL of each compound was added to a 96-well assay plate in duplicate and serially diluted to reach final concentrations ranging from 10 to 0.016 µM. Artemisinin (10 µM) and chloroquine (10 µM) were used as positive controls (0% growth), whereas 0.1% DMSO (v/v) was used as a negative control (100% growth). Next, 90 µL of parasitized red blood cells at 1% hematocrit and 2% parasitaemia were dispensed into each well, followed by incubation of the preparation for 72 h. To evaluate parasite growth, the presence or absence of trophozoites was immediately checked in the plates. For this purpose, the cells were lysed by performing freeze—thaw cycles, after which 50 µL of the thawed culture in each well was gently mixed with 50 µL of SYBR Green I lysis buffer [0.2 µL of 10,000× SYBR Green I (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) per mL of lysis buffer {Tris (20 mM; pH 7.5), saponin (0.008%; wt/v), EDTA (5 mM), and Triton X-100 (0.08%; v/v)}]. The plates were further incubated for an additional 60 min in darkness at room temperature, after which the fluorescence was measured using a microplate reader (TECAN-Infinite M200, Tecan Austria GmbH, Grödig Flachgau, Austria) at excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 and 530 nm, respectively.

**2.4. Cytotoxicity Assay**

The resazurin-based colorimetric method [45] was used to assess the cytotoxic effects of the active antiprotozoal compounds against two human mammalian cells, *viz.* Raw 264.7 and Vero cells, as well as human hepatoma HepG-2 cells. In brief, cells were seeded at 10^4 cells/well (100 µL) in 96-well culture plates and incubated overnight to allow cell adherence. After the culture medium was renewed, 10 µL of serially diluted compound solution (concentration range: 50–0.08 µM) was added in duplicate. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 48 h in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. Wells containing 10% DMSO (v/v) and podophyllotoxin (20 µM) were regarded as positive controls, while those
containing only cultured cells were considered to have 100% growth. Next, ten microliters of a stock solution of resazurin [0.15 mg/mL, Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS)] was added to each well, gently mixed, and subsequently incubated for an additional 4 h. Fluorescence was read using a microplate reader (TECAN-Infinite M200, Tecan Austria GmbH, Grödig Flachgau, Austria) with excitation and emission wavelengths of 530 and 590 nm, respectively.

2.5. In Silico Prediction of Physicochemical and Pharmacokinetic Properties

The studied compounds were subjected to in silico studies to predict their absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion profiles [49]. The chemical structures of the test compounds were drawn using ChemBio2D Draw, whereas their SMILES codes were produced and further used as the main material to predict the pharmacokinetic properties by running the pkCSM online tool (https://biosig.lab.uq.edu.au/pkcsm/prediction; accessed on 13 August 2022).

2.6. Data Analysis

Each experiment was carried out in duplicate and repeated twice. The growth inhibition percentages for each test compound were determined using Microsoft Excel software (version 2013, Washington, DC, United States of America) and then used for dose—response curve plotting (inhibitory percentage versus log10 [drug concentration]) to deduce the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) or the half-cytotoxic concentration (CC_{50}) using GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. Compound selectivity indices were calculated for each test sample as follows: SI=CC_{50} cells/IC_{50} parasites.

3. Results and Discussion

In this study, the antiprotozoal activities of a series of DHFR inhibitors (compounds X, Y, and Z) and the potassium channel blocker, E4031, were evaluated against Plasmodium, Leishmania, and Trypanosoma species. As a result, compounds X, Y, and Z and E4031 showed different degrees of antiprotozoal activity, ranging from poor (IC_{50} > 10 \mu M) to promising (IC_{50} < 10 \mu M) according to previously reported criteria [50,51].

Against T. brucei, compounds X and Y exhibited IC_{50} values of 6.49 and 0.81 \mu M, respectively, compared with pentamidine (IC_{50} value: 0.006 \mu M), whereas these compounds had IC_{50} values of 0.0052 and 0.028 \mu M, respectively, when tested against Plasmodium falciparum 3D7, and high parasite selectivity for Raw, Vero, and HepG-2 cells (SI > 366), compared with the values obtained with artemisinin (IC_{50}: 0.03 \mu M) (Table 2).

