

genes ISSN 2073-4425 www.mdpi.com/journal/genes

Article

A Meta-Analysis of the Association between Polymorphisms in MicroRNAs and Risk of Ischemic Stroke

Yan Xiao ^{1,2}, Mei-Hua Bao ^{1,*}, Huai-Qing Luo ¹, Ju Xiang ¹ and Jian-Ming Li ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Anatomy, Histology and Embryology, Institute of Neuroscience, Changsha Medical University, Changsha 410219, China; E-Mails: luohuaiqing@163.com (H.-Q.L.); xiang.ju@foxmail.com (J.X.)
- ² Qingdao Science & Standard Chemicals Analysing and Testing Co., Ltd., Qingdao 266000, China; E-Mail: y_xiao@sscta.cn
- * Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mails: mhbao78@163.com (M.-H.B.); ljming0901@sina.com (J.-M.L.); Tel.: +86-731-8860-2839 (M.-H.B.); +86-731-8860-2633 (J.-M.L.); Fax: +86-731-8860-2669 (M.-H.B. & J.-M.L.).

Academic Editor: Nora L. Nock

Received: 5 October 2015 / Accepted: 30 November 2015 / Published: 7 December 2015

Abstract: Ischemic stroke (IS) is responsible for a high death rate and for adult disability worldwide. MiR-146a (rs2910164), miR-149 (rs2292832), miR-196a2 (rs11614913) and miR-499 (rs3746444) are found to be associated with ischemic stroke. However, the results were inconsistent and inconclusive. The present study performed a meta-analysis to get a more precise and comprehensive estimation of the association between the four polymorphisms and IS risk. The databases Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database were searched for related studies. A total of five studies including 2230 cases and 2229 controls were identified for the meta-analysis. The results indicate that TT genotype and T allele of miR-149 (rs2292832) are associated with significantly lower risks of IS in a homozygous model (OR = 0.70) and an allelic model (OR = 0.86). No significant associations were found between miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (3746444) and IS susceptibility in any of the studies. However, subgroup analysis by sample size indicates a significant decrease in risks of IS for CC genotype and C allele of miR-146a (rs2910164) in the large sample size group. Therefore, miR-149 (rs2292832) might be recommended as a predictor for IS risk, while miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (3746444) are not.

1. Introduction

Being the most common kind of stroke, ischemic stroke (IS) is responsible for a high death rate and adult disability worldwide. The mortality rate was reported to be about 120–180 per 100,000 in China, and it has become the third leading cause of death in the USA, exceeding the death rate of heart diseases [1,2]. The risk factors of IS include age, smoking, diabetes mellitus, and hyperlipemia. Additionally, the genetic mutants were found to play important roles in IS susceptibility [3,4].

MicroRNAs are non-coding, small RNAs with lengths of about 22 nt, which regulate the expression of many genes at a post-transcriptional level by binding to the 3'-UTR of target genes [5,6]. A slight change in the sequence of miRNAs, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), may change their production or affinity to target genes and result in diseases. Recently, polymorphisms in pre-miRNA sequences of miR-146a C>G (rs2910164), miR-149 T>C (rs2292832), miR-196a2 T>C (rs11614913) and miR-499 A>G (rs3746444) have been found [7,8]. According to recent data, the miR-146aG, miR-149T, miR-196a2C, and miR-499G alleles are possible genetic predisposing factors, and may associate with ischemic stroke. However, the results were inconsistent and inconclusive due to the sample size, ethnicity or source of control, *etc*. Thus, in the present study, we included a total of 5 studies, which have 2230 cases and 2229 controls, to get a more precise and comprehensive estimation of the association between the four polymorphisms and IS.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Publication Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

We systematically searched the published studies in the electronic databases of Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM). The searched terms are as follows: ("Ischemic stroke") and ("microRNA") and ("polymorphism" or "mutation" or "variant" or "SNP" or "single nucleotide polymorphism"). There were no restrictions on language. The deadline for publication was 24 August 2015. All the results from the databases were screened. First, we screened the titles. If a title fulfilled our criteria, we then screened the abstract. We retrieved the full text if the abstract was in accordance with our interest. All eligible studies were retrieved manually for other potentially relevant studies from their references. We would have contacted the authors for related data if they were unavailable in the original publications.

Inclusion Criteria: (1) a case-control design; (2) that the association between microRNA polymorphisms and IS risks has been evaluated; (3) that the genotype in the control group is in agreement with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) [9]; and (4) that the data in the publication are sufficient for present estimation. Studies were excluded if any of the following applied:

(1) repeated publications, abstracts, letters or reviews; and (2) studies that do not meet all of the inclusion criteria.

2.2. Data Extraction

Information was extracted from each eligible publication manually by two investigators independently (Y. Xiao and J. M. Li). For each study, the extracted information included first authors' name, published year, country, ethnicity, genotype method, the source of control, sex and age match, and the genotype numbers of cases and controls. If there were discrepancies during data extraction, it would be resolved by a consensus achieved by a third author (M. H. Bao).

2.3. Quality Assessment

The quality of included studies was evaluated according to the predefined scale for quality assessment provided by Jiang *et al.* [10]. The score scale includes the following aspects: the source of cases (those selected from population or cancer registry were given a score of 3; those selected from hospital, 2; those selected from pathology archives but without description, 1; and 0 for other cases), the source of controls (population-based controls, 3; blood donors or volunteers, 2; hospital-based cancer-free patients, 1; and others, 0), specimens used for determining genotypes (white blood cells or normal tissues, 3; and tumor tissues or exfoliated cells of tissue, 0), total sample size (>1000 was scored 3; >500 and <1000, 2; >200 and <500, 1; and <200, 0) and evidence of HWE (in HWE, 3, and out of HWE, 0). The quality scores ranged from 0–15. Reports with scores <10 were classified as "low quality", and those with scores \geq 10 were regarded as "high quality". The quality evaluation was performed by two authors independently (M.H. Bao and J. Xiang). Consensus achieved by a third author was reached to resolve any discrepancies in the assessment process.