In addition, the broad-spectrum inhibitor Y had IC_{50} values of 12.47 and 4.28 \mu M when tested against L. donovani promastigotes and amastigotes, respectively, with SI ranging from 2.69—>11.7 vs. amphotericin B (IC_{50} values: 0.020 and 0.247.81 \mu M, respectively).

Furthermore, compounds Z and E4031 had less activity when tested against T. brucei and P. falciparum 3D7 (IC_{50} values > 10 \mu M), and promastigotes of L. donovani (IC_{50} values = 10 \mu M) and were predicted to be poorly active compounds. The different levels of selectivity of the inhibitors for the three parasites can be justified based on several factors, including target specificity, genetic variability, structural differences in their essential biomolecules, such as enzymes or receptors, and resistance profile to specific inhibitors due to variations in their resistance mechanisms. The rationale often lies in the unique biochemical, genetic, or structural features that distinguish one parasite from another. Although numerous authors have reported the anticancer and antifolate activities of compounds X, Y, and Z [40,42], the antiprotozoal activity of these compounds has not yet been characterized. In fact, the 6,5-fused heterocyclic systems X, Y, and Z share a number of common features, such as the presence of pyrimidine, amino, and amide groups. According to the literature, there is evidence that 6,5-fused heterocyclic compounds exhibit a wide range of biological activity, including antiprotozoal activity [52,53]. In addition, the involvement of amino [54], amide [55], and pyrimidine [56,57] moieties in potent antiprotozoal hit compounds is indubitable. Thus, pyrimidine, amino, and amide groups embodied in the studied 6,5-fused...
heterocyclic compounds (X and Y) might have contributed to the observed antiprotozoal activities. Although X, Y, and Z are structurally similar compounds, one amino group of the pyrimidine moiety is replaced by an OH group in compound Z. This structural modification might have contributed to the decrease in the observed antiprotozoal activity of compound Z, compared to their counterparts X and Y. Even though there is reported evidence that the presence of OH groups tends to increase the antiprotozoal activity of bioactive compounds [58], other reports highlight a different opinion on this matter [59].

The selection of compounds based on their drug-likeness scores increases the likelihood of identifying potential drug candidates with favorable pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety. As realigning pharmacokinetic studies early in the discovery phase can assist in selecting an ideal drug candidate, active antiprotozoal compounds were subjected to in silico screening using the pkCSM online tool. According to the in silico analysis of physicochemical properties, the molecular weight (441.488, 442.472, 442.476, and 415.559), ClogP (lipophilic; octanol–water partition coefficient) (1.741, 1.865, 1.221, and 3.289), rotatable bonds (10, 10, 10, and 8), hydrogen bond acceptors (HBAs) (7, 7, 8, and 5), hydrogen bond donors (HBDs) (5, 5, 6, and 1), and topological polar surface area (TPSA) (184.604, 184.058, 183.789, and 172.949) (Table S1, see Supplementary Material) of compounds X, Y, and Z and E4031 were predicted. Notably, none of these physicochemical parameters were violated according to the criteria of Lipinski’s rule of five, which states that a molecule has an increased chance of being directly bioavailable when it obeys the conditions of having (i) no more than five hydrogen bond donors, (ii) ten hydrogen bond acceptors, (iii) a molecular weight of less than 500, or (iv) a LogP value of less than 5 [60]. In fact, all the tested compounds (X, Y, Z, and E4031) qualify as orally active compounds because they abide by “Lipinski’s rule of five” criteria [61,62]. Other rulesets for drug-likeness, including the Veber [flexibility (rotatable bonds): < 10; HBD ≤ 5; HBA ≤ 10; except for TPSA (140 Å²)], Ghose filter (−0.4 < Log P < + 5.6; HBD ≤ 5 and HBA ≤ 10; MW < 500 g/mol), Muegge (HBD ≤ 5, except for E4031 and MW < 500 g/mol), and Egan (−0.4 < Log P < +5.6; MW < 500 g/mol and HBA ≤ 10) rules, showed favorable drug-like characteristics [61,62]. Furthermore, in silico tests of ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) revealed poor permeability of compounds X, Y, and Z and E4031 across the skin, blood–brain barrier, and central nervous system. Nevertheless, in vitro and in vivo pharmacokinetic studies need to be performed to determine the successful utilization of these compounds as scaffolds in antiprotozoal drug discovery.