2.4. Statistical Methods

The χ^2 -test was used to evaluate the HWE of the control group polymorphism. If p < 0.05, it is considered to be deviated from HWE. To evaluate the association between microRNA polymorphisms and IS risk, the crude odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was used. The summary effect estimate OR was calculated using genetic models of homozygous, heterozygous, dominant and allelic model. The statistical significance was determined by the *Z*-test, and p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Subgroup analysis by sample size was conducted. Groups with total samples less than 1000 was treated as small or as large.

The Q-statistic was used to test for homogeneity, and the I^2 and 95% I^2 was used to assess the degree of heterogeneity [11]. If there is an obvious heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$) or a moderate heterogeneity ($I^2 25\%-50\%$) among the studies, the random-effects model (the DerSimonian and Laird method) was used for the meta-analysis [12]. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model using the Mantel-Haenszel method was used [13]. Sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the effects of individual study on the summarized effects estimate and the stability of results. The publication bias was detected with Begg's funnel plot and Egger's linear regression method, and p < 0.05 was considered to be

statistically significant [14]. All statistical analysis was performed using the STATA 12.0 software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) and Revman 5.3.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characteristics of Eligible Studies

A total of 48 studies were obtained from the literature search after duplicates removed. Among them, 27 studies were excluded for irrelevance, 11 for being reviews, 5 for predicting other polymorphisms and IS. At last, five studies were evaluated as meeting the criteria, which included 2230 cases and 2229 controls. Among these studies, four are on the association between miR-146a rs2910164 and IS, two on the association between miR-149 rs2292832 and IS, four on the association between miR-196a2 rs11614913 and IS, and three on the association between miR-499 rs3746444 and IS. The PRISMA flow chart is shown in Figure 1, and the information for included studies is presented in Table 1.



Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart of studies inclusion and exclusion.

						miR-146a	rs2910164						
Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Genotyping Methods	Sex Ratio (Male:Female) (Case/Control)	Age (Case/Control)	Quality Score	Sample Size (Case/Control)	GG (Case/Control)	GC (Case/Control)	CC (Case/Control)	HWE of Control	Source of Control
Zhu [15]	2014	China	Chinese	PCR-LDR	253:115/261:120	$61.62 \pm 0.986/62.05 \pm 0.982$	12	368/381	50/64	173/185	145/132	0.384	volunteers
Liu [16]	2014	China	Chinese	PCR-RFLP	180:116/127:193	$67.52 \pm 10.29/66.34 \pm 11.07$	12	296/391	52/77	159/198	85/116	0.650	volunteers
Jeon [4]	2013	South Korea	Korean	Taqman	336:342/244:309	$64.16 \pm 11.90/63.14 \pm 10.19$	12	678/553	128/76	327/266	223/211	0.589	IS-free patients
Huang [17]	2015	China	Chinese	Taqman	327:204/327:204	63 (54, 70)/61 (54, 68)	13	531/531	81/55	261/257	189/219	0.106	volunteers
						miR-149r	s2292832						
Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Genotyping Methods	Sex Ratio (Male:Female) (Case/Control)	Age (Case/Control)	Quality Score	Sample Size (Case/Control)	TT (Case/Control)	TC (Case/Control)	CC (Case/Control)	HWE of Control	Source of Control
Jeon [4]	2013	South Korea	Korean	Taqman	336:342/244:309	64.16 ± 11.90/63.14 ± 10.19	12	678/553	299/262	303/238	76/53	0.589	IS-free patients
He [18]	2013	China	Chinese	PCR-RFLP	205:168/193:180	65.7 ± 11.5/66.3 ± 10.2	12	357/373	138/160	162/175	57/38	0.327	volunteers
						miR-196a2	rs11614913	•					
Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Genotyping Methods	Sex Ratio (Male:Female) (Case/Control)	Age (Case/Control)	Quality Score	Sample Size (Case/Control)	CC (Case/Control)	CT (Case/Control)	TT (Case/Control)	HWE of Control	Source of Control
Zhu [15]	2014	China	Chinese	PCR-LDR	253:115/261:120	$61.62\pm0.986/62.05\pm0.982$	12	368/381	71/78	189/198	108/105	0.384	volunteers
Liu [16]	2014	China	Chinese	PCR-RFLP	180:116/127:193	67.52 ± 10.29/66.34 ± 11.07	12	296/391	51/84	181/214	64/93	0.650	volunteers
Jeon [4]	2013	South Korea	Korean	Taqman	336:342/244:309	64.16 ± 11.90/63.14 ± 10.19	12	678/553	139/105	352/292	187/156	0.589	IS-free patients
Huang [17]	2015	China	Chinese	Taqman	327:204/327:204	63 (54, 70)/61 (54, 68)	13	531/531	100/112	265/266	166/153	0.106	volunteers

Table 1. Characteristics of eligible studies included in the meta-analysis.

Table 1. Cont.

	MiR-499 rs3746444												
				Constrains	Sex Ratio		Quality	Sample Size	AA	AG	GG	HWE	Sauna of
Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Methods	(Male:Female)	Age (Case/Control)	Score	(Case/Control)	(Case/Control)	(Case/Control)	(Case/Control)	of	Control
					(Case/Control)			,	· · · ·	· · · ·	()	Control	
Liu	2014	China	Chinese	DCD DELD	180.116/127.103	67 52 + 10 20/66 34 + 11 07	12	206/301	181/278	06/00	10/17	0.650	volunteers
[16]	2014	Ciiiia	Chinese	I CR-RI LI	180.110/127.195	$0/127.175$ $07.52 \pm 10.29/00.54 \pm 11.07$		290/391	101/2/0	90/99	19/14	0.050	volunteers
Jeon	2012	South	V	т	22(242/244 200		10	(79)552	460/265	105/170	22/10	0.590	IS-free
[4]	2013	Korea	Korean	Taqman	336:342/244:309	64.16 ± 11.90/63.14 ± 10.19	12	6/8/553	460/365	195/170	23/18	0.589	patients
Huang	2015	China	Chinasa	Tagman	227.204/227.204	62 (54 70)/61 (54 69)	12	521/521	208/402	122/128	0/0	0.106	valumtaana
[18]	2015 China Chinese Taqman 327:2 8]		327:204/327:204	03 (34, 70)/01 (34, 08)	13	551/551	398/403	133/128	0/0	0.106	volunteers		

HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; PCR-RFLP: polymerase chain reaction-Restriction fragment length polymorphism; PCR-LDR: polymerase chain reaction-ligation detection reaction. The HWE of the control group polymorphism was evaluated by the χ^2 -test.

3.2. Results of Meta-Analysis

The results of meta-analysis for the association between miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-149 (rs2292832), miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (rs3746444) and ischemic stroke risks are shown in Table 2 and Figures 2–5.

Table 2. Summary effect estimate ORs and 95% CIs of the association between miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-149 (rs2292832), miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (rs3746444) and ischemic stroke (IS).

-			MiR-146a	rs2910264				MiR-149 rs2292832				
Gen	etic Model	N	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	I^{2} (%)	Gen	etic Model	N	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	I ² (%)	
CC	Overall	4	0.85 (0.57,1.28)	0.44	76	TT	Overall	2	0.70 (0.52, 0.94)	0.02	9	
VS.	Small size	2	1.24 (0.91, 1.70)	0.18	0	VS.	Small size	1	0.57 (0.36, 0.92)	0.02	N.A	
GG	Large size	2	0.61 (0.47, 0.79)	0.0002	0	CC	Large size	1	0.80 (0.54, 1.17)	0.25	N.A	
CC	Overall	4	0.92 (0.80,1.06)	0.27	0	TT	Overall	2	0.91 (0.75, 1.10)	0.32	0	
VS.	Small size	2	1.05 (0.83, 1.32)	0.69	10	VS.	Small size	1	0.93 (0.68, 1.27)	0.66	N.A	
GC	Large size	2	0.85 (0.71, 1.02)	0.09	0	TC	Large size	1	0.90 (0.71, 1.14)	0.37	N.A	
CC	Overall	4	0.89 (0.78, 1.02)	0.10	54	TT	Overall	2	0.86 (0.72, 1.03)	0.11	0	
VS.	Small size	2	1.10 (0.88, 1.37)	0.41	17	VS.	Small size	1	0.84 (0.62, 1.13)	0.24	81	
GC/ CC	Large size	2	0.79 (0.67, 0.94)	0.007	0	TC/ CC	Large size	1	0.88 (0.70, 1.10)	0.25	N.A	
G	Overall	4	0.93 (0.77, 1.12)	0.45	74	T vs.	Overall	2	0.86 (0.75, 0.98)	0.02	0	
C vs.	Small size	2	1.10 (0.95, 1.28)	0.20	0	С	Small size	1	0.80 (0.65, 1.00)	0.05	N.A	
G	Large size	2	0.80 [0.71, 0.90)	0.0003	0		Large size	1	0.89 [0.75, 1.06)	0.20	N.A	
C	-4:- M- J-1		MiR-196a2 r	s 11614913		C	-4- M- J-1		MiR-499 r	s3746444		
Gen	letic wiodel	N	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	I^{2} (%)	Gen	Genetic Model		OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	I^{2} (%)	
TT	Overall	4	1.07 [0.89, 1.30)	0.46	0	AA	Overall	3	0.70 [0.35, 1.42)	0.33	54	
VS.	Small size	2	1.13 [0.83, 1.55)	0.44	0	VS.	Small size	1	0.48 [0.23, 0.98)	0.04	N.A	
CC	Large size	2	1.04 [0.78, 1.39)	0.77	31	GG	Large size	2	0.99 [0.52, 1.86)	0.97	N.A	
TT	Overall	4	1.00 [0.86, 1.17)	0.95	0	AA	Overall	3	0.87 [0.54, 1.41)	0.57	81	
VS.	Small size	2	0.95 [0.74, 1.22)	0.69	17	VS.	Small size	1	0.67 [0.48, 0.94)	0.02	N.A	
TC	Large size	2	1.04 [0.86, 1.26)	0.70	0	AG	Large size	2	1.03 [0.86, 1.24)	0.75	0	
TT	Overall	4	1.02 (0.89, 1.18)	0.75	0	AA	Overall	3	0.84 (0.50, 1.42)	0.52	85	
VS.	Small size	2	1.00 (0.78, 1.26)	0.97	0	VS.	Small size	1	0.64 (0.46, 0.88)	0.006	N.A	
TC/ CC	Large size	2	1.04 (0.87, 1.25)	0.67	0	AG/ GG	Large size	2	1.03 (0.86, 1.23)	0.77	0	
T	Overall	4	1.03 (0.94, 1.13)	0.48	0		Overall	3	0.84 (0.53, 1.34)	0.47	86	
T vs. C	Small size	2	1.05 (0.91, 1.22)	0.50	0	A vs.	Small size	1	0.66 (0.51, 0.87)	0.003	N.A	
		2	1.02 (0.90, 1.17)	0.75	26	G	Lanaa aiga	2	1 02 (0 87 1 20)	0.82	0	

The summary effect estimate ORs and CIs was detected by the Z-test. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Groups with total samples less than 1000 were treated as small or as large. The Q-statistic was used to test for homogeneity, and the I^2 was used to assess the degree of heterogeneity. When $I^2 > 50\%$, the random-effects model (the DerSimonian and Laird method) was used for the meta-analysis. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model using the Mantel-Haenszel method was used. N.A: not applicable.