We report that a series of three DHFR inhibitors (6-5 fused ring heterocyclic derivatives) and a K⁺ channel blocker (E4031) exhibit antiprotozoal activity against three parasite strains, namely Leishmania donovani, Trypanosoma brucei, and Plasmodium falciparum 3D7, without cytotoxicity to the human mammalian cells, Vero or Raw, as well as HepG-2 cells. In silico screening of the active antiprotozoal compounds using the pkCSM online tool revealed positive drug-likeness scores, as none of the physicochemical parameters of these compounds violated the criteria of Lipinski’s rule of five. Nonetheless, in-depth in vitro and in vivo pharmacokinetic and antiprotozoal mechanistic studies are warranted to support the discovery of novel antiprotozoal agents against malaria, leishmaniasis, and African trypanosomiasis by repurposing the 6-5 fused ring heterocyclic DHFR inhibitors, while combining structural biology, bioinformatics, medicinal chemistry, and pharmacology tools.
Table 2. Antiparasitic and cytotoxic activities of the 6,5-fused ring heterocyclic antifolates and the potassium channel blocker.

| Compounds ID | IC$_{50}$ ± SD (µM) | CC$_{50}$ ± SD (µM) | T. b. brucei | L. donovani Prom | L. donovani Ama | Pf$_{3D7}$ | Raw264.7 | Vero | HepG-2 | Raw264.7 | Vero/ HepG-2 | Raw264.7 | Vero/ HepG-2 | Raw264.7 | Vero/ HepG-2 | Raw264.7 | Vero/ HepG-2 | Raw264.7 | Vero/ HepG-2 | Raw264.7 | Vero/ HepG-2 | Raw264.7 | Vero/ HepG-2 |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--------|-----|-------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| Compound-X   | 6.49 ± 0.4           | <10                  | NT           | 0.0052          | 1.91 ± 0.09     | <50      | 0.29  | >7.7 | <50   | 41     | <61.4          | 0.0052 | 366.6          | 1179  | <9596          |        |                |        |                |        |                |        |                |
| Compound-Y   | 0.81 ± 0.00          | 12.47 ± 3.04         | 4.28 ± 0.12  | 0.028           | 33.58 ± 5.5     | <50      | 0.29  | >7.7 | <50   | 41     | <61.4          | 0.028  | 1756           | <1756 |                |        |                |        |                |        |                |
| Compound-Z   | <10                  | <10                  | NT           | <10             | <10            | <50      | 0.29  | >7.7 | <50   | 41     | <61.4          | <10   | 41             | <4    | 7.85           | <11.7 | 1179           | <1756 |                |        |                |        |                |
| E4031        | <10                  | 10                   | NT           | <10             | <10            | <50      | 0.29  | >7.7 | <50   | 41     | <61.4          | <10   | 41             | <4    | 7.85           | <11.7 | 1179           | <1756 |                |        |                |        |                |
| Pentamidine  | 0.006 ± 0.00         | >50                  | >50          | >50             | >50            | >50      | 0.29  | >7.7 | >50   | >50    | >7.7           | >50   | >50            | >4    | >7.7           | >11.7 | 1179           | <1756 |                |        |                |        |                |
| Artemisinin  | 0.03 ± 0.00          | >50                  | >50          | >50             | >50            | >50      | 0.29  | >7.7 | >50   | >50    | >7.7           | >50   | >50            | >4    | >7.7           | >11.7 | 1179           | <1756 |                |        |                |        |                |
| Amphotericin B| 0.020 ± 0.0016       | 0.248 ± 0.024        | >50          | >50             | >50            | >50      | 0.29  | >7.7 | >50   | >50    | >7.7           | >50   | >50            | >4    | >7.7           | >11.7 | 1179           | <1756 |                |        |                |        |                |

Ama: amastigote. IC$_{50}$: half-maximal inhibitory concentrations were calculated from two replicates of P. falciparum, T. brucei brucei, L. donovani promastigotes, and intracellular amastigotes. CC$_{50}$: half-maximal cytotoxic concentration in Vero, Raw 264.7, and HepG-2 cells. Prom: promastigote. SI: selectivity index. The positive controls used were amphotericin B for L. donovani, pentamidine for T. brucei brucei, and artemisinin for P. falciparum.
Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/futurepharmacol4010013/s1, Table S1: Predicted physicochemical and ADME properties of the test compounds.
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