CC vs GG

	Experimental		Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Huang 2015	189	270	219	274	25.4%	0.59 [0.40, 0.87]		
Jeon 2013	223	351	211	287	26.9%	0.63 [0.45, 0.88]		
Liu 2014	85	137	116	193	23.7%	1.09 [0.69, 1.70]		
Zhu 2014	145	195	132	196	24.0%	1.41 [0.91, 2.18]		
Total (95% CI)		953		950	100.0%	0.85 [0.57, 1.28]	↓ ●	
Total events	642		678					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.13; Chi	= 12.45	5, df = 3 (F	P = 0.0	06); I² = 7	6%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.76 (P = 0.44)				Eavoure (experimental) Eavoure (control)	
							ravours (experimental) ravours (control)	
	Even a sime	antal	Contr			Odda Datia	Odda Patia	
Study or Subgroup	Experim	ental	Contr	0I Totol	Mainht	Udds Ratio	Udds Ratio	
Study of Subgroup	Events	Total	Evenus	Total	vveigni	M-H, FIXed, 95% CI	<u>M-H, Fixed, 95% Ci</u>	
Huang 2015	189	450	219	476	31.2%	0.85 [0.66, 1.10]		
Jeon 2013	223	550	211	477	33.9%	0.86 [0.67, 1.10]		
Liu 2014	85	244	116	314	16.7%	0.91 [0.64, 1.29]		
Zhu 2014	145	318	132	317	18.2%	1.17 [0.86, 1.61]		
Total (95% CI)		1562		1584	100.0%	0.92 [0.80, 1.06]	٩	
Total events	642		678					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	2.97, df =	3 (P = 0.	.40); I ² = ()%		E E		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.11 (P = 0.27)			U	Eavours (experimental) Eavours (control)	
							r avours (experimental) i avours (control)	
CC vs GC/GG								
	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	_
Huang 2015	189	531	219	531	27.5%	0.79 (0.61, 1.01)		

	LAPCINI	Cintan	Contra			ouusitutto	ouus ruuto	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Huang 2015	189	531	219	531	27.5%	0.79 [0.61, 1.01]		
Jeon 2013	223	678	211	553	28.7%	0.79 [0.63, 1.00]		
Liu 2014	85	296	116	391	20.6%	0.96 [0.68, 1.33]		
Zhu 2014	145	368	132	381	23.2%	1.23 [0.91, 1.65]	1-	
Total (95% CI)		1873		1856	100.0%	0.91 [0.74, 1.11]	•	
Total events	642		678					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.02; Chi ²	= 6.49	df = 3 (P	= 0.09)); I² = 54%	b		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.91 (F	P = 0.36)				Favours (experimental) Favours (control)	50

C vs G

	Experimental		erimental Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Huang 2015	639	1062	695	1062	26.0%	0.80 [0.67, 0.95]	+	
Jeon 2013	773	1356	688	1106	26.9%	0.81 [0.68, 0.95]	-	
Liu 2014	329	592	430	782	23.3%	1.02 [0.83, 1.27]	+	
Zhu 2014	463	736	449	762	23.8%	1.18 [0.96, 1.46]		
Total (95% CI)		3746		3712	100.0%	0.93 [0.77, 1.12]	•	
Total events	2204		2262					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.03; Chi	²= 11.58	6, df = 3 (P = 0.0	09); l² = 74	4%		400
Test for overall effect:	Z= 0.76 (P = 0.45)				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	100

Figure 2. Forest plots of the odds ratio for the association between microRNA-146a rs2910164 and risks of IS. The summary effect estimate was detected by the *Z*-test. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The Q-statistic was used to test for homogeneity, and the I^2 was used to assess the degree of heterogeneity. The random-effects model was used for the meta-analysis when $I^2 > 25\%$. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used.

TT vs CC



Figure 3. Forest plots of the odds ratio for the association between microRNA-149 rs2292832 and risks of IS. The summary effect estimate was detected by the Z-test. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The Q-statistic was used to test for homogeneity, and the I^2 was used to assess the degree of heterogeneity. The random-effects model was used for the meta-analysis when $I^2 > 25\%$. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used.

3.2.1. MiR-146a (rs2910164) and IS

Four studies with 1873 cases and 1856 controls were included in this analysis. No significant associations were found between rs2910164 and IS susceptibility in the overall analysis for all genetic models. However, subgroup analysis by sample size showed a significant decrease in risks of IS in large sample size groups in the homozygous model (CC *vs.* GG, OR = 0.61, 95% CI = 0.47-0.79,

p = 0.0002), the dominant model (CC vs. GC/GG, OR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.67–0.94, p = 0.007) and the allelic model (C vs. G, OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.71–0.90, p = 0.0003).

TT vs CC

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Huang 2015	166	266	153	265	28.1%	1.22 [0.86, 1.72]	
Jeon 2013	187	326	156	261	36.0%	0.91 [0.65, 1.26]	
Liu 2014	64	115	93	177	15.8%	1.13 [0.71, 1.82]	
Zhu 2014	108	179	105	183	20.1%	1.13 [0.74, 1.72]	+
Total (95% CI)		886		886	100.0%	1.07 [0.89, 1.30]	+
Total events	525		507				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.61, df = 3	3 (P = 0	.66); I ² = I	0%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.73 (P = 0.46)							0.01 0.1 1 10 100
			·				Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

TT vs TC

	Experime	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Huang 2015	166	431	153	419	28.6%	1.09 [0.82, 1.44]	+
Jeon 2013	187	539	156	448	33.3%	0.99 [0.76, 1.29]	+
Liu 2014	64	245	93	307	18.3%	0.81 [0.56, 1.18]	
Zhu 2014	108	297	105	303	19.8%	1.08 [0.77, 1.51]	+
Total (95% CI)		1512		1477	100.0%	1.00 [0.86, 1.17]	+
Total events	525		507				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.71, df = 3	3 (P = 0	.63); I ² = I	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.06 (F	P = 0.95)		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		

TT vs TC/CC

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Huang 2015	166	531	153	531	28.8%	1.12 [0.86, 1.46]	
Jeon 2013	187	678	156	553	34.1%	0.97 [0.75, 1.24]	+
Liu 2014	64	296	93	391	17.2%	0.88 [0.62, 1.27]	
Zhu 2014	108	368	105	381	20.0%	1.09 [0.79, 1.50]	+
Total (95% CI)		1873		1856	100.0%	1.02 [0.89, 1.18]	+
Total events	525		507				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.46, df = 3	3 (P = 0	.69); I ² = I	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.32 (F	P = 0.75)		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		

T vs C

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Huang 2015	597	1062	572	1062	27.7%	1.10 [0.93, 1.30]	+	
Jeon 2013	726	1356	604	1106	34.2%	0.96 [0.82, 1.12]	+	
Liu 2014	309	592	400	782	18.2%	1.04 [0.84, 1.29]	+	
Zhu 2014	405	736	408	762	19.9%	1.06 [0.87, 1.30]	+	
Total (95% CI)		3746		3712	100.0%	1.03 [0.94, 1.13]	+	
Total events	2037		1984					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.46, df = 3	3 (P = 0	.69); l ² = (100		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.70 (F	P = 0.48)			Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	100	

Figure 4. Forest plots of the odds ratio for the association between microRNA-196a2 rs711614913 and risks of IS. The summary effect estimate was detected by the *Z*-test. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The Q-statistic was used to test for homogeneity, and the I^2 was used to assess the degree of heterogeneity. The random-effects model was used for the meta-analysis when $I^2 > 25\%$. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used.

Foundation (Grant No. 13JJ4112, and 2015JJ6010), and the Foundation of Hunan Educational Committee (Grant No. 5B031 and 15A023).

Author Contributions

Mei-hua Bao and Jian-ming Li planned and designed the experiments; Yan Xiao, Huai-qing Luo and Mei-hua Bao performed the experiments; Ju Xiang analyzed the data; Mei-hua Bao and Yan Xiao wrote the paper.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Liu, L.; Wang, D.; Wong, K.S.; Wang, Y. Stroke and stroke care in China: Huge burden, significant workload, and a national priority. *Stroke* **2011**, *42*, 3651–3654.
- Goldstein, L.B.; Adams, R.; Becker, K.; Furberg, C.D.; Gorelick, P.B.; Hademenos, G.; Hill, M.; Howard, G.; Howard, V.J.; Jacobs, B. *et al.* Primary prevention of ischemic stroke: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Stroke Council of the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2001, *103*, 163–182.
- 3. Yamase, Y.; Horibe, H.; Ueyama, C.; Fujimaki, T.; Oguri, M.; Kato, K.; Arai, M.; Watanabe, S.; Yamada, Y. Association of and polymorphisms with ischemic stroke. *Biomed. Rep.* **2015**, *3*, 491–498.
- 4. Jeon, Y.J.; Kim, O.J.; Kim, S.Y.; Oh, S.H.; Oh, D.; Kim, O.J.; Shin, B.S.; Kim, N.K. Association of the miR-146a, miR-149, miR-196a2, and miR-499 polymorphisms with ischemic stroke and silent brain infarction risk. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2013**, *33*, 420–430.
- 5. Bartel, D.P. MicroRNAs: Genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 2004, 116, 281–297.
- 6. Pushparaj, P.N.; Aarthi, J.J.; Kumar, S.D.; Manikandan, J. RNAi and RNAa—The yin and yang of RNAome. *Bioinformatics* **2008**, *2*, 235–237.
- Min, K.T.; Kim, J.W.; Jeon, Y.J.; Jang, M.J.; Chong, S.Y.; Oh, D.; Kim, N.K. Association of the miR-146aC>G, 149C>T, 196a2C>T, and 499A>G polymorphisms with colorectal cancer in the Korean population. *Mol. Carcinog.* 2012, *51*, E65–E73.
- Park, Y.S.; Jeon, Y.J.; Lee, B.E.; Kim, T.G.; Choi, J.U.; Kim, D.S.; Kim, N.K. Association of the miR-146aC>G, miR-196a2C>T, and miR-499A>G polymorphisms with moyamoya disease in the Korean population. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2012, *521*, 71–75.
- 9. Wigginton, J.E.; Cutler, D.J.; Abecasis, G.R. A note on exact tests of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2005**, *76*, 887–893.
- 10. Jiang, D.K.; Wang, W.Z.; Ren, W.H.; Yao, L.; Peng, B.; Yu, L. TP53 Arg72Pro polymorphism and skin cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2011**, *131*, 220–228.
- 11. Higgins, J.P.; Thompson, S.G.; Deeks, J.J.; Altman, D.G. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *Br. Med. J.* **2003**, *327*, 557–560.
- 12. DerSimonian, R.; Laird, N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control. Clin. Trials 1986, 7, 177–188.

	Experimental		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Huang 2015	398	398	403	403		Not estimable	
Jeon 2013	460	483	365	383	52.8%	0.99 [0.52, 1.86]	
Liu 2014	181	200	278	292	47.2%	0.48 [0.23, 0.98]	
Total (95% CI)		1081		1078	100.0%	0.70 [0.35, 1.42]	•
Total events	1039		1046				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.14; Chi ²	= 2.19,	df = 1 (P	= 0.14)	; I ² = 54%	6	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.98 (F	P = 0.33)				Eavours (experimental) Eavours (control)

AA vs AG

	Experimental		Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI
Huang 2015	398	531	403	531	34.0%	0.95 [0.72, 1.26]	+
Jeon 2013	460	655	365	535	36.9%	1.10 [0.86, 1.41]	+
Liu 2014	181	277	278	377	29.1%	0.67 [0.48, 0.94]	
Total (95% CI)		1463		1443	100.0%	0.91 [0.69, 1.19]	•
Total events	1039		1046				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.04; Chi ²	= 5.35,	df = 2 (P	= 0.07)	; I ² = 63%	, ,	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.72 (F	P = 0.47)				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

AA vs AG/GG

	Experimental		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Huang 2015	398	531	403	531	33.3%	0.95 [0.72, 1.26]	+	
Jeon 2013	460	678	365	553	36.2%	1.09 [0.86, 1.38]	+	
Liu 2014	181	296	278	391	30.5%	0.64 [0.46, 0.88]	-	
Total (95% CI)		1505		1475	100.0%	0.88 [0.66, 1.19]	•	
Total events	1039		1046					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 6.87, df = 2 (P = 0.03); l ² = 71%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81 (P = 0.42)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		
A vs G								
	Experimental		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Huang 2015	929	1062	934	1062	32.3%	0.96 [0.74, 1.24]	+	
Jeon 2013	1115	1356	900	1106	36.2%	1.06 [0.86, 1.30]	+	
Liu 2014	458	592	655	782	31.5%	0.66 [0.51, 0.87]	+	
Total (95% CI)		3010		2950	100.0%	0.88 [0.67, 1.16]	•	

 Total (95% Cl)
 3010
 2950
 100.0%

 Total events
 2502
 2489

 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 7.51, df = 2 (P = 0.02); l² = 73%

 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38)

Figure 5. Forest plots of the odds ratio for the association between microRNA-499 rs3746444 and risks of IS. The summary effect estimate was detected by the Z-test. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The Q-statistic was used to test for homogeneity, and the I^2 was used to assess the degree of heterogeneity. The random-effects model was used for the meta-analysis when $I^2 > 25\%$. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used.

0.01

0.1

Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

10

100

3.2.2. MiR-149 (rs2292832) and IS

Two studies with 1035 cases and 926 controls were included in this analysis. A significant decrease in ischemic stroke risks was found in the homozygous model (TT vs. CC, OR = 0.70, 95% CI = 0.52–0.94,

p = 0.02) and the allelic model (T vs. C, OR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.75–0.98, p = 0.02). The same relation was found in the small sample size group.

3.2.3. MiR-196a2 (rs11614913) and IS

Four studies with 1873 cases and 1856 controls were included in this analysis. No significant associations were found in the overall analysis or subgroup analysis in any of the models, be they homozygous, heterozygous, dominant or allelic. Since a moderate heterogeneity was found in the large group of homozygous models (TT *vs.* CC) and allelic models (T *vs.* C), we also conducted a meta-analysis using the fixed effects model. No significant difference between these two models were found (TT *vs.* CC, fixed model: OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.82-1.32, p = 0.74; random model: OR = 1.04, 95% CI = 0.78-1.39, p = 0.77. T *vs.* C, fixed model: OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 0.91-1.15, p = 0.72; random model: OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 0.89-1.17, p = 0.75).

3.2.4. MiR-499 (rs3746444) and IS

Three studies with 1505 cases and 1476 controls were included in this analysis. No significant associations were found between rs3746444 and IS susceptibility in the overall analysis. In subgroup analysis, no associations were found in large sample size groups, while a significantly decreased risk of IS was found in the small sample size group.

3.3. Sources of Heterogeneity

Since significant heterogeneity was found for miR-146 (rs2910164) and miR-499 (rs3746444), we performed a subgroup analysis by sample size to explore the source of this heterogeneity. The results indicate that the sample size is the source of heterogeneity for miR-146 (rs2910164) and miR-499 (rs3746444) in every genetic model.

3.4. Sensitivity Analysis

The influence of each study on the summary effect estimate ORs and 95% CIs were evaluated by excluding one single study at a time using STATA 12.0 software. No significant altered summary effect estimate ORs were found in any of the genetic models for miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-149 (rs2292832), miR-196a2 (rs11614913) and miR-499 (rs3746444).

3.5. Publication Bias

We performed the Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test to evaluate the publication bias. The p values for Egger's linear regression tests are shown in Table 3. As the results indicated, no obvious publication bias was observed. Furthermore, these results were also demonstrated by the shape of the funnel plot.

	Genetic Models	<i>p</i> Value		Genetic Models	<i>p</i> Value
MiR-146	CC vs. GG	0.191		TT vs. CC	0.918
	CC vs. GC	0.420	M:D 140	TT vs. TC	0.547
	CC vs. GC/CC	0.276	MIK-149	TT vs. TC/CC	0.684
	C vs. G	0.110		T vs. C	0.758
MiR-196a2	TT vs. CC	0.569		AA vs. GG	Not estimated
	TT vs. TC	0.413	M:D 400	AA vs. AG	0.392
	TT vs. TC/CC	0.630	MIK-499	AA vs. AG/GG	0.185
	T vs. C	0.563		A vs. G	0.405

Table 3. Egger's linear regression test for funnel plot asymmetries.

4. Discussion

The main findings of the present meta-analysis are that the TT genotype and the T allele of miR-149 (rs2292832) are associated with significantly lower risks of IS in the homozygous model (OR = 0.70) and the allelic model (OR = 0.86). There were no significant associations found between miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (3746444) and IS susceptibility in any of the studies. However, subgroup analysis by sample size indicates a significant decrease in risks of IS for the CC genotype and the C allele of miR-146a (rs2910164) in the large sample size group.

Significant heterogeneity was found in the meta-analysis for miR-146a (rs2910164) and miR-499 (rs3746444). Thus, we conducted a subgroup analysis by sample size and observed that sample size was the source of the heterogeneity. However, only one study was included in the small sample size subgroup of miR-499, and two studies were included in the large sample size group of miR-499. For miR-146a, there were only two studies in either of the small and large sample size groups. Thus, further studies are needed to confirm these results. In the sensitivity analysis, no significant changes were found after omitting one study at a time, indicating the relative stability and credibility of the results of our meta-analysis.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the mutation in pre-miRNA of miR-146a, miR-149, miR-196a2 and miR-499 decrease the mature miRNA levels [17,19,20]. These four microRNAs affect thrombosisor inflammation pathways in the circulation system by regulating tumor necrosisfactor- α (TNF- α) [21], methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) [22], annexin A1 (ANXA1) [23], C-reactive protein (CRP) [24] and NF- κ B pathway as well as the MAP kinase pathway [25]. Since these molecules all participate in the pathogenesis of IS, the four polymorphisms might contribute to the pathogenesis of IS. However, we only found the relation between miR-149 (rs2292832) and IS in the present meta-analysis. A previous study has demonstrated that MTHFR is the target of miR-149. MTHFR is a key enzyme in the methionine-folacin metabolism pathway. It is found to be associated with the risk of ischemic stroke [26]. The SNP in the pre-miR-149 changes the T allele to C, and, as a result, influences the mature miR-149 production. The change of mature miR-149 production then subsequently influences the folate metabolism pathway by targeting MTHFR. However, only two studies were included in the present meta-analysis for miR-149 rs2292832. Further studies are still needed to confirm the associations.

Our meta-analysis did not find significant associations between miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (rs3746444) and IS susceptibility in any of the studies. However, in the subgroup

analysis, the CC genotype or C allele of miR-146a (rs2910164) were related to, and decrease the risk of, IS in the large sample size group as we previously reported [27]. MiR-146 is shown to regulate the NF- κ B-induced inflammatory process and the Toll-like receptor (TLR) system [28]. The SNP in the pre-miR-146a changes the G allele to C, and thus influences the mature miR-146a production. The change of mature miR-146a production then subsequently influence the inflammatory process of IS. However, since we did not find association between rs2910164 and IS in overall samples, further evaluation on the results are still needed.

Our meta-analysis did not find associations between miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (rs3746444) and IS. However, these two SNPs have been demonstrated to be related to other human diseases, including congenital heart disease [29], coronary heart disease [30] and cancer [31], or related to IS when combined with other SNPs [4]. Therefore, the effects of these polymorphisms might be dependent on pathological differences of human diseases in specific organs.

The results of the present meta-analysis should be interpreted carefully because of the following limitations. Firstly, the number of patients was relatively small, which may influence the outcomes. After a very comprehensive literature search from several different databases, only a total of five studies were included in the present meta-analysis. Among the studies, four are on the associations between miR-146a rs2910164 and IS (1873 cases and 1856 controls), two on the association between miR-149 rs2292832 and IS (1035 cases and 926 controls), four on the association between miR-196a2 rs11614913 and IS (1035 cases and 926 controls), and three on the association between miR-499 rs3746444 and IS (1505 cases and 1476 controls).

The second limitation is that the clinicpathological characteristics or disease subtypes are limited in most of these studies. Thirdly, ischemic stroke is a multi-factorial disease influenced by both genetic and environmental factors. The gene-gene and gene-environment interactions may play important roles in the functions of these four polymorphisms, but most studies lack the information about environmental exposure and multiple SNPs in miRNA-encoding genes. The fourth limitation lies in the ethnicity of the subjects. All the patients in the present study were Asians, and this limited the general application of the results to other populations.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the current meta-analysis suggests a decreased risk of IS for the TT and T allele of miR-149 (rs2292832), while miR-146a (rs2910164), miR-196a2 (rs11614913), miR-499 (3746444) are not associated with the risk of IS. Thus, miR-149 (rs2292832) might be recommended as a predictor for susceptibility of IS. However, the results of this meta-analysis should be interpreted with caution because of the heterogeneity among study designs. Further studies are needed to evaluate the associations between rs2910164 and these two diseases, especially in a large sample size, in Caucasians, and with clinic-pathological characteristics.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Hunan Provincial Key Discipline Construction Program, the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81300231), the Hunan Provincial Natural Science

- Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1959, 22, 719–748.
- 14. Peters, J.L.; Sutton, A.J.; Jones, D.R.; Abrams, K.R.; Rushton, L. Comparison of two methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **2006**, *295*, 676–680.
- 15. Zhu, R.; Liu, X.; He, Z.; Li, Q. miR-146a and miR-196a2 polymorphisms in patients with ischemic stroke in the northern Chinese Han population. *Neurochem. Res. Neurochem. Res.* **2014**, *39*, 1709–1716.
- Liu, Y.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, B.; Wang, S.X.; Wang, X.M.; Yu, J.M. Genetic polymorphisms in pre-microRNAs and risk of ischemic stroke in a Chinese population. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 2014, 52, 473–480.
- Huang, S.; Zhou, S.; Zhang, Y.; Lv, Z.; Li, S.; Xie, C.; Ke, Y.; Deng, P.; Geng, Y.; Zhang, Q. *et al.* Association of the genetic polymorphisms in pre-MicroRNAs with risk of ischemic stroke in a Chinese population. *PLoS ONE* 2015, *10*, e0117007.
- 18. He, S.J.; Han, Y.F. Association between miR-149 polymorphism and ischemic stroke of Han population in Hanzhong of Shaanxi. *J. Mod. Lab. Med.* **2013**, *38*, 32–34.
- Shen, J.; Ambrosone, C.B.; DiCioccio, R.A.; Odunsi, K.; Lele, S.B.; Zhao, H. A functional polymorphism in the miR-146a gene and age of familial breast/ovarian cancer diagnosis. *Carcinogenesis* 2008, 29, 1963–1966.
- Hoffman, A.E.; Zheng, T.; Yi, C.; Leaderer, D.; Weidhaas, J.; Slack, F.; Zhang, Y.; Paranjape, T.; Zhu, Y. MicroRNA miR-196a-2 and breast cancer: A genetic and epigenetic association study and functional analysis. *Cancer Res.* 2009, *69*, 5970–5977.
- El Gazzar, G.M.; Church, A.; Liu, T.; McCall, C.E. MicroRNA-146a regulates both transcription silencing and translation disruption of TNF-α during TLR4-induced gene reprogramming. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 2011, *90*, 509–519.
- Wu, C.; Gong, Y.; Sun, A.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, C.; Zhang, W.; Zhao, G.; Zou, Y.; Ge, J. The human MTHFR rs4846049 polymorphism increases coronary heart disease risk through modifying miRNA binding. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2013, 23, 693–698.
- Luthra, R.; Singh, R.R.; Luthra, M.G.; Li, Y.X.; Hannah, C.; Romans, A.M.; Barkoh, B.A.; Chen, S.S.; Ensor, J.; Maru, D.M. *et al.* MicroRNA-196a targets annexin A1: A microRNA-mediated mechanism of annexin A1 downregulation in cancers. *Oncogene* 2008, *27*, 6667–6678.
- Yang, B.; Chen, J.; Li, Y.; Zhang, J.; Li, D.; Huang, Z.; Cai, B.; Li, L.; Shi, Y.; Ying, B. *et al.* Association of polymorphisms in pre-miRNA with inflammatory biomarkers in rheumatoid arthritis in the Chinese Han population. *Hum. Immunol.* 2012, *73*, 101–106.
- Cheng, H.S.; Sivachandran, N.; Lau, A.; Boudreau, E.; Zhao, J.L.; Baltimore, D.; Delgado-Olguin, P.; Cybulsky, M.I.; Fish, J.E. MicroRNA-146 represses endothelial activation by inhibiting pro-inflammatory pathways. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2013, *5*, 949–966.
- 26. Liu, J.P.; Cheng, J.Q.; Peng, J.; Wang, F.; Wang, C.J.; Nie, S.F. Meta analysis on relationship between MTHFR gene polymorphism and susceptibility of stroke in Chinese Han population. *Chin. J. Dis. Control Prev.* **2007**, *11*, 30–32.
- Bao, M.H.; Xiao, Y.; Zhang, Q.S.; Luo, H.Q.; Luo, J.; Zhao, J.; Li, G.Y.; Zeng, J.; Li, J.M. Meta-analysis of miR-146a polymorphisms association with coronary artery diseases and ischemic stroke. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, *16*, 14305–14317.

- Ramkaran, P.; Khan, S.; Phulukdaree, A.; Moodley, D.; Chuturgoon, A.A. miR-146a polymorphism influences levels of miR-146a, IRAK-1, and TRAF-6 in young patients with coronary artery disease. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 2014, *68*, 259–266.
- Xu, J.; Hu, Z.; Xu, Z.; Gu, H.; Yi, L.; Cao, H.; Chen, J.; Tian, T.; Liang, J.; Lin, Y. *et al.* Functional variant in microRNA-196a2 contributes to the susceptibility of congenital heart disease in a Chinese population. *Hum. Mutat.* 2009, *30*, 1231–1236.
- Xiong, X.D.; Cho, M.; Cai, X.P.; Cheng, J.; Jing, X.; Cen, J.M.; Liu, X.; Yang, X.L.; Suh, Y. A common variant in pre-miR-146 is associated with coronary artery disease risk and its mature miRNA expression. *Mutat. Res.* 2014, 761, 15–20.
- Hu, Z.; Chen, J.; Tian, T.; Zhou, X.; Gu, H.; Xu, L.; Zeng, Y.; Miao, R.; Jin, G.; Ma, H. *et al.* Genetic variants of miRNA sequences and non-small cell lung cancer survival. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2008, 118, 2600–2608.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